

Theory of a triangular micro-robot

By V. A. Vladimirov


Dept of Mathematics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

(Received October 2nd 2012)

In this paper we study the self-propulsion of a triangular micro-robot (or \triangle -robot) which consists of three spheres connected by three rods; the rods' lengths are changing independently and periodically. Using the asymptotic procedure containing the two-timing method and distinguished limit arguments, we obtain analytic expressions for self-propulsion velocity the angular velocity. Our calculations show that a \triangle -robot rotates with constant angular velocity around its centroid, while the centroid moves in a circle. The important special case of zero angular velocity represents rectilinear translational self-propulsion with constant velocity.

1. Introduction

The studies of micro-robots represent a flourishing modern research topic which strives to create a fundamental base for modern applications in medicine and technology, see *e.g.* Purcell (1977), Becker *et.al.* (2003), Najafi & Golestanian (2004), Dreyfus *et.al.* (2005), Earl *et.al.* (2007), Chang *et.al.* (2007), Alouges *et.al.* (2008), Gilbert *at.al.* (2010), Golestanian & Ajdari (2008), Golestanian & Ajdari (2009), Alexander *et.al.* (2009), Leoni *et.al.* (2009), Lauga (2011), Romanczuk *et.al.* (2012). The simplicity of micro-robot's geometry represents the major advantage in contrast to extreme complexity of self-swimming microorganisms, *e.g.*



Pedley & Kessler (1987), Vladimirov *et.al.* (2004), Pedley (2009), Polin *et.al.* (2009). This advantage allows us to describe the motion of micro-robots in greater depth.

In this paper, we study the self-propulsion of a triangular three-sphere micro-robot (we call it Δ -robot), see the figure. We formulate the problem for a Δ -robot with arbitrary sides, but the final expressions are presented only for the case when all mean sides are equal. Our calculations show that the Δ -robot rotates with constant angular velocity Ω around its centroid, while the centroid itself moves along a circle with the same angular velocity Ω . The important special case of $\Omega = 0$ represents rectilinear translational self-propulsion with constant velocities. To obtain these results we employ the two-timing method and distinguished limit arguments, which lead to a simple and rigorous analytical procedure. Our approach is technically different from all previous methods employed in the studies of micro-robots (except Vladimirov (2012b), Vladimirov (2012c)). The possibility to describe explicitly any motion of a Δ -robot shows the strength of our method. The used version of the two-timing method has been developed in Vladimirov (2005), Vladimirov (2008), Vladimirov (2012a).

2. Formulation of problem

We consider the \triangle -robot consisting of three rigid spheres of equal radii R connected by three rods of different lengths k , l , and m . In plane cartesian coordinates (x, y) the centers of the spheres are $\mathbf{x}^{(\nu)} = (x_1^{(\nu)}, x_2^{(\nu)})$, $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ and the triangle (with vertices in centres of spheres) is composed of vectors $\mathbf{k} \equiv \mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(3)}$, $\mathbf{l} \equiv \mathbf{x}^{(2)} - \mathbf{x}^{(1)}$, and $\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{x}^{(3)} - \mathbf{x}^{(2)}$, see the figure. We describe the centers of the spheres as

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(2)} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(3)} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{c}$$

where $\mathbf{X} = (X, Y)$ is a centroid radius-vector such that vectors \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{c} , unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$, and angles θ , ψ , χ are introduced as

$$\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = 0; \quad \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{b}; \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathbf{a} = a \hat{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \mathbf{b} = b \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \quad \mathbf{c} = c \hat{\mathbf{c}} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta + \psi) \\ \sin(\theta + \psi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{c}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta + \psi + \chi) \\ \sin(\theta + \psi + \chi) \end{pmatrix}$$

Well-known relations between the sides and medians of a triangle yield

$$k^2 = 2(a^2 + c^2) - b^2, \quad l^2 = 2(a^2 + b^2) - c^2, \quad m^2 = 2(b^2 + c^2) - a^2, \quad (2.3)$$

see Johnson (2007). The \triangle -robot moves due to the prescribed oscillations of the sides

$$k = \check{k} \equiv \bar{k} + \varepsilon \tilde{k}(\tau), \quad l = \check{l} \equiv \bar{l} + \varepsilon \tilde{l}(\tau), \quad m = \check{m} \equiv \bar{m} + \varepsilon \tilde{m}(\tau); \quad \tau \equiv \omega t \quad (2.4)$$

where $\omega = \text{const}$ $\varepsilon = \text{const}$; \bar{k} , \bar{l} , \bar{m} are mean lengths, and \tilde{k} , \tilde{l} , \tilde{m} are 2π -periodic functions of τ with zero average values. The spheres experience external friction forces $\mathbf{F}^{(\nu)} = (F_1^{(\nu)}, F_2^{(\nu)})$, while the rods are so thin in comparison with R , that their interaction with a fluid is negligible. The considered problem contains three characteristic lengths: the length of rods L , the radius of spheres R , and the amplitude of rod's oscillations a . The

characteristic time-scale is T and the characteristic force is F . We have chosen

$$3L \equiv \bar{k} + \bar{l} + \bar{m}, \quad a \equiv \varepsilon L, \quad T \equiv 1/\omega, \quad F \equiv 6\pi\eta RL/T \quad (2.5)$$

where η is viscosity of a fluid. Two independent small parameters are

$$\varepsilon \equiv a/L, \quad \delta \equiv 3R/(4L) \quad (2.6)$$

The dimensionless variables (marked with asterisks) are chosen as

$$\mathbf{x} = L\mathbf{x}^*, \quad t = T t^*, \quad F_i = F F_i^*; \quad (2.7)$$

Below we use only dimensionless variables, however all asterisks are omitted.

The form of a triangle is completely prescribed by three lengths l, k, m or, alternatively, by three lengths a, b, c (2.2),(2.3). We choose generalised coordinates as

$$\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_6) \equiv (X, Y, \theta, a, b, c) \quad (2.8)$$

The choice a, b, c as generalised coordinates drastically simplifies further calculations.

The motion of the Δ -robot is described by the Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_t)$, which includes constraints (2.4) with Lagrangian multipliers α, β, γ

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_t) = \mathcal{K} + \alpha(a - \check{a}) + \beta(b - \check{b}) + \gamma(c - \check{c}) \quad (2.9)$$

where subscript t stands for d/dt , given functions $\check{a}, \check{b}, \check{c}$ correspond to $\check{l}, \check{l}, \check{m}$ in (2.4),(2.3), and \mathcal{K} is kinetic energy of a robot. Lagrangian multipliers represent additional unknown functions of time. The Lagrange equations are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_{nt}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_n} = Q_n, \quad Q_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 F_j^{(\nu)} \frac{\partial x_j^{(\nu)}}{\partial q_n} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ is the generalized external force, exerted by a fluid on the Δ -robot. As one can see, we use latin subscripts ($i, k = 1, 2$) for cartesian components of vectors and tensors, subscript n for generalised coordinates, and subscripts (or superscripts) $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3$ to identify the spheres. The fluid flow past the Δ -robot is described

by the Stokes equations, where all inertial effects are neglected. Since masses of spheres and rods are negligible, then $\mathcal{K} \equiv 0$. Hence, (2.9),(2.10),(2.1),(2.2) produce the system of equations

$$\mathbf{F}^{(1)} + \mathbf{F}^{(2)} + \mathbf{F}^{(3)} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

$$\left[\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{F}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{F}^{(2)} + \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{F}^{(3)} \right]_{\perp} = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{(1)} = -\alpha a, \quad \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{(2)} = -\beta b, \quad \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{(3)} = -\gamma c \quad (2.13)$$

$$a = \check{a}, \quad b = \check{b}, \quad c = \check{c} \quad (2.14)$$

where the subscript \perp stands for a perpendicular to (x, y) -plane component of a vector. One can see that for chosen generalised coordinates the Lagrangian equations appear as zero total force (2.11) and zero total torque (2.12). The explicit expressions for $\mathbf{F}^{(\nu)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}^{(\nu)} &\simeq -\mathbf{x}_t^{(\nu)} + \delta \mathbf{\Pi}^{(\nu)}, \quad \mathbf{\Pi}^{(\nu)} \equiv \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \mathbb{S}^{(\nu\mu)} \mathbf{x}_t^{(\mu)} \\ \mathbb{S}^{(\nu\mu)} &= \mathbb{S}^{(\mu\nu)}; \quad l^3 \mathbb{S}^{(12)} = l^3 S_{ik}^{(12)} \equiv l^2 \delta_{ik} + l_i l_k, \quad etc. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Each force $\mathbf{F}^{(\nu)}$ represents the first approximation for the Stokes friction force exerted on a sphere moving in the flow generated by other two spheres. To construct (2.15) we use a classical explicit formula for fluid velocity past a moving sphere, see Lamb (1932), Landau & Lifshitz (1959), Moffatt (1996). The equations (2.11)-(2.15) represent a system of nine equations for nine unknown functions of time: $X, Y, \theta, a, b, c, \alpha, \beta$, and γ . It is well known from analytical mechanics that Lagrangian multipliers represent the reactions of constraints; hence the equations (2.13) can be kept out of consideration if we are not interested in the forces exerted by rods.

Since the explicit form of system (2.11)-(2.15) is rather cumbersome, we restrict ourselves to the special case of an equilateral mean triangle $\bar{k} = \bar{l} = \bar{m} = 1$. Using (2.1) we

can rewrite the correspondent equations (2.11),(2.12) as

$$3\mathbf{X}_t = \delta \left[\mathbb{S}^{(12)}(2\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{c}_t) + \mathbb{S}^{(13)}(2\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{b}_t) + \mathbb{S}^{(23)}(2\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{a}_t) \right] \quad (2.16)$$

$$[\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a}_t + \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{b}_t + \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{c}_t]_{\perp} = \delta \left[\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{\Pi}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{\Pi}^{(2)} + \mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{\Pi}^{(3)} \right]_{\perp} \quad (2.17)$$

3. Two-timing method and asymptotic procedure

3.1. Functions and notations

The following *dimensionless* notations and definitions are in use:

(i) s and τ denote slow time and fast time; subscripts τ and s stand for related partial derivatives.

(ii) A dimensionless function, say $G = G(s, \tau)$, belongs to class \mathcal{I} if $G = O(1)$ and all partial s -, and τ -derivatives of G (required for our consideration) are also $O(1)$. In this paper all functions belong to class \mathcal{I} , while all small parameters appear as explicit multipliers.

(iii) We consider only *periodic in τ functions* $\{G \in \mathcal{P} : G(s, \tau) = G(s, \tau + 2\pi)\}$, where s -dependence is not specified. Hence, all considered below functions belong to $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{I}$.

(iv) For arbitrary $G \in \mathcal{P}$ the *averaging operation* is

$$\langle G \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_0+2\pi} G(s, \tau) d\tau \equiv \overline{G}(s), \quad \forall \tau_0 \quad (3.1)$$

(v) *The tilde-function* (or purely oscillating function) represents a special case of \mathcal{P} -function with zero average $\langle \tilde{G} \rangle = 0$. The *bar-function* (or mean-function) $\overline{G} = \overline{G}(s)$ does not depend on τ . A unique decomposition $G = \overline{G} + \tilde{G}$ is valid.

3.2. Asymptotic procedure and successive approximations

The introduction of fast time variable τ and slow time variable s represents a crucial step in our asymptotic procedure. We choose $\tau = t$ and $s = \varepsilon^2 t$. This choice can be justified by the same distinguished limit arguments as in Vladimirov (2012a). Here we

present this choice without proof, however the most important part of this proof (that this choice leads to a valid asymptotic procedure) is exposed and exploited below. We use the chain rule

$$d/dt = \partial/\partial\tau + \varepsilon^2\partial/\partial s \quad (3.2)$$

and then we accept (temporarily) that τ and s represent two independent variables. In further consideration we consider series in small parameter ε and restrict our attention to the terms $O(\varepsilon^2)$. Simultaneously we keep at most linear terms in δ . In our theory δ appears not separately but as products such as $\varepsilon^2\delta$. We do not specify the dependence of unknown functions on δ ; such dependence reveals itself naturally during calculations. Unknown functions are taken as regular series

$$\mathbf{X}(\tau, s) = \mathbf{X}_0(\tau, s) + \varepsilon\mathbf{X}_1(\tau, s) + \varepsilon^2\mathbf{X}_2(\tau, s) + \dots \quad (3.3)$$

and similar series for θ , a , b , c , α , β , and γ . We take

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_0 \equiv 0 \text{ and } \widetilde{\theta}_0 \equiv 0, \quad \text{while } \overline{\mathbf{X}}_0 \neq 0 \text{ and } \overline{\theta}_0 \neq 0$$

which express the basic property of our solutions: long distances of self-swimming and large angles of rotations are caused by small oscillations. We also have accepted $\overline{a}_0 = \overline{b}_0 = \overline{c}_0 = 1/\sqrt{3}$ for an equilateral triangle. After the application of (3.2) to (3.3) we have

$$\mathbf{X}_t = \varepsilon\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{1\tau} + \varepsilon^2(\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{2\tau} + \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0s}) + O(\varepsilon^2) \quad (3.4)$$

and similar expression for θ . In calculations below all the bar functions belong to the zero approximation and all the tilde-functions belong to the first approximation, therefore we omit the related subscripts.

The successive approximations of equations (2.16),(2.17) yield:

Terms $O(\varepsilon^0)$ give identities $0 = 0$.

Terms $O(\varepsilon^1\delta^0)$ lead to

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_\tau = 0, \quad 3\widetilde{\theta}_\tau + 2\widetilde{\psi}_\tau + \widetilde{\chi}_\tau = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

where the second equation follows from

$$\left[\bar{\mathbf{a}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_\tau + \bar{\mathbf{b}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_\tau + \bar{\mathbf{c}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \right]_\perp = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

and definitions (2.2). The integration of (3.5) in the class of periodic functions yields

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = 0, \quad 3\widetilde{\theta} + 2\widetilde{\psi} + \widetilde{\chi} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

From the law of cosines one can derive that $\widetilde{\psi} = \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} - 2\tilde{c}$ and $\widetilde{\chi} = \tilde{b} + \tilde{c} - 2\tilde{a}$, then (3.7) gives

$$\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{c} - \tilde{b}. \quad (3.8)$$

Terms $O(\varepsilon\delta)$: These terms do not vanish and can be easily calculated. However, (as one can see below) they do not participate in the leading terms of average motion that appear in the order $O(\varepsilon^2)$.

Terms $O(\varepsilon^2)$: Eqns.(2.16),(2.17) give

$$3\overline{\mathbf{X}}_s = \delta \langle \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_\tau^{(12)} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_\tau + \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_\tau^{(13)} \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_\tau + \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_\tau^{(23)} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_\tau \rangle \quad (3.9)$$

$$\left[\bar{\mathbf{a}} \times \bar{\mathbf{a}}_s + \bar{\mathbf{b}} \times \bar{\mathbf{b}}_s + \bar{\mathbf{c}} \times \bar{\mathbf{c}}_s \right]_\perp = \left\langle \left[\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_\tau + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_\tau + \tilde{\mathbf{c}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_\tau \right]_\perp \right\rangle \quad (3.10)$$

From these equations one can already see that the leading terms $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{0s} = O(\delta)$ and $\bar{\theta}_{0s} = O(1)$. In further calculations all tilde-functions, say,

$$\widetilde{S}_{ik\tau}^{(12)} = -(\delta_{ik} + 3\bar{l}_i\bar{l}_k)\tilde{l}_\tau + \bar{l}_i\tilde{l}_{k\tau} + \bar{l}_k\tilde{l}_{i\tau}, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (3.11)$$

should be expressed in terms of \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{c} with the use of (2.1),(2.2). Then straightforward

but rather cumbersome transformations of (3.9) yield

$$\begin{aligned} 3\overline{\mathbf{X}}_s/\delta &= \langle \tilde{a}\tilde{b}_\tau \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{c}}' + \langle \tilde{c}\tilde{a}_\tau \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{b}}' + \langle \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_\tau \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{a}}' \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \langle \tilde{a}\tilde{b}_\tau - \tilde{c}\tilde{a}_\tau \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \langle 2\tilde{b}\tilde{c}_\tau - \tilde{a}\tilde{b}_\tau - \tilde{c}\tilde{a}_\tau \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{a}}' \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\overline{\theta}_s = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \langle \tilde{a}\tilde{c}_\tau + \tilde{b}\tilde{c}_\tau + \tilde{c}_\tau \tilde{b} \rangle \quad (3.13)$$

where unit vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'$, $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}'$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}'$ correspond to θ -derivatives of $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$, say,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}' = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \bar{\theta} \\ \cos \bar{\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{2}(-\tilde{\mathbf{a}} + \sqrt{3}\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{b}}' = \frac{1}{2}(-\sqrt{3}\tilde{\mathbf{a}} - \tilde{\mathbf{a}}'), \quad \text{etc.}$$

One can check that expressions (3.12),(3.13) possess a relabeling invariance $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \mapsto (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a})$, etc. The final expressions are

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}}_s = \frac{\delta}{9}(A\tilde{\mathbf{a}} + B\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'), \quad \overline{\theta}_s = D; \quad (3.14)$$

$$A \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \langle (\tilde{l} + \tilde{k}) \tilde{m}_\tau \rangle, \quad B \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle 2\tilde{k}\tilde{l}_\tau - \tilde{l}\tilde{m}_\tau - \tilde{m}\tilde{k}_\tau \rangle \quad (3.15)$$

$$D \equiv -\frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} \langle \tilde{l}\tilde{m}_\tau + \tilde{k}\tilde{l}_\tau + \tilde{m}\tilde{k}_\tau \rangle \quad (3.16)$$

with constants A , A' , and Ω . It is also useful to exclude m by introducing the angle φ between the sides k and l . The law of cosines gives $\tilde{m} = (\tilde{k} + \tilde{l} + \sqrt{3}\tilde{\varphi}/2)/2$; its substitution to (3.15),(3.16) yields

$$A \equiv \frac{3}{8} \langle (\tilde{l} + \tilde{k}) \tilde{\varphi}_\tau \rangle, \quad B \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle -3\tilde{k}\tilde{l}_\tau + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(\tilde{l} - \tilde{k})\tilde{\varphi}_\tau \rangle, \quad D \equiv -\frac{1}{3} \langle (\tilde{l} - \tilde{k})\tilde{\varphi}_\tau \rangle \quad (3.17)$$

Both equations (3.14) can be immediately integrated as

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}} - X_0 = \frac{\delta}{9D}(A \sin \bar{\theta} + B \cos \bar{\theta}), \quad \overline{\theta} - \theta_0 = \frac{\delta}{9D}(-A \cos \bar{\theta} + B \sin \bar{\theta}) \quad (3.18)$$

$$\bar{\theta} = Ds + \theta_0$$

with constants of integration X_0 , Y_0 , and θ_0 . Finally, we should recall that s is slow time variable, hence physical translational velocity and angular velocity are

$$\overline{\mathbf{V}} \equiv \overline{\mathbf{X}}_t = \frac{\delta \varepsilon^2}{9}(A\tilde{\mathbf{a}} + B\tilde{\mathbf{a}}'), \quad \Omega \equiv \overline{\theta}_t = \varepsilon^2 D \quad (3.19)$$

For dimensional velocities $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ and Ω one has to add multipliers $L\omega$ and ω correspondingly (see (2.5)). It is noticeable that $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ and Ω have different amplitudes: $\overline{\mathbf{V}} = O(\delta\varepsilon^2)$, but $\Omega = O(\varepsilon^2)$. It corresponds to an important physical fact: translational self-propulsion of a Δ -robot takes place due to hydrodynamic interactions between spheres, while rotational self-propulsion does exist without taking such interactions into account. Eqns.(3.18) show that the Δ -robot rotates with constant angular velocity Ω around its own centroid and simultaneously moves with the same angular velocity Ω in a circular orbit of radius $\delta\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}/9\Omega$ and centered at the point (X_0, Y_0) , which can be defined from the initial data.

4. Examples of rectilinear self-propulsion

The condition for motion (3.14) to be purely translational is $\Omega = 0$; it could be really useful for practical applications. The related absolute value of translational velocity is

$$|\overline{\mathbf{V}}| = \delta\varepsilon^2\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}/9 \quad (4.1)$$

Hence, the equation

$$\langle \tilde{l}\tilde{m}_\tau + \tilde{k}\tilde{l}_\tau + \tilde{m}\tilde{k}_\tau \rangle = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \langle (\tilde{l} - \tilde{k})\tilde{\varphi}_\tau \rangle = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

provides options for purely translational (rectilinear) motion. The simplest cases correspond to isosceles oscillations, when $\tilde{k} = \tilde{l} \neq \tilde{m}$ or $\tilde{k} = \tilde{m} \neq \tilde{l}$ or $\tilde{l} = \tilde{m} \neq \tilde{k}$. For example, $\tilde{k} = \tilde{l} \neq \tilde{m}$ gives

$$\Omega = 0, \quad A = \sqrt{3}\langle \tilde{k}\tilde{m}_\tau \rangle = 3\langle \tilde{l}\tilde{\varphi}_\tau \rangle/4, \quad B = 0$$

which coincides with the translational self-swimming of a V -robot by Vladimirov (2012c). However, in Vladimirov (2012c) the mean angle between the arms of a V -robot is arbitrary, while everywhere in this paper it is $\pi/3$. Hence, the results of Vladimirov (2012c) are complementary to the study done in this paper. It is also worth to emphasise that

variables and the method of calculation in Vladimirov (2012c) are different, hence the obtaining of the same result strongly suggests that the calculations of this paper do not contain mistakes.

Another case of rectilinear motion is $\varphi = 0$, which corresponds to a fixed angle between the sides k and l , while $\tilde{k} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{l} \neq 0$. From (3.17) one can see that in this case $A = 0$, $D = 0$, and $B = 3\langle \tilde{l} \tilde{k}_\tau \rangle / 2$. It gives velocity $\mathbf{V} = \delta \varepsilon^2 \langle \tilde{l} \tilde{k}_\tau \rangle \hat{\mathbf{a}}' / 6$, which shows that the \triangle -robot is not rotating but propagating in direction $\overline{\mathbf{m}}$. This example can be seen as generalization of the micro-swimmer by Golestanian & Ajdari (2008) for a fixed angle $\pi/3$ between the arms. Similarly, the examples of pure rotation (without translation) can be build.

5. Discussion

(i) We have derived that for any oscillations of three sides of the considered \triangle -robot the averaged paths of each sphere represent circles with centers that move in circles (3.18). That is, the paths of each sphere are epicycloids. Following other examples in fluid dynamics (see Bennett (2006)) one can call these paths *Ptolemaic solutions*.

(ii) The magnitude $O(\varepsilon^2 \delta)$ of translational velocity in terms of small parameters (3.19),(4.1) is the same as the result by Golestanian & Ajdari (2008), Golestanian & Ajdari (2009), Vladimirov (2012b) for linear micro-robots. At the same time, our choice of slow time $s = \varepsilon^2 t$ (3.2) agrees with classical studies of self-propulsion for low Reynolds numbers, see Taylor (1951), Blake (1971), Childress (1981), as well as the geometric studies of Shapere & Wilczek (1989).

(iii) The magnitude $O(\varepsilon^2)$ for angular velocity (3.19) represents a novel result. It corresponds to an important physical fact: rotational self-propulsion does exist without

taking hydrodynamic interactions between spheres into account; it caused by standard Stokes' drag force in an infinite fluid and the reactions of constraints.

(iv) We have built an asymptotic procedure with two small parameters: $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Such a setting usually requires the consideration of different asymptotic paths on the plane (ε, δ) when, say $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$. In our case we can avoid it, since δ does not appear separately, but only in combinations such as $\varepsilon^2\delta$.

(v) The mathematical justification of the presented results can be performed by the estimation of an error in the original equation, as in Vladimirov (2010), Vladimirov (2011). It is also possible to derive the higher approximations of $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ and Ω , as it has been done by Vladimirov (2010), Vladimirov (2011) for different cases. These approximations can be useful to study the cases when self-propulsion in the main order vanishes.

(vi) In order to compare velocities of micro-robots and micro-organisms we use dimensional variables, where $\overline{\mathbf{V}} \sim \omega L \varepsilon^2 \delta$; it shows that a Δ -robot can move itself with the speed about 10% of its own size per second (we have taken $\varepsilon = \delta = 0.2$ and $\omega = 30s^{-1}$; the value of ω can be found in Pedley & Kessler (1987), Vladimirov *et.al.* (2004), Pedley (2009), Polin *et.al.* (2009). From these papers we also can see that this estimation of self-propulsion velocity is about 10 times lower than a similar value for natural micro-swimmers.

The author is grateful to Profs. M. Bees, A. Gilbert, K.I. Ilin, and J. Pitchford for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- ALEXANDER, G. P., POOLEY, C. M., AND YEOMANS, J.M. 2009 Hydrodynamics of linked sphere model swimmers. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, **21**, 204108.

- ALOUGES, F., DESIMONE, A., AND LEFEBVRE, A. 2008 Optimal strokes for low Reynolds number swimmers: an example. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **18**, 277-302.
- AVRON, J.E., KENNETH, O., AND OAKNIN, D.H. 2005 Pushmepullyou: an efficient micro-swimmer. *New J. of Physics*, **7**, 234.
- BECKER, D. J., KOELHER, C. M., RYDER, AND STONE, J.M. 2003 On self-propulsion of micro-machines at low Reynolds number: Purcell's three-link swimmer. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **490**, 15-35.
- BENNETT, A. 2006 *Lagrangian fluid dynamics*. Cambridge, CUP.
- BLAKE, J. R. 1971 Infinite models for ciliary propulsion. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **49**, 209-227.
- CHANG, S.T., PAUNOV, V.N., PETSEV, D.N., AND ORLIN, D.V. 2007 Remotely powered self-propelling particles and micropumps based on miniature diodes. *Nature Materials*, **6**, 235-240.
- CHILDRESS, S. 1981 *Mechanics of swimming and flying*. Cambridge, CUP.
- DREYFUS, R., BAUDRY, J., ROPER, M.L., FERMIGIER, M., STONE, H.A. AND BIBETTE, J. 2005 Microscopic artificial swimmers. *Nature*, **437**, 6, 862-865.
- EARL, D. J., POOLEY, C. M., RYDER, J.F., BREDBERG, I. AND YEOMANS, J.M. 2007 Modelling microscopic swimmers at low Reynolds number. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **126**, 064703.
- GILBERT, A. D., OGRIN, F. Y., PETROV, P.G., AND WIMLOVE, C.P. 2010 Theory of ferromagnetic microswimmers. *Q.Jl Mech. Appl. Math.*, **64**, 3, 239-263.
- GOLESTANIAN, R. AND AJDARI, A. 2008 Analytic results for the three-sphere swimmer at low Reynolds number. *Phys.Rev.E*, **77**, 036308.
- GOLESTANIAN, R. AND AJDARI, A. 2009 Stochastic low Reynolds number swimmers. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, **21**, 204104.
- JOHNSON, R.A. 2007 *Advanced Euclidean Geometry*. Dover.
- LANDAU, L.D. AND LIFSHITZ, E.M. 1959 *Fluid Mechanics*. Oxford, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- LAMB, H. 1932 *Hydrodynamics*. Sixth edition, Cambridge, CUP.
- LAUGA, E. 2011 Life around the scallop theorem. *Soft Matter*, **7**, 3060-3065.
- LEONI, M., KOTAR, J., BASSETTI, B., CICUTA, P. AND LAGOMARSINO, M.C. 2009 A basic swimmer at low Reynolds number. *Soft Matter*, **5**, 472-476.

- MOFFATT, H. K. 1996 *Dynamique des Fluides, Tome 1, Microhydrodynamics*. Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau.
- NAJAFI, A. AND GOLESTANIAN, R. 2004 Simple swimmer at low Reynolds number: three linked spheres. *Phys.Rev.E*, **69**, 062901.
- PEDLEY, T.J. AND KESSLER, J.O. 1987 The orientation of spheroidal microorganisms swimming in a flow field. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, **B231**, 47-70.
- PEDLEY, T.J. 2009 Biomechanics of aquatic micro-organisms. *New trends in fluid mechanics research*, **1**, 1-6.
- POLIN, M., TUVAL, I., DRESHER, K., GOLLUB, J. P. AND GOLDSTEIN, R.E. 2009 *Chlamydomonas* swims with two gears in a eukaryotic version of run-and-tumble locomotion. *Science*, **325**, 487-490.
- PURCELL, E.M. 1977 Life at low Reynolds number. *Amer. J. of Phys.*, **45**, 1, 3-11.
- ROMANCZUK, P., BÄR, M., EBELING, W., LINDNER, B, AND SCHIMANSKY-GEIER, L. 2012 Active Brownian particles. *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics*, **202**, 1-162.
- SHAPER, A. AND WILCZEK, F. 1989 Efficiencies of self-propulsion at low Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **198**, 587-599.
- TAYLOR, G. I. 1951, Analysis of the swimming of microscopic organisms. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, **A209**, 447-461.
- VLADIMIROV, V.A., WU, M.S., PEDLEY, T.J., DENISSENKO, P.V. AND ZAKHIDOVA S.G. 2004 Measurements of cell velocity distributions in populations of motile algae. *J. Exp. Biol.*, **207**, 1203-1216.
- VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2005 Vibrodynamics of pendulum and submerged solid. *J. of Math. Fluid Mech.* **7**, S397-412.
- VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2008 Viscous flows in a half-space caused by tangential vibrations on its boundary. *Studies in Appl. Math.*, **121**, 4, 337-367.
- VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2010 Admixture and drift in oscillating fluid flows. E-print: *ArXiv*: 1009.4085v1, (physics,flu-dyn).
- VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2011 Theory of non-degenerate oscillatory flows. E-print: *ArXiv*: 1110.3633v2, (physics,flu-dyn).

VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2012a Magnetohydrodynamic drift equations: from Langmuir circulations to magnetohydrodynamic dynamo? *J. Fluid Mech.* **698**, 51-61.

VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2012b Self-propulsion velocity of N -sphere micro-robot. Accepted to *J. of Fluid Mech.*; E-print: *ArXiv*: 1206.0890v1 and 1209.0171v1, (physics,flu-dyn).

VLADIMIROV, V.A. 2012c Self-propulsion of V-shape micro-robot. E-print: *ArXiv*: 1209.2835v1 (physics,flu-dyn).