

SIMPLICITY AND SIMILARITY OF KIRILLOV-RESHETIKHIN CRYSTALS

MASATO OKADO

ABSTRACT. We show that the Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystal $B^{r,s}$ for nonexceptional affine types is simple and have the similarity property. As a corollary of the first fact we can derive that $B^{r_1, s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes B^{r_l, s_l}$ is connected. Variations of the second property are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is widely recognized that Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules [20, 2, 3] have distinguished properties among finite-dimensional modules of quantum affine algebras. One of such properties is the so called T -systems [21], certain algebraic relations among their q -characters [7, 24, 10]. Another property is the conjectural existence of crystal basis [9, 8]. We call it Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KR) crystal, and denote it by $B^{r,s}$ since it is parametrized by $(r, s) \in I \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where I is the index set of the Dynkin diagram of the affine algebra and 0 is the index as prescribed in [12]. In [14] many KR crystals were constructed through the study of perfect crystals [13]. The existence of $B^{r,1}$ for any affine type was shown in [18] and its combinatorial structure was clarified in [23, 11]. Recently, the existence of KR crystals was settled in [26] for all affine algebras of nonexceptional types. The crystal structure of these KR crystals was clarified in [4]. Using this result the conjecture on the perfectness [9, 8] was solved for nonexceptional types in [5].

In this paper, we show two more important and naturally expected properties of KR crystals. The first one is the simplicity (Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.2) introduced in [1]. As a consequence, one can show the tensor product of KR crystals $B^{r_1, s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes B^{r_l, s_l}$ is connected. The second one is the similarity (Theorem 4.2), which was first observed in [17] for the crystal $B(\lambda)$ of the irreducible highest weight module of highest weight λ . We also consider variations of the similarity in §5. Combining (2-i) and (1-iii) (or (1-v)) one can settle Conjecture 6.6 in [27] on the alignedness of the virtual crystal.

Two remarks are in order. In September 2009 Sagaki informed the author that the simplicity can also be shown using the method in [22]. The connectedness of the two fold tensor product of perfect KR crystals was shown in [6] under certain assumptions, which were settled later in [29].

2. REVIEW ON KR CRYSTALS

2.1. Crystals. We do not review the notion of crystal bases or crystals but refer the reader to [15, 16]. In this paper crystal operators are denoted by e_i, f_i instead

of \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i and we only consider seminormal crystals satisfying

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e_i^k b \neq 0\}, \quad \varphi_i(b) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid f_i^k b \neq 0\}$$

for an element b of a crystal B . Throughout the paper \mathfrak{g} stands for an affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra [12] whose weight lattice, simple roots, simple coroots, fundamental weights are denoted by $P, \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ with index set I . \mathfrak{g}_0 is the underlying finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} whose index set is $I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$ following the convention of [12]. $\overline{P}, \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_0}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I_0}, \{\overline{\Lambda}_i\}_{i \in I_0}$ are the weight lattice, simple roots, simple coroots, fundamental weights of \mathfrak{g}_0 . $U_q(\mathfrak{g}), U_q(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ are the quantized enveloping algebras of $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ without the degree operator q^d .

A crystal B with index set I is said to be *regular* if, for any subset $J \subset I$, B is isomorphic to the crystal bases associated to an integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ -module, where $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ is the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the Chevalley generators with index set J . It is known [16] that the Weyl group W acts on any regular crystal. Let $\{s_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the simple reflections of W . The action \mathcal{S} is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{s_i} b = \begin{cases} f_i^{\langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle} b & \text{if } \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle \geq 0, \\ e_i^{-\langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle} b & \text{if } \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let a crystal B with index set I be given and $J \subset I$. Then we can regard B as a J -crystal by only considering e_i, f_i for $i \in J$. We also say an element b is J -highest (resp. J -lowest) if $e_i b = 0$ (resp. $f_i b = 0$) for all $i \in J$.

2.2. $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ branching and \pm -diagrams. Let $X_n = B_n, C_n,$ or D_n . In this subsection we review from [4, §3.2] the branching rule for $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ involving \pm -diagrams introduced in [28]. A \pm -diagram P of shape Λ/λ is a sequence of partitions $\lambda \subset \mu \subset \Lambda$ such that Λ/μ and μ/λ are horizontal strips (i.e. every column contains at most one box). We depict this \pm -diagram by the skew tableau of shape Λ/λ in which the cells of μ/λ are filled with the symbol $+$ and those of Λ/μ are filled with the symbol $-$. Write $\Lambda = \text{outer}(P)$ and $\lambda = \text{inner}(P)$ for the outer and inner shapes of the \pm -diagram P . When drawing partitions or tableaux, we use the French convention where the parts are drawn in increasing order from top to bottom.

There are a couple further type-specific requirements:

- (1) For type C_n the outer shape Λ contains columns of height at most n , but the inner shape λ is not allowed to be of height n (hence there are no empty columns of height n).
- (2) For type B_n the outer shape Λ contains columns of height at most n ; for the columns of height n , the \pm -diagram can contain at most one 0 between $+$ and $-$ at height n and no empty columns are allowed; furthermore there may be a spin column of height n and width $1/2$ containing $+$ or $-$.
- (3) For type D_n suppose $\Lambda = k_1 \Lambda_1 + \cdots + k_{n-1} \Lambda_{n-1} + k_n \Lambda_n$. If $k_n \geq k_{n-1}$ we depict this weight by $(k_n - k_{n-1})/2$ columns of height n colored 1 (where we interpret a $1/2$ column as a Λ_n spin column if $k_n - k_{n-1}$ is odd), k_{n-1} columns of height $n-1$, and as usual k_i columns of height i for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. If $k_n < k_{n-1}$ we depict this weight by $(k_{n-1} - k_n)/2$ columns of height n colored 2 (where we interpret a $1/2$ column as a Λ_{n-1} spin column if $k_{n-1} - k_n$ is odd), k_n columns of height $n-1$, and as usual k_i columns of height i for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. We require that columns of height n are

colored, contain $+$, $-$, or \mp , but cannot simultaneously contain $+$ and $-$; spin columns can only contain $+$ or $-$.

Then, for an X_n -dominant weight Λ , there is an isomorphism of X_{n-1} -crystals

$$B_{X_n}(\Lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\pm\text{-diagrams } P \\ \text{outer}(P)=\Lambda}} B_{X_{n-1}}(\text{inner}(P)).$$

In fact, there is a bijection $\Phi : P \mapsto b$ from \pm -diagrams P of shape Λ/λ to the set of X_{n-1} -highest elements b of X_{n-1} -weight λ as given below. We use Kashiwara-Nakashima (KN) tableaux [19] in French convention to represent elements of $B_{X_n}(\Lambda)$. Suppose Λ is a dominant weight; we require that Λ does not contain any columns of height n for type D_n . For any columns of height n containing $+$, place a column $12 \dots n$ (this includes spin columns for type B_n). Otherwise, place $\bar{1}$ in all positions in P that contain a $-$, place a 0 in the position containing 0 , and fill the remainder of all columns by strings of the form $23 \dots k$. We move through the columns of b from top to bottom, left to right. Each $+$ in P (starting with the leftmost moving to the right ignoring $+$ at height n) will alter b as we move through the columns. Suppose the $+$ is at height h in P . If one encounters a spin column of type B_n , replace it by a column $12 \dots h \ h+2 \dots n \ \bar{h} + \bar{1}$ (read from bottom to top). Otherwise, if one encounters a $\bar{1}$, replace $\bar{1}$ by $\bar{h} + \bar{1}$. If one encounters a 2 , replace the string $23 \dots k$ by $12 \dots h \ h+2 \dots k$.

2.3. KR crystals. KR crystals $B^{r,s}$ for nonexceptional types have the following general features: As an I_0 -crystal $B^{r,s}$ decomposes into

$$(2.1) \quad B^{r,s} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda} B(\lambda),$$

where $B(\lambda)$ stands for the crystal of the highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module of highest weight λ and the λ runs over all partitions that can be obtained from the $r \times s$ (or $r \times (s/2)$ only when $\mathfrak{g} = B_n^{(1)}$ and $r = n$) rectangle by removing pieces of shape ν ((ν, \mathfrak{g}_0) are given in Table 1). However, there are some exceptions, where $B^{r,s}$ is connected and does not decompose as in (2.1). We call these nodes *exceptional* and they are the filled nodes in Table 1.

In this subsection we review from [4] all KR crystals for nonexceptional affine types by dividing into cases according to the shape ν first and then treat the exceptional nodes.

2.3.1. Type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$. Since

$$B^{r,s} \cong B(s\bar{\Lambda}_r)$$

as an I_0 -crystal $B^{r,s}$ is identified with the set of all semistandard Young tableaux of rectangular shape (s^r) over the alphabet $1 \prec 2 \prec \dots \prec n$. The Dynkin diagram of $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ has a cyclic automorphism $i \mapsto i+1 \pmod{n}$. The action of e_0 and f_0 is given by

$$e_0 = \text{pr}^{-1} \circ e_1 \circ \text{pr} \quad \text{and} \quad f_0 = \text{pr}^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ \text{pr},$$

where pr is Schützenberger's promotion operator [30], which is the cyclic Dynkin diagram automorphism on the level of crystals [31]. On a rectangular tableau $b \in B^{r,s}$, $\text{pr}(b)$ is obtained from b by removing all letters n , adding one to each letter in the remaining tableau, using jeu-de-taquin to slide all letters up, and finally filling the holes with 1s.




TABLE 1. Dynkin diagrams

2.3.2. *Type $B_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}, A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$.* The cases when $r = n-1, n$ for $\mathfrak{g} = D_n^{(1)}$ are excluded from here since they are exceptional nodes. As an I_0 -crystal

$$B^{r,s} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda} B(\lambda),$$

where λ runs over all partitions obtained from the $r \times s$ (or $r \times (s/2)$ only when $\mathfrak{g} = B_n^{(1)}$ and $r = n$) rectangle by removing \boxplus .

The Dynkin diagrams in this case all have an automorphism interchanging nodes 0 and 1. The corresponding automorphism σ of on the level of crystals exists. By construction σ commutes with e_i and f_i for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Hence it suffices to define σ on $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ -highest elements.

Because of the bijection Φ described in §2.2, it remains to define σ on \pm -diagrams. For the following description of the map \mathfrak{S} , we further assume $r \neq n$ for $B_n^{(1)}$. Let P be a \pm -diagram of shape Λ/λ . Let $c_i = c_i(\lambda)$ be the number of columns of height i in λ for all $1 \leq i < r$ with $c_0 = s - \lambda_1$. If $i \equiv r-1 \pmod{2}$, then in P , above each column of λ of height i , there must be a $+$ or a $-$. Interchange the number of such $+$ and $-$ symbols. If $i \equiv r \pmod{2}$, then in P , above each column of λ of height i , either there are no signs or a \mp pair. Suppose there are p_i \mp pairs above the columns of height i . Change this to $(c_i - p_i)$ \mp pairs. The result is $\mathfrak{S}(P)$, which has the same inner shape λ as P but a possibly different outer shape.

The affine crystal operators e_0 and f_0 are defined as

$$e_0 = \sigma \circ e_1 \circ \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad f_0 = \sigma \circ f_1 \circ \sigma.$$

The remaining KR crystal $B^{n,s}$ for $B_n^{(1)}$ is constructed as follows. Let $\hat{B}^{n,s}$ be the $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ -KR crystal. Then there exists a regular $B_n^{(1)}$ -crystal $B^{n,s}$ and a unique

injective map $S : B^{n,s} \rightarrow \hat{B}^{n,s}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_i b) &= e_i^{m_i} S(b), & S(f_i b) &= f_i^{m_i} S(b), \\ \varepsilon_i(S(b)) &= m_i \varepsilon_i(b), & \varphi_i(S(b)) &= m_i \varphi_i(b) \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in I$, where $(m_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n} = (2, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$.

2.3.3. *Type $C_n^{(1)}$.* The case when $r = n$ is excluded from here since it is an exceptional node. We realize $B^{r,s}$ inside the ambient crystal $\hat{B}^{r,s}$ of type $A_{2n+1}^{(2)}$. Let $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ be the index set for type $C_n^{(1)}$ and $\hat{I} = \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ be that for $A_{2n+1}^{(2)}$. Denote the crystal operators of $\hat{B}^{r,s}$ by \hat{e}_i and \hat{f}_i . Then the crystal with crystal operators defined by

$$e_i = \begin{cases} \hat{e}_0 \hat{e}_1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \hat{e}_{i+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f_i = \begin{cases} \hat{f}_0 \hat{f}_1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \hat{f}_{i+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

turns out a regular $C_n^{(1)}$ -crystal $B^{r,s}$.

2.3.4. *Type $A_{2n}^{(2)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}$.* The case when $r = n$ for is excluded from here since it is an exceptional node. Let $\hat{B}^{r,s}$ stand for the $C_n^{(1)}$ -KR crystal (The $r = n$ case is treated in §2.4.1). According to types $\mathfrak{g} = A_{2n}^{(2)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ define positive integers m_i for $i \in I$ as

$$(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}, m_n) = \begin{cases} (1, 2, \dots, 2, 2) & \text{for } A_{2n}^{(2)}, \\ (1, 2, \dots, 2, 1) & \text{for } D_{n+1}^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a regular \mathfrak{g} -crystal $B^{r,s}$ and a unique injective map $S : B^{r,s} \rightarrow \hat{B}^{r,2s}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_i b) &= e_i^{m_i} S(b), & S(f_i b) &= f_i^{m_i} S(b), \\ \varepsilon_i(S(b)) &= m_i \varepsilon_i(b), & \varphi_i(S(b)) &= m_i \varphi_i(b) \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in I$.

2.4. **KR crystals for exceptional nodes.** The KR crystal $B^{r,s}$ corresponding to an exceptional node r is isomorphic to $B(s\bar{\Lambda}_r)$ as an I_0 -crystal.

2.4.1. *$B^{n,s}$ of type $C_n^{(1)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}$.* First consider type $C_n^{(1)}$. The elements in $B(s\bar{\Lambda}_n)$ are KN-tableaux of shape (s^n) . Recall from §2.2, that the J -highest elements ($J = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$) of shape (s^n) are in bijection with \pm -diagrams. Since all columns are of height n , each column is either filled with $+$, $-$, or \mp . Hence, if there are ℓ_1 columns containing $+$, ℓ_2 columns containing $-$, and ℓ_3 columns containing \mp , we may identify \pm -diagrams P with triples (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3) such that $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \geq 0$.

In order to describe the affine structure, it suffices to define e_0, f_0 on such triples, since they commute with e_i, f_i for $i = 2, \dots, n$. The rule is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) &= \begin{cases} (\ell_1 - 1, \ell_2 + 1, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ f_0(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) &= \begin{cases} (\ell_1 + 1, \ell_2 - 1, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_2 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Next consider type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ whose classical subalgebra is of type B_n . The elements in $B(s\bar{\Lambda}_n)$ are KN-tableaux of shape $((s/2)^n)$ when s is even and of shape $((s-1)/2)^n$ plus an extra spin column when s is odd. By §2.2, the J -highest elements are in bijection with \pm -diagrams, where columns of height n can contain $+$, $-$, \mp and at most one 0; the spin column of half width can contain $+$ or $-$.

We again encode a \pm -diagram P as a triple (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3) , where ℓ_1 is twice the number of columns containing a single $+$ sign, ℓ_2 is twice the number of columns containing a single $-$ sign (where spin column are counted as $1/2$ columns), and ℓ_3 is twice the number of columns containing \mp . If P contains a 0-column, then $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s - 2$, otherwise $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s$.

The action of e_0, f_0 on J -highest elements in this case is given by

$$e_0(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = \begin{cases} (\ell_1, \ell_2 + 2, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 < s, \\ (\ell_1 - 2, \ell_2, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s \text{ and } \ell_1 > 1, \\ (0, \ell_2 + 1, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s \text{ and } \ell_1 = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s \text{ and } \ell_1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$f_0(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = \begin{cases} (\ell_1 + 2, \ell_2, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 < s, \\ (\ell_1, \ell_2 - 2, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s \text{ and } \ell_2 > 1, \\ (\ell_1 + 1, 0, \ell_3) & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s \text{ and } \ell_2 = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = s \text{ and } \ell_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

2.4.2. $B^{n,s}$ and $B^{n-1,s}$ of type $D_n^{(1)}$. We first introduce an involution $\sigma : B^{n,s} \leftrightarrow B^{n-1,s}$ corresponding to the Dynkin diagram automorphism that interchanges the nodes 0 and 1. Under this involution, J -components ($J = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$) need to be mapped to J -components. Hence it suffices to define σ on J -highest elements or equivalently \pm -diagrams. Recall from §2.2, that for weights $\Lambda = s\bar{\Lambda}_n$ or $s\bar{\Lambda}_{n-1}$, the \pm -diagram can contain columns with $+$ and \mp or with $-$ and \mp (but not a mix of $-$ and $+$ columns). The involution $\sigma : B^{n,s}$ maps a \pm -diagram P to a \pm -diagram P' of opposite color where columns containing $+$ are interchanged with columns containing $-$ and vice versa. Then the action of e_0 and f_0 is given by

$$e_0 = \sigma \circ e_1 \circ \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad f_0 = \sigma \circ f_1 \circ \sigma.$$

3. SIMPLICITY

In this section we review the notion of simple crystal from [1] and show the KR crystal $B^{r,s}$ simple. Recall W is the Weyl group. We say that an element b of a regular crystal B is *extremal* if it satisfies the following conditions: we can find elements $\{b_w\}_{w \in W}$ such that

$$b_w = b \quad \text{for } w = e;$$

$$\text{if } \langle h_i, w\lambda \rangle \geq 0, \text{ then } e_i b_w = 0 \text{ and } f_i^{(h_i, w\lambda)} b_w = b_{s_i w};$$

$$\text{if } \langle h_i, w\lambda \rangle \leq 0, \text{ then } f_i b_w = 0 \text{ and } e_i^{-(h_i, w\lambda)} b_w = b_{s_i w}.$$

Definition 3.1. We say a finite regular crystal B is *simple* if B satisfies the following:

- (1) there exists $\lambda \in P$ such that the weight of any extremal element of B is contained in $W\lambda$;
- (2) $\sharp(B_\lambda) = 1$.

Then it was shown [1] that simple crystals have the following properties.

- Proposition 3.1.** (1) *A simple crystal is connected.*
 (2) *The tensor product of simple crystals is also simple.*

We show the first main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.2. *The KR crystal $B^{r,s}$ of nonexceptional affine types is simple.*

Proof. We can assume λ in Definition 3.1 is classically dominant, namely, $\lambda \in \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \bar{\Lambda}_i$. Then an extremal element of weight λ is necessarily I_0 -highest. Hence, the cases when $\mathfrak{g} = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ or r is an exceptional node are done. We assume r is a nonexceptional node and prove the theorem by showing any I_0 -highest element b of weight $\lambda \neq s\bar{\Lambda}_r$ is not extremal.

Type $B_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}, A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$: We assume $(\mathfrak{g}, r) \neq (B_n^{(1)}, n)$ first. Let $\lambda = \sum_{j \equiv r \pmod 2} s_j \bar{\Lambda}_j$. When r is even, set $s_0 = s - \sum_j s_j$. Let $k = \min\{0 \leq j \leq r \mid j \equiv r \pmod 2, s_j > 0\}$. Consider the element $b' = S_2 S_1 \cdots S_{k+1} S_k b$. In the KN tableau representation b' differs from b in that the rightmost s_k columns have entries $2, 3, \dots, k+1$. By the rule of σ given in §2.3.2 $\sigma(b')$ is given as follows. The shape of $\sigma(b')$ differs from b' in that the height of the rightmost s_k columns is $k+2$. From the left there are $\lfloor \frac{s_k}{2} \rfloor$ columns with entries $1, 3, \dots, h, \bar{2}$, there is a column with entries $2, 3, \dots, h, \bar{2}$ if s_k is odd, and in the other columns, entries are $2, 3, \dots, h, \bar{1}$, where h is the height of the column. From this description of $\sigma(b')$ one finds that $\varepsilon_0(b') = \varepsilon_1(\sigma(b')) = 2s - s_k > 0$ and $\varphi_0(b') = \varphi_1(\sigma(b')) = s_k > 0$, thereby showing that b is not extremal.

The remaining case when $\mathfrak{g} = B_n^{(1)}$ and $r = n$ is clear by construction.

Type $C_n^{(1)}$: Let $\lambda = \sum_j s_j \bar{\Lambda}_j$ and set $s_0 = s - \sum_j s_j$. Let $k = \min\{0 \leq j \leq r \mid s_j > 0\}$. Consider the element $b' = S_1 S_2 \cdots S_k b$. In the KN tableau representation b' differs from b in that the rightmost s_k columns have entries $2, 3, \dots, k+1$. One calculates

$$(3.1) \quad \langle h_0, \text{wt}(b) \rangle = s_k - s.$$

Now recall the inclusion $\iota : B^{r,s} \hookrightarrow \hat{B}^{r,s}$ in §2.3.3 where $\hat{B}^{r,s}$ is the ambient KR crystal of type $A_{2n+1}^{(2)}$. Since $\iota(b)$ is $\{2, \dots, n+1\}$ -highest, it corresponds to a \pm -diagram described as follows: By inner height we mean the height of the corresponding column of the inner shape. There are $s_h/2$ columns of inner height h with \mp, \cdot each if $0 \leq h < r$ and $r-h$ is even, and with $+, -$ each if $r-h$ is odd. In the KN tableau representation, viewing from left, there are columns with entries $1, 2, \dots, h, h+2, h+3, \dots$ and possibly $\overline{h'+1}$ on top for some $h, h' > k$. After such columns we encounter a column with $\overline{k+1}$ on top and/or with entries $1, 2, \dots, k, k+2, k+3, \dots$. Then the KN tableau representation of $\iota(b')$ differs from $\iota(b)$ by replacing the last description with $\bar{2}$ on top and/or with entries $2, 3, \dots$. Hence we obtain $\varphi_0(b') = \varphi_1(\iota(b')) = s_k/2$, and from (3.1), $\varepsilon_0(b') = s - s_k/2$. Since $\varepsilon_0(b'), \varphi_0(b') > 0$, b is not extremal.

Type $A_{2n}^{(2)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}$: It is clear from the previous case by construction. \square

4. SIMILARITY

Let $B(\lambda)$ be the crystal basis for the irreducible highest weight module with highest weight λ and let m be a positive integer. In [17] Kashiwara showed the following.

Theorem 4.1. ([17]) *There exists a unique injective map $S_m : B(\lambda) \longrightarrow B(m\lambda)$ satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad S_m(e_i b) = e_i^m S_m(b), \quad S_m(f_i b) = f_i^m S_m(b),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \varepsilon_i(S_m(b)) = m\varepsilon_i(b), \quad \varphi_i(S_m(b)) = m\varphi_i(b)$$

for $i \in I$ and $b \in B(\lambda)$. Here $S_m(0)$ is understood to be 0.

Note that (4.2) implies $\text{wt}(S_m(b)) = m\text{wt}(b)$. Our second main theorem states that similar properties hold also for KR crystals.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a unique injective map*

$$S_m : B^{r,s} \longrightarrow B^{r,ms}$$

satisfying (4.1) and (4.2) for $i \in I$ and $b \in B^{r,s}$.

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 4.1 the map S_m is uniquely determined by (4.1) and (4.2) for $i \in I_0$, since $B^{r,s}$ is multiplicity free as I_0 -crystal and I_0 -highest elements should be mapped to I_0 -highest ones again. Hence it remains to show that the map S_m so determined satisfies (4.1) and (4.2) for $i = 0$. We check it case by case, treating the exceptional node case separately.

Type $A_n^{(1)}$: In the semistandard tableau representation the map S_m is given by replacing each node having entry a with m nodes having entry a concatenated horizontally. By the explicit combinatorial procedure of jeu de taquin, one can show pr commutes with S_m . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(e_0 b) &= S_m((\text{pr}^{-1} \circ e_1 \circ \text{pr})(b)) = (\text{pr}^{-1} \circ e_1^m \circ \text{pr})S_m(b) = e_0^m S_m(b), \\ \varepsilon_0(S_m(b)) &= \varepsilon_1(\text{pr}(S_m(b))) = \varepsilon_1(S_m(\text{pr}(b))) = m\varepsilon_1(\text{pr}(b)) = m\varepsilon_0(b). \end{aligned}$$

The other relations are shown similarly.

Type $B_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}, A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$: Similarly to $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ case, it is enough to show that S_m commutes with the involution σ . Since σ commutes with e_i and f_i ($i = 2, \dots, n$), it is reduced to showing that S_m commutes with σ for any $\{2, \dots, n\}$ -highest element b . Let b correspond to a \pm -diagram P . Then, by Proposition 2.2 of [25] $S_m(b)$ corresponds to the \pm -diagram P' , where the number of $\mp, +, -, \cdot$ on the columns of the inner shape of the same height is multiplied by m . Hence S_m commutes with σ . Note that it is valid also for $B_n^{n,s}$ of $B_n^{(n)}$.

Type $C_n^{(1)}$: We consider the inclusion $\iota : B^{r,s} \hookrightarrow \hat{B}^{r,s}$ in §2.3.3 where $\hat{B}^{r,s}$ is the ambient KR crystal of type $A_{2n+1}^{(2)}$. Then we have

$$B^{r,s} \xrightarrow{\iota} \hat{B}^{r,s} \xrightarrow{S_m} \hat{B}^{r,ms}.$$

Since σ commutes with S_m on $\hat{B}^{r,s}$, the image of the above composition is invariant under σ . Hence it belongs to $B^{r,ms}$, thereby defining S_m for $B^{r,s}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(e_0 b) &= S_m(\hat{e}_0 \hat{e}_1 b) = \hat{e}_0^m \hat{e}_1^m S_m(b) = e_0^m(b), \\ \varepsilon_0(S_m(b)) &= \hat{\varepsilon}_0(S_m(b)) = m\hat{\varepsilon}_0(b) = m\varepsilon_0(b). \end{aligned}$$

Calculations for f_0 and φ_0 are similar.

Type $A_{2n}^{(2)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}$: Since $e_0(f_0)$ commutes with $e_i(f_i)$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$), and similar relations for f_0, φ_0 , for any $\{2, \dots, n\}$ -highest element b . Recall the construction in

§2.3.4 and consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{r,s} & \xrightarrow{S} & \hat{B}^{r,2s} \\ \vdots & & \downarrow \hat{S}_m \\ B^{r,ms} & \xrightarrow{S'} & \hat{B}^{r,2ms} \end{array}$$

where $\hat{B}^{r,s}$ is the ambient $C_n^{(1)}$ -KR crystal, S, S' are the injective maps in §2.3.4 and \hat{S}_m is the map just constructed for type $C_n^{(1)}$. For $b \in B^{r,s}$ the \pm -diagrams corresponding to $S(b)$ and $S'(b)$ both have even number of $\mp, +, -$ or \cdot on the columns of the inner shape of the same height. Hence it is clear that there exists a map S_m (broken line in the diagram) that makes the diagram commutative. Therefore the assertion follows from the properties of \hat{S}_m .

Exceptional nodes: Similarly to the previous case, it is enough to show the desired properties for any $\{2, \dots, n\}$ -highest element. However, it is clear from the formulas given in §2.4. \square

5. VARIATIONS

We give variations of Theorem 4.2. Since we treat KR crystals of different affine types, we signify the type \mathfrak{g} as $B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{r,s}$.

Theorem 5.1. (1) For each case below there is a unique injective map S satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_i b) &= e_i^{m_i} S(b), & S(f_i b) &= f_i^{m_i} S(b), \\ \varepsilon_i(S(b)) &= m_i \varepsilon_i(b), & \varphi_i(S(b)) &= m_i \varphi_i(b) \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in I$. We set $c_r = 1$ ($r \neq n$), $= 2$ ($r = n$).

- (i) $S : B_{B_n^{(1)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{A_{2n-1}^{(2)}}^{r,2s/c_r}$ with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (2, \dots, 2, 1)$
- (ii) $S : B_{C_n^{(1)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{A_n^{(2)}}^{r,s}$ with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (2, 1, \dots, 1)$
- (iii) $S : B_{C_n^{(1)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{D_{n+1}^{(2)}}^{r,2s}$ with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (2, 1, \dots, 1, 2)$
- (iv) $S : B_{A_{2n}^{(2)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{C_n^{(1)}}^{r,2s}$ ($r \neq n$) with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (1, 2, \dots, 2)$
- (v) $S : B_{A_{2n}^{(2)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{D_{n+1}^{(2)}}^{r,s}$ ($r \neq n$) with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (1, \dots, 1, 2)$
- (vi) $S : B_{A_{2n-1}^{(2)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{B_n^{(2)}}^{r,s}$ with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (1, \dots, 1, 2)$
- (vii) $S : B_{D_{n+1}^{(2)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{C_n^{(1)}}^{r,2s/c_r}$ with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (1, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$
- (viii) $S : B_{D_{n+1}^{(2)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{A_{2n}^{(2)}}^{r,2s/c_r}$ with $(m_i)_{i \in I} = (2, \dots, 2, 1)$

(2) Let I, \hat{I} be the index set of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let ξ be a map from \hat{I} to I . For each case below then there is a unique injective map S satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_i b) &= \left(\prod_{j \in \xi^{-1}(i)} \hat{e}_j \right) S(b), & S(f_i b) &= \left(\prod_{j \in \xi^{-1}(i)} \hat{f}_j \right) S(b), \\ \varepsilon_i(b) &= \hat{\varepsilon}_j(S(b)), & \varphi_i(b) &= \hat{\varphi}_j(S(b)) \quad \text{for any } j \in \xi^{-1}(i) \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in I$.

- (i) $S : B_{C_n^{(1)}}^{r,s} \longrightarrow B_{A_{2n+1}^{(2)}}^{r,s}$ ($r \neq n$), $\xi(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & (j = 0, 1) \\ j - 1 & (2 \leq j \leq n + 1) \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii)} \quad S : B_{A_{2n-1}^{(2)}}^{r,s} &\longrightarrow \begin{cases} B_{D_{n+1}^{(1)}}^{r,s} & (r \neq n) \\ B_{D_{n+1}^{(1)}}^{n,s} \otimes B_{D_{n+1}^{(1)}}^{n+1,s} & (r = n) \end{cases}, \xi(j) = \begin{cases} j & (0 \leq j \leq n) \\ n & (j = n+1) \end{cases} \\
\text{(iii)} \quad S : B_{D_{n+1}^{(2)}}^{r,s} &\longrightarrow \begin{cases} B_{A_{2n-1}^{(1)}}^{r,s} \otimes B_{A_{2n-1}^{(1)}}^{2n-r,s} & (r \neq n) \\ B_{A_{2n-1}^{(1)}}^{n,s} & (r = n) \end{cases}, \xi(j) = \begin{cases} j & (0 \leq j \leq n) \\ 2n-j & (n < j < 2n) \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

For instance, (1-ii) can be shown by considering them as $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ -crystals and applying [17, Theorem 5.1]. Proof of (2-iii) is given in [27, 22]. Other cases are shown similarly and left to the reader. We can also consider an inclusion from $A_{2n}^{(2)\dagger}$ or $A_{2n-1}^{(2)\dagger}$ -KR crystals, whose labeling of Dynkin nodes is opposite from $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ or $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, but we do not list them here.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Ghislain Fourier and Anne Schilling for an exciting collaboration in [4]. He is partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 23340007 and No. 23654007 from JSPS.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, *Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33** (1997) 839–867.
- [2] V. Chari, *On the fermionic formula and the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **12** (2001) 629–654.
- [3] V. Chari and D. Hernandez, *Beyond Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules*, Contemp. Math. **506** (2010) 49–81.
- [4] G. Fourier, M. Okado and A. Schilling, *Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009) 1080–1116.
- [5] G. Fourier, M. Okado and A. Schilling, *Perfectness of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types*, Contemp. Math. **506** (2010) 127–143.
- [6] G. Fourier, A. Schilling and M. Shimozono, *Demazure structure inside Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals*, J. Algebra **309** (2007) 386–404.
- [7] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *The q -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of W -algebras*, Contemp. Math. **248** (1999) 163–205.
- [8] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi and Z. Tsuboi, *Paths, crystals and fermionic formulae*, “MathPhys Odyssey 2001—Integrable Models and Beyond In Honor of Barry M. McCoy”, Edited by M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Birkhauser (2002) 205–272.
- [9] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi and Y. Yamada, *Remarks on fermionic formula*, Contemp. Math. **248** (1999) 243–291.
- [10] D. Hernandez, *Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture: The general case*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2010) no. 1, 149–193.
- [11] D. Hernandez and H. Nakajima, *Level 0 monomial crystal*, Lusztig’s issue, Nagoya Math. J. **184** (2006) 85–153.
- [12] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [13] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima and A. Nakayashiki, *Affine crystals and vertex models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7** (suppl. 1A) (1992), 449–484.
- [14] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, A. Nakayashiki, *Perfect crystals of quantum affine Lie algebras*, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992) 499–607.
- [15] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [16] M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), 383–413.
- [17] M. Kashiwara, *Similarity of crystal bases*, Contemp. Math. **194** (1996) 177–186.
- [18] M. Kashiwara, *On level zero representations of quantized affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002) 117–175.
- [19] M. Kashiwara and T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Alg. **165** (1994) 295–345.

- [20] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, Representations of Yangians and multiplicity of occurrence of the irreducible components of the tensor product of representations of simple Lie algebras, *J. Sov. Math.* **52** (1990) 3156–3164.
- [21] A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi and J. Suzuki, *Functional relations in solvable lattice models I: Functional relations and representations theory*, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A9* (1994) 5215–5266.
- [22] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, *Construction of perfect crystals conjecturally corresponding to Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules over twisted quantum affine algebras*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **263** (2006), no. 3, 749–787.
- [23] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, *Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2003) no. 32, 1731–1754; *II*, *Adv. Math.* **200** (2006) 102–124.
- [24] H. Nakajima, *t*-analogue of *q*-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of quantum affine algebras, *Represent. Theory* **7** (2003) 259–274.
- [25] M. Okado and R. Sakamoto, *Combinatorial R-matrices for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals of type $D_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$* , *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2010** (2010) 559–593.
- [26] M. Okado and A. Schilling, *Existence of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types*, *Representation Theory* **12** (2008) 186–207.
- [27] M. Okado, A. Schilling and M. Shimozono, *Virtual crystals and fermionic formulas of type $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$, $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, and $C_n^{(1)}$* , *Represent. Theory* **7** (2003) 101–163.
- [28] A. Schilling, *Combinatorial structure of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals of type $D_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$* , *J. Algebra* **319** (2008) 2938–2962.
- [29] A. Schilling and P. Tingley, *Demazure crystals, Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals, and the energy function*, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* Vol. 19 Issue 2 (2012) P4.
- [30] M.P. Schützenberger, *Promotion des morphismes d'ensembles ordonnés*, *Discrete Math.* **2** (1972) 73–94.
- [31] M. Shimozono, *Affine type A crystal structure on tensor products of rectangles, Demazure characters, and nilpotent varieties*, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **15** (2002), no. 2, 151–187.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-8531, JAPAN

E-mail address: okado@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp