HADWIGER'S CONJECTURE FOR GRAPHS WITH INFINITE CHROMATIC NUMBER

DOMINIC VAN DER ZYPEN

ABSTRACT. We construct a connected graph ${\cal H}$ such that

(1) $\chi(H) = \omega;$

(2) K_{ω} , the complete graph on ω points, is not a minor of H.

Therefore Hadwiger's conjecture does not hold for graphs with infinite coloring number.

1. NOTATION

In this note we are only concerned with simple undirected graphs G = (V, E) where V is a set and $E \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2(V)$ where

$$\mathcal{P}_2(V) = \{\{x, y\} : x, y \in V \text{ and } x \neq y\}.$$

We also require that $V \cap E = \emptyset$ to avoid notational ambiguities. We denote the vertex set of a graph G by V(G) and the edge set by E(G). Moreover, for any cardinal α we denote the complete graph on α points by K_{α} .

For any graph G, disjoint subsets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ are said to be *connected to each other* if there are $s \in S, t \in T$ with $\{s, t\} \in E(G)$. Note that K_{α} is a *minor* of a graph G if and only if there is a collection $\{S_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\}$ of nonempty, connected and pairwise disjoint subsets of V(G)such that for all $\beta, \gamma \in \alpha$ with $\beta \neq \gamma$ the sets S_{β} and S_{γ} are connected to each other. We will need the following observation later on:

Fact 1.1. For any graph G, finite or infinite, the following are equivalent:

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) if $S,T \subseteq V(G)$ are nonempty and disjoint such that $S \cup T = V(G)$ then S,T are connected to each other.

2. The construction

In [1], Hadwiger formulated his well-known and deep conjecture, linking the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G with clique minors. His conjecture can be formulated that $K_{\chi(G)}$ is a minor of G for every graph G. In the following we present a connected graph H with chromatic number ω such that K_{ω} is not a minor of H. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let

$$C_n = \{1, \ldots, n\} \times \{n\}$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C15, 05C83.

and set $V(H) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$. As for the edge set of H, we define

$$E(H) = \{\{(1,n), (1,n+1)\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_2(C_n).$$

Proposition 2.1. $\chi(H) = \omega$.

Proof. Since we have $\operatorname{card}(V(H)) = \omega$ we get $\chi(H) \leq \omega$. Moreover, each C_n is a complete subgraph of H, so H cannot be colored with finitely many colors.

For the remainder of this note, we assume that $\{S_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a collection of nonempty, connected, pairwise disjoint subsets of H such that for $m \neq n$ the sets S_n, S_m are connected to each other. Our goal is to show that such a collection cannot exist.

First, we need a simple observation on what a connected subset of H looks like. If $S \subseteq V(H)$ we define $I(S) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : C_n \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $S \subseteq V(H)$ is connected and $m < n \in I(S)$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq x \leq n$ we have $(1, x) \in S$.

Proof. If $(1,m) \notin S$ then $T = S \cap C_m$ and $S \setminus T$ are disjoint, nonempty and not connected to each other. By Fact 1.1, S is not connected, contradicting our assumption. A similar argument shows that $(1,n) \in S$. Suppose there is x with m < x < n and $(1,x) \notin S$. Then set $T = \{(i,j) \in S : j < x\}$. Again, T and $S \setminus T$ are nonempty and not connected to each other, so S is not connected, contradicting our assumption. \Box

If $\{S_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a collection of subsets of V(H) as described above, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set of neighbors of S_k , which is denoted by $N(S_k)$, must be infinite. As the next lemma shows, this implies that $I(S_k)$ must be infinite for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.3. If $S \subseteq V(H)$ is such that I(S) is finite, then N(S) is finite.

Proof. Let $m = \max(I_S)$. Then $N(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} C_i$, which is a finite set.

Now we go back to our assumption that $\{S_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a collection of nonempty, connected, pairwise disjoint subsets of H such that for $m \neq n$ the sets S_n, S_m are connected to each other. We consider just two of these sets, say S_0, S_1 . Because of lemma 2.3, the sets $I(S_0)$ and $I(S_1)$ are infinite. For k = 0, 1 let $\mu_k = \min(I(S_i))$. We may assume that $\mu_0 \leq \mu_1$. Since $I(S_0)$ is infinite, there is $n \in I(S_0)$ with $n \geq \mu_1$. So lemma 2.2 implies that $(1, \mu_1) \in S_0 \cap S_1$, contradicting the assumption that the S_k are pairwise disjoint. So we established:

Proposition 2.4. The complete graph K_{ω} is not a minor of H.

References

 Hadwiger, Hugo, Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe, Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich, 88 (1943), 133–143.

M&S Software Engineering, Morgenstrasse 129, CH-3018 Bern, Switzerland

E-mail address: dominic.zypen@gmail.com