

Efficient Algorithms for Tandem Queueing System Simulation*

Sergei M. Ermakov and Nikolai K. Krivulin[†]

Abstract

Serial and parallel algorithms for simulation of tandem queueing systems with infinite buffers are presented, and their performance is examined. It is shown that the algorithms which are based on a simple computational procedure involve low time and memory requirements.

Key-Words: tandem queueing systems, simulation algorithm, parallel processing.

1 Introduction

The simulation of a queueing system is normally an iterative process which involves generation of random variables associated with current events in the system, and evaluation of the system state variables when new events occur [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In a system being simulated the random variables may represent the interarrival and service time of customers, and determine a random routing procedure for customers within the system with non-deterministic routing. As state variables, the arrival and departure time of customers, and the service initiation time can be considered.

The methods of generating random variables present one of the main issues in computer simulation, which has been studied intensively in the literature (see, e.g. [3]). In this paper however, we assume as in [2] that for the random variables involved in simulating a queueing system, appropriate realizations are available when required, and we, therefore, concentrate only on algorithms of evaluating the system state variables from these realizations.

The usual way to represent dynamics of queueing systems is based on recursive equations describing evolution of system state variables. Furthermore, these equations, which actually determine a global structure of

*Applied Mathematics Letters, 1994. Vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 45-49

[†]Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics, St. Petersburg State University, Bibliotchnaya Sq.2, Petrodvorets, St. Petersburg, 198904 Russia

changes in the state variables consecutively, have proved to be useful in designing efficient simulation algorithms [1, 2, 4, 5].

In this paper we apply recursive equations to the development of algorithms for simulation of tandem queueing systems with infinite buffers capacity. A serial algorithm and parallel one designed for implementation on single instruction, multiple data parallel processors are presented. These algorithms are based on a simple computational procedure which exploits a particular order of evaluating the system state variables from the recursive equations. The analysis of their performance shows that the algorithms involve low time and memory requirements.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give recursive equations based representation for tandem systems. These representations are used in Section 3 to design both serial and parallel simulation algorithms. Time and memory requirements of the algorithms are also discussed in this section. Finally, Section 4 includes two lemmata which offer a closer examination of the performance of the parallel algorithm.

2 Tandem Queues with Infinite Buffers

To set up the recursive equations that underlie the development and analysis of simulation algorithms in the next sections, consider a series of M single server queues with infinite buffers. Each customer that arrives into the system is initially placed in the buffer at the 1st server and then has to pass through all the queues one after the other. Upon the completion of his service at server i , the customer is instantaneously transferred to queue $i + 1$, $i = 1, \dots, M - 1$, and occupies the $(i + 1)$ st server provided that it is free. If the customer finds this server busy, he is placed in its buffer and has to wait until the service of all his predecessors is completed.

Denote the time between the arrivals of j th customer and his predecessor by τ_{0j} , and the service time of the j th customer at server i by τ_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, M$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, let D_{0j} be the j th arrival epoch to the system, and D_{ij} be the j th departure epoch from the i th server. We assume that $\tau_{ij} \geq 0$ are given parameters, whereas D_{ij} are unknown state variables. Finally, we define $D_{i0} \equiv 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, M$, and $D_{-1j} \equiv 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

With the condition that the tandem queueing system starts operating at time zero, and it is free of customers at the initial time, the recursive equations representing the system dynamics can readily be written as [1, 2, 4]

$$D_{ij} = (D_{i-1j} \vee D_{ij-1}) + \tau_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where \vee denotes the maximum operator, $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. We can also rewrite (1) in another form intended to provide the basic represen-


tation for parallel algorithms, as

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ij} &= D_{i-1j} \vee D_{ij-1} \\ D_{ij} &= B_{ij} + \tau_{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$


where B_{ij} stands for the j th initiation of service at server i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

3 Algorithms for Tandem Queueing System Simulation

The simulation algorithms presented in this section are based on the equations (1) and (2) with indices being varied in a particular order which is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Clearly, at each iteration k the variables D_{ij} with $i + j = k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are evaluated. They form diagonals depicted in Fig. 1(a) by arrows, for each diagonal the direction of arrows indicates the order in which the variables should be evaluated within their associated iterations. Note that to obtain each element of a diagonal, only two elements from the preceding diagonal are required, as Fig. 1(b) shows.



(a) The simulation schematic for a tandem system with $M = 2$, $N = 5$.



(b) The diagram of calculating D_{ij} .

Figure 1: Simulation procedure schematics.

By applying the computational procedure outlined above, both serial and parallel simulation algorithms which provide considerable savings in

time and memory costs may be readily designed. Specifically, the next serial algorithm is intended for simulation of the first N customers in a tandem queueing system with M servers.

Algorithm 1.

For each $k = 1, \dots, M + N$, do
for $j = \max(1, k - M), \max(1, k - M) + 1, \dots, \min(k, N)$,
compute $D_{k-jj} = D_{k-j-1j} \vee D_{k-jj-1} + \tau_{k-jj}$.

Based on Fig. 1(a) as an illustration, it is not difficult to calculate the total number of arithmetic operations which one has to perform using Algorithm 1. Since each variable D_{ij} can be obtained using one maximization and one addition, all variables with $i = 0, \dots, M$, and $j = 1, \dots, N$, require $2(M + 1)N$ operations without considering index manipulations.

Note that the order in which the variables D_{ij} are evaluated within each iteration is essential for reducing memory used for computations. One can easily see that only $O(\min(M + 1, N))$ memory locations are actually required with this order. To illuminate the memory requirements, let us represent Algorithm 1 in more detailed form as

Algorithm 2.

Set $d_i = 0$, $i = -1, 0, \dots, \min(M + 1, N)$.
For each $k = 1, \dots, M + N$, do
for $j = \max(1, k - M), \max(1, k - M) + 1, \dots, \min(k, N)$,
set $d_{k-j} \leftarrow d_{k-j-1} \vee d_{k-j} + \tau_{k-jj}$.

In Algorithm 2, the variable d_i serves all the iterations to store current values of D_{ij} for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Upon the completion of the algorithm, we have for server i the N th departure time saved in d_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$.

Finally, we present a parallel algorithm for tandem system simulation which is actually a simple modification of Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 3.

For each $k = 1, \dots, M + N$, do
in parallel, for $j = \max(1, k - M), \max(1, k - M) + 1, \dots, \min(k, N)$,
compute $B_{k-jj} = D_{k-j-1j} \vee D_{k-jj-1}$;
in parallel, for $j = \max(1, k - M), \max(1, k - M) + 1, \dots, \min(k, N)$,
compute $D_{k-jj} = B_{k-jj} + \tau_{k-jj}$.

As in the case of Algorithm 1, we may conclude that Algorithm 3 entails $O(2 \min(M + 1, N))$ memory locations. Furthermore, it is easy to understand that Algorithm 3 requires the performance of $2(M + N)$ parallel operations provided $P \geq \min(M + 1, N)$ processors are used. Otherwise, if there are $P < \min(M + 1, N)$ processors available, one has to rearrange

computations so as to execute each iteration in several parallel steps. In other words, all operations within an iteration should be sequentially separated into groups of P operations, assigned to the sequential steps. We will discuss time requirements and speedup of the algorithm in this case in the next section.

4 Performance Study of the Parallel Simulation Algorithm

We now turn to the performance evaluation of Algorithm 3 with respect to the number of parallel processors. Note that the results of this section are obtained by considering the time taken to compute only the state variables D_{ij} . In other words, in our analysis we ignore the time required for computing indices, allocating and moving data, and synchronizing processors, which in general can have an appreciable effect on the performance of parallel algorithms.

Lemma 1. *To simulate the first N customers in a tandem queue with M servers, Algorithm 3 using P processors requires the time*

$$T_P = O\left(2M + 2N + 2\left\lfloor\frac{L_1 - 1}{P}\right\rfloor(L_2 - P)\right), \quad (3)$$

where $L_1 = \min(M + 1, N)$, $L_2 = \max(M + 1, N)$.

Proof. We start our proof with evaluating the exact number of parallel operations to be performed when P processors are available. As it easy to see, at each iteration k , $k = 1, \dots, M + N$, the algorithm first carries out in parallel a fixed number of maximizations, and then does the same number of additions. Denote this number by l_k . It follows from the above description of the algorithm that the numbers l_k , $k = 1, \dots, M + N$, form the sequence with elements

$$1, 2, \dots, L_1 - 1, \underbrace{L_1, \dots, L_1}_{L_2 - L_1 + 1 \text{ times}}, L_1 - 1, L_1 - 2, \dots, 1.$$

Since l_k parallel operations may be performed using P processors in the time $\lfloor(l_k - 1)/P\rfloor + 1$, for the entire algorithm we have the total time

$$\begin{aligned} T_P &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{M+N} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{l_k - 1}{P} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^{L_1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{k - 1}{P} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + 2(L_2 - L_1 - 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{L_1 - 1}{P} \right\rfloor + 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

To calculate T_P , let us first consider the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{L_1} \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{P} \right\rfloor \\
&= \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{P \text{ times}} + \underbrace{2 + \cdots + 2}_{P \text{ times}} + \cdots + \underbrace{\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \rfloor - 1 + \cdots + \lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \rfloor - 1}_{P \text{ times}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \rfloor}_{L_1 - P \lfloor (L_1-1)/P \rfloor \text{ times}} \\
&= \frac{P}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor \left(\left(\left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) + \left(L_1 - P \left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor \right) \right) \left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitution of this expression into (4), and trivial algebraic manipulations give

$$T_P = 2(L_1 + L_2 - 1) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor \left(L_1 + L_2 - 1 - P - P \left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor \right). \quad (5)$$

Finally, since $L_1 + L_2 - 1 = M + N$, and $P \lfloor L/P \rfloor \sim L$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$T_P = O \left(2M + 2N + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{L_1-1}{P} \right\rfloor (L_2 - P) \right) \quad \text{as } M, N \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Note that in two critical cases with $P = 1$ and $P \geq \min(M + 1, N)$, the order produced by (3) coincides with the exact times respectively equaled $2(M + 1)N$ and $2(M + N)$.

Lemma 2. *For a tandem system with M servers, Algorithm 3 using P processors achieves the speedup*

$$S_P = O \left(\frac{M + 1}{1 + \lfloor M/P \rfloor} \right) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

Proof. To evaluate the speedup which is defined as

$$S_P = T_1/T_P, \quad (7)$$

first note that $T_1 = 2(M + 1)N$.

Let us examine T_P . Assuming M to be fixed, and $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$L_1 = \min(M + 1, N) = M + 1, \quad L_2 = \max(M + 1, N) = N.$$

In that case, from (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_P &= 2(M + N) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{M}{P} \right\rfloor \left(M + N - P - P \left\lfloor \frac{M}{P} \right\rfloor \right) \\
&\sim 2N(1 + \lfloor M/P \rfloor) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, substitution of this expression together with that for T_1 into (7) leads us to the desired result. \square

Corollary 3. For a tandem system with M servers, Algorithm 3 using $P = M + 1$ processors achieves linear speedup as the number of customers $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It follows from (6) that with $P = M + 1$ the speedup $S_P = O(P)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

References

- [1] L. Chen and C.-L. Chen, “A fast simulation approach for tandem queueing systems,” in *1990 Winter Simulation Conference Proceedings*, O. Balci, R. P. Sadowski, and R. E. Nance, eds., pp. 539–546. IEEE, 1990.
- [2] A. G. Greenberg, B. D. Lubachevsky, and I. Mitrani, “Algorithms for unboundedly parallel simulations,” *ACM Trans. Comput. Syst.* **9** no. 3, (1991) 201–221.
- [3] S. M. Ermakov, *Die Monte-Carlo-Methode und verwandte Fragen*. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1975.
- [4] N. K. Krivulin, *Optimization of Discrete Event Dynamic Systems by Using Simulation, PhD Dissertation*. St. Petersburg University, St. Petersburg, 1990. (in Russian).
- [5] N. Krivulin, “Unbiased estimates for gradients of stochastic network performance measures,” *Acta Appl. Math.* **33** (1993) 21–43, [arXiv:1210.5418](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.5418) [math.OC].