

COMPUTATION OF JEFFREY-KIRWAN RESIDUES USING GRÖBNER BASES

ZSOLT SZILÁGYI

ABSTRACT. The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue is a powerful tool for computation of intersection numbers or volume of symplectic quotients. In this article, we give an algorithm to compute it using Gröbner bases. Our result is parallel to that of [2] for Grothendieck residues.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue was introduced in [7] and it is a powerful tool to compute intersection numbers or symplectic volume of symplectic quotients. There are several ways to compute it such as iterated residues, inverse Laplace transforms or nested sets ([8], [9], [1], [10], [3]).

The aim of this article is to give an algorithm for computation Jeffrey-Kirwan residue using Gröbner bases which can be implemented as a computer program.

The contents of the article are as follows. In Section 2 we quickly review basic notions related to Gröbner bases. In Section 3 we recall an algorithm for computation of Grothendieck residue from [2] which is similar to ours. In Section 4 we generalize ideas from previous section which will be the core of our algorithm. In Section 5 we recall the definition and properties of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. In Section 6 we apply the results of Section 2 in the case of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue and at the end of the section we give an algorithm to compute it using Gröbner bases.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to András Szenes and Michèle Vergne for discussions and useful comments. The support of FNS grant 132873 is gratefully acknowledged.

2. GRÖBNER BASES AND THE DIVISION ALGORITHM

In this section we recall some notions and results from the book [4] about Gröbner bases and division of multivariate polynomials. Let \mathbb{K} be a field (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) and consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. We use the notation x^a , $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ for the monomial $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r}$, as special case $x^0 = 1$.

Definition. A *monomial order* “ $>$ ” on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is an order on monomials with properties:

- (i) it is a total order,
- (ii) $x^a > x^b$ implies that $x^{a+c} > x^{b+c}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{N}^r$,
- (iii) it is a well-ordering on \mathbb{N}^r , that is every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N}^r has a smallest element.

Example. The lexicographic order is defined as follows. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ in \mathbb{N}^r . Then $x^a >_{\text{lex}} x^b$ if in $a - b = (a_1 - b_1, \dots, a_r - b_r)$ the leftmost non-zero entry is positive.

Definition. Fix a monomial order and let $f = \sum_{a \in A} c_a x^a$ be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.

Let x^m be the greatest monomial of the set $\{x^a \mid a \in A\}$ with respect to the fixed monomial order. Then $\text{LM}(f) = x^m$ is called the *leading monomial* of f . Moreover, we call $\text{LT}(f) = c_m x^m$ the *leading term* of f and $\text{LC}(f) = c_m$ is called the *leading coefficient* of f . Obviously $\text{LT}(f) = \text{LC}(f) \cdot \text{LM}(f)$. If I is an ideal in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ then the *ideal of leading terms* $\text{LT}(I) = \langle \text{LT}(f) \mid f \in I \rangle$ is the ideal generated by the leading terms of all polynomials in I .

Definition. A finite subset G of I is called a *Gröbner basis* of I with respect to the chosen monomial order if $\text{LT}(I) = \langle \text{LT}(g) \mid g \in G \rangle$.

In particular, G generates the ideal I ([4], I.§5 Corollary 6). It is not unique since any finite subset of I containing a Gröbner basis is also a Gröbner basis. However, for each polynomial ideal with a monomial order there is a unique *reduced Gröbner basis* G of I with properties:

- (i) $\text{LC}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$,
- (ii) for all $g \in G$ no monomial of g belongs to $\langle \text{LT}(G \setminus \{g\}) \rangle$.

Gröbner bases of a polynomial ideal can be computed by Buchberger's algorithm ([4], II.§7).

Next, we recall the division of multivariate polynomials ([4], II.§3). We fix a monomial order on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ and let $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ be an ordered s -tuple of polynomials. Using the following division algorithm any polynomial f can be written as $f = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_s f_s + R$ with $a_1, \dots, a_s, R \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ such that no monomials of R is divisible by any of $\text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s)$. The polynomial R is called the *remainder* of the division of f by F . The division algorithm goes as follows. In the beginning we set R to f and a_1, \dots, a_s to 0. Suppose we have written

$$f = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_s f_s + R$$

for some a_1, \dots, a_s, R . Choose the greatest monomial M in R (with respect to the fixed monomial order) which is divisible by $\text{LT}(f_i)$ with smallest i . Then set the new a_i to $a_i + \frac{\text{LT}(M)}{\text{LT}(f_i)}$ and the new R to $R - \frac{\text{LT}(M)}{\text{LT}(f_i)} f_i$. The algorithm ends in finite steps since the order of monomials in R which are divisible by any of $\text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_s)$ strictly decrease and as a consequence of well-ordering every strictly decreasing sequence eventually terminates.

The remainder of the division in general depends on the way the elements of F are listed. Moreover, it is possible that the remainder is non-zero even if $f \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$. Such an example is given in Example 5 of [4], II.§3. Nevertheless, if $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ then the remainder R does not depend on the way the elements of F are listed.

Definition. Fix a monomial order. The *normal form* $N_I(f)$ of f with respect to the ideal I is a polynomial such that $f - N_I(f) \in I$ and no monomial of $N_I(f)$ is contained in $\text{LT}(I)$.

Proposition 1. *If $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal I then the remainder of the division of f by G is equal to the normal form of f , that is $R = N_I(f)$. Moreover, $f \in I$ if and only if $R = 0$ (compare [4], II.§6, Proposition 1 and Corollary 2).*

Remark 1. If I is a homogeneous polynomial ideal then for any monomial order we can choose a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ with g_i homogeneous polynomials. Moreover, by the division

algorithm the remainder of the division of a homogeneous polynomial f by G will be homogeneous of the same degree as f . Hence, $N_I(f)$ is homogeneous of the same degree as f .

Lemma 2. *Let I be a homogeneous polynomial ideal with a monomial order. Then I and $\text{LT}(I)$ are isomorphic as graded vector spaces, i.e. $\dim I_d = \dim \text{LT}(I)_d$ for all d ([4], IX.§3, Proposition 4).*

3. REVIEW OF GROTHENDIECK RESIDUE CASE

In this section we review an algorithm from [2] for computation of Grothendieck residue with Gröbner bases. Let $H, P_1, \dots, P_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be polynomials of degree d, d_1, \dots, d_r , respectively.

Definition. Suppose that $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is the only common zero of P_1, \dots, P_r in an open neighborhood U of it. The *Grothendieck residue* is defined as

$$\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{H}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r} \int_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \frac{H(x)}{P_1(x) \cdots P_r(x)} dx_1 \dots dx_r,$$

where $\Gamma(\varepsilon) = \{x \in U \mid |P_i(x)| = \varepsilon_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, r\}$ and it is oriented by $d(\arg P_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge d(\arg P_r(x))$.

The Grothendieck residue has the following properties.

- (i) It is independent on small $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r)$.
- (ii) If P_1, \dots, P_r and H are homogeneous polynomials then using rescaling $x \mapsto \lambda x$ we can see that the residue $\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{H}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = 0$ if $d \neq \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$.
- (iii) (Local duality) $\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{HK}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = 0$ for all $K \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ if and only if H lies in the ideal $\langle P_1, \dots, P_r \rangle$.
- (iv) (Transformation law) Let $Q_1, \dots, Q_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ such that 0 is their only common zero locally. If we can write $Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} P_j$ then

$$\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{H}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = \text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{H \det([a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^r)}{Q_1 | \dots | Q_r} \right).$$

- (v) (Euler-Jacobi vanishing theorem) Let P_1, \dots, P_r such that $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is the only common zero. If $d < \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$ then $\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{H}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = 0$.

As noted in [2] §1.5.6 the Grothendieck residue can be computed using normal forms as follows. Suppose that P_1, \dots, P_r are homogeneous with only common zero $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$.

- (1) Compute a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle P_1, \dots, P_r \rangle$.
- (2) Compute normal forms $N_I(H)$ of H and $N_I(\Delta)$ of $\Delta = \det([a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^r)$, where $P_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} x_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.
- (3) $\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{H}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = \frac{N_I(H)}{N_I(\Delta)}$, since $\text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{\Delta}{P_1 | \dots | P_r} \right) = \text{Res}_0 \left(\frac{1}{x_1 | \dots | x_r} \right) = 1$ by the transformation law.

Let $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be (non-homogeneous) polynomials of degree d_1, \dots, d_r . Denote q_i the degree d_i part of p_i and assume that $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is the only common zero of q_1, \dots, q_r . Let

$$P_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_0^{d_i} \cdot p_i\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{x_0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

be the homogenization of p_i . Let $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be a degree d polynomial. If $d < \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$ then $\text{Res}_0\left(\frac{h}{p_1 | \dots | p_r}\right) = 0$ by Euler-Jacobi theorem. Therefore, suppose that $d \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$ and let

$$H(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_0^d \cdot h\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{x_0}\right)$$

be the homogenization of h . Moreover, let $P_0(x_0, \dots, x_r) = x_0^{d_0}$ with $d_0 = d + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$. Then

$$\text{Res}_0\left(\frac{h}{p_1 | \dots | p_r}\right) = \text{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_0 | P_1 | \dots | P_r}\right)$$

and we can apply the above algorithm to compute it.

4. THE MAIN LEMMA

Let \mathbb{K} be a field and denote $\mathbb{K}[x]$ the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. Denote $\mathbb{K}[x]_d$ the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d . Consider a $\lambda : \mathbb{K}[x]_d \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ non-zero linear functional and let $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x]$ be a homogeneous ideal such that

$$\ker \lambda = I \cap \mathbb{K}[x]_d.$$

Main Lemma. *Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ such that $\lambda(\Delta) \neq 0$. Then $N_I(\Delta) \neq 0$ and for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ we have*

$$\frac{\lambda(P)}{\lambda(\Delta)} = \frac{N_I(P)}{N_I(\Delta)}.$$

Proof. Since $\lambda(\Delta) \neq 0$, Δ is not contained in I , thus $N_I(\Delta)$ is a non-zero homogeneous polynomial of degree d by Proposition 1 and Remark 1. Since $I_d = I \cap \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ is 1-codimensional in $\mathbb{K}[x]_d$, the vector space $\text{LT}(I)_d$ is also one codimensional by Lemma 2. Therefore, for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ the normal form $N_I(P)$ is a multiple of the unique monomial M of degree d which is not contained in $\text{LT}(I)_d$, that is $N_I(P) = \text{LT}(N_I(P)) = \text{LC}(N_I(P)) \cdot M$. Finally, for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ we have

$$\lambda(P) = \lambda(N_I(P)) = \text{LC}(N_I(P)) \cdot \lambda(\text{LM}(N_I(P))) = \text{LC}(N_I(P)) \cdot \lambda(M),$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda(P)}{\lambda(\Delta)} = \frac{\text{LC}(N_I(P)) \cdot \lambda(M)}{\text{LC}(N_I(\Delta)) \cdot \lambda(M)} = \frac{\text{LC}(N_I(P)) \cdot M}{\text{LC}(N_I(\Delta)) \cdot M} = \frac{N_I(P)}{N_I(\Delta)}.$$

□

If we can find an ideal I such that $I_d = \ker \lambda$ and if we can compute a non-zero $\lambda(\Delta)$ for some Δ then we can also compute $\lambda(P)$ for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ using the following algorithm:

- (1) compute a Gröbner basis G of I ,
- (2) compute normal forms $N_I(P)$ and $N_I(\Delta)$ as remainders of the division of P and Δ by G ,
- (3) finally, $\lambda(P) = \lambda(\Delta) \cdot \frac{N_I(P)}{N_I(\Delta)} \in \mathbb{K}$.

5. THE JEFFREY-KIRWAN RESIDUE

We recall the definition and properties of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue following [9]. Let V be an r -dimensional real vector space and let $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ be a collection of (not necessarily distinct) non-zero vectors in V^* . We consider α_i 's as linear functions on V . Let Λ be a connected component of $V \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^\perp$, where $\alpha_i^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \alpha_i(v) = 0\}$. Remark that for all i , either $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^\vee$ or $-\alpha_i \in \Lambda^\vee$, where $\Lambda^\vee = \{\beta \in V^* \mid \beta(v) > 0, \forall v \in \Lambda\}$ is the dual cone of Λ . When $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^\vee$ we say that α_i is *polarized*. Let $\xi \in \Lambda$ and choose a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of V^* such that $x_1(\xi) = 1$, and $x_2(\xi) = \dots = x_r(\xi) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r x_r \in V^*$ and let $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ be a polynomial.

Definition. We define

$$\text{Res}_{x_1}^+ \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx_1 = \begin{cases} \text{Res}_{x_1=\infty} \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx_1 & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 < 0, \end{cases}$$

considering x_2, \dots, x_r as constants while taking the residue with respect to x_1 . We define the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue

$$\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^r}} \text{Res}_{x_r}^+ \left(\dots \left(\text{Res}_{x_1}^+ \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx_1 \right) \dots \right) dx_r,$$

where $\det[(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^r$ is the Gram determinant with respect to a fixed scalar product on V^* .

Definition. We call an element of V^* *regular* (with respect to \mathfrak{A}) if it does not lie on any $(r-1)$ - or less dimensional subspace of V^* spanned by subsets of \mathfrak{A} .

The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue has the following properties ([8], Proposition 3.2).

(P1) Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda^\vee$ and ε is regular. Let $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d . Then

$$\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx = 0$$

unless all the following properties are satisfied

- (a) $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ spans V^* as vector space,
- (b) $d \leq n - r$,
- (c) $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \alpha_i \mid a_1, \dots, a_r \geq 0\}$.

(P2) Suppose that $d \leq n - r$ and P is homogeneous polynomial of degree d . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{\varepsilon(x)^k P(x)e^{t\varepsilon(x)}}{k! \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx \\ &= \text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{\varepsilon(x)^{n-r-d} P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{(n-r-d)! \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

(P3) If $d = 0$, $n = r$ and properties (P1)(a)-(c) are satisfied then

$$\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(x)} dx = \frac{1}{|\det(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)]_{i,j=1}^r}},$$

where $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ denotes the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ with respect to any orthonormal basis of V^* .

For ε regular the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue does not depend on the choice of $\xi \in \Lambda$ and the corresponding basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of V^* .

We fix $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ and we assume that its elements are polarized, that is $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda^\vee$ for some Λ . We also fix $\varepsilon \in V^*$ regular with respect to \mathfrak{A} . By property (P2) the residue $\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx$ is determined by values for P homogeneous polynomials of degree $n - r$.

Thus, we are interested in computation of the linear functional $P \mapsto \text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx$ on $\mathbb{R}[V]_{n-r}$. Let $R_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathbb{R}[V]_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the vector space of rational functions of form $\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{m_i}}$, where $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ and $m_i \geq 0$. A subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ is called *generating* if $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$ spans V^* as vector space. We have the following partial fraction decomposition (more generally, Theorem 1 of [1]).

Proposition 3. *Let $G_{\mathfrak{A}} \subset R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be spanned as vector space by fractions of form $\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{m_i}}$ such that the set $J = \{i \mid m_i > 0\}$ is generating. Moreover, let $NG_{\mathfrak{A}} \subset R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the vector space spanned by fractions of form $\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{m_i}}$ such that the set $J = \{i \mid m_i > 0\}$ is non-generating. Then we have a direct sum decomposition*

$$R_{\mathfrak{A}} = G_{\mathfrak{A}} \oplus NG_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Denote $(R_{\mathfrak{A}})_d$ the degree d part of $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $(R_{\mathfrak{A}})_d = (G_{\mathfrak{A}})_d \oplus (NG_{\mathfrak{A}})_d$ and $(G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$ is spanned by fractions $\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_r}}$ such that $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}\}$ is a basis of V^* . In general, these fractions are not linearly independent, for example $\frac{1}{x(x+y)} = \frac{1}{xy} - \frac{1}{(x+y)y}$. Nevertheless, following [10] we can define

Definition 4. Fix a scalar product on V^* and consider the linear functional JK_ε on $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ which vanishes on $R_{\mathfrak{A}} \setminus (G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$ and on $(G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$ is defined by

$$\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_r}} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_l})]_{k,l=1}^r}} & \text{if } \varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 3 and properties (P1), (P3) if $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ homogeneous polynomial of degree $n - r$ then

$$(1) \quad \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \right) = \text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx,$$

consequently JK_ε is well-defined.

Remark. In the case of $V^* = \mathbb{R}^r$ with the standard scalar product, $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ a generating subset of \mathbb{Z}^r and ε regular the Jeffrey-Kirwan residues can be interpreted as intersection numbers on the toric variety $X_{\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{A})$: $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \right) = \int_{X_{\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{A})} \chi(P)$, where \mathfrak{c} is the connected component of regular elements containing ε and $\chi : \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_r] \rightarrow H^\bullet(X_{\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{A}))$ is a degree preserving ring homomorphism ($\deg x_i = 2$) as explained in [10], §1 and §2.

6. COMPUTATION OF JEFFREY-KIRWAN RESIDUE USING GRÖBNER BASES

To apply the result of Section 4 for the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue we have to find a homogeneous ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ such that for all $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]_{n-r}$ we have $\text{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right) = 0$ if and only if $P \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$, and a $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}[V]_{n-r}$ for which we can compute $\text{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{\Delta}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right) \neq 0$. Recall that if $\varepsilon \notin \text{Cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ then $\text{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right) = 0$ for all P by (1) and property (P1). We will assume that $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, hence there is a basis $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}\}$ such that $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r})$, thus $\text{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_r}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_l})]_{k,l=1}^r}} \neq 0$. Therefore, let $\Delta = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_r}}$. Denote $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 5. *Let $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]_{n-r}$. Then $\text{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right) = 0$ if and only if P belongs to the homogeneous ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon} = \langle \prod_{j \in J} \alpha_j \mid \varepsilon \notin \text{Cone}(\alpha_i \mid i \in N \setminus J) \rangle$. More geometrically, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ is generated by all $\prod_{\alpha_i \in H^+} \alpha_i$ where H^+ is the open half-space containing ε of a hyperplane H spanned by a subset of \mathfrak{A} .*

Before the proof of the theorem we need some preparation. Denote $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of hyperplanes in V^* which are spanned by subsets of \mathfrak{A} . Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the set of connected components of $\text{Cone}(\mathfrak{A}) \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{A})} H$ and its elements will be called *chambers*. For ε regular with respect to \mathfrak{A} denote $\mathfrak{c}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ the chamber containing ε . Denote $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of all bases σ of V^* such that $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{A}$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}) \mid \mathfrak{c} \not\subset \text{Cone}(\sigma)\}$. To any basis $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ we associate a fraction $\Phi_\sigma = \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in \sigma} \alpha} \in (G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$.

Remark.

- (1) For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ we have $\mathfrak{c} \cap \text{Cone}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\sigma)$.
- (2) Since we have $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r})$ exactly when $\mathfrak{c}_\varepsilon \subset \text{Cone}(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r})$ for any basis $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}\}$, therefore the $\text{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right)$ depends only on the chamber \mathfrak{c}_ε , not on the particular vector ε by Proposition 3 and Definition 4.

The main tool in the proof of Theorem 5 will be the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ and fix $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\tau)$. Then for any $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ we have a decomposition of fractions*

$$\Phi_\rho = a_\tau \Phi_\tau + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})} a_\sigma \Phi_\sigma.$$

We will prove it by induction on $\dim V^*$ and wall-crossing, but first we prove it in two particular cases.

Lemma 7. *Let $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathfrak{A}$. If $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ then there is a unique $l > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\beta_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_l, \dots, \beta_r)$. In particular, if $\beta_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \beta_r$ then*

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{\beta_0 \cdots \widehat{\beta}_l \cdots \beta_r} = \frac{b_l^{-1}}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_r} - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq l \leq r} \frac{b_i b_l^{-1}}{\beta_0 \cdots \widehat{\beta}_i \cdots \beta_r},$$

and $\{\beta_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_i, \dots, \beta_r\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})$ for all $1 \leq i \neq l \leq r$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{c}$. Then $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ and ε is regular with respect to \mathfrak{A} . It follows that $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ is a basis of V^* , hence we can write $\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i \beta_i$ with $e_1, \dots, e_r > 0$ and $\beta_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \beta_i$. For any $l \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ with $b_l \neq 0$ we have

$$\varepsilon = \frac{e_l}{b_l} \beta_0 + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq l \leq r} \frac{e_i b_l - e_l b_i}{b_l} \beta_i.$$

Thus, $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\beta_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_l, \dots, \beta_r)$ exactly when

$$(3) \quad \frac{e_l}{b_l} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e_i b_l - e_l b_i}{b_l} \geq 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, \widehat{l}, \dots, r$. Since ε is regular with respect to $\{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_r\}$ we have that $\frac{e_l}{b_l} \neq 0$ and $\frac{e_i b_l - e_l b_i}{b_l} \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \widehat{l}, \dots, r$, and consequently,

$$(4) \quad \frac{b_l}{e_l} \neq \frac{b_i}{e_i}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, \widehat{l}, \dots, r$. Hence inequalities (3) are equivalent to

$$(5) \quad b_l > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b_l}{e_l} > \frac{b_i}{e_i}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, \widehat{l}, \dots, r$, because $e_1, \dots, e_r > 0$.

We may suppose that $\frac{b_1}{e_1} \leq \dots \leq \frac{b_{r-1}}{e_{r-1}} \leq \frac{b_r}{e_r}$. Since $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathfrak{A}$ are polarized there exists $\xi \in V$ such that $\beta_0(\xi), \dots, \beta_r(\xi) > 0$ and from $0 < \beta_0(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i \beta_i(\xi)$ follows that there are i with $b_i > 0$. In particular, we must have $b_r > 0$, because $e_1, \dots, e_r > 0$. Moreover, by (4) we have $\frac{b_i}{e_i} < \frac{b_r}{e_r}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, therefore $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\beta_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_l, \dots, \beta_r)$ if and only if $l = r$.

Finally, dividing the relation $\beta_l = \frac{\beta_0}{b_l} - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq l \leq r} \frac{b_i}{b_l} \beta_i$ by $\beta_0 \cdots \beta_r$ we get (2) and from the first part follows that $\{\beta_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_i, \dots, \beta_r\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \widehat{l}, \dots, r$. \square

Lemma 8. *If Proposition 6 holds when $\dim V^* < r$ then it also holds if $\dim V^* = r$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a chamber such that its closure $\overline{\mathfrak{c}}$ intersects a face F of $\text{Cone}(\mathfrak{A})$ in an $(r-1)$ -dimensional polyhedral cone.*

Remark 2. Let w be an $(r-1)$ -dimensional face of $\overline{\mathfrak{c}}$ and let W be its supporting hyperplane. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is in the same closed half-space of W as \mathfrak{c} then $w \subset \text{Cone}(\sigma)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\sigma)$.

Proof of Lemma 8. Let W be the supporting hyperplane of F . Let $w = \overline{\mathfrak{c}} \cap W$, which is an $(r-1)$ -dimensional polyhedral cone and its relative interior is contained in a chamber $\mathfrak{c}_W \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A} \cap W)$. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\rho)$, thus $w = \overline{\mathfrak{c}} \cap W \subset \text{Cone}(\rho \cap W)$. Moreover, $\text{Cone}(\rho \cap W)$ is an $(r-1)$ -dimensional face of the simplicial cone $\text{Cone}(\rho)$, hence $\rho \cap W$ is a basis of W . Similarly, we have $w \subset \text{Cone}(\tau \cap W)$ and $\tau \cap W$ is a basis of W . In particular, \mathfrak{c}_W is contained in both $\text{Cone}(\tau \cap W)$ and $\text{Cone}(\rho \cap W)$. By assumption that Proposition 6 holds on W we get

$$\Phi_{\rho \cap W} = a_{\tau \cap W} \Phi_{\tau \cap W} + \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A} \cap W, \mathfrak{c}_W)} a_\eta \Phi_\eta,$$

where $a_{\tau \cap W}, a_\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $\beta \in \rho \setminus W$ then $\eta \cup \{\beta\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\Phi_\rho = \frac{1}{\beta} \Phi_{\rho \cap W}$, $\Phi_{\eta \cup \{\beta\}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \Phi_\eta$, therefore

$$(6) \quad \Phi_\rho = a_{\tau \cap W} \Phi_{(\tau \cap W) \cup \{\beta\}} + \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A} \cap W, \mathfrak{c}_W)} a_\eta \Phi_{\eta \cup \{\beta\}}.$$

Since $w \subset \overline{\mathfrak{c}_W} \not\subset \text{Cone}(\eta)$ we have $w \not\subset \text{Cone}(\eta \cup \{\beta\})$, hence $\mathfrak{c} \not\subset \text{Cone}(\eta \cup \{\beta\})$ by Remark 2. Therefore, $\eta \cup \{\beta\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A} \cap W, \mathfrak{c}_W)$. Similarly, by Remark 2 we have $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}((\tau \cap W) \cup \{\beta\})$, because $w \subset \text{Cone}(\tau \cap W)$. We apply Lemma 7 for elements of $\{\beta\} \cup \tau$ to get

$$\Phi_{(\tau \cap W) \cup \{\beta\}} = b_\tau \Phi_\tau + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})} b_\sigma \Phi_\sigma$$

and in conjunction with (6) the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 6. The proposition is trivial when $\dim V^* = 1$. Assume that it holds if $\dim V^* < r$. We will show that it also holds when $\dim V^* = r$. To do so we use wall-crossing: there is a chain of chambers $\mathfrak{c}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{c}_m = \mathfrak{c}$ in $\text{Cone}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that \mathfrak{c}_1 is as in Lemma 8 and each $\overline{\mathfrak{c}_{i-1}} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{c}_i}$ is an $(r-1)$ -dimensional polyhedral cone, moreover by Lemma 8 it is enough to show that if the proposition holds for the chamber \mathfrak{c}_{i-1} then it also holds for \mathfrak{c}_i .

Remark that we may choose freely the fixed basis $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ with $\mathfrak{c} \subset \text{Cone}(\tau)$: if $\tau' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}) \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})$ and $\Phi_{\tau'} = a'_\tau \Phi_\tau + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c})} a'_\sigma \Phi_\sigma$ then $a'_\tau \neq 0$ since $\text{JK}_\varepsilon(\Phi_{\tau'}) = \text{JK}_\varepsilon(a'_\tau \Phi_\tau) \neq 0$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{c}$. Let $\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \subset \text{Cone}(\tau_{i-1})$ and $\mathfrak{c}_i \subset \text{Cone}(\tau_i)$.

In the case when the inclusion $\mathfrak{c}_i \subset \text{Cone}(\eta)$ implies $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \subset \text{Cone}(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ we have $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_i) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_{i-1})$ and we will choose $\tau_i = \tau_{i-1}$. Therefore, the proposition holds for \mathfrak{c}_i if it holds for \mathfrak{c}_{i-1} . We may suppose that there is $\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_i \subset \text{Cone}(\eta)$, but $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \not\subset \text{Cone}(\eta)$. Then η has a face which separates chambers \mathfrak{c}_{i-1} and \mathfrak{c}_i , that is there are $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1} \in \eta$ such that the vector space W spanned by them is identical to the supporting hyperplane of the $(r-1)$ -dimensional polyhedral cone $w = \overline{\mathfrak{c}_{i-1}} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{c}_i}$. Remark that $w = W \cap \overline{\mathfrak{c}_i} \subset \text{Cone}(\eta \cap W) = \text{Cone}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1})$.

Since $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \subset \text{Cone}(\mathfrak{A})$, there is $\gamma_{r+1} \in \mathfrak{A}$ in the same open half-space of W as the chamber \mathfrak{c}_{i-1} . By Remark 2 we have $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \subset \text{Cone}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1}, \gamma_{r+1})$, because $w \subset \text{Cone}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1})$. If $\gamma_r \in \eta \setminus W$ then choose the fixed basis τ_i as $\eta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$. By Lemma 7 there is a unique $l \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_i \subset \text{Cone}(\gamma_1, \dots, \widehat{\gamma}_l, \dots, \gamma_r, \gamma_{r+1})$. Remark that $l \neq r$ and we may assume that $l = 1$. Moreover, w intersects the interior of $\text{Cone}(\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{r+1})$, hence $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \subset \text{Cone}(\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{r+1})$, thus we choose $\tau_{i-1} = \{\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{r+1}\}$. By Lemma 7 we have

$$(7) \quad \Phi_{\tau_{i-1}} = b_{\tau_i} \Phi_{\tau_i} + \sum_{\varrho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_i)} b_\varrho \Phi_\varrho.$$

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ any basis such that $\mathfrak{c}_i \subset \text{Cone}(\rho)$. If $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \subset \text{Cone}(\rho)$ then by assumption

$$(8) \quad \Phi_\rho = c_{\tau_{i-1}} \Phi_{\tau_{i-1}} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_{i-1})} c_\sigma \Phi_\sigma.$$

Hence by (7) and (8) we have to only deal with the case when $\mathfrak{c}_i \subset \text{Cone}(\rho)$, but $\mathfrak{c}_{i-1} \not\subset \text{Cone}(\rho)$. As in the above case of η we can show that ρ is in the closed half-space W^+ of W which contains \mathfrak{c}_i . Then the proposition follows from Lemma 8, because $\tau_i, \rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A} \cap W^+)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. For any $\prod_{j \in J} \alpha_j$ such that $\varepsilon \notin \text{Cone}(\alpha_i \mid i \in N \setminus J)$ we have

$$\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{Q \prod_{j \in J} \alpha_j}{\prod_{i \in N} \alpha_i} \right) = \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{Q}{\prod_{i \in N \setminus J} \alpha_i} \right) = 0$$

for any Q by property (P1). Hence, if $P \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$ then $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i \in N} \alpha_i} \right) = 0$.

We prove the other direction by induction on the number of elements n in the list \mathfrak{A} . Consider the case $n = r$. Since $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\mathfrak{A})$ then $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} \right)$ implies that $P = 0$, therefore $P \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$.

Suppose that the theorem holds when \mathfrak{A} contains less than n vectors. We may also assume that $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, in particular $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is a basis of V^* . Therefore, we can write

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i P_i,$$

where $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ are homogeneous of degree $n - r - 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. We make the assumption that $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n)$ if $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $\varepsilon \notin \text{Cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_n)$ if $q < i \leq r$. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ there exists $K_i \subset \{1, \dots, \widehat{i}, \dots, n\}$ such that $\{\alpha_k \mid k \in K_i\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_k \mid k \in K_i)$, that is $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \in K_i} \alpha_k} \right) \neq 0$. Hence, we can find $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\alpha_i P_i - c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i} \alpha_l}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \right) = \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{\alpha_i P_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \right) - c_i \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \in K_i} \alpha_k} \right) = 0.$$

In particular, $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P_i - c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i \cup \{i\}} \alpha_l}{\alpha_1 \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_i \cdots \alpha_n} \right) = 0$, hence if \mathfrak{A}_i denotes the list \mathfrak{A} with α_i removed then $P_i - c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i \cup \{i\}} \alpha_l \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}_i, \varepsilon}$ by induction hypothesis. Moreover, we have $\alpha_i \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}_i, \varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$, thus

$$(9) \quad \alpha_i P_i - c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i} \alpha_l \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq q.$$

For $i \in \{q+1, \dots, r\}$ we have $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$, hence

$$(10) \quad \alpha_i P_i \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}, \quad \forall q < i \leq r.$$

From (9), (10) and decomposition

$$(11) \quad P = \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\alpha_i P_i - c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i} \alpha_l \right) + \sum_{i=q+1}^r \alpha_i P_i + \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i} \alpha_l$$

we can see that $P \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$ exactly when $Q = \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \prod_{l \notin K_i} \alpha_l \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$. By Proposition 6

$$\frac{Q}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} = \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{c_i}{\prod_{k \in K_i} \alpha_k} = \frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_\varepsilon)} \frac{a_\sigma}{\prod_{\alpha_i \in \sigma} \alpha_i},$$

where \mathfrak{c}_ε is the chamber containing ε . Remark that $\prod_{\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \sigma} \alpha_i \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$, hence $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha_i \in \sigma} \alpha_i} \right) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_\varepsilon)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} \right) &= \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_\varepsilon)} \frac{a_\sigma}{\prod_{\alpha_i \in \sigma} \alpha_i} \right) \\ &= \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{Q}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} \right) = \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (9), (10) and (11). Moreover, $\text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i} \right) \neq 0$ implies that $a = 0$, hence

$$Q = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{c}_\varepsilon)} a_\sigma \prod_{\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \sigma} \alpha_i \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}.$$

To prove the second part of the theorem, denote $H_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$ the ideal generated by $\prod_{\alpha_i \in H^+} \alpha_i$ such that H is a hyperplane spanned by a subset of \mathfrak{A} and H^+ denotes the open half-space containing ε . It is easy to see that $H_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$. To show the reverse inclusion let $\prod_{j \in J} \alpha_j \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$, i.e. $J \subset N$ is a subset such that $\varepsilon \notin \text{Cone}(\alpha_j \mid j \in N \setminus J)$. We can suppose that J is minimal, hence the latter convex polyhedral cone is at least $(r-1)$ -dimensional. Therefore, it has an $(r-1)$ -dimensional face such that the underlying hyperplane H separates ε from this convex polyhedral cone. Moreover, H is spanned by some α_i 's and $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in N \setminus J\} \subset \{\alpha_j \mid \alpha_j \notin H^+\}$, i.e. $\{\alpha_j \mid \alpha_j \in H^+\} \subset \{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$, hence $\prod_{\alpha_j \in H^+} \alpha_j$ divides $\prod_{j \in J} \alpha_j$, therefore $\prod_{j \in J} \alpha_j \in H_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$. \square

Remark. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$ is the image of the Stanley-Reisner ideal I_Σ of a fan Σ under the map $\pi : \mathbb{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, $y_i \mapsto \alpha_i$. To construct the fan Σ consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-r} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{R}^r \longrightarrow 0$$

where γ sends the standard basis element e_i to α_i . Its Gale dual

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \mathbb{R}^{n-r} \longrightarrow 0$$

comes with vectors $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ as $\beta_i = \delta^*(e_i)$. The fan Σ is given as follows: for a subset $J \subset N$ the $\text{Cone}(\beta_j \mid j \in J) \in \Sigma$ if and only if $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_i \mid i \notin J)$. Since ε is regular Σ is a simplicial fan. To the fan Σ we associate the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_\Sigma = \langle \prod_{j \in J} y_j \mid \text{Cone}(\beta_j \mid j \in J) \notin \Sigma \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$.

Example. In the $r = 2$ case $\mathbb{R}\varepsilon$ is a hyperplane of V^* and suppose that vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ and $\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$ lie in different components of $V^* \setminus \mathbb{R}\varepsilon$. Then $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon} = \langle \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1} \cdots \alpha_n \rangle$.

Finally, the Main Lemma and Theorem 5 with the remark preceding it give the following result about computation of Jeffrey-Kirwan residues.

Corollary. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ be a collection of non-zero vectors in the r -dimensional vector space V^* . Let Λ be a connected component of $V \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^\perp$, suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda^\vee$ and*

$\varepsilon \in V^*$ is regular with respect to \mathfrak{A} . Let $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a subset such that $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a basis of V^* with $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_j \mid j \in J)$. Then

$$\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx = \text{JK}_\varepsilon \left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)]_{i,j \in J}}} \cdot \frac{N_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}}(P)}{N_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}}(\prod_{i \notin J} \alpha_i)}$$

for all $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ homogeneous of degree $n - r$.

Moreover, $\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx$ can be computed with the following algorithm:

- (1) Compute the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$ using Theorem 5.
- (2) Find a $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a basis of V^* with $\varepsilon \in \text{Cone}(\alpha_j \mid j \in J)$.
- (3) Compute a Gröbner basis G of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}$.
- (4) Compute the remainders (normal forms) $N_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}}(P)$ and $N_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}}(\prod_{i \notin J} \alpha_i)$ of the division of P and $\prod_{i \notin J} \alpha_i$ by G , respectively.
- (5) Then $\text{JKRes}^\Lambda \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)]_{i,j \in J}}} \cdot \frac{N_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}}(P)}{N_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon}}(\prod_{i \notin J} \alpha_i)}$.

Remark. The remainders can be computed by computer programs like Maple or Macaulay2 as follows. Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. In Maple, first compute the Gröbner basis G of I :

```
with(Groebner): G=Basis(I, tdeg(x_1, ..., x_r))
```

Then compute the remainder of the division of f by G :

```
NormalForm(f, G, tdeg(x_1, ..., x_r))
```

In Macaulay2 we can compute the remainder directly:

```
f % I
```

REFERENCES

- [1] Michel Brion and Michèle Vergne, *Arrangements of hyperplane. I. Rational functions and the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue*. Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 4^e série, tome 32, n^o 5 (1999), p. 715 - 741.
- [2] Eduardo Cattani and Alicia Dickenstein, *Introduction to residues and resultants in Solving polynomial Equations: Foundations, Algorithms and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [3] Corrado De Concini and Claudio Procesi, *Nested sets and Jeffrey Kirwan cycles*. Geometric methods in algebra and number theory, 139 - 149, Progr. Math., 235, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.
- [4] David Cox, John Little and Donal O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [5] David Cox, John Little, Hal Schenck, *Toric Varieties*. AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 124, 2011.
- [6] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*. Wiley-Interscience, 1978.
- [7] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*. Topology 34 (1995), no. 2, 291 - 327.
- [8] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization and the quatization conjecture*. Topology, Volume 36, No. 3, pp. 647 - 693, 1997.
- [9] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Mikhail Kogan, *Localization theorems by symplectic cuts in The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*. Volume 232 of Progr. Math., p. 303 - 326. Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [10] András Szenes and Michèle Vergne, *Toric reduction and a conjecture of Batyrev and Materov*. Invent. math. 158, p. 453 - 495 (2004)

SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, GENÈVE, SUISSE.

E-mail address: zsolt.szilagyi@unige.ch