

1           **Detection of selective sweeps in cattle using genome-wide SNP data**

2  
3           **Holly R. Ramey<sup>1</sup>, Jared E. Decker<sup>1</sup>, Stephanie D. McKay<sup>1,2</sup>, Megan M. Rolf<sup>1,3</sup>, Robert D.**  
4                           **Schnabel<sup>1</sup>, Jeremy F. Taylor<sup>1§</sup>**

5  
6           <sup>1</sup>Division of Animal Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia MO 65211 USA

7           <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405 USA

8           <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Science, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74074 USA

9           <sup>§</sup>Corresponding author

10  
11          Email addresses:

12                 Holly R. Ramey ([hrrxb2@missouri.edu](mailto:hrrxb2@missouri.edu))

13                 Jared E. Decker ([deckerje@missouri.edu](mailto:deckerje@missouri.edu))

14                 Stephanie D. McKay ([stephanie.mckay@uvm.edu](mailto:stephanie.mckay@uvm.edu))

15                 Megan M. Rolf ([mrolf@okstate.edu](mailto:mrolf@okstate.edu))

16                 Robert D. Schnabel ([schnabelr@missouri.edu](mailto:schnabelr@missouri.edu))

17                 Jeremy F. Taylor ([taylorjerr@missouri.edu](mailto:taylorjerr@missouri.edu))

18  
19          **Corresponding Author:**

20          Dr. Jeremy F. Taylor

21          S135B Animal Sciences

22          920 East Campus Drive

23          University of Missouri

24          Columbia MO 65211-5300

25          E-mail: [taylorjerr@missouri.edu](mailto:taylorjerr@missouri.edu)

26          Tel.: +1-573-884-4946

27          Fax: +1-573-882-6827

28 **Abstract**

29 **Background**

30 The domestication and subsequent selection by humans to create breeds and biological types of  
31 cattle undoubtedly altered the patterning of variation within their genomes. Strong selection to  
32 fix advantageous large-effect mutations underlying domesticability, breed characteristics or  
33 productivity created selective sweeps in which variation was lost in the chromosomal region  
34 flanking the selected allele. Selective sweeps have now been identified in the genomes of many  
35 animal species including humans, dogs, horses, and chickens. Here, we attempt to identify and  
36 characterize regions of the bovine genome that have been subjected to selective sweeps.

37 **Results**

38 Two datasets were used for the discovery and validation of selective sweeps via the fixation of  
39 alleles at a series of contiguous SNP loci. BovineSNP50 data were used to identify 28 putative  
40 sweep regions among 14 diverse cattle breeds. To validate these regions, Affymetrix BOS 1  
41 prescreening assay data for five breeds were used to identify 114 regions and validate 5 regions  
42 identified using the BovineSNP50 data. Many genes are located within these regions and the lack  
43 of sequence data for the analyzed breeds precludes the nomination of selected genes or variants  
44 and limits the prediction of the selected phenotypes. However, phenotypes that we predict to  
45 have historically been under strong selection include horned-polled, coat color, stature, ear  
46 morphology, and behavior.

47 **Conclusions**

48 The identified selective sweeps represent recent events associated with breed formation rather  
49 than ancient events associated with domestication. No sweep regions were shared between  
50 indicine and taurine breeds reflecting their divergent selection histories and environmental  
51 habitats to which these sub-species have adapted. While some sweep regions have previously

52 been identified using different methods, a primary finding of this study is the sensitivity of  
53 results to assay resolution. Despite the bias towards common SNPs in the BovineSNP50 design,  
54 false positive sweep regions appear to be common due to the limited resolution of the assay.  
55 Furthermore, this assay design bias leads to the majority of detected sweep regions being breed  
56 specific, or limited to only a small number of breeds, restricting the suite of selected phenotypes  
57 that can be detected to primarily those associated with breed characteristics.

58

## 59 **Keywords**

60 Selective Sweep, Reduced Heterozygosity, Signatures of Selection, Single Nucleotide  
61 Polymorphisms

62

## 63 **Background**

64 The transition from hunter-gather lifestyles to permanent dwelling societies was facilitated by  
65 both plant and animal domestication [1]. The domestication of cattle occurred between 8,000 and  
66 10,000 years ago and led to changes in the genome of the species due to the effects of  
67 demography and selection [2, 3]. Much of the variation within the genetically diverse ancestral  
68 population was either lost due to the limited sampling of animals within the sites of  
69 domestication or was partitioned into the subpopulations which went on to become recognized as  
70 distinct breeds. Selection for the phenotypes contributing to domesticability, biological type  
71 (draft, milk, meat) and the aesthetically appealing morphologies that have become breed  
72 hallmarks (polled, coat color and patterning [4-8]) have also impacted the extent and distribution  
73 of variability within the genome.

74 Strong on-going selection for variants of large effect leads to a loss of variation within  
75 the chromosomal region flanking the selected variant and ultimately the complete fixation of the  
76 haplotype which harbors the variant. This phenomenon is known as the “hitch-hiking effect” [9]  
77 and the regions of the genome in which selection has driven a haplotype to complete fixation are  
78 defined as having been subjected to “selective sweeps.” Studies of selective sweeps differ from  
79 the classical forward genetic approach which progresses from a phenotype to the identification of  
80 the underlying causal genes or mutations. Rather, they follow a reverse genetics approach that  
81 begins with the signature of selection and attempts to infer the selected mutation and its  
82 associated phenotype [1].

83 Several approaches have been used to identify regions of the genome which have been  
84 subjected to selective sweeps, including those based on allele frequency spectra, linkage  
85 disequilibrium, haplotype structure, and employing sophisticated models fit using, for example,  
86 maximum composite likelihood estimation [10-12]. These approaches require the use of high-  
87 density single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data which has previously been shown to be  
88 useful for detecting selective sweeps in human populations [13, 14]. Studies aimed at localizing  
89 selective sweeps have been performed in many animal species using SNP and microsatellite loci.  
90 In chicken, selective sweeps have been found to involve loci believed to be involved in  
91 domestication and include *BCDO2* which controls yellow and white skin colors, *SEMA3A* which  
92 plays a role in axonal pathfinding important in brain development, and *THSR* which is postulated  
93 to derestrict the regulation of seasonal reproduction [15]. Selective sweeps have been found in  
94 the dog genome at *TRYPI* which controls black coat color in Large Munsterlanders and in  
95 *FGFR3* in Daschshunds [16]. *FGFR3* mutations cause achondroplasia in humans and cattle.  
96 Other studies in dogs have identified a sweep surrounding *IGF1* which is responsible for size

97 variation [17] and in a region for which the selected phenotype is unknown in Boxers [18]. These  
98 sweeps range in size from 28 kb to 40 Mb suggesting considerable variation in the intensity of  
99 selection and also in the population census size. A ~75 kb selective sweep at a locus influencing  
100 stature has also been found in the horse genome in a region upstream of a transcription factor  
101 (*LCORL*) that is associated with variation in human height [19]. A 28 kb selective sweep in a  
102 region of the swine genome harboring *IGF2* has also been found for which the underlying  
103 selected phenotypes are increased muscle mass and decreased fat deposition [20]. Domestic  
104 animals have been demonstrated to be excellent models for genetic studies due to the availability  
105 of extensive pedigrees and because, as species, they are frequently more genetically diverse than  
106 humans [21]. However, relatively few selective sweep studies have been conducted to date in  
107 livestock species to elucidate the genes which have been selected by humans to create the diverse  
108 spectrum of breeds and specialized biological types for draft, milk and meat production.

109         In this study, we sought to identify the signatures of selective sweeps in cattle as genomic  
110 regions in which contiguous SNPs from the BovineSNP50 assay were all individually fixed for a  
111 single allele within a sample of 6,373 genotyped individuals representing 14 breeds (Table 1).  
112 Our goals are ultimately to identify the selected mutations and phenotypes which were selected  
113 by our ancestral herdsman in the processes of domestication and formation of breeds and  
114 biological types.

## 115 **Results**

### 116 **Regions identified as harboring selective sweeps using BovineSNP50 data**

117 Twenty eight genomic regions on 15 chromosomes were identified as putatively harboring  
118 selective sweeps (Table 2). Selective sweeps were found in all 14 breeds; however, breed-  
119 specific selective sweeps were not identified in every breed. Twenty three predicted sweeps were

120 breed-specific and 5 were shared among two to seven breeds with 4 of those containing three or  
121 more (Figure 1). Breed-specific sweeps averaged 336,263 bp and ranged in size from 207,050 to  
122 702,424 bp whereas sweeps common to two or more breeds averaged 441,314 bp and ranged in  
123 size from 214,554 to 866,260 bp. The haplotypes found at the core loci involved in each of these  
124 putative selective sweeps were identical for each of the breeds in which the sweep was detected.

125         Three of the five selective sweep regions detected in two or more breeds involved both  
126 beef and dairy breeds, whereas the 358 kb region on BTA12 is common to only the Angus,  
127 Salers, Shorthorn and Simmental beef breeds. None of the five selective sweeps shared by two or  
128 more breeds are phylogenetically congruent in the sense that we might have expected the sweep  
129 to have arisen in a recent common ancestor [22]. While the large selective sweep region on  
130 chromosome 6 at ~75.9-76.7 Mb is shared by the closely related Salers and Brown Swiss breeds,  
131 Salers and Limousin are sister breeds [2, 3] and Limousin does not demonstrate evidence of this  
132 sweep. There were no putative sweeps shared in common between any of the taurine breeds with  
133 the indicine Brahman breed. The DAVID functional analysis did not yield any significant  
134 functional enrichment of gene ontology terms for these sweep regions.

### 135 **Validation of selective sweep regions using Affymetrix prescreening assay data**

136 To validate the selective sweep regions identified using the BovineSNP50 data, we examined  
137 ultra high-density SNP genotype data produced by the Affymetrix prescreening assay used for  
138 the design of the BOS 1 array (AFFXB1P) for small samples from five breeds, of which four  
139 were included in our BovineSNP50 data (Table 1). Since only a subset of the 14 breeds  
140 genotyped with the BovineSNP50 assay were also assayed with the AFFXB1P assay, we had the  
141 potential to validate only 11 of the putative selective sweep regions identified in Table 2 and five  
142 regions were confirmed (Table 3). Only two of the regions were confirmed in the same breeds

143 that led to their identification using the BovineSNP50 assay and for two of the remaining  
144 regions, discovery occurred in Hanwoo and confirmation occurred in the phylogenetically  
145 similar Wagyu breed [2, 3]. However, the region on BTA13 was identified in Holstein using the  
146 BovineSNP50 data but was independently validated in Wagyu by the AFFXB1P data.

#### 147 **Selective sweep regions independently identified using the AFFXB1P data**

148 A total of 86 putative selective sweep regions spanning from 200,088 to 846,420 bp and  
149 averaging 321,001 bp were identified on 28 of the 29 bovine autosomes in the genotyped 5  
150 breeds and, of these regions, 20 were shared in two or more breeds (Tables 4 and 5). These  
151 regions harbored from 20 to 477 contiguous SNPs with no more than 5% of the SNPs being  
152 variable. Among the selective sweeps identified in two or more breeds, the number of breeds  
153 included in this analysis was too small to make inferences about the phylogenetic congruence of  
154 shared sweep regions, however, three sweeps were found only in the closely related East Asian  
155 Wagyu and Hanwoo breeds. All of the breeds that shared a common selective sweep (Table 5)  
156 were fixed for the same core haplotype with two exceptions. The sweep on BTA16 at  
157 45,386,065-45,652,672 and on BTA21 at 1,727,412-2,142,823, both shared by the Angus and  
158 Simmental breeds, were fixed for haplotypes that differed at a single SNP. The allele that varied  
159 in the haplotypes that were swept to fixation on BTA16 was the 7th of 248 SNPs, whereas on  
160 BTA21 the variable allele was at the 22nd of 30 SNPs, indicating a conserved core at both loci.  
161 No sweeps were found in common between the four taurine breeds and the indicine Brahman.

#### 162 **Discussion**

163 The BovineSNP50 data identified a sweep region towards the centromere of BTA1 harboring 11  
164 contiguous monomorphic SNPs and spanning 301 kb in Angus (Figure 2). This region contains  
165 the *polled* locus [6] for which this breed has been strongly selected for homozygosity of the

166 *polled* allele [23]. Hereford cattle are also homozygous for the dominant *spotted* allele at the  
167 *spotted* locus which is a candidate for the 210 kb sweep region at 70.65-70.87 Mb on BTA6 [8].  
168 The *spotted* locus affects the white points on the face, underline, feet and tail which are a  
169 definitive characteristic of the Hereford breed. These breed-specific sweeps are clearly examples  
170 of strong selection on loci which underlie phenotypes that are hallmarks of certain breeds and  
171 where the underlying causal mutation is known or has been mapped to a chromosomal location.

172         Several regions in which we detected putative sweeps using the BovineSNP50 data  
173 contain no annotated genes and either this reflects the incomplete annotation of the bovine  
174 genome, or the fact that the selected functional mutation within each of these regions is not  
175 located within a protein coding gene. Examining each of these regions for the alignment of  
176 human, pig and sheep mRNA orthologs failed to identify any. Recent work has identified  
177 ncRNAs which regulate the expression of nearby genes [24] and may help identify candidates for  
178 the mutations in these regions that were subjected to selection. The putative sweep shared among  
179 six breeds on BTA24 contains four pseudogenes, of which three are olfactory receptor-like.  
180 Whether any of these pseudogenes are expressed is unclear; however, olfactory receptor loci  
181 were detected as being recently duplicated within the bovine genome [25] suggesting that they  
182 may also be under strong selection for newly evolving functions. The common sweep region on  
183 BTA12 contains neurobeachin (*NBEA*) and mab21-like 1 (*MAB21L1*) which have been  
184 implicated in human autism and psychiatric disorders, respectively [26-28]. Since these  
185 phenotypes represent extreme behaviors, it is intriguing to speculate that mutations in these  
186 genes may also predispose cattle to increased docility and more favorable temperaments when  
187 handled by man.



188 A selective sweep on BTA13 was detected in Holstein using the BovineSNP50 data and  
189 was also discovered in Wagyu using the AFFXB1P data. The region from 15.49-15.74 Mb  
190 contains diacylglycerol kinase zeta (*DGKZ*) and several bovine ESTs. *DGKZ* has been  
191 implicated as a member of the downstream leptin signaling pathway and reduced expression or  
192 activity within the hypothalamus has been associated with obesity [29]. The Holstein and Wagyu  
193 breeds are phylogenetically distant, however, Wagyu are believed to have been influenced by  
194 several European taurine breeds, including Holstein, during the late 1800s and both breeds are  
195 known for their ability to store intramuscular fat without accumulating excessive subcutaneous  
196 fat. The 351 kb selective sweep region from 1.67-2.02 Mb on BTA1 found in Angus using the  
197 BovineSNP50 and validated in Angus using the AFFXB1P data contains a fixed 321 marker  
198 haplotype which harbors the *polled* locus [6] a hallmark of the breed which contains only polled  
199 animals.

200 A region on BTA18 from 14.72-14.97 Mb was detected to harbor a selective sweep in  
201 Hanwoo cattle using the BovineSNP50 data and rediscovered in Angus and Simmental, using the  
202 AFFXB1P data. This 248 kb region contains several annotated genes (Table 3), but importantly  
203 harbors melanocortin 1 receptor (*MC1R*) in which mutations lead to the black coat color in cattle  
204 [7]. Angus have been strongly selected for black coat color and almost all registered animals are  
205 now homozygous black confirming that the basis for this selective sweep in Angus was for the  
206 black coat color allele. The American Simmental Association registers crossbred animals and  
207 many breeders have developed Simmental × Angus crossbreds to capitalize on the premium that  
208 carcasses from black coated cattle can achieve if they qualify for Angus branded products. While  
209 all of the Simmentals genotyped with the BovineSNP50 assay were purebred, of the 6 Simmental  
210 animals genotyped with the AFFXB1P assay, one was homozygous black, four were

211 heterozygous and one was homozygous red. Furthermore, the Hanwoo animals are all yellow  
212 coated. The fact that the sweep in Angus was not found using the BovineSNP50 data suggests a  
213 resolution issue with the requirement that at least 6 contiguous loci spanning at least 200 kb be  
214 fixed in order to declare a sweep. On the other hand, the fact that a sweep was detected in the  
215 AFFXB1P data for Simmental that was not detected using the BovineSNP50 data suggests a  
216 sampling issue since of the 12 chromosomes representing this breed, only 6 originated in  
217 Simmental for this region of the genome. The result for Hanwoo is more interesting since the  
218 sweep was declared in Hanwoo using the BovineSNP50 data for 48 individuals but was not  
219 confirmed in the 11 individuals genotyped with the AFFXB1P data. This suggests that either the  
220 region is not correctly assembled, or that an ancient breed foundation event may have occurred in  
221 which the yellow allele was fixed in this breed, but that sufficient mutation events have occurred  
222 on this *MC1R* haplotype to cause it to fail to be detected as a sweep using the high density data.  
223 Finally, of particular interest is the fact that no sweep was identified in this genomic region in  
224 Wagyu cattle suggesting that black coat color in Angus and Wagyu cattle may not be allelic.  
225 Recently, a mutation within  $\alpha$ -defensin 103 (*CBD103*) has been shown to cause black coat color  
226 in dogs [30]. The cattle ortholog of *CBD103* maps to 4.89 Mb on BTA27 centromeric of the  
227 sweep that was detected in Wagyu cattle (Table 4).

228         A region on BTA16 at 45.39-45.65 Mb was identified as containing a sweep in six breeds  
229 including Angus and Simmental in the BovineSNP50 data and was validated in Angus and  
230 Simmental using the AFFXB1P data. This region contains *RERE* a member of the atrophin  
231 family of arginine-glutamic acid dipeptide repeat-containing proteins that is implicated in  
232 embryogenesis and embryonic survival in drosophila, mice and zebrafish [31-33]. *RERE* encodes  
233 a tissue-specific transcriptional corepressor, which in association with histone deacetylase,

234 directs growth factor activity and early embryonic patterning [31, 33]. A second sweep was also  
235 predicted nearby on BTA16 in Hanwoo and identified at 52.63-52.86 Mb using the AFFXB1P  
236 data in Simmental and Wagyu, but not in Hanwoo. However, this 228 kb region includes only 30  
237 SNPs in the AFFXB1P assay, a relatively small number of SNPs compared to the 107-321 SNPs  
238 in the other four sweep regions validated using the AFFXB1P data. The region contains 11  
239 protein coding genes including agrin (*AGRN*), *ISG15* ubiquitin-like modifier, and hairy and  
240 enhancer of split 4 in *Drosophila* (*HES4*). Two of these genes are involved in embryonic  
241 development; *AGRN* functions in the process of cell adhesion between the embryo and maternal  
242 tissues [34] while *HES4* is a member of a family of genes known to be oscillators and repressors  
243 that affect embryonic developmental events [35]. The *ISG15* ubiquitin-like modifier encodes a  
244 protein that is present in the uterus of many species, including ruminants, during early pregnancy  
245 and is one of the many proteins responsible for acknowledging and maintaining pregnancy [36,  
246 37]. The proximity of the two BTA16 sweep regions and involvement of their constituent genes  
247 in embryonic development may not be coincidental.

248 For the 66 breed-specific regions identified within the AFFXB1P data (Table 5), many  
249 regions contained several genes while a few contained no annotated genes. Five regions  
250 contained only one annotated gene or pseudogene as well as some miscellaneous RNAs and  
251 uncharacterized protein coding regions. Of these, three sweep regions predicted in Wagyu on  
252 BTA1 and BTA27 contained pseudogenes. The sweep region predicted in Wagyu on BTA27  
253 contains a pseudogene of chromobox homolog (*CBX1*) which is a gene involved in the  
254 epigenetic reprogramming of the genome during embryo development and later regulates gene  
255 expression [38-40]. One sweep region on BTA3 predicted in Angus contains *TSPAN2*.  
256 Tetraspanin-2 is involved in oligodendrocyte signaling and maturation [41, 42]. The fifth

257 predicted sweep region harboring only a single gene was found on BTA28 in Simmental. This  
258 region contains adenosine kinase (*ADK*) which catalyzes phosphate transfer from ATP to  
259 adenosine and controls the concentrations of adenine nucleotides both intra- and extra-cellularly.  
260 Variation in adenosine abundance affects many physiological processes such as rate of blood  
261 flow throughout the body and neurotransmission within the brain [43]. Probably the most  
262 interesting gene within this group is found on BTA11 in Wagyu. Neurexin 1 (*NRXN1*) is located  
263 in the sweep; mutations in neurexin genes have been theoretically linked to cognitive diseases  
264 since they are important in synaptic function [44]. Mutations in human *NRXN1* have been  
265 confirmed to cause autism spectrum disorders via neurotransmission activity [45]. The link  
266 between genes involved in psychiatric disorders poses a potential link to selection based on cattle  
267 temperament. Mutations in these genes may confer behavioral changes that are conducive to  
268 improved temperament when handled by humans and could have been selected during  
269 domestication or more recently following breed formation to develop more manageable animals.

270         When human and porcine orthologs were sought in regions found to contain a single  
271 annotated bovine gene, only one region was found to harbor additional loci in human. The sweep  
272 region on BTA1 is orthologous to a region on the long arm of HSA9 which contains the iron-  
273 sulfur cluster assembly 1 homolog (*ISCA1*). This gene is important for functionality of a  
274 mitochondrial protein in the Fe-S pathway and mutations within *ISCA1* cause many conditions  
275 and diseases in humans [46]. All of the remaining regions appear to contain only a single gene  
276 and these genes are strong candidates for harboring mutations which cause large phenotypic  
277 effects that were subjected to strong selective sweeps in these breeds. However, it is also  
278 possible that the selected variants are not actually located within coding regions of the genome  
279 and are, for example, within non-coding RNAs associated with regulatory genes [24].

280           Among the other detected breed-specific sweep regions, a region on BTA14 specific to  
281 Angus cattle harbors several genes including *PLAG1* which has been associated with variation in  
282 human height [47] and the stature of cattle [48]. Other positional candidates for the stature QTL  
283 in this region include *RSP20* and *SDR16C5* [48]. Of all of the US cattle breeds, Angus has been  
284 the most strongly selected for growth and frame size during the last 30 years [49] perhaps  
285 creating this selective sweep. The telomeric sweep region on BTA1 found in Angus contains  
286 several genes, including *LEKRI* and *CCNLI* in which mutations have been associated with  
287 reduced birth weight in humans [50]. Angus cattle have recently been selected to reduce birth  
288 weights to ease dystocia and difficulties in birthing and raising calves which are heavier at birth  
289 [22]. In Simmental cattle, we found a sweep region on BTA25 that is orthologous to a region on  
290 HSA16 which contains genes involved in brain function and neurodevelopment [51-53] that have  
291 been associated with autism spectrum disorders. This provides further support for the speculation  
292 that cattle have been selected to improve their temperament and docility if we are prepared to  
293 accept that mutations in these genes may have less severe behavioral consequences than those  
294 associated with autism disorders. Finally, we found three regions harboring selective sweeps in  
295 Brahman. A region on BTA10 contains four predicted protein coding domains, none of which  
296 are annotated. A second region on BTA22 at 10.70-10.96 Mb contains four genes: *TRANK1*,  
297 *DCLK3*, *GOLGA4*, and *ITGA9*. *TRANK1* lies within a region in humans which contains a SNP  
298 that is significantly associated with bipolar disorder [54] while doublecortin-like kinase 3 is  
299 expressed throughout brain development and in the adult brain [55]. Golgin-4 is the golgin  
300 family member that is implicated in providing structure via tethering within the Golgi [56] and  
301 integrin alpha 9 is implicated in the reproductive process of gamete interaction. *ITGA9* is  
302 expressed on the surface of mouse and human eggs and reduced expression decreases the binding

303 ability of the sperm which reduces fertilization success [57]. Once again, the genes located in  
304 this region appear to be potentially associated with behavior or reproductive success, a key  
305 fitness trait. Perhaps the most interesting of the three selective sweep regions detected in  
306 Brahman is located at 48.68-48.90 Mb on BTA5 and contains the methionine sulfoxide reductase  
307 B3 (*MSRB3*) gene which has previously been identified as a candidate for a QTL affecting ear  
308 floppiness and morphology in dogs [58, 59]. Brahman cattle were developed in the US as a cross  
309 between the *Bos taurus indicus* breeds Guzerat, Nellore, Gir and Indu Brazil imported primarily  
310 from Brazil but all originating in India and the *Bos taurus taurus* Shorthorn and Hereford breeds  
311 [60]. There is considerable variation among these breeds for ear length and morphology with  
312 Indu Brazil animals having particularly large, pendulous ears. Thus, the sweep in this region may  
313 reflect strong recent selection by breeders to establish a specific Brahman ear morphology type.

314         Of the four regions detected in three or more breeds in the AFFXB1P data, the first on  
315 BTA6 was located at 5.64-5.99 Mb which was shared by all four genotyped taurine breeds. It  
316 harbors what appears to be a large intron belonging to *MAD2L1* which is required for the proper  
317 onset of anaphase during cell division. Within the second region on BTA6 at 106.84-107.31 Mb  
318 *RGS12*, a member of the regulator of G protein signaling gene family that directs many cellular  
319 activities including hormone signaling, is a positional candidate for an ovulation rate QTL in  
320 swine [61, 62]. The detected sweep with a common core at 0.21-0.45 Mb on BTA26 shared by  
321 all four taurine breeds contains a series of olfactory receptor loci. The sweep region with a  
322 common core at 0.32-0.56 Mb on BTA29 detected in Simmental, Wagyu, and Hanwoo and  
323 contains only ncRNA genes ankyrin repeat domain 26 pseudogene 3 (*ANKRD26P3*) and coiled-  
324 coil domain containing 144C (*CCDC144C*) towards the centromeric end of the region. However  
325 towards the center of the predicted sweep region is a region that is highly conserved between

326 human and cow and may represent a long ncRNA. The DAVID functional analysis found no  
327 enrichment of ontological terms for the genes located within these selective sweep regions that  
328 are shared among multiple breeds and this was expected assuming that each selective sweep was  
329 based upon different phenotypes.

330         The presence of olfactory pseudogenes within sweep regions is intriguing and suggests  
331 that olfactory loci play a major role in the domesticability of species. Olfactory receptor (OR)  
332 genes have previously been found to have been under selection in cattle [12, 25] and more  
333 recently in swine [63] and it has been hypothesized that pigs rely intensely on their sense of  
334 smell for scavenging. Alterations in the need for wild animals to search for food after their  
335 domestication may result in a relaxation of the need for purifying selection acting on these genes  
336 allowing them to freely evolve in odorant and tastant detection or lack thereof. In tetrapods,  
337 anywhere from 20 to 50% of OR loci exist as pseudogenes [64] and while it is not clear if these  
338 genes were ever functional, the acquisition of trichromatic vision has been postulated as  
339 facilitating the loss of OR genes [65]. On the other hand, cattle are dichromatic and yet still have  
340 a high proportion of OR pseudogenes [64] some of which were found to have been under  
341 selection in this study. If these pseudogenes lack functionality, we might have expected them to  
342 have been deleted from the genome or to have been significantly disrupted by mutation.  
343 However, as many as 67% of OR pseudogenes are expressed in human olfactory epithelium [66]  
344 suggesting that similar percentages of bovine OR pseudogenes are also expressed and that many  
345 of these loci are functional and rapidly evolving in copy number [25].

346         We utilized two genotyping assays to identify putative selective sweep regions within the  
347 bovine genome. The BovineSNP50 assay was employed because we have genotyped a large  
348 number of registered animals from several breeds with this assay; however, we recognize that the

349 assay is not ideal for this purpose due to the ascertainment of common SNPs in its design. Since  
350 the *Bos taurus taurus* breeds in Table 1, and Angus and Holstein in particular, were used for  
351 SNP discovery and SNPs with high minor allele frequencies in these breeds were preferentially  
352 included during the design of the assay [14, 15] it is clearly unsuited to the identification of  
353 selective sweep regions that might be common among breeds. However, SNPs were included in  
354 the design of the BovineSNP50 assay if they were found to be variable in several, but not  
355 necessarily all of these breeds. Therefore, the assay theoretically possesses the ability to identify  
356 selective sweeps that are specific to individual breeds or to a small number of breeds. However,  
357 rather than characterizing sweeps that occurred during the domestication of cattle and that should  
358 therefore be common, e.g., among European taurine breeds that descended from cattle that were  
359 domesticated in the Fertile Crescent, these sweeps are much more likely to have occurred during  
360 the formation of breeds and will reflect selection to fix phenotypes such as coat color or the  
361 absence of horns within specific breeds. A second limitation of this assay is that of calibration  
362 relative to the size of the sweep regions. While strong sweeps in numerically small populations  
363 are expected to result in the fixation of large haplotypes, weak selection in numerically large  
364 populations will result in the fixation of only a small core haplotype which may not be detected  
365 using the assay. Thus historic variation in the census population size among breeds may have  
366 resulted in variation in the size of the fixed haplotype and the inability to detect small  
367 haplotypes. By requiring  $N$  contiguous loci to each have a minor allele frequency (MAF)  $< \alpha$ ,  
368 for small  $\alpha$ , we must choose  $N$  to be sufficiently large that it would be highly unlikely to observe  
369  $N$  contiguous loci all with a MAF  $< \alpha$  due to chance alone and yet sufficiently small that the  
370 targeted sweeps are not smaller than  $37 \times (N-1)$  kb, where 37 kb represents the median  
371 intermarker interval on the BovineSNP50 assay. The design of the BovineSNP50 assay also led



372 to lower average MAF and larger numbers of monomorphic loci in breeds such as Brahman, that  
373 are phylogenetically distant from the SNP discovery breeds [14]. To adjust for this bias, we  
374 defined N separately for each breed (Table 1) requiring larger N for breeds with larger numbers  
375 of monomorphic and low MAF loci. The definition of  $\alpha > 0$  is also important to this discussion  
376 since in the detection of sweeps we must allow for old sweeps in which *de novo* mutations may  
377 have begun to accumulate on the fixed haplotype, genotyping errors which are locus specific but  
378 average about 0.5% for this assay, and the incorrect ordering of loci by the UMD3.1 sequence  
379 assembly. Errors in the assembly are vastly more likely to cause false negative than false positive  
380 sweeps by incorrectly introducing a variable locus into a region of dramatically reduced  
381 variability within the genome.

382 We also employed the Affymetrix BOS 1 prescreening assay which contained almost 2.8  
383 million putative SNPs that were screened for variability in a small number of animals from  
384 several breeds prior to the design of the commercial BOS 1 Axiom assay. While we had many  
385 fewer animals genotyped with this assay which influenced the estimation of MAF, the AFFXB1P  
386 assay had over 50× the number of SNPs present on the BovineSNP50 assay which offered  
387 considerably greater power for identifying small sweeps and the application of this assay also  
388 suffers less from ascertainment bias. While loci that have been fixed in all domesticated cattle  
389 relative to their auroch forbears will still not appear on this assay due to the requirement that the  
390 putative SNP must have been predicted to have been variable in the sequence data for at least  
391 one breed, there was much less selection for SNPs with high MAF in numerous breeds in the  
392 design of this assay relative to the BovineSNP50. Consequently, we expected this assay to  
393 identify putative sweep regions that could not be identified by the application of the

394 BovineSNP50 assay and to more precisely define the boundaries of sweeps that were detected by  
395 the BovineSNP50 assay and validated by the AFFXB1P assay.

396 We found evidence for selective sweeps in genomic regions that were detected to have  
397 diverged between breeds using integrated haplotype scores (iHS) and  $F_{ST}$  statistics in the Bovine  
398 HapMap project [12]. Putative sweep regions overlapped on chromosomes 2, 11, 12, and 14  
399 detected either by an extreme  $F_{ST}$  or iHS value. We found evidence for only one selective sweep  
400 region for which the Bovine HapMap project [11] identified divergence between breeds using  
401  $F_{ST}$  statistics. Using the BovineSNP50 data, we found a putative sweep in Angus, Salers,  
402 Shorthorn and Simmental cattle on BTA12 in a region harboring *NBEA* that was found to have  
403 differentiated among similar taurine breeds. The fact that only one such region was detected  
404 probably reflects the fact that our approach requires a completed sweep to have occurred whereas  
405 use of the  $F_{ST}$  statistic can detect an ongoing sweep for which breeds have diverged.

406 No putative selective sweep regions were found in common between Brahman and any of  
407 the *B. t. taurus* breeds which likely reflects the recent admixture that occurred in the formation of  
408 the Brahman and the fact that the breed does not share a common phenotype such as coat color  
409 with any of the taurine breeds. Furthermore, indicine cattle are more commonly found in the  
410 southern tier of the US where they are exposed to higher temperatures and humidities and lower  
411 pasture qualities and availability than are taurine cattle which are more frequently found in the  
412 northern US. Consequently, we would not expect these breeds to have been subjected to  
413 selection for common morphological or adaptive phenotypes. Additionally, no common sweeps  
414 were detected between the cattle sub-species possibly due to the more severe ascertainment bias  
415 on MAF for BovineSNP50 loci in Brahman cattle. While SNP discovery was performed in  
416 Brahman for the development of the AFFXB1P assay, the number of indicine breeds sequenced

417 for SNP discovery was small relative to the number of sequenced taurine breeds leading to a bias  
418 towards SNPs common in taurine cattle being included on the assay. However, the density of  
419 SNPs on this assay is so great (~1 SNP / kb) that we did not expect the reliability of sweep  
420 regions identified in Brahman to be significantly less than those identified in taurine breeds. Our  
421 identification of a putative sweep region harboring a previously identified QTL for ear length  
422 and floppiness in Brahman is consistent with the introduction of the undesirable allele from Indu  
423 Brazil cattle during breed formation and subsequent strong selection by breeders to remove the  
424 allele and fix a shorter ear type within the breed.

425         Low density assays, such as the BovineSNP50 assay, have previously been utilized to  
426 identify runs of homozygosity (ROH) within cattle breeds. The BovineSNP50 assay was found  
427 to be adequate for finding ROH and estimating inbreeding coefficients in cattle [67]. However,  
428 this is not the case for the detection of selective sweeps which typically span smaller regions of  
429 the genome than ROH which are frequently due to consanguinity and which may represent as  
430 much as 12.39% of the genome [67]. The use of low density SNP data for the detection of  
431 selective sweeps appears to be inadequate primarily due to the poor calibration of SNP density  
432 relative to the size of the targeted sweep regions such that any relaxation of the number of  
433 contiguous SNPs with fixed alleles required to detect smaller sweep regions leads to an elevated  
434 type I error rate. Strong, recent selective sweeps causing the fixation of large haplotypes may be  
435 identified using low-density SNP panels (~50,000 SNPs), however, older sweeps which have  
436 accumulated new mutations and weak sweeps which have resulted in the fixation of relatively  
437 small haplotypes will be missed.

438         Several putative selective sweeps identified using the BovineSNP50 assay failed to be  
439 validated using the AFFXB1P assay. While many fewer animals representing each breed were

440 genotyped using the AFFXB1P assay, among the 50× additional SNPs within each such region,  
441 we found that at least 5% of the SNP had a  $MAF > (2M)^{-1}$  where M is the number of genotyped  
442 animals. We have previously found that the genotyping error rate of loci on the Affymetrix  
443 Axiom BOS 1 assay is very similar to that of the Illumina BovineSNP50 and HD assays (~0.5%,  
444 data not shown) and thus, we do not expect genotyping errors to explain this result, although it is  
445 certainly a possibility. It appears that the phenomenon is random and associated with the number  
446 of regions expected to meet the criteria for declaring a sweep when ~8,000 of the 54,001  
447 BovineSNP50 loci are monomorphic within a breed (Table 1) [68, 69]. For example, if 15% of  
448 SNPs are monomorphic within a breed, the probability that N contiguous SNPs are  
449 monomorphic is  $0.15^N$ , assuming independence, and in testing 50,000 SNP on 29 autosomes we  
450 would expect to find  $0.15^N \times (50,000 - 29 \times (N - 1))$  regions in which N contiguous SNPs had  
451 fixed alleles. For N = 5 this corresponds to 4 false positives per breed and 0.6 false positives per  
452 breed when N = 6 (Table 1). As a consequence, the reliability of declaration of a selective sweep  
453 is dramatically improved when sweeps are found to be common between breeds, particularly  
454 when the breeds are phylogenetically distant. We found several sweep regions that were common  
455 to two or more breeds and five predicted sweeps detected by the BovineSNP50 assay were  
456 validated by the AFFXB1P data.

457         Identifying the mutations that underlie these sweep regions will be paramount to more  
458 fully understanding the effects of human interaction on the genomes of domesticated cattle.  
459 Candidates will soon become available by sequencing the genomes of individuals that are  
460 homozygous for identical SNP haplotypes within a sweep region but where some originate from  
461 the breeds predicted to have undergone a selective sweep and the others from breeds in which no  
462 sweep was detected. We found many regions to contain pseudogenes and protein coding regions

463 but others that contained no annotated genes. While this reflects the nascent state of annotation  
464 of the bovine genome, it also reflects the fact that the mutations we seek may not be protein  
465 coding. For example, the mutation within the sweep region on BTA1 in Angus cattle that  
466 removes horns from these animals appears to be a ncRNA [6]. However, even after these  
467 mutations have been identified, our understanding of the phenotype that was created and selected  
468 to complete fixation may still be limited. The functional analyses of the genes within the selected  
469 regions sheds little light on this since each region likely harbored variants which influence  
470 different phenotypes. Finally, while our sampling of breeds was small, we found little evidence  
471 for the sharing of sweeps among phylogenetically closely related breeds. This suggests that the  
472 sweeps are mostly recent, post-dating breed formation and occurred for a variety of phenotypes  
473 for which selective value differed among the humans who developed these breeds.

## 474 **Conclusions**

475  
476 We identified selective sweeps that appear to primarily have been due to breed formation events.  
477 Due to the constraint that SNPs be variable in multiple breeds imposed during the design of the  
478 utilized assays, we did not identify any sweeps that were common to all breeds within the study.  
479 There were also no sweep regions predicted to be in common between breeds of taurine and  
480 indicine descent probably reflecting the different environmental and demographic forces to  
481 which these sub-species have been exposed during breed formation. For several of the detected  
482 regions we are able to identify the phenotypes and genes that were subjected to selection or to  
483 propose these based upon the results of previous mapping studies. However, for many of these  
484 regions the selected gene and phenotype are unclear. The fact that so many of the detected sweep  
485 regions harbor genes associated with reproductive processes or embryonic development is  
486 probably not remarkable considering the fact that strong selection acts on these fitness traits and

487 that the time required to achieve fixation of variants of modest effect may be considerably longer  
488 than the 200 years since breed formation during which strong human selection has acted.

489 We demonstrate that the resolution and SNP ascertainment bias inherent in the design of  
490 the assay used to detect selective sweeps is of paramount importance and that the BovineSNP50  
491 assay is not generally suitable for this purpose due to the high type I error rates that are likely to  
492 be encountered. SNP ascertainment bias leads to lower MAF in breeds that are phylogenetically  
493 distant from the SNP discovery breeds and an increased rate of monomorphic SNPs with these  
494 breeds. As whole genome sequencing becomes less expensive these problems will likely be  
495 ameliorated by sequencing a few distantly related individuals from each breed and this approach  
496 may also be used to identify candidate mutations underlying each sweep. However, the approach  
497 is reliant on the alignment of sequences to a Hereford reference assembly [25] which introduces  
498 a new set of biases unless *de novo* sequence assemblies can accurately be created for each breed.

499 The identification of genes and variants underlying historical selective sweeps is of  
500 interest from the perspective of understanding how human interaction with cattle has influenced  
501 the patterning of variation within the bovine genome. Perhaps of more importance, the discovery  
502 of the selected variants will lead to the identification of large effect QTLs and ultimately a better  
503 understanding as to the phenotypes which are affected by variation within genes and regulatory  
504 elements.

505

## 506 **Materials and Methods**

### 507 **Samples, design, and genotyping**

508 We utilized two data sets comprising high-density SNPs scored in animals that were registered  
509 by their respective breed societies. The first data set comprised 6,373 animals from 13 taurine

510 breeds including Angus, Braunvieh, Charolais, Hanwoo, Hereford, Limousin, Salers, Shorthorn,  
511 Simmental, Brown Swiss, Finnish Ayrshire, Holstein, and Jersey, and the indicine Brahman  
512 breed (Table 1). Genotypes scored in these animals were generated using the Illumina  
513 BovineSNP50 BeadChip which assayed 54,001 loci with a median intermarker interval of 37 kb  
514 [68, 69]. The second data set which was used both for the discovery of putative selective sweep  
515 regions and for validation of results obtained from the analysis of the BovineSNP50 data set  
516 comprised 58 animals from the Angus, Hanwoo, Simmental, Wagyu, and Brahman breeds (Table  
517 2) which were genotyped with the Affymetrix prescreening assay comprising 2,787,037 SNPs  
518 with a median intermarker interval of 975 bp that was used to generate allele frequency estimates  
519 for the design of the Axiom Genome-Wide BOS 1 assay [70].

520         The sampled breeds were chosen based on their geographical origins, historical uses by  
521 human, diverse phylogenetic relationships and because of the availability of at least 40  
522 BovineSNP50 genotyped individuals. Each BovineSNP50 genotyped individual was registered  
523 with its respective breed association and was proven by pedigree-analysis to be purebred, since  
524 some associations (e.g., Simmental, Limousin) allow the registration of crossbred cattle. This  
525 sampling strategy was employed to ensure that there would be minimal effects of recent  
526 introgression between the breeds following breed formation.

## 527 **SNP filtering**

528 All X-linked loci were removed from the analysis due to the greater number of assembly issues  
529 that are associated with this chromosome and also because the studied animals were male  
530 resulting in a halving of the number of chromosomes sampled for each breed which leads to a  
531 reduction in the precision of allele frequency estimation. The remaining BovineSNP50 genotypes  
532 were filtered on call rate < 85% which left a total of 52,942 SNPs. Within the higher density

533 AFXB1P data, we required SNPs to have a call rate of  $\geq 85\%$  across all 5 breeds and a  
534 minimum call rate of 50% within each of the individual breeds. Following filtering 2,575,339  
535 SNPs remained.

### 536 **Identification of putative selective sweep regions**

537 The BovineSNP50 data were analyzed by breed to identify putative selective sweeps. Because  
538 the number of variable loci differed within each breed primarily due to the breed of origin of  
539 SNP discovery in the design of the assay [14, 15], we required a breed-specific number (Table  
540 1), and at a minimum 5, contiguous SNPs spanning at least 200 kb based upon UMD3.1  
541 coordinates for which no SNP had a minor allele frequency  $MAF > 0.01$  to declare a selective  
542 sweep. Following the breed-specific analysis, an across-breed comparison was performed in  
543 which any putative selective sweep which overlapped in two or more of the breeds was  
544 considered a common sweep and the selected haplotypes present within each breed were  
545 identified.

### 546 **Validation of selective sweeps**

547 To validate the putative sweep regions detected using the BovineSNP50 data, we used a high-  
548 density data set representing SNPs genotyped at approximately a 50-fold increase in resolution,  
549 but scored in only a small subsample from 5 breeds. However, the analysis performed with these  
550 data was not guided by the results of the analysis of the BovineSNP50 data. We independently  
551 analyzed the SNPs as conducted for the BovineSNP50 data to identify putative selective sweeps  
552 and then compared the results of the analysis of the AFXB1P data to those from the analyses of  
553 the BovineSNP50 data to identify putative selective sweeps identified within each breed by both  
554 analyses. To declare a putative selective sweep region we required the region to harbor at least  
555 20 contiguous SNPs spanning at least 100 kb, with no more than 5% of the SNP having a  $MAF >$



556  $(2N)^{-1}$  where N was the number of individuals with genotypes for the SNP within the analyzed  
557 breed. Among the variable SNPs, we further required that no more than 3 be contiguous. These  
558 thresholds were set to allow the detection of no more than one heterozygous individual for each  
559 variable SNP within a selective sweep region to allow for genotyping errors and for new  
560 variation to have been created within each region by mutation. These conditions also allow for  
561 the possibility that the SNPs may not have been correctly ordered by the UMD3.1 assembly and  
562 variable contigs may have been erroneously included within scaffolds containing a selective  
563 sweep.

#### 564 **Annotation and functional analysis**

565 Annotation of the genes present within all putative selective sweep regions was performed using  
566 the UCSC Genome Browser [71] and NCBI Gene database. Genes for which annotations were  
567 retrieved included any genes that were fully or partially contained within each region.  
568 Phenotypes known to be affected by variation in these genes were determined from a search of  
569 the literature and were assessed for their likely causality for each sweep. Functional analyses  
570 were performed for the sweeps detected within each breed using the functional annotation and  
571 clustering tools in the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery  
572 (DAVID) [72].

#### 573 **List of Abbreviations**

574 Abbreviations are included in the Supplementary Information or are defined in text.

#### 575 **Competing interests**

576 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

577 **Authors' contributions**

578 JFT, JED and HRR designed the experiment. HRR, RDS and JFT analyzed data. SDM, MMR  
579 and RDS extracted DNA. MMR and SDM prepared samples for genotyping, SDM ran the  
580 Illumina assay, and RDS genotyped samples and managed the genotype database. HRR and JFT  
581 wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

582 **Acknowledgements**

583 We gratefully acknowledge the provision of semen samples from breeders and semen  
584 distributors. This project was supported by the University of Missouri, National Research  
585 Initiative grants number 2008-35205-04687 and 2008-35205-18864 from the USDA Cooperative  
586 State Research, Education and Extension Service and National Research Initiative grant number  
587 2009-65205-05635 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture.

588

589 **References**

- 590
- 591 1. Ross-Ibarra J, Morrell PL, Gaut BS: **Plant domestication, a unique opportunity to**  
592 **identify the genetic basis of adaptation.** *PNAS* 2007, **104**:8641-8648.
  - 593 2. Loftus RT, MacHugh DE, Bradley DG, Sharp PM, Cunningham P: **Evidence for two**  
594 **independent domestications of cattle.** *PNAS* 1994, **91**:2757-2761.
  - 595 3. Decker JE, Pires JC, Conant GC, McKay SD, Heaton MP, Chen K, Cooper A, Vilkki J,  
596 Seabury CM, Caetano AR, et al: **Resolving the evolution of extant and extinct**  
597 **ruminants with high-throughput phylogenomics.** *PNAS* 2009, **106**:18644-18649.
  - 598 4. Brenneman RA, Davis SK, Sanders JO, Burns BM, Wheeler TC, Turner JW, Taylor JF:  
599 **The Polled locus maps to BTA1 in a *Bos indicus* x *Bos taurus* cross.** *J Hered* 1996,  
600 **87**:156-161.
  - 601 5. Drögemüller C, Wöhlke A, Mömke S, Distl O: **Fine mapping of the polled locus to a 1-**  
602 **Mb region on bovine chromosome 1q12.** *Mamm Genome* 2005, **16**:613-620.
  - 603 6. Medugorac I, Seichter D, Graf A, Russ I, Blum H, Göpel KH, Rothhammer S, Förster M,  
604 Krebs S: **Bovine polledness – an autosomal dominant trait with allelic heterogeneity.**  
605 *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e39477.
  - 606 7. Klungland H, D I Vage LG-R, S Adalsteinsson and S Lien: **The role of melanocyte-**  
607 **stimulating hormone (MSH) receptor in bovine coat color determination.** *Mamm*  
608 *Genome* 1995, **6**:636-639.
  - 609 8. Grosz MD, MacNeil MD: **The "spotted" locus maps to bovine chromosome 6 in a**  
610 **Hereford-cross population.** *J Hered* 1999, **90**:233-236.
  - 611 9. Smith JM, Haigh J: **The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene.** *Genet Res* 1974,  
612 **23**:23-25.
  - 613 10. Nielsen R, Hellmann I, Hubisz M, Bustamante C, Clark AG: **Recent and ongoing**  
614 **selection in the human genome.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2007, **8**:857-868.
  - 615 11. Nielsen R: **Molecular signatures of natural selection.** *Annu Rev Genet* 2005, **39**:197-  
616 218.
  - 617 12. The Bovine HapMap Consortium: **Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the**  
618 **genetic structure of cattle breeds.** *Science* 2009, **324**:528-532.
  - 619 13. Nielsen R, Williamson S, Kim Y, Hubisz MJ, Clark AG, Bustamante C: **Genomic scans**  
620 **for selective sweeps using SNP data.** *Genome Res* 2005, **15**:1566-1575.
  - 621 14. Sabeti PC, Schaffner SF, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Varilly P, Shamovsky O, Palma A,  
622 Mikkelsen TS, Altshuler D, Lander ES: **Positive natural selection in the human**  
623 **lineage.** *Science* 2006, **312**:1614-1620.
  - 624 15. Rubin C-J, Zody MC, Eriksson J, Meadows JRS, Sherwood E, Webster MT, Jiang L,  
625 Ingman M, Sharpe T, Ka S, et al: **Whole-genome resequencing reveals loci under**  
626 **selection during chicken domestication.** *Nature* 2010, **464**:587-591.
  - 627 16. Pollinger JP, Bustamante CD, Fedel-Alon A, Schmutz S, Gray MM, Wayne RK:  
628 **Selective sweep mapping of genes with large phenotypic effects.** *Genome Res* 2005,  
629 **15**:1809-1819.
  - 630 17. Sutter NB, Bustamante CD, Chase K, Gray MM, Zhao K, Zhu L, Padhukasahasram B,  
631 Karlins E, Davis S, Jones PG, et al: **A single IGF1 allele is a major determinant of**  
632 **small size in dogs.** *Science* 2007, **316**:112-115.

- 633 18. Quilez J, Short AD, Martinez V, Kennedy LJ, Ollier W, Sanchez A, Altet L, Francino O:  
634 **A selective sweep of >8 Mb on chromosome 26 in the Boxer genome.** *BMC Genomics*  
635 2011, **12**:12.
- 636 19. Makvandi-Nejad S, Hoffman GE, Allen JJ, Chu E, Gu E, Chandler AM, Loredó AI,  
637 Bellone RR, Mezey JG, Brooks SA, Sutter NB: **Four loci explain 83% of size variation**  
638 **in the horse.** *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e39929.
- 639 20. Van Laere A-S, Nguyen M, Braunschweig M, Nezer C, Collette C, Moreau L, Archibald  
640 AL, Haley CS, Buys N, Tally M, et al: **A regulatory mutation in IGF2 causes a major**  
641 **QTL effect on muscle growth in the pig.** *Nature* 2003, **425**:832-836.
- 642 21. Andersson L: **How selective sweeps in domestic animals provide new insight into**  
643 **biological mechanisms.** *J Intern Med* 2012, **271**:1-14.
- 644 22. Decker JE, Vasco DA, McKay SD, McClure MC, Rolf MM, Kim JW, Northcutt SL,  
645 Bauck S, Woodward BW, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF: **A novel analytical method, birth**  
646 **date selection mapping, detects response of the Angus (*Bos taurus*) genome to**  
647 **artificial selection on complex traits.** *BMC Genomics*, under review.
- 648 23. Georges M, Drinkwater R, King T, Mishra A, Moore SS, Nielsen D, Sargeant LS,  
649 Sorensen A, Steele MR, Zhao X, et al: **Microsatellite mapping of a gene affecting horn**  
650 **development in *Bos taurus*.** *Nat Genet* 1993, **4**:206-210.
- 651 24. Qu Z, Adelson DL: **Bovine ncRNAs are abundant, primarily intergenic, conserved**  
652 **and associated with regulatory genes.** *PLoS One* 2012, **7**:e42638.
- 653 25. The Bovine Genome Sequencing and Analysis Consortium, Elsik CG, Tellam RL,  
654 Worley KC: **The genome sequence of taurine cattle: a window to ruminant biology**  
655 **and evolution.** *Science* 2009, **324**:522-528.
- 656 26. Margolis RL, McInnis MG, Rosenblatt A, Ross CA: **Trinucleotide repeat expansion**  
657 **and neuropsychiatric disease.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999, **56**:1019-1031.
- 658 27. Castermans D, Wilquet V, Parthoens E, Huysmans C, Steyaert J, Swinnen L, Fryns JP,  
659 Van de Ven W, Devriendt K: **The neurobeachin gene is disrupted by a translocation**  
660 **in a patient with idiopathic autism.** *J Med Genet* 2003, **40**:352-356.
- 661 28. Castermans D, Volders K, Crepel A, Backx L, De Vos R, Freson K, Meulemans S,  
662 Vermeesch JR, Schrandt-Stumpel CT, De Rijk P, Del-Favero J, Van Geet C, Van De  
663 Ven WJ, Steyaert JG, Devriendt K, Creemers JW: **SCAMP5, NBEA and AMISYN:**  
664 **three candidate genes for autism involved in secretion of large dense-core vesicles.**  
665 *Hum Mol Gen* 2010, **19**:1368-1378.
- 666 29. Liu Z, Chang GQ, Leibowitz SF: **Diacylglycerol kinase Z in hypothalamus interacts**  
667 **with long form leptin receptor. Relation to dietary fat and body weight regulation.** *J*  
668 *Biol Chem* 2001, **276**:5900-5907.
- 669 30. Candille SI, Kaelin CB, Cattanaach BM, Yu B, Thompson DA, Nix MA, Kerns JA,  
670 Schmutz SM, Millhauser GL, Barsh GS: **A  $\beta$ -defensin mutation causes black coat**  
671 **color in domestic dogs.** *Science* 2007, **318**:1418-1423.
- 672 31. Zhang S, Xu L, Lee J, Xu T: **Drosophila atrophin homolog functions as a**  
673 **transcriptional corepressor in multiple developmental processes.** *Cell* 2002, **108**:45-  
674 56.
- 675 32. Zoltewicz JS, Stewart NJ, Leung R, Peterson AS: **Atrophin 2 recruits histone**  
676 **deacetylase and is required for the function of multiple signaling centers during**  
677 **mouse embryogenesis.** *Development* 2004, **131**:3-14.

- 678 33. Plaster N, Sonntag C, Schilling TF, Hammerschmidt M: **REREa/Atrophin-2 interacts**  
679 **with histone deacetylase and Fgf8 signaling to regulate multiple processes of**  
680 **zebrafish development.** *Dev Dynam* 2007, **236**:1891-1904.
- 681 34. Bauersachs S, Mitko K, Ulbrich SE, Blum H, Wolf E: **Transcriptome studies of bovine**  
682 **endometrium reveal molecular profiles characteristic for specific stages of estrous**  
683 **cycle and early pregnancy.** *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2008, **116**:371-384.
- 684 35. Kageyama R, Ohtsuka T, Kobayashi T: **The Hes gene family: repressors and**  
685 **oscillators that orchestrate embryogenesis.** *Development* 2007, **134**:1243-1251.
- 686 36. Hansen TR, Austin KJ, Johnson GA: **Transient ubiquitin cross-reactive protein gene**  
687 **expression in the bovine endometrium.** *Endocrinology* 1997, **138**:5079-5082.
- 688 37. Bebington C, Bell SC, Doherty FJ, Fazleabas AT, Fleming SD: **Localization of**  
689 **ubiquitin and ubiquitin cross-reactive protein in human and baboon endometrium**  
690 **and decidua during the menstrual cycle and early pregnancy.** *Biol Reprod* 1999,  
691 **60**:920-928.
- 692 38. Cheutin T, McNairn AJ, Jenuwein T, Gilbert DM, Singh PB, Misteli T: **Maintenance of**  
693 **stable heterochromatin domains by dynamic HP1 binding.** *Science* 2003, **299**:721-  
694 725.
- 695 39. Martin C, Beaujean N, Brochard V, Audouard C, Zink D, Debey P: **Genome**  
696 **restructuring in mouse embryos during reprogramming and early development.** *Dev*  
697 *Biol* 2006, **292**:317-332.
- 698 40. Ruddock-D'Cruz NT, Prashadkumar S, Wilson KJ, Heffernan C, Cooney MA, French AJ,  
699 Jans DA, Verma PJ, Holland MK: **Dynamic changes in localization of chromobox**  
700 **(CBX) family members during the maternal to embryonic transition.** *Mol Reprod*  
701 *Dev* 2008, **75**:477-488.
- 702 41. Birling M-C, Tait S, Hardy RJ, Brophy PJ: **A novel rat tetraspan protein in cells of the**  
703 **oligodendrocyte lineage.** *J Neurochem* 1999, **73**:2600-2608.
- 704 42. Terada N, Baracska K, Kinter M, Melrose S, Brophy PJ, Boucheix C, Bjartmar C, Kidd  
705 G, Trapp BD: **The tetraspanin protein, CD9, is expressed by progenitor cells**  
706 **committed to oligodendrogenesis and is linked to  $\beta$ 1 integrin, CD81, and Tspan-2.**  
707 *Glia* 2002, **40**:350-359.
- 708 43. Arch JRS, Newsholme EA: **Activities and some properties of 5'-nucleotidase,**  
709 **adenosine kinase and adenosine deaminase in tissues from vertebrates and**  
710 **invertebrates in relation to the control of the concentration and the physiological**  
711 **role of adenosine.** *Biochem J* 1978, **174**:965-977.
- 712 44. Sudhof TC: **Neuroligins and neuroligins link synaptic function to cognitive disease.**  
713 *Nature* 2008, **455**:903-911.
- 714 45. Kim H-G, Kishikawa S, Higgins AW, Seong I-S, Donovan DJ, Shen Y, Lally E, Weiss  
715 LA, Najm J, Kutsche K, et al: **Disruption of neuroligin 1 associated with autism**  
716 **spectrum disorder.** *Am J Hum Genet* 2008, **82**:199-207.
- 717 46. Rouault TA, Tong WH: **Iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis and human disease.** *Trends in*  
718 *Genetics* 2008, **24**:398-407.
- 719 47. Lettre G, Jackson AU, Gieger C, Schumacher FR, Berndt SI, Sanna S, Eyheramendy S,  
720 Voight BF, Butler JL, Guiducci C, et al: **Identification of ten loci associated with**  
721 **height highlights new biological pathways in human growth.** *Nat Genet* 2008, **40**:584-  
722 591.

- 723 48. Karim L, Takeda H, Lin L, Druet T, Arias JAC, Baurain D, Cambisano N, Davis SR,  
724 Farnir F, Grisart B, et al: **Variants modulating the expression of a chromosome**  
725 **domain encompassing PLAG1 influence bovine stature.** *Nat Genet* 2011, **43**:405-413.
- 726 49. Dib MG, Van Vleck LD, Spangler ML: **Genetic analysis of mature size in American**  
727 **Angus cattle.** *Nebraska Beef Cattle Report* 2010:29-30.
- 728 50. Andersson EA, Harder MN, Pilgaard K, Pisinger C, Stančáková A, Kuusisto J, Grarup N,  
729 Færch K, Poulsen P, Witte DR, et al: **The birth weight lowering C-allele of rs900400**  
730 **near LEKRI and CCNLI associates with elevated insulin release following an oral**  
731 **glucose challenge.** *PLoS One* 2011, **6**:e27096.
- 732 51. Weiss LA, Shen Y, Korn JM, Arking DE, Miller DT, Fossdal R, Saemundsen E,  
733 Stefansson H, Ferreira MAR, Green T, et al: **Association between microdeletion and**  
734 **microduplication at 16p11.2 and Autism.** *N Engl J Med* 2008, **358**:667-675.
- 735 52. Marshall CR, Noor A, Vincent JB, Lionel AC, Feuk L, Skaug J, Shago M, Moessner R,  
736 Pinto D, Ren Y, et al: **Structural variation of chromosomes in autism spectrum**  
737 **disorder.** *Am J Hum Gen* 2008, **82**:477-488.
- 738 53. Kumar RA, KaraMohamed S, Sudi J, Conrad DF, Brune C, Badner JA, Gilliam TC,  
739 Nowak NJ, Cook EH, Dobyns WB, Christian SL: **Recurrent 16p11.2 microdeletions in**  
740 **autism.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2008, **17**:628-638.
- 741 54. Chen DT, Jiang X, Akula N, Shugart YY, Wendland JR, Steele CJM, Kassem L, Park  
742 JH, Chatterjee N, Jamain S, et al: **Genome-wide association study meta-analysis of**  
743 **European and Asian-ancestry samples identifies three novel loci associated with**  
744 **bipolar disorder.** *Mol Psychiatry* 2011.
- 745 55. Burgess HA, Martinez S, Reiner O: **KIAA0369, doublecortin-like kinase, is expressed**  
746 **during brain development.** *J Neurosci Res* 1999, **58**:567-575.
- 747 56. Short B, Haas A, Barr FA: **Golgins and GTPases, giving identity and structure to the**  
748 **Golgi apparatus.** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2005, **1744**:383-395.
- 749 57. Vjugina U, Zhu X, Oh E, Bracero NJ, Evans JP: **Reduction of mouse egg surface**  
750 **integrin alpha9 subunit (ITGA9) reduces the egg's ability to support sperm-egg**  
751 **binding and fusion.** *Biol Reprod* 2009, **80**:833-841.
- 752 58. Boyko AR, Quignon P, Li L, Schoenebeck JJ, Degenhardt JD, Lohmueller KE, Zhao K,  
753 Brisbin A, Parker HG, vonHoldt BM, et al: **A simple genetic architecture underlies**  
754 **morphological variation in dogs.** *PLoS Biol* 2010, **8**:e1000451.
- 755 59. Vaysse A, Ratnakumar A, Derrien T, Axelsson E, Pielberg GR, Sigurdsson S, Fall T,  
756 Seppälä EH, Hansen MST, Lawley CT, et al: **Identification of genomic regions**  
757 **associated with phenotypic variation between dog breeds using selection mapping.**  
758 *PLoS Genet* 2011, **7**:e1002316.
- 759 60. Sanders JO: **History and development of zebu cattle in the United States.** *J Anim Sci*  
760 1980, **50**:1188-1200.
- 761 61. Campbell EMG, Nonneman D, Rohrer GA: **Fine mapping a quantitative trait locus**  
762 **affecting ovulation rate in swine on chromosome 8.** *J Anim Sci* 2003, **81**:1706-1714.
- 763 62. Chatterjee TK, Fisher RA: **Novel alternative splicing and nuclear localization of**  
764 **human RGS12 gene products.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:29660-29671.
- 765 63. Groenen MAM, Archibald AL, Uenishi H, Tuggle CK, Takeuchi Y, Rothschild MF,  
766 Rogel-Gaillard C, Park C, Milan D, Megens H-J, et al: **Analyses of pig genomes provide**  
767 **insight into porcine demography and evolution.** *Nature* 2012, **491**:393-398.

- 768 64. Nei M, Niimura Y, Nozawa M: **The evolution of animal chemosensory receptor gene**  
769 **repertoires: roles of chance and necessity.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2008, **9**:951-963.
- 770 65. Gilad Y, Wiebe V, Przeworski M, Lancet D, Pääbo S: **Loss of olfactory receptor genes**  
771 **coincides with the acquisition of full trichromatic vision in primates.** *PLoS Biol* 2004,  
772 **2**:e5.
- 773 66. Zhang X, De la Cruz O, Pinto JM, Nicolae D, Firestein S, Gilad Y: **Characterizing the**  
774 **expression of the human olfactory receptor gene family using a novel DNA**  
775 **microarray.** *Genome Biol* 2007, **8**:R86.
- 776 67. Purfield DC, Berry DP, McParland S, Bradley DG: **Runs of homozygosity and**  
777 **population history in cattle.** *BMC Genetics* 2012, **13**:70.
- 778 68. Van Tassell CP, Smith TP, Matukumalli LK, Taylor JF, Schnabel RD, Lawley CT,  
779 Haudenschild CD, Moore SS, Warren WC, Sonstegard TS: **SNP discovery and allele**  
780 **frequency estimation by deep sequencing of reduced representation libraries.** *Nat*  
781 *Methods* 2008, **5**:247-252.
- 782 69. Matukumalli LK, Lawley CT, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Allan MF, Heaton MP,  
783 O'Connell J, Moore SS, Smith TPL, Sonstegard TS, Tassell CPV: **Development and**  
784 **characterization of a high density SNP genotyping assay for cattle.** *PLoS One* 2009,  
785 **4**:e5350.
- 786 70. Rincon G, Weber KL, Van Eenennaam AL, Golden BL, Medrano JF: **Hot topic:**  
787 **Performance of bovine high-density genotyping platforms in Holsteins and Jerseys.**  
788 *J Dairy Sci* 2011, **94**:6116-6121.
- 789 71. Kent W, Sugnet C, Furey T, Roskin K, Pringle T, Zahler A, Haussler D: **The human**  
790 **genome browser at UCSC.** *Genome Res* 2002, **12**:996-1006.
- 791 72. Dennis Jr. G, Sherman BT, Hosack DA, Yang J, Baseler MW, Lane HC, Lempicki RA:  
792 **DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery.** *Genome*  
793 *Biol* 2003, **4**:P3.

794  
795 **Figure 1. Selective sweep regions discovered in the analysis of the BovineSNP50 data that**  
796 **were predicted to be common to two or more breeds.**

797 Regions identified as harboring commonly selected haplotypes are indicated by the near-null  
798 MAF values and are indicated by black boxes.

799

800 **Figure 2. Selective sweep surrounding the *polled* locus in Angus cattle**

801 The selective sweep region on BTA1 in Angus is from ~1.7 cM to 2.0 cM and contains the  
802 *polled* locus. The locus is contained within an extended region of reduced heterozygosity relative  
803 to the MAF at down- and up-stream SNP.

**Table 1. Summary for genotyped individuals**

<b>Breed<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Origin</b>	<b>Primary Historical Use</b>	<b>Contiguous BovineSNP50 Loci<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Number Monomorphic SNP50 Loci</b>	<b>Number BovineSNP50 Individuals</b>	<b>Number AFFXB1P Individuals</b>
Angus	Scotland	Beef	6	8,443	2,918	23
Braunvieh	Switzerland	Beef	5	7,405	142	
Charolais	France	Beef	5	5,884	44	
Hanwoo	Korea	Beef, Draft	5	8,353	48	11
Hereford	UK	Beef	6	8,154	812	
Limousin	France	Beef	6	8,430	261	
Salers	France	Beef	6	8,409	72	
Shorthorn	UK	Beef, Dairy	6	8,558	108	
Simmental	Switzerland	Beef, Dairy	6	6,599	123	6
Brown Swiss	Switzerland	Dairy	9	12,553	74	
Finnish Ayrshire	Scotland	Dairy	5	6,185	599	
Holstein	Netherlands	Dairy	6	8,587	995	
Jersey	Jersey	Dairy	8	12,547	78	
Wagyu	Japan	Beef, Draft				10
Brahman	USA	Beef	10	13,006	99	8
<b>Total</b>					<b>6373</b>	<b>58</b>

<sup>1</sup>Brahman are indicine cattle developed in the US as a composite of Nelore, Gir, Guzerat and Indu Brazil cattle originating in India but imported primarily from Brazil. The remaining breeds are taurine.

<sup>2</sup>Number of contiguous loci spanning at least 200 kb and with a minor allele frequency  $\leq 0.01$  required to declare a selective sweep region in each breed.



**Table 2. Putative selective sweep regions identified by analysis of BovineSNP50 genotypes.**

<b>Breed</b>	<b>BTA</b>	<b>UMD3.1 Coordinates (bp)</b>	<b># SNP</b>	<b>Size (bp)</b>
Angus <sup>1</sup>	1	1,712,261-2,013,659	11	301,398
Limousin	2	5,974,885-6,344,425	7	369,540
Brown Swiss	2	73,436,684-73,978,469	10	541,785
Hanwoo <sup>1</sup>	3	19,860,064-20,175,158	5	315,094
Hanwoo <sup>1</sup>	3	112,997,892-113,219,287	5	221,395
Brown Swiss	4	61,098,696-61,376,132	9	277,436
Hereford	6	70,655,812-70,865,694	6	209,882
Brown Swiss	6 <sup>2</sup>	75,830,633-76,696,893	9	866,260
Salers		75,996,320-76,696,893	8	700,573
Jersey	6	105,390,830-105,730,372	8	339,542
Limousin	7	40,250,259-40,485,825	7	235,566
Jersey	7	45,439,468-45,828,427	10	388,959
Holstein	7	72,908,532-73,126,315	7	217,783
Brown Swiss	11	25,577,969-25,941,999	10	364,030
Jersey	12	1,113,009-1,436,093	8	323,084
Angus <sup>1</sup> , Salers, Shorthorn, Simmental <sup>1</sup>	12	25,878,820-26,236,394	7	357,574
Brahman <sup>1</sup>	12	36,287,533-36,989,957	14	702,424
Holstein	13	15,456,721-15,683,571	6	226,850
Braunvieh	14	28,674,493-28,960,475	5	285,982
Brown Swiss	14	42,739,573-43,140,076	9	400,503
Braunvieh	16	39,714,165-39,898,049	3	389,103
Salers	16	43,200,419-43,618,684	9	418,265
Jersey	16	45,376,614-45,874,144	8	497,530
Angus <sup>1</sup> , Holstein, Limousin, Simmental <sup>1</sup>		45,425,579-45,874,144	7	448,565
Hereford		45,464,423-45,874,144	6	409,721
Hanwoo <sup>1</sup>	16	52,535,473-52,742,523	4	207,050
Hanwoo <sup>1</sup>	18	14,526,709-14,847,049	5	320,340
Limousin	19	37,301,353-37,520,214	6	218,861
Brahman <sup>1</sup>	19	43,376,032-43,835,219	10	459,187
Angus <sup>1</sup> , Holstein, Shorthorn	24	63,576-320,143	6	256,567
Braunvieh, Charolais, Finnish Ayrshire, Hanwoo <sup>1</sup>		105,589-320,143	5	214,554
Angus <sup>1</sup> , Holstein, Salers, Shorthorn	27	25,075,449-25,295,935	6	220,486

<sup>1</sup>These breeds were also genotyped with the AFFXB1P assay and allow the potential validation of these putative selective sweep regions.

<sup>2</sup>Call rates for some SNP within this region did not exceed the 85% threshold that was applied to the remaining data.

**Table 3. Genomic regions predicted to harbor selective sweeps using BovineSNP50 data and validated by AFFXB1P data.**

<b>BTA</b>	<b>Breed</b>	<b>UMD3.1 Coordinates (bp)</b>	<b># Fixed SNP</b>	<b># Variable SNP</b>	<b>Size (bp)</b>	<b>Annotations</b>
1	Angus	1,673,108-2,024,737	313	8	351,629	Horn-polled <sup>[6]</sup>
13	Wagyu	15,493,906-15,739,251	301	4	245,345	<i>DGKZ</i>
16	Angus	45,386,065-45,652,672	238	10	266,607	<i>ENO1, RERE</i>
	Simmental	45,386,065-45,677,279	280	6	291,214	
16	Simmental	52,629,624-52,857,759	30	0	228,135	<i>C16H1orf159, RNF223, FIN376, AGRN, ISG15,</i>
	Wagyu	52,629,624-52,857,759	29	1	228,135	<i>HES4, C16H1orf170, PLEKHN1, KLHL17,</i> <i>NOC2L, SAMD11</i>
18	Angus	14,725,181-14,973,411	102	5	248,230	<i>TCF25, SPIRE2, MC1R, TUBB3, MIR220D,</i>
	Simmental	14,725,181-14,973,411	104	3	248,230	<i>DEF8, CENPBD1, LOC532875, DBNDD1, GAS8,</i> <i>LOC100296324, LOC100336472, SHCBP1</i>

**Table 4. Putative breed-specific selective sweeps identified using the AFFXB1P data**

<b>Breed</b>	<b>BTA</b>	<b>UMD3.1 Coordinates (bp)</b>	<b>Size (bp)</b>	<b># Total SNPs</b>	<b># Fixed SNP</b>	<b># Variable SNP</b>
Angus	1	1,673,108-2,024,737	351,629	235	231	4
	1	111,659,758-111,900,241	240,483	231	221	10
	3	28,196,188-28,405,853	209,665	207	199	8
	6	6,308,164-6,696,861	388,697	74	72	2
	8	70,780,263-71,227,757	447,494	24	24	0
	8	73,538,014-73,760,303	222,289	288	282	6
	11	99,717,097-99,929,710	212,613	63	60	3
	14	3,550,689-3,885,375	334,686	21	21	0
	14	24,631,146-25,173,007	541,861	387	376	11
	18	200,903-406,270	205,367	117	114	3
	20	70,827,025-71,040,113	213,088	30	29	1
	21	1,186,567-1,489,860	303,293	26	25	1
	21	3,091,822-3,417,143	325,321	308	301	7
	25	39,262,249-39,468,067	205,818	21	20	1
	27	36,633,324-36,896,534	263,210	135	130	5
29	49,557,166-49,922,377	365,211	70	69	1	
Brahman	5	48,679,627-48,903,409	223,782	249	237	12
	10	24,519,718-24,794,435	274,717	20	20	0
	22	10,701,509-10,963,520	262,011	88	86	2
Hanwoo	9	1,384,189-1,586,988	202,799	214	211	3
	22	60,772,158-61,015,491	243,333	24	23	1
Simmental	1	83,467,837-83,685,705	217,868	124	118	6
	2	36,576,839-36,828,533	251,694	216	206	10
	2	119,873,146-120,084,764	211,618	158	151	7
	2	121,398,894-121,698,563	299,669	196	188	8
	5	68,631,784-68,857,802	226,018	276	267	9
	7	21,101,050-21,348,345	247,295	65	63	2
	7	52,426,108-52,673,522	247,414	40	38	2
	8	70,246,437-70,540,424	293,987	163	158	5
	10	59,147,189-59,382,774	235,585	190	182	8
	10	72,811,087-73,041,092	230,005	217	210	7
	13	12,236,039-12,524,368	288,329	382	369	13
	13	66,468,866-66,708,674	239,808	153	146	7
	15	82,007,368-82,208,624	201,256	32	32	0
	16	42,555,966-42,788,613	232,647	46	44	2
	16	43,767,572-44,053,904	286,332	186	181	5
17	13,291,922-13,492,010	200,088	141	136	5	
19	34,588,859-35,435,279	846,420	59	57	2	
22	2,788,408-3,001,597	213,189	216	214	2	

	25	26,433,626-26,744,488	310,862	126	120	6
	25	42,202,212-42,775,697	573,485	21	21	0
	28	30,480,640-30,711,987	231,347	254	243	11
	29	39,045,837-39,396,761	350,924	93	91	2
	29	49,052,295-49,273,683	221,388	21	20	1
Wagyu	1	197,944-574,931	376,987	321	313	8
	1	12,544,028-12,840,164	296,136	335	325	10
	2	71,299,739-71,508,079	208,340	177	169	8
	2	136,434,039-136,676,537	242,498	22	21	1
	3	12,027,611-12,227,923	200,312	65	64	1
	3	113,841,208-114,151,444	310,236	189	181	8
	3	117,817,862-118,017,993	200,131	114	110	4
	4	117,846,325-118,095,397	249,072	21	20	1
	4	119,437,108-119,832,270	395,162	26	25	1
	11	33,020,266-33,264,604	244,338	307	293	14
	11	59,513,020-59,783,144	270,124	282	269	13
	11	103,333,722-103,590,033	256,311	33	32	1
	11	105,596,531-105,828,115	231,584	23	22	1
	12	23,283-377,340	354,057	137	137	0
	13	15,493,906-15,739,251	245,345	305	301	4
	13	58,016,490-58,335,951	319,461	114	110	4
	16	51,275,719-51,519,519	243,800	39	38	1
	19	53,829,568-54,065,288	235,720	25	24	1
	22	49,088,027-49,456,146	368,119	102	98	4
	24	36,584,702-36,795,576	210,874	224	218	6
	27	17,911,399-18,114,646	203,247	304	289	15

---

**Table 5. Putative selective sweep regions detected in at least two breeds using AFFXB1P data**

Breeds	BTA	UMD3.1 Coordinates (bp)	Size (bp)	# SNPs	# SNPs	# SNPs
				Total	Fixed	Variable
Angus	6	5,639,799-5,993,214	353,415	95	92	3
Simmental, Wagyu, Hanwoo		5,639,799-5,993,214	353,415	95	94	1
Angus, Simmental, Wagyu	6	106,844,116-107,308,280	464,164	21	20	1
Simmental	7	4,344,221-4,677,474	333,253	22	21	1
Hanwoo		4,443,937-4,838,781	394,844	90	88	2
Angus	7	51,000,141-51,430,242	430,101	406	391	15
Simmental		51,144,109-51,788,442	644,333	477	469	8
Wagyu	14	2,098,182-2,588,143	489,961	29	29	0
Hanwoo		2,097,493-2,603,935	506,442	31	30	1
Angus	16	44,463,682-44,881,229	417,547	213	203	10
Simmental		44,693,447-45,207,060	513,613	162	158	4
Angus	16	45,386,065-45,652,672	266,607	248	238	10
Simmental		45,386,065-45,677,279	291,214	286	280	6
Simmental	16	49,400,423-49,679,673	279,250	22	21	1
Wagyu		49,400,423-49,661,831	261,408	20	20	0
Simmental	16	52,629,624-52,857,759	228,135	30	30	0
Wagyu		52,629,624-52,857,759	228,135	30	29	1
Wagyu	17	69,501,174-69,703,717	202,543	116	112	4
Wagyu		69,953,291-70,183,461	230,170	145	140	5
Hanwoo		69,705,850-70,283,199	577,349	421	402	19
Simmental	17	73,619,837-73,975,539	355,702	24	24	0
Wagyu		73,761,834-74,325,363	563,529	57	55	2
Angus	18	14,725,181-14,973,411	248,230	107	102	5
Simmental		14,725,181-14,973,411	248,230	107	104	3
Angus	18	53,342,619-53,596,733	254,114	53	51	2
Simmental		53,342,619-53,573,919	231,300	46	44	2
Angus	19	57,106,999-57,377,040	270,041	26	25	1
Simmental		57,065,941-57,377,040	311,099	28	28	0
Angus	20	71,679,859-72,012,001	332,142	37	36	1
Simmental		71,780,338-72,012,001	231,663	29	28	1
Angus	21	2,134-742,281	740,147	422	408	14
Simmental		2,134-326,342	324,208	187	179	8
Angus, Simmental	21	1,727,412-2,142,823	415,411	30	29	1
Angus	21	70,948,810-71,284,393	335,583	23	22	1
Hanwoo		71,112,766-71,575,370	462,604	32	31	1
Angus	26	21,432-718,976	697,544	242	234	8
Simmental		21,432-723,266	701,834	250	244	6
Wagyu		21,432-225,284	203,852	30	29	1
Wagyu		249,534-454,031	204,497	81	77	4
Hanwoo		21,432-454,031	432,599	121	119	2
Simmental	29	1,156-558,130	556,974	155	153	2
Wagyu		105,179-536,644	431,465	122	120	2
Hanwoo		315,440-558,130	242,690	90	86	4






Figure 1

