

On the Routh sphere problem

I.A. Bizyaev, A.V. Tsiganov

Institute of Computer Science, Udmurt State University, Russia

e-mail: bizaev_90@mail.ru

St.Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russia

e-mail: andrey.tsiganov@gmail.com

Abstract

We discuss an embedding of a vector field for the nonholonomic Routh sphere into a subgroup of commuting Hamiltonian vector fields on six dimensional phase space. The corresponding Poisson brackets are reduced to the canonical Poisson brackets on the Lie algebra $e^*(3)$. It allows us to relate nonholonomic Routh system with the Hamiltonian system on cotangent bundle to the sphere with canonical Poisson structure.

1 Introduction

Let us consider a smooth manifold \mathcal{M} with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_m and a dynamical system defined by the following equations of motion

$$\dot{x}_i = X_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (1.1)$$

We can identify this system of ODE's with vector field

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (1.2)$$

which is a linear operator on a space of the smooth functions on \mathcal{M} that encodes the infinitesimal evolution of any quantity

$$\dot{F} = X(F) = \sum X_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$$

along the solutions of the system of equations (1.1).

In Hamiltonian mechanics a Hamilton function H on \mathcal{M} generates vector field X describing a dynamical system

$$X = X_H \equiv PdH. \quad (1.3)$$

Here dH is a differential of H and P is some bivector on the phase space \mathcal{M} . By adding some other assumptions we can prove that P is a Poisson bivector. In fact it is enough to add energy conservation

$$\dot{H} = X_H(H) = (PdH, dH) = 0$$

and compatibility of dynamical evolutions associated with two functions $H_{1,2}$

$$X_{H_1}(X_{H_2}(F)) = X_{H_2}(X_{H_1}(F)) + X_{X_{H_1}(H_2)}(F),$$

see [1, 13] and references within.

For a considerable collection of nonholonomic dynamical systems vector fields are created using Hamilton function H and density g of the invariant with respect to X measure

$$X = gPdH. \quad (1.4)$$

This vector field X (1.4) is a so-called conformally Hamiltonian vector field, see examples of such fields in [3, 4, 5, 2, 20, 21].

Below we discuss nonholonomic Routh sphere problem with the vector field X , which is a sum of commuting vector fields PdH_k determined by integrals of motion H_1, \dots, H_n :

$$X = g_1 PdH_1 + \dots + g_n PdH_n. \quad (1.5)$$

Such decompositions are well-known in bi-Hamiltonian geometry [18] and for some other non-holonomic dynamical systems [8, 20]. If we have decomposition (1.5) we can say that common levels of integrals of motion form a Lagrangian foliation associated with the Poisson bivector P with all the ensuing consequences. We want to highlight that we discuss only properties of foliations and do not discuss linearization of the corresponding flows.

It is known that a proper momentum mapping for the non-Hamiltonian vector field X associated with the Routh sphere has a "focus-focus" singularity [10]. According to [11] the nontriviality of the corresponding monodromy is a coarest obstruction to the existence of global action-angle variables.

2 The Routh sphere

Following to [4, 9, 10, 15] let us consider a rolling of dynamically symmetric and non balanced spherical rigid body, the so-called Routh sphere, over a horizontal plane without slipping. Dynamically non balanced means that the geometric center differs from the center of mass, whereas dynamically symmetric means that two momenta of inertia coincide to each other, for instance $I_1 = I_2$. The line joining the center of mass and the geometric center is axis of inertial symmetry.

The moving sphere is subject to two kinds of constraint: a holonomic constraint of moving over a horizontal plane and no slip nonholonomic constraint associated with the zero velocity in the point of contact

$$v + \omega \times r = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Here ω and v are the angular velocity and velocity of the center of mass of the ball, r is the vector joining the center of mass with the contact point and \times means the vector product in \mathbb{R}^3 . All the vectors are expressed in the so-called body frame, which is firmly attached to the ball, its origin is located at the center of mass of the body, and its axes coincide with the principal inertia axes of the body.

In the body frame the angular momentum M of the ball with respect to the contact point is equal to

$$M = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}\omega, \quad \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{I} + mr^2\mathbf{E} - mr \otimes r. \quad (2.2)$$

Here \mathbf{E} is a unit matrix, m is a mass and $\mathbf{I} = \text{diag}(I_1, I_2, I_3)$ is an inertia tensor of the rolling ball, respectively.

If $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ is the unit normal vector to the plane at the contact point, then

$$r = (R\gamma_1, R\gamma_2, R\gamma_3 + a),$$

where R is a radius of the ball and a is a distance from geometric center to the center of mass.

The phase space, initial equations of motion, reduction of symmetries and deriving of the reduced equation of motion are discussed in [4, 5, 7, 9, 10]. We omit this step and begin directly with the reduced equations of motion on the six dimensional phase space \mathcal{M} with local coordinates $x = (\gamma, M)$:

$$\dot{M} = M \times \omega + m\dot{r} \times (\omega \times r), \quad \dot{\gamma} = \gamma \times \omega. \quad (2.3)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that these equations (2.3) possess four integrals of motion

$$H_1 = (M, \omega), \quad H_2 = (M, M) - mr^2 H_1, \quad H_3 = (M, r) \quad H_4 = (\gamma, \gamma), \quad (2.4)$$

and the following invariant measure

$$\mu = g^{-1}(\gamma) \, d\gamma \, dM, \quad g(\gamma) = \sqrt{I_1 I_3 + I_1 m R^2 (H_4 - \gamma_3^2) + I_3 m (R\gamma_3 + a)^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

As for the symmetry Lagrange top there are two linear in momenta integrals of motion. First integral

$$H_3 = (M, r)$$

is a well-known Jellet integral [12], see also §243, p. 192 in the Routh book [15]. Second integral

$$\hat{H}_2 = g(\gamma) \omega_3, \quad (2.6)$$

was found by Routh in 1884 [15] and recovered later by Chaplygin in [9]. These linear integrals are related with quadratic in momenta integral of motion

$$H_2 = I_1 H_1 + \frac{I_3 - I_1}{I_1} \hat{H}_2^2 - \frac{m}{I_1} H_3^2.$$

According to [4] namely this quadratic integral survives at $I_1 \neq I_2$ in contrast with the linear integral \hat{H}_2 .

If the Routh sphere rolls on a horizontal plane under the influence of a constant vertical gravitational force, equations of motion read as

$$\dot{M} = M \times \omega + m \dot{r} \times (\omega \times r) + \gamma \times \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma}, \quad \dot{\gamma} = \gamma \times \omega. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $U = -mg(r, \gamma)$ and g is a gravitational acceleration. These equations possess the same invariant measure μ and integrals H_3, H_4, \hat{H}_2 , whereas quadratic integrals of motion are equal to

$$H_1 = (\omega, M) + 2U, \quad H_2 = M^2 - m r^2 (\omega, M) - 2mg \left(a I_1 \gamma_3 - m R^2 \gamma^2 (a^2 + \gamma^2 R^2) \right). \quad (2.8)$$

In this case vector field X defined by equations (2.7) has homoclinic trajectories when the Routh sphere is either spinning very slowly about a vertical axes which passes through the center of mass and the geometric center, either axis is in the same position but the value of the Jellet integral is slightly less than the threshold value [10].

2.1 Poisson brackets

For the Routh sphere six equations of motion (2.3) possess four integrals of motion and an invariant measure and, therefore, they are integrable by quadratures according to the Euler-Jacobi theorem. It allows us to suppose that common level surfaces of integrals form a direct sum of symplectic and lagrangian foliations of dual dynamical system which is hamiltonian with respect to the Poisson bivector P , so that

$$[P, P] = 0, \quad PdC_{1,2} \equiv PdH_{i,j} = 0, \quad (PdH_\ell, dH_k) \equiv \{H_\ell, H_k\} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Here $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Schouten bracket and (i, j, l, m) is the arbitrary permutation of $(1, 2, 3, 4)$. In fact, here we suppose that the Euler-Jacobi integrability of non-Hamiltonian system (2.3) is equivalent to the Liouville integrability of the dual Hamiltonian dynamical system with the same integrals of motion, see [18].

The first equation in (2.9) guaranties that P is a Poisson bivector. In the second equation we define two Casimir elements \mathcal{H}_i and \mathcal{H}_j of P and assume that $\text{rank} P = 4$. It is a necessary condition because by fixing its values one gets the four dimensional symplectic phase space of our dynamical system. The third equation provides that the two remaining integrals \mathcal{H}_ℓ and \mathcal{H}_k are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket associated with P .

In this note we discuss solutions of the equations (2.9) in the space of the linear in momenta M_i bivectors P at the different choice of the Casimir functions:

1. $C_1 = (\gamma, \gamma)$, $C_2 = (M, r)$, $H_\ell = H_1$, $H_k = H_2$;
2. $C_1 = (\gamma, \gamma)$, $C_2 = g(\gamma)\omega_3$, $H_\ell = H_1$, $H_k = H_3$;
3. $C_1 = (M, r)$, $C_2 = g(\gamma)\omega_3$, $H_\ell = H_1$, $H_k = H_4$.

In generic case linear in momenta Casimir functions look like

$$C_{1,2} = a_{1,2}(\gamma, \gamma) + b_{1,2}(M, r) + c_{1,2}g(\gamma)\omega_3, \quad a_{1,2}, b_{1,2}, c_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.10)$$

However, the corresponding complete solutions of (2.9) have the same properties as particular solutions obtained in the listed above three special cases.

In [17] we have solved the same system of equations (2.9) for the symmetric Lagrange top and proved that solutions may be useful for construction of the variables of separation and the recursion Lenard-Magri relations for this Hamiltonian system.

If we have some solution P of (2.9), we can get decomposition of initial vector field X by commuting Hamiltonian vector fields PdH_ℓ and PdH_k . The existence of such decomposition by the basis of the Hamiltonian vector fields requires to impose one more condition $\text{rank}P=4$.

3 First Poisson bracket

Substituting linear in momenta M_i anzats for entries of the Poisson bivector

$$P_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_{ijk}(\gamma)M_k + b_{ij}(\gamma) \quad (3.1)$$

into (2.9) at

$$C_1 = H_4 = (\gamma, \gamma), \quad C_2 = H_3 = (M, r), \quad H_\ell = H_1, \quad H_k = H_2$$

and solving the resulting system of algebro-differential equations one gets the following Proposition.

Proposition 1 *In this case generic solution of (2.9) is parameterized by two functions $\alpha(\gamma_1/\gamma_2)$ and $\beta(\gamma_3)$*

$$P = \alpha g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha \\ -\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha^\top & \mathbf{M}_\alpha \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta \\ -\mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta^\top & \mathbf{M}_\beta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

Here matrices $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}$ are equal to

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2(R\gamma_3+a)}{R(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)} & \frac{\gamma_2^2(R\gamma_3+a)}{R(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)} & -\gamma_2 \\ -\frac{\gamma_1^2(R\gamma_3+a)}{R(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)} & -\frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2(R\gamma_3+a)}{R(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)} & \gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2} & \frac{\gamma_1^2\gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\gamma_2^2\gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2} & \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2} & 0 \\ \gamma_2 & -\gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and skew symmetric matrices $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha,\beta}$ have the form

$$\mathbf{M}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2)(R\gamma_3 + a)}{R(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)} & -M_2 \\ * & 0 & M_1 \\ * & * & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_3 - \frac{\gamma_3(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2)}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} - \frac{m\sigma R(R\gamma_3 + a)}{g^2} & \frac{m\sigma\gamma_2 R^2}{g^2} \\ * & 0 & -\frac{m\sigma\gamma_1 R^2}{g^2} \\ * & * & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g \equiv g(\gamma)$ and

$$\sigma = mR \left(m(r, \gamma)C_2 + I_3(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) + I_1 M_3 \gamma_3 \right).$$

The proof is a straightforward solution of (2.9) using linear in momenta anzats.

The corresponding Poisson brackets read as

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M_1, \gamma_1\} &= -\frac{\alpha g \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (R \gamma_3 + a)}{(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) R} + \frac{\beta \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2}, & \{M_1, \gamma_2\} &= \frac{\alpha g \gamma_1^2 (R \gamma_3 + a)}{(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) R} + \frac{\beta \gamma_2^2 \gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2}, \\
\{M_1, \gamma_3\} &= -\beta \gamma_2, & \{M_2, \gamma_3\} &= \beta \gamma_1, \\
\{M_2, \gamma_1\} &= -\frac{\alpha g \gamma_2^2 (R \gamma_3 + a)}{(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) R} - \frac{\beta \gamma_1^2 \gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2}, & \{M_2, \gamma_2\} &= \frac{\alpha g \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (R \gamma_3 + a)}{(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) R} - \frac{\beta \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2}, \\
\{M_3, \gamma_1\} &= \alpha g \gamma_2, & \{M_3, \gamma_2\} &= -\alpha g \gamma_1, & \{M_3, \gamma_3\} &= 0, & \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{M_1, M_2\} &= \frac{\alpha g (\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) (R \gamma_3 + a)}{(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) R} + \beta \left(M_3 - \frac{\gamma_3 (\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2)}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} - \frac{\sigma (R \gamma_3 + a)}{g^2} \right), \\
\{M_1, M_3\} &= -\alpha g M_2 + \frac{\beta \sigma R}{g^2} \gamma_2, & \{M_2, M_3\} &= \alpha g M_1 - \frac{\beta \sigma R}{g^2} \gamma_1.
\end{aligned}$$

In generic case $\text{rank} P = 4$, however if $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$ there are additional Casimir function γ_3 and γ_1/γ_2 , respectively. A particular form of this brackets was obtained in [14].

Using this Poisson bivector we can obtain basis of the commuting Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_1 = PdH_1 \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = PdH_2,$$

and try to expand initial non-Hamiltonian vector field X (2.7) by these vector fields.

Proposition 2 *Using Poisson brackets (3.3) we can rewrite reduced equations of motion for the Routh sphere (2.7) in the following form*

$$\dot{x}_k = g_1 \{x_k, H_1\} + g_2 \{x_k, H_2\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 6, \tag{3.4}$$

if and only if

$$\alpha(\gamma_1/\gamma_2) = \text{const}, \quad \beta(\gamma_3) = \alpha g \left(1 + \frac{a}{R \gamma_3} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

In this case coefficients are equal to

$$g_1 = -\frac{(R \gamma_3 + a) I_1 - R \gamma_3 I_3}{2 \alpha g (I_1 - I_3) (R \gamma_3 + a)}, \quad g_2 = \frac{a}{2 \alpha g (I_1 - I_3) (R \gamma_3 + a)}.$$

The proof is a straightforward verification of the equations (3.4).

There are other special values of the functions α and β according to the following

Proposition 3 *If*

$$\alpha(\gamma_1/\gamma_2) = \text{const}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\gamma_3) = \frac{\alpha g (I_1 R \gamma_3 - I_3 (R \gamma_3 + a))}{R ((I_1 - I_3) \gamma_3 + a m(r, \gamma))}, \tag{3.6}$$

the Poisson bivector P (3.2) is compatible with the canonical Poisson bivector P_0 on the Lie algebra $e^*(3)$

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{\Gamma} \\ -\mathbf{\Gamma}^\top & \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_3 & -\gamma_2 \\ -\gamma_3 & 0 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 & -\gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_3 & -M_2 \\ -M_3 & 0 & M_1 \\ M_2 & -M_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof is a calculation of the Schouten bracket $[P_0, P] = 0$.

Remind, compatibility means that a linear combination of this bivectors

$$P_\lambda = P_0 + \lambda P, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C},$$

is a Poisson bivector at any value of λ . It also means that P (3.2) is a trivial deformation of P_0 , see details in [19].

It is interesting that namely this condition of compatibility allows us to expand initial vector field (2.7) by a basis of Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\dot{x}_k = \hat{g}_1 \{x_k, H_1\} + \hat{g}_2 \{x_k, \hat{H}_2\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 6, \quad (3.7)$$

associated with the linear in momenta Routh integral \hat{H}_2 (2.6). Here coefficients

$$\hat{g}_1 = -\frac{1}{2\beta}, \quad \hat{g}_2 = \frac{a}{\alpha I_1 (I_1 R \gamma_3 - I_3 (R \gamma_3 + a))} \left(M_3 - \frac{\gamma_3 (\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2)}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} \right)$$

depend on coordinates and momenta in contrast with the previous decomposition.

Summing up, for the Routh sphere integrals of motion are in involution at any values of α and β

$$\{H_1, H_2\} = \{H_1, \hat{H}_2\} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta.$$

However, initial vector field X is decomposed by the corresponding commuting Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X = g_1 P dH_1 + g_2 P dH_2, \quad \text{or} \quad X = \hat{g}_1 P dH_1 + \hat{g}_2 P d\hat{H}_2$$

only at the special values of these functions (3.5) or (3.6), respectively.

3.1 Properties of the first Poisson brackets

Similar to Chaplygin sphere problem [20] and to nonholonomic Veselova problem [21] we can reduce this Poisson bracket to the canonical Poisson brackets on the Lie algebra $e^*(3)$ and identify the Routh sphere model with the Hamiltonian system on two-dimensional sphere.

One of the possible reductions is given by the following Proposition.

Proposition 4 *After a change of momenta*

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} \left(\frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 (R(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) - b I_1^{-1} (I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a))^2)}{\alpha g (R\gamma_3 + a)} + \frac{\gamma_2 (\gamma_2 M_1 - \gamma_1 M_2)}{\beta} + c \gamma_1 \right), \\ L_2 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} \left(\frac{\gamma_2 \gamma_3 (R(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) - b I_1^{-1} (I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a))^2)}{\alpha g (R\gamma_3 + a)} - \frac{\gamma_1 (\gamma_2 M_1 - \gamma_1 M_2)}{\beta} + c \gamma_2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$L_3 = \frac{M_3}{\alpha g} + \frac{b m (R\gamma_3 + a)}{\alpha g I_1}, \quad b = (M, r), \quad c = (L, \gamma),$$

the Poisson brackets $\{.,.\}$ (3.3) coincide with the canonical Poisson brackets on the Lie algebra $e^*(3)$

$$\{L_i, L_j\}_0 = \varepsilon_{ijk} L_k, \quad \{L_i, \gamma_j\}_0 = \varepsilon_{ijk} \gamma_k, \quad \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\}_0 = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

where ε_{ijk} is a completely antisymmetric tensor.

It is easy to see that the Poisson map (3.8) is locally defined in the region

$$\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \equiv 1 - \gamma_3^2 \neq 0.$$

Namely in this region of the phase space the vector field for the Routh sphere X (2.7) does not have homoclinic orbits [10].

If $c = (\gamma, L) = 0$ and condition (3.5) holds, then images of the initial integrals of motion are nonhomogeneous second order polynomials in momenta

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} \left(\frac{g^2 - I_1 I_3}{m R^2} L_3^2 + \frac{g^2 (R\gamma_3 + a)^2 (L_1 \gamma_2 - L_2 \gamma_1)^2}{R^2 \gamma_3^2 (I_1 + m r^2)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{2b\alpha g (R\gamma_3 + a) L_3}{I_1 R^2 (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)} + \frac{b^2 (I_1 + m (R\gamma_3 + a)^2)}{I_1^2 R^2 (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)}, \\
H_2 &= \frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} \left(\frac{I_1 I_3 r^2}{R^2} L_3^2 + \frac{g^2 I_1 (R\gamma_3 + a)^2 (L_1 \gamma_2 - L_2 \gamma_1)^2}{R^2 \gamma_3^2 (I_1 + m r^2)} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{2b\alpha g (R\gamma_3 + a) L_3}{R^2 (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)} + \left(\frac{I_1 + m r^2}{I_1 R^2 (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)} + \frac{2m}{I_1} \right) b^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

These integrals define a Hamiltonian system on cotangent bundle T^*S^2 to the sphere S^2 .

As for Lagrange top the existence of the linear in momenta integral of motion (2.6)

$$\hat{H}_2 = \alpha I_1 L_3,$$

allows us to explicitly integrate the corresponding Hamiltonian equations of motion by quadratures. Namely, let us introduce spherical coordinates on the sphere

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= \sin \phi \sin \theta, & L_1 &= \frac{\sin \phi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} p_\phi - \cos \phi p_\theta, \\
\gamma_2 &= \cos \phi \sin \theta, & L_2 &= \frac{\cos \phi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} p_\phi + \sin \phi p_\theta, \\
\gamma_3 &= \cos \theta, & L_3 &= -p_\phi,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where ϕ, θ are the Euler angles, p_ϕ and p_θ are the canonically conjugated momenta

$$\{\phi, p_\phi\} = \{\theta, p_\theta\} = 1, \quad \{\phi, \theta\} = \{\phi, p_\theta\} = \{\theta, p_\phi\} = 0.$$

In this variables initial integrals of motion are equal to

$$H_1 = A(\theta) p_\phi^2 + B(\theta) p_\theta^2 + bC(\theta) p_\phi + b^2 V(\theta), \quad \hat{H}_2 = -\alpha I_1 p_\phi, \tag{3.12}$$

where b is a value of the Jellet integral, A, B, C and V are function on θ :

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\theta) &= \alpha^2 \left(I_1 + \frac{I_3 (a^2 + 2aR \cos \theta + R^2 \cos^2 \theta)}{R^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right), & B(\theta) &= \frac{\beta^2}{I_1 + m(a^2 + 2aR \cos \theta + R^2)}, \\
C(\theta) &= \frac{2\alpha g (R \cos \theta + a)}{I_1 R^2 \sin^2 \theta}, & V(\theta) &= \frac{I_1 + m(a^2 + 2aR \cos \theta + R^2)}{I_1^2 R^2 \sin^2 \theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, similar to the Lagrange top, solution of the Hamiltonian equations of motion generated by the Hamilton function and canonical Poisson brackets is reduced to solution of one equation

$$\dot{\theta} = 2B(\theta) p_\theta = 2\sqrt{B(\theta)(E - A(\theta)c^2 - bcC(\theta) - b^2V(\theta))},$$

where $E = H_1$ and $c = -\hat{H}_2/\alpha I_1$ are constants of motion.

Summing up, after reduction of the Poisson brackets to canonical ones we can easily find trajectories of the commuting Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_1 = PdH_1, \quad X_2 = PdH_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{X}_2 = Pd\hat{H}_2.$$

However, in order to get solutions of the Routh equations of motion we have to integrate their linear combinations

$$X = g_1 X_1 + g_2 X_2 \quad \text{or} \quad X = \hat{g}_1 X_1 + \hat{g}_2 \hat{X}_2,$$

associated with conditions (3.4) or (3.4), respectively. Solution of this problem remains open as of yet.

3.2 Conformally Hamiltonian equations of motion

If the Jellet integral is equal to zero $C_2 = (M, r) = 0$, i.e. if $b = 0$, then integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$ (3.10) become homogeneous quadratic polynomials in momenta. In this case we can easily find variables of separation $q_{1,2}$ in the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation, if we diagonalize simultaneously two quadratic forms $H_{1,2}$ (3.10). Then, using these variables of separation, we can rewrite initial vector field X (1.5) in the conformally Hamiltonian form (1.4).

Namely, at $C_2 = b = 0$ integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$ satisfy to the following separated relations

$$\Phi_i(q_i, p_i, H_1, H_2) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Here $q_{1,2}$ and $p_{1,2}$ are canonically conjugated variables of separation. In this case, according to [16], these integrals $H_{1,2}$ are in involution

$$\{H_1, H_2\}_f = 0$$

with respect to the Poisson brackets

$$\{q_1, p_1\}_f = f_1(q_1, p_1), \quad \{q_2, p_2\}_f = f_2(q_2, p_2), \quad \{q_1, q_2\}_f = \{p_1, p_2\}_f = 0,$$

labelled by two arbitrary functions $f_{1,2}$. The corresponding Poisson bivector P_f and integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$ satisfy to the equations

$$P_f dH_i = F_{i1} PdH_1 + F_{i2} PdH_2, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (3.13)$$

Here functions F_{ij} depend on $f_{1,2}$ and form the so-called control matrix [16, 18].

Proposition 5 *If X (1.5) is a linear combination of the commuting Hamiltonian vector fields*

$$X = g_1 PdH_1 + g_2 PdH_2,$$

and coefficients $g_{1,2}$ are special combinations of F_{ij}

$$g_i = g(a_1 F_{i1} + a_2 F_{i2}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

there is a Poisson bivector P_f , which allows us to rewrite X in the conformally Hamiltonian form

$$X = g_1 PdH_1 + g_2 PdH_2 = g P_f dH, \quad H = a_1 H_1 + a_2 H_2.$$

In this case H is a sum of initial physical integrals of motion $H_{1,2}$.

For the Routh sphere at $C_2 = 0$ variables of separation $q_{1,2}$ are functions only on coordinates γ_i . Thus, the desired bivector P_f may be directly obtained from P (3.2) at

$$\alpha = -R, \quad \beta = -\frac{g(r, \mathbf{I}r)}{(\gamma, \mathbf{I}r)},$$

so we have

$$X = g_1 PdH_1 + g_2 PdH_2 = -\frac{1}{2\beta} P_f dH_1.$$

At $C_2 = 0$ variables of separation $q_{1,2}$ have to be functions on coordinates γ_i and momenta M_i and, therefore, entries of P_f have to be more complicated functions on M_i . Unfortunately, we do not know how to get variables of separation for the nonhomogeneous polynomial integrals of motion (3.10) on the sphere.

4 Second and third Poisson brackets

Let us substitute linear in momenta M_i anzats (3.1) into the equations (2.9) at

$$C_1 = H_4 = (\gamma, \gamma), \quad C_2 = \hat{H}_2 = g(\gamma) \omega_3, \quad H_\ell = H_1, \quad H_k = H_3.$$

Proposition 6 *In this case integrals of motion are in involution $\{H_\ell, H_k\} = 0$ if and only if bivector*

$$P' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{\Gamma}' \\ -\mathbf{\Gamma}'^\top & \mathbf{M}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.1)$$

is labelled by three arbitrary functions $\alpha_i(\gamma)$ entering into the matrix

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}' = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_2(\alpha_1 + \gamma_3\alpha_3) & -\gamma_2\alpha_2 + \gamma_3\gamma_1\alpha_3 & \frac{mR\gamma_2(\gamma_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\alpha_2)(R\gamma_3 + a)}{I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a)^2} \\ \gamma_1\alpha_1 & \gamma_1\alpha_2 & -\frac{mR\gamma_1(\gamma_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\alpha_2)(R\gamma_3 + a)}{I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a)^2} \\ \gamma_1\gamma_2\alpha_3 & -\gamma_1^2\alpha_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and into the skew symmetric matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}'_{1,2} &= -\alpha_1 M_1 - \alpha_2 M_2 + \alpha_3 (\gamma_1 M_3 - \gamma_3 M_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_3 \gamma_1 R (R\gamma_3 + a) \left(m^2(\gamma, r) H_3 + m(I_3(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) + I_1 \gamma_3 M_3) \right)}{g^2}, \\ \mathbf{M}'_{1,3} &= \frac{mR(R\gamma_3 + a)(\gamma_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\alpha_2)}{I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a)^2} M_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_3 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 R^2 \left(m^2(\gamma, r) H_3 + m(I_3(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) + I_1 \gamma_3 M_3) \right)}{g^2}, \\ \mathbf{M}'_{2,3} &= -\frac{mR(R\gamma_3 + a)(\gamma_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\alpha_2)}{I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a)^2} M_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_3 \gamma_1^2 R^2 \left(m^2(\gamma, r) H_3 + m(I_3(\gamma_1 M_1 + \gamma_2 M_2) + I_1 \gamma_3 M_3) \right)}{g^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we impose additional restriction $\text{rank} P' = 4$, the third equation $[P', P'] = 0$ in (2.9) has the following single solution

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -\frac{I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a)^2}{\gamma_1} + \frac{\gamma_2^2 I_1 R (I_1 + ma(R\gamma_3 + a))}{\gamma_1 (\mathbf{I}\gamma, r)}, \\ \alpha_2 &= -\frac{\gamma_2 I_1 R (I_1 + ma(R\gamma_3 + a))}{(\mathbf{I}\gamma, r)}, \\ \alpha_3 &= \frac{I_1 + m(R\gamma_3 + a)^2}{\gamma_1 \gamma_3} - \frac{(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) R I_1 (I_1 + ma(R\gamma_3 + a))}{\gamma_1 \gamma_3 (\mathbf{I}\gamma, r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The proof is a straightforward solution of the differential equations using substitution (3.1).

Using this Poisson bivector we can get a basis of Hamiltonian vector fields and an expansion of the initial vector field

$$X = g'_1 P' dH_1 + g'_2 P' dH_3^2 \quad (4.3)$$

by these vector fields. The corresponding coefficients are equal to

$$g'_1 = -\frac{1}{2\gamma_1\alpha_3 I_1}, \quad g'_2 = \frac{I_1(I_1 + ma(R\gamma_3 + a)) - mR(\gamma, \mathbf{I}r)}{2g^2 R I_1^2 (R\gamma_3 + a)}.$$

Similar to the first Poisson bracket (3.3), there is a transformation of momenta $M_i \rightarrow L_i$, which reduces this Poisson brackets $\{.,.\}'$ to canonical Poisson brackets on the Lie algebra $e^*(3)$.

Now let us consider the third possible choice of the linear in momenta Casimir functions

$$C_1 = (M, r), \quad C_2 = g(\gamma)\omega_3 \quad H_\ell = H_1, \quad H_k = H_4.$$

In this case generic solution of (2.9) coincides with the previous solution P' (4.1)

$$P'' = P'|_{\gamma_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\alpha_2 = 0},$$

at $\gamma_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\alpha_2 = 0$. It is easy to see that $\text{rank}P'' = 3$ and there is a third Casimir function $H_4 = (\gamma, \gamma)$

$$P''dC_3 = 0, \quad C_3 = H_4.$$

Consequently, in this case we have only one nontrivial Hamiltonian vector field $P''dH_1$, which does not form a basis.

In the similar manner we can consider generic linear in momenta Casimir functions (2.10).

Proposition 7 *We can not rewrite equations of motion (2.7) on the six-dimensional phase space in the conformally Hamiltonian form*

$$X = gPdF(H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4) \tag{4.4}$$

using linear in momenta Poisson bivector P satisfying equations (2.9). Here $F(H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4)$ is an arbitrary function on integrals of motion for the Routh sphere.

The proof is a straightforward verification of the fact that common system of equations (4.4) and (2.9) is inconsistent at the space of the no more then linear in momenta Casimir functions and Poisson bivectors.

5 Conclusion

It is well known that equations of motion for the nonholonomic Routh sphere are integrable by quadratures according to the Euler-Jacobi theorem. We identify the corresponding level sets of integrals of motion with the Lagrangian foliations associated with two different Poisson bivectors P and P' . The corresponding expansions of the initial vector field X (3.4) and (4.3)

$$X = g_1PdH_1 + g_2PdH_2 = g'_1P'dH_1 + g'_2P'dH_3^2$$

may be considered as a counterpart of the standard Lenard-Magri recurrence relations

$$X = PdH_1 = f_1P'dH_2 + f_2P'dH_3$$

for two dimensional bi-Hamiltonian systems ($f_1 = 1, f_2 = 0$), quasi bi-Hamiltonian systems ($f_2 = 0$) or bi-integrable systems ($\forall f_{1,2}$), which appear in Hamiltonian mechanics [16, 17, 18].

We would like to thank A.V. Bolsinov, A.V. Borisov and I.S. Mamaev for useful discussion of applications of the Poisson geometry to the different nonholonomic systems.

References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, 2nd edition, 1978.
- [2] A.V. Bolsinov, A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, *Hamiltonization of nonholonomic systems in the neighborhood of invariant manifolds*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 2011, 16 (5), pp. 443 - 464.

- [3] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, *The Chaplygin problem of the rolling motion of a ball Is Hamiltonian*, Math. Notes, 2001, v. 70, no. 5, p. 720-723.
- [4] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, *The rolling motion of a rigid body on a plane and a sphere. Hierarchy of dynamics*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 2002, v.7 (2), pp. 177 - 200.
- [5] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, A.A. Kilin, *The rolling motion of a ball on a surface. New integrals and hierarchy of dynamics .*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 2002, v.7 (2), pp. 201 - 219.
- [6] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, *Dynamics of a Rigid Body: Hamiltonian Methods, Integrability, Chaos*, MoscowIzhevsk: RCD, Institute of Computer Science, 2001 (Russian).
- [7] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, *Conservation Laws, Hierarchy of Dynamics and Explicit Integration of Nonholonomic Systems*, Reg. Chaotic Dyn., v.13(5), pp. 443-490, 2008.
- [8] A.V. Borisov, A.A. Kilin, I.S. Mamaev, *Hamiltonicity and integrability of the Suslov problem*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, v.16 (1-2), pp. 104 - 116, 2011.
- [9] S.A.Chaplygin. *On motion of heavy rigid body of revolution on horizontal plane*. Collection of works. v. 1, M.-L.: OGIZ. 1948. p. 57-75.
- [10] R. Cushman, *Routh's sphere*, Reports on Mathematical Physics, v.42, n. 12, p. 4770, 1998.
- [11] J.J. Duistermaat, *On global action-angle variables*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., v.33, p. 687-706, 1986.
- [12] J.H. Jellet, *A treatise on the theory of friction*, MacMillan, London, 1872.
- [13] R. Jost, *Poisson brackets, (An Unpedagogical Lecture)*, Rev. Mod. Phys., v.36, p. 572-579, 1964.
- [14] A. Ramos, *Poisson structures for reduced non-holonomic systems* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., v. 37, pp. 4821-4842, 2004.
- [15] E.J. Routh E. *Dynamics of a System of Rigid Bodies*. Dover Publications, New York.
- [16] A. V. Tsiganov, *On the two different bi-Hamiltonian structures for the Toda lattice*, Journal of Physics A: Math. Theor. v.40, pp. 6395-6406, 2007.
- [17] A. V. Tsiganov, *On bi-hamiltonian geometry of the Lagrange top*, Journal of Physics A: Math. Theor., v.41, 315212 (12pp), 2008.
- [18] A.V. Tsiganov, *On bi-integrable natural hamiltonian systems on Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, v.18, n.2, p. 245-268, 2011.
- [19] A. V. Tsiganov, *Integrable Euler top and nonholonomic Chaplygin ball* , Journal of Geometric Mechanics, v.3, n.3, p.337 - 362, 2011.
- [20] A. V. Tsiganov, *On the Poisson structures for the nonholonomic Chaplygin and Veselova problems*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, v.17, pp. 439 - 450, 2012.
- [21] A. V. Tsiganov, *Simple non-Hamiltonian systems with an invariant measure*, accepted to Theor.Math. Phys., arXiv:1206.5061, 2012.