Coxeter groups and Kähler groups

Pierre Py

June 2013

Abstract

We study homomorphisms from Kähler groups to Coxeter groups. As an application, we prove that a cocompact complex hyperbolic lattice (in complex dimension at least 2) does not embed into a Coxeter group or a right-angled Artin group. This is in contrast with the case of real hyperbolic lattices.

1 Introduction

A Kähler group is by definition the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold. We refer the reader to [\[2\]](#page-7-0) for an introduction to these groups, and to [\[5,](#page-7-1) [10,](#page-8-0) [27\]](#page-9-0) for more recent developments. The purpose of this note is to study homomorphisms from Kähler groups to Coxeter groups (for the definition of Coxeter groups, see Section [2](#page-3-0) and [\[16,](#page-8-1) [24\]](#page-8-2) for a more detailed introduction).

We first recall a few classical definitions. In this text, a 2-dimensional orbifold Σ is a compact Riemann surface S marked with a finite set of points p_1, \ldots, p_n , each point p_i being assigned a multiplicity $m_i \geq 2$. The orbifold Σ is called *hyperbolic* if its orbifold Euler characteristic

$$
\chi^{orb}(\Sigma) := \chi(S) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_i}\right)
$$

is negative. In this case, there exists a cocompact discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acting on the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$, such that S can be identified with the quotient Δ/Γ , the p_i corresponding to orbits of points of Δ with a non-trivial stabilizer in Γ. The group Γ is isomorphic to the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma)$ of Σ , i.e. the quotient of the group $\pi_1(S - \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\})$ by the normal subgroup generated by the elements $\gamma_i^{m_i}$ (γ_i being the conjugacy class of a loop around the puncture p_i). In such a situation, we will always think of Σ as a quotient of the unit disc and say that Σ is a hyperbolic 2-orbifold.

A map from a complex manifold X to a hyperbolic 2-orbifold Σ is holomorphic if it lifts to a holomorphic map from the universal cover of X to the unit disc. A fibration from X onto Σ is a proper holomorphic surjective map $f : X \to \Sigma$ with connected fibers; such a map induces a surjection $f_* : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma)$ (see for instance Lemma 3 in [\[12\]](#page-8-3) for more on this topic).

In the following, if G is any group, we will denote by G_{ab} the abelianization of G. If $f_1 : G \to H_1$ and $f_2: G \to H_2$ are homomorphisms we will say that f_1 factors through f_2 if the kernel of f_2 is contained in the kernel of f_1 .

We can now state the:

Theorem A Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$. Let W be a Coxeter group and $\varphi : \Gamma \to W$ be any homomorphism. Then, there is a finite cover

$$
X_0 \to X
$$

with fundamental group $\Gamma_0 := \pi_1(X_0)$, and finitely many fibrations $p_i : X_0 \to \Sigma_i$ ($1 \le i \le N$) onto hyperbolic 2-orbifolds such that the restriction of φ to Γ_0 factors through the map

$$
\Gamma_0 \to (\Gamma_0)_{ab} \times \pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma_N)
$$

induced by the p_i 's and by the natural map $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow (\Gamma_0)_{ab}$.

From now on, by a *surface group* we will mean the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface of genus greater than 1. The orbifold fundamental groups appearing above have finite index subgroups isomorphic to surface groups. One thus deduces from Theorem [A](#page-1-0) that if a Kähler group $Γ$ admits a *faithful* homomorphism into a Coxeter group, it must have a finite index subgroup $Γ_1$ isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of a free Abelian group with a direct product of surface groups. We refer the reader to [\[18\]](#page-8-4) for other situations where one can construct faithful homomorphisms from certain Kähler groups to products of surface groups (possibly with an Abelian factor).

On the other hand, Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short [\[7\]](#page-8-5) have studied subgroups of direct products of surface groups and free groups, from the point of view of their homological properties; see also [\[6,](#page-7-2) [8\]](#page-8-6) for more general results. They proved in [\[7\]](#page-8-5) that a subgroup of a direct product of free and surface groups with strong enough finiteness properties is virtually isomorphic to a product of finitely generated subgroups of the factors (see Section [3](#page-4-0) for a precise statement). Hence, it is tempting to use their result to obtain restrictions on Kähler groups with strong enough finiteness properties (this possibility was already mentioned in [\[6,](#page-7-2) [8\]](#page-8-6)). Indeed, from the Theorem above and the results of [\[7\]](#page-8-5) we easily deduce (see Section [3\)](#page-4-0):

Corollary 1 If a Coxeter group W is commensurable with a Kähler group, then any infinite $irreducible factor of W is either Euclidean or has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to a surface$ group.

From Theorem [A,](#page-1-0) one also deduces immediately:

Corollary 2 Let PU(n, 1) be the group of holomorphic isometries of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n and $\Gamma \subset$ $PU(n, 1)$ be a cocompact lattice. If $n \geq 2$, Γ does not admit any faithful homomorphism into any Coxeter group.

Although this last corollary could also be deduced from [\[7\]](#page-8-5), it admits the following direct proof. First we observe that a subgroup G of a direct product $A \times B$ of torsion-free groups which does not embed in either A or B contains elements of the form $(a, 1)$ and $(1, b)$ (for $a, b \neq 1$). In particular, it contains a copy of Z 2 . Hence a Gromov hyperbolic group embeds in such a direct product only if it embeds in one of the factors. Combined with the remarks above, this proves Corollary [2.](#page-1-1)

The statement of Corollary [2](#page-1-1) for *non-uniform* lattices in $PU(n, 1)$ follows from the fact that nilpotent subgroups of Coxeter groups are virtually Abelian. Note that higher rank lattices cannot embed in Coxeter groups; this follows from the result in [\[31\]](#page-9-1), combined, for instance, with Margulis' normal subgroup theorem (see [\[34\]](#page-9-2) for a weaker statement).

We now recall the definition of a *right-angled Artin group* (denoted by RAAG in what follows). Let G be a finite graph with vertex set $V(\mathscr{G})$. The RAAG $A(\mathscr{G})$ associated to G is the group defined by the following presentation:

$$
A(\mathscr{G}) := \langle g_v, v \in V(\mathscr{G}) \mid [g_v, g_w] = 1 \text{ if } (v, w) \text{ is an edge of } \mathscr{G} \rangle.
$$

See for instance [\[13\]](#page-8-7) for an introduction to RAAG's. Note that Davis and Januszkiewicz [\[17\]](#page-8-8) have proved that any RAAG embeds (as a subgroup of finite index) into a right-angled Coxeter group. This implies that Theorem [A](#page-1-0) and Corollary [2](#page-1-1) above still hold when one replaces Coxeter groups by RAAG's. In particular one obtains:

Corollary 3 Let $\Gamma \subset \text{PU}(n, 1)$ be a cocompact lattice with $n \geq 2$. Then Γ does not admit any faithful homomorphism into any right-angled Artin group.

This contrasts with the fact that the fundamental group of any compact real hyperbolic 3 manifold as well as the fundamental groups of "standard" arithmetic real hyperbolic manifolds in all dimensions virtually embed into RAAGs. The first statement follows from Agol's recent solution to the virtual Haken conjecture; see [\[1\]](#page-7-3) and the references there. For the case of stan-dard arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds, see [\[4\]](#page-7-4). The non-existence of *quasi-isometric* embeddings of complex hyperbolic lattices into RAAGs was already known thanks to [\[18\]](#page-8-4) (see also [\[30\]](#page-9-3)).

From the previous results we will also deduce:

Corollary 4 If a RAAG $A(\mathscr{G})$ is commensurable with a Kähler group, then $A(\mathscr{G})$ is free Abelian of even rank.

The fact that the only RAAG's isomorphic to Kähler groups are the free Abelian groups of even rank was already established in a different way in [\[21,](#page-8-9) §11.13] (that article also describes which RAAG's are fundamental groups of quasi-Kähler manifolds).

Note that any RAAG acts properly and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cubical complex, see [\[13\]](#page-8-7) for the definition. Although partial results on actions of Kähler groups on $CAT(0)$ cubical complexes were obtained by Delzant and Gromov (see [\[18\]](#page-8-4) or [\[10\]](#page-8-0)), it would be interesting to study these actions in general.

The proof of our results is an easy combination of two classical facts. First, we use the fact that Coxeter groups act faithfully and properly on a product of finitely many trees: this appears for instance in the work of Dranishnikov and Januszkiewicz [\[22\]](#page-8-10) and Januszkiewicz [\[25\]](#page-8-11), see also [\[31\]](#page-9-1). More recently, this construction was used by Lécureux [\[29\]](#page-9-4). Second, we use the fact, due to Gromov and Schoen [\[23\]](#page-8-12), that a non-elementary action of the fundamental group of a Kähler manifold X on a tree gives rise to a fibration of X onto a hyperbolic 2-orbifold.

After recalling a few facts about Coxeter groups and their Davis complexes in Section [2,](#page-3-0) we prove Theorem [A](#page-1-0) and Corollaries [1](#page-1-2) and [4](#page-2-0) in Section [3.](#page-4-0)

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Jean Lécureux who first told me a long time ago about actions of Coxeter groups on products of trees as well as Nicolas Bergeron, Yves de Cornulier, Frédéric Haglund and Luis Paris for useful conversations. I would also like to thank Misha Kapovich; I started thinking about this work after a conversation with him at Oberwolfach in July 2012. Both the question of the embedding of complex hyperbolic lattices into RAAG's and into Coxeter groups are due to him.

Finally, I would like to thank the referee for his/her detailed reading of the text.

2 Coxeter groups and Davis complexes

A Coxeter system (W, S) is a group W with a finite generating set $S \subset W$ all of whose elements have order 2 and such that W admits the presentation:

$$
\langle S|(st)^{m_{s,t}}\rangle
$$

where $m_{s,t} \in [1, +\infty]$ is the order of st. To the pair (W, S) , one associates its Coxeter diagram $\mathscr G$ which is the graph whose set of vertices is parametrized by S and where two vertices s and t are adjacent if $m_{s,t} \geq 3$. We say that (W, S) is irreducible if its Coxeter diagram is connected. In general the partition of $\mathscr G$ into connected components gives rise to a decomposition of S as a disjoint union $S = S_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_p$, and of W as a direct product:

$$
W := W_1 \times \cdots \times W_p
$$

where W_i is the subgroup generated by S_i . Each Coxeter system (W, S) has a canonical faithful linear representation defined as follows. One considers a vector space V with a basis $(u_s)_{s\in S}$ indexed by the elements of S . On V we define a symmetric bilinear form B by:

$$
B(u_s, u_t) = -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{s,t}}\right).
$$

For each $s \in S$ we define the reflection $\sigma_s : V \to V$ by $\sigma_s(v) = v - 2B(v, u_s)u_s$. There is a unique homomorphism $\sigma : W \to GL(V)$ such that $\sigma(s) = \sigma_s$; it is faithful and the group $\sigma(W)$ preserves the form B . This result is due to Tits, see [\[24,](#page-8-2) $\S 5.3$].

We say that an irreducible Coxeter group (W, S) is Euclidean if the bilinear form B defined above is positive semidefinite but not positive definite. In this case, W can be realized as a cocompact discrete group of affine isometries of a Euclidean space, generated by affine reflections [\[24,](#page-8-2) §6.5]. We finally recall two more facts about Coxeter groups. The first one is the construction of their Davis complex. The second one concerns decompositions into direct products.

We start with the description of the Davis complex of a Coxeter group. This is a contractible simplicial complex on which the group W acts properly and cocompactly (see [\[16\]](#page-8-1) for a detailed study). Say that a subset $T \subset S$ is *spherical* if the associated group $W_T := \langle T \rangle \subset W$ is finite. In this case we also say that W_T is a spherical special subgroup of W. We define $W\mathscr{S}$ to be the union of all cosets of spherical special subgroups:

$$
W\mathscr{S}=\bigsqcup_T W/W_T,
$$

where the union runs over the spherical subsets of S. The set $W\mathscr{S}$ is partially ordered by inclusion. One gets a simplicial complex Flag($W\mathscr{S}$) (with set of vertices equal to $W\mathscr{S}$) by considering flags in $W\mathscr{S}$: a flag is a finite totally ordered subset of $W\mathscr{S}$, i.e. a finite chain

$$
u_1W_{T_1}\subset\cdots\subset u_lW_{T_l}.
$$

The Davis complex $\Sigma(W)$ is the geometric realization of Flag($W\mathscr{S}$). The group W acts naturally by left translation on $W\mathscr{S}$; this action induces a simplicial action of W on $\Sigma(W)$. A reflection is an element of W which is conjugated to an element of S ; the wall associated to a reflection is its fixed point set in $\Sigma(W)$.

The following proposition is classical:

Proposition 1 The complex $\Sigma(W)$ carries a W-invariant piecewise Euclidean CAT(0) metric. As a consequence, $\Sigma(W)$ is contractible. For any reflection $r \in W$, the fixed point set $Fix(r) \subset \Sigma(W)$ separates $\Sigma(W)$ into two connected components (called the half-spaces associated to r).

Proof. The fact that $\Sigma(W)$ carries an invariant CAT(0) metric is due to Moussong, see [\[16,](#page-8-1) Ch. 12]. For a proof of the fact that the fixed-point set of a reflection separates $\Sigma(W)$ into two components, see for instance [\[16,](#page-8-1) $\S5.3.3$].

Finally, we will need to use the following fact. See [\[15,](#page-8-13) [32,](#page-9-5) [33\]](#page-9-6) for various proofs of it.

Proposition 2 Let W be an infinite irreducible Coxeter group. Assume that W is not Euclidean. Let $G \subset W$ be a finite index subgroup. If $G \simeq A \times B$ is decomposed as a direct product of two subgroups A and B, either $A = \{1\}$ or $B = \{1\}.$

3 Proofs

Let W_0 be a torsion-free finite index normal subgroup of W (such a subgroup exists since Coxeter groups are virtually torsion-free, by Selberg's lemma). We recall here how to produce some actions of W_0 on certain simplicial trees constructed from the Davis complex $\Sigma(W)$ of W. This construction is taken from [\[22,](#page-8-10) [25\]](#page-8-11). The key fact is the following observation.

If H is a wall of Σ , and if $\gamma \in W_0$, then either $\gamma(H) = H$ or $\gamma(H) \cap H = \emptyset$.

See Lemma 1 in [\[25\]](#page-8-11) for a proof. Choose now a W_0 -orbit of walls, say $\mathscr O$. We define a tree $T_{\mathscr O}$ associated to $\mathcal O$ as follows. Let

$$
U = \Sigma(W) - \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{O}} H.
$$

The vertex set of $T_{\mathscr{O}}$ is the set of connected components of U; two connected components U_1 and U_2 are adjacent if the intersection of their closures is nonempty (in which case it is a wall from $\mathscr O$). One obtains in this way a graph. It is easy to see that $T_{\mathscr O}$ is a tree: indeed let e_H be the edge associated to a wall $H \in \mathscr{O}$. From the fact that the set $\Sigma(W) - H$ has two connected components, one sees that $T_{\mathscr{O}} - e_H$ has two connected components. We refer the reader to [\[22,](#page-8-10) [25\]](#page-8-11) or [\[16,](#page-8-1) §14.1] for more details on this construction. Note that W sits inside $W\mathscr{S}$, which is the set of vertices of $\Sigma(W)$. The image of W in $\Sigma(W)$ does not intersect any wall, hence there is a natural map $p_{\mathscr{O}}: W \to T_{\mathscr{O}}$. The group W_0 acts on $T_{\mathscr{O}}$ and the map $p_{\mathscr{O}}$ is W_0 -equivariant.

Consider now the collection of all W_0 -orbits of walls in $\Sigma(W)$; there are finitely many such orbits $\mathcal{O}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{O}_k$. Write $T_i = T_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ and $p_i = p_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ for the corresponding trees and projections. We get a map

$$
F = (p_1, \ldots, p_k) : W \to T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k,
$$

which is proper (see for instance [\[25\]](#page-8-11)). Since W_0 is torsion-free, the properness of F implies:

Lemma 3 The action of W_0 on $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$ is free.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem [A.](#page-1-0) We consider a homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma \to W$ where $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$ is Kähler and W is a Coxeter group. Let W_0 be a torsion-free normal subgroup of finite index of W and put

 $\Gamma_0 := \varphi^{-1}(W_0)$. Let T_1, \ldots, T_k be the simplicial trees obtained from the construction above. Via the homomorphism φ , the group Γ_0 acts isometrically on each of these trees. We decompose the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ according to the properties of the action $\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright T_i$. Write

$$
\{1,\ldots,k\}:=I_1\cup I_2\cup I_3
$$

where I_1 is the set of indices i such that Γ_0 fixes a point on T_i , I_2 is the set of indices i such that Γ_0 preserves a finite set in the boundary ∂T_i of T_i but no point in T_i itself, finally I_3 is the set of remaining indices.

Lemma 4 For each $i \in I_2$, there exists a finite index subgroup $\Gamma_i \subset \Gamma_0$ and a homomorphism $\phi_i : \Gamma_i \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: each element in the kernel H_i of ϕ_i fixes a point in T_i .

Proof of the lemma. Let $F \subset \partial T_i$ be a finite Γ_0 -invariant subset. A finite index subgroup Γ_i of Γ_0 fixes F pointwise. Let $b_{\xi} : \Gamma_i \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Busemann character associated to any point $\xi \in F$. Its kernel is made up of elements acting as elliptic isometries on T_i . . 2

We define:

$$
\Gamma_1 = \bigcap_{i \in I_2} \Gamma_i.
$$

This group has finite index in Γ. We now deal with the actions on the trees T_i for $i \in I_3$. In the following, X_1 is the finite cover of the Kähler manifold X with fundamental group Γ_1 .

Proposition 5 For each $i \in I_3$, there exists a fibration $X_1 \to \Sigma_i$ such that the kernel H_i of the induced map $(p_i)_*: \Gamma_1 \to \pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma_i)$ fixes a point in T_i .

Proof of the proposition. This result is due to Gromov and Schoen [\[23\]](#page-8-12). Here we only sketch the ideas of the proof, see [\[2,](#page-7-0) $\S6.6$] and [\[35\]](#page-9-7) for details. Since the action of Γ_1 on T_i is non-elementary (i.e. does not preserve any finite set in $T_i \cup \partial T_i$), there exists an equivariant pluriharmonic map $f: X_1 \to T_i$, where X_1 is the universal cover of X_1 (see [\[23\]](#page-8-12)). This map gives rise to a (singular) holomorphic foliation of codimension 1 on X_1 and one proves that this foliation is induced by a holomorphic fibration p_i onto some hyperbolic 2-dimensional orbifold Σ_i . The harmonic map f is constant on the fibers of p_i , hence the kernel H_i of the map

$$
\Gamma_1 \to \pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma_i)
$$

fixes pointwise the image of f in T_i . This proves the proposition.

Remark 1 The trees constructed from the Davis complex of W need not be locally finite. But this does not affect the proof of the previous proposition. As suggested to us by Marc Burger, one can also recover the result of Gromov and Schoen describing non-elementary actions of Kähler groups on trees as follows. One combines the fact that an action on a tree gives rise to an action on the infinite dimensional real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ [\[11\]](#page-8-14) with the description of actions of Kähler groups on the space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ obtained in [\[19\]](#page-8-15).

We now define:

$$
H = \bigcap_{i \in I_2 \cup I_3} H_i \subset \Gamma_1.
$$

Each element of the group H fixes a point on each of the trees $(T_i)_{1\leq i\leq k}$: for $i\in I_1$ this is because Γ_1 itself fixes a point on T_i , for $i \in I_2 \cup I_3$, this follows from the definition of the groups H_i . Since the action of W_0 on $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$ is free, the group $\varphi(H) \subset W_0$ must be trivial. In other words, the restriction of φ to Γ_1 factors through the homomorphism

$$
\Gamma_1 \to (\Gamma_1)_{ab} \times \prod_{i \in I_3} \pi_1^{orb}(\Sigma_i).
$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem [A.](#page-1-0)

Before proving Corollaries [1](#page-1-2) and [4,](#page-2-0) let us recall the main result from [\[7\]](#page-8-5):

Let G be a subgroup of a direct product $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ where each H_i is a surface group or a free group. If G is of type FP_n , it has a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to a direct product of the form $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r$ where $r \leq n$ and each A_i is a finitely generated subgroup of one of the H_j 's.

Recall that a group G is of type FP_n if there is an exact sequence

$$
P_n \to P_{n-1} \to \cdots \to P_0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0
$$

of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, where the P_i are finitely generated and projective and where \mathbb{Z} is considered as a trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. See [\[9,](#page-8-16) §VIII.5] for more details on this notion. We will apply this result to torsion-free finite index subgroups of Coxeter groups (since they act cocompactly and freely on the Davis complex, they admit a classifying space which is a finite complex, hence are of type FP_{∞}). For examples of Kähler groups which are not of type FP_{∞} , see [\[20\]](#page-8-17).

Note that the result of [\[7\]](#page-8-5) applies in particular to subgroups of direct products of the form

$$
\mathbb{Z}^l \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_m
$$

where the H_i 's are surface groups.

Proof of Corollary [1.](#page-1-2) Let W be a Coxeter group admitting a finite index subgroup H isomorphic to a Kähler group. According to Theorem [A,](#page-1-0) a finite index subgroup H_1 of H admits a faithful homomorphism

$$
\phi: H_1 \to \mathbb{Z}^l \times \pi_1(\Sigma_{g_1}) \times \cdots \times \pi_1(\Sigma_{g_m}),
$$

where the Σ_{g_i} are closed orientable surfaces of genus greater than 1. Let W_i be an infinite irreducible factor of W which is not Euclidean. We will show that W_i has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to a surface group. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 6 The group W_i is not virtually free.

Proof of the lemma. Assume by contradiction that a finite index subgroup of W_i is free of rank ≥ 2 (note that, being non-Euclidean, W_i is not virtually Abelian; this follows for instance from [\[3\]](#page-7-5)). We know that the group W has a finite index subgroup H which is a Kähler group. There is a finite index subgroup H_2 of H which is a direct product of finite index subgroups of each irreducible factor of W. Hence, under our hypothesis, we can take H_2 of the form $F \times A$ where F is free non-Abelian. But there is no Kähler group of the form $F \times A$ according to [\[26,](#page-9-8) Theorem 3]. \square

Let $G := H_1 \cap W_i$. The restriction of ϕ to G gives a faithful homomorphism

$$
G \to \mathbb{Z}^l \times \pi_1(\Sigma_{g_1}) \times \cdots \times \pi_1(\Sigma_{g_m}).
$$

According to the result from [\[7\]](#page-8-5) stated above, we obtain that a finite index subgroup G_1 of G is isomorphic to a product

$$
A_0 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r
$$

where $r \leq m$, A_0 is free Abelian and each A_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) is a finitely generated subgroup of one of the $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g_j})$. By Proposition [2,](#page-4-1) there is only one nontrivial factor in this decomposition. Since W_i is not virtually Abelian, this implies that G_1 is isomorphic to a subgroup of one of the $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g_j})$. Since G_1 cannot be free, according to Lemma [6,](#page-6-0) it has to be of finite index in $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g_j})$. This proves the corollary. \Box

In the proof of the next corollary, we will use several times the following fact: if $A(\mathscr{G})$ is a RAAG and if $\mathscr{G}_1 \subset \mathscr{G}$ is the subgraph with vertex set V_1 , the subgroup of $A(\mathscr{G})$ generated by the g_v 's for $v \in V_1$ is isomorphic to the RAAG $A(\mathscr{G}_1)$ (see [\[13,](#page-8-7) §3.2]). In particular, a pair of generators generates either a free group or a free Abelian group.

Proof of Corollary [4.](#page-2-0) Any RAAG $A(\mathscr{G})$ has a natural quotient which is a right-angled Coxeter group $W(\mathscr{G})$: one simply adds the relations $g_v^2 = 1$ to the presentation of the group. We will say that a RAAG is irreducible if $W(\mathscr{G})$ is irreducible. Any RAAG $A(\mathscr{G})$ can be written as a direct product of irreducible RAAGs

$$
A(\mathscr{G}) \simeq A(\mathscr{G}_1) \times \cdots \times A(\mathscr{G}_r),
$$

see [\[14\]](#page-8-18), Lemma 2.2.6. According to [\[17\]](#page-8-8), each irreducible factor $A(\mathscr{G}_i)$ embeds as a subgroup of finite index in a Coxeter group W_j . One sees from the proof in [\[17\]](#page-8-8) that the irreducibility of $A(\mathscr{G}_j)$ implies that W_j is also irreducible.

If $A(\mathscr{G})$ is commensurable with a Kähler group, the Coxeter group $W_1 \times \cdots \times W_r$ is also commensurable with a Kähler group. According to Corollary [1,](#page-1-2) each group $A(\mathscr{G}_i)$ must be either virtually Abelian or virtually a surface group. The surface group case does not occur (if a RAAG does not contain \mathbb{Z}^2 , it is free). Each factor $A(\mathscr{G}_j)$ is thus virtually Abelian hence Abelian. This proves that $A(\mathscr{G})$ is Abelian, its rank being necessarily even if it is commensurable with a Kähler group. \Box

Remark 2 To prove Corollaries [1](#page-1-2) and λ , one can also use the following argument in replacement of the result from [\[7\]](#page-8-5): if a group G admits a Zariski dense embedding into a simple Lie group with trivial center, any two nontrivial normal subgroups of G have nontrivial intersection. As a consequence, if G embeds into a direct product $A \times B$, G embeds in either A or B. This applies to irreducible, infinite, non-Euclidean Coxeter groups (as follows from the results in [\[3\]](#page-7-5) and [\[28,](#page-9-9) §6.1]). This alternative proof was pointed out to us by Yves de Cornulier.

References

- [1] I. Agol, The virtual Haken conjecture, with an appendix by I. Agol, D. Groves and J. Manning, preprint arXiv:1204.2810 (2012).
- [2] J. Amoros, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick and D. Toledo, Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [3] Y. Benoist and P. de la Harpe, Adhérence de Zariski des groupes de Coxeter, Compos. Math. 140, No. 5 (2004), 1357–1366.
- [4] N. Bergeron, F. Haglund and D. T. Wise, Hyperplane sections in arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 83, No. 2 (2011), 431-448.
- [5] I. Biswas and M. Mj, One-relator Kähler groups, Geometry & Topology 16 (2012), 2171–2186.
- [6] M. Bridson and J. Howie, Subgroups of direct products of elementarily free groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. 17, No. 2 (2007), 385–403.
- [7] M. Bridson, J. Howie, C. F. Miller III and H. Short, The subgroups of direct products of surface groups, Dedicated to John Stallings on the occasion of his 65th birthday, Geom. Dedicata 92 (2002), 95–103.
- [8] M. Bridson, J. Howie, C. F. Miller III and H. Short, Subgroups of direct products of limit groups, Ann. of Math. (2) 170, No. 3 (2009), 1447–1467.
- [9] K. S. Brown, Cohomology of groups, Corrected reprint of the 1982 original, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1994).
- [10] M. Burger, Fundamental groups of Kähler manifolds and geometric group theory, Bourbaki Seminar No. 1022, Astérisque No. 339 (2011).
- [11] M. Burger, A. Iozzi and N. Monod, Equivariant embeddings of trees into hyperbolic spaces, Int. Math. Res. Not. No. 22 (2005), 1331–1369.
- [12] F. Catanese, J. Keum and K. Oguiso, Some remarks on the universal cover of an open K3 surface, Math. Ann. 325, No. 2 (2003), 279–286.
- [13] R. Charney, An introduction to right-angled Artin groups, Geom. Dedicata 125 (2007), 141– 158.
- [14] R. Charney and M. Davis, Finite $K(\pi, 1)$ s for Artin groups, Prospects in topology (Princeton, NJ, 1994), Ann. of Math. Stud. 138, Princeton Univ. Press (1995), 110–124.
- [15] Y. de Cornulier and P. de la Harpe, D´ecompositions de groupes par produit direct et groupes de Coxeter, Geometric group theory, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, (2007), 75-102.
- [16] M. W. Davis, The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (2008).
- [17] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Right-angled Artin groups are commensurable with rightangled Coxeter groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 153, No. 3 (2000), 229–235.
- [18] T. Delzant and M. Gromov, Cuts in Kähler groups, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, Progr. Math. 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2005), 31–55.
- [19] T. Delzant and P. Py, Kähler groups, real hyperbolic spaces and the Cremona group, Compositio Math. 148, No. 1 (2012), 153–184.
- [20] A. Dimca, S. Papadima and A. Suciu, Non-finiteness properties of fundamental groups of smooth projective varieties, J. Reine Angew. Math. 629 (2009), 89–105.
- [21] A. Dimca, S. Papadima and A. Suciu, Topology and Geometry of cohomology jump loci, Duke Math. J. 148, No. 3 (2009), 405–457.
- [22] A. Dranishnikov and T. Januszkiewicz, Every Coxeter group acts amenably on a compact space, Proceedings of the 1999 Topology and Dynamics Conference (Salt Lake City, UT), Topology Proc. 24 (1999), 135–141.
- [23] M. Gromov and R. Schoen, *Harmonic maps into singular spaces and p-adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 76 (1992), 165–246.
- [24] J. E. Humphreys, Reflection groups and Coxeter groups, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [25] T. Januszkiewicz, For Coxeter groups $z^{|g|}$ is a coefficient of a uniformly bounded representation, Fund. Math. 174, No. 1 (2002), 79–86.
- [26] F. E. A. Johnson and E. G. Rees, On the fundamental group of a complex algebraic manifold, Bull. London Math. Soc. 19, No. 5 (1987), 463–466.
- [27] D. Kotschick, The deficiencies of Kähler groups, Journal of Topology 5, No. 3 (2012), 639–650.
- [28] D. Krammer, *The conjugacy problem for Coxeter groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 3, No. 1 (2009), 71–171.
- [29] J. Lécureux, Amenability of actions on the boundary of a building, Int. Math. Res. Not., No. 17 (2010), 3265–3302.
- [30] D. D. Long and A. W. Reid, Subgroup separability and virtual retractions of groups, Topology 47, No. 3 (2008), 137–159.
- [31] G. A. Noskov and E. B. Vinberg, Strong Tits alternative for subgroups of Coxeter groups, J. Lie Theory 12, No. 1 (2002), 259–264.
- [32] L. Paris, Irreducible Coxeter groups, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 17, No. 3 (2007), 427–447.
- [33] D. Qi, On irreducible, infinite, nonaffine Coxeter groups, Fund. Math. 193, No. 1 (2007), 79–93.
- [34] S. Singh, Coxeter groups are not higher rank arithmetic groups, preprint arXiv:1208.6569 (2012).
- [35] C. Simpson, Lefschetz theorems for the integral leaves of a holomorphic one-form, Compositio Math. 87, No. 1 (1993), 99–113.

IRMA, Université de Strasbourg & CNRS 67084 Strasbourg, France ppy@math.unistra.fr