

Hematopoietic cancers and Nup98 fusions: determining common mechanisms of malignancy

Juliana S. Capitanio and Richard W. Wozniak

Department of Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta

Abstract: Chromosomal aberrations are very frequent in leukemias and several recurring mutations capable of malignant transformation have been described. These mutations usually occur in hematopoietic stem cells (HSC), transforming them into leukemia stem cells. NUP98 gene translocations are an example of such chromosomal aberrations; these translocations produce a fusion protein containing the N-terminal portion of Nup98 and the C-terminal of a fusion partner. Over 75% of Nup98 fusions can interact with chromatin, and lead to changes in gene expression. Therefore, I hypothesize that nup98 fusions act as rogue transcriptional regulators in the cell.

Collecting previously published gene expression data (microarray) from HSCs expressing Nup98 fusions, we can generate data to corroborate this hypothesis. Several different fusions affect the expression of similar genes; these are involved in a few biological processes in the cell: embryonic development, immune system formation and chromatin organization. Deregulated genes also present similar transcription factor binding sites in their regulatory regions. These putative regulatory transcription factors are highly interconnected through protein-protein interactions and transcriptional regulation among themselves, and they have important roles in cell cycle regulation, embryonic development, hematopoiesis, apoptosis and chromatin modification.

Background:

Leukemia is a type of hematologic malignancy that originates in the bone marrow and leads to accumulation of immature hematopoietic cells with clonal origin. These leukemic cells can out-compete normal blood cells, replacing them in the bone marrow and spreading to extramedullary sites, and thus interfering with the normal function of the hematological tissue. The Canadian Cancer Society estimates 5000 new cases of leukemia for 2011, with approximately 2500 deaths. (1)

Leukemia is a heterogeneous disease that can be subdivided according to the cell lineage affected (myeloid or lymphoid) and the degree of differentiation of leukemic cells. More recent classifications by the World Health Organization also incorporate pathologic and genetic markers, achieving more biological significance. (2)

Chromosomal aberrations and leukemogenesis: delineating causes and effects.

Our knowledge of leukemogenesis is greatly influenced by the discovery of recurring chromosomal aberrations and/or gene mutations capable of malignant transformation of cells. (3) The target cell for these mutations is not always known, but increasing evidence indicates that leukemias originate in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) that are transformed into leukemia stem cells (LSC) by these chromosomal aberrations. LSCs and HSCs share two important characteristics, self-renewal and differentiation of new hematopoietic tissue. The clonogenic nature of leukemias is similar to that observed in normal hematopoiesis, and only a small specific subset of leukemic cells, LSCs, are capable of indefinite proliferation, as seen only for HSCs. (4)

If we consider HSCs as the cells of origin for most leukemias, we can better comprehend the high incidence of chromosomal aberrations present in this type of cancer. In a normal organism HSCs are usually quiescent and cycle very slowly; this is a protective mechanism to minimize DNA replication errors and the generation of toxic metabolic subproducts. However, quiescence of HSCs also presents a disadvantage.

Once DNA damage does occur, specifically DNA double strand breaks (DSB), these cells must repair the lesions through the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway. NHEJ is an error-prone, mutagenic pathway that often causes chromosomal aberrations. (5) Given the self-renewal property of HSCs, mutations can be transmitted and accumulated, giving rise to LSCs with a high frequency of chromosomal aberrations; in fact, most recurring translocations in leukemia display a NHEJ repair signature. (6)

Most chromosomal translocations in leukemias occur in chromosomal regions where the DNA is more susceptible to double strand breaks. These susceptibility regions can be characterized by several chromatin structural elements, including topo II DNA cleavage sites, DNase I hypersensitive sites, scaffold/matrix attachment regions (S/MAR) and retrotransposon regions (LINE and SINE). (6) Chromosomal position within the nucleus also influences the frequency of translocations. It is likely that loci localized in close proximity inside the nucleus will more frequently translocate in cases of DSBs. (7) Finally, for these translocations to lead to transformation, they must generate fusion proteins that promote an advantage to the cell.

Thousands of chromosomal translocations have been detected in leukemia cells. (8) Most of these fall within two categories: type I mutations promote increased proliferation or survival, and type II mutations impair differentiation or enhance self-renewal. At least one mutation of each type seems to be necessary for leukemogenesis. (9) Leukemic chromosomal translocations generate chimeric fusion proteins, and many of these fusion proteins have similar characteristics: they localize to the nucleus, affect transcriptional regulation, contain a DNA binding domain and cause epigenetic modifications. (10)

In fact, epigenetic changes are a common occurrence in most acute leukemias. (3) If we consider that during normal hematopoiesis a complex program of epigenetic modifications takes place, it becomes clearer how altering epigenetic modifications can affect cell differentiation and self-renewal leading to leukemogenesis. (11) HOX genes are a prime example of epigenetic regulation. The pattern of HOX expres-

sion in cells is epigenetically regulated and inherited; each cell in the hematopoietic differentiation continuum displays a specific pattern of HOX genes expressed. More primitive hematopoietic cells have higher levels of HOX expression, as cells differentiate and lose their proliferative capabilities, HOX expression decreases until it becomes absent in completely differentiated cells. Overexpression of numerous HOX genes can induce leukemogenesis, in several cases changes in their expression levels are a result of histone modifications in the 5' HOXA gene.(12)

Nucleoporin genes and cancer.

To date, the literature has revealed five nucleoporins involved in carcinogenesis, Tpr, Nup88, Nup98, Nup214(13) and Nup358.(14) Nup88 expression is up-regulated in several cancers, specially carcinomas. Increase in its protein levels is thought to deregulate NF- κ B nuclear transport maintaining it constantly activated.(15) The remaining 4 nucleoporins are involved in carcinogenic gene fusions.

Tpr gene fusions with Met and NTrk1 have been described in gastric cancers and papillary thyroid carcinomas, respectively. In both cases the N-terminal coiled-coil domain of Tpr is juxtaposed with the tyrosine kinase domain of the fusion partner. This leads to dimerization independent of ligand, and to constitutive activation of kinase activity, causing deregulated signaling that leads to carcinogenesis.(13) A similar carcinogenic mechanism is seen in Nup358 fusions. In inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors, the N-terminal leucine zipper of Nup358 is fused to the tyrosine kinase domain of ALK, also leading to deregulated kinase activation.(16)

Gene fusion of NUP214 and NUP98 play a role in leukemogenesis. NUP214 gene fusions with ABL also promote constitutive kinase activation in T-ALL. The kinase domain of ABL is fused to the N-terminal coiled-coil motif of Nup214. Interaction between this motif and nup88 allows localization of the fusion to the nuclear pore complex (NPC), bringing the kinase domains to sufficient proximity for constitutive activation.(17) In very rare cases Nup214 also translocates with SET and DEK in T-ALL and AML, respectively. In these cases almost the full length SET or DEK protein is fused to the C-terminal FG repeat of Nup214.(13) SET-NUP214 can interact with HOXA gene promoters leading to their expression. HOXA expression only occurs in the earliest T-cell precursor, so the fusion blocks T cell differentiation.(18) DEK-NUP214 fusions seem to increase overall protein translation specifically in myeloid cells, possibly facilitating carcinogenesis.(19) SET and DEK are two histone interacting proteins that perform opposing roles in the regulation of access to chromatin. SET promotes and DEK restricts accessibility to chromatin by the transcriptional machinery.(20) It is possible that NUP214 translocation with these genes affects the balance between the two chromatin modifiers.

The NUP98 gene is fused to a wide range of partner genes, resulting in several hematopoietic disorders, especially acute myeloid leukemia (AML).

NUP98 translocations in leukemias.

All NUP98 translocations described thus far generate a chimeric fusion protein that retains the N-terminal portion of Nup98 and the C-terminal of the fusion partner. Most chromosomal breaks take place between exons 11 and 13 of the NUP98 gene.(21) Interestingly, an enrichment of DNase I hypersensitivity sites and a strong prediction of S/MAR are present in this region, corroborating its increased susceptibil-

ity to translocations, as seen in figure 1 below.




Figure 1: NUP98 gene chromatin structure. A – UCSC genome browser(52) tracks displaying NUP98 gene structure (most commonly translocated region, exons 11 to 14, marked) and DNase I hypersensitivity sites. B – S/MAR enrichment on NUP98 gene, defined by MARFinder.(53)

The N-terminal portion of Nup98 (conserved in fusions) contains FG/GLFG repeats flanking a coiled-coil Rae1 interaction site (Fig. 2A). Even though a third of all nucleoporins contain FG repeats, Nup98 is the only GLFG repeat containing nucleoporin in humans.(22) At nuclear pore complexes (NPC), Nup98 interacts with transport molecules, mediating traffic through the NPC. The N-terminal of Nup98 interacts with XPO1, facilitating export of specific proteins from the nucleus,(23) and with TAP and Rae1, promoting mRNA export to the cytoplasm.(24)

Nup98 can also be found away from the NPC, dispersed through the nucleoplasm and in intranuclear structures called GLFG bodies (Fig. 2B).(25) In embryonic Drosophila cells, the intranuclear pool of Nup98 interacts with transcriptionally active genes and changes to the level of Nup98 present can modulate their expression, especially in developmental genes.(26) In human cells, the N-terminal GLFG repeats of nup98 have been shown to interact with histone acetyl transferases and histone deacetylases.(27, 28)

At least 27 different genes have been found translocated with NUP98 in leukemic patients (Fig. 2C). Most of these gene fusions lead to myeloid malignancies (AML, CML, MDS); however, six fusions have so far been identified in T-ALL patients. Nup98 fusions are rare (approximately 2% AML cases) however, they usually indicate a poor prognosis. Over half of Nup98 fusions are detected in patients under 20 years of age and only 25% occur in patients with therapy related malignancies.(21) The karyotype of patients with Nup98 fusions is usually simple, with no more than 3 chromosomal aberrations,(29) indicating a strong transformation potential for the fusions and arguing against an increase in genetic instability.

Nup98 fusion partners can be divided into homeodomain (HD) and non-HD containing proteins. Fusions with HD containing proteins always maintain the N-terminal GLFG domain of Nup98 fused in frame to the C-terminal HD of the partner gene.(30) NUP98-HOXA9 was the first fusion detected in an AML patient(31, 32) and it is currently the best-characterized Nup98 translocation. All non-HD containing partner genes encode putative coiled-coil motifs,(33) a domain usually involved in mediating protein-protein interactions. Chromatin recognition domains, such as plant homeodomain zinc fingers (PHD), are also recurrent in non-HD Nup98 fusion partners.(34)




Figure 2: Nup98 and Nup98 fusions. A – Nup98 protein domains and known interactions, B – Nup98 localization in 293T cells visualized by immunofluorescence microscopy and C – Diagram of known Nup98 gene fusions and their characteristics.

So far, few common denominators have been identified when it comes to the mechanism by which Nup98 fusions may lead to leukemogenesis. Characterizing their effects in altered gene expression indicates a few common targets: fusions of Nup98 with NSD1, KDM5A, PHF23, HOXA9, HOXD13, PRRX1, HHEX and DDX10 seem to increase the expression of HOXA cluster genes; NSD1, HOXA9 and DDX10 fusions also up-regulate the Hox co-factor Meis1; and HOXA9 or HOXD13 translocations increase the expression of interferon responsive genes.(21) A putative mechanism for how these fusions may alter gene expression has only been described for PHD domain containing translocations (NSD1, KDM5A and PHF23). These fusions seem to bind HOXA gene promoters (through the PHD finger) and recruit histone acetylases CBP/p300 (via GLFG domain) that modify chromatin into a transcriptionally active state.(34, 35) Nup98 fusions with HD containing proteins are assumed to act directly as transcription factors; they can in some cases collaborate with Meis1, a Hox co-factor that increases specificity and binding to target DNAs.(36)

Preliminary analysis:

Commonalities among NUP98 translocation partner genes.

Performing bioinformatics analysis of Nup98 fusion partner genes, a few recurrent themes are uncovered (Tab. I). Investigating the interaction profile of these partner proteins we notice that chromatin and/or DNA binding is a characteristic of 75% of them. The partner genes usually display direct DNA interaction or recognition of histone post-translational

modifications, with 50% of all fusion partners working as transcriptional regulators. Separating Nup98 fusions leading to myeloid malignancies from those causing T-ALL, an even clearer picture appears: over 95% of myeloid related fusions can interact with DNA/chromatin, with over 2/3 of them acting as transcriptional regulators. T-ALL related fusions show no transcriptional regulators among partner genes and only one of them can interact with DNA/chromatin, likely pointing towards distinct molecular mechanisms for myeloid and lymphoid causing Nup98 fusions.

Evaluating biological processes affected by Nup98 fusion partner genes, we determine that almost 60% of them participate in embryonic regionalization and development, with over half being involved in transcription. Other biological processes over-represented among the fusion partners are regulation of cell proliferation, cell differentiation and chromatin modification (Tab. I). Interestingly, in *Drosophila* cells, Nup98 itself seems to regulate the transcription of developmental and cell cycle genes.(26)

The data above indicate that Nup98 fusions might function as rogue transcriptional regulators, especially in myeloid malignancies. It is possible that these fusions can affect gene expression acting directly as transcription factors (TF), altering histone modifications or deregulating other TFs.

Hypothesis:

The goal of this project is to define common mechanisms by which these different Nup98 fusions lead to malignancy. Based on the background and on the preliminary analysis provided above we hypothesize that Nup98 fusions, especially those leading to AML, might function as rogue tran-

Table I: Characteristics of Nup98 fusion partner genes.

		Nup98 fusion genes																	Total	AML	ALL										
		ADD3	CCDC28A	DDX10	HHEX	HMGB3	HOXA11	HOXA13	HOXA9	HOXC11	HOXC13	HOXD11	HOXD13	IQCG	KDM5A	LNP1	MLL	NSD1				PHF23	PRRX1	PRRX2	PSIP1	RAP1GDS1	RARG	SETBP1	TOP1	TOP2B	WHSC1L1
Interaction	DNA/Chromatin binding	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	77.78%	95.24%	16.67%
	Transcription regulator	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	51.85%	66.67%
Protein domains	Homeobox	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	35.71%	40.00%	0.00%
	Coiled-coil	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	62.96%	52.38%	100.00%
	Zinc finger PHD	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	17.86%	20.00%	0.00%
Biological Process	Embryonic regionalization	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	59.26%	57.14%	66.67%
	Cell differentiation	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	18.52%	23.81%	0.00%
	Regulation of cell proliferation	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	22.22%	19.05%	33.33%
	Transcription	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	51.85%	47.62%	66.67%
	Chromatin modification	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	14.81%	9.52%	33.33%
Lineage	Myeloid	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	77.78%		
	Lymphoid	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	22.22%		

scriptional regulators, and that their deregulated target genes might impair cell differentiation and increase self-renewal, setting the stage for malignant transformation and acute myeloid leukemia.

In this project, we propose to study the changes in gene expression caused by Nup98 translocations in bone marrow cells. Using data integration of previously published microarray experiments we will compare the effects of different Nup98 fusion proteins in the gene expression profile of bone marrow cells, leading to the discovery of specific pathways responsible for the disease phenotype. These relevant pathways can indicate key drug targets for this malignancy, and drug responses can be modeled in the existing networks, aiding in the development of new therapies for this disease.

Materials and Methods:

In order to further explore the possible role of Nup98 fusions as rogue transcriptional regulators, I collected microarray experiments of bone marrow cells transformed with different fusions for analysis. In order for this multi-experiment analysis to present biological significance, I only used results from experiments performed in similar conditions, ending up with 4 directly comparable sets: NUP98-HHEX, NUP98-HOXA9, NUP98-HOXA10, NUP98-HOXD13(37, 38).

All fusions were transduced into adult mice bone marrow cells using the retroviral vector MSCV-IRES-GFP (the empty vector was used as control), cells were FACS sorted before mRNA purification, target preparation and hybridization to Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430A Arrays. The raw (.CEL) file of each experiment was RMA (robust multichip average) normalized(39) and cross-study normalization was achieved using ComBat,(40) an empirical bayes method. The ANOVA statistical test (p<0.05) was used in AltAnalyze(39) to identify genes differentially expressed in control vs. Nup98 fusion samples, producing a list of genes whose expression was similarly affected by all Nup98 fusion proteins.

Functional annotation analysis of all gene lists was performed through the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID)(41) v6.7. Distant regulatory elements of co-regulated genes, such as transcription factor binding sites and CpG islands, were identified using DIRE.(42) Finally, functional protein association networks

were inferred using STRING(43) version 9.0 and were imported into Cytoscape(44) for formatting.

Results and Discussion:

The expression of several genes is similarly altered in the presence of different Nup98 fusions.

Analyzing the genes whose expression was affected by all Nup98 fusions similarly, we see enrichment of a few biological processes: embryonic development, immune system formation and chromatin organization (Fig. 3A and Tab. S1). Evaluating only those genes whose expression was increased in the presence of all NUP98 translocations, we see enrichment for regulation of transcription, cell proliferation and immune system development (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, genes with decreased expression in the presence of Nup98 fusions are overrepresented for embryonic development, RNA processing and chromatin modification (Fig. 3C). These changes in the expression profile of genes involved in chromatin organization and modification can be correlated to the know epigenetic deregulation occurring in leukemic cells, especially as has been described for cells containing NUP98 translocations.(21) An increase in the expression of cell proliferation genes can also explain the expansion in the number of these LSCs. They abandon quiescence, as seen in HSCs, and actively proliferate in a deregulated manner, contributing to malignancy.

Genes with altered expression in the presence of NUP98 fusions are regulated by similar transcription factors.

Mapping the regulatory regions and transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) present in the deregulated genes, we can see that up and down-regulated genes present several regulatory regions in common, and 38 transcription factor binding site are enriched in both sets of genes (Tab. 2). These transcription factors play relevant roles in cell cycle regulation, embryonic development, hematopoiesis, apoptosis and chromatin modifications (Fig. 4). They form a highly interconnected network,(43) indicating protein-protein interactions and transcriptional regulation among themselves (Fig. 5). Networks of TFs regulating genes with increased expression or genes with decreased expression are less interconnected than that of TFs that are present in both; however,

Table 2: Transcription factors with TFBS enriched in genes with deregulated expression in the presence of nup98 fusions.

Common TFs	Symbol	Gene ID	Occurrence		Importance	
			up reg genes	down reg genes	up reg genes	down reg genes
CEBP	cebpa	12606	8.82%	4.66%	0.01544	0.0459
	cebpb	12608				
CMAF	maf	17132	4.41%	1.43%	0.0024	0.00896
CRX	crx	12951	2.94%	1.08%	0.00037	0.00329
DEC	Bhlhe40	20893	7.35%	2.87%	0.07077	0.00621
E2A	tcf3	21423	8.82%	7.17%	0.02206	0.00341
E2F1DP1	e2f1	13555	5.88%	2.15%	0.06655	0.00324
	tfdp1	21781				
E2F1DP1RB	e2f1	13555	5.88%	2.87%	0.03318	0.01098
	tfdp1	21781				
	rb1	19645				
E2F4DP1	e2f4	104394	5.88%	2.87%	0.00147	0.00229
	tfdp1	21781				
FOXJ2	foxj2	60611	7.35%	1.79%	0.13281	0.01915
FOXO4	foxo4	54601	7.35%	1.08%	0.00184	0.00184
GC	CpG islands		16.18%	19.35%	0.03808	0.13548
GCM	gcm2	107889	7.35%	4.30%	0.06147	0.03602
	gcm1	14531				
GRE	glucocorticoid res. elem.		1.47%	1.08%	0.0136	0.00197
HFH1	foxq1	15220	2.94%	0.36%	0.01454	0.00205
HIF1	hif1a	15251	11.76%	9.68%	0.02061	0.04876
HNF1	hnf1a	21405	8.82%	2.51%	0.03529	0.01356
	hnf1b	21410				
IK1	ikzf1	22778	4.41%	2.15%	0.02509	0.00403
LXR	Nr1h2	22260	7.35%	2.15%	0.07146	0.01984
	nr1h3	22259				
MYB	myb	17863	7.35%	2.51%	0.02941	0.00044
MYC	myc	17869	1.47%	2.87%	0.01418	0.02151
MYOGENIN	myog	17928	7.35%	6.09%	0.05285	0.00515
NERF	elf2	69257	8.82%	5.02%	0.09816	0.01944
NFKAPPAB50	nfkb1	18033	7.35%	2.51%	0.16176	0.00659
NFKB	rela	19697	8.82%	6.81%	0.02105	0.06719
NFY	nfia	18027	13.24%	4.66%	0.03174	0.00453
	nfib	18028				
	nfic	18029				
	nfix	18032				
P53	trp53	22059	8.82%	1.43%	0.14366	0.00409
PAX	pax1	18503	5.88%	2.51%	0.04559	0.01687
PAX9	pax9	18511	4.41%	2.87%	0.01665	0.01093
RSRFC4	mef2a	17258	2.94%	0.72%	0.00126	0.00905
SREBP	srebf1	20787	5.88%	2.87%	0.03676	0.0145
	srebf2	20788				
STAT	stat1	20846	7.35%	2.51%	0.0432	0.01443
TAL1	tal1	21349	5.88%	2.15%	0.06324	0.00225
TCF4	tcf4	21413	2.94%	2.15%	0.04403	0.01667
TEL2	telo2	71718	1.47%	2.15%	0.00729	0.01726
TST1	pou3f1	18991	1.47%	1.08%	0.01556	0.0121
USF2	usf2	22282	1.47%	2.87%	0.00023	0.02222
WT1	wt1	22431	14.71%	6.45%	0.03808	0.03055
ZF5	zfp161	22666	27.94%	23.30%	0.08507	0.13499
OCT4	pou5f1	18999	2.94%	1.08%	0.01838	0.00753




Figure 3: GO annotation biological process enrichment A) all genes differentially expressed; B) up-regulated genes; C) down-regulated genes in the presence of Nup98 fusion proteins.

each network contains at least one module of a few highly interconnected TFs (Fig. S1 and S2).

Some of these transcription factors are known to also be deregulated in other types of leukemia.

More in-depth analysis of the role of a few of the TFs putatively regulating genes with altered expression in cells bearing NUP98 translocations can uncover possible novel mechanisms by which these fusions may lead to leukemogenesis. Wilms Tumor 1 (WT1) is one of the most enriched TFBS in up and down regulated genes. WT1 is a TF with expression restricted to hematopoietic progenitor cells in the bone marrow with a role in their self-renewal. Mutations in WT1 indicate a worse prognosis in acute leukemias and can be found in approximately 10% of AML cases.(45) This transcription factor can work as both a tumor suppressor and an oncogene, and it can enhance or repress transcription of its target genes (such as MYC and BCL-2) depending on cellular conditions. (46) WT1 and N-terminal Nup98 (present in fusions) both interact with CBP, providing an interesting putative mechanism on how Nup98 fusions might be affecting this TF and its targets without affecting its expression level.

As another example, CEBPA appears as an important regulatory TF in genes deregulated by Nup98 fusions. Interestingly its own expression is reduced nearly 2 fold in Nup98 fusion expressing cells. Decreased CEBPA expression in BM cells decreases differentiation and increases proliferation of

myeloid progenitors leading to leukemia. Another leukemic fusion, AML1-ETO, has also been shown to down-regulate CEBPA expression, and CEBPA mutations that abrogate its function or generate dominant negatives have also been described as leukemogenic.(47)

TFBS for MYC are also overrepresented in this deregulated gene set, albeit to a lesser extent. MYC expression increases 40% in the presence of Nup98 fusions, similarly to what is observed with several other leukemic chromosomal aberrations (AML1-ETO, PML-RARA, PLZF-RARA, FLT3-ITD) shown to induce c-myc activation. Overexpression of c-myc alone in BM cells can quickly induce fatal AML.(48)




Figure 4: GO annotation biological process enrichment for all TFs with TFBS enriched in genes differentially expressed in the presence of Nup98 fusion proteins.

The identified transcription factor network is highly similar to the network of transcription factors regulating growth arrest and differentiation in human myeloid cells.

Given the above results, we can hypothesize that these NUP98 translocations are deregulating transcription factors that control differentiation and self-renewal in primitive hematopoietic cells. The network of transcription factors regulating growth arrest and differentiation in a human myeloid cell line has already been described.(49) Superposing the microarray results obtained above into this previously published network (Fig. 6), we notice that over 80% of the TFs thought to regulate growth arrest and differentiation have decreased expression in cells containing Nup98 fusions. Additionally, 70% of the TFs represented in this network have enriched TFBS in genes with deregulated expression upon NUP98 translocations. This reinforces the idea that Nup98 fusions can deregulate key TFs in myeloid cells, leading to a cascade of changes in their gene expression profile that ultimately disrupts differentiation and proliferation, promoting leukemogenesis.

Enrichment of CpG islands in the promoter region of genes with deregulated expression further indicates epigenetic deregulation in the presence of Nup98 fusions.

A final interesting observation is the enrichment of CpG islands in the promoters of genes with deregulated expression in Nup98 fusions, indicating an important role for epigenetic changes in their altered expression. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression is a hallmark of hematopoiesis, and several leukemic translocations have been shown to alter transcription by altering epigenetic markers in their target genes.(3) HOX genes are an example of this epigenetic regulation and they are highly enriched for CpG islands in humans.(50) Several Nup98 fusions promote HOX genes up-regulation,(21) some of them have been shown to alter histone post transla-




Figure 5: Protein-protein interaction network of TFs with TFBSs enriched in genes differentially expressed in Nup98 translocations.

tional modifications in the HOX locus,(34, 35) and it is possible that Nup98 fusions may also lead to epigenetic deregulation affecting DNA methylation or histone modifications in the CpG islands identified in deregulated genes. As well as DNA methylation, CpG islands in gene promoters can be silenced by polycomb group proteins.(51) In mouse

hematopoietic progenitors NUP98-KDM5A fusions bind the promoters of HOXA6-A10, this stops polycomb complex proteins from silencing them, maintains H3K4me3 and acetylated histones in their promoter, and leads to increased expression of these genes.(34) Similarly, NUP98-NSD1 binds the promoters of HOXA7 and HOXA9, leading to histone




Figure 6: Transcriptional network of growth arrest and differentiation in a human myeloid leukemia cell line.(49) Superposition of results from Nup98 fusion microarrays into the previously published network of growth arrest and differentiation. Node color indicates expression level of TF (red – decreased, green – increased) and node border indicates enrichment of TFBS in groups of genes with increased (green) or decreased (red) expression (yellow border - TFBS present in up and down regulated genes, black – TFBS absent in deregulated genes).

acetylation (p300 recruitment by Nup98's N-terminal) and H3K36me3 (NSD1 C-terminal function) that increase gene expression.(35) It's possible that other Nup98 fusion proteins may have similar mechanisms of epigenetic deregulation.

Concluding remarks:

The project described here aims at improving our understanding of how NUP98 translocations lead to leukemia. Based on previous literature and the results presented we can see that most Nup98 fusion partners provide a DNA/ chromatin interacting interface to these fusion proteins. This leads to the deregulation of sets of genes that increase the proliferation of these cells, as well as to the deregulation of genes that alter epigenetics. The genes with altered expression in the presence of Nup98 fusions are regulated by similar transcription factors, and these form a highly interconnected network. Part of this regulatory transcription factor network is itself down-regulated and very similar to the network of transcription factors regulating growth arrest and differentiation in human myeloid cells. It's likely therefore, that these Nup98 fusions act as rogue transcriptional regulators, affecting mainly cell differentiation and self-renewal.

References:

1. Canadian Cancer Society., Public Health Agency of Canada., Statistics Canada. Canadian cancer statistics 2011 featuring colorectal cancer. Toronto, Ont.: Canadian Cancer Society; 2011. Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/abhealth/Doc?id=10471043> Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/macewan/Doc?id=10471043> Available from: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/ualberta/Doc?id=10471043>.
2. Vardiman JW. The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors of the hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues: an overview with emphasis on the myeloid neoplasms. *Chem Biol Interact.* 2010 Mar;184(1-2):16-20. PubMed PMID: 19857474. eng.
3. Chen J, Odenike O, Rowley JD. Leukaemogenesis: more than mutant genes. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2010 Jan;10(1):23-36. PubMed PMID: 20029422. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2972637. eng.
4. Passegué E, Jamieson CH, Ailles LE, Weissman IL. Normal and leukemic hematopoiesis: are leukemias a stem cell disorder or a reacquisition of stem cell characteristics? *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003 Sep;100 Suppl 1:11842-9. PubMed PMID: 14504387. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC304096. eng.
5. Mohrin M, Bourke E, Alexander D, Warr MR, Barry-Holson K, Le Beau MM, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell quiescence promotes error-prone DNA repair and mutagenesis. *Cell Stem Cell.*

- 2010 Aug;7(2):174-85. PubMed PMID: 20619762. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2924905. eng.
6. Zhang Y, Rowley JD. Chromatin structural elements and chromosomal translocations in leukemia. *DNA Repair (Amst)*. 2006 Sep;5(9-10):1282-97. PubMed PMID: 16893685. eng.
 7. Zhang Y, Gostissa M, Hildebrand DG, Becker MS, Boboila C, Chiarle R, et al. The role of mechanistic factors in promoting chromosomal translocations found in lymphoid and other cancers. *Adv Immunol*. 2010;106:93-133. PubMed PMID: 20728025. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3073861. eng.
 8. Mitelman Database of Chromosome Aberrations and Gene Fusions in Cancer <http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/Chromosomes/Mitelman%2011> [cited 2011 December 18th, 2011].
 9. Bachas C, Schuurhuis GJ, Hollink IH, Kwidama ZJ, Goe-mans BF, Zwaan CM, et al. High-frequency type I/II mutational shifts between diagnosis and relapse are associated with outcome in pediatric AML: implications for personalized medicine. *Blood*. 2010 Oct;116(15):2752-8. PubMed PMID: 20592250. eng.
 10. Scandura JM, Bocconi P, Cammenga J, Nimer SD. Transcription factor fusions in acute leukemia: variations on a theme. *Oncogene*. 2002 May;21(21):3422-44. PubMed PMID: 12032780. eng.
 11. Cedar H, Bergman Y. Epigenetics of haematopoietic cell development. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2011 Jul;11(7):478-88. PubMed PMID: 21660052. eng.
 12. He H, Hua X, Yan J. Epigenetic regulations in hematopoi-etic Hox code. *Oncogene*. 2011 Jan;30(4):379-88. PubMed PMID: 20972460. eng.
 13. Xu S, Powers M. Nuclear pore proteins and cancer. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 2009 Jul;20(5):620-30. PubMed PMID: 19577736. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2706781. eng.
 14. Chen ST, Lee JC. An inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor in liver with ALK and RANBP2 gene rearrangement: combination of distinct morphologic, immunohistochemical, and genetic features. *Hum Pathol*. 2008 Dec;39(12):1854-8. PubMed PMID: 18701132. eng.
 15. Köhler A, Hurt E. Gene regulation by nucleoporins and links to cancer. *Mol Cell*. 2010 Apr;38(1):6-15. PubMed PMID: 20385085. eng.
 16. Ma Z, Hill DA, Collins MH, Morris SW, Sumegi J, Zhou M, et al. Fusion of ALK to the Ran-binding protein 2 (RANBP2) gene in inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2003 May;37(1):98-105. PubMed PMID: 12661011. eng.
 17. De Keersmaecker K, Rocnik JL, Bernad R, Lee BH, Leeman D, Gielen O, et al. Kinase activation and transformation by NUP214-ABL1 is dependent on the context of the nuclear pore. *Mol Cell*. 2008 Jul;31(1):134-42. PubMed PMID: 18614052. eng.
 18. Van Vlierberghe P, van Grotel M, Tchinda J, Lee C, Beverloo HB, van der Spek PJ, et al. The recurrent SET-NUP214 fusion as a new HOXA activation mechanism in pediatric T-cell acute lympho-blastic leukemia. *Blood*. 2008 May;111(9):4668-80. PubMed PMID: 18299449. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2343598. eng.
 19. Ageberg M, Drott K, Olofsson T, Gullberg U, Lindmark A. Identification of a novel and myeloid specific role of the leukemia-as-sociated fusion protein DEK-NUP214 leading to increased protein synthesis. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2008 Apr;47(4):276-87. PubMed PMID: 18181180. eng.
 20. Gamble MJ, Fisher RP. SET and PARP1 remove DEK from chromatin to permit access by the transcription machinery. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2007 Jun;14(6):548-55. PubMed PMID: 17529993. eng.
 21. Gough SM, Slape CI, Aplan PD. NUP98 gene fusions and hematopoietic malignancies: common themes and new biologic in-sights. *Blood*. 2011 Dec;118(24):6247-57. PubMed PMID: 21948299. eng.
 22. Iwamoto M, Asakawa H, Hiraoka Y, Haraguchi T. Nucleo-porin Nup98: a gatekeeper in the eukaryotic kingdoms. *Genes Cells*. 2010 Jun;15(7):661-9. PubMed PMID: 20545767. eng.
 23. Oka M, Asally M, Yasuda Y, Ogawa Y, Tachibana T, Yone-da Y. The mobile FG nucleoporin Nup98 is a cofactor for Crm1-de-pendent protein export. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2010 Jun;21(11):1885-96. PubMed PMID: 20375145. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2877646. eng.
 24. Blevins M, Smith A, Phillips E, Powers M. Complex forma-tion among the RNA export proteins Nup98, Rae1/Gle2, and TAP. *J Biol Chem*. 2003 Jun;278(23):20979-88. PubMed PMID: 12637516. eng.
 25. Griffis E, Altan N, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Powers M. Nup98 is a mobile nucleoporin with transcription-dependent dynamics. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2002 Apr;13(4):1282-97. PubMed PMID: 11950939. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC102269. eng.
 26. Kalverda B, Pickersgill H, Shloma V, Fornerod M. Nucleo-porins directly stimulate expression of developmental and cell-cy-cle genes inside the nucleoplasm. *Cell*. 2010 Feb;140(3):360-71. PubMed PMID: 20144760. eng.
 27. Kasper L, Brindle P, Schnabel C, Pritchard C, Cleary M, van Deursen J. CREB binding protein interacts with nucleoporin-spe-cific FG repeats that activate transcription and mediate NUP98-HOXA9 oncogenicity. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1999 Jan;19(1):764-76. PubMed PMID: 9858599. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC83933. eng.
 28. Bai X, Gu B, Yin T, Niu C, Xi X, Zhang J, et al. Trans-re-pressive effect of NUP98-PMX1 on PMX1-regulated c-FOS gene through recruitment of histone deacetylase 1 by FG repeats. *Cancer Res*. 2006 May;66(9):4584-90. PubMed PMID: 16651408. eng.
 29. Romana SP, Radford-Weiss I, Ben Abdelali R, Schluth C, Petit A, Dastugue N, et al. NUP98 rearrangements in hematopoietic malignancies: a study of the Groupe Francophone de Cytogénétique Hématologique. *Leukemia*. 2006 Apr;20(4):696-706. PubMed PMID: 16467868. eng.
 30. Moore MA, Chung KY, Plasilova M, Schuringa JJ, Shieh JH, Zhou P, et al. NUP98 dysregulation in myeloid leukemogenesis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2007 Jun;1106:114-42. PubMed PMID: 17442773. eng.
 31. Nakamura T, Largaespada D, Lee M, Johnson L, Ohya-shiki K, Toyama K, et al. Fusion of the nucleoporin gene NUP98 to HOXA9 by the chromosome translocation t(7;11)(p15;p15) in hu-man myeloid leukaemia. *Nat Genet*. 1996 Feb;12(2):154-8. PubMed PMID: 8563753. eng.
 32. Borrow J, Shearman AM, Stanton VP, Becher R, Collins T, Williams AJ, et al. The t(7;11)(p15;p15) translocation in acute my-eloid leukaemia fuses the genes for nucleoporin NUP98 and class I homeoprotein HOXA9. *Nat Genet*. 1996 Feb;12(2):159-67. PubMed PMID: 8563754. eng.
 33. Hussey D, Dobrovic A. Recurrent coiled-coil motifs in NUP98 fusion partners provide a clue to leukemogenesis. *Blood*. 2002 Feb;99(3):1097-8. PubMed PMID: 11822362. eng.
 34. Wang G, Song J, Wang Z, Dormann H, Casadio F, Li H, et al. Haematopoietic malignancies caused by dysregulation of a chromatin-binding PHD finger. *Nature*. 2009 Jun;459(7248):847-51. PubMed PMID: 19430464. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2697266. eng.
 35. Wang GG, Cai L, Pasillas MP, Kamps MP. NUP98-NSD1 links H3K36 methylation to Hox-A gene activation and leukaemogen-esis. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2007 Jul;9(7):804-12. PubMed PMID: 17589499. eng.
 36. Yung E, Sekulovic S, Argiropoulos B, Lai CK, Leung M, Berg T, et al. Delineating domains and functions of NUP98 contribut-ing to the leukemogenic activity of NUP98-HOX fusions. *Leuk Res*. 2011 Apr;35(4):545-50. PubMed PMID: 21130494. eng.
 37. Palmqvist L, Pineault N, Wasslavik C, Humphries RK. Candidate genes for expansion and transformation of hematopoietic stem cells by NUP98-HOX fusion genes. *PLoS One*. 2007;2(8):e768. PubMed PMID: 17712416. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC1942085. eng.
 38. Jankovic D, Gorello P, Liu T, Ehret S, La Starza R, Desjob-ert C, et al. Leukemogenic mechanisms and targets of a NUP98/HHEX fusion in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2008 Jun;111(12):5672-82. PubMed PMID: 18388181. eng.
 39. Emig D, Salomonis N, Baumbach J, Lengauer T, Conklin BR, Albrecht M. AltAnalyze and DomainGraph: analyzing and visu-alizing exon expression data. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010 Jul;38(Web Server issue):W755-62. PubMed PMID: 20513647. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2896198. eng.
 40. Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in

- microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics*. 2007 Jan;8(1):118-27. PubMed PMID: 16632515. eng.
41. Huang dW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009 Jan;37(1):1-13. PubMed PMID: 19033363. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2615629. eng.
42. Gotea V, Ovcharenko I. DiRE: identifying distant regulatory elements of co-expressed genes. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2008 Jul;36(Web Server issue):W133-9. PubMed PMID: 18487623. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2447744. eng.
43. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Kuhn M, Simonovic M, Roth A, Minguéz P, et al. The STRING database in 2011: functional interaction networks of proteins, globally integrated and scored. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011 Jan;39(Database issue):D561-8. PubMed PMID: 21045058. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3013807. eng.
44. Smoot ME, Ono K, Ruscheinski J, Wang PL, Ideker T. Cytoscape 2.8: new features for data integration and network visualization. *Bioinformatics*. 2011 Feb;27(3):431-2. PubMed PMID: 21149340. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3031041. eng.
45. Owen C, Fitzgibbon J, Paschka P. The clinical relevance of Wilms Tumour 1 (WT1) gene mutations in acute leukaemia. *Hematol Oncol*. 2010 Mar;28(1):13-9. PubMed PMID: 20013787. eng.
46. Yang L, Han Y, Suarez Saiz F, Saurez Saiz F, Minden MD. A tumor suppressor and oncogene: the WT1 story. *Leukemia*. 2007 May;21(5):868-76. PubMed PMID: 17361230. eng.
47. Pabst T, Mueller BU. Complexity of CEBPA dysregulation in human acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009 Sep;15(17):5303-7. PubMed PMID: 19706798. eng.
48. Luo H, Li Q, O'Neal J, Kreisel F, Le Beau MM, Tomasson MH. c-Myc rapidly induces acute myeloid leukemia in mice without evidence of lymphoma-associated antiapoptotic mutations. *Blood*. 2005 Oct;106(7):2452-61. PubMed PMID: 15972450. eng.
49. Suzuki H, Forrest AR, van Nimwegen E, Daub CO, Balwiercz PJ, Irvine KM, et al. The transcriptional network that controls growth arrest and differentiation in a human myeloid leukemia cell line. *Nat Genet*. 2009 May;41(5):553-62. PubMed PMID: 19377474. eng.
50. Branciamore S, Chen ZX, Riggs AD, Rodin SN. CpG island clusters and pro-epigenetic selection for CpGs in protein-coding exons of HOX and other transcription factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010 Aug;107(35):15485-90. PubMed PMID: 20716685. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2932574. eng.
51. Deaton AM, Bird A. CpG islands and the regulation of transcription. *Genes Dev*. 2011 May;25(10):1010-22. PubMed PMID: 21576262. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3093116. eng.
52. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, et al. The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res*. 2002 Jun;12(6):996-1006. PubMed PMID: 12045153. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC186604. eng.
53. Singh GB, Kramer JA, Krawetz SA. Mathematical model to predict regions of chromatin attachment to the nuclear matrix. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1997 Apr;25(7):1419-25. PubMed PMID: 9060438. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC146595. eng.
54. Hubner NC, Bird AW, Cox J, Splettstoesser B, Bandilla P, Poser I, et al. Quantitative proteomics combined with BAC TransgeneOmics reveals in vivo protein interactions. *J Cell Biol*. 2010 May;189(4):739-54. PubMed PMID: 20479470. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2872919. eng.
55. Gehlenborg N, O'Donoghue SI, Baliga NS, Goesmann A, Hibbs MA, Kitano H, et al. Visualization of omics data for systems biology. *Nat Methods*. 2010 Mar;7(3 Suppl):S56-68. PubMed PMID: 20195258. eng.
56. Rhee HS, Pugh BF. Comprehensive Genome-wide Protein-DNA Interactions Detected at Single-Nucleotide Resolution. *Cell*. 2011 Dec;147(6):1408-19. PubMed PMID: 22153082. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3243364. eng.
57. Hawkins RD, Hon GC, Ren B. Next-generation genomics: an integrative approach. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2010 Jul;11(7):476-86. PubMed PMID: 20531367. eng.
58. Martin JA, Wang Z. Next-generation transcriptome assembly. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2011 Oct;12(10):671-82. PubMed PMID: 21897427. eng.
59. Cheng C, Yan KK, Hwang W, Qian J, Bhardwaj N, Rozowsky J, et al. Construction and analysis of an integrated regulatory network derived from high-throughput sequencing data. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2011 Nov;7(11):e1002190. PubMed PMID: 22125477. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3219617. eng.

Table S1: Genes with altered expression in the presence of Nup98 fusions.

Probesets	Symbol	Ensembl_id	Entrez_id	avg-Control [C]	avg-Nup98 Fusions [F]	log_fold-C_vs_F	fold-C_vs_F	rawp-C_vs_F	ANOVA-rawp
1419770_at	96298	ENSMUSG00000089935		3.038673785	2.706183322	0.332490463	1.25918518	0.002200226	0.002200226
1436881_x_at	Alp	ENSMUSG00000054932	11576	4.435669685	4.133741331	0.301928354	1.2327911	0.002303028	0.002303028
1423341_at	Cspg4	ENSMUSG00000032911	121021	4.142224673	3.915493832	0.226730841	1.1701803	0.002454198	0.002454198
1427291_at	Sycp1	ENSMUSG00000027855	20957	2.864705994	2.58908769	0.275618304	1.21051277	0.002480178	0.002480178
1431505_at	LOC629952 /// Pp1h	ENSMUSG00000082045 ENSMUSG00000060288	6411 629 952	4.26060466	3.910817129	0.349787531	1.27437293	0.002634631	0.002634631
1443473_at	C79562		97158	2.999440742	2.672116303	0.327324439	1.25468433	0.00302522	0.00302522
1421301_at	Zic2	ENSMUSG00000061524	22772	3.200578743	2.909484227	0.291094516	1.22335682	0.003413885	0.003413885
1449950_at	5830415L20Rik		68152	3.914999249	3.580822413	0.334176836	1.2606579	0.003426622	0.003426622
1421741_at	Cyp3a16	ENSMUSG00000038656	13114	2.231387797	2.044910463	0.186477333	1.13798168	0.003659455	0.003659455
1422607_at	Etv1	ENSMUSG00000047643 ENSMUSG00000004151	14009	3.508771097	3.276549487	0.23222161	1.17464239	0.003725371	0.003725371
1428784_at	Gmp1	ENSMUSG00000036246	78816	6.638922179	6.095950604	0.542971575	1.45697041	0.003795931	0.003795931
1423410_at	Mei1	ENSMUSG00000026650	104362	2.616300359	2.358760065	0.257540294	1.19543882	0.003879921	0.003879921
1427603_at	Atf7ip2	ENSMUSG00000039200	75329	2.584209067	2.354541658	0.229667409	1.1725646	0.003922985	0.003922985
1455813_at	OTTMUSG0000 0010009	ENSMUSG00000066031	194227	2.326065306	2.028825087	0.297240219	1.22879157	0.003967039	0.003967039
1425092_at	Cdh10	ENSMUSG00000022321	320873	3.281944768	3.038178309	0.243766459	1.18407992	0.004043584	0.004043584
1418649_at	Egln3	ENSMUSG00000035105	112407	8.558848309	7.913266323	0.645581986	1.56437023	0.004519995	0.004519995
1460366_at	Eml3	ENSMUSG00000071647	225898	7.542051934	6.985281848	0.556770086	1.47097231	0.004758655	0.004758655
1423626_at	Dst	ENSMUSG00000026131	13518	3.913358178	4.300789513	-0.387431335	-1.30806237	0.004931354	0.004931354
1427323_s_at	Wip1	ENSMUSG00000041895	52639	5.672041429	5.921793133	-0.249751704	-1.18900246	0.004931692	0.004931692
1427794_at	AJ242955		58363	3.106623887	2.824001526	0.282622362	1.21640391	0.004961076	0.004961076
1423344_s_at	Tnfrsf10b	ENSMUSG00000022074	21933	4.383312428	4.119481269	0.263831159	1.2006629	0.005424567	0.005424567
1415832_at	Agr2	ENSMUSG00000068122	11609	2.5151512	2.302923442	0.212227757	1.15847568	0.005552982	0.005552982
1420735_at	Gabbr2	ENSMUSG00000023267	14409	3.649305434	3.44163256	0.207672874	1.1548239	0.005776048	0.005776048
1418352_at	Hsd17b2	ENSMUSG00000031844	15486	3.530858763	3.23255005	0.298303758	1.22969775	0.006138543	0.006138543
1419173_at	Acy1	ENSMUSG00000023262	109652	7.350027228	6.815307292	0.534719935	1.4486609	0.006154995	0.006154995
1417047_at	Prom2	ENSMUSG00000027376	192212	3.37313633	3.071736026	0.301400305	1.23233996	0.006445766	0.006445766
1434100_x_at		ENSMUSG00000029167		2.401771307	2.149576689	0.252194618	1.19101751	0.006453775	0.006453775
1417812_a_at	Lamb3	ENSMUSG00000026639	16780	3.470801319	3.228651273	0.242150046	1.18275401	0.006477274	0.006477274




Figure S1: Protein-protein interaction network of TFs with TFBS enriched in genes with up-regulated expression in Nup98 translocations.




Figure S1: Protein-protein interaction network of TFs with TFBS enriched in genes with up-regulated expression in Nup98 translocations.