

RAMSEY PROPERTY FOR BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS WITH IDEALS AND $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$

DANA BARTOŠOVÁ

Abstract. We apply the Dual Ramsey Theorem of Graham and Rothschild to prove the Ramsey property for classes of finite Boolean algebras with distinguished ideals. This allows us to compute the universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$, should it be isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ or not, and of other quotients of power set algebras. Taking Fraïssé limits of these classes, we can compute universal minimal flows of groups of automorphisms of the Cantor set fixing some closed subsets.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ and its Stone space $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ are object in set theory and set-theoretic topology of high interest. It was a big surprise that the question whether $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ can be isomorphic to its higher analogue $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$ is not easy to settle. Here ω_1 is the order type of the first uncountable cardinal. It was first ask by Turzanski in 19070's at a seminar in Katowice and therefore it is know as Katowice Problem. It is easily seen to consistently have a negative solution, e.g. under the Continuum Hypothesis, when $\omega_1 = 2^\omega < 2^{\omega_1}$. The first reaction of most is that such an isomorphism cannot exist and it has been studied by many great mathematicians. Balcar and Frankiewicz ([BF78]) showed that this problem is really specific to ω and ω_1 , in particular $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\text{fin}$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)/\text{fin}$ for any other pair of cardinals. A natural approach is to assume existence of an isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$ and study consistency of its consequences. See for instance works of Juris Steprāns ([Ste85]) or David Chodounský ([Cho12]). Recently, Klass Pieter Hart proved that another consequence is existence of an automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ which is not induced by an almost permutation. This was our original motivation to study dynamincs of groups of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$.

A connection between finite Ramsey theory and dynamics of groups of automorphisms of structures was first observed by Pestov in [Pes98] who showed that the group G of automorphisms of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ is extremely amenable. It means that every action of G on a compact Hausdorff space has a fixed point. The question whether such groups exist first appeared in 1970 in a paper by Michtell ([Mel08]). In 1975, Herer and Christensen ([HC75]) constructed the first example in the setting of pathological submeasures. Generalizing Pestov's result, Kechris, Pestov and Todorcevic showed in [KPT05] that groups of automorphisms of numerous structures are examples of extremely amenable groups. They developped general framework connecting topological dynamics, Fraïssé classes and the Ramsey property to give explicite descriptions of universal minimal flows of groups of automorphisms of structures. Their work started a new vital branch of research pursued by e.g. Lionel Nguyen van Thé ([NVT10], [NVT09]), Miodrag Sokić[Sok10], Julien Melleray

and Todor Tsankov ([MT]), etc. Moreover, new connections were found, e.g. with ergodic theory ([Kec], [AKL]).

In [KPT05], the authors identify universal minimal flows with subspaces of spaces of linear orderings. However as they note at the end of their paper, there is nothing specific to linear orderings apart from known examples of classes of linearly ordered structures satisfying the Ramsey property. That turns out to be the case as shown in work of Lionel Nguyen van Thé ([NVT09]), where the author generalizes the setting in [KPT05] from linearly ordered extensions to other extensions (by adding at most countable many new relations).

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we introduce basic objects under consideration. In the third section, we remind the reader of the connection between Fraïssé classes, the Ramsey property and the ordering property given in [KPT05]. In the fourth section, we prove the Ramsey property for classes of finite Boolean algebras with predicates. In the last section, we apply it to compute the universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$, quotients of other power set algebras and groups of automorphisms of the Cantor sets fixing closed subsets.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce basic notions both from set theory and from topological dynamics.

2.1. Set theory.

Definition 1 (Ideal). *Let X be a set and let \mathcal{I} be a collection of subsets of X . We say that \mathcal{I} is an ideal on X if it is downwards closed and closed under finite unions:*

- (1) *If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subset A$, then also $B \in \mathcal{I}$,*
- (2) *if $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$.*

For instance the collection of all finite subsets of a set X is an ideal on X . If X is a measure space, then the sets of measure 0 form an ideal. If X is a topological space, then the collection of all meager subsets is an ideal.

A dual notion to an ideal is the notion of a filter.

Definition 2 ((Ultra)filter). *A collection \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set X is called a filter if it is closed under supersets and finite intersections:*

- (1) *If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subset B$, then also $B \in \mathcal{F}$,*
- (2) *if $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$.*

We call \mathcal{F} an ultrafilter if it is a maximal filter with respect to inclusion. Equivalently if it moreover satisfies a third condition:

- (3) *For every $A \subset X$ either $A \in \mathcal{F}$ or $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$.*

It is easy to see that if \mathcal{I} is an ideal then $\{X \setminus A : A \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a filter. Filters dual to the ideals mentioned above are the filter of cofinite sets, the filter of sets of positive measure and the filter of subsets of the second category.

Let us recall how we define the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$ and similar algebras. If X is a set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denotes its power set, then $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with the operations of \cup, \cap , complementation, \emptyset and X is a Boolean algebra. If λ is at most the cardinality of X then the set \mathcal{I} of all subsets of X of cardinality less than λ is an ideal on $\mathcal{P}(X)$. The quotient algebra of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by the ideal \mathcal{I} consists of equivalence classes

$[E] = \{F \subset X : \Delta(E, F) < \lambda\}$ for $E \subset X$ and $\Delta(E, F)$ the symmetric difference of E and F . It is easy that the operations on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ preserve the equivalence classes.

Below we give an abstract definition of a Boolean algebra, ideal and a quotient algebra.

Definition 3 (Boolean algebra). $\mathcal{B} = (B, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1, \neg)$ is a Boolean algebra if \vee, \wedge are binary operations called join and meet respectively, \neg is a unary operation called complement and $0, 1$ are constants such that for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ the following axioms hold:

- $(a \vee b) \vee c = a \vee (b \vee c)$ and $(a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c)$ - associativity,
- $a \vee b = b \vee a$ and $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$ - commutativity,
- $a \vee 0 = a$ and $a \wedge 1 = a$ - identity,
- $a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$ and $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$ - distributivity,
- $a \vee \neg a = 1$ and $a \wedge \neg a = 0$ - complements.

There is a canonical partial ordering $<$ on a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} , given by $a < b$ if and only if $a \wedge b = a$ (if and only if $a \vee b = b$).

An *atom* of a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} is a non-zero element $a \in \mathcal{B}$ such that 0 is the only element $<$ -below a . A Boolean algebra is called *atomless* if it does not have any atoms.

An ideal on a Boolean algebra is defined similarly as an ideal on a set. An *ideal* on a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} is a subset of \mathcal{B} closed under finite meets and downwards closed under the canonical partial order.

If \mathcal{B} is a Boolean algebra and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{B}$ an ideal on \mathcal{B} , then \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} denotes the *quotient algebra* of \mathcal{B} by \mathcal{I} consisting of equivalence classes

$$[a] = \{b \in \mathcal{B} : (a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg a \wedge b) \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

for $a \in \mathcal{B}$ with the operations inherited from \mathcal{B} . Note that $(a \wedge \neg b) \vee (\neg a \wedge b)$ corresponds to the symmetric difference if \mathcal{B} is a power set algebra.

The category of Boolean algebras with homomorphisms is equivalent to the category of compact Hausdorff zero-dimensional spaces via Stone duality.

Stone representation theorem. In 1936, M.H. Stone [Sto36] proved that every Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of all clopen subsets of a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space $\text{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$. The points of $\text{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$ are all ultrafilters on \mathcal{B} and the sets $A^* = \{u \in \text{Ult}(\mathcal{B}) : A \in u\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}$ form a clopen base of the topology on $\text{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$. This gives a one-to-one correspondence that also extends to homomorphisms: If $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a homomorphism between two Boolean algebras, then $\text{Ult}(f) : \text{Ult}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$ given by

$$u \mapsto \text{“the ultrafilter on } \mathcal{B} \text{ generated by } \bigcup \{f^{-1}(A) : A \in u\}\text{”}$$

is a continuous map. If f is injective, then $\text{Ult}(f)$ is surjective. In terms of category theory, Ult is a contravariant functor giving an equivalence between the category of Boolean algebras with homomorphisms and the category of compact totally disconnected Hausdorff spaces with continuous mappings.

The Čech-Stone compactification $\beta\omega$ of discrete ω is the Stone space of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$. As we noted in the Introduction, $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ is the Stone representation of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$. The Stone space of the countable atomless Boolean algebra is the Cantor space.

2.2. Topological dynamics. The central notion of topological dynamics is a continuous action $\pi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ of a topological group G on a compact Hausdorff space X . We call X a G -flow and omit π if it is understood and write gx instead of $\pi(g, x)$. A *homomorphism* of G -flows X and Y is a continuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ respecting the actions of G on X and Y , i.e. $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$ for every $g \in G, x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We say that Y is a *factor* of X , if there is a homomorphism from X onto Y . Every G -flow has a minimal subflow, a minimal closed subspace of X invariant under the action of G . Among all minimal G -flows, there is a maximal one - the *universal minimal flow* $M(G)$. It means that every other minimal G -flow is a factor of $M(G)$. For introduction to topological dynamics see [dV93].

Automorphism groups. Let \mathcal{B} be a Boolean algebra and let G be the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{B} . In what follows, we consider G as a topological group with the topology of pointwise convergence. The topology is given by a basis of neighbourhoods of the neutral element consisting of open subgroups

$$G_A = \{g \in G : g(a) = a, a \in A\}$$

where A is a finite subalgebra of \mathcal{B} . We can observe that a subset H of G is closed if and only if it contains every $g \in G$ such that for any finite subalgebra A of \mathcal{B} there exists an $h \in H$ with $g|_A = h|_A$.

If every partial isomorphism between two finite subalgebras of \mathcal{B} can be extended to an automorphism of the whole algebra \mathcal{B} , then we say that \mathcal{B} is *homogeneous*. Equivalently, a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} is homogeneous if for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ the relative Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}|_b = \{c \in \mathcal{B} : c \leq b\}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{B} .

Linear orderings. We will consider the space $\text{LO}(\mathcal{B})$ of all linear orderings of a Boolean algebra as a subspace of the compact space $2^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}}$ with the product topology. It is easy to see that $\text{LO}(\mathcal{B})$ is closed in $2^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}}$ and therefore compact. The topology on $\text{LO}(\mathcal{B})$ is generated by basic open sets of the form

$$(A, \prec) = \{\prec \in \text{LO}(\mathcal{B}) : \prec|_A = \prec\},$$

where A is a finite subalgebra of \mathcal{B} and \prec is a linear ordering on \mathcal{B} .

If G is the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{B} , we let G act on $\text{LO}(\mathcal{B})$ by

$$a(g \prec) b \text{ if and only if } g^{-1}a < g^{-1}b,$$

for any $g \in G, \prec \in \text{LO}(\mathcal{B})$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$.

3. FRAÏSSÉ CLASSES, THE RAMSEY AND THE ORDERING PROPERTIES

In this section, we introduce the main ingredients of the theory developed by Kechris, Pestov and Todorcevic in [KPT05].

The first ingredient are Fraïssé classes.

Definition 4 (Fraïssé class). *A class of finite structures \mathcal{K} of a given language is called a Fraïssé class if it is countable, contains structures of arbitrary finite cardinality and it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (HD) *Hereditary property: if A is a finite substructure of B and $B \in \mathcal{K}$, then also $A \in \mathcal{K}$.*
- (JEP) *Joint embedding property: if $A, B \in \mathcal{K}$ then there exists a $C \in \mathcal{K}$ in which both A and B embed.*

(AP) *Amalgamation property: if $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}$ and $i : A \rightarrow B$ and $j : A \rightarrow C$ are embeddings, then there exist $D \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $k : B \rightarrow D$ and $l : C \rightarrow D$ such that $k \circ i = l \circ j$.*

If \mathcal{A} is a countable locally-finite (finitely-generated substructures are finite) homogeneous structure, then the class of all finite substructures of \mathcal{A} up to an isomorphism form a Fraïssé class. Conversely, if \mathcal{K} is a Fraïssé class, then there is a unique countable locally-finite homogeneous structure \mathcal{A} (up to an isomorphism) such that \mathcal{K} is the class of all finite substructures of \mathcal{A} up to an isomorphism. We call \mathcal{A} a *Fraïssé limit* of \mathcal{K} . This correspondence was first described by Fraïssé in [Fra54].

Fraïssé limits of Fraïssé classes are exactly countable homogeneous structures. By ω -categoricity, they are unique up to an isomorphism. For example the countable atomless Boolean algebra, the random graph, the random hypergraph, $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ are Fraïssé limits of the class of finite Boolean algebras, finite graphs, finite hypergraphs, finite linear orderings respectively.

In 1928, Ramsey proved the following combinatorial property to classify binary relations. It is now known as Ramsey's theorem and it was a building stone for nowadays fast developing Ramsey theory.

Theorem 1 (Ramsey's Theorem). *For every $k < n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every colouring of k -element subset of N by l -many colours there is an n -element subset X of N such that all k -element subsets of X have the same colour.*

In the 1970's and 1980's the Ramsey property for structures was first studied.

Definition 5 (Ramsey property). *A class \mathcal{K} of finite structures satisfies the Ramsey property if for every $A \leq B \in \mathcal{K}$ and $k \geq 2$ a natural number there exists $C \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$C \rightarrow (B)_k^A,$$

i.e. for every colouring of copies of A in C by k colours, there is a copy B' of B in C , such that all copies of A in B' have the same colour $c_{B'}$. We then say that B' is monochromatic in the colour $c_{B'}$.

The classes of finite linearly ordered (K_n -free) graphs satisfy the Ramsey property by [NR77] and [NR83], the class of finite posets with linear ordering extending the partial order satisfy the Ramsey property by [Sok10].

In the last chapter, we prove the Ramsey property for classes of finite Boolean algebras with unary predicates represented as ideals. We rely on the corresponding result for the class of finite Boolean algebras, today known as the Dual Ramsey Theorem.

Theorem 2 (Dual Ramsey Theorem). [GR71] *The class of finite Boolean algebras satisfies the Ramsey property.*

In [KPT05], the following property was isolated to ensure that a space of linear orderings is a minimal flow.

Definition 6 (Ordering property). *Let $L \supset \{<\}$ be a signature and let $L_0 = L \setminus \{<\}$. Let \mathcal{K} be a class in L and let \mathcal{K}_0 denote the reduct of \mathcal{K} to L_0 . We say that \mathcal{K} satisfies the ordering property, if for every $A \in \mathcal{K}_0$, there is $B \in \mathcal{K}_0$ such that*

whenever \prec is a linear ordering on A , \prec' is a linear ordering on B and $(A, \prec), (B, \prec') \in \mathcal{K}$, then (A, \prec) is a substructure of (B, \prec') .

If \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}_0 are as above, we call \mathcal{K} *order forgetful* if whenever $(A, \prec^A), (B, \prec^B) \in \mathcal{K}$ then $A \cong B$ if and only if $(A, \prec^A) \cong (B, \prec^B)$.

It is easy to see that if \mathcal{K} is order forgetful, then it satisfies the ordering property. Moreover, as Proposition 5.6 in [KPT05] shows, \mathcal{K} satisfies the Ramsey property if and only if \mathcal{K}_0 satisfies the Ramsey property.

The main results of Kechris, Pestov and Todorcevic in [KPT05] are theorems 4.8 and 7.5. We combine excerpts of these theorems relevant to this paper in the following theorem

Theorem 3 ([KPT05]). *Let $L \supset \{\prec\}$ be a signature, $L_0 = L \setminus \{\prec\}$, \mathcal{K} a reasonable Fraïssé class in L with \prec interpreted as a linear order, and let \mathcal{K}_0 be the reduct of \mathcal{K} to the language L_0 . Let \mathbf{F} denote the Fraïssé limit of \mathcal{K} , \mathbf{F}_0 the reduct of \mathbf{F} to L_0 , and let G, G_0 be the automorphism groups of \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}_0 respectively. Let $X_{\mathcal{K}} = \overline{G \prec \mathbf{F}}$.*

If \mathcal{K} satisfies the Ramsey property and the ordering property, then G is extremely amenable and $X_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the universal minimal flow of G_0 .

4. CLASSES OF FINITE BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS WITH IDEALS

Let $L = \{\vee, \wedge, 0, 1, \neg\}$ be the language of Boolean algebras. Let θ be an ordinal (possibly uncountable). We denote by L_θ the language L expanded by μ -many unary predicates $(P_\mu)_{\mu < \theta}$, i.e. $L_\theta = \{\vee, \wedge, 0, 1, \neg, P_\mu : \mu < \theta\}$.

Let \mathcal{B}_θ denote the class of isomorphism types of finite Boolean algebras in the language L_θ , where each P_μ is interpreted as an ideal and for $\nu < \mu$ it holds that $P_\nu \subset P_\mu$. Let \mathcal{B}^0 denote the class of isomorphism types of finite Boolean algebras in the language L_1 with P_0 interpreted as an ideal such that for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ all atoms but one are in P_0 .

If θ is countable then \mathcal{B}_θ is countable and it is easy to see that it is a Fraïssé class. If θ is uncountable then \mathcal{B}_θ is uncountable as well and therefore not a Fraïssé class. However, it satisfies all the other conditions in the definition of a Fraïssé class. Having just one predicate, \mathcal{B}^0 is easily checked to be a Fraïssé class. We will show that we can equip structures in \mathcal{B}_θ with linear orderings and obtain a class of order forgetful structures satisfying the conditions in the definition of a Fraïssé class. We alter the definition of natural orderings in [KPT05] respecting the order of the ideals with respect to inclusion. Similarly, we extend elements in \mathcal{B}^0 with linear orderings such that the elements in P_0 precede elements not in P_0 .

Definition 7 (Natural orderings). *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$ and let $<$ be a linear ordering on A . We say that $<$ is natural, if it is an antilexicographical ordering given by an ordering of its atoms such that whenever $\mu < \nu$ and $a \in P_\mu, b \in P_\nu \setminus P_\mu$, then $a < b$. Denote by $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ the class of all naturally ordered algebras from \mathcal{B}_θ .*

...

The class $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ is obviously order forgetful, therefore it trivially satisfies the ordering property. Indeed, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$ and $<, \prec'$ are two natural orderings on A then $(A, \prec) \cong (A, \prec')$. In other words, we can take A in place of B in the definition of the ordering property.

In [KPT05], the authors proved that naturally ordered finite Boolean algebras (without predicates) form a Fraïssé class. We mimic the proof to show that the same is true for the class $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$, except for the condition of countability.

Lemma 1. $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ satisfies (HP), (JEP) and (AP) properties in the definition of a Fraïssé class.

Proof. We first show that $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ is hereditary. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$ and let A be its subalgebra. Suppose that $<$ is a natural ordering of B given by an ordering of its atoms $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$. Let $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ be the atoms of A . Write $a_i = b_{i_1} \vee b_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee b_{i_{j_i}}$, where $b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_{j_i}}$ are atoms of B in $<$ -increasing order. If $a_i \in P_\mu, a_l \in P_\nu$ with $\mu < \nu$, then $b_{i_{j_i}} < b_{l_{j_l}}$ by definition. Therefore $A \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$.

It remains to prove (AP), since (JEP) follows by amalgamation along the two element algebra. Let $(A, <_A), (B, <_B), (C, <_C) \in \text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ with atoms $a_1 <_A a_2 <_A \dots <_A a_k, b_1 <_B b_2 <_B \dots <_B b_n, c_1 <_C c_2 <_C \dots <_C c_m$ respectively. Suppose that there are embeddings $f : (A, <_A) \rightarrow (B, <_B)$ and $g : (A, <_A) \rightarrow (C, <_C)$. We look for $(D, <_D)$ and embeddings $r : (B, <_B) \rightarrow (D, <_D)$ and $s : (C, <_C) \rightarrow (D, <_D)$ such that $r \circ f = s \circ g$.

Write $f(a_i) = b_{i_1} \vee b_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee b_{i_{j_i}}$ for $b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_{j_i}}$ some of the atoms of B in the increasing order and $g(a_i) = c_{i_1} \vee c_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee c_{i_{l_i}}$ for $c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \dots, c_{i_{l_i}}$ some of the atoms of C in the increasing order. We let the atoms of D to be $\{\overline{b_{ij}}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq j_i}$ and $\{\overline{c_{ij}}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l_i}$ all disjoint except for $\overline{b_{ij}} = \overline{c_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. We need to determine how $<_D$ will behave on these atoms and where the atoms of B, C will be sent by r, s respectively.

First consider $\{\overline{b_{1j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq j_1} \cup \{\overline{c_{1j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq l_1}$ and set

$$\overline{b_{11}} <_D \overline{b_{12}} <_D \dots <_D \overline{b_{1j_1}}, \overline{c_{11}} <_D \overline{c_{12}} <_D \dots <_D \overline{c_{1l_1}} = \overline{b_{1j_1}}$$

and define $<_D$ to be arbitrary on the rest while preserving the order of the ideals they belong to.

For any linear ordering $<$, we denote $(-\infty, a] = \{x : x \leq a\}$ and $(a, b] = \{x : a < x \leq b\}$. Then we let

$$r(b_{11}) = \bigvee(-\infty, \overline{b_{11}}], \quad r(b_{12}) = \bigvee(\overline{b_{11}}, \overline{b_{12}}], \dots, \quad r(b_{1j_1}) = \bigvee(\overline{b_{1j_1-1}}, \overline{b_{1j_1}}]$$

$$s(c_{11}) = \bigvee(-\infty, \overline{c_{11}}], \quad s(c_{12}) = \bigvee(\overline{c_{11}}, \overline{c_{12}}], \dots, \quad s(c_{1l_1}) = \bigvee(\overline{c_{1l_1-1}}, \overline{c_{1l_1}}].$$

Now we extend $<_D$ to $\{\overline{b_{1j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq j_1} \cup \{\overline{c_{1j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq l_1} \cup \{\overline{b_{2j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq j_2} \cup \{\overline{c_{2j}}\}_{1 \leq j \leq l_2}$ and require that the maps $b_{ij} \mapsto \overline{b_{ij}}$ and $c_{ij} \mapsto \overline{c_{ij}}$ are order preserving and extend otherwise arbitrarily preserving the order of ideals they belong to. We extend r and s in the following way:

$$r(b_{21}) = \bigvee(-\infty, \overline{b_{21}}], \dots, \quad r(b_{2j_2}) = \bigvee(\overline{b_{2j_2-1}}, \overline{b_{2j_2}}]$$

$$s(c_{21}) = \bigvee(-\infty, \overline{c_{21}}], \dots, \quad s(c_{2l_2}) = \bigvee(\overline{c_{2l_2-1}}, \overline{c_{2l_2}}].$$

We proceed in the same manner to define $<_D$ on all atoms of D and r, s on all atoms of A, B respectively. \square

Lemma 2. $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}^0)$ is a Fraïssé class.

Proof. ... \square

We will identify the universal minimal flow of the groups of automorphisms of infinite structures whose finite substructures form \mathcal{B}_θ with spaces of linear orderings that are natural when restricted to every finite substructure.

Definition 8 (Normal orderings). *Let \mathcal{A} be a structures and let \mathcal{K} be the class of finite substructures of \mathcal{A} equipped with linear orderings. We say that $\langle \in \text{LO}(\mathcal{A})$ is normal if $(B, \langle \cdot \rangle) \in \mathcal{K}$ for every finite substructure B of \mathcal{A} .*

...

The last remaining ingredient we need to show is that \mathcal{B}_θ satisfies the Ramsey property. We first introduce some notation.

For $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$, denote by

$$X * Y$$

the algebra generated by the union of atoms of X and Y .

If X is a finite Boolean algebra without a predicate and $\mu < \kappa$, define

$$X^\mu = (X, P_\mu)$$

to be the algebra X with all of its elements in the predicate P_μ .

Theorem 4. *\mathcal{B}_θ satisfies the Ramsey property.*

Proof. Let $A \leq B$ be two structures in \mathcal{B}_θ and let A_r, B_r denote the reducts of A, B respectively in the language of Boolean algebras without predicates. Let $M = \{\mu < \theta : B \cap P_\mu \neq \emptyset\}$ and let μ_0 be the minimal element of M . Notice that if $A \cap P_\mu \neq \emptyset$ then $\mu \in M$ and that $A \cap P_\mu \neq \emptyset$ for μ the maximal element of M . We proceed by induction on $n = |M|$.

If $n = 1$, then it is just the Dual Ramsey Theorem 2.

Suppose that the statement is true for all $M \subset \theta$ of size n . We will prove the statement for M of size $n + 1$. Let A_0 denote the algebra generated by atoms of A in P_{μ_0} and A_1 the algebra generated by the remaining atoms of A . Similarly, we define B_0 and B_1 . Let C_0 be an algebra given by the Dual Ramsey theorem for A_r, B_r and two colours. Let C_1 be given by the induction hypothesis for A_1, B_1 and $|\binom{C_0}{B}| \times 2$ colours. Let $C = C_0^\mu * C_1$. We claim that C works for A, B and two colours.

Let $c : \binom{C}{B} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be an arbitrary colouring. For every $D \in \binom{C_1}{A_1}$ define a colouring $c_D : \binom{C_0}{A_r} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by $c_D(E) = c(E^\mu * D)$. Using the Dual Ramsey theorem, find a monochromatic $B_D \in \binom{C_0}{B_r}$ in colour k_D . Now consider the colouring $c' : \binom{C_1}{A_1} \rightarrow \binom{C_0}{B} \times \{0, 1\}$ given by $c'(D) = (B_D, k_D)$. By the induction hypothesis, there is a monochromatic $B'_1 \in \binom{C_1}{B_1}$ in colour (B'_0, k_0) for some $B'_0 \in \binom{C_0}{B_r}$ and k_0 equal to 0 or 1. Let $B' = (B'_0)^\mu * B'_1$. We verify that $\binom{B'}{A}$ is monochromatic in colour k_0 . Let $A' \in \binom{B'}{A}$. Then $A' = E^\mu * D$ for some $E \in \binom{B'_0}{A_r}$ and $D \in \binom{B'_1}{A_1}$. It means that $c'(D) = (B'_0, k_0)$ and therefore $c(A') = c_D(E) = k_0$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 5. *B^0 satisfies the Ramsey property.*

Proof. ... \square

Now we are ready to apply a generalization of the Theorem 7.5 from [KPT05] to uncountable structures for which the class of μ -generated substructures consists of finite structures and has an order forgetful expansion.

Theorem 6 ([Bar 4]). *Let \mathcal{A} be an ω -homogeneous structure. Suppose that finitely-generated substructures of \mathcal{A} are finite and that they satisfy the Ramsey property. Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a Fraïssé order class that is an order forgetful expansion of the*

class of finite substructures of \mathcal{A} . Then $\text{NO}_\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ induced by \mathcal{K} is the universal minimal flow for every dense subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$.

5. APPLICATIONS

Quotients of power set algebras $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/[\kappa]^{<\lambda}$. Throughout this section, let $\lambda \leq \kappa$ be two infinite cardinals. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/[\kappa]^{<\lambda}$ the quotient of the power set algebra on κ by the ideal of sets of cardinality less than λ .

If $\kappa = \lambda$, then $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/[\kappa]^{<\lambda}$ is homogeneous. If $\lambda < \kappa$, then for every $\mu \in [\lambda, \kappa]$ we let P_μ be a unary predicate on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/[\kappa]^{<\lambda}$ consisting of equivalence classes of subsets of κ of cardinality $\leq \mu$. Then the extended structure $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa = (\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/[\kappa]^{<\lambda}, P_\mu : \mu \in [\lambda, \kappa])$ becomes a homogeneous structure. If θ is the order type of $[\lambda, \kappa]$, then \mathcal{B}_θ is the class of all finite substructures of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa$ up to an isomorphisms.

Having shown that $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ has all the desired properties, we are ready to apply Theorem 6 from [Bar 4] to compute the universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa$.

Theorem 7. *Let $\lambda \leq \kappa$ such that either $\kappa \neq \omega_1$ or $\lambda \neq \omega$, then the universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa$ is the space $\text{NO}(\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa)$.*

Theorem 8. *Let G be the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$. If there is no isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$, then the universal minimal flow of G is the space $\text{NO}(\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin})$.*

Theorem 9. [Bar 4] *If $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$ are isomorphic, then the universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{fin}$ is the space $\text{NO}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin})$.*

Following a paper by van Douwen [vD90], we introduce two dense subgroups of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa : S_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ and $T_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$.

Denote by $T_{\kappa,\lambda}$ the set of all bijections between subsets $A, B \subset \kappa$ with $\text{card}(\kappa \setminus A), \text{card}(\kappa \setminus B) < \lambda$. With the operation of composition, T_κ is a monoid, but not a group. We can however assign to each $f \in T_{\kappa,\lambda}$ an automorphism f^* of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa$, $f^*([X]) = [f[X]]$, mapping $T_{\kappa,\lambda}$ onto a subgroup $T_{\kappa,\lambda}^* = \{f^* : f \in T_{\kappa,\lambda}\}$ of the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa$. Since the automorphisms in $T_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ are induced by a pointwise bijection between subsets of κ , we call them *trivial*. Inside of $T_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ we have a normal subgroup of those automorphisms induced by a true permutation of κ , let us denote it by $S_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$. Shelah [She82] (see also [SS88]) proved that consistently every automorphism of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ is trivial. This has been extended to $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\text{fin}$ for all cardinals κ in [Vel93]. Of course, consistently the two groups are different.

By density of $S_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ and $T_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ in the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa$, we can apply Theorem 6 to prove the following.

Corollary 1. *The universal minimal flow of the groups $S_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ and $T_{\kappa,\lambda}^*$ is the space $\text{NO}(\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\kappa)$.*

The countable atomless Boolean algebra. If θ is countable, then both \mathcal{B}_θ and $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}_\theta)$ are Fraïssé classes. Therefore we can consider their Fraïssé limits \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}_<$ respectively. Since \mathcal{C} is a countable atomless Boolean algebra, we know that it is the algebra of clopen subsets of the Cantor space.

By results from [KPT05] summarized in Theorem 3, we can compute the universal minimal flows of groups of automorphisms of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}_<$.

Theorem 10.

- (a) *The universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{C} is $\text{NA}(\mathcal{C})$.*
- (b) *The group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_<$ is extremely amenable.*

Similarly, let \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{D}_<$ be Fraïssé limits of \mathcal{B}^0 and $\text{NA}(\mathcal{B}^0)$ respectively. Then the generic ideal on \mathcal{D} is prime and therefore the dual filter is an ultrafilter. It follows that the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{D} corresponds to the group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set fixing a point.

Theorem 11.

- (a) *The universal minimal flow of the group of automorphisms of \mathcal{D} is $\text{NA}(\mathcal{D})$.*
- (b) *The group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_<$ is extremely amenable.*

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Stevo Todorčević for his kind support and advice while pursuing this work.

REFERENCES

- [AKL] O. Angel, A. Kechris, and R. Lyons. Random orderings and unique ergodicity of automorphism groups. *preprint*.
- [Bar 4] D. Bartošová. Universal minimal flows of groups of automorphisms of uncountable structures. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, Published electronically on July 27, 2012, <http://dx.doi.org:10.4153/CMB-2012-023-4>.
- [BF78] Bohuslav Balcar and Ryszard Frankiewicz. To distinguish topologically the spaces m^* . II. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, 26(6):521–523, 1978.
- [Cho12] David Chodounský. Strong-Q-sequences and small d . *Topology Appl.*, 159(13):2942–2946, 2012.
- [dV93] J. de Vries. *Elements of topological dynamics*, volume 257 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [Fra54] Roland Fraïssé. Sur l’extension aux relations de quelques propriétés des ordres. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)*, 71:363–388, 1954.
- [GR71] R. L. Graham and B. L. Rothschild. Ramsey’s theorem for n -parameter sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 159:257–292, 1971.
- [HC75] Wojciech Herer and Jens Peter Reus Christensen. On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups. *Math. Ann.*, 213:203–210, 1975.
- [Kec] Alexander S. Kechris. Dynamics of non-archimedean polish groups. *To appear in Proceedings of the European Congress of Mathematics, Krakow, Poland, 2012*.
- [KPT05] A. S. Kechris, V. G. Pestov, and S. Todorčević. Fraïssé limits, Ramsey theory, and topological dynamics of automorphism groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 15(1):106–189, 2005.
- [Mel08] Julien Melleray. Some geometric and dynamical properties of the Urysohn space. *Topology Appl.*, 155(14):1531–1560, 2008.
- [MT] J. Melleray and T. Tsankov. Generic representations of abelian groups and extreme amenability. *To appear in Israel J. Math.*
- [NR77] Jaroslav Nešetřil and Vojtěch Rödl. Partitions of finite relational and set systems. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 22(3):289–312, 1977.
- [NR83] Jaroslav Nešetřil and Vojtěch Rödl. Ramsey classes of set systems. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 34(2):183–201, 1983.
- [NVT09] Lionel Nguyen Van The. Ramsey degrees of finite ultrametric spaces, ultrametric Urysohn spaces and dynamics of their isometry groups. *European J. Combin.*, 30(4):934–945, 2009.
- [NVT10] L. Nguyen Van Thé. Structural Ramsey theory of metric spaces and topological dynamics of isometry groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 206(968):x+140, 2010.
- [Pes98] Vladimir G. Pestov. On free actions, minimal flows, and a problem by Ellis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(10):4149–4165, 1998.
- [She82] Saharon Shelah. *Proper forcing*, volume 940 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [Sok10] M. Sokić. Ramsey property of posets and related structures. *Ph.D. Thesis*, 2010.

- [SS88] Saharon Shelah and Juris Steprāns. PFA implies all automorphisms are trivial. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(4):1220–1225, 1988.
- [Ste85] Juris Steprāns. Strong Q -sequences and variations on Martin’s axiom. *Canad. J. Math.*, 37(4):730–746, 1985.
- [Sto36] M. H. Stone. The theory of representations for Boolean algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40(1):37–111, 1936.
- [vD90] Eric K. van Douwen. The automorphism group of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ need not be simple. *Topology Appl.*, 34(1):97–103, 1990.
- [Vel93] Boban Veličković. OCA and automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$. *Topology Appl.*, 49(1):1–13, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, BAHEN CENTER, 40 ST. GEORGE ST., TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA, M5S 2E4
E-mail address: `dana.bartosova@utoronto.ca`