

Small deviations of the determinants of random matrices with Gaussian entries[☆]

Nadezhda V. Volodko

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics of RAS, 4 Acad. Koptyug avenue, 630090 Novosibirsk Russia

Abstract

The probability of small deviations of the determinant of the matrix AA^T is estimated, where A is an $n \times \infty$ random matrix with centered entries having joint Gaussian distribution. The inequality obtained is sharp in a sense.

Keywords: random matrices, determinants, Gaussian sequences, small deviations

1. Introduction and main results.

We discuss the problem of estimating probabilities of small deviations for the determinants of random matrices of a special type. The need for the result of this kind emerged during obtaining the asymptotic expansion for the distributions of canonical V -statistics of the third order (Borisov and Volodko). Moreover, the problem itself seems to be of interest. Regarding the topic, there are papers devoted to the small deviations for the smallest singular values of random matrices (see Adamczak et al. (2012) and references therein). As for results for determinants, Li and Weil (2008) obtained the distribution of the determinant for the i.i.d. Gaussian case. In the present work, we consider more general object, but the result of Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2 below is not sharp for the case of i.i.d. Gaussian entries (see Remark 1.4).

Let $((\tau_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{\infty})$ be an array of centered jointly Gaussian random variables such that

$$\inf_{a_{ij}} \mathbb{E} \left(\tau_{kk} - \sum_{\min(i,j) < k} a_{ij} \tau_{ij} \right)^2 = 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Let

$$\det_n := \det (\tau_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n.$$

The result is as follows.

[☆]This work was supported by RFBR grants N 11-01-00285, 11-01-12006-ofi-m-2011
Email address: nvolodko@gmail.com (Nadezhda V. Volodko)

Theorem 1.1. Under assumption (1.1) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|\det_n| \leq \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n |X_j| \leq \varepsilon\right)$$

where X_j are i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ -distributed random variables.

Remark 1.2. Inequality becomes equality on diagonal matrices with independent entries. Moreover, for the fixed n and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n |X_j| \leq \varepsilon\right) \sim \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \varepsilon \frac{|\log \varepsilon|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \quad (1.2)$$

Corollary 1.3. Let $A = \{\tau_{ij}\}$ be an $n \times \infty$ random matrix with centered entries having joint Gaussian distribution. Suppose that for each $k \leq n$,

$$d_k := \inf_{\{\alpha_{ij}\}} \mathbb{E}\left(\tau_{kk} - \sum_{\min(i,j) < k} \alpha_{ij} \tau_{ij}\right)^2 > 0.$$

Then, for the fixed n and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n) > 0$ small enough,

$$\mathbf{P}(\sqrt{\det AA^T} < \varepsilon) \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n |X_j| \leq \varepsilon_0\right) \sim \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \varepsilon_0 \frac{|\log(\varepsilon_0)|^k}{k!}, \quad (1.3)$$

where X_j are i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ -distributed random variables,

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\prod_{i=1}^n |d_i^{1/2}|}.$$

Remark 1.4. For Gaussian matrices with independent entries estimate (1.3) is not sharp. According Proposition 4.2 from [2], if M is a random matrix with i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian entries, then

$$\det MM^* \sim \prod_{j=1}^n \chi_j^2. \quad (1.4)$$

It is not difficult to show that the density in zero of the product on the right hand is bounded.

2. Proofs.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

We use induction in n . Our main argument is a trivial one dimensional version of Anderson inequality: for any centered Gaussian random variable Y , for any $r \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|Y + r| \leq \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(|Y| \leq \varepsilon). \quad (2.5)$$

Let us introduce a σ -algebra,

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma\{\tau_{ij}, \min(i, j) < k\}.$$

It follows from the definition of the determinant that

$$\det_n = \det_{n-1}\tau_{nn} + V_n,$$

where V_n is an \mathcal{F}_n -measurable random variable. On the other hand, by (1.1) we can write

$$\tau_{nn} = X_n + W_n$$

where X_n is an $N(0, 1)$ -distributed random variable independent of \mathcal{F}_n and $W_n := \mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_n)$ is an \mathcal{F}_n -measurable (also normal) random variable. It follows that

$$\det_n = \det_{n-1}(X_n + W_n) + V_n := \det_{n-1}X_n + V'_n, \quad (2.6)$$

where again V'_n is an \mathcal{F}_n -measurable random variable.

Now the induction goes as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|\det_n| \leq \varepsilon) &= \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}(|\det_n| \leq \varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}(|\det_{n-1}X_n + V'_n| \leq \varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}(|\det_{n-1}X_n| \leq \varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(|\det_{n-1}X_n| \leq \varepsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}(|\det_{n-1}X_n| \leq \varepsilon | X_n) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}\left(|\det_{n-1}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|X_n|} \mid X_n\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} |X_j| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|X_n|} \mid X_n\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n |X_j| \leq \varepsilon \mid X_n\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n |X_j| \leq \varepsilon\right), \end{aligned}$$

and we are done.

Here the first equality is the total probability formula for conditional probabilities or expectations. The second equality comes from (2.6). In the third line we use that X_n is independent of \mathcal{F}_n while V'_n, \det_{n-1} are \mathcal{F}_n -measurable. Therefore, conditionally on \mathcal{F}_n the value V'_n is the constant while $\det_{n-1}X_n$ is a normal $N(\det_{n-1}^2, 0)$ -distributed random variable and we may apply to it inequality (2.5). In the fourth line we return to unconditional probability by the same total probability formula as in line one. In the fifth line we re-condition

again, this time with respect to X_n . The sixth line is trivial. In the seventh line we use that \det_{n-1} and X_n are independent, hence conditional distribution of \det_{n-1} is the same as the unconditional one. Therefore, we may use inductual assumption. The remaining lines are trivial. Theorem 1.1 is proved.

2.2. *Proof of Remark 1.2.*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\prod_{j=1}^n |X_j| < \varepsilon\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \log |X_j| < \log \varepsilon\right).$$

Here X_j are $N(0, 1)$ -distributed random variables. Prove (1.2) by induction in n . Denote

$$S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \log |X_j|.$$

Write down the density of $\log |X_1|$:

$$f_{\log |X_1|}(u) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-e^{2u}/2 + u\}.$$

Below suppose that $t < 0$ and $|t|$ is large enough.

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n < t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{n-1} < t - u) f_{\log |X_n|}(u) du. \quad (2.7)$$

First, obtain the upper estimate of (2.7).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} &= \int_{-\infty}^{t+\log \log |t|} + \int_{t+\log \log |t|}^{\log |t|} + \int_{\log |t|}^{\infty}. \\ \int_{-\infty}^{t+\log \log |t|} &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{t+\log \log |t|} e^u du = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^t \log |t| = o\left(e^t \frac{|t|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbb{P}(S_{n-1} < t - u)$ and $\exp\{-e^{2u}/2\}$ are estimated by 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t+\log \log |t|}^{\log |t|} &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^t \int_{t+\log \log |t|}^{\log |t|} \frac{(u-t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} (1+o(1)) du \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^t \frac{|t|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (1+o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

In the second integral we used induction assumption.

$$\int_{\log |t|}^{\infty} \sim \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^t \int_{\log |t|}^{\infty} \frac{(u-t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \exp\{-e^{2u}/2\} du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^t |t|^{n-2} \int_{\log|t|}^{\infty} \frac{(u/|t| + 1)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}e^{2(u-\log|t|/2)+\log|t|}\right\} du \\
&\leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^{3t/2} \frac{|t|^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \int_0^{\infty} (u+1)^{n-2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}e^{2u}\right\} du = o\left(\frac{e^t |t|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used induction assumption and the trivial fact, that the product of two numbers $\exp\{2u - \log|t|\}$ and $|t|$ is greater than their sum.

Then find the lower estimate of (2.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{n-1} < t - u) f_{\log|X_n|}(u) du \\
&\geq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^t \int_{t+\log|t|}^{-\log|t|} \frac{(u-t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} (1+o(1)) \exp\{-e^{2u}/2\} du \\
&\geq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n e^t \frac{|t|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (1+o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2 is proved.

2.3. Proof of corollary 1.3.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be an $n \times m$ -matrix, $n \leq m$. Matrix B is obtained by adding a column $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}^T$ on the right of A . Then*

$$\det AA^T \leq \det BB^T.$$

Proof. If the rows of matrix A are linearly dependent then $\det AA^T = 0 \leq \det BB^T$. Suppose that the rows are linearly independent.

Denote the rows of matrix A by A_1, \dots, A_n . Then

$$AA^T = \{\langle A_i, A_j \rangle\}_{i,j \leq n}; \quad BB^T = \{\langle A_i, A_j \rangle + a_i a_j\}_{i,j \leq n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\det BB^T &= \begin{vmatrix} \langle A_1, A_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_1, A_n \rangle \\ \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle + a_1 a_2 & \dots & \langle A_2, A_n \rangle + a_2 a_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle A_1, A_n \rangle + a_1 a_n & \dots & \langle A_n, A_n \rangle + a_n^2 \end{vmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{vmatrix} a_1^2 & \dots & a_1 a_n \\ \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle + a_1 a_2 & \dots & \langle A_2, A_n \rangle + a_2 a_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle A_1, A_n \rangle + a_1 a_n & \dots & \langle A_n, A_n \rangle + a_n^2 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} \langle A_1, A_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_1, A_n \rangle \\ \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle + a_1 a_2 & \dots & \langle A_2, A_n \rangle + a_2 a_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle A_1, A_n \rangle + a_1 a_n & \dots & \langle A_n, A_n \rangle + a_n^2 \end{vmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{vmatrix} a_1^2 & \dots & a_1 a_n \\ \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_2, A_n \rangle \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle A_1, A_n \rangle & \dots & \langle A_n, A_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \det AA^T + \begin{vmatrix} a_1^2 & \dots & a_1 a_n \\ \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_2, A_n \rangle \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle A_1, A_n \rangle & \dots & \langle A_n, A_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{vmatrix} \langle A_1, A_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_1, A_n \rangle \\ a_1 a_2 & \dots & a_2 a_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \langle A_1, A_n \rangle & \dots & \langle A_n, A_n \rangle \end{vmatrix} + \dots + \begin{vmatrix} \langle A_1, A_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_1, A_n \rangle \\ \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle A_2, A_n \rangle \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_1 a_n & \dots & a_n^2 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \det AA^T + \sum_{i,j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} a_i a_j M_{ij},
\end{aligned}$$

where M_{ij} is a complementary minor to the element of matrix AA^T with coordinates (i, j) . The last equality comes from the row expansions of n determinants. Then, the matrix of algebraic complements $\{(-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}\}$ of the positively definite matrix AA^T is also positively definite, because

$$\{(-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}\} = \det AA^T (AA^T)^{-1},$$

where $(AA^T)^{-1}$ is an inverse matrix of AA^T . Then,

$$\sum_{i,j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} a_i a_j M_{ij} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \times \{(-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}\} \times \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}^T \geq 0.$$

This fact finishes the proof of Corollary 1.3. \square

Proof of Remark 1.4 is analogous to the proof of Remark 1.2.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to M. A. Lifshits for useful discussions.

References

- [1] Adamczak, R., Guedon, O., Litvak, A. E., Pajor, A., Tomczak-Jaegermann, N., 2012. Condition number of a square matrix with i.i.d. columns drawn from a convex body. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140, 987–998.
- [2] Li, Wenbo V., Wei1, Ang, 2009. Gaussian integrals involving absolute value functions. *IMS Collections*, High Dimensional Probability V: The Luminy Volume, 43–59.