The generalized Phillips' spectra and new dissipation function for wind-driven seas

Vladimir E. Zakharov^{a,b,c,d,e}, Sergei I. Badulin^{a,c,d,*}

^aP.P.Shirshov Institute of Oceanology of Russian Academy of Sciences
 ^bUniversity of Arizona, Tuscon, AZ, USA
 ^cLaboratory of Nonlinear Wave Processes, Novosibirsk State University, Russia
 ^dP.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences
 ^eWaves and Solitons LLC, 1719 W.Marlette Ave., Phoenix, AZ 85015, USA

Abstract

A generalization of the kinetic equation is proposed for explaining observed shapes of wind wave spectra. The approach allows to fix a critical uncertainty in modeling wind wave spectra using a condition of equilibrium of nonlinear transfer and wave dissipation due to breaking. We demonstrate transition from the Kolmogorov-Zakharov spectrum $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$ to the Phillips spectrum $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$. This transition is routinely observed in field experiments. The first results of the generalized kinetic equation simulations are presented.

Keywords: wind-driven waves, kinetic (the Hasselmann) equation, the Phillips spectrum

1. Introduction

Wind waves in seas and lakes represent a complicated natural phenomenon governed by a great number of physical mechanisms. Complete physical theories for many of these mechanisms are not proposed yet that makes particular asymptotic cases extremely valuable. Phillips (1958) considered a limiting case of saturation of a random wave field due to wave breaking. The dimensional consideration gave the famous Phillips energy spectrum $E(\omega) = \alpha g^2 \omega^{-5}$. Phillips himself emphasized the idea of 'hard, saturated limit of the spectrum' where 'any excursion above this limit' is 'relieved immediately by breaking' (see p.506 of Phillips, 1985).

The conceptual passage from the idea of saturation to the concept of an equilibrium of random wave field brought Phillips (1985) himself to the model of spectral balance where all the mechanisms, wave input by wind, dissipation due to breaking and nonlinear transfer due to resonant wave-wave interactions compete on equal terms. In his sophisticated and somewhat eclectic model Phillips (1985) tried to explain other fundamental exponent –4 of wind-wave spectra found in previous theoretical (Kitaigorodskii, 1962; Toba, 1973) and experimental (Kawai, 1979; Mitsuyasu et al., 1982; Forristall, 1981; Kahma, 1981) studies. The essential flaw of the Phillips theoretical construction of spectral equilibrium, in its own words (sect.5 of Phillips, 1985), is a

Preprint submitted to Ocean Modelling

November 8, 2018

^{*}Corresponding author Sergei I. Badulin

Email address: badulin@ioran.ru (Sergei I. Badulin)

number of empirical parameters responsible for wind-wave spectral balance. All these parameters should be matched each other. Phillips formulated the corresponding criteria of consistency of his model but pointed out the difficulties of this matching and deficiency of our knowledge of wind-wave physics. The validation of this well-known and widely accepted model remains a big problem and is not completed so far. Due to this fact, in particular, the model cannot be extended to the case of the classic Phillips spectrum ω^{-5} in a consistent manner. Thus, one has a conceptual gap between the quasi-linear Phillips' vision of spectra close to ω^{-4} and the 'utterly nonlinear' authentic Phillips (1958) spectrum ω^{-5} . The present paper is aimed at filling this gap following the theory of wave turbulence (Zakharov et al., 1992) and its recent extension (Newell and Zakharov, 2008).

In his thorough and prophetic study Phillips missed a key physical feature of nonlinear transfer in wind-wave spectra: the wave-wave interactions are able to provide an equilibrium state 'on their own' in absence of wind input or wave dissipation. Thus, the weakly turbulent Kolmogorov-Zakharov cascade solutions (Zakharov and Filonenko, 1966; Zakharov and Zaslavsky, 1983) appear to be 'self-sufficient' for explaining exponents -4 of direct and -11/3 of inverse cascades as inherent features of deep water wave spectra governed by constant spectral fluxes in an equilibrium range of wave scales. Thorough analysis of wind-wave balance shows that this equilibrium range where nonlinear resonant interactions are dominating does exist for typical conditions of wind seas and for wave scales we are really interested in (Young and van Vledder, 1993; Badulin et al., 2005). The corresponding arguments have been presented recently both in terms of physical scales of nonlinear transfer (Zakharov and Badulin, 2011) and universal links of wind wave parameters (Zakharov et al., 2014).

A remarkable fact is that the weakly turbulent approach can be extended beyond the equilibrium range to the domain where an alternative mechanism competes with the nonlinear transfer on equal terms. This concept of the generalized Phillips' spectra has been developed recently by Newell and Zakharov (2008). The basic assumptions of the weak turbulence theory can keep their formal validity for infinitely long time in the whole range of wave scales. These special solutions provide an alternative treatment of the classic Phillips spectrum ω^{-5} within the weak turbulence theory rather than within the concept of water surface singularities due to breaking.

In this paper we use the extension of the wave turbulence theory by Newell and Zakharov (2008) for explaining the authentic Phillips' spectrum ω^{-5} as a result of competition of wavewave resonant interactions and inherently nonlinear dissipative processes. The new dissipation function can be found in physically consistent way as one corresponding to the generalized Phillips' spectrum. This new dissipation function has remarkable features. First, the balance of wave-wave resonances and nonlinear dissipation fixes the dissipation rate for the classic Phillips spectrum ω^{-5} . This surprising result is in dramatic contrast with conventional Phillips model where the dissipation rate is assumed to be arbitrary. Secondly, within the new model of this paper the dissipation absorbs completely the spectral energy flux directed to infinitely high wave numbers. Thus, the extended wave turbulence approach appears to be able to describe the whole range of wave scales and explain different exponents of the observed wave spectra (Liu, 1989b,a).

In § 2 we give basic equations and necessary comments to the concept of the generalized Phillips' spectra. A simple isotropic model of the generalized Phillips spectrum of deep water waves is discussed in § 3. Stationary and self-similar solutions for this model are analyzed as a basis for further comparison with results of simulations. Results of the simulations of wind-wave spectra within the kinetic equation with the new dissipation function are presented in § 4. Discussion and conclusions are given in § 5.

2. Generalized Phillips' spectrum of deep water waves

In this section we reproduce results of Newell and Zakharov (2008) for a problem of statistical description of wind-wave field. We consider the Hasselmann kinetic equation for spatial spectrum of wave action $N_{\mathbf{k}}$ of wind-driven waves in the following form

$$\frac{\partial N_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} N_{\mathbf{k}} = S_{nl} + S_{in} + S_{diss} \tag{1}$$

Subscripts **k**, **r** for ∇ are used for gradients in wavevector **k** and in coordinate **r** correspondingly. For $N_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ the subscript **k** means dependence on wavevector. The term S_{nl} in (1) is responsible for four-wave resonant interactions. Terms S_{in} and S_{diss} represent correspondingly input of wave action from wind and its dissipation. The description of S_{in} and S_{diss} is based on phenomenological parameterizations mainly (see Cavaleri et al., 2007). It gives very high dispersion of estimates of the terms (see fig. 1 in Badulin et al., 2005) and a great number of physically different compositions of S_{nl} , S_{in} , S_{diss} . Validity and physical correctness of the empirically based terms S_{in} and S_{diss} are generally beyond critical consideration: quantitative aspects are dominating over obvious questions on their physical relevance. It is not the case of the theoretically based term S_{nl} derived from the first principles for assumptions formulated explicitly. In many cases validity of these assumptions can be checked directly, say, in simulations (Korotkevich and Zakharov, 2012).

For deep water waves four-wave resonant interactions are described by the collision integral

$$S_{nl} = \pi g^2 \int |T_{0123}|^2 (N_0 N_1 N_2 + N_1 N_2 N_3 - N_0 N_1 N_2 - N_0 N_1 N_3) \times \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\omega_0 + \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3) d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{k}_3$$
(2)

Here we use convention of Zakharov (2010) for normalization of spectral densities $N(\mathbf{k})$ and kernels T_{0123} . We also use subscripts i = 0...3 to denote dependence on argument \mathbf{k}_i (see Krasitskii, 1994). Collection of cumbersome expressions for the interaction kernel T_{0123} can be found in Appendix of Badulin et al. (2005).

2.1. Homogeneity property of S_{nl} and validity of asymptotic statistical description

Homogeneity properties of S_{nl} are of key importance for our consideration. First, wave frequencies and wavevectors in (2) obey the power-law dispersion relation for linear deep water waves

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{g|\mathbf{k}|}.\tag{3}$$

Interaction kernel $|T_{0123}|^2$ is also a homogeneous function of power 6, i.e.

$$|T(\kappa \mathbf{k}_0, \kappa \mathbf{k}_1, \kappa \mathbf{k}_2, \kappa \mathbf{k}_3)|^2 = \kappa^6 |T(\mathbf{k}_0, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)|^2$$
(4)

Correspondingly, for the collision integral (2) that is cubic in wave action spectral density one has the well-known re-scaling property in terms of wavenumber dependence

$$S_{nl}[\kappa \mathbf{k}, \nu N_{\mathbf{k}}] = \kappa^{19/2} \nu^3 S_{nl}[\mathbf{k}, N_{\mathbf{k}}].$$
(5)

or in terms of dependence on frequency ω

$$S_{nl}[\upsilon\omega, \nu N_{\omega}] = \upsilon^{11} \nu^3 S_{nl}[\omega, N_{\omega}]$$
(6)

One can see that the collision integral depends heavily on wave scales and amplitudes that may break validity of the asymptotic approach during long-time evolution of wave field. One of the basic assumptions of the asymptotic approach is smallness of wave period T as compared with time scale of nonlinear interactions, i.e.

$$\frac{T}{T_{nl}} = \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} N_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{dN_{\mathbf{k}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} N_{\mathbf{k}}} S_{nl} \ll 1$$
(7)

It can be checked that for

$$N_{\mathbf{k}} \sim |\mathbf{k}|^{-9/2} \quad \text{or} \quad N_{\omega} \sim \omega^{-5}$$
 (8*a*, *b*)

conditions (5,6) are satisfied for any stretching parameter κ and v in (5,6). In other words, the asymptotic approach appears to be formally valid at any wave scale. Moreover, one can prove that this is true at any order of the asymptotic approach. For the collision integral asymptotic series

$$S_{nl} = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} S_{nl}^{(n)}$$
(9)

conditions similar to (7) remain valid for every term $S_{nl}^{(n)}$ that represents resonant interaction of *n* waves (Newell and Zakharov, 2008). Thus, the generalized Phillips spectrum (8) correspond to a special case when asymptotic description of wave-wave interaction can be formally extended over the whole range of wave scales. It gives a chance to propose a model where weak nonlinearity acts as a strong physical factor and is able to concur with other strong physical mechanisms, say, with dissipation due to wave breaking.

It should be stressed that the found Phillips spectrum for deep water waves differs from the classic Kolmogorov-Zakharov solutions for direct and inverse cascading (Zakharov and Filonenko, 1966; Zakharov and Zaslavsky, 1983)

$$N^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}) = C_p P^{1/3} g^{-2/3} |\mathbf{k}|^{-4}$$
(10)

$$N^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = C_q Q^{1/3} g^{-1/2} |\mathbf{k}|^{-23/6}$$
(11)

(*P*, *Q* – energy and wave action flux, C_p , C_q – the corresponding Kolmogorov's constants). Collision integral S_{nl} for solutions (10, 11) is plain zero and estimates of the kinetic equation validity (7) requires special care. Following the simplest (but not trivial) way proposed recently in Zakharov (2010); Zakharov and Badulin (2011) one can split the nonlinear transfer term S_{nl} into two parts – nonlinear forcing F_k and definitely positive term of nonlinear damping $\Gamma_k N_k$ (Γ_k – nonlinear damping rate) as follows

$$S_{nl} = F_{\mathbf{k}} - \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}} N_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Evidently, the relaxation rate Γ_k gives a physically correct estimate of time scale of nonlinear wave-wave interactions in the kinetic equation (1). Thus, the KZ solution breaks when (see eq.17 in Zakharov and Badulin, 2011)

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\omega \simeq 4\pi g |\mathbf{k}|^9 N_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \simeq 1$$

that gives

$$4\pi C_p^2 g^{-1/3} P^{2/3} |\mathbf{k}_{br}| \simeq 1$$

for direct cascade solution by Zakharov and Filonenko (1966) and

$$4\pi C_q Q^{2/3} |\mathbf{k}_{br}|^{4/3} \simeq 1$$

for the inverse cascade by Zakharov and Zaslavsky (1983). The generalized Phillips' spectrum (8) being steeper than its KZ counterparts cannot be balanced 'on its own'. In contrast to KZ solutions (10, 11) it requires an additional term to provide a full balance in the right-hand side of the kinetic equation.

2.2. Matching the dissipation function with nonlinear transfer term

Matching a dissipation function can be done from different physical positions. First, one can rely completely on dimensional consideration for constructing a nonlinear dissipation function in the spirit of conventional wind-wave models as a local nonlinear function of spectral density $N(\mathbf{k})(N(\omega))$, frequency ω (or wavenumber $|\mathbf{k}|$ and gravity acceleration g as the only physical scale of deep water waves. To match homogeneity conditions (7) of the nonlinear transfer term for the (8) spectrum one has

$$S_{diss}[\mathbf{k}, N_{\mathbf{r}}] = \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} N_{\mathbf{k}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g^{1/2} \mathbf{k}^{9/2} N_{\mathbf{k}}) \omega N_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (12)$$

$$S_{diss}[\omega, N_{\omega}] = \gamma_{\omega} N_{\omega} = \Phi_{\omega}(\omega^{6} N_{\omega}/g^{2}) \omega N_{\omega}$$
(13)

with arbitrary functions $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ or Φ_{ω} of non-dimensional arguments. Such 'conventional' approach implies that wave dissipation is determined by instantaneous state of wave field and, in a sense, represents the Phillips (1958) authentic idea of saturated spectra.

An alternative way to introduce the nonlinear dissipation is to follow the Phillips idea of an equilibrium of different physical mechanisms, in our case, wave dissipation and nonlinear transfer. It can be regarded as 'more physical': we assume that flux of energy (or wave action) due to nonlinear transfer is damped by nonlinear dissipation. Thus, one has a dynamical equilibrium provided by these two physical mechanisms. Such vision of wave balance can be formulated quite naturally in terms of wave turbulence where spectral flux of energy *P* plays a key role

$$S_{diss}[\omega, E_{\omega}] = \Psi(P\omega^3/g^2) \frac{P}{\omega}$$
(14)

where Ψ is arbitrary function of non-dimensional argument based on the flux *P*.

3. The Phillips dissipation function and Phillips' spectrum

Consider the simplest model of balance of nonlinear transfer and wave dissipation (14) that satisfy condition (7) and provides the Phillips spectrum (8) formally in the whole range of wave scales

$$\frac{dE(\omega)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial P(\omega)}{\partial \omega} - \Psi(P\omega^3/g^2)\frac{P(\omega)}{\omega}$$
(15)

The right-hand side of (15) operates with formally non-local quantity of spectral flux of wave energy

$$P(\omega) = -\int_0^\omega \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_{nl}[\omega, \theta, E_\omega] d\omega d\theta$$
(16)

In fact, this non-local value can be interpreted quite naturally using homogeneity properties (5, 6). For gravity waves $P \sim E^3(\omega)\omega^{12}$ (see 10) one has

$$\frac{P\omega^3}{g^2} \sim \frac{E^3(\omega)\omega^{15}}{g^6} = \mu_w^6$$
(17)

i.e. the non-dimensional argument of Ψ is proportional to wave steepness in power 6. Note, that we use differential frequency-dependent steepness μ_w in (17) in contrast to integral steepness

$$\mu_p^2 = \frac{E_{tot}\omega_p^4}{g^2} \tag{18}$$

(ω_p spectral peak frequency) or cumulative steepness

$$\mu_c^2 = g^{-2} \int_0^\omega E(\omega) \omega^4 \mathrm{d}\omega.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

The steepness definition (18) in terms of total energy E_{tot} gives always finite values. Typically, for growing wind sea it is below 0.1 and is decaying with time or fetch. Two alternative definitions (17,19) are useful for description high-frequency part of wave spectra. For weakly turbulent direct cascade solution (10) the differential and cumulative steepnesses are infinitely growing functions $\mu_w \sim \mu_c \sim \sqrt{\omega}$. The Phillips spectrum $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-5}$ gives saturation of differential steepness μ_w and logarithmic growth of μ_c .

Our interpretation of function $\Psi(P\omega^3/g^2)$ equalizes two different models of wave dissipation: local in spectral density (12, 13) and non-local flux-dependent one (14). We fix this parallel below in the notation of wave steepness

$$\mu_w = \frac{P\omega^3}{g^2} = \mu.$$

3.1. Stationary solutions of the generalized kinetic equation

The stationary solution of (15) for an arbitrary dependence $\Psi(\mu)$ gives nothing but dependence of steepness $\mu = P\omega^3/g^2$ on wave frequency

$$\int_0^\mu \frac{6d\mu}{\mu \left(3 - \Psi(\mu^6)\right)} = \ln\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_1}\right). \tag{20}$$

A finite ω_1 in (20) is introduced from dimensional consideration only. The denominator of the integrand in (20) must not be zero at finite frequency ω for the integrand convergence and, hence, for physically correct values of μ (strictly positive). Thus, function $\Psi(\mu)$ has to be tending to a constant value. Any cases but $\Psi(\mu) \rightarrow 3$ give power-law dependence of μ on frequency ω . As far as μ has a sense of wave steepness the case $\Psi(\mu) \rightarrow 3$ is seen as the only physically relevant one. In this case only the steepness for infinitely short waves is decaying with ω .

Important results can be obtained for power-law dependence of function Ψ on non-dimensional spectral flux $P\omega^3/g^2$. Let

$$\Psi = C \left(\frac{P\omega^3}{g^2}\right)^R \tag{21}$$

Stationary solution of (15) obeys

$$C\left(\frac{P\omega^3}{g^2}\right)^R = 3\tag{22}$$

Thus, the dissipation function $\Psi(P\omega^3/g^2)$ should be constant for the stationary solution for any exponent *R* in (21). Note, that for R = 0 in (21) the formal stationary solution gives an arbitrary exponent that is determined by coefficient *C*, i.e.

$$P \sim \omega^{-C}$$
.

Mathematically, the arbitrary coefficient *C* can give an arbitrary exponent for frequency spectrum. But from physical viewpoint we should take care because at R = 0 the key physical parameter – gravity acceleration *g* falls out of the model. To avoid 'the non-physical freedom' one should take a formal limit at $R \rightarrow 0$ that fixes the value C = 3.

For $R \neq 0$ exponent (-3) and dissipation rate *C* are fixed by condition (22). Surprisingly, the condition of stationarity does not depend on choice of power-like function Ψ , i.e. on dependence of dissipation on wave steepness.

From homogeneity property (17) one gets immediately the Phillips spectrum

$$E(\omega) = \alpha_{Ph} g^2 \omega^{-5} \tag{23}$$

where the Phillips α_{Ph} can be determined from the relationship between energy spectrum and spectral flux.

3.2. Self-similar solutions for the generalized kinetic equation

Stationary solutions cannot be considered as a final argument for the Phillips spectrum: solutions that evolve in time and space should be studied as routes to possible equilibria of wave spectra. Fortunately, for R = 0 the generalized kinetic equation (15) has self-similar solutions and re-scaling property (17) can be used in its full.

Consider spatially homogeneous (the so-called duration-limited) solutions of (15) in the form

$$E \sim t^{p+q} U_p(\omega t^q) \tag{24}$$

Total energy of this solution grows as

$$E_{tot} \sim t^p \tag{25}$$

Looking for homogeneity properties of (1) one gets from (17) the following conditions for exponents p, q

$$p = \frac{9q - 1}{2} \tag{26}$$

that is the same as for the family of self-similar solutions of the authentic conservative kinetic equation (see Badulin et al., 2005, for details). The second condition single out special exponents for self-similar solutions of the generalized kinetic equation (15)

$$R(q-1) = 0 (27)$$

The exponent q = 1 is unrealistic as soon as it corresponds to very fast growth of energy $(E_{tot} \sim t^4)$ while R = 0 looks quite attractive for the model of wave dissipation.

The advantage of the proposed dissipation function in (15)

$$S_{diss} = -C_{Ph} \frac{P(\omega)}{\omega}$$
(28)

is two-fold. First, self-similar solutions are seen usually as physically relevant asymptotics of solutions with arbitrary initial and boundary conditions. The second feature of the dissipation function is more important for further study. While the nonlinear transfer and dissipation terms have similar properties of homogeneity they can be balanced without additional fitting parameters. Thus, choosing the dissipation function (28) we follow the Phillips (1985) idea 'like cures like'.

Note, that Phillips (1985) realized this principle for all three terms in the right-hand side of the kinetic equation by fitting essentially nonlinear collision integral S_{nl} and quasi-linear functions S_{in} , S_{diss} to each other. The outcome of such composition was the well-known energy spectrum $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$ associated with a number of experimental findings (e.g. Toba, 1973; Donelan et al., 1985; Battjes et al., 1987). Extremely high price for this sophisticated composition was a number of uncertainties in empirical parameters of functions of wave input and dissipation (see sect.5 'Constraints on the empirical constants' in Phillips, 1985).

Following the Phillips principle 'like cures like' for the case of self-similar evolution with dissipation function (28) we avoid the Phillips problem:

the spectral balance in our model does not require any fitting parameters.

3.3. Universality of dissipation function for the Phillips spectrum $E \sim \omega^{-5}$

Important analytical results can be acquired from analysis developed by Geogjaev and Zakharov (2015). Let us estimate collision integral S_{nl} for power-like isotropic functions

$$E(\omega) = E_0 \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right)^{-x}$$
(29)

One can find an explicit expression

$$S_{nl}^{(\varepsilon)} = 8\pi^2 g^{-4} E_0^3 \omega_0^{11} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right)^{11-3x} F(x)$$
(30)

where function F(x) is calculated by integration in all the resonant quadruplets (see Geogjaev and Zakharov, 2015, for details). Here we used superscript for collision integral in the kinetic equation (1) written for energy. Function F(x) is shown in fig. 1 This function tends to infinity at x = 1 and x = 11/2 when the corresponding integral diverges. Two zeroes of function F(x) correspond to classic Kolmogorov-Zakharov solutions for direct (x = 4) and inverse (x = 11/3) cascades (see eqs. 10, 11).

Similar analytical expression can be obtained for spectral flux of wave energy P

$$P = 8\pi^2 g^{-4} E_0^3 \omega_0^{12} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right)^{12-3x} \frac{F(x)}{3x-12}$$
(31)

For the inverse cascade case x = 11/3 the energy flux vanishes F(x) = 0 – there is no but wave action flux to low frequencies. For x = 4 – the direct cascade F(x) tends to zero together with the denominator in (31) that gives finite constant energy flux.



Figure 1: Function F(x). In the right panel the plot is zoomed in order to show its behavior near points corresponding to the Kolmogorov-Zakharov solutions x = 11/3 and x = 4.

Comparing (30) and (31) one can get a ratio that can be related with the dissipation coefficient C_{Ph} in (28) for formally stationary solutions

$$\frac{\omega \partial P/\partial \omega}{P} = 3x - 12 = C_{Ph} \tag{32}$$

For the Phillips spectrum with x = 5 this ratio gives $C_{Ph} = 3$, i.e. exactly the coefficient for an arbitrary dependence of dissipation function on non-dimensional argument $P\omega^3/g^2$ (see 22). Stress again, that this coefficient is fixed for the stationary Phillips spectrum.

3.4. The Phillips dissipation function for wind sea modelling

A number of physical constraints should be accounted for constructing a dissipation function for modelling wind sea spectra. First, we express our above findings in terms of conventional dependencies on spectral densities or differential steepness μ_w rather than on spectral flux as in the primitive model (15). Secondly, we introduce a 'switch function' Ξ of a set of physical variables that reflects essential features of strong dissipation associated with wind wave breaking: the breaking usually occurs for sufficiently steep waves or/and for relatively short waves which length is about one order of magnitude shorter than one of dominating (spectral peak) waves (Hwang, 2007). Thus, one can propose the following general form of the dissipation function

$$S_{diss}[\omega, E(\omega)] = C_{diss}\mu_w^4 \omega E(\omega) \Xi(\omega/\omega_p, \mu_w, \ldots)$$
(33)

In this study we use the simplest form of the 'switch function' for simulations

$$\Xi(\omega/\omega_p) = \Theta(\omega/\omega_p - a) \tag{34}$$

where $\Theta(\omega/\omega_p - a)$ is the Heaviside function. We let the cut-off parameter to be in the range $a = 2 \div 4$ (Hwang et al., 2002; Hwang, 2007; Hwang et al., 2010) in simulations presented in the next section. Introducing the cut-off parameter *a* we fix an additional physical scale of the spectral peak frequency ω_p that makes wave breakers to be non-uniformly distributed in wave scales that is in contrast with the authentic theory of the Phillips (1958) spectrum.

Analysis of the previous section provides an estimate of the dissipation coefficient C_{diss} for the Phillips spectrum (29). Assuming a = 0 (no breaking cut-off) one has the balance of dissipation and nonlinearity at

$$C_{diss} = C_{Phillips} = 8\pi^2 F(5) \approx 2.03 \tag{35}$$

With the approximate expression for F(x) at $x \to 11/2$ one gets (Geogjaev and Zakharov, 2015)

$$F(x) = \frac{0.0129}{11/2 - x}, \qquad x \to 11/2$$

The theoretical estimate of the Phillips dissipation coefficient $C_{Phillips}$ (35) gives a good reference in our numerical study for the dissipation coefficient C_{diss} in (33).

4. Numerical results

Numerical simulation of the kinetic equation (1) has been carried out in order to check the simple theory presented above. The WRT algorithm (Webb, 1978; Tracy and Resio, 1982) was used for calculation collision integral. The dissipation function was calculated in accordance with definition (33) with the cut-off function (34), i.e. in terms of 'observable' parameters of wave spectra. In this paper we present the very first results of simulations within the simplest setup. We studied duration-limited evolution (spatially homogeneous) of initially isotropic spectrum. The initial spectrum is given by JONSWAP-like formula for frequency spectrum of energy

$$E(\omega) = \frac{\alpha g^2}{\omega_p} \omega^{-4} \exp\left(-\frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_p}\right)^{-4}\right) \gamma^G$$
(36)

where

$$G = \exp\left(-\frac{(\omega - \omega_p)^2}{2\sigma_p^2 \omega_p^2}\right)$$
(37)

with default peakedness parameters $\gamma = 3.3$, $\sigma_p = 0.08$. The initial wavelength $\lambda_p = 2\pi g/\omega_p^2$ corresponds to rather long swell $\lambda_p \approx 240$ m. Minimal frequency in simulations $f_{min} = 0.02$ Hz, maximal – $f_{max} = 2$ Hz, peak frequency $f_p = 0.079$ Hz, the dissipation cutoff is set at four times higher frequency $f_{diss} = 0.319$ Hz. With logarithmic grid each frequency domain (low bound $[f_{min} - f_p], [f_p - f_{diss}]$) contains approximately the same number of grid points. In absence of wave input slow spectral downshift does not change essentially this division.

The reference case of 'pure swell' is presented in fig. 2. As it was demonstrated in Badulin et al. (2005) the solution evolves to a self-similar shape. In our case we see a transition to a self-similar behavior rather than the self-similarity itself because of relatively short time of evolution and realistic low wave steepness. Energy spectra (top fig. 2) show downshifting and a peakedness growth. The energy spectral flux tends to constant magnitudes in a wide range of non-dimensional frequencies ($3 < \omega/\omega_p < 20$). Integral wave steepness μ_p (circles in bottom fig. 2) is going down with time as well as curves of cumulative steepness μ_c which is growing function of frequency. The differential steepness shows similar behavior: steep growth with frequency and decay with time.

Fig. 3 presents results for dissipation function with coefficient $C_{diss} = 1.22 = 0.6C_{Phillips}$ and dissipation cutoff frequency $\omega_{diss} = 2 \text{rad}^{-1}$. This coefficient is almost two times less than our estimate of the Phillips coefficient $C_{Phillips} = 2.03$ defined for the Phillips exponent (-5). One can see appearance of essentially steeper spectrum tail as compared to 'pure swell' of fig. 2 and dramatic reduction of all the steepness parameters μ_p , μ_w while for cumulative steepness μ_c one can see a sort of saturation. At the same time, the differential steepness μ_w shows a gradual growth with frequency. In bottom-right fig. 3 we give curves in accordance with two possible definitions of dissipation, first, in terms of spectral flux (14), second, as a function of



Figure 2: The kinetic equation solutions for reference case of swell: top-left – frequency spectra of energy, hard straight line $-E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$, dashed $-\omega^{-5}$; top-right – energy flux (curves), straight line corresponds to law $P \sim \omega^{-3}$; bottom-left – cumulative (lines) and integral wave steepness (symbols at $\omega/\omega_p = 1$); bottom-right – differential steepness defined in terms of spectral flux (bold line) and parameter μ_w . Time in seconds is given in legend.



Figure 3: The kinetic equation solutions for dissipation function (33) with $C_{Ph} = 1.22$ and dissipation cutoff $\omega_{diss} = 2 \text{rad}^{-1}$: top-left – frequency spectra of energy, hard straight line – $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$, dashed – ω^{-5} ; top-right – energy flux (curves), straight line corresponds to law $P \sim \omega^{-3}$; bottom-left – cumulative (lines) and integral wave steepness (symbols at $\omega/\omega_p = 1$); bottom-right – differential steepness defined in terms of spectral flux (bold line) and parameter μ_w . Time in seconds is given in legend.



Figure 4: The kinetic equation solutions for dissipation function (33) with $C_{Ph} = 1.9$ and dissipation cutoff $\omega_{diss} = 2 \text{rad}^{-1}$: top-left – frequency spectra of energy, hard straight line – $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$, dashed – ω^{-5} ; top-right – energy flux (curves), straight line corresponds to law $P \sim \omega^{-3}$; bottom-left – cumulative (lines) and integral wave steepness (symbols at $\omega/\omega_p = 1$); bottom-right – differential steepness defined in terms of spectral flux (bold line) and parameter μ_w . Time in seconds is given in legend.

wave steepness μ_w (33,34) and conventional presentation of dissipation in wave models (12, 13).

Higher dissipation rate $C_{Ph} = 1.9$ approximately 5% lower than the Phillips dissipation coefficient $C_{Phillips} = 2.03$ shows very strong tendency to Phillips spectrum $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-5}$. The bottom-right panel fixes two features: first, the differential steepness is tending to saturation, second, two alternative definitions of the parameter, in terms of spectral flux (bold lines) and as function of wave amplitude (thin line), appear to be close to each other.

One more example illustrates the effect of dissipation cutoff. In fig. 5 all the parameters of simulation are the same as in previous case but dissipation cutoff is set at $\omega_{diss} = 3 \text{rad}^{-1}$. One can see the same effect: very strong tendency of the solution to Phillips' asymptotics and saturation of all the steepness parameters (μ_p , μ_c , μ_w)



Figure 5: The kinetic equation solutions for dissipation function (33) with $C_{Ph} = 1.9$ and dissipation cutoff $\omega_{diss} = 3 \text{rad}^{-1}$: top-left – frequency spectra of energy, hard straight line – $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-4}$, dashed – ω^{-5} ; top-right – energy flux (curves), straight line corresponds to law $P \sim \omega^{-3}$; bottom-left – cumulative (lines) and integral wave steepness (symbols at $\omega/\omega_p = 1$); bottom-right – differential steepness defined in terms of spectral flux (bold line) and parameter μ_w . Time in seconds is given in legend.

5. Conclusions and Discussion

In this work we proposed to reanimate the Phillips idea of wave spectra as a result of a balance of different physical mechanisms. We combine effects of nonlinear transfer due to four-wave resonant interactions of weakly nonlinear deep water waves and dissipation dealing with wave breaking. These two effects can be co-existing in quite good symbiosis for the famous Phillips spectrum $E(\omega) \sim \omega^{-5}$ (8): just this spectral shape makes asymptotic kinetic equation to be formally valid in the whole range of wave scales. Thus, we have a good example of the generalized Phillips spectrum in this case.

There is one more point of interest in competition of dissipation and nonlinearity for the Phillips spectrum. Stationarity of this spectrum requires certain magnitude of dissipation coefficient – the Phillips coefficient. This is in contrast to widely accepted models of wave dissipation where dissipation function is assumed to be arbitrary: the spectral shaping does not depend on the dissipation magnitude. We gave an analytical estimate of the Phillips dissipation coefficient $C_{Phillips} \approx 2.03$.

Our simulation within the simplest setup of slowly evolving isotropic wave field justified our theoretical results. Shape of wave spectra are shown to be sensitive to magnitude of wave dissipation. For values close to the found theoretical value $C_{Phillips} \approx 2.03$ the spectra are tending to the Phillips classic tail ω^{-5} and appeared to be long-lived. Deviation from this value gives alternative spectral slopes. Variety of spectral slope is well-known from experimental studies. Collection of spectral slopes derived from buoy data have been presented, say, by Liu (1989b,a). Fig. 8 by Liu (1989b) shows a wide range of the exponents: 90% of them fall into range 3 - 7with a maximum between 4 and 5. This high dispersion has been attributed to 'an equilibrium range' of wave spectra with no clear idea what mechanisms are responsible for this equilibrium and why the Phillips spectrum ω^{-5} is emphasized in this experimental collection.

Our very first numerical experiments with the new dissipation function showed robustness of the effect of nonlinear dissipation. Its effect leads to saturation of wave steepness both in integral (μ_p) or differential (μ_w) quantities. This feature is of great importance for further simulation of wave spectra with both effects of wave input and dissipation taken into account. High non-linearity of the new dissipation function suppresses effect of wave pumping in high frequency completely and, thus, the classic Phillips spectrum continues to play an important role in general case.

Authors are thankful to Vladimir Geogjaev for providing fig.1 and helpful discussion of results. The research was conducted under grant of Russian Science Foundation N14-22-00174. This support is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Badulin, S. I., Pushkarev, A. N., Resio, D., Zakharov, V. E., 2005. Self-similarity of wind-driven seas. Nonl. Proc. Geophys. 12, 891–946.
- Battjes, J. A., Zitman, T. J., Holthuijsen, L. H., 1987. A reanalysis of the spectra observed in JONSWAP. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 17 (8), 1288–1295.
- Cavaleri, L., Alves, J.-H. G. M., Ardhuin, F., Babanin, A., Banner, M., Belibassakis, K., Benoit, M., Donelan, M., Groeneweg, J., Herbers, T. H. C., Hwang, P., Janssen, P. A. E. M., Janssen, T., Lavrenov, I. V., Magne, R., Monbaliu, J., Onorato, M., Polnikov, V., Resio, D., Rogers, W. E., Sheremet, A., Smith, J. M., Tolman, H. L., van Vledder, G., Wolf, J., Young, I., 2007. Wave modelling – the state of the art. Progr. Ocean. 75.
- Donelan, M. A., Hamilton, J., Hui, W. H., 1985. Directional spectra of wind-generated waves. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 315, 509–562.

Forristall, G. Z., 1981. Measurements of a saturated range in ocean wave spectra. J. Geophys. Res. 86, 8075-8084.

- Geogjaev, V. V., Zakharov, V. E., 2015. On interaction of short and long gravity waves on the surace of deep fluid. Ocean Modelling, submitted.
- Hwang, P. A., 2007. Spectral signature of wave breaking in surface wave components of intermediate-length scale. Journal of Marine Systems 66 (1–4), 28–31.
- Hwang, P. A., Wang, D. W., Teague, W. J., Jacobs, G. A., Wesson, J., Burrage, D., 2002. Anatomy of the ocean surface roughness. Report NRL/FR/7330–02-10,036, Naval Research Laboratory, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-5004, Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5660.
- Hwang, P. A., Zhang, B., Toporkov, J. V., Perrie, W., 2010. Comparison of composite Bragg theory and quad-polarization radar backscatter from RADARSAT-2: with applications to wave breaking and high wind retrieval. J. Geophys. Res. 115 (C08019).
- Kahma, K. K., 1981. A study of the growth of the wave spectrum with fetch. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 11, 1503–1515.
- Kawai, S., 1979. Generation of initial wavelets by instability of a coupled shear flow and their evolution to wind waves. J. Fluid Mech. 93, 661–703.
- Kitaigorodskii, S. A., 1962. Applications of the theory of similarity to the analysis of wind-generated wave motion as a stochastic process. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Geophys. Ser., Engl. Transl. N1, 105–117.
- Korotkevich, A. O., Zakharov, V. E., 2012. On the applicability of the Hasselmann kinetic equation to the Phillips spectrum. ArXiv e-prints: 1212.6522.
- Krasitskii, V. P., 1994. On reduced Hamiltonian equations in the nonlinear theory of water surface waves. J. Fluid Mech. 272, 1–20.
- Liu, P. C., 1989a. On the slope of the equilibrium range in the frequency spectrum of wind waves. J. Geophys. Res. 94 (C4), 5017–5023.
- Liu, P. C., 1989b. What is the slope of equilibrium range in the frequency spectrum of wind waves? In: Edge, B. L. (Ed.), Proceedings of the International Twenty-first Coastal Engineering Conference, June 20-25, 1988, Coata del Sol-Malaga, Spain. Vol. 3. M.ASCE, (Edge and Co., Inc., 901 Plantation Lane, Mt. Pleasant, SC), New York, NY, pp. 1045–1057.
- Mitsuyasu, H., Tasai, H., Suhara, F., Mizuno, T., Honda, S. O. T., Rikiishi, K., 1982. Observation of power spectrum of ocean waves using a clover-leaf buoy. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 10, 286–296.
- Newell, A. C., Zakharov, V. E., 2008. The role of the generalized Phillips' spectrum in wave turbulence. Phys. Lett. A 372, 4230–4233.
- Phillips, O., 1958. The equilibrium range in the spectrum of wind-generated waves. J. Fluid Mech. 4, 426-434.
- Phillips, O. M., 1985. Spectral and statistical properties of the equilibrium range in wind-generated gravity waves. J. Fluid Mech. 156, 505–531.
- Toba, Y., 1973. Local balance in the air-sea boundary processes. II. partition of wind stress to waves and current. J. Oceanogr. Soc. Japan 29, 70–75.

Tracy, B., Resio, D., 1982. Theory and calculation of the nonlinear energy transfer between sea waves in deep water. WES Rep. 11, US Army, Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Webb, D. J., 1978. Non-linear transfers between sea waves. Deep Sea Res. 25, 279-298.

Young, I. R., van Vledder, G., 1993. A review of the central role of nonlinear interactions in wind-wave evolution. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 342, 505–524.

Zakharov, V. E., 2010. Energy balance in a wind-driven sea. Phys. Scr. T142, 014052.

- Zakharov, V. E., Badulin, S. I., 2011. On energy balance in wind-driven seas. Doklady Earth Sciences 440 (Part 2), 1440–1444.
- Zakharov, V. E., Badulin, S. I., Hwang, P. A., Caulliez, G., Nov. 2014. Universality of Sea Wave Growth and Its Physical Roots. ArXiv e-prints: 1411.7235.

Zakharov, V. E., Falkovich, G., Lvov, V., 1992. Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence. Part I. Springer, Berlin.

- Zakharov, V. E., Filonenko, N. N., 1966. Energy spectrum for stochastic oscillations of the surface of a fluid. Soviet Phys. Dokl. 160, 1292–1295.
- Zakharov, V. E., Zaslavsky, M. M., 1983. Shape of spectrum of energy carrying components of a water surface in the weak-turbulence theory of wind waves. Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys. 19 (3), 207212.