

# Gene silencing and large-scale domain structure of the *E. coli* genome

Mina Zarei,<sup>abc</sup> Bianca Sclavi,<sup>d</sup> and Marco Cosentino Lagomarsino<sup>\*abe</sup>

## Abstract

The H-NS chromosome-organizing protein in *E. coli* can stabilize genomic DNA loops, and form oligomeric structures connected to repression of gene expression. Motivated by the link between chromosome organization, protein binding and gene expression, we analyzed publicly available genomic data sets of various origins, from genome-wide protein binding profiles to evolutionary information, exploring the connections between chromosomal organization, gene-silencing, pseudo-gene localization and horizontal gene transfer. We report the existence of transcriptionally silent contiguous areas corresponding to large regions of H-NS protein binding along the genome, their position indicates a possible relationship with the known large-scale features of chromosome organization.

## 1 Introduction

Bacterial chromosomes are several orders of magnitude longer than their cells. Therefore, a chromosome must be tightly packed to fit into the cell volume, while simultaneously being efficiently transcribed and replicated. The molecular mechanisms responsible for the coordination of nucleoid organization and gene expression remain to be fully described<sup>4</sup>. Bacterial DNA is condensed in a compact DNA-protein complex called “nucleoid”, largely dependent on a set of shaping factors including the degree of supercoiling and the binding of so-called “nucleoid associated proteins” (NAPs) such as Fis, H-NS, IHF, HU and Dps<sup>7,13</sup>. The organization of the nucleoid presents ordered structures at different scales: from DNA supercoiled loops of an approximate length of 10 kb<sup>37</sup> to larger scale structures organizing the circular DNA polymer in four macrodomains which range from 0.6 to 1 Mb in

---

<sup>a</sup> *Génophysique / Genomic Physics Group, UMR 7238 CNRS “Microorganism Genomics”, Paris, France. E-mail: Marco.Cosentino-Lagomarsino@upmc.fr*

<sup>b</sup> *University Pierre et Marie Curie, 15 rue de l’École de Médecine, 75006, Paris, France.*

<sup>c</sup> *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy.*

<sup>d</sup> *LBPA, UMR 8113 du CNRS, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Cachan, 61 Avenue du Président Wilson, 94235 CACHAN, France.*

<sup>e</sup> *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino, via P. Giuria 1, Torino Italy.*

length. Macrodomains were initially detected by analyzing the recombination efficiency between pairs of sites scattered along the chromosome and subsequently they were observed to spatially demix in the cell. In addition to macrodomains, two less structured regions exist, the function and organization of which are not completely understood<sup>9,34,44,45</sup>. Some NAPs present a macrodomain-specific distribution of binding sites, suggesting their implication in the definition of macrodomains<sup>9,34</sup>. The physical organization of the bacterial chromosome can also affect the accessibility and activity of large sets of genes, thus ultimately regulating their expression, thereby contributing to the adaptation to changes in environmental conditions and stresses<sup>6,13,42</sup>.

There is thus a link between chromosome organization and gene expression or silencing, which is possibly subject to natural selection. A particularly interesting NAP is the H-NS protein, which can stabilize DNA loops created by supercoiling<sup>10,13</sup>. This protein preferentially binds to curved and AT-rich DNA<sup>12</sup>, and is also reported to form oligomeric nucleoprotein complexes that can act to form stable bridges between distant regions of the chromosome<sup>11,31</sup>. H-NS is generally believed to play a role as a global transcriptional silencer. Its binding affects the transcription of specific genomic contiguous areas under nucleoid perturbations affecting the global levels of supercoiling<sup>32,39</sup>. Intriguingly, this protein is also implicated in selectively silencing the transcription of horizontally acquired, AT-rich genes, including elements of pathogenicity islands in enterobacteria<sup>15,35,36</sup>. A recent high-resolution imaging study indicates that, in contrast with other NAPs, H-NS can form compact subcellular foci bridging together distant chromosomal loci. It was shown that this process is dependent on oligomerization of DNA-bound H-NS and that deleting H-NS leads to extensive chromosome restructuring<sup>47</sup>. In other words, there seems to be a connection between the mechanisms that cells have evolved to efficiently integrate and regulate the expression of newly acquired DNA into the genome and the physical organization of the chromosome.

Given the complexity of the interplay and the feedback between chromosome organization, gene expression regulation and evolution, a statistical analysis at multiple scales is required to integrate data coming from different high- and low-throughput experiments. This work analyses multiple publicly available genomic data sets of different origin, ranging from genome-wide protein binding profiles to evolutionary information, exploring the connections between chromosomal organization, gene-silencing, pseudo-gene localization and the evolutionary dynamics of horizontal gene

transfer.

We report the existence of transcriptionally silent contiguous areas corresponding to regions of H-NS binding along the genome. Notably, these areas are typically found near the macrodomain boundaries and are enriched by pseudo-genes and horizontally transferred genes, pointing to links between chromosome organization and transcriptional silencing for functional and evolutionary reasons. We also report intriguing changes in the size of the contiguous areas bound by H-NS proximal to the terminus region as cells progress through the exponential growth phase into stationary phase.

## 2 Materials and Methods

**Reference genome.** The reference genome considered in this work was built as the union of the sets of *E. coli* K-12 annotated genes (including pseudo-genes and phantom genes) from the RegulonDB<sup>19</sup> and the EcoGene<sup>38</sup> databases. This gene set contains 4667 genes and includes all the genes from smaller data sets that were used in our analyses.

**Pseudo-genes, prophages and horizontal gene transfers data.** We used a list of 214 pseudo-genes retrieved from the EcoGene<sup>38</sup> database. In order to apply the linear aggregation algorithm of Scolari *et al.*<sup>39</sup>, which tests the clustering against randomized gene lists, we included the pseudo-gene list in our reference genome. The list of prophages “NRPS” (non-redundant prophage set), contains 261 genes and was retrieved from the prophage database<sup>43</sup>. The list of horizontally transferred genes is retrieved from the Horizontal Gene Transfer DataBase (HGT-DB)<sup>20</sup>. In the HGT-DB database, genes are considered to be putative horizontally transferred genes if they have extraneous G+C content and codon usage, they are over 300 bp long and they do not deviate from the average amino-acid composition. We also used a list of horizontally transferred genes from Lercher and Pal<sup>28</sup>, where transfer events were detected based on phylogenetic gene- and species-tree information, mapping gene gain and loss events onto a phylogenetic species tree. This approach has the desired effect of ignoring xenologous replacements of genes with identical functions and properties.

**H-NS extended binding regions and extended protein occupancy data.** We considered genome-wide H-NS binding data obtained by chromatin immunoprecipitation combined with microarray (ChIP-chip) or sequencing (ChIP-Seq) from refs.<sup>21,25,36</sup>. The main analyses were performed on the ChIP-Seq data (E. coli K-12 MG1655) from Kahramanoglou *et al.*<sup>25</sup>, and the other sets were used as comparisons. These experiments for the Kahramanoglou *et al.* dataset were performed at multiple time-points of a growth curve in rich media. The data were then used by the authors to detect the presence of putative H-NS binding sites, and to define extended protein binding regions as statistically significant aggregates of binding sites separated by less than 200bp. We considered the sets of genes located in extended H-NS binding regions in different growth phases.

For comparison, the same procedure was applied to the data from Oshima *et al.*<sup>36</sup>, obtained using a high-density oligonucleotide chip (ChIP-chip analysis). This experiment was performed with the W3110 strain of E. coli K12 grown in LB medium. The H-NS genome-wide binding profile was assessed on exponentially growing cells. The W3110 strain is very similar to the MG1655 strain and in the data analysis the genome coordinates of MG1655 were used.

Extended protein occupancy domains (EPODs) were retrieved from Vora *at al.*<sup>46</sup>. Using a modified large-scale ChIP analysis measuring generic protein occupancy on DNA, this study<sup>46</sup> identified extended ( $> 1$  Kb) protein occupancy domains (EPODs) along the genome coordinate, which presumably play a structuring role for the nucleoid. The authors classified EPODs into two classes, highly expressed (heEPODs) and transcriptionally silent (tsEPODs), using the median expression level across domains. We considered the set of annotated genes located in these domains. The list of genes located in tsEPODs contains 241 genes, and the list of genes located in heEPODs contains 280 genes.

**Large-scale deletion (LD) series.** The information on large-scale non-lethal deletions was retrieved from Hashimoto *et al.*<sup>23</sup>, where large-scale chromosomal deletion mutants of E. coli were constructed to identify a minimal gene set. These experiments include a first series of 163 MD (medium-scale deletions) designed on the basis of a classification of gene essentiality based on the available literature, followed by 75 MD deletions using the E. coli homologous recombination system. Based on these results, Hashimoto *et al.* constructed the long deletion units, termed the LD (large-scale deletion) series.

**Macrodomains and chromosomal sectors.** The location of the chromosomal macrodomains were obtained from Valens *et al.*<sup>45</sup> and considered together with the chromosomal sectors defined by Mathelier and Carbone<sup>33</sup> from the correlation of codon bias indices with the level of gene expression. The exact coordinates used here are presented in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

**Statistical analysis of spatial clusters.** In order to score the aggregation of gene sets along the genome with a statistical method, we used the algorithm of Scolari *et al.*<sup>39,40</sup>. This method considers the density of genes at different scales (bin-sizes) on the genome, and compares empirical data with results from random shuffling null models. In order to avoid spurious effects of binning, for each gene list a density histogram is built by using a sliding window with a given bin-size. The resulting plot of the averaged density of genes for every point of the circular chromosome is considered at different observation scales of the genome. Density peaks with a significantly high number of genes are identified by comparing empirical data with 10.000 realizations of a null model. For every bin size, the null model considers the density histogram from a random list of the same length of the empirical one. The number of genes for every bin in the empirical histogram is compared to the distribution of global maxima of the null model, obtaining a P-value for the value of the empirical histogram for each bin. This procedure enables the extraction of a list of statistically significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) bin positions. For each bin-size (or observation scale), clusters are defined as connected intervals containing a significantly high proportion of the genes in the list.

**Sliding window histograms.** The sliding window histogram shows the density of a given gene set along the genome for a given window size, or observation scale. We used window sizes in the range 50-500 Kb. Given a window size, the number of genes falling inside the window is counted, while the window slides along the genome stepwise with a step size of around 500bp (smaller than the typical gene length).

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Coherence of macrodomain structure with H-NS binding regions

H-NS is a nucleoid associated protein and a global repressor of transcription. It is known to silence horizontally transferred genes<sup>35,36</sup>, and contributes to the formation of transcriptionally silent regions<sup>46</sup>. It is also known to bind to specific DNA sequences and structures such as AT-rich and intergenic regions and curved DNA<sup>12,26</sup>. H-NS binding profiles were previously investigated on a genome-wide scale using ChIP-chip and ChIP-Seq<sup>21,25,36</sup>, in particular as a function of growth-phase in Kahramanoglou *et al.*<sup>25</sup>. The latter study identified extended protein binding regions on the genome at different phases of the growth curve by joining all statistically significant binding sites closer than 200 bp. The detected binding signal was similar at all stages of growth. However, the number of H-NS binding regions and genes targeted for binding increased as the cells progressed from exponential to stationary phase (both due to stationary phase-specific binding regions and to extension of mid-exponential phase binding regions). They also found that H-NS binds to significantly longer tracts of DNA than Fis, and that differential gene expression upon deletion of H-NS is associated with the length of a binding region, for example, the operons inside long binding regions display increased differential expression, indicating a greater degree of repression.

We addressed the question of whether these H-NS binding regions are equally long along the genome. Figure 1 shows a sliding-window histogram of the length and the number of H-NS binding regions along the genome (window-size=500 Kb). The number of binding regions increases between mid-exponential phase and "transition to stationary" phase. At the same time, as the cells transition from exponential to stationary phase the total length of H-NS binding regions increases continuously. This increase is specific to a large Ter-proximal region (roughly associated with the Ter macrodomain) and is thus due to a combination of the addition of new regions and the growth of existing ones. The binding regions used in this analysis were obtained by comparing the number of reads mapped to each binding region (normalized by the total number of reads obtained for that sample) with the corresponding value from the Mock-IP using a binomial test<sup>25</sup>. Note that the Mock-IP data (which are used to correct for gene dosage and other spurious effects) were available only for mid-exponential phase. However, gene dosage should be more



balanced along the genome coordinate in stationary phase. In order to have an additional control, we also considered data which were not corrected for the Mock-IP (Supplementary Figure 1). The qualitative pattern of the total length and number of binding regions before and after the Mock-IP control does not change significantly in the Ter-proximal region. The change in this case concerns mainly a large region around Ori in the early-exponential and mid-exponential data sets. We can thus exclude that the observed increase of length and number of H-NS binding regions around Ter is only an effect of gene dosage difference between the data and the Mock-IP.

Since H-NS binds to large regions along the genome, we wanted to determine whether the genes located inside of these H-NS binding regions were found in clusters along the genome. We applied the linear aggregation algorithm previously described<sup>39</sup>(see methods section), for genes located in the H-NS binding regions found by Kahramanoglou *et al.*<sup>25</sup>. Kahramanoglou *et al.* identified H-NS binding regions for cells at different growth phases, from early exponential to stationary phase. The two panels on the right of Figure 2 and Supplementary Tables 3 and 4, show the result of the linear aggregation analysis for genes located in the H-NS binding regions in early exponential and stationary phase. One can see that the genes located in the H-NS binding regions in early exponential phase are clustered close to the macrodomain boundaries and that this cluster pattern is preserved as the cells go from early exponential to stationary phase (Figures 2 and Supplementary Figure 2). In stationary phase however, new clusters appear inside the Ter macrodomain. We also performed linear aggregation analysis on the H-NS binding targets obtained by Oshima *et al.*<sup>36</sup>. The clusters found using data obtained by Oshima *et al.* show similar clusters along the genome (Supplementary Figure 3). While the macrodomain organization of the bacterial genome was defined from experiments carried out in exponential phase<sup>45</sup>, the large scale genome organization in stationary phase remains to be defined. These results suggest that while the boundaries of most macrodomains are maintained, the Ter macrodomain becomes more silenced, and possibly more organized, in part by H-NS binding.

### 3.2 Horizontally acquired genes are clustered in the same genomic areas as H-NS binding regions

The analysis presented so far points to coherent discrete regions along the genome which are covered by H-NS binding regions and correlate with the macrodomain boundaries. This suggests a possible link between a structural, nucleoid-shaping role of these regions, and a functional role of transcriptional silencing.

It is well known that the mechanisms that silence horizontally acquired genes include the binding of H-NS<sup>35,36</sup>. This is due in part to the fact that transferred genes tend to be AT rich and that H-NS has a higher affinity for this kind of DNA. We thus searched for a relation between the position of the H-NS clusters and the genes that are acquired by horizontal transfer along the genome.

To this end, we performed the linear aggregation analysis on the list of horizontally transferred genes available from HGT-DB<sup>20</sup>. Several methods have been used to detect horizontally transferred genes based either on nucleotide composition or on the failure to find a similar gene in closely related species<sup>16</sup>. The genes in the HGT-DB are found by the former method. The results of our analysis show that horizontally transferred genes are significantly clustered along the *E. coli* genome (see the second panel of Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 5). The left panel of Figure 2 shows a sliding window histogram of the AT percentage along the genome. In agreement with the method used for the HGT-DB one can see that AT content along the genome correlates with the horizontal gene transfer (HGT) clusters<sup>26</sup>. As expected, the HGT clusters also have similar localization with the clusters for the genes located in H-NS binding regions. In addition, some of these clusters also correspond to the location of non-lethal large-scale deletions<sup>23</sup>.

The most accurate methods of HGT identification rely on phylogenetic tree information because it allows one to estimate the relative date of the transfers. The study of Lercher and Pal<sup>28</sup> defined a list of horizontally transferred genes according to the number of branches that separate *E. coli* K-12 from the node of the tree where the transfer is detected. We divided the list of transferred genes into two groups, "recent" and "old" transfers. In order to produce a sufficiently large data set, we defined horizontally transferred genes with an age less than 5 branches as the recent transfers. With this choice, "recent" transfers correspond to events that occurred before the divergence between *E. coli* and *Salmonella* (about 100MY)<sup>28</sup>. The results of this analysis show a correlation between the distribution of recently transferred



genes and the horizontally transferred genes from the HGT-DB data set, Figure 3. No significant clusters are detected when the whole list of transferred genes defined by the Lercher and Pal phylogenetic analysis is taken independently of the date of transfer.

### **3.3 Genes located in the transcriptionally silent extended protein occupancy domains (tsEPODs) are clustered in discrete genomic regions**

It has been previously found that long H-NS binding regions overlap with tsEPODs and are enriched with horizontally transferred genes<sup>25</sup>. We asked whether there are regions where the genes that overlap with the tsEPOD domains are significantly clustered along the genome coordinate. This analysis revealed multiple significant clusters, as shown in the fourth panel of Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 4. Notably, genes overlapping with heEPODs and tsEPODs are clustered in complementary genomic regions. The clusters of genes overlapping with heEPODs include ribosomal and flagella genes (Supplementary Table 6). Conversely, tsEPODs are known to correspond to binding sites of nucleoid-related proteins, and specifically of those of the H-NS protein<sup>36,46</sup>, and to areas enriched in hypothetical ORFs. These observations are confirmed by the inspection of the tsEPODs gene clusters.

The results shown in Figure 4 show that most of the clusters of genes located in tsEPODs are often correlated with the boundaries of macrodomains<sup>45</sup> and are frequently part of large-scale deletions that do not affect the phenotype<sup>23</sup>. Significant clusters are found near most macrodomain boundaries with the exception of the Ter-Left and Ori-NSR macrodomain boundaries, where a small group of tsEPODs gene clusters are found but not scored as being significant by the algorithm. Inside the Ter macrodomain we also found a weakly significant clustered region correlated with the boundary of the chromosomal sector E defined by Mathelier and Carbone<sup>33</sup>. The only significant cluster of tsEPODs genes that does not lie close to a macrodomain or chromosomal sector boundary is found at 0.245 Mb and contains CP4-6 prophage-like genes<sup>43</sup>.

### **3.4 Pseudo-genes are clustered along the genome, and follow similar aggregation patterns as tsEPOD genes**

The positions and density of pseudo-genes were also included in the analysis. Pseudo-genes have previously been reported to form from the inactivation of horizontally transferred genes<sup>30</sup>. Having established that tsEPODs clusters appear to associate with macrodomain boundaries, we proceeded to evaluate if similar global patterns could be reported for pseudo-genes in general, which are, by definition, transcriptionally silent.

The pseudo-gene list that we retrieved from the EcoGene database<sup>38</sup> contains 214 pseudo-genes. The list also includes interrupted genes of the genome. The second panel of Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 7 show that pseudo-genes are clustered along the genome and that the positions of these clusters often corresponds well with the aggregation found for tsEPODs genes and with macrodomain and/or sector chromosomal structure<sup>33,45</sup>. Note that the two gene sets have a significant intersection but are naturally quite different from each other, as tsEPODs contain more genes (Supplementary Tables 8 and 9).

Two significant clusters are found away from macrodomain boundaries, the first, located around 0.27 Mb, overlaps with a corresponding cluster of tsEPOD CP4-6 prophage-like genes mentioned above, and with a large-scale deletion with no phenotype<sup>23</sup>. The second one (around 4.5 Mb) also corresponds to a large-scale non-lethal deletion. We speculate that this could be compatible with the Ori macrodomain limit on the right replicore, since intermediate coordinates were not probed by Valens *et al.*<sup>45</sup>. The third panel of Figure 4 shows the linear aggregation of horizontally transferred genes as plotted in Figure 2. One can see that all of the clusters from these different datasets correlate with each other.

### **3.5 A non-uniform length distribution of non-annotated genomic regions points to additional links between gene silencing and chromosome domain structure.**

The data set considered in our analysis as the reference genome includes genes, pseudo-genes and phantom genes (regions of DNA that previously identified as genes, which are not currently thought to be functional genes). Despite this, the regions between two consecutive elements (genes, phantom genes, or pseudo-genes) might still contain silenced elements that are not detected. In order to quantify the

amount of DNA that is not annotated in one of the above categories, we measured the inter-element distances and we calculated their total length along the genome. The left panel of Figure 4 shows the sliding-window histogram of total lengths of these non-annotated regions along the genome, with window size 50 Kb. Near the HGT clusters, and close to macrodomain boundaries, the average length of the non-annotated sequences is longer. Therefore, the clusters appear to contain less genetic information.

### 3.6 Coherent aggregation of H-NS binding regions and silent genes with known chromosomal compartments

Table 1 compares the significant clusters found using different data sets. The listed regions were obtained by merging all the overlapping clusters found using different datasets at window-size  $\approx 36$  kb (bin-size=128). If a cluster is found in a specific dataset it is labeled by a tick mark. Supplementary Table 10 shows the overlap between significant clusters found using different data sets and large non-lethal deletions or prophages. We can see that many of the clusters overlap with prophages and non-lethal deletions. Figure 5 compares the significant clusters found using the different data sets. These clusters were found by analyzing different datasets with a window-size of  $\approx 36$  kb (bin size = 128). Figure 5 also includes clusters detected at bins 64 or 256, but not 128. For example, the cluster found in the boundary between Right and Ter macrodomains using pseudo-genes data set refers to 256 bin.

Table 2 summarizes the comparison between cluster coordinates and the positions of macrodomain or sector boundaries, showing the shortest distance between one edge of a cluster to the closest macrodomain or chromosomal sector boundary in Mb, and the normalized distance, which we defined as the same quantity rescaled by the length of a macrodomain or sector where the cluster is found. The position of the clusters often correlates well with the closest macrodomain boundaries. In order to verify whether there is a significant correlation between these clusters and the boundaries of the macrodomains, we considered randomly distributed non-overlapping clusters with the same number (14) and sizes of the clusters reported in Table 2. Comparing the average distance between the boundaries of the random clusters and the closest macrodomains with the same measurement for the empirical clusters, we found a P-value of 0.03.

Supplementary Tables 8 and 9 show the intersection between different gene sets

used in this study, and the result of a hypergeometric test assessing the statistical significance of the intersection. These data show that while the overlap of the gene sets is large, the sets are far from being equal, and thus the observed spatial coherence in their aggregation is *a priori* not trivial.

We carried out systematic hypergeometric testing of enrichment for MultiFun<sup>41</sup> functional categories in the lists of functional genes located in each cluster and in the list of genes located in all clusters. In the MultiFun data set 3382 out of 4667 genes have at least one annotation; within the clusters 757 out of 1075 genes have a MultiFun annotation. The results are reported in Table 3 and Supplementary Table 11, which summarize the annotations with P-value < 0.01. Table 3 also reports the number of distinct operons in the list, useful in order to avoid considering lists composed of only one or two operons as significant. The genes located in the clusters appear to be involved in membrane synthesis, pilus synthesis, anaerobic respiration, lipopolysaccharide synthesis, phosphorous metabolism, phage related functions, and protein folding. We did not observe a significant difference when the clusters function was correlated with its distance from the macrodomains boundaries (Supplementary Table 11). Not surprisingly, most of the clusters are enriched by prophage related functions. The clusters with coordinates 3.6-4.3 Mb show enrichment in the terms membrane, surface antigens, lipopolysaccharide, carbon compounds, and anaerobic respiration.

Finally we wanted to test whether gene expression levels within the clusters were significantly different from the average of the *E. coli* transcriptome. To this end, we calculated gene expression levels within the clusters reported in Table 1, using microarray data for exponentially growing cells<sup>1</sup> (Supplementary Figure 5). We found that most clusters have lower mean expression than the genomic average, and that some of the clusters overlapping with macrodomain boundaries have slightly higher mean expression. We examined the genes responsible for this higher expression levels (Supplementary Figure 5). The cluster with coordinates 3.765-3.831 Mb is expressed at a higher level than the average expression level of the genome. There are two genes coding for ribosomal proteins rpmB and rpmG (located at 3.81 Mb) inside of this cluster, which increase the average expression of the cluster. In the cluster with coordinates 0.537-0.609 Mb there are two genes coding for outer membrane proteins, ompt and nmpC, which are highly transcribed according to the *E. coli* K-12 expression data we used (note however that nmpC has been previously reported to be silent within *E. coli* K-12<sup>24</sup>).

## 4 Discussion

In this work we have shown that horizontally transferred genes, pseudo-genes, genes in tsEPODs and H-NS binding regions are clustered in common regions along the genome that often lay close to - or overlap with - macrodomain boundaries or chromosomal sectors as defined by changes in recombination rates, cellular location and codon usage<sup>33,45</sup>. We found that the transcriptionally silent Extended Protein Occupancy Domains (tsEPODs) and the density of H-NS binding along the genome<sup>25,46</sup> show previously uncharacterized properties linking genome evolution and organization. These areas form linear clusters along the genome coordinate that are enriched in pseudo-genes and horizontally transferred genes and also contain a higher proportion of intergenic sequences. It was previously known that H-NS mediates the silencing of horizontally acquired genes in bacteria<sup>35</sup> and that these H-NS binding regions correlate with tsEPODs<sup>25</sup>, meaning that presumably most of these extended silenced region are under the regulatory control of H-NS nucleoprotein complexes. Horizontally transferred sequences are more AT-rich than the rest of the genome. H-NS has been shown to tightly bind to AT-rich sequences, possibly because of their high intrinsic curvature<sup>12,26</sup>. The positions and density of pseudo-genes were also included in the analysis. Pseudo-genes have already been reported to be connected to horizontally transferred genes, since a large fraction of prokaryotic pseudo-genes arise from partial genes acquired by horizontal transfer events<sup>30</sup>.

A more surprising fact is that often these clusters appear to be coherent with the boundaries of macrodomains, and thus to be related to the physical organization of the nucleoid. Two different but non exclusive mechanisms can account for the physical origin of macrodomains. In the first, some organizing factors can bind to DNA and create boundaries that physically separate the macrodomains. In the second, the presence of specific determinants within the macrodomains can cause their organization<sup>4</sup>. According to Valens and coworkers, the second scenario is more likely to apply to the *E. coli* chromosome<sup>45</sup>. This is supported by the macrodomain-specific distribution of binding of the SeqA, SlmA and MatP proteins found by genomics methods. It has also been shown experimentally that the structuring of the Ter macrodomain relies on the interaction of the MatP protein with a 13-bp motif called matS found in multiple copies along the Ter macrodomain. Analogously SlmA and SeqA bind all along the genome, except for the Ter macrodomain<sup>9,34</sup>. By contrast, an additional site-specific system appears to isolate the Ter macrodomain

from other parts of the chromosome. This system is constituted by two similar 12-bp sequences flanking the Ter macrodomain and one protein that is required to isolate the Ter macrodomain<sup>44</sup>. The results obtained in this work support complementary role of the first mechanism of nucleoid organization. The positions of the clusters identified here show extensive overlap with most macrodomain boundaries, thus suggesting that they may contribute to the definition of the macrodomains by acting as a kind of insulators to limit the local structuring of the genome. We can thus propose that silencing of gene expression by H-NS is not the only result of the formation of these clusters. Evidence in support of this proposal comes from a study aiming at defining a minimal genome. In this study it has been shown that large scale deletions of non-essential genes result in important changes in both nucleoid structure and in the morphological properties of the cell, such as cell length and width<sup>23</sup>. Not surprisingly, we found that most of these large-scale non-lethal deletions correlate well with the clusters found here, basically composed of pseudo-genes and horizontally transferred genes. Thus deleting the clusters could cause changes in macrodomain organization. We are currently testing this hypothesis which is compatible with Wang *et al.* result on the H-NS mutants.

We now turn to the localization of horizontally transferred genes. According to the current picture, there should be no or little negative selection against an insertion within a transcriptionally silenced region. In addition, occasionally the insertion of the novel sequence may also bring an advantage by allowing for the development a novel function to the cell in the form of a new protein<sup>27</sup>. The improved structural organization of the nucleoid due to the presence of these H-NS bound clusters may bring an additional advantage that may contribute to their positive selection. Finally, one may speculate that these prokaryotic clusters might play an analogous role as (constitutive) heterocromatin in eukaryotes<sup>14</sup>.

Together, this evidence suggests that the evolutionary fate of horizontally transferred genes is initially bound to specific discrete structural regions of the nucleoid, which are silenced by a nucleoprotein complex and might also become “spacers” for macrodomains. This might also explain the results obtained by the analysis of recently transferred genes. It has been shown that transferred genes become more GC-rich as they become integrated with the cellular regulatory and protein-protein interaction network<sup>28</sup>. Therefore as a function of time these genes would ”move out” of the AT-rich and silenced clusters.

The analysis of the expression level of the genes in these clusters shows that most



of them are expressed at a level which is significantly lower than the average for the *E. coli* transcriptome (Supplementary Figure 5). The analysis of the functional annotations of the genes in the clusters indicates an enrichment for genes involved in membrane synthesis, pilus synthesis, anaerobic respiration, lipopolysaccharide synthesis, phosphorous metabolism and phage related functions. These are genes whose products are located in cytoplasm, periplasmic space and inner membrane. In some cases, this enrichment is due to the presence of large operons such as the lipid A-core biosynthesis operon in the cluster between the Ori and NSL macrodomains. It may be possible that the genes in these clusters are part of the genes induced in response to membrane stress. Finally, the enrichment of the clusters (reported in Table 1) for membrane proteins could in principle cause statistically increased anchoring of the clusters to the membrane through the coupling of transcription-translation and thereby affect nucleoid organisation<sup>29</sup>, but this still remains highly speculative.

A second relevant result of this study is that the total length and number of H-NS binding regions increases as the cells progress through exponential phase into stationary phase and this happens specifically in a large area close to the replication terminus, roughly compatible with the Ter macrodomain. The H-NS protein in the cell can be found in different states, specifically bound to the DNA in either dimer or oligomer form, non-specifically bound to the DNA and not-bound<sup>26,31</sup>. The mechanism leading to large H-NS-bound regions can be rationalized as a nucleation-polymerization process, where nucleoprotein oligomers grow progressively from specifically bound H-NS nuclei<sup>2,25</sup>. This process would be reminiscent of the case of the RecA DNA-repair protein<sup>3</sup>.

The first question to address is what can be the cause of the specificity of this process to the Ter region. Possibly the simplest explanation for this is that this region is generally more AT-rich<sup>26</sup>, which could increase the non-specific binding affinity of H-NS for DNA, and thus locally speed up the oligomerization dynamics, which is set by the one-dimensional diffusion process of non-specifically bound proteins along the DNA.

A second relevant, and possibly more puzzling, question is why an increase in H-NS oligomerization is observed at the terminus region in stationary phase. Let us suppose initially that the cellular concentration of H-NS remains constant over the growth curve<sup>31</sup>. One needs then to determine what is the possible imbalance in chemical equilibria or kinetic factors between this growth stage and the earlier

ones. We can speculate that two different phenomena can contribute to the growth of the H-NS binding regions, the first is due to the change in the amount of DNA per cell and the second is the decrease in DNA replication frequency as the cells enter stationary phase. The first phenomenon creates changes in chemical equilibria, while the second could affect the kinetics of protein oligomerization.

It is well known that, because of overlapping replication rounds, in fast growth conditions the cells have a higher DNA content than in conditions of slow growth<sup>8,22</sup>. Extending this reasoning to the case of stationary phase, we can suppose that a higher amount of genomic DNA per cell would be present in exponential phase with respect to stationary phase, where we suppose each cell has only one copy of the genome. Since typically DNA-binding proteins spend most of their time non-specifically bound to the genome, genomic DNA acts as a reservoir of binding sites<sup>175</sup>. In other words, the genome can act as a background reservoir of protein, much as the volume in a well-stirred system<sup>22</sup>. This reasoning leads to speculate that H-NS would be effectively diluted (with respect to the genomic background) in exponential phase, compared to stationary phase, preventing binding to the AT-rich regions of the terminus macrodomain.

The second possible contribution one can take into consideration is the effect that the replication forks can have in disrupting the binding of H-NS to the DNA and the stabilization of its oligomeric form. One can suppose that H-NS oligomers are disrupted once every cell cycle when the replication forks pass through a given DNA stretch. Knowing the number of H-NS molecules per cell (about 20000), and supposing that most are bound nonspecifically to the DNA, we can estimate the oligomerization speed from a nucleation site from the diffusion time  $\tau = L^2/D$  it takes a nonspecifically bound molecule to travel the average distance  $L$  (which can be estimated as the mean length of H-NS binding regions in early exponential phase of about  $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ ). The diffusion constant  $D$  can be estimated as  $D = (4.6 \pm 1.0) * 10^{-14} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ <sup>18</sup>. With these figures, we calculate that the the estimated rate of formation of an H-NS oligomer after disruption by the replication fork would be of the order of 1 molecule/second. There would be therefore abundant time for the oligomers to repolymerize after disruption, even in exponential phase (a 5Kb oligomer would take about ten minutes). This indicates that H-NS oligomer disruption by replication forks should not be the dominant process leading the the observed growth phase changes in H-NS binding.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank L. Hurst, B. Bassetti, M. Osella for useful discussions, and A. Seshasayee for feedback and help with the Mock-IP data. This work was supported by the International Human Frontier Science Program Organization, grant RGY0069/2009-C.

## References

- [1] T. E. Allen, M. J. Herrgard, M. Liu, Y. Qiu, J. D. Glasner, F. R. Blattner, and B. . Palsson. Genome-scale analysis of the uses of the escherichia coli genome: model-driven analysis of heterogeneous data sets. *J Bacteriol*, 185:6392–6392, 2003.
- [2] C. Badaut, R. Williams, V. Arluison, E. Bouffartigues, B. Robert, H. Buc, and S. Rimsky.
- [3] R. Bar-Ziv, T. Tlusty, and A. Libchaber. Protein-dna computation by stochastic assembly cascade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 99(18):11589–11592, Sep 2002.
- [4] V. G. Benza, B. Bassetti, K. D. Dorfman, V. F. Scolari, K. Bromek, P. Cicuta, and M. Cosentino Lagomarsino. Physical descriptions of the bacterial nucleoid at large scales, and their biological implications. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 75:076602, 2012.
- [5] L. Bintu, N. E. Buchler, H. G. Garcia, U. Gerland, T. Hwa, J. Kondev, and R. Phillips. Transcriptional regulation by the numbers: models. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*, 15(2):116–124, Apr 2005.
- [6] N. Blot, R. Mavathur, M. Geertz, A. Travers, and G. Muskhelishvili. Homeostatic regulation of supercoiling sensitivity coordinates transcription of the bacterial genome. *EMBO Reports*, aop(current):710–715, June 2006.
- [7] D. F. Browning, D. C. Grainger, and S. J. Busby. Effects of nucleoid-associated proteins on bacterial chromosome structure and gene expression. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, 13(6):773–780, 2010.
- [8] S. Cooper and C. E. Helmstetter. Chromosome replication and the division cycle of escherichia coli b/r. *J Mol Biol*, 31(3):519–540, Feb 1968.
- [9] R. T. Dame, O. J. Kalmykova, and D. C. Grainger. Chromosomal macrodomains and associated proteins: Implications for dna organization and replication in gram negative bacteria. *PLoS Genet*, 7(6):e1002123, 06 2011.
- [10] R. T. Dame, M. S. Luijsterburg, E. Krin, P. N. Bertin, R. Wagner, and G. J. Wuite. Dna bridging: a property shared among h-ns-like proteins. *J Bacteriol*, 187(5):1845–8, 2005.
- [11] R. T. Dame, M. C. Noom, and G. J. L. Wuite. Bacterial chromatin organization by h-ns protein unravelled using dual dna manipulation. *Nature*, 444(7117):387–390, Nov 2006.
- [12] R. T. Dame, C. Wyman, and N. Goosen. Structural basis for preferential binding of h-ns to curved dna. *Biochimie*, 83(2):231–234, 2001.
- [13] S. C. Dillon and C. J. Dorman. Bacterial nucleoid-associated proteins, nucleoid structure and gene expression. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(3):185–195, Feb. 2010.
- [14] P. Dimitri, R. Caizzi, E. Giordano, M. Carmela Accardo, G. Lattanzi, and G. Biamonti. Constitutive heterochromatin: a surprising variety of expressed sequences. *Chromosoma*, 118(4):419–435, Aug. 2009.
- [15] C. J. Dorman. H-ns, the genome sentinel. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 5(2):157–161, Feb 2007.

- [16] J. Eisen. Horizontal gene transfer among microbial genomes: new insights from complete genome analysis. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, 10(6):606–611, Dec. 2000.
- [17] J. Elf, G.-W. Li, and X. S. Xie. Probing transcription factor dynamics at the single-molecule level in a living cell. *Science*, 316(5828):1191–1194, May 2007.
- [18] J. Elf, G.-W. Li, and X. S. Xie. Probing transcription factor dynamics at the single-molecule level in a living cell. *Science*, 316(5828):1191–1194, 2007.
- [19] S. Gama-Castro, V. Jimenez-Jacinto, M. Peralta-Gil, A. Santos-Zavaleta, M. I. Penaloza-Spinola, B. Contreras-Moreira, J. Segura-Salazar, L. Muniz-Rascado, I. Martinez-Flores, H. Salgado, C. Bonavides-Martinez, C. Abreu-Goodger, C. Rodriguez-Penagos, J. Miranda-Rios, E. Morett, E. Merino, A. M. Huerta, L. Trevino-Quintanilla, and J. Collado-Vides. RegulonDB (version 6.0): gene regulation model of Escherichia coli K-12 beyond transcription, active (experimental) annotated promoters and Textpresso navigation. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 36(Database issue):D120–4, 2008.
- [20] S. Garcia-Vallv, E. Guzmán, M. A. Montero, and A. Romeu. Hgt-db: a database of putative horizontally transferred genes in prokaryotic complete genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 31(1):187–189, 2003.
- [21] D. C. Grainger, D. Hurd, M. D. Goldberg, and S. J. W. Busby. Association of nucleoid proteins with coding and non-coding segments of the escherichia coli genome. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 34(16):4642–4652, 2006.
- [22] M. A. A. Grant, C. Saggioro, U. Ferrari, B. Bassetti, B. Sclavi, and M. C. Lagomarsino. Dnaa and the timing of chromosome replication in escherichia coli as a function of growth rate. *BMC Syst Biol*, 5:201, 2011.
- [23] M. Hashimoto, T. Ichimura, H. Mizoguchi, K. Tanaka, K. Fujimitsu, K. Keyamura, T. Ote, T. Yamakawa, Y. Yamazaki, H. Mori, T. Katayama, and J.-i. Kato. Cell size and nucleoid organization of engineered Escherichia coli cells with a reduced genome. *Molecular microbiology*, 55(1):137–149, Jan. 2005.
- [24] M. S. Hindahl, G. W. Crockford, and R. E. Hancock. Outer membrane protein nmpc of escherichia coli: pore-forming properties in black lipid bilayers. *J Bacteriol*, 159:1053–1055, 1984.
- [25] C. Kahramanoglou, A. S. N. Seshasayee, A. I. Prieto, D. Ibberson, S. Schmidt, J. Zimmermann, V. Benes, G. M. Fraser, and N. M. Luscombe. Direct and indirect effects of H-NS and Fis on global gene expression control in Escherichia coli. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 39(6):2073–2091, Mar. 2011.
- [26] B. Lang, N. Blot, E. Bouffartigues, M. Buckle, M. Geertz, C. O. Gualerzi, R. Mavathur, G. Muskhelishvili, C. L. Pon, S. Rimsky, S. Stella, M. M. Babu, and A. Travers. High-affinity DNA binding sites for H-NS provide a molecular basis for selective silencing within proteobacterial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35(18):6330–6337, Sept. 2007.
- [27] J. G. Lawrence and J. R. Roth. Selfish operons: horizontal transfer may drive the evolution of gene clusters. *Genetics*, 143(4):1843–60, 1996.
- [28] M. J. Lercher and C. Pál. Integration of horizontally transferred genes into regulatory interaction networks takes many million years. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 25(3):559–567, Mar. 2008.
- [29] E. A. Libbya, M. Roggiani, and M. Goulian. Membrane protein expression triggers chromosomal locus repositioning in bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 109(Web-Server-Issue):7445–7450, 2012.
- [30] Y. Liu, P. M. Harrison, V. Kunin, and M. Gerstein. Comprehensive analysis of pseudogenes in prokaryotes: widespread gene decay and failure of putative horizontally transferred genes. *Genome Biol*, 5(9):R64, 2004.

- [31] M. S. Luijsterburg, M. C. Noom, G. J. L. Wuite, and R. T. Dame. The architectural role of nucleoid-associated proteins in the organization of bacterial chromatin: a molecular perspective. *J Struct Biol*, 156(2):262–272, Nov 2006.
- [32] C. Marr, M. Geertz, M. Hütt, and G. Muskhelishvili. Dissecting the logical types of network control in gene expression profiles. *BMC Syst Biol*, 2:18, 2008.
- [33] A. Mathelier and A. Carbone. Chromosomal periodicity and positional networks of genes in Escherichia coli. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 6:366, may 2010.
- [34] R. Mercier, M.-A. A. Petit, S. Schbath, S. Robin, M. El Karoui, F. Boccard, and O. Espéli. The MatP/matS site-specific system organizes the terminus region of the E. coli chromosome into a macrodomain. *Cell*, 135(3):475–485, Oct. 2008.
- [35] R. C. Nos, A. Vivero, S. Aznar, J. García, M. Pons, C. Madrid, and A. Juárez. Differential Regulation of Horizontally Acquired and Core Genome Genes by the Bacterial Modulator H-NS. *PLoS Genet*, 5(6):e1000513+, June 2009.
- [36] T. Oshima, S. Ishikawa, K. Kurokawa, H. Aiba, and N. Ogasawara. Escherichia coli histone-like protein h-ns preferentially binds to horizontally acquired dna in association with rna polymerase. *DNA research an international journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes*, 13(4):141–153, 2006.
- [37] L. Postow, C. Hardy, J. Arsuaga, and N. Cozzarelli. Topological domain structure of the escherichia coli chromosome. *Genes Dev*, 18(14):1766–79, 2004.
- [38] K. E. Rudd. Ecogene: a genome sequence database for escherichia coli k-12. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 28(1):60–64, 2000.
- [39] V. F. Scolari, B. Bassetti, B. Sclavi, and M. C. Lagomarsino. Gene clusters reflecting macrodomain structure respond to nucleoid perturbations. *Mol. BioSyst.*, 7:878–888, 2011.
- [40] V. F. Scolari, M. Zarei, M. Osella, and M. C. Lagomarsino. Nust (nucleoid survey tools): analysis of the interplay between nucleoid organization and gene expression. *Bioinformatics*, Apr 2012.
- [41] M. H. Serres and M. Riley. Genprotec. *Microb Comp Genomics*, 5(Database issue):205–222, 2000.
- [42] P. Sobetzko, A. Travers, and G. Muskhelishvili. Gene order and chromosome dynamics coordinate spatiotemporal gene expression during the bacterial growth cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 109(2):E42–E50, Jan 2012.
- [43] K. Srividhya, V. Geeta, L. Raghavenderan, M. Preeti, P. Jaime, M. Sankarnarayanan, L. Joel, and S. Krishnaswamy. Database and comparative identification of prophages. *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Science (LNCIS)*, 344:863–868, 2006.
- [44] A. Thiel, M. Valens, I. Vallet-Gely, O. Espli, and F. Boccard. Long-range chromosome organization in *Escherichia coli*: A site-specific system isolates the ter macrodomain. *PLoS Genet*, 8(4):e1002672, 04 2012.
- [45] M. Valens, S. Penaud, M. Rossignol, F. Cornet, and F. Boccard. Macrodomain organization of the Escherichia coli chromosome. *EMBO J*, 23(21):4330–41+, 2004.
- [46] T. Vora, A. K. Hottes, and S. Tavazoie. Protein occupancy landscape of a bacterial genome. *Molecular cell*, 35(2):247–253, 2009.
- [47] W. Wang, G.-W. Li, C. Chen, X. S. Xie, and X. Zhuang. Chromosome organization by a nucleoid-associated protein in live bacteria. *Science*, 333(6048):1445–1449, Sep 2011.

## 5 Graphics and tables




Figure 1: **H-NS binding regions in the Ter macrodomain increase in length and number as cells progress from the early-exponential to stationary growth phase.** The plots show sliding window sums of the length (top panel) and number (bottom panel) of H-NS binding regions along the genome in early-exponential (EE), mid-exponential (ME), transition to stationary (TS) and stationary (S) phases of growth (window size=500 Kb). The total length of H-NS binding regions in the approximate Ter macrodomain region increases continuously as the cells progress from exponential to stationary phase, while the number increases only between the ME and TS phases.






Figure 2: **Genes located in the H-NS binding regions and horizontally transferred genes are clustered and often found close to macrodomain boundaries.** The first panel shows sliding window averaging of the AT basepair percent along the genome coordinate (window size=50 Kb). The second panel shows the diagram of the statistically significant clusters for horizontally transferred genes found using the algorithm presented by Scolari *et al.*<sup>39</sup>. The box indicates the position of the peak at a given scale of analysis (x axis) while the whiskers are the maximal extension of the cluster. The third panel shows the diagram of the statistically significant clusters for the genes located in the H-NS binding regions in stationary phase. The fourth panel shows a diagram of the statistically significant clusters found for the genes located in the H-NS binding regions in the early exponential growth phase<sup>25</sup>. The boxes on the right side of the plots show the large-scale deletions, (black rectangles), the macrodomains (labeled by their conventional names) and the sectors (labeled from A to G).




Figure 3: **Recently transferred genes are clustered along the genome.** Comparison of the histograms (window size 100 Kb) of horizontally transferred genes found using nucleotide composition<sup>20</sup> with those found from phylogenetic information to occur before divergence between *E. coli* and *Salmonella*<sup>28</sup>.




Figure 4: **Gene sets overlapping with tsEPODs and pseudo-genes show significant and coherent linear aggregation clusters.** The first panel shows sliding window histogram for total lengths of intergenic regions along the genome (window-size $\approx$  36 Kb). Here we considered pseudo-genes as the genomic regions. The second panel shows plot of the statistically significant clusters of horizontally transferred genes found using the algorithm presented by Scolari *et al.*<sup>39</sup>. The box indicates the position of the peak at a given scale of analysis (x axis) while the whiskers are the maximal extension of the cluster. The third panel shows a diagram of the statistically significant clusters of pseudo-genes. The fourth panel shows the diagram of the statistically significant clusters of tsEPODs. The boxes on the right side of the plots show the positions of the large-scale deletions (black rectangles), the macrodomains (labeled by their conventional names) and the sectors (labeled from A to G).




Figure 5: **Coherence of the significant clusters found from different data sets.** Summary of the clusters found analysing different data sets. The outer circle shows the macrodomains<sup>45</sup>. The second circle shows the chromosomal sectors as defined by Mathelier and Carbone<sup>33</sup>. The remaining circles (third to seventh from the outer one) show the clusters found in horizontally transferred genes, genes located in H-NS binding regions in stationary and early exponential phases, genes located in tsEPODs, and pseudo-genes respectively. The diagram includes the clusters appearing when using bin sizes between 64 and 256 (preferentially reporting the coordinates at 128 bin).

Table 1: **Coherence of the significant clusters found from different data sets.** Summary of the clusters found analysing different data sets. The listed intervals were defined by merging the clusters found using different gene sets with a window size of  $\approx 36$  kb (128 bins). If a cluster is found in a specific dataset it is labeled by a tick mark. Clusters that are not found using 128 bins, but appear using 64 or 256 bins (with distance  $\leq 0.004$  Mb to the closest cluster found in 128 bin), are labeled by stars. The clusters that are significantly close to macrodomain boundaries (distance  $\leq 0.15$  Mb ) are highlighted in red. The clusters that are not close to the macrodomain boundaries are found using the lists of transferred genes and genes located in the H-NS binding regions in stationary growth phase, with the exception of the cluster located between 0.23 Mb and 0.35 Mb.

Clusters coordi- nates (Mb)	HGT	H-NS- binding (EE phase)	tsEPODs	Pseudo- genes
0.233- 0.348	✓	✓	*	✓
0.537- 0.609	✓	✓	✓	✓
1.068- 1.121	✓	-	-	-
1.173- 1.252	✓	✓	✓	*
1.387- 1.448	✓	-	-	-
1.553- 1.679	✓	-	-	-
2.041- 2.087	*	-	-	✓
2.444- 2.510	✓	-	-	-
2.747- 2.807	✓	✓	✓	✓
2.956- 3.024	✓	✓	✓	-
3.619- 3.685	-	✓	✓	-
3.765- 3.831	*	-	✓	-
4.284- 4.349	✓	-	-	-
4.471- 4.541	✓	*	-	✓

Table 2: **Several of the significant clusters found are close to macrodomain boundaries.** Comparison of the position of clusters found analysing different gene sets with macrodomain boundaries and chromosomal sectors. The second and third column report the shortest distance between one edge of a cluster and the closest macrodomain (dis-MD) or chromosomal sector (dis-sector) boundary expressed in Mb. For a cluster which overlaps with a boundary, the distance has been considered zero. The fourth and fifth column report the same distances normalized by the length of the macrodomain or sector where the cluster is found. The normalized distances are indicated by stars. The positions of the clusters often lie close to a macrodomain boundary. Here,  $\text{dis-MD} \leq 0.15$  Mb and  $\text{dis-MD}^* \leq 0.15$  are colored in red.

Clusters coordi- nates (Mb)	dis- MD (Mb)	dis- Sector (Mb)	dis- MD*	dis- Sector*
0.233- 0.348	0.183	0.242	0.333	0.148
0.537- 0.609	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1.068- 1.121	0.089	0.208	0.146	0.320
1.173- 1.252	0.000	0.077	0.000	0.118
1.387- 1.448	0.177	0.000	0.182	0.000
1.553- 1.679	0.343	0.000	0.354	0.000
2.041- 2.087	0.093	0.008	0.096	0.063
2.444- 2.510	0.264	0.173	0.377	0.292
2.747- 2.807	0.073	0.057	0.104	0.096
2.956- 3.024	0.076	0.000	0.086	0.000
3.619- 3.685	0.075	0.024	0.085	0.015
3.765- 3.831	0.005	0.170	0.005	0.104
4.284- 4.349	0.341	0.689	0.334	0.422
4.471- 4.541	0.149	0.688	0.146	0.421



Table 3: **Enrichment analysis for functional categories of genes located in the clusters reported in Table 1.** List of significant MultiFun gene classes for functional genes located inside of the clusters. According to the MultiFun data set, 3382 genes in the genome (out of 4667) and 757 (out of 1075) within the clusters have at least one functional annotation. The first column of the table shows the MultiFun category number. The second column shows the number of genes in the MultiFun category (M). The third and fourth columns show the number of genes and operons (indicated by  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively) with that MultiFun annotation found in the clusters. The fifth column shows the P-value of a hypergeometric test with parameters  $K_1$ , M, 3382, 757. The last column shows the term related to the MultiFun class. The reported results are filtered for  $K_2 \geq 3$  and P-values  $< 0.01$ .

Class	M	$K_1$	$K_2$	P-value	Related term
1.3.7	155	17	8	0.000087	Anaerobic respiration
1.4.1	42	3	3	0.006369	Electron donor
1.5.1.4	9	7	4	0.000600	Proline
1.6.3.2	17	13	4	0.000003	Lipopolysaccharide synthesis (Core region)
1.6.13	7	5	3	0.007055	Fimbria, pili, curli
1.7.18	4	4	3	0.002495	Betaine biosynthesis
1.8.1	32	15	4	0.001292	Phosphorous metabolism
2.2.3	55	5	5	0.005900	RNA modification
2.3.2	101	5	4	0.000001	Translation
2.3.3	70	8	8	0.008559	Posttranslational modification
2.3.4	91	11	9	0.004914	Protein related (folding)
2.3.8	57	4	3	0.001371	Ribosomal proteins
5.3	59	3	3	0.000230	Motility
5.5.4	14	11	8	0.000011	PH response
6.1	851	170	142	0.005664	Membrane
6.4	44	3	3	0.004404	Flagellum
6.5	43	22	13	0.000023	Pilus synthesis
6.6	95	6	5	0.000014	Ribosome
7.1	989	133	109	0.000001	Products location is cytoplasm
7.2	137	18	16	0.001975	Products location is periplasmic space
7.3	560	107	85	0.005484	Products location is inner membrane
8.1	289	252	141	0.000001	Phage related functions

---

# Supplementary Materials to Zarei et al. “Gene silencing and large-scale domain structure of the *E. coli* genome”

---

## Description of Supplementary Figures

### Mock-IP control

We analyzed the large-scale organization of H-NS binding regions data from Kahramanoglou *et al*<sup>1</sup> as a function of growth phase. These binding regions were obtained by comparing the number of reads mapped to each region, normalized by the total number of reads obtained for that sample, with the corresponding value from the Mock-IP experiment using a binomial test<sup>1</sup>. The Mock-IP was available only for the mid-exponential phase sample, where the gene dosage effect is highest. Since in stationary phase the gene dosage effect is small or absent, the applied filter might create a bias at large scales towards the stationary phase dataset. In order to control for this, we compared the results from binding regions found before Mock-IP control and after the control. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the sliding window sums of the length and number of H-NS binding regions along the genome for binding regions obtained before and after Mock-IP control in the early-exponential (EE), mid-exponential (ME), transition to stationary (TS) and stationary (S) phases of growth (window size=500 Kb). The total length is roughly unaffected by the Mock-IP control, except for minor changes around Ori. The change around Ori is larger for the number of binding regions, due to the presence of a large number of short spurious regions in the exponential and early exponential phase data. However, the results in a wide region around Ter are robust to the Mock-IP filter for all data sets, for both the number and the total length of bound regions, indicating that the observed increase of total length of polymerized H-NS is not a spurious result of the Mock-IP filter.

## **Growth-phase dependent H-NS binding regions**

Supplementary Figure 2 shows the result of the linear aggregation analysis for genes located in the H-NS binding regions from early exponential to stationary phase. One can see that the genes located in the H-NS binding regions in early exponential phase are clustered close to the macrodomain boundaries and that this cluster pattern is preserved as the cells go from early exponential to stationary phase. In stationary phase however, new clusters appear inside the Ter macrodomain.

## **H-NS binding regions**

We performed the clustering analysis for the list of genes associated to regions of H-NS binding obtained by Oshima *et al*<sup>2</sup> using a high-density oligonucleotide chip (ChIP-chip analysis). The experiment was performed with the W3110 strain of *E. coli* K12 grown in LB medium. The H-NS genome-wide binding was assessed on exponentially growing cells. The W3110 strain is very similar to the MG1655 strain and in their data analysis the genome coordinates of MG1655 were used. The analysis shows similar clusters to the results presented in the main text (Supplementary Figure 3).

## **Genes overlapping with heEPODs are clustered along the genome.**

Supplementary Figure 4 shows that the genes overlapping with heEPODs are also clustered at different observation scales along the *E. coli* genome. The clusters of genes overlapping with heEPODs include ribosomal and flagella genes, which are highly transcribed, as shown by Supplementary Table 6. Comparison with Figure 4 in the main text suggests that the clusters of highly transcribed EPODs are located at the larger distances from macrodomain boundaries with respect to the tsEPODs clusters.

## **Most of the clusters found have a lower mean expression level than the genomic average.**

Supplementary Figure 5 shows the ratio of the average expression level for each cluster and the average expression level of all genes along the genome. Microarray data sets are extracted from the ASAP database<sup>3</sup> at <https://asap.ahabs.wisc.edu>. The data set used for this analysis has the transcript copy number of 4220 genes in wild-type *E. coli*. The strain MG1655 was cultured in MOPS minimal with glucose at 37 degrees to log phase (OD600=0.2). Most of the clusters are expressed at a significantly lower level than the average of *E. coli* transcriptome.

There are some genes that are highly expressed and affect the average expression level for the clusters. For example, *ompt* and *nmpC* are outer membrane related genes which are highly expressed in the data set that we used. On the other hand, *nmpC* is reported to be silent in *E. coli* K-12<sup>4</sup>. *rpmB* and *rpmG* are ribosomal proteins, which are highly transcribed. *rpmB* and *uspA* are associated to adaptation to stress.

## **Description of Supplementary Tables**

### **Coordinates of the macrodomains and chromosomal sectors**

Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 show the coordinates of the macrodomains and chromosomal sectors used in this study.

### **Summary of the clustering for H-NS binding regions and horizontally transferred genes**

Supplementary Tables 3,4,5 summarize the clustering results for different data sets.

### **heEPODs clusters are enriched by flagella and ribosomal genes.**

Supplementary Table 6 shows the results of a hypergeometric test for the enrichment of MultiFun functional categories within the lists of genes located in heEPODs clusters. The results indicate that, as expected, these clusters are enriched by highly expressed genes, such as flagella, ribosomal proteins, rRNA and tRNA.

### **Intersection between data sets**

Supplementary Tables 8 and 9 show the intersection between different gene lists used in this analysis. The result of a hypergeometric test (assessing the statistical significance of the intersection) can be found in Supplementary Table 8. The overlap of the lists is large, but the lists do not coincide, making the coincidence of the clusters nontrivial.

### **Summary of the clustering for pseudo-genes**

Supplementary Table 7 summarizes the clustering results for pseudo-genes.

### **Some of the long non-lethal deletions and prophages are close to the macrodomain boundaries.**


Supplementary Table 10 represents the correlation between the position of clusters found in our analysis and the position of long non-lethal deletions or prophages. Some of the clusters correlate with the prophages and long non-lethal deletions.

### **Most of the clusters, that are reported in Table 1, are enriched by Prophage related functions.**

Supplementary Table 11 shows the results of systematic hypergeometric testing for enrichment of MultiFun functional categories within the lists of functional genes located in each cluster that is reported in Table 1. Considering clusters close to or far from the macrodomain boundaries separately, we did not see particular differences between the two groups. Not surprisingly, most of the clusters are enriched by prophage-related functions. The clusters with coordinates 1-17-1.25 Mb and 3.62-3.68 Mb show enrichment in the term membrane. The clusters within coordinates 3.6-4.3 Mb show enrichment in the terms membrane, surface antigens, lipopolysaccharide synthesis, carbon compounds, and anaerobic respiration.


## **References**

- [1] C. Kahramanoglou, A. S. N. Seshasayee, A. I. Prieto, D. Ibberson, S. Schmidt, J. Zimmermann, V. Benes, G. M. Fraser and N. M. Luscombe, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 2011, **39**, 2073–2091.
- [2] T. Oshima, S. Ishikawa, K. Kurokawa, H. Aiba and N. Ogasawara, *DNA research an international journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes*, 2006, **13**, 141–153.
- [3] T. E. Allen, M. J. Herrgard, M. Liu, Y. Qiu, J. D. Glasner, F. R. Blattner and B. . Palsson, *J Bacteriol*, 2003, **185**, 6392–6392.
- [4] M. S. Hindahl, G. W. Crockford and R. E. Hancock, *J Bacteriol*, 1984, **159**, 1053–1055.




**Supplementary Figure 1. The observed growth of H-NS binding regions in the Ter region in stationary growth phase is unaffected by the Mock-IP control.** Total length (right panels) and number of H-NS binding regions (left panels) along the genome, before and after Mock-IP control in early-exponential (EE), mid-exponential (ME), transition to stationary (TS) and stationary (S) phases of growth. Because of the gene dosage effect in the exponential phase, many spurious binding regions around Ori appear, while the Ter region is weakly affected. Additionally, the data for the stationary and transition to stationary phase are weakly affected by the Mock-IP control along the whole genome. This indicates that the observed average growth of H-NS binding regions around Ter is not a consequence of the Mock-IP control.







**Supplementary Figure 2. The number of clusters for genes located in the binding regions increase while cells progress from early-exponential to stationary growth phase.** Cluster diagrams of genes located in the H-NS binding regions in the early-exponential (EE), mid-exponential (ME), transition to stationary (TS) and stationary (S) phases of growth. The clusters close to the boundaries of the Ter macrodomain are preserved during different growth phases, while new clusters emerge as the cells progress from early-exponential to the stationary phase.



**Supplementary Figure 3. The H-NS binding regions found by Oshima *et al.*<sup>2</sup> are clustered along the genome.** Diagram of the statistically significant H-NS bound genes clusters. The plot shows that there are significant clusters close to the boundaries of macrodomains or Mathelier sectors. The position of the clusters correlate with the clusters shown in Figure 2 of the main text.



**Supplementary Figure 4. Genes overlapping with heEPODs are clustered along the genome.** Diagram of the statistically significant clusters. The plot shows that there are significant clusters of genes overlapping with heEPODs along the genome. Three of these clusters include flagella and ribosomal genes.



**Supplementary Figure 5. Most of the clusters found have a lower average expression level compared to the average for the *E. coli* transcriptome.** Ratio of the average expression level for each cluster and the average expression level of all genes along the genome. The estimated transcript copy number of genes in wild-type *E. coli* were obtained from the ASAP data base<sup>3</sup>, and refers to MG1655 cultured in MOPS minimal medium with glucose at 37 degrees to log phase (OD600=0.2). The genes in the clusters that are highly expressed are labeled in the figure. Most of the clusters have a significantly lower mean expression level compared to the genomic average.

**Supplementary Table 1. Macrodomain coordinates.**

Ori	NSR	Right	Ter	Left	NSL
3.76-0.05	0.05-0.60	0.60-1.21	1.21-2.18	2.18-2.88	2.88-3.76

**Supplementary Table 2. Chromosomal sectors.**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
3.59-0.59	2.97-3.57	2.27-2.86	2.03-2.16	1.54-1.97	1.40-1.49	0.68-1.33

**Supplementary Table 3. H-NS Binding regions in the early exponential growth phase.**

Number of clusters (bin-size=128)	6
Total length of binding regions	648991
Total length of binding regions in the clusters	343221
Total number of genes in the H-NS binding regions	441
Number of H-NS binding genes in the clusters	112
Number of annotated genes in the clusters	374

**Supplementary Table 4. H-NS Binding regions in the stationary growth phase.**

Number of clusters (bin-size=128)	7
Total length of binding regions	944735
Total length of binding regions in the clusters	438379
Total number of genes in H-NS binding regions	748
Number of H-NS binding genes in the clusters	217
Number of annotated genes in the clusters	510

**Supplementary Table 5. Horizontally transferred genes found using nucleotide composition.**

Number of clusters (bin-size=128)	11
Total number of HGT	350
Number of HGT in the clusters	201
Number of annotated genes in the clusters	773

**Supplementary Table 6. Enrichment analysis for functional categories of genes located in the heEPODs clusters.** List of significant MultiFunfunctional categories for genes found within the heEPODs clusters. The MultiFun data annotate 3382 genes out of 4667, and out of 406 genes located in the heEPODs clusters, 326 are annotated. The first column shows the MultiFun class number. The second column shows the number of genes in the MultiFun class (M). The third/fourth column show the number of genes/operons of MultiFun class found in the heEPODs clusters ( $K_1/K_2$ ). The fifth column shows P-values obtained from a test with parameters  $K_1$ , M, 3382, 757. The last column shows the terms related to the MultiFun class. We reported the results with  $K_2 \geq 3$  and P-values  $< 0.01$ .

Class	M	$K_1$	$K_2$	P-value	Related term
1.3.7	155	4	3	0.000368	Anaerobic respiration
1.6.3.1	14	10	4	0.000001	O antigen
1.6.12	38	31	9	0.000001	Flagella
1.7.10	14	8	4	0.000011	Sugar nucleotide biosynthesis
2.2.5	91	18	11	0.001319	tRNA
2.2.6	26	11	4	0.000010	rRNA, Stable RNA
2.3.2	101	47	11	0.000001	Translation
2.3.8	57	33	6	0.000001	Ribosomal proteins
3.1.3.1	10	4	4	0.009770	Translation attenuation and efficiency
4.9.B	63	15	5	0.000483	Putative uncharacterized transport protein
4.S.12	12	6	3	0.000391	amino acid
4.S.160	32	15	4	0.000001	protein
5.3	59	33	11	0.000001	Motility
6.1	851	67	44	0.006859	Membrane
6.3	67	14	8	0.002512	Lipopolysaccharide
6.4	44	35	10	0.000001	Flagellum
6.6	95	46	12	0.000001	Ribosome
7.1	989	144	73	0.000001	Products location is cytoplasm
7.3	560	38	25	0.002379	Products location is inner membrane
10	43	17	5	0.000001	cryptic genes

**Supplementary Table 7. Pseudo-genes.**

Number of clusters (bin-size=128)	5
Total number of pseudo-genes	212
Number of pseudo-genes in the clusters	68
Number of annotated genes in the clusters	358

**Supplementary Table 8. Intersection between different datasets.** Result of a hypergeometric test assessing the statistical significance of the intersection between datasets. The P-value represents the probability of obtaining an intersection of the given size selecting two random gene lists (of the same length of the lists in consideration) from the total number of genes in the genome.

	HGT	H-NS binding	tsEPODs	Pseudo-genes
HGT	0	5.48797e-98	3.41112e-62	1.87785e-06
H-NS binding	5.48797e-98	0	1.76438e-189	7.49369e-18
tsEPODs	3.41112e-62	1.76438e-189	0	1.44551e-09
Pseudo-genes	1.87785e-06	1.44551e-09	7.49369e-18	0

**Supplementary Table 9. Intersection between different datasets.** Number of common genes between two different datasets, divided by the size of the smallest one. The datasets have many genes in common but they are not identical. Here, we considered genes located in H-NS binding regions in early exponential phase.

	HGT	H-NS binding	tsEPODs	Pseudo-genes
HGT	350/350	175/350	107/241	35/212
H-NS binding	175/350	441/441	203/241	62/212
tsEPODs	107/241	203/241	241/241	33/212
Pseudo-genes	35/212	62/212	33/212	212/212

**Supplementary Table 10. Some of the significant clusters found in this study overlap with the long non-lethal deletions and with the gene from temperate prophages.** Comparison of the position of clusters found analysing different datasets with large non-lethal deletions and prophages. The tick marks represent overlap between a cluster and a large deletion or a prophage. The position of the clusters correlate with the position of some long non-lethal deletions and prophages. The clusters that are close to macrodomain boundaries (distance  $\leq 0.15$  Mb) are colored in red.

Clusters coordinates (Mb)	Long deletions	Prophages
0.233-0.348	✓	✓
0.537-0.609	✓	✓
1.068-1.121	✓	-
1.173-1.252	-	✓
1.387-1.448	✓	✓
1.553-1.679	✓	✓
2.041-2.087	✓	✓
2.444-2.510	-	✓
2.747-2.807	✓	✓
2.956-3.024	-	-
3.619-3.685	✓	-
3.765-3.831	✓	-
4.284-4.349	✓	-
4.471-4.541	✓	✓



**Supplementary Table 11. Enrichment analysis for functional annotations of genes located in each cluster that is reported in Table 1.** Summary of the significant MultiFun gene classes for each cluster found. The first column shows the coordinates of the clusters. The second column shows the numbers of functional genes located in each cluster. The third column shows the MultiFun class number and related term. Here, we considered the results with P-values < 0.01. We removed the results when the number of operons of MultiFun class found in each cluster is less than 3.

Clusters coordinates (Mb)	Functional gene count	Functional classes and terms
0.233-0.348	77	7.1 (Products location is cytoplasm), 7.3 (Products location is inner membrane), 8.1 (Prophage related functions), 8.3 (Transposon related)
0.537-0.609	61	7.1 (Products location is cytoplasm), 7.4 (Products location is outer membrane), 8.1 (Prophage related functions)
1.068-1.121	43	8.1 (Phage related functions)
1.173-1.252	63	6.1 ( Membrane), 7.1 (Products location is cytoplasm), 8.1 (Prophage related functions),
1.387-1.448	52	8.1 (Prophage related functions)
1.553-1.679	100	5.5.4 (PH response), 7.1 (Products location is cytoplasm), 8.1 (Prophage related functions)
2.041-2.087	36	2.2.5 (tRNA), 8.1 (Prophage related functions)
2.444-2.510	55	7.1 (Products location is cytoplasm), 8.1 (Prophage related functions)
2.747-2.807	47	8.1 (Prophage related functions)
2.956-3.024	36	1.7.1 (Unassigned reversible reactions)
3.619-3.685	35	3.1.2.2 (Activator), 6.1 (Membrane)
3.765-3.831	53	1.6.3.2 (Lipopolysaccharide), 2.1.4 (DNA repair), 6.3 (Surface antigens), 7.3 (Products location is inner membrane)
4.284-4.349	46	1.1.1 (Carbon compounds), 1.3.7 (Anaerobic respiration)
4.471-4.541	53	2.1.3 (DNA recombination), 8.1 (Prophage related functions), 8.3 (Transposon related)