

Repetition Avoidance in Circular Factors

Hamoon Mousavi and Jeffrey Shallit

School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1 Canada
{sh2mousa,shallit}@uwaterloo.ca

Abstract. We consider the following novel variation on a classical avoidance problem from combinatorics on words: instead of avoiding repetitions in all factors of a word, we avoid repetitions in all factors where each individual factor is considered as a “circular word”, i.e., the end of the word wraps around to the beginning. We determine the best possible avoidance exponent for alphabet size 2 and 3, and provide a lower bound for larger alphabets.

1 Introduction

Repetition in words is an active research topic and has been studied for over a hundred years. For example, Axel Thue [10,11] constructed an infinite word over a three-letter alphabet that contains no squares (i.e., no nonempty word of the form xx), and another infinite word over a two-letter alphabet that contains no cubes (i.e., no nonempty word of the form xxx).

In 1972, Dejean refined these results by considering fractional powers. An α -power for a rational number $\alpha \geq 1$ is a word of the form $w = x^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor} x'$, where x' is a (possibly empty) prefix of x and $|w| = \alpha|x|$. The word w is a *repetition*, with a *period* x and an *exponent* α . Among all possible exponents, we let $\exp(w)$ denote the largest one, corresponding to the shortest period. For example, the word **alfalfa** has shortest period **alf** and exponent $\frac{7}{3}$. The *critical exponent* of a word w is the supremum, over all factors f of w , of $\exp(f)$. We write it as $\exp(w)$.

For a real number α , an α^+ -power is a β -power where $\beta > \alpha$. For example $ababa = (ab)^{\frac{5}{2}}$ is a 2^+ -power. A word w is

- α^+ -power-free, if none of the factors of w is an α^+ -power;
- α -power-free if, in addition to being α^+ -power-free, the word w has no factor that is an α -power.

We also say that w *avoids* α^+ -powers (resp., avoids α -powers). Dejean asked, what is the smallest real number r for which there exist infinite r^+ -power-free words over an alphabet of size k ? This quantity is called the *repetition threshold* [2], and is denoted by $\text{RT}(k)$. From results of Thue we know that $\text{RT}(2) = 2$. Dejean [5] proved $\text{RT}(3) = \frac{7}{4}$, and conjectured that

$$\text{RT}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{5}, & \text{if } k = 4; \\ \frac{k}{k-1}, & \text{if } k > 4. \end{cases}$$

This conjecture received much attention in the last forty years, and its proof was recently completed by Currie and Rampersad [4] and Rao [9], independently, based on work of Carpi [3] and others.

We consider the following novel variation on Dejean, which we call “circular α -power avoidance”. We consider each finite factor x of a word w , but interpret such a factor as a “circular” word, where the end of the word wraps around to the beginning. Then we consider each factor f of this interpretation of x ; for w to be circularly α -power-free, each such f must be α -power-free. For example, consider the English word $w = \text{dividing}$ with factor $x = \text{dividi}$. The circular shifts of x are

$\text{dividi}, \text{ividid}, \text{vididi}, \text{ididiv}, \text{didivi}, \text{idivid},$

and (for example) the word ididiv contains a factor ididi that is a $\frac{5}{2}$ -power. In fact, w is circularly cubefree and circularly $(\frac{5}{2})^+$ -power-free.

To make this more precise, we recall the notion of conjugacy. Two words x, y are *conjugate* if one is a cyclic shift of the other; that is, if there exist words u, v such that $x = uv$ and $y = vu$.

Definition 1. *Let w be a finite or infinite word. The largest circular α -power in a word w is defined to be the supremum of $\exp(f)$ over all factors f of conjugates of factors of w . We write it as $\text{cexp}(w)$.*

Although Definition 1 characterizes the subject of this paper, we could have used a different definition, based on the following.

Proposition 2. *Let w be a finite word or infinite word. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) s is a factor of a conjugate of a factor of w ;
- (b) s is a prefix of a conjugate of a factor of w ;
- (c) s is a suffix of a conjugate of a factor of w ;
- (d) $s = vt$ for some factor tuv of w .

Proof. (a) \implies (b): Suppose $s = y''x'$, where xy is a factor of w and $x = x'x''$ and $y = y'y''$. Another conjugate of xy is then $y''x'x''y'$ with prefix $y''x'$.

(b) \implies (c): Such a prefix s is either of the form y' or yx' , where xy be a factor of w and $x = x'x''$ and $y = y'y''$. Considering the conjugate $y''xy'$ of yx , we get a suffix y' , and consider the conjugate $x''yx'$ we get a suffix yx' .

(c) \implies (d): Such a suffix s is either of the form $s = x''$ or $s = y''x$, where xy be a factor of w and $x = x'x''$ and $y = y'y''$. In the former case, let $t = x''$, $u = v = \epsilon$. In the latter case, let $t = x$, $u = y'$, and $v = y''$.

(d) \implies (a): Let tuv be a factor of w . Then vtu is a conjugate of tuv , and vt is a factor of it. \square

Let $\Sigma_k = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Define $\text{RTC}(k)$, the *repetition threshold for circular factors*, to be the smallest real number r for which there exist infinite circularly r^+ -power-free words in Σ_k . Clearly we have

$$\text{RTC}(k) \geq \text{RT}(k).$$

In this paper we prove that $\text{RTC}(2) = 4$ and $\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$. For larger alphabets, we conjecture that

$$\text{RTC}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2}, & \text{if } k = 4; \\ \frac{105}{46}, & \text{if } k = 5; \\ \frac{2k-1}{k-1}, & \text{if } k \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we point out that the quantities we study here are *not* closely related to the notion of *avoidance in circular words*, studied previously in [1,6,7].

Acknowledgments. We thank the referees for their careful reading of this paper.

2 Notation

For a finite alphabet Σ , let Σ^* denote the set of finite words over Σ . Let Σ^ω denote the set of right infinite words over Σ , and let $\Sigma^\infty = \Sigma^\omega \cup \Sigma^*$. Let $w = a_0a_1\cdots \in \Sigma^\infty$ be a word. Let $w[i] = a_i$, and let $w[i..j] = a_i\cdots a_j$. By convention we have $w[i] = \epsilon$ for $i < 0$ and $w[i..j] = \epsilon$ for $i > j$. Note that if x is a period of w and $|x| = p$, then $w[i+p] = w[i]$ for $0 \leq i < |w| - p$.

For a word x , let $\text{pref}(x)$ and $\text{suff}(x)$, respectively, denote the set of prefixes and suffixes of x . For words x, y , let $x \preceq y$ denote that x is a factor of y . Let $x \preceq_p y$ (resp., $x \preceq_s y$) denote that x is a prefix (resp., suffix) of y .

A morphism $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Phi^*$ is said to be q -uniform if $|h(a)| = q$ for all $a \in \Sigma$. A morphism is uniform if it is q -uniform for some q . The fixed point of a morphism $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Phi^*$ starting with $a \in \Sigma$, if it exists, is denoted by $h^\omega(a)$.

In the next section, we prove some preliminary results. We get some bounds for $\text{RTC}(k)$, and in particular, we prove that $\text{RTC}(2) = 2 \text{RT}(2) = 4$. In Section 4, we study the three-letter alphabet, and we prove that $\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$. Finally, in Section 5, we give another interpretation for repetition threshold for circular factors.

3 Binary Alphabet

First of all, we prove a bound on $\text{RTC}(k)$.

Theorem 3. $1 + \text{RT}(k) \leq \text{RTC}(k) \leq 2 \text{RT}(k)$.

Proof. Let $r = \text{RT}(k)$. We first prove that $\text{RTC}(k) \leq 2r$. Let $w \in \Sigma_k^\omega$ be an r^+ -power-free word. We prove that w is circularly $(2r)^+$ -power-free. Suppose that $xy \preceq w$, such that yx is $(2r)^+$ -power. Now either y or x is an r^+ -power. This implies that w contains an r^+ -power, a contradiction.

Now we prove that $1 + r \leq \text{RTC}(k)$. Let l be the length of the longest r -power-free word over Σ_k , and let $w \in \Sigma_k^\omega$. Considering the factors of length $n = l + 1$ of w , we know some factor f must occur infinitely often. This f contains an r -power: z^r . Therefore $z^r t z$ is a factor of w . Therefore w contains a circular $(1 + r)$ -power. This proves that $1 + r \leq \text{RTC}(k)$. \square

Note that since $\text{RT}(k) > 1$, we have $\text{RTC}(k) > 2$.

Lemma 4. $\text{RTC}(2) \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $w \in \Sigma_2^\omega$ be an arbitrary word. It suffices to prove that w contains circular 4-powers. There are two cases: either 00 or 11 appears infinitely often, or w ends with a suffix of the form $(01)^\omega$. In the latter case, obviously there are circular 4-powers; in the former there are words of the form $aayaa$ for $a \in \Sigma_2$ and $y \in \Sigma_2^*$ and hence circular 4-powers. \square

Theorem 5. $\text{RTC}(2) = 4$.

Proof. A direct consequence of Theorem 3 and Lemma 4. \square

The Thue-Morse word is an example of a binary word that avoids circular 4^+ -powers.

4 Ternary Alphabet

Our goal in this section is to show that $\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$. For this purpose, we frequently use the notion of synchronizing morphism, which was introduced in Ilie et al. [8].

Definition 6. A morphism $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ is said to be synchronizing if for all $a, b, c \in \Sigma$ and $s, r \in \Gamma^*$, if $h(ab) = rh(c)s$, then either $r = \epsilon$ and $a = c$ or $s = \epsilon$ and $b = c$.

Definition 7. A synchronizing morphism $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ is said to be strongly synchronizing if for all $a, b, c \in \Sigma$, if $h(c) \in \text{pref}(h(a))\text{suff}(h(b))$, then either $c = a$ or $c = b$.

The following technical lemma is applied several times throughout the paper.

Lemma 8. Let $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ be a synchronizing q -uniform morphism. Let $n > 1$ be an integer, and let $w \in \Sigma^*$. If $z^n \preceq_p h(w)$ and $|z| \geq q$, then $u^n \preceq_p w$ for some u . Furthermore $|z| \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.

Proof. Let $z = h(u)z'$, where $|z'| < q$ and $u \in \Sigma^*$. Note that $u \neq \epsilon$, since $|z| \geq q$. Clearly, we have $z'h(u[0]) \preceq_p h(w[|u|..|u|+1])$. Since h is synchronizing, the only possibility is that $z' = \epsilon$, so $|z| \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Now we can write $z^n = h(u^n) \preceq_p h(w)$. Therefore $u^n \preceq_p w$. \square

The next lemma states that if the fixed point of a strongly synchronizing morphism (SSM) avoids small n 'th powers, where n is an integer, it avoids n 'th powers of all lengths.

Lemma 9. Let $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ be a strongly synchronizing q -uniform morphism. Let $n > 1$ be an integer. If $h^\omega(0)$ avoids factors of the form z^n , where $|z^n| < 2nq$, then $h^\omega(0)$ avoids n 'th powers.

Proof. Let $w = a_0a_1a_2 \cdots = h^\omega(0)$. Suppose w has n 'th powers of length greater than or equal to $2nq$. Let z be the shortest such word, i.e., $|z^n| \geq 2nq$ and $z^n \preceq w$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} z^n &= xh(w[i..j])y, \\ x &\preceq_s h(a_{i-1}), \\ y &\preceq_p h(a_{j+1}), \\ |x|, |y| &< q, \end{aligned}$$

for some integers $i, j \geq 0$. If $x = y = \epsilon$, then using Lemma 8, since $|z| \geq q$, the word $w[i..j]$ contains an n 'th power. Therefore w contains an n 'th power of length smaller than $|z^n|$, a contradiction. Now suppose that $xy \neq \epsilon$. Since $|z| \geq \frac{2nq}{n} = 2q$, and $|xh(w[i])|, |h(w[j])y| < 2q$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} xh(w[i]) &\preceq_p z, \\ h(w[j])y &\preceq_s z. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h(w[j])yxh(w[i]) \preceq z^2 \preceq z^n$. Since h is synchronizing

$$h(w[j])yxh(w[i]) \preceq h(w[i..j]).$$

Hence $yx = h(a)$ for some $a \in \Sigma$. Since h is an SSM, we have either $a = a_{i-1}$ or $a = a_{j+1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a = a_{i-1}$. Then we can write $h(w[i-1..j]) = yxh(w[i..j])$. The word $yxh(w[i..j])$ is an n 'th power, since it is a conjugate of $xh(w[i..j])y$. So we can write

$$h(w[i-1..j]) = z_1^n$$

where z_1 is a conjugate of z . Note that $|z_1| = |z| \geq 2q$. Now using Lemma 8, the word $w[i-1..j]$ contains an n 'th power, and hence w contains an n 'th power of length smaller than $|z^n|$, a contradiction. \square

The following lemma states that, for an SSM h and a well-chosen word w , all circular $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -powers in $h(w)$ are small.

Lemma 10. *Let $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ be a strongly synchronizing q -uniform morphism. Let $w = a_0a_1a_2 \cdots \in \Sigma^\omega$ be a circularly cubefree word. In addition, suppose that w is squarefree. If $x_1tx_2 \preceq h(w)$ for some words t, x_1, x_2 , and x_2x_1 is a $(13/4)^+$ -power, then $|x_2x_1| < 22q$.*

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there are words t, x_1, x_2 , and z in Γ^* and a rational number $\alpha > \frac{13}{4}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1tx_2 &\preceq h(w) \\ |x_2x_1| &\geq 22q \\ x_2x_1 &= z^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $|z| < q$. Let k be the smallest integer for which $|z^k| \geq q$. Then $|z^k| < 2q$, because otherwise $|z^{k-1}| \geq q$, a contradiction. We can write $x_2x_1 = (z^k)^\beta$, where $\beta = \frac{|x_2x_1|}{|z^k|} > \frac{22q}{2q} > \frac{13}{4}$. Therefore we can assume that $|z| \geq q$, since otherwise we can always replace z with z^k , and α with β .

There are three cases to consider.

- (a) Suppose that x_1 and x_2 are both long enough, so that each contains an image of a word under h . More formally, suppose that

$$x_1 = y_1h(w[i_1..j_1])y_2, \quad (1)$$

$$x_2 = y_3h(w[i_2..j_2])y_4, \quad (2)$$

$$i_1 \leq j_1, i_2 \leq j_2,$$

$$y_1 \preceq_s h(a_{i_1-1}),$$

$$y_2 \preceq_p h(a_{j_1+1}),$$

$$y_3 \preceq_s h(a_{i_2-1}),$$

$$y_4 \preceq_p h(a_{j_2+1}),$$

$$|y_1|, |y_2|, |y_3|, \text{ and } |y_4| < q, \text{ and}$$

$$y_2ty_3 = h(w[j_1 + 1..i_2 - 1]).$$

Let $v_1 = w[i_1..j_1]$ and $v_2 = w[i_2..j_2]$. See Fig 1.




Fig. 1. x_1tx_2 is a factor of $h(w)$

There are two cases to consider.

- (1) Suppose that $y_4y_1 = \epsilon$. Let $v = w[i_2..j_2]w[i_1..j_1]$.

The word $h(v)y_2$ is a factor of $y_3h(v)y_2 = z^\alpha$ of length $\geq 22q - q = 21q$, and so

$$h(v)y_2 = z_1^\beta,$$

where z_1 is a conjugate of z , and $\beta \geq \frac{21}{22}\alpha > 3$. Therefore we can write

$$z_1^3 \preceq_p h(v)y_2 \preceq_p h(vw[j_1 + 1]).$$

Note that $|z_1| = |z| \geq q$, so using Lemma 8, we can write $|z_1| \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Therefore

$$z_1^3 \preceq_p h(v).$$

Using Lemma 8 again, the word v contains a cube, which means that the word w contains a circular cube, a contradiction.

- (2) Suppose that $y_4y_1 \neq \epsilon$. We show how to get two new factors $x'_1 = h(v'_1)y'_2$ and $x'_2 = y'_3h(v'_2)$, with v'_1, v'_2 nonempty, such that $x'_2x'_1 = x_2x_1$. Then we use case (1) above to get a contradiction. Let $s = h(w[j_2])y_4y_1h(w[i_1])$, and let m be the smallest integer for which $|z^m| \geq |s|$. Note that if $|z| < |s|$, then

$$|z^m| < 2|s| < 8q. \quad (3)$$

We show that at least one of the following inequalities holds:

$$|h(v_1)| \geq q + |z^m|,$$

$$|h(v_2)| \geq q + |z^m|.$$

Suppose that both inequalities fail. Then using (1) and (2) we can write

$$|x_2x_1| < 2q + 2|z^m| + |y_1y_2y_3y_4| < 6q + 2|z^m|. \quad (4)$$

If $|z| < |s|$, then by (3) and (4) one gets $|x_2x_1| < 22q$, contradicting our assumption. Otherwise $|z| \geq |s|$, and hence $m = 1$. Then

$$|x_2x_1| = \alpha|z| < 2q + 2|z| + |y_1y_2y_3y_4| < 6q + 2|z|,$$

and hence $|z| < 6q$. So $|x_2x_1| < 6q + 2|z| < 18q$, contradicting our assumption. Without loss of generality, suppose that $|h(v_1)| \geq q + |z^m|$. Using the fact that z is a period of x_2x_1 , we can write

$$h(v_1)[q + |z^m| - |s|..q + |z^m| - 1] = s,$$

or, in other words,

$$s \preceq h(v_1).$$

See Fig 2.




Fig. 2. $h(v_1)$ contains a copy of s

Using the fact that h is synchronizing, we get that $y_4y_1 = h(a)$ for some $a \in \Sigma$. Since h is an SSM, we have either $a = a_{i_1-1}$ or $a = a_{j_2+1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a = a_{j_2+1}$. Now look at the following factors of $h(w)$, which can be obtained from x_1 and x_2 by extending x_2 to the right and shrinking x_1 from the left:

$$x'_1 = h(w[i_1..j_1])y_2$$

$$x'_2 = y_3h(w[i_2..j_2 + 1]).$$

See Fig 3.

$$h(w) = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \text{---} x_1 \text{---} \\ | h(a_{i_1-1}) | h(a_{i_1} a_{i_1+1} \cdots a_{j_1}) | y_2 | \\ | \text{---} x'_1 \text{---} \\ \text{---} x_2 \text{---} \\ | y_3 | h(a_{i_2} a_{i_2+1} \cdots a_{j_2}) | h(a_{j_2+1}) | \\ | \text{---} x'_2 \text{---} \end{array}}$$

Fig. 3. x'_1 and x'_2 are obtained from x_1 and x_2

We can see that

$$x'_2 x'_1 = y_3 h(w[i_2..j_2 + 1]) h(w[i_1..j_1]) y_2 = y_3 h(w[i_2..j_2]) y_4 y_1 h(w[i_1..j_1]) y_2 = x_2 x_1.$$

Now using case (1) we get a contradiction.

- (b) Suppose that x_2 is too short to contain an image of a word under h . More formally, we can write

$$x_1 = y_1 h(v) y_2 \text{ where } |x_2| < 2q \text{ and } |y_1|, |y_2| < q$$

for some words $y_1, y_2 \in \Gamma^*$ and a word $v \preceq w$. Then $h(v)$ is a factor of $x_2 x_1 = z^\alpha$ of length $\geq 22q - 4q = 18q$, and so

$$h(v) = z_1^\beta,$$

where z_1 is a conjugate of z , and $\beta \geq \frac{18}{22}\alpha > 2$. Note that $|z_1| = |z| \geq q$, so using Lemma 8, the word v contains a square, a contradiction.

- (c) Suppose that x_1 is not long enough to contain an image of a word under h . An argument similar to (b) applies here to get a contradiction.

□

The following 15-uniform morphism is an example of an SSM:

$$\mu(0) = 012102120102012$$

$$\mu(1) = 201020121012021$$

$$\mu(2) = 012102010212010$$

$$\mu(3) = 201210212021012$$

$$\mu(4) = 102120121012021$$

$$\mu(5) = 102010212021012.$$

Another example of an SSM is the 4-uniform morphism $\psi : \Sigma_6^* \rightarrow \Sigma_6^*$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(0) &= 0435 \\ \psi(1) &= 2341 \\ \psi(2) &= 3542 \\ \psi(3) &= 3540 \\ \psi(4) &= 4134 \\ \psi(5) &= 4105.\end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to show that $\mu(\psi^\omega(0))$ is circularly $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power-free. For this purpose, we first prove that $\psi^\omega(0)$ is circularly cubefree. Then we apply Lemma 10, for $h = \mu$ and $w = \psi^\omega(0)$.

Lemma 11. *The fixed point $\psi^\omega(0)$ is squarefree.*

Proof. Suppose that $\psi^\omega(0)$ contains a square. Using Lemma 9, there is a square $zz \preceq \psi^\omega(0)$ such that $|zz| < 16$. Using a computer program, we checked all factors of length smaller than 16 in $\psi^\omega(0)$, and none of them is a square. This is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 12. *The fixed point $\psi^\omega(0)$ is circularly cubefree.*

Proof. By contradiction. Let $w = a_0a_1a_2 \cdots = \psi^\omega(0)$. Suppose $x_1tx_2 \preceq w$, and $x_2x_1 = z^3$ for some words t, x_1, x_2, z , where

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= y_1\psi(w[i_1..j_1])y_2, \\ x_2 &= y_3\psi(w[i_2..j_2])y_4, \\ y_1 &\preceq_s \psi(a_{i_1-1}), \\ y_2 &\preceq_p \psi(a_{j_1+1}), \\ y_3 &\preceq_s \psi(a_{i_2-1}), \\ y_4 &\preceq_p \psi(a_{j_2+1}), \\ |y_1|, |y_2|, |y_3|, \text{ and } |y_4| &< 4, \\ y_2ty_3 &= \psi(w[j_1 + 1..i_2 - 1]),\end{aligned}$$

for proper choices of the integers i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 . Let $v_1 = w[i_1..j_1]$ and $v_2 = w[i_2..j_2]$.

Using a computer program, we searched for circular cubes of length not greater than 66, and it turns out that there is no such circular cube in w . Thus we can assume that $|x_2x_1| > 66$ so $|z| > 22$. Moreover suppose that x_2x_1 has the smallest possible length.

There are two cases to consider.

- (a) Suppose that $y_4y_1 = \epsilon$. If $y_2y_3 = \epsilon$, then $\psi(v_2v_1) = z^3$. Using Lemma 8, we get that v_2v_1 contains a cube. Hence w contains a smaller circular cube, a contradiction.

Suppose that $y_2y_3 \neq \epsilon$. Since $|y_3\psi(w[i_2])|, |\psi(w[j_1])y_2| < 8$ and $|z| > 22$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} y_3\psi(w[i_2]) &\preceq_p z, \\ \psi(w[j_1])y_2 &\preceq_s z. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\psi(w[j_1])y_2y_3\psi(w[i_2]) \preceq z^3$, and since ψ is synchronizing

$$\psi(w[j_1])y_2y_3\psi(w[i_2]) \preceq \psi(v_2v_1).$$

Hence $y_2y_3 = \psi(b)$ for some $b \in \Sigma_6$. Since ψ is an SSM, we have either $b = a_{i_2-1}$, or $b = a_{j_1+1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $b = a_{i_2-1}$. So we can write

$$\psi(w[i_2 - 1..j_2]w[i_1..j_1]) = y_2y_3\psi(w[i_2..j_2]w[i_1..j_1]).$$

The word $y_2y_3\psi(v_2v_1)$ is a cube, since it is a conjugate of $y_3\psi(v_2v_1)y_2$. So we can write

$$\psi(w[i_2 - 1..j_2]w[i_1..j_1]) = z_1^3$$

where z_1 is a conjugate of z . Then using Lemma 8, the word $w[i_2-1..j_2]w[i_1..j_1]$ contains a cube. Note that since $y_2y_3 \neq \epsilon$ we have $j_1 < i_2 - 1$. Hence $w[i_2 - 1..j_2]w[i_1..j_1]$ is a circular cube of w , a contradiction.

- (b) Suppose that $y_4y_1 \neq \epsilon$. We show how to get two new factors $x'_1 = h(v'_1)y'_2$ and $x'_2 = y'_3h(v'_2)$ of w , for nonempty words v'_1, v'_2 , such that $x'_2x'_1 = x_2x_1$. Then we use case (a) above to get a contradiction.

The word w is squarefree due to Lemma 11. Therefore $|x_1|, |x_2| > |z| > \frac{66}{3}$ and hence $|v_1|, |v_2| > 0$. One can observe that either $|\psi(v_1)| \geq 4 + |z|$ or $|\psi(v_2)| \geq 4 + |z|$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $|\psi(v_1)| \geq 4 + |z|$. Let $s = w[j_2]y_4y_1w[i_1]$. Now, using the fact that z is a period of x_2x_1 , we can write

$$\psi(v_1)[4 + |z| - |s|.4 + |z| - 1] = s,$$

or, in other words,

$$s \preceq \psi(v_1).$$

Using the fact that ψ is synchronizing, we get that $y_4y_1 = \psi(a)$ for some $a \in \Sigma_6$. Since ψ is an SSM, we have either $a = a_{i_1-1}$, or $a = a_{j_2+1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a = a_{j_2+1}$. Now look at the following factors of w , which can be obtained from x_1 and x_2 by extending x_2 to the right and shrinking x_1 from the left

$$\begin{aligned} x'_1 &= \psi(w[i_1..j_1])y_2 \\ x'_2 &= y_3\psi(w[i_2..j_2 + 1]). \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$x'_2x'_1 = y_3\psi(w[i_2..j_2 + 1])\psi(w[i_1..j_1])y_2 = y_3\psi(v_2)y_4y_1\psi(v_1)y_2 = x_2x_1 = z^3.$$

So using case (a) we get a contradiction.

□

Theorem 13. $\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$.

Proof. First let us show that $\text{RTC}(3) \geq \frac{13}{4}$.

Suppose there exists an infinite word w that avoids circular α -powers, for $\alpha < 4$. We now argue that for every integer C , there exists an infinite word w' that avoids both squares of length $\leq C$ and circular α -powers. Note that none of the factors of w looks like $xyxx$, since w avoids circular 4-powers. Therefore, every square in w occurs only finitely many times. Therefore w' can be obtained by removing a sufficiently long prefix of w .

Computer search verifies that the longest circularly $\frac{13}{4}$ -power-free word over a 3-letter alphabet that avoids squares xx where $|xx| < 147$ has length 147. Therefore the above argument for $C = 147$ shows that circular $\frac{13}{4}$ -powers are unavoidable over a 3-letter alphabet.

Now to prove $\text{RTC}(3) = \frac{13}{4}$, it is sufficient to give an example of an infinite word that avoids circular $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -powers. We claim that $\mu(\psi^\omega(0))$ is such an example. We know that $\psi^\omega(0)$ is circularly cubefree. Therefore we can use Lemma 10 for $w = \psi^\omega(0)$ and $h = \mu$. So if $xy \preceq \mu(\psi^\omega(0))$, and yx is a $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power, then $|yx| < 22 \times 15$. Now there are finitely many possibilities for x and y . Using a computer program, we checked that none of them leads to a $(\frac{13}{4})^+$ -power. This completes the proof. □

5 Another Interpretation

We could, instead, consider the supremum of $\exp(p)$ over all products of i factors of w . Call this quantity $\text{pexp}_i(w)$.

Proposition 14. *If w is a recurrent infinite word, then $\text{pexp}_2(w) = \text{cexp}(w)$.*

Proof. Let s be a product of two factors of w , say $s = xy$. Let y occur for the first time at position i of w . Since w is recurrent, x occurs somewhere after position $i + |y|$ in w . So there exists z such that yzx is a factor of w . Then xy is a factor of a conjugate of a factor of w .

On the other hand, from Proposition 2, we know that if s is a conjugate of a factor of w , then $s = vt$ where tuv is a factor of w . Then s is the product of two factors of w . □

We can now study the repetition threshold for i -term products, $\text{RT}_i(k)$, which is the infimum of $\text{pexp}_i(w)$ over all words $w \in \Sigma_k^\omega$. Note that

$$\text{RT}_2(k) \geq \text{RTC}(k).$$

The two recurrent words, the Thue-Morse word and $\mu(\psi^\omega(0))$, introduced in Section 4, are circularly $\text{RTC}(2)^+$ -power-free and circularly $\text{RTC}(3)^+$ -power-free, respectively. Using Proposition 14, we get that $\text{RT}_2(k) = \text{RTC}(k)$ for $k = 2, 3$.

Theorem 15. *For $i \geq 1$ we have $\text{RT}_i(2) = 2i$.*

Proof. From Thue we know there exists an infinite 2^+ -power-free word. If some product of factors $x_1x_2 \cdots x_i$ contains a $(2i)^+$ -power, then some factor contains a 2^+ -power, a contradiction. So $\text{RT}_i(2) \leq 2i$.

For the lower bound, fix $i \geq 2$, and let $w \in \Sigma_2^\omega$ be an arbitrary word. Either 00 or 11 appears infinitely often, or w ends in a suffix of the form $(01)^\omega$. In the latter case we get arbitrarily high powers, and the former case there is a product of i factors with exponent $2i$. \square

It would be interesting to obtain more values of $\text{RT}_i(k)$. We propose the following conjectures which are supported by numerical evidence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RT}_2(4) &= \text{RTC}(4) = \frac{5}{2}, \\ \text{RT}_2(5) &= \text{RTC}(5) = \frac{105}{46}, \text{ and} \\ \text{RT}_2(k) &= \text{RTC}(k) = 1 + \text{RT}(k) = \frac{2k-1}{k-1} \text{ for } k \geq 6. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the values given above are lower bounds for $\text{RTC}(k)$.

References

1. A. Aberkane and J. D. Currie. There exist binary circular $5/2^+$ power free words of every length. *Electronic J. Combin.* **11** (1), 2004, Paper #R10. Available at http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_11/Abstracts/v11i1r10.html.
2. F.-J. Brandenburg. Uniformly growing k -th power-free homomorphisms. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **23** (1983), 69–82.
3. A. Carpi. On Dejean’s conjecture over large alphabets. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **385** (2007), 137–151.
4. J. Currie and N. Rampersad. A proof of Dejean’s conjecture. *Math. Comp.* **80** (2011), 1063–1070.
5. F. Dejean. Sur un théorème de Thue. *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A* **13** (1972), 90–99.
6. I. A. Gorbunova. Repetition threshold for circular words. *Electronic J. Combin.* **19** (4), Paper #11. Available at <http://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v19i4p11>.
7. T. Harju and D. Nowotka. Cyclically repetition-free words on small alphabets. *Inform. Process. Lett.* **110** (2010) 591–595.
8. L. Ilie, P. Ochem, and J. Shallit. A generalization of repetition threshold. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **345** (2005), 359–369.
9. M. Rao. Last cases of Dejean’s conjecture. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **412** (2011), 3010–3018.
10. A. Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl.* **7** (1906), 1–22. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue*, T. Nagell, ed., Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977, pp. 139–158.
11. A. Thue. Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichen reihen. *Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl.* **1** (1912), 1–67. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue*, T. Nagell, ed., Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977, pp. 413–478.