jagna

International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series © World Scientific Publishing Company

FIELD THEORY APPROACH IN THE DYNAMICS OF BIOMATTER

L.T. HANDOKO

Group for Theoretical and Computational Physics, Research Center for Physics, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Kompleks Puspiptek Serpong, Tangerang, Indonesia

Department of Physics, University of Indonesia, Kampus UI Depok, Depok 16424, Indonesia handoko@teori.fisika.lipi.go.id, handoko@fisika.ui.ac.id, laksana.tri.handoko@lipi.go.id

> Received Day Month Year Revised Day Month Year

A new approach to model the biomatter dynamics based on the field theory is presented. It is shown that some well known tools in field theory can be utilized to describe the physical phenomena in life matters, in particular at elementary biomatters like DNA and proteins. In this approach, the biomatter dynamics are represented as results of interactions among its elementary matters in the form of lagrangian. Starting from the lagrangian would provide stronger underlying theoretical consideration for further extension. Moreover, it also enables us to acquire rich physical observables using statistical mechanics instead of relying on the space-time dynamics from certain equation of motions which is not solvable due to its nonlinearities. Few examples from previous results are given and explained briefly.

Keywords: protein; DNA; model; field theory.

PACS numbers: 87.15.ad, 87.15.Cc, 87.15.hm

1. Introduction

Presently, the human understanding of living things are still very far from perfect when compared to the elementary particle physics. In elementary particle physics all elementary constituents of matters and its interactions are relatively well known. They have uniquely and successfully been modeled in certain mathematical representations in a unified manner. Though the model, namely the standard model of particle physics, is still lacking from some major issues like the massive neutrinos, the origin of symmetry breaking leads to mass differences between particles. However those problems do not reduce its success story of modeling the huge numbers of phenomena in our nature in a single framework. This success is merely based on the principle of symmetry and a great tool called as field theory ¹. The symmetry has mainly been introduced to restrict the model under the basic assumption that the theory should be invariant under time and spatial changes. On the other hand, field theory plays an important role across the concept, from the initial lagrangian

2 L.T Handoko

till the calculation of real phenomenological observations 2,3 .

Another notable reason of the success story in particle physics is the exploration of elementary matters. The particle physicists have for decades investigated the most fundamental building blocks of our nature. This effort ends up, at least for time being, at 16 elementary particles with the additional one hypothetic Higgs particles, currently investigated at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiment at CERN ⁴. It finally can be concluded that, constructing a unified model is getting easier if one considers only few numbers of a unified framework is possible when one goes to the elementary scale with relatively small number of interacting matters. Even in the scale of nuclear matters at $\sim 10^{-15}$ m which involved hundreds of nuclear species, there is no reliable and unified model to describe its phenomena.

In contrast with the 'phenomenal progress' in particle physics, living creatures involve much more complex systems. Although all matters should be formed from the above 16 elementary particles, the living characteristics emerges due to the 'elementary' living building blocks with much larger sizes. If one treats the protein, DNA / RNA, etc as the elementary biomatters, then we are faced with the interactions of 'point particles' with the square-rooted size of quarks in particle physics. Note that the quark size is $\sim 10^{-18}$ m, while protein or DNA is at nanometer scale, *i.e.* one order larger than an atom size.

Now let us consider the protein or DNA as the elementary biomatters. Although its number is still huge compared to the 16 elementary particles, they are the most fundamental building blocks of living things have been observed. The problem is then turned out to the applicability of particle physics methods. The main issue is the scale difference, in particular for using field theory. Because in the field theory one has a basic assumption that each interacting particle is treated as a point particle without any geometrical shapes. This is clearly not the case for biomatters. However, in physics one always considers the relative size rather than the absolute ones. If one can make use of field theory on the particle size matter to explain the observed nuclear phenomenology (all elementary particle observations are through the nuclear, *i.e.* hadronic, processes), then it is also plausible to apply it on the biomatters to describe more macroscopic ensemble of living things. Alternatively, one can also bring an ad-hoc assumption to model the macroscopic ones using much more microscopic, but existing modelization. The second approach is commonly adopted among nonlinear theorists to deal with nonlinear phenomena like sea wave using nonlinear Schrodinger or even relativistic Klein-Gordon equations.

Assuming that the biomatter interactions can be modeled in certain lagrangians, one can further derive not only the governing equation of motion (EOM) describing the mechanical behavior, but also extract relevant physical observables along the time or temperature evolution through statistical mechanics methods ⁵. It should be remarked that the method is reliable only if the system is at an equilibrium state. Similar to the case of particle physics, it means the obtained physical observables are valid only for a particular period of equilibrium time.

November 20, 2018 9:49 WSPC/INSTRUCTION FILE jagna

Field theory approach in the dynamics of biomatter 3

In this paper, few examples of modeling the biomatters using field theory approach are presented. Beside discussing the derived EOMs and its behavior, some interesting results from statistical mechanics calculations are also given.

The paper is organized as follows. After this introduction, two examples of constructing lagrangians for the case of DNA and protein dynamics are presented. It is followed by the discussion on the statistical mechanics calculation to extract appropriate physical observables is given in Sec. 3. Finally the paper is ended with a short conclusion.

2. The dynamics of biomatter

The dynamics of biomatter has been worked out since long time ago. In most variant of models, the dynamics are described by certain EOM. For protein the pioneering works were conducted by Davydov *et.al.*, while Yakushevich, Peyrard-Dauxois-Bishop *et.al.* applied it into the case of DNA system ^{6,7,8}. Most of works have deployed the classical Hookes force of pendulum to construct the nonlinear EOM with a solitonic solution of oscillator harmonics.

As already mentioned in the preceding introduction, this approach obviously has disadvantages of lacking of first principle which subsequently leads to no clue for expanding the theory to incorporate more complicated dynamics.

There are some improved modelings yet based on the oscillator harmonics system, but in the form of hamiltonian. The new interactions representing either internal or environmental effects are incorporated as potential terms. Using the hamiltonian, one can derive analytically the physical observables through its partition function using statistical mechanics. These methods predict interesting phenomena, for instance the anomaly of negative heat capacity in the case of monomer with anharmonic oscillation effects in thermal bath. The anomaly has been observed at certain region of lower finite physiological temperature using Linblad formulation 9.

Furthermore, the thermodynamic properties of Davydov-Scott monomer contacting with thermal bath has also been investigated using Lindblad open quantum system formalism through path integral method. It has been found that the environmental effects contribute destructively to the specific heat, and large interaction between amide-I and amide-site is not preferred for a stable Davydov-Scott monomer 10.

Unfortunately, although represented in non-relativistic hamiltonians, there is still no clue on how to expand further the hamiltonian. Because there is no basic assumption which is generally valid to restrict ourself when introducing the new terms of interaction. Therefore, we have proposed a new model based on the lagrangian with certain symmetry. The model was originally motivated by a need to take into account the effects from surrounding fluid, since the living systems are always immersed in a fluid medium. Such models are already available, one of them is the so-called fluid QCD representing the quark-gluon-plasma (QGP) system of

4 L.T Handoko

highly densed and strongly interacting plasma ¹¹. The model has been applied to describe the high energy QGP and plasma dominated early universe ^{12,13}. The model is justified by the fact that it induces the non-relativistic Euler equation with certain external forces when one takes the non-relativistic limit ¹¹.

Concerning the fact that an (elementary) biomatter has no intrinsic degree of freedom like spin, it is considerable to represent its elementary constituents as scalar (boson) fields governed by the bosonic lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \left(\partial_{\mu}\Phi\right)^{\dagger} \left(\partial^{\mu}\Phi\right) + V(\Phi) , \qquad (1)$$

where $V(\Phi)$ is the potential. For example in the typical Φ^4 -theory,

$$V(\Phi) = -\frac{1}{2}m_{\Phi}^2 \,\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi - \frac{1}{4!}\lambda \,(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^2 \,, \qquad (2)$$

where m_{Φ} and λ are the mass of matter and the dimensionless coupling constant of matter self-interaction. One can impose the above bosonic lagrangian to be gauge invariant under local (in general non-Abelian) gauge transformation, $U \equiv \exp[-iT^a\theta^a(x)] \approx 1 - iT^a\theta^a(x)$ with $\theta^a \ll 1$. T^a 's are generators belong to a particular Lie group and satisfy certain commutation relation $[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c$ with f^{abc} is the anti-symmetric structure constant. The matter field is then transformed as $\Phi \xrightarrow{U} \Phi' \equiv \exp[-iT^a\theta^a(x)] \Phi$, with T^a are $n \times n$ matrices while Φ is an $n \times 1$ multiplet containing n elements, *i.e.*

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^T = (\Phi_1 \ \Phi_2 \ \cdots \ \Phi_n) , \qquad (3)$$

for *n* dimension Lie groups as SU(*n*), O(*n* + 1), etc. It is well-known that the symmetry in Eq. (1) is revealed by introducing gauge fields A^a_{μ} which are transformed as $U^a_{\mu} \xrightarrow{U} U^{a'}_{\mu} \equiv U^a_{\mu} - \frac{1}{g}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^a) + f^{abc}\theta^b U^c_{\mu}$, and replacing the derivative with the covariant one, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} + ig T^a U^a_{\mu}$. Anyway, the number of generators, and also gauge bosons, is determined by the dimension of group under consideration. For an SU(*n*) group one has $n^2 - 1$ generators and the index *a* runs over $1, 2, \dots, n^2 - 1$.

The gauge boson U_{μ} is interpreted as a "fluid field" with velocity u_{μ} , and takes the form $U^{a}_{\mu} = (U^{a}_{0}, \mathbf{U}^{a}) \equiv u^{a}_{\mu} \phi$ with $u_{\mu} \equiv \gamma^{a}(1, -\mathbf{v}^{a})$ and ϕ is an auxiliary boson field, while $\gamma^{a} \equiv (1 - |\mathbf{v}^{a}|^{2})^{-1/2} 11, 12$. This is nothing else than rewriting a gauge field in terms of its polarization vector and wave function which represents the fluid distribution in a system. It has further been shown that the non-relativistic fluid equation can be reproduced 12.

For the simplest case of single matter to represent the single strand of DNA, using the Euler-Lagrange equation one obtains an EOM as follow 14 ,

$$\left(\partial^2 + m_{\Phi}^2 + 2g^2 U^2\right) \Phi + \frac{1}{3!} \lambda \Phi^3 = 0.$$
(4)

Field theory approach in the dynamics of biomatter 5

for a real Φ field. This can be solved analytically and the solution is well known. It can be concluded that the surrounding fluid enhances the amplitude at short distance ¹⁴. Moreover, the double stranded DNA can be modeled using the same lagrangian but with n = 2. Again, the Euler-Lagrange equation in this case yields the following EOM ¹⁴,

$$\left(\partial^2 + m_{\Phi}^2 - 4ig\,\sigma_2 U_2^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\right)\Phi + \frac{1}{3!}\lambda\,\left(\Phi^T\Phi\Phi\right) = 0\,,\tag{5}$$

at non-relativistic limit for constant fluid velocity and ϕ . Here, $\Phi^T = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$. This can be solved analytically under further assumption as done in ¹⁴.

Anyway, so far we have concerned only the EOM's from the lagrangian. All of these would end up to the nonlinear differential equations which are in most cases unsolvable. Therefore one should consider another way to extract meaningful physical observables.

3. Statistical mechanics observables

For the sake of simplicity let us consider a simple example of protein dynamics using the so-called ϕ^4 theory ¹⁵. The calculation has been performed using statistical mechanics and path integral method. In particular, the evolution of heat capacity in term of temperature has been investigated for various levels of the nonlinearity of source, and the strength of interaction between protein backbone and nonlinear source. It has been argued that the nonlinear source contributes constructively to the specific heat especially at higher temperature when it is weakly interacting with the protein backbone. This may indicate increasing energy absorption as the intensity of nonlinear sources are getting greater.

Similar to the previous case, the model adopts the ϕ^4 lagrangian but with additional interactions ¹⁵,

$$\mathcal{L}_{tot} = \mathcal{L}_c(\phi) + \mathcal{L}_s(\psi) + \mathcal{L}_{int}(\phi, \psi) , \qquad (6)$$

where,

$$\mathcal{L}_{c} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^{\dagger} \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi \right) + m_{\phi}^{2} \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi \right) \right] , \qquad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{s} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\partial_{\mu} \psi \right)^{\dagger} \left(\partial^{\mu} \psi \right) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi \right)^{2} \right] , \qquad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \Lambda \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi \right) \left(\psi^{\dagger} \psi \right) , \qquad (9)$$

representing the conformational changes of a protein backbone and the nonlinear source injected to the backbone, while the last one is the interaction term between both. Imposing a local U(1) symmetry to the total lagrangian and considering its minima lead to the vacuum expectation value (VEV), $\langle \psi \rangle = \sqrt{2\Lambda/\lambda} \langle \phi \rangle$. This non-zero VEV then yields the so-called spontaneous symmetry breaking. The lagrangian yields two EOM's in term of ϕ and ψ respectively. However we are not interested in discussing its dynamical behaviors. The statistical observables can be conveniently

6 L.T Handoko

calculated from the generating functional by the perturbation method ¹. The generating functional for scalar fields is written as,

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left\{\int_0^\beta \int_0^L d\tau dx \,\mathcal{L}_{tot}(\phi,\psi)\right\} \,, \tag{10}$$

at the finite temperature case in Euclidean coordinates. The integral in Eq. (10) can be evaluated analytically using the Gaussian integral. This can be accomplished by rewriting it in term of Gaussian integral using the Fourier representation of Green's function to obtain the master equation 15,

$$Z = N \exp\left\{\int_0^\beta d\tau \int_0^L dx \left[-\frac{3}{4}\lambda \Delta_\psi^2(0) + \Lambda \Delta_\phi(0)\Delta_\psi(0)\right]\right\} .$$
(11)

For statistical observables, Let us consider the specific heat of the system in a constant volume, C_V , that is a particular interest from experimental point of view. The specific heat can be derived directly from the partition function using the relation,

$$C_V = \beta^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \beta^2}\right)_V \,. \tag{12}$$

In the present case, it has been found to be 15 ,

$$C_V = \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \left(\ln N - \beta L \left[\frac{3}{4} \lambda \Delta_{\psi}^2(0) - \Lambda \Delta_{\phi}(0) \Delta_{\psi}(0) \right] \right) , \qquad (13)$$

after performing the integration over τ and x respectively, while the overall factor $N = [4\pi \sinh(k\beta/2)]^{-1}$.

The integration in Eq. (13) should be done numerically. It has been argued that both λ and Λ contribute to the evolution of C_V in an opposite way, and could completely cancel each other at certain values. This occurs when the symmetry is maximally broken which means that increasing energy absorption prefers high level of nonlinearity of sources and at the same time weak interaction between the sources and protein backbone.

4. Conclusion

New models for biomatter dynamics using lagrangian have been presented. The approach have been applied to describe typical cases of single and double stranded DNA, and the protein folding mechanism. The usage of statistical mechanics method to extract the specific heat has also briefly been demonstrated. It can be argued that the approach might be able to provide new directions on modeling the living phenomena at elementary level.

However more theoretical works are still going on. More importantly it should be justified by experiments in the future. Field theory approach in the dynamics of biomatter 7

Acknowledgments

LTH greatly appreciate the Humboldt Foundation for financial support, and the Organizer for warm hospitality during the conference. LTH also acknowledges long collaborators in the topics, in particular A. Sulaiman and M. Januar for their passion and hard works. This work is funded by the Indonesia Ministry of Research and Technology and the Riset Kompetitif LIPI in fiscal year 2011 under Contract no. 11.04/SK/KPPI/II/2011.

References

- 1. L. H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory 2nd Ed. (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- 2. R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, 1965).
- 3. R. Rattazzi, The Path Integral Approach to Quantum Mechanics (EPFL, 2009).
- 4. K. N. et al. (Particle Data Group), Journal of Physics G 37, p. 075021 (2010).
- 5. M. L. Bellac, Thermal Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
- 6. A. S. Davydov, Solitons in Molecular Systems (Kluwer, 1981).
- 7. L. V. Yakushevich, Nonlinear Physics of DNA (Wiley & Sons, 1998).
- 8. M. Peyrard and A. R. Bishop, Nonlinearity 17, R1 (2004).
- 9. A. Sulaiman, F. P. Zen, H. Alatas and L. T. Handoko, *Physical Review E* 81, p. 061907 (2010).
- A. Sulaiman, F. P. Zen, H. Alatas and L. T. Handoko, The thermodynamic properties of davydov-scott's protein model in thermal bath, in *Proceeding of the Conference* in Honour of Murray Gell-Mann's 80th Birthday : Quantum Mechanics, Elementary Particles, Quantum Cosmology, Complexity, 2011.
- A. Sulaiman, A. Fajarudin, T. P. Djun and L. T. Handoko, International Journal of Modern Physics A 24, 3630 (2009).
- 12. T. P. Djun and L. Handoko, Fluid QCD approach for quark-gluon plasma in stellar structure, in Proceeding of the Conference in Honour of Murray Gell-Mann's 80th Birthday : Quantum Mechanics, Elementary Particles, Quantum Cosmology, Complexity, Proceeding of the Conference in Honour of Murray Gell-Mann's 80th Birthday : Quantum Mechanics, Elementary Particles, Quantum Cosmology, Complexity 2011.
- C. S. Nugroho, A. O. Latief, T. P. Djun and L. T. Handoko, *Gravitation and Cosmology* 18, 32 (2012).
- A. Sulaiman and L. T. Handoko, Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience 8, 124 (2011).
- M. Januar, A. Sulaiman and L. T. Handoko, Conformation changes, protein folding induced by φ⁴ interaction, in Proceeding of the Conference in Honour of Murray Gell-Mann's 80th Birthday : Quantum Mechanics, Elementary Particles, Quantum Cosmology, Complexity, 2011.

