

# Central limit theorem for functionals of two independent fractional Brownian motions

David Nualart\* and Fangjun Xu†  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Kansas  
 Lawrence, Kansas, 66045 USA

## Abstract

We prove a central limit theorem for functionals of two independent  $d$ -dimensional fractional Brownian motions with the same Hurst index  $H$  in  $(\frac{2}{d+1}, \frac{2}{d})$  using the method of moments.

*Keywords:* fractional Brownian motion, intersection local time, local time, method of moments.

*Subject Classification:* Primary 60F05; Secondary 60G15, 60G22.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\{B_t^H = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d), t \geq 0\}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst index  $H$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $B^{H,1}$  and  $B^{H,2}$  be two independent copies of  $B^H$ . If  $Hd < 2$ , then the intersection local time of  $B^{H,1}$  and  $B^{H,2}$  exists (see [4]) and can be defined as

$$\alpha(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \delta(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv,$$

where  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function. For any  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , define

$$X(t_1, t_2) = B_{t_1}^{H,1} - B_{t_2}^{H,2}.$$

We see that  $X = \{X(t_1, t_2), t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$  is a  $(2, d)$ -Gaussian random field and satisfies the following scaling property: for any  $c > 0$ ,

$$\{X(ct_1, ct_2), t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+\} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \{c^H X(t_1, t_2), t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+\}. \quad (1.1)$$

If  $Hd < 2$ , then, for any  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and rectangle  $E = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ , the local time  $L(x, E)$  of  $X$  exists and is continuous in  $x$ , see [6]. When  $E = [0, t_1] \times [0, t_2]$ ,  $\alpha(t_1, t_2) = L(0, E)$ . Throughout this paper, we assume  $Hd < 2$  to ensure the existence and the continuity of  $L(x, E)$ .

For any integrable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , one can easily show the following convergence in law in the space  $C([0, \infty)^2)$ , as  $n$  tends to infinity,

$$\left\{ n^{Hd-2} \int_0^{nt_1} \int_0^{nt_2} f(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv, t_1, t_2 > 0 \right\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \alpha(t_1, t_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx, t_1, t_2 > 0 \right\}.$$

\*D. Nualart is supported by the NSF grant DMS1208625.

†F. Xu is supported in part by the Robert Adams Fund.

In fact, letting  $E = [0, t_1] \times [0, t_2]$ , using the scaling property of the process  $X(u, v)$  in (1.1) and then applying the continuity of  $L(x, E)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{Hd-2} \int_0^{nt_1} \int_0^{nt_2} f(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv &\stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} n^{Hd} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} f(n^H(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2})) du dv \\
&= n^{Hd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(n^H x) L(x, E) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) L\left(\frac{x}{n^H}, E\right) dx \\
&\xrightarrow{a.s.} \alpha(t_1, t_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\xrightarrow{a.s.}$  denotes the almost sure convergence.

If we assume  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx = 0$ , then the random variable

$$n^{Hd-2} \int_0^{nt_1} \int_0^{nt_2} f(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv$$

converges in law to 0 as  $n$  tends to infinity. It is natural to ask if there is a  $\beta > Hd - 2$  such that

$$n^\beta \int_0^{nt_1} \int_0^{nt_2} f(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv$$

converges to a nontrivial random variable. This will be proved to be true. In order to formulate this result we introduce the following space of functions. Fix a number  $\beta \in (0, 2)$ , define

$$H_0^\beta = \left\{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| |x|^\beta dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx = 0 \right\}.$$

For any  $f \in H_0^\beta$ , by Lemma 4.1 in [3], the quantity

$$\|f\|_\beta^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(x) f(y) |y - x|^{-\beta} dx dy$$

is finite and nonnegative. The next theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose  $\frac{2}{d+1} < H < \frac{2}{d}$  and  $f \in H_0^{\frac{2}{H}-d}$ . Then, for any  $t_1$  and  $t_2 > 0$ ,*

$$n^{\frac{Hd-2}{2}} \int_0^{nt_1} \int_0^{nt_2} f(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \sqrt{D_{H,d}} \|f\|_{\frac{2}{H}-d} \sqrt{\alpha(t_1, t_2)} \zeta,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$D_{H,d} = \frac{4}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (u^{2H} + v^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{u^{2H} + v^{2H}}}) du dv$$

and  $\zeta$  is a standard normal random variable independent of the processes  $B^{H,1}$  and  $B^{H,2}$ .

In [3], Hu, Nualart and I proved the following functional central limit theorem

$$\left\{ n^{\frac{Hd-1}{2}} \int_0^{nt} f(B^H(s)) ds, t \geq 0 \right\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \left\{ \sqrt{C_{H,d}} \|f\|_{\frac{1}{H}-d} W(L_t(0)), t \geq 0 \right\},$$

where  $W$  is a real-valued standard Brownian motion independent of  $B^H$  and  $L_t(x)$  is the local time of  $B^H$ . This paper can be viewed as an extension of the result in [3]. To prove our main result Theorem 1.1, we use the method of moments. Some techniques in [3] will be used, but new ideas are needed. The basic idea of the approach used in this paper is to apply the method of moments to a functional. When dealing with an integral on  $[0, t_1]^{2m} \times [0, t_2]^{2m}$ , with respect to the measure  $du_1 \cdots du_{2m} dv_1 \cdots dv_{2m}$ , we make the change of variables  $w_{2k-1} = n(u_{2k} - u_{2k-1})$ ,  $w_{2k} = u_{2k}$ ,  $s_{2k-1} = n(v_{2k} - v_{2k-1})$ ,  $s_{2k} = v_{2k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . Then, the increments of  $B^{H,1} - B^{H,2}$  in small rectangles will be responsible for the independent noise appearing in the limit. This methodology could be applied to other examples of functionals and multi-parameter processes.

Note that the constant  $D_{H,d}$  is finite for any  $H > \frac{2}{d+2}$ . We conjecture that our result is also true for  $\frac{2}{d+2} < H < \frac{2}{d}$ , but we have not been able to show our result in the case  $H \leq \frac{2}{d+2}$ . The main reason is that we need to use Fourier analysis in the proof of our result. For example, we need to assume  $Hd > 2 - H$  in Lemma 4.2.

In the Brownian motion case ( $H = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $d = 3$ ), the functional version of Theorem 1.1 can be proved using a theorem by Weinryb and Yor [5]. A second order result for two independent Brownian motions in the critical case  $d = 4$  and  $H = \frac{1}{2}$  was proved by Le Gall [2]. However, not nearly as much has been done for the case  $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $Hd = 2$ . The general asymptotic results for additive functionals of  $k$  independent Brownian motions were obtained by Biane [1]. This paper extends some results in [1] to fractional Brownian motions. General extensions are still largely unknown.

After some preliminaries in Section 2, Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1, based on the method of moments. Throughout this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, the letter  $c$ , with or without a subscript, denotes a generic positive finite constant whose exact value is independent of  $n$  and may change from line to line. We use  $\iota$  to denote  $\sqrt{-1}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\{B_t^H = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d), t \geq 0\}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index  $H$  in  $(0, 1)$ , defined on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . That is, the components of  $B^H$  are independent centered Gaussian processes with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}(B_t^i B_s^i) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

We shall use the following property of the fractional Brownian motion  $B^H$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *Given  $n \geq 1$ , there exist two constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  depending only on  $n$ ,  $H$  and  $d$ , such that for any  $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n$  and  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have*

$$c_1 \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 (s_i - s_{i-1})^{2H} \leq \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot (B_{s_i}^H - B_{s_{i-1}}^H) \right) \leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 (s_i - s_{i-1})^{2H}.$$

*Proof.* The second inequality is obvious. So it suffices to show the first one, which follows from the local nondeterminism property of the fractional Brownian motion; see, e.g., [1] and [3]. ■

The inequalities in Lemma 2.1 can be rewritten as

$$c_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=i}^n x_j \right|^2 (s_i - s_{i-1})^{2H} \leq \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot B_{s_i}^H \right) \leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=i}^n x_j \right|^2 (s_i - s_{i-1})^{2H}. \quad (2.1)$$

The next lemma gives a formula for the moments of the random variable  $\sqrt{\alpha(t_1, t_2)} \zeta$  appearing in Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.2** *For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} [\sqrt{\alpha(t_1, t_2)} \zeta]^p = \begin{cases} \frac{(2m-1)!!}{(2\pi)^{\frac{md}{2}}} \int_{E^m} (\det A(u, v))^{-\frac{1}{2}} du dv & \text{if } p = 2m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $E = [0, t_1] \times [0, t_2]$  and  $A(u, v)$  is the covariance matrix of the Gaussian random field

$$(B_{u_i}^{H,1} - B_{v_i}^{H,2}, 1 \leq i \leq m).$$

*Proof.* This follows easily from the properties the normal distribution and the intersection local time  $\alpha(t_1, t_2)$ . ■

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

By the scaling property of  $X(t_1, t_2)$  in (1.1), we see that, as random variables,

$$n^{\frac{Hd-2}{2}} \int_0^{nt_1} \int_0^{nt_2} f(B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2}) du dv \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} n^{\frac{2+Hd}{2}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} f(n^H (B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2})) du dv.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show Theorem 1.1 for the random variable

$$F_n(t_1, t_2) = n^{\frac{2+Hd}{2}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} f(n^H (B_u^{H,1} - B_v^{H,2})) du dv.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be done in two steps. We first show tightness and then establish the convergence of moments.

#### 3.1 Tightness

Tightness will be deduced from the following result.

**Proposition 3.1** *For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} \leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(x)f(y)| |y|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} dx dy \right]^m.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} = n^{m(2+Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{E^{2m}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} f(n^H (B_{u_i}^{H,1} - B_{v_i}^{H,2})) du dv \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where  $E = [0, t_1] \times [0, t_2]$ .

Using Fourier analysis and making proper change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2\pi n^H)^{2md} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{E^{2m}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} f(n^H (B_{u_i}^{H,1} - B_{v_i}^{H,2})) du dv \right] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{E^{2m}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} f(z_i) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \xi_i \cdot (B_{u_i}^{H,1} - B_{v_i}^{H,2}) \right) - \iota \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{z_i \cdot \xi_i}{n^H} \right\} du dv d\xi dz \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{E^{2m}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} f(z_i) \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \left( e^{-\iota \frac{z_i \cdot \xi_i}{n^H}} - 1 \right) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \xi_i \cdot B_{u_i}^H \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \xi_i \cdot B_{v_i}^H \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dz, \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx = 0$ .

Let  $t = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$  and  $\mathscr{P}$  be the set consisting of all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ . Set

$$I_t(\xi) = \int_{[0, t]^{2m}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{u_j}^H \right) \right\} du.$$

For any  $\sigma \in \mathscr{P}$ , define

$$I_t^\sigma(\xi) = \int_{D_\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{u_j}^H \right) \right\} du,$$

where  $D_\sigma = \{u \in [0, t]^{2m} : u_{\sigma(1)} < \dots < u_{\sigma(2m)}\}$ .

Therefore,  $I_t(\xi)$  can be decomposed as

$$I_t(\xi) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{P}} I_t^\sigma(\xi). \tag{3.3}$$

For simplicity of notation, set

$$\Phi_n(\xi, z) = n^{m(2-Hd)} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} |f(z_i)| \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \left| e^{i \frac{z_i \cdot \xi_i}{n^H}} - 1 \right|. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we can write

$$\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) (I_t(\xi))^2 d\xi dz \leq c_2 \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) (I_t^\sigma(\xi))^2 d\xi dz. \tag{3.5}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_t^\sigma(\xi))^2 &= \int_{D_\sigma \times D_\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{u_j}^H \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{v_j}^H \right) \right\} du dv \\
&= \int_{\widehat{D}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{w_j}^H \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{s_j}^H \right) \right\} dw ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{D} = \{w, s \in [0, t]^{2m} : w_1 < \dots < w_{2m} \text{ and } s_1 < \dots < s_{2m}\}$ .

Using the second inequality in (2.1), we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) (I_t^\sigma(\xi))^2 d\xi dz$$

is less than or equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{\widehat{D}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\kappa_H}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \left| \sum_{j=i}^{2m} \xi_j \right|^2 [(w_i - w_{i-1})^{2H} + (s_i - s_{i-1})^{2H}] \right\} dw ds d\xi dz,$$

with the convention  $w_0 = s_0 = 0$ .

Making the change of variables  $\eta_i = \sum_{j=i}^{2m} \xi_j$ ,  $u_i = w_i - w_{i-1}$  and  $v_i = s_i - s_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2m$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) (I_t^\sigma(\xi))^2 d\xi dz \\ & \leq n^{m(2-Hd)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{[0,t]^{4m}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{2m} f(z_i) \right| \left| \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{z_i}{n^H} \cdot (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i) \right) - 1 \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{\kappa_H}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} |\eta_i|^2 (u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H}) \right\} d\eta du dv dz, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

with the convention  $\eta_{2m+1} = 0$ .

Let  $\sqrt{\kappa_H} X_1, \dots, \sqrt{\kappa_H} X_{2m}$  be independent copies of the  $d$ -dimensional standard normal random vector and  $X_{2m+1} = 0$ . Then inequality (3.6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) (I_t^\sigma(\xi))^2 d\xi dz \\ & \leq c_3 n^{m(2-Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{[0,t]^{4m}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} |f(z_i)| \prod_{i=1}^{2m} (u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{z_i}{n^H} \cdot \left( \frac{X_{i+1}}{\sqrt{u_{i+1}^{2H} + v_{i+1}^{2H}}} - \frac{X_i}{\sqrt{u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H}}} \right) \right) - 1 \right| du dv dz \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

To make use of the independence of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2m}$ , we replace the terms

$$\left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{z_i}{n^H} \cdot \left( \frac{X_{i+1}}{\sqrt{u_{i+1}^{2H} + v_{i+1}^{2H}}} - \frac{X_i}{\sqrt{u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H}}} \right) \right) - 1 \right|, \quad i = 2, 4, \dots, 2m$$

on the right hand side of inequality (3.7) with 2 and then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_n(\xi, z) (I_t^\sigma(\xi))^2 d\xi dz \\
& \leq c_4 n^{m(2-Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{[0,t]^{4m}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} |f(z_i)| \prod_{i=1}^{2m} (u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \prod_{k=1}^m \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{z_i}{n^H} \cdot \left( \frac{X_{2k}}{\sqrt{u_{2k}^{2H} + v_{2k}^{2H}}} - \frac{X_{2k-1}}{\sqrt{u_{2k-1}^{2H} + v_{2k-1}^{2H}}} \right) \right) - 1 \right| du dv dz \right] \\
& = c_4 \left( n^{2-Hd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_{[0,t]^4} \prod_{i=1}^2 |f(z_i)| \prod_{i=1}^2 (\sqrt{u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H}})^{-d} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{z_1}{n^H} \cdot \left( \frac{X_2}{\sqrt{u_2^{2H} + v_2^{2H}}} - \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{u_1^{2H} + v_1^{2H}}} \right) \right) - 1 \right| \right] du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2 dz_1 dz_2 \right)^m \\
& \leq c_5 t^{2-Hd} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(z_1) f(z_2)| |z_1|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} dz_1 dz_2 \right)^m, \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

Combining (3.8) and (3.5) gives the desired inequality.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2 Convergence of odd moments

Let  $t = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$ . For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^p$ , define

$$\Phi_{n,p}(\xi, y) = n^{\frac{p(2-Hd)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^p |f(y_j) (e^{-\iota \frac{y_j \cdot \xi_j}{n^H}} - 1)| \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$H_{n,p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2pd}} \int_{[0,t]^{2p}} \Phi_{n,p}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy.$$

Note that for  $p = 2m$ ,  $\Phi_{n,p}(\xi, y)$  is precisely the function defined (3.4). Also in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have that  $\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} \leq c H_{n,2m}$ . We are going to use see that if  $p$  is odd, then  $H_{n,p}$  converges to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity. This will imply the convergence of odd moments.

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $p$  is odd, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{n,p} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Using similar notation as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{n,p} & \leq c_1 n^{\frac{p(2-Hd)}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{pd}} \int_{[0,t]^{2p}} \prod_{i=1}^p |f(y_i)| \prod_{i=1}^p (u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^p \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y_i}{n^H} \cdot \left( \frac{X_{i+1}}{\sqrt{u_{i+1}^{2H} + v_{i+1}^{2H}}} - \frac{X_i}{\sqrt{u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H}}} \right) \right) - 1 \right| du dv dy \right],
\end{aligned}$$

with the convention  $X_{p+1} = 0$ . Since  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p$  are i.i.d,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{n,p} &\leq c_2 n^{\frac{p(2-Hd)}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{pd}} \int_{[0,t]^{2p}} \prod_{i=1}^p |f(y_i)| \prod_{i=1}^p (u_i^{2H} + v_i^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y_{2k-1}}{n^H} \cdot \left( \frac{X_{2k}}{\sqrt{u_{2k}^{2H} + v_{2k}^{2H}}} - \frac{X_{2k-1}}{\sqrt{u_{2k-1}^{2H} + v_{2k-1}^{2H}}} \right) \right) - 1 \right| ds dt dy \right] \\
&\leq c_3 n^{\frac{(2-Hd)}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(y_1)f(y_2)| |y_1|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{[0,t]^{2d}} |f(y_p)| (u_p^{2H} + v_p^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left| \exp \left( -\iota \frac{y_p}{n^H} \cdot \frac{X_p}{\sqrt{u_p^{2H} + v_p^{2H}}} \right) - 1 \right| du_p dv_p dy_p \right] \\
&\leq c_4 n^{\frac{(Hd-2)}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f(y_1)f(y_2)| |y_1|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} dy_1 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y_p)| |y_p|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} dy_p \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last two inequalities we used Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{n,p} = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 show that  $H_{n,p}$  is uniformly bounded in  $n$ . Moreover, Proposition 3.2 implies the following convergence of odd moments.

**Proposition 3.3** *Suppose  $p$  is odd, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^p = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $|\mathbb{E} (F_n(t_1, t_2))^p| \leq H_{n,p}$  for all  $p$ , this follows immediately from Proposition 3.2.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.3 Some technical lemmas

To prove the convergence of even moments, we need some technical lemmas.

Recall that

$$\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} = n^{m(2+Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{E^{2m}} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_j}^{H,2}) du dv \right],$$

where  $E = [0, t_1] \times [0, t_2]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set consisting of all permutations of  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$  and

$$D = \left\{ 0 = u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m} < t_1, 0 = v_0 < v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_{2m} < t_2 \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} = (2m)! n^{m(2+Hd)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$H_{n,2m,\epsilon}^\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{R_\epsilon} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy,$$



where

$$R_\epsilon = \left\{ 0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m} < t, 0 < v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_{2m} < t \right\} \\ \cap \left\{ |u_{2j} - u_{j-2}| < \epsilon \text{ or } |v_{2j} - v_{2j-2}| < \epsilon, \text{ for some } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \right\}$$

with the convention  $u_0 = v_0 = 0$  and  $t = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** For any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_n H_{n,p,\epsilon}^\sigma = 0.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$R_\epsilon = \cup_{\ell=1}^m (R_{\epsilon,\ell,1} \cup R_{\epsilon,\ell,2}),$$

where  $R_{\epsilon,\ell,1} = R_\epsilon \cap \{|u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-2}| < \epsilon\}$  and  $R_{\epsilon,\ell,2} = R_\epsilon \cap \{|v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-2}| < \epsilon\}$ . So it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{R_{\epsilon,\ell,i}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy = 0$$

for all  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and for  $i = 1, 2$ . We will consider only the case  $i = 2$  and the case  $i = 1$  could be treated in the same way.

Let

$$J_t(\xi) = \int_{\{0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m} < t\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{u_j}^H \right) \right\} du$$

and

$$J_t^{\sigma,\epsilon}(\xi) = \int_{\{0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m} < t, |v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-2}| < \epsilon\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^H \right) \right\} dv.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{R_{\epsilon,\ell,2}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy \\ \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) (J_t(\xi))^2 d\xi dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) (J_t^{\sigma,\epsilon}(\xi))^2 d\xi dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq (H_{n,2m})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) (J_t^{\sigma,\epsilon}(\xi))^2 d\xi dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) (J_t^{\sigma,\epsilon}(\xi))^2 d\xi dy \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{R_{\epsilon,\ell}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy,$$

where

$$R_{\epsilon,\ell} = R_{\epsilon,\ell,1} \cap R_{\epsilon,\ell,2}.$$

Since  $H_{n,2m}$  is uniformly bounded in  $n$ , we only need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{R_{\epsilon,\ell}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy = 0$$

for all  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Using Lemma 2.1 and then making the change of variables  $w_i = u_i - u_{i-1}$ ,  $s_i = v_i - v_{i-1}$  and  $\eta_i = \sum_{j=i}^{2m} \xi_j$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$  with the convention  $u_0 = v_0 = 0$  and  $\eta_{2m+1} = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{R_{\epsilon,\ell}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy \\ & \leq n^{m(2-Hd)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{\widehat{R}_{\epsilon,\ell}} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} |f(y_i)| \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y_i}{n^H} \cdot (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i) \right) - 1 \right| \\ & \quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{\kappa_H}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} |\eta_i|^2 (w_i^{2H} + s_i^{2H}) \right\} dw ds d\eta dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\widehat{R}_{\epsilon,\ell} = [0, t]^{4m} \cap \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2m} w_i < t, \sum_{i=1}^{2m} s_i < t, w_{2\ell} + w_{2\ell-1} < \epsilon, s_{2\ell} + s_{2\ell-1} < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can prove that the right hand side of the inequality (3.12) is less than a constant multiple of  $\epsilon^{2-Hd}$ . Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

For  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} O_{2m,k} = \left\{ u, v \in [0, t]^{2m} : u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m}, v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_{2m}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{u_{2k} - u_{2k-2}}{2} < u_{2k} - u_{2k-1} \text{ or } \frac{v_{2k} - v_{2k-2}}{2} < v_{2k} - v_{2k-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of  $\Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y)$  in (3.9). The following result states that the integral over the domain  $O_{2m,k}$  does not contribute to the limit of the  $2m$ -th moment, which will play a fundamental role in computing the limits of even moments.

**Lemma 3.5** *For any  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{O_{2m,k}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} d\xi du dv dy = 0.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{O}_{2m,k} = \left\{ u, v \in [0, t]^{2m} : u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m}, v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_{2m}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{u_{2k} - u_{2k-2}}{2} < u_{2k} - u_{2k-1}, \frac{v_{2k} - v_{2k-2}}{2} < v_{2k} - v_{2k-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{O_{2m,k}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} d\xi du dv dy \\
& \leq (H_{n,2m})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \int_{\widehat{O}_{2m,k}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq c_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \int_{\widehat{O}_{2m,k}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \int_{\widehat{O}_{2m,k}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy = 0.$$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$ , we make the change of variables  $w_j = u_j - u_{j-1}$  and  $s_j = v_j - v_{j-1}$  with the convention  $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , define

$$D_{2m,k} = \left\{ w, s \in [0, t]^{2m} : \sum_{j=1}^{2m} w_j < t, \sum_{j=1}^{2m} s_j < t, w_{2k-1} < w_{2k}, s_{2k-1} < s_{2k} \right\}.$$

Using the second inequality in (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{\widehat{O}_{2m,k}} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{u_j}^H \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot B_{v_j}^H \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy \\
& \leq c_2 n^{m(2-Hd)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{D_{2m,k}} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} |f(y_j)| \prod_{j=1}^{2m} (w_j^{2H} + s_j^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{2m} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y_j \cdot X_{j+1}}{n^H \sqrt{w_{j+1}^{2H} + s_{j+1}^{2H}}} - \iota \frac{y_j \cdot X_j}{n^H \sqrt{w_j^{2H} + s_j^{2H}}} \right) - 1 \right| \right) dw ds dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sqrt{\kappa_H} X_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2m$ ) are independent copies of the  $d$ -dimensional standard normal random vector and  $X_{2m+1} = 0$ . The rest of proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.3 in [3].  $\blacksquare$

Recall the definition of  $D$  in (3.10). For  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $K > 0$ , define

$$D_{K,\ell}^n = D \cap \{u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-1} \geq K/n \text{ or } v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-1} \geq K/n\}. \quad (3.13)$$

The following result implies that the domain  $D_{K,\ell}^n$  does not contribute to the limit of even moments.

**Lemma 3.6** *For any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,*

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{D_{K,\ell}^n} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t = \max(t_1, t_2)$ . Define

$$\widehat{D}_{K,\ell}^n = \left\{ u, v \in [0, t]^{2m} : u_1 < u_2 < \cdots < u_{2m}, v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_{2m}, \right. \\ \left. u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-1} \geq K/n, v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-1} \geq K/n \right\}$$

and

$$I_{K,\ell}^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{D_{K,\ell}^n} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$I_{K,\ell}^n \leq c_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4md}} \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\ell}^n} \Phi_{n,2m}(\xi, y) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \xi_j \cdot (B_{u_j}^{H,1} - B_{v_j}^{H,2}) \right) \right\} du dv d\xi dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

According to Lemma 3.5, we can replace  $\widehat{D}_{K,\ell}^n$  in the above inequality with

$$\widetilde{D}_{K,\ell}^n = \widehat{D}_{K,\ell}^n \cap \left\{ \frac{u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-2}}{2} > u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-1} \geq K/n, \frac{v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-2}}{2} > v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-1} \geq K/n \right\}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.4 in [3]. ■

We next divide  $\mathcal{P}$  into two subsets. In section 3.4, we will show that permutations in one subset do not contribute to the convergence of even moments.

For each  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ , we introduce the following decomposition of  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ :

$$I_{ee}^\sigma = \{j \in I : j \text{ is even and } \sigma(j) \text{ is even}\}, \quad I_{eo}^\sigma = \{j \in I : j \text{ is even and } \sigma(j) \text{ is odd}\}, \\ I_{oe}^\sigma = \{j \in I : j \text{ is odd and } \sigma(j) \text{ is even}\}, \quad I_{oo}^\sigma = \{j \in I : j \text{ is odd and } \sigma(j) \text{ is odd}\}.$$

Let  $I_e = \{2j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  and  $I_o = \{2j - 1 : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ . Then  $I_e = I_{ee}^\sigma + I_{eo}^\sigma$  and  $I_o = I_{oe}^\sigma + I_{oo}^\sigma$  for all  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We make the change of variables  $w_{2k} = u_{2k}$ ,  $w_{2k-1} = n(u_{2k} - u_{2k-1})$ ,  $s_{2k} = v_{2k}$ ,  $s_{2k-1} = n(v_{2k} - v_{2k-1})$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Define

$$D_n = \left\{ w, s \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} : 0 < w_2 < w_4 < \cdots < w_{2m} < t_1, 0 < s_2 < s_4 < \cdots < s_{2m} < t_2 \right. \\ \left. 0 < w_{2k-1} < n(w_{2k} - w_{2k-1}), 0 < s_{2k-1} < n(s_{2k} - s_{2k-1}), 1 \leq k \leq m \right\}. \quad (3.14)$$

From the above decomposition of  $I$ ,

$$n^{2m} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \\ = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{D_n} \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}]) \prod_{j \in I_{eo}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right. \\ \times \prod_{j \in I_{oe}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_{j+1} - \frac{w_j}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1}]) \\ \left. \times \prod_{j \in I_{oo}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_{j+1} - \frac{w_j}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right\} dw ds. \quad (3.15)$$

Assume that  $x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2m}$  and  $z_2, z_4, \dots, z_{2m}$  are linearly independent elements in some linear space. For any  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ee}^\sigma &= \{x_j - z_{\sigma(j)} : j \in I_{ee}^\sigma\}, & A_{oe}^\sigma &= \{x_{j+1} - z_{\sigma(j)} : j \in I_{oe}^\sigma\}; \\ A_{eo}^\sigma &= \{x_j - z_{\sigma(j)+1} : j \in I_{eo}^\sigma\}, & A_{oo}^\sigma &= \{x_{j+1} - z_{\sigma(j)+1} : j \in I_{oo}^\sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that elements in each of the above sets are linearly independent. For simplicity, we use  $\#A$  to denote the cardinality of a set  $A$ . Suppose  $\#I_{ee}^\sigma = r$ . Then  $\#A_{ee}^\sigma = \#A_{oo}^\sigma = r$  and  $\#A_{eo}^\sigma = \#A_{oe}^\sigma = m - r$ . We are interested in the dimension of the set  $A_\sigma := A_{ee}^\sigma \cup A_{oe}^\sigma \cup A_{eo}^\sigma \cup A_{oo}^\sigma$ , that is, the maximum number of elements in  $A_\sigma$  which are linearly independent. Since elements in  $A_{ee}^\sigma \cup A_{oe}^\sigma$  are linearly independent, the dimension of  $A_\sigma$  is greater than or equal to  $m$ .

**Lemma 3.7** *The dimension of  $A_\sigma$  is  $m$  if and only if  $\{(j, \sigma(j)) : j \in I_{ee}^\sigma\} = \{(j+1, \sigma(j)+1) : j \in I_{oo}^\sigma\}$  and  $\{(j+1, \sigma(j)) : j \in I_{oe}^\sigma\} = \{(j, \sigma(j)+1) : j \in I_{eo}^\sigma\}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the only if part. Note that the  $m$  elements in  $A_{ee}^\sigma \cup A_{oe}^\sigma$  are linearly independent. If one of the two condition fails, then there must exist an element in  $A_{eo}^\sigma \cup A_{oo}^\sigma$  such that it does not belong to the space spanned by  $A_{ee}^\sigma \cup A_{oe}^\sigma$ . This implies that the dimension of  $A_\sigma$  is greater than  $m$ .  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{P} : \text{the dimension of } A_\sigma \text{ is } m\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_0$ . Lemma 3.7 implies

$$\#\mathcal{P}_0 = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} m! = m! 2^m.$$

### 3.4 Convergence of even moments

We will show the convergence of all even moments. Recall that

$$\mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} = (2m)! n^{m(2+Hd)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right],$$

where

$$D = \left\{ 0 = u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2m} < t_1, 0 = v_0 < v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_{2m} < t_2 \right\}.$$

Note that we can find a sequence of functions  $f_N$ , which are infinitely differentiable with compact support, such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_N(x) dx = 0$  and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x) - f_N(x)| \left( |x|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} \vee 1 \right) dx = 0.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.1, we can assume that  $f$  is infinitely differentiable with compact support and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx = 0$ .

We first show that permutations in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  do not contribute to the limit of even moments using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6.

**Proposition 3.8** *For any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_1$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{m(2+Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

*Proof.* For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $K > 0$ , define

$$D_{K,\epsilon}^n = D \cap \{u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-1} < K/n, v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-1} < K/n, u_{2\ell} - u_{2\ell-2} \geq \epsilon, v_{2\ell} - v_{2\ell-2} \geq \epsilon, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Thanks to Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6, we can replace  $D$  in (3.16) with  $D_{K,\epsilon}^n$ .

Recall the equality in (3.15). Making proper change of variables gives

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{m(2+Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{D_{K,\epsilon}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \\ = & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n} \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}]) \prod_{j \in I_{eo}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right. \\ & \times \prod_{j \in I_{oe}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_{j+1} - \frac{w_j}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1}]) \\ & \left. \times \prod_{j \in I_{oo}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_{j+1} - \frac{w_j}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right) dw ds \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n = & \left\{ w, s \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} : \epsilon < w_2 < w_4 < \dots < w_{2m} < t_1, \epsilon < s_2 < s_4 < \dots < s_{2m} < t_2, \right. \\ & 0 < w_{2k-1} < K \wedge n(w_{2k} - w_{2k-2}), 0 < s_{2k-1} < K \wedge n(s_{2k} - s_{2k-2}), \\ & \left. w_{2k} - w_{2k-2} \geq \epsilon, s_{2k} - s_{2k-2} \geq \epsilon, k = 2, \dots, m \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Since  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_1$ , there exists a  $j_0 \in I_{eo}^\sigma \cup I_{oo}^\sigma$  such that  $j_0 \notin I_{ee}^\sigma \cup I_{oe}^\sigma$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $j_0 \in I_{eo}^\sigma$ . Let  $p_n(x, y)$  be the density function of the random field  $Z_n = (X_j, Y_j^n)$  where

$$X_j = \begin{cases} B_{w_{j_0}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j_0)+1}}^{H,2} & \text{if } j = j_0 \\ B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2} & \text{if } j \in I_{ee}^\sigma \\ B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2} & \text{if } j \in I_{oe}^\sigma \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$Y_j^n = \begin{cases} n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j_0)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j_0)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j_0)+1}}^{H,2}] & \text{if } j = j_0 \\ n^H [B_{w_{j+1} - \frac{w_j}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1}] & \text{if } j \in I_{oe}^\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f$  is infinitely differentiable and had compact support,

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{m(2+Hd)} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{D_{K,\epsilon}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \right| \\ & \leq c_1 n^{mHd} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n} \left| f(n^H [B_{w_{j_0}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j_0)+1}}^{H,2}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j_0)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j_0)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j_0)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} \left| f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}]) \right| \prod_{j \in I_{oe}^\sigma} \left| f(n^H [B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_{j+1} - \frac{w_j}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_{j+1}}^{H,1}]) \right| dw ds \Big] \\ & = c_2 n^{mHd} \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m+2+\#I_{ee}^\sigma)d}} |f(n^H x_{j_0} + y_{j_0})| \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} |f(n^H x_j)| \prod_{j \in I_{oe}^\sigma} |f(n^H x_j + y_j)| p_n(x, y) dx dy dw ds \\ & = c_2 n^{-Hd} \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(m+2+\#I_{ee}^\sigma)d}} |f(x_{j_0} + y_{j_0})| \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} |f(x_j)| \prod_{j \in I_{oe}^\sigma} |f(x_j + y_j)| p_n(\frac{x}{n^H}, y) dx dy dw ds \\ & \leq c_3 n^{-Hd} \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(1+\#I_{ee}^\sigma)d}} \sup_x p_n(\frac{x}{n^H}, y) dy dw ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q_n(w, s)$  be the covariance matrix function of  $Z_n = (X_j, Y_j^n)$  defined above. Then  $Q_n$  has the following expression

$$Q_n = \begin{bmatrix} A & C_n^T \\ C_n & B_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A = A(w, s)$  is the covariance matrix function of  $X = (X_j)$ ,  $C_n = C_n(w, s)$  the covariance matrix function of  $X = (X_j)$  and  $Y = (Y_j)$ , and  $B_n = B_n(w, s)$  the covariance matrix function of  $Y = (Y_j)$ .

After doing some algebra, we have

$$Q_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (A - C_n^T B_n^{-1} C_n)^{-1} & -A^{-1} C_n^T (B_n - C_n A^{-1} C_n^T)^{-1} \\ -B_n^{-1} C_n (A - C_n^T B_n^{-1} C_n)^{-1} & (B_n - C_n A^{-1} C_n^T)^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\det(Q_n) = \det(A) \det(B_n - C_n A^{-1} C_n^T)$ . For simplicity of notation, we write

$$Q_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2^T \\ D_2 & D_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) Q_n^{-1} (x, y)^T &= x D_1 x^T + x D_2^T y^T + y D_2 x^T + y D_4 y^T \\ &= x D_1 x^T + 2x D_2^T y^T + y D_4 y^T \\ &= (x \sqrt{D_1}) (x \sqrt{D_1})^T + 2(x \sqrt{D_1}) (\sqrt{D_1})^{-1} D_2^T y^T + y D_4 y^T \\ &\geq y (D_4 - D_2 D_1^{-1} D_2^T) y^T. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(1+\#I_{\sigma_e}^d)}} \sup_x p_n\left(\frac{x}{n^H}, y\right) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(1+\#I_{\sigma_e}^d)}} \sup_x p_n(x, y) dy \\ &= c_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(1+\#I_{\sigma_e}^d)}} (\det(Q_n))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_x \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x, y) Q_n^{-1} (x, y)^T\right\} dy \\ &\leq c_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(1+\#I_{\sigma_e}^d)}} (\det(Q_n))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}y (D_4 - D_2 D_1^{-1} D_2^T) y^T\right\} dy \\ &= c_5 (\det(Q_n))^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\det(D_4 - D_2 D_1^{-1} D_2^T))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c_5 (\det(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$n^{m(2+Hd)} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{D_{K,\epsilon}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \right| \leq c_6 n^{-Hd} \int_{\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n} (\det(A(w, s)))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw ds.$$

From the definition of  $X$  in (3.18), we see that the components of  $X$  are linearly independent and thus  $A(w, s)$  is not singular. Taking into account the definition of  $\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n$  in (3.17) and the continuity of  $\det(A(w, s))$ , we obtain  $\det(A(w, s)) \geq c_\epsilon > 0$  for all  $(w, s)$  in  $\widehat{D}_{K,\epsilon}^n$ . Therefore,

$$n^{m(2+Hd)} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{D_{K,\epsilon}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \right| \leq c_7 c_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-Hd}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

We next show the convergence of all even moments.

**Proposition 3.9** For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [F_n(t_1, t_2)]^{2m} = D_{H,d}^m \|f\|_{\frac{m}{H}-d}^m \mathbb{E} [\sqrt{\alpha(0, t_1, t_2)} \zeta]^{2m}.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.8 and equation (3.11), we only need to show

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2m)! n^{m(2+Hd)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \\ = D_{H,d}^m \|f\|_{\frac{m}{H}-d}^m \mathbb{E} [\sqrt{\alpha(0, t_1, t_2)} \zeta]^{2m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The proof will be done in several steps.

**Step 1** Since  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_0$ , by Lemma 3.7, the equation (3.15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} n^{2m} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \\ = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{D_n} \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}]) \prod_{j \in I_{eo}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right. \\ \times \prod_{j \in I_{eo}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_j - \frac{w_{j-1}}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_j}^{H,1}]) \\ \left. \times \prod_{j \in I_{ee}^\sigma} f(n^H [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}] + n^H [B_{w_j - \frac{w_{j-1}}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_j}^{H,1}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)-1}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}]) dw ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce random fields  $X^n(w, s) = \{X_j^n(w, s) : j \in I_e\}$  and  $Y^n(w, s) = \{Y_j^n(w, s) : j \in I_e\}$  with

$$X_j^n(w, s) = \begin{cases} B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}, & \text{if } j \in I_{ee}^\sigma, \\ [B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] - [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}], & \text{if } j \in I_{eo}^\sigma, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y_j^n(w, s) = \begin{cases} n^H [B_{w_j - \frac{w_{j-1}}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_j}^{H,1}] - n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)-1}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}], & \text{if } j \in I_{ee}^\sigma, \\ n^H [B_{w_j - \frac{w_{j-1}}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_j}^{H,1}] + n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}], & \text{if } j \in I_{eo}^\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Let  $Z_n(w, s) = (X^n(w, s), Y^n(w, s))$ . Denote the covariance matrix and the probability density function of the Gaussian random field  $Z_n(w, s)$  by  $Q_n(w, s)$  and

$$p_n(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-md} (\det Q_n(w, s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x, y) Q_n(w, s)^{-1} (x, y)^T \right\},$$



respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& n^{m(2+Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_D \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right] \\
&= n^{mHd} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{D_n} \prod_{j \in I_e} f(n^H X_j^n(w, s)) f(n^H X_j^n(w, s) + Y_j^n(w, s)) dw ds \right] \\
&= n^{mHd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{D_n} \prod_{j \in I_e} f(n^H x_j) f(n^H x_j + y_{j-1}) p_n(x, y) dw ds dx dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{D_n} F(x, y) p_n\left(\frac{x}{n^H}, y\right) dw ds dx dy, \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $F(x, y) = \prod_{j \in I_e} f(x_j) f(x_j + y_j)$ .

We need to compute the limit of the density  $p_n(x/n^H, y)$  as  $n$  tends to infinity. The covariance matrix between the components of  $X^n(w, s)$  and  $Y^n(w, s)$  converges to the zero matrix, and the covariance matrix of the random field  $Y^n(w, s)$  converges to a diagonal matrix with entries equal to  $w_{j-1}^{2H} + s_{\sigma(j)-1}^{2H}$  when  $j \in I_{ee}^\sigma$  and  $w_{j-1}^{2H} + s_{\sigma(j)}^{2H}$  when  $j \in I_{eo}^\sigma$ . Let  $A^\sigma(w, s)$  be the covariance matrix of  $X(w, s) = (X_j(w, s) : j \in I_e)$  with

$$X_j(w, s) = \begin{cases} B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}, & \text{if } j \in I_{ee}^\sigma, \\ B_{w_j}^{H,1} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}, & \text{if } j \in I_{eo}^\sigma. \end{cases}$$

We see that the covariance matrix of the random field  $X^n(w, s)$  converges to  $A^\sigma(w, s)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n\left(\frac{x}{n^H}, y\right) &= (2\pi)^{-md} (\det A^\sigma(w, s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \prod_{j \in I_{ee}} (w_{j-1}^{2H} + s_{\sigma(j)-1}^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y_j|^2}{w_{j-1}^{2H} + s_{\sigma(j)-1}^{2H}}\right) \\
&\times \prod_{j \in I_{eo}} (w_{j-1}^{2H} + s_{\sigma(j)}^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y_j|^2}{w_{j-1}^{2H} + s_{\sigma(j)}^{2H}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the region  $D_n$  converges, as  $n$  tends to infinity, to

$$\left\{ w, s \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2m} : 0 < w_2 < w_4 < \dots < w_{2m} < t_1, 0 < s_2 < s_4 < \dots < s_{2m} < t_2 \right\}.$$

Note that we can add a term  $-1$  because  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y) dy_j = 0$  for all  $j$  in  $I_e$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (w^{2H} + s^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y_j|^2}{w^{2H} + s^{2H}}} - 1 \right) dw ds \\
&= -|y_j|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (w^{2H} + s^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y_j|^2}{w^{2H} + s^{2H}}} \right) dw ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, provided that we can interchange the limit and the integrals in the expression (3.20), we obtain that the limit equals

$$\frac{D_{H,d}^m}{4^m} \|f\|_{\frac{2}{H}-d}^m (2\pi)^{-\frac{md}{2}} \int_O (\det A^\sigma(w, s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw ds, \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$O = \left\{ 0 < w_2 < w_4 < \cdots < w_{2m} < t_1, 0 < s_2 < s_4 < \cdots < s_{2m} < t_2 \right\}.$$

Finally, the left hand side of (3.19) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (2m)! \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_0} \frac{D_{H,d}^m}{4^m} \|f\|_{\frac{m}{H}-d}^2 (2\pi)^{-md} \int_O (\det A^\sigma(w, s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw ds \\ &= (2m-1)!! D_{H,d}^m \|f\|_{\frac{m}{H}-d}^2 \int_{E^m} (2\pi)^{-\frac{md}{2}} (\det A(u, v))^{-\frac{1}{2}} du dv, \end{aligned}$$

and, taking into account of Lemma 2.2, this would finish the proof.

**Step 2** Recall the notation  $D_n$  in (3.14). Define

$$D_{n,K} = D_n \cap \left\{ 0 < w_{2k-1} < K \wedge n(w_{2k} - w_{2k-2}), 0 < s_{2k-1} < K \wedge n(s_{2k} - s_{2k-2}), 1 \leq k \leq m \right\}.$$

The region  $D_{n,K}$  is uniformly bounded in  $n$  and we can then interchange the limit and the integral with respect to  $w$  and  $s$ , provided that we have a uniform integrability condition.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_{n,K}} \left| p_n\left(\frac{x}{n^H}, y\right) \right|^p dw ds \\ & \leq c_1 \int_{D_{n,K}} (\det Q_n(w, s))^{-\frac{p}{2}} ds ds \\ & = c_2 \int_{D_{n,K}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in I_e} \xi_j \cdot X_j^n(w, s) + \sum_{j \in I_e} \eta_j \cdot Y_j^n(w, s) \right) \right\} d\xi d\eta \right)^p dw ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in I_e} \xi_j \cdot X_j^n(w, s) + \sum_{j \in I_e} \eta_j \cdot Y_j^n(w, s) \right) = I_1(\xi, \eta) + I_2(\xi, \eta),$$

where

$$I_1(\xi, \eta) = \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in I_e} \xi_j \cdot B_{w_j}^{H,1} + \sum_{j \in I_e} \eta_j \cdot n^H [B_{w_j - \frac{w_{j-1}}{n}}^{H,1} - B_{w_j}^{H,1}] \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(\xi, \eta) = & \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j \in I_{e\sigma}} \xi_j \cdot B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2} + \sum_{j \in I_{e\sigma}} \xi_j \cdot (B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2} + [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}]) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j \in I_{e\sigma}} \eta_j \cdot n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)-1}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}] + \sum_{j \in I_{e\sigma}} \eta_j \cdot n^H [B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1} - \frac{s_{\sigma(j)}}{n}}^{H,2} - B_{s_{\sigma(j)+1}}^{H,2}] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [I_1(\xi, \eta) + I_2(\xi, \eta)] \right\} d\xi d\eta \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \exp \left\{ -I_1(\xi, \eta) \right\} d\xi d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \exp \left\{ -I_2(\xi, \eta) \right\} d\xi d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 in [3], we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \exp \left\{ -I_1(\xi, \eta) \right\} d\xi d\eta \leq c_3 \prod_{k=1}^m (u_{2k-1})^{-Hd} \left( u_{2k} - \frac{u_{2k-1}}{n} - u_{2k-2} \right)^{-Hd}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \exp \left\{ -I_2(\xi, \eta) \right\} d\xi d\eta \leq c_4 \prod_{k=1}^m (v_{2k-1})^{-Hd} \left( v_{2k} - \frac{v_{2k-1}}{n} - v_{2k-2} \right)^{-Hd}.$$

Therefore, for all  $p$  such that  $1 \leq p < \frac{2}{Hd}$ ,

$$\sup_n \int_{D_{n,K}} \left| p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) \right|^p dw ds \leq c_5,$$

where  $c_5$  is a positive constant independent of  $n$ ,  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let

$$I_{n,K} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{D_{n,K}} F(x, y) p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) dw ds dx dy.$$

Thus, taking into account that the function  $F(x, y)$  is continuous and has compact support, by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n,K} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} F(x, y) \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{n,K}} p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) dw ds \right) dx dy.$$

On the other hand, there exists  $p > 1$  such that

$$\sup_n \int_{D_{n,K}} \left| p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) \right|^p dw ds < \infty,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n,K} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} F(x, y) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{D_{n,K}}((w, s)) p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) dw ds dx dy.$$

With the same notation as in **Step 1** we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n,K} &= \frac{(2m)!}{(2\pi)^{md}} \left( \int_O [\det A^\sigma(w, s)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} dw ds \right) \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} F(x, y) \prod_{j \in I_e} \int_0^K \int_0^K (u^{2H} + v^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y_j|^2}{u^{2H} + v^{2H}}} - 1 \right) du dv dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the above equality converges to the term in (3.21) as  $K$  tends to infinity.

**Step 3** We need to show that

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{D_n - D_{n,K}} F(x, y) p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) dw ds dx dy = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Recall the equation (3.20) and the notation  $D_{K,\ell}^n$  in (3.13).

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2md}} \int_{D_n - D_{n,K}} F(x, y) p_n \left( \frac{x}{n^H}, y \right) dw ds dx dy \\ &= n^{m(2+Hd)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\cup_{\ell=1}^m D_{K,\ell}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} f(n^H B_{u_j}^{H,1} - n^H B_{v_{\sigma(j)}}^{H,2}) du dv \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the statement in (3.22) follows from Lemma 3.6. The proof is completed.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** This follows from Propositions 3.1, 3.3 and 3.9 by the method of moments.

## 4 Appendix

Here we give some lemmas which are necessary in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 4.1** *Assume that  $1 < Hd < 2$ . There exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\int_0^a \int_0^b (w^{2H} + s^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} dw ds \leq c(a \wedge b)^{2-Hd}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $a \leq b$ . Making the change of variable  $v = s/w$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a \int_0^b (w^{2H} + s^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} dw ds &= \int_0^a \int_0^{\frac{b}{w}} w^{1-Hd} (1 + v^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} dv dw \\ &\leq \int_0^a \int_0^\infty w^{1-Hd} (1 + v^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} dv dw \\ &\leq c_1 a^{2-Hd}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive constant independent of  $b$ . ■

**Lemma 4.2** *Assume that  $2 - H < Hd < 2$ . Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional centered normal random vector with covariance matrix  $\sigma^2 I$ . Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a positive constant  $c$  depending only on  $H$  and  $d$  such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (w^{2H} + s^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y \cdot X}{n^H \sqrt{w^{2H} + s^{2H}}} \right) - 1 \right| dw ds \leq c n^{Hd-2} |y|^{\frac{2}{H}-d}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show the above inequality when  $y \neq 0$ . Making the change of variables  $u = |y|^{-\frac{1}{H}} n w$  and  $v = |y|^{-\frac{1}{H}} n s$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (w^{2H} + s^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y \cdot X}{n^H \sqrt{w^{2H} + s^{2H}}} \right) - 1 \right| dw ds \\ &= n^{Hd-2} |y|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (u^{2H} + v^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left| \exp \left( \iota \frac{y \cdot X}{|y| \sqrt{u^{2H} + v^{2H}}} \right) - 1 \right| du dv \\ &\leq n^{Hd-2} |y|^{\frac{2}{H}-d} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (u^{2H} + v^{2H})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( 2 \wedge (u^{2H} + v^{2H})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} |X| \right) du dv \\ &= c n^{Hd-2} |y|^{\frac{2}{H}-d}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from using polar coordinates and the assumption  $2 - H < Hd < 2$ . ■

## References

- [1] P. Biane: Comportement asymptotique de certaines fonctionnelles additives de plusieurs mouvements browniens. *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXIII*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1372**, Springer, Berlin, 198–233, 1989.
- [2] J. F. Le Gall: Sur la saucisse de Wiener et les points multiples du Mouvement Brownien. *Ann. Prob.*, **14** (1986) 1219–1244.

- [3] Y. Hu., D. Nualart and F. Xu: Central limit theorem for an additive functional of the fractional Brownian motion. ArXiv:1111.4419.
- [4] D. Nualart and S. Ortiz-Latorre: Intersection local time for two independent fractional Brownian motions. *J. Theor. Prob.* **20** (2007) 759–757.
- [5] S. Weinryb, M. Yor: Le mouvement Brownien de Lévy indexé par  $\mathbb{R}^3$  comme limite centrale de temps locaux d’intersection. *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXII*, Lecture notes in Math., **1321**, Springer, Berlin, 225–248, 1988.
- [6] D. Wu and Y. Xiao: Regularity of intersection local times of fractional Brownian motions. *J. Theor. Prob.* **23** (2010) 972–1001.