Angelo MAIMONE^a, Giorgio NORDO^{a,*}

^aDipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Messina, Contrada Papardo, Salita Sperone 31, 98166, Sant'Agata, Messina.

Abstract

We provide a formula that expresses the number of (n - 2)-gaps of a generic digital *n*-object. Such a formula has the advantage to involve only a few simple intrinsic parameters of the object and it is obtained by using a combinatorial technic based on incidence structure and on the notion of free cells. This approach seems suitable as a model for an automatic computation, and also allow us to find some expressions for the maximum number of *i*-cells that bound or are bounded by a fixed *j*-cell.

Keywords: gap, free cell, tandem, bounding relation, digital object, incidence structure 2010 MSC: 52C99, 52C45

1. Introduction

With the word "gap" in Digital Geometry we mean some basic portion of a digital object that a discrete ray can cross without intersecting any voxel of the object itself. Since such a notion is strictly connected with some applications in the field of Computer graphics (e.g. the rendering of a 3D image by the ray-tracing technique), many papers (see for example [1], [2], [3], [4], and [5]) concerned the study of 0- and 1-gaps of 3-dimensional objects and of some of their topological invariant such as dimension and genus (i.e. the degree of connectedness of a digital image). Recently (see [6]), we have found a formula for expressing the number of 1-gaps of a digital 3-object by means of the number of its free cells of dimension 1 and 2. During the submission process of that paper, the anonymous referee raised to our attention the existence of another recent and more general formula presented in [7] which gives the number of a generical (n-2)-gaps of any digital *n*-object. Unfortunately, such formula involves some parameters (the number of (n-2)-blocks and of *n*-, (n-1)- and (n-2)- cells) that are non-intrinsic or that can not be easily obtained by the geometrical knowledge of the object. For such a reason, in the present paper, we propose a generalization of the formula obtained in [6] that allow us to express the number of (n-2)-gaps using only two basic parameters, that is the number of free (n-2)- and (n-1)-cells of the object itself. Although we prove the equivalence between these two formulas, the latter approach seems simpler and more suitable as a model for an automatic computation.

In order to obtain our formula, we adopt a combinatorial technic based on the notion of incidence structure, which also allow us to find a couple of interesting expressions for the maximum number of *i*-cells that bound or are bounded by a fixed *j*-cell.

In the next section we recall and formalize some basic notions and notations of digital geometry. In Section 3, we introduce the notions of tandem and gap, and we give some elementary facts about them. In Section 4, we prove some propositions concerning, in particular, the number of (n - 1)-cells of the boundary of a digital object that are bounded by a given (n - 2)-cell satisfying some particular condition, and we use such results to obtain our main formula for the number of (n - 2)-gaps. Finally, in Section 5, we resume the goal of the paper and we give some suggestions for other future researches.

thThis research was supported by P.R.I.N, P.R.A. and I.N.D.A.M. (G.N.S.A.G.A.).

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: +39-090-393502.

Email addresses: angelo.maimone@unime.org (Angelo MAIMONE), giorgio.nordo@unime.org (Giorgio NORDO)

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we use the *grid cell model* for representing digital objects, and we adopt the terminology from [8] and [9].

Let $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a point of \mathbb{Z}^n , $\theta \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$ be an *n*-word over the alphabet $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, and $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. We define *i*-cell related to *x* and θ , and we denote it by $e = (x, \theta)$, the Cartesian product, in a certain fixed order, of n - i singletons $\{x_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\}$ by *i* closed sets $[x_j - \frac{1}{2}, x_j + \frac{1}{2}]$, i.e. we set

$$e = (x, \theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[x_j + \frac{1}{2} \theta_j - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0], x_j + \frac{1}{2} \theta_j + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0] \right],$$

where [•] denotes the Iverson bracket [10]. The word θ is called the *direction* of the cell (x, θ) related to the point x. Let us note that an *i*-cell can be related to different point $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and, once we have fixed it, can be related to different direction. So, when we talk generically about *i*-cell, we mean one of its possible representation.

The dimension of a cell $e = (x, \theta)$, denoted by dim(e) = i, is the number of non-trivial interval of its product representation, i.e. the number of null components of its direction θ . Thus, dim $(e) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\theta_j = 0]$ or, equivalently, dim $(e) = n - \theta \cdot \theta$. So, e is an *i*-cell if and only if it has dimension *i*.

We denote by $\mathbb{C}_n^{(i)}$ the set of all *i*-cells of \mathbb{R}^n and by \mathbb{C}_n the set of all cells defined in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. we set $\mathbb{C}_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^n \mathbb{C}_n^{(j)}$. An *n*-cell of \mathbb{C}_n is also called an *n*-voxel. So, for convenience, an *n*-voxel is denoted by *v*, while we use other lower case letter (usually *e*) to denote cells of lower dimension. A finite collection *D* of *n*-voxels is a digital *n*-object. For any $i = 0, \ldots, n$, we denote by $C_i(D)$ the set of all *i*-cells of the object *D*, that is $D \cap \mathbb{C}_n^{(i)}$, and by $c_i(D)$ (or simply by c_i if no confusion arise) its cardinality $|C_i(D)|$.

Definition 1. Let $e = (x, \theta)$ be an *i*-cell. The center of *e* is defined by $cnt(e) = x + \frac{1}{2}\theta$.

Remark 1. Let us note that for a cell $e = (x, \theta)$, we have cnt(e) = x if and only if dim(e) = n. Moreover, thanks to Definition 1, an i-cell related to x and θ can be shortly represented in the following way:

$$e = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[cnt(e)_j - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0], cnt(e)_j + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0] \right].$$

Definition 2. Let $e = (x, \theta)$ be an i-cell related to the point x and to the direction θ . We define dual e' of e, the cell represented by the following cartesian product:

$$e' = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[cnt(e)_j - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j \neq 0], cnt(e)_j + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j \neq 0] \right].$$

By the above expression and the definition of dimension of a cell, we have that the dimension of the dual e' of a cell $e = (x, \theta)$ coincides with the number of non-null components of the direction θ , that is dim $(e') = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\theta_j \neq 0]$. Consequently, the dual e' of an *i*-cell e is an (n - i)-cell.

Definition 3. Let D be a digital object. The dual D' of D is the set of all dual cells e', with $e \in D$.

We say that two *n*-cells v_1 , v_2 are *i*-adjacent (i = 0, 1, ..., n-1) if $v_1 \neq v_2$ and there exists at least an *i*-cell \overline{e} such that $\overline{e} \subseteq v_1 \cap v_2$, that is if they are distinct and share at least an *i*-cell. Two *n*-cells v_1 , v_2 are *strictly i*-adjacent, if they are *i*-adjacent but not *j*-adjacent, for any j > i, that is if $v_1 \cap v_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(i)}$. The set of all *n*-cells that are *i*-adjacent to a given *n*-voxel *v* is denoted by $A_i(v)$ and called the *i*-adjacent neighborhoods of *v*. Two cells $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n$ are *incident* each other, and we write e_1Ie_2 , if $e_1 \subseteq e_2$ or $e_2 \subseteq e_1$.

Definition 4. Let $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n$. We say that e_1 bounds e_2 (or that e_2 is bounded by e_1), and we write $e_1 < e_2$, if e_1Ie_2 and $\dim(e_1) < \dim(e_2)$. The relation < is called bounding relation.

Definition 5. Let e be an i-cell of a digital n-object D (with i = 0, ..., n - 1). We say that e is simple if e bounds one and only one n-cell.

Definition 6. Let *D* and *G* be two finite subsets of \mathbb{C}_n . We say that *D* and *G* form a dual pair iff there exists a bijection $\varphi: D \to G$ that inverts the bounded relation, that is for any couple $e, f \in D$, if e < f then $\varphi(f) < \varphi(e)$, and for any $e \in D$, dim($\varphi(e)$) = n - dim(e).

Proposition 2. Let D be a digital n-object and D' its dual. Then D and D' form a dual pair.

Proof. Let us consider the mapping $\varphi: D \to D'$ that associates to each cell $e = (x, \theta) \in D$ its dual $\varphi(e) = e'$. Since, by Remark 1 and Definition 2, both *e* and *e'* are uniquely determinated by the point *x* and the direction θ , it is clear that φ is a bijection.

By a basic property of the Iverson notation, for every cell $e = (x, \theta)$, we have that

$$\dim(\varphi(e)) = \dim(e') = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\theta_j \neq 0] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - [\theta_j = 0]) = n - \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\theta_j = 0] = n - \dim(e).$$

Moreover, φ inverts the bounding relation < over \mathbb{C}_n . Indeed, for every couple of cells $e = (x, \theta)$ and $f = (y, \psi)$ in D such that e < f, without loss of generality, we have that $e \subseteq f$ and dim $(e) < \dim(f)$. Thus, by Remark 1, we get

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[cnt(e)_{j} - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{j} = 0], cnt(e)_{j} + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{j} = 0] \right] \subseteq \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[cnt(f)_{j} - \frac{1}{2} [\psi_{j} = 0], cnt(f)_{j} + \frac{1}{2} [\psi_{j} = 0] \right].$$

Hence, for every j = 1, ..., n, we have

$$cnt(f)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j = 0] \le cnt(e)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j = 0] \le cnt(e)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j = 0] \le cnt(f)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j = 0].$$

and so, we obtain

$$cnt(e)_{j} - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_{j} \neq 0] = cnt(e)_{j} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - [\theta_{j} = 0]) = cnt(e)_{j} + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_{j} = 0] - \frac{1}{2} \le cnt(f)_{j} + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_{j} = 0] - \frac{1}{2} = cnt(f)_{j} - \frac{1}{2}[\psi_{j} \neq 0] \le cnt(f)_{j} + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_{j} \neq 0] = cnt(f)_{j} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - [\psi_{j} = 0]) = cnt(f)_{j} - \frac{1}{2}[\psi_{j} = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \le cnt(e)_{j} - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_{j} = 0] + \frac{1}{2} = cnt(e)_{j} + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_{j} \neq 0],$$

which implies

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[cnt(f)_{j} - \frac{1}{2} [\psi_{j} \neq 0], cnt(f)_{j} + \frac{1}{2} [\psi_{j} \neq 0] \right] \subseteq \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[cnt(e)_{j} - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{j} \neq 0], cnt(e)_{j} + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_{j} \neq 0] \right].$$

Thus, $f' \subseteq e'$, i.e. $\varphi(f) \subseteq \varphi(e)$. Finally, since dim $(e) < \dim(f)$, we have dim $(\varphi(f)) = n - \dim(f) < n - \dim(e) = \dim(\varphi(e))$ and so $\varphi(f) < \varphi(e)$.

Definition 7. An incidence structure (see [11]) is a triple (V, \mathcal{B}, I) where V and \mathcal{B} are any two disjoint sets and I is a binary relation between V and \mathcal{B} , that is $I \subseteq V \times \mathcal{B}$. The elements of V are called points, those of \mathcal{B} blocks. Instead of $(p, B) \in I$, we simply write pIB and say that "the point p lies on the block B" or "p and B are incident".

If *p* is any point of *V*, we denote by (*p*) the set of all blocks incident to *p*, i.e. (*p*) = { $B \in \mathcal{B}$: *pIB*}. Similarly, if *B* is any block of \mathcal{B} , we denote by (*B*) the set of all points incident to *B*, i.e. (*B*) = { $p \in V$: *pIB*}. For a point *p*, the number $r_p = |(p)|$ is called the degree of *p*, and similarly, for a block *B*, $k_B = |(B)|$ is the degree of *B*.

We remind the following fundamental proposition of incidence structures.

Proposition 3. Let (V, \mathcal{B}, I) be an incidence structure. We have

$$\sum_{p \in V} r_p = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} k_B,\tag{1}$$

where r_p and k_B are the degrees of any point $p \in V$ and any block $B \in \mathcal{B}$, respectively.



Figure 1: Configurations of 1- and 0-gaps in \mathbb{C}_3 .

3. Theoretical Backgrounds

In [3] and [6], a constructive definition of gap for a digital object *D* in spaces of dimensions 2 and 3 was proposed, and a relation between the number of such a gaps and the numbers of free cells was found.

In order to generalize those results for the *n*-dimensional space, we need to introduce some definitions and to make some considerations.

Definition 8. Let *e* be an *i*-cell (with $0 \le i \le n-1$) of \mathbb{C}_n . Then:

- (1) An *i*-block centered on *e* is the union of all the *n*-voxels bounded by *e*, i.e. $B_i(e) = \bigcup \{v \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(n)} : e < v\}$.
- (2) An L-block centered on e is an (n-2)-block centered on e from which we take away one of its four n-cells, that is $L(e) = B_{n-2}(e) \setminus \{v\}$, where $v \in C_n(B_{n-2}(e))$.

Remark 4. Let us note that, for any i-cell e, $B_i(e)$ is the union of exactly 2^{n-i} n-voxels, $e \in B_i(e)$, and that an L-block is exactly composed of three n-voxels.

Definition 9. Let v_1 , v_2 be two n-voxels of a digital object D, and e be an i-cell (i = 0, ..., n - 1). We say that $t_i = \{v_1, v_2\}$ forms an i-tandem of D over e if $D \cap B_i(e) = \{v_1, v_2\}$, v_1 and v_2 are strictly i-adjacent and $v_1 \cap v_2 = e$.

Definition 10. Let *D* be a digital *n*-object and *e* be an *i*-cell (with i = 0, ..., n - 2). We say that *D* has an *i*-gap over *e* if there exists an *i*-block $B_i(e)$ such that $B_i(e) \setminus D$ is an *i*-tandem over *e*. The cell *e* is called *i*-hub of the related *i*-gap. Moreover, we denote by $g_i(D)$ (or simply by g_i if no confusion arises) the number of *i*-gap of *D*.

Examples of gaps for 3D case are given in Figure 1.

Proposition 5. A digital n-object D has an (n-2)-gap over an (n-2)-hub e iff there exist two n-voxels v_1 and v_2 such that:

1) $e < v_1$ and $e < v_2$; 2) $v_1 \in A_{n-2}(v_2) \setminus A_{n-1}(v_2)$; 3) $A_{n-1}(v_1) \cap A_{n-1}(v_2) \cap D = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let us suppose that *D* has an (n-2)-gap over an (n-2)-hub *e*. Then there exists an (n-2)-block $B = B_{n-2}(e)$ such that $B \setminus D$ is an (n-2)-tandem over *e*. Hence $B \setminus D$ is composed of two strictly (n-2)-adjacent *n*-voxel, let us say v_1, v_2 , and $v_1 \cap v_2 = e$. This implies that $e \subset v_1$ and $e \subset v_2$, and so $e < v_1$ and $e < v_2$.

Now, let us suppose that $v_1 \notin A_{n-2}(v_2) \setminus A_{n-1}(v_2)$. Then it should be $v_1 \notin A_{n-2}(v_2)$ or $v_1 \in A_{n-1}(v_2)$. Both expressions lead to a contradiction, since v_1 and v_2 are strictly (n-2)-adjacent.

Finally, let us suppose that $A_{n-1}(v_1) \cap A_{n-1}(v_2) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. Then it should exists an *n*-voxel $v_3 \in D$ such that $v_3 \in A_{n-1}(v_1)$ and $v_3 \in A_{n-1}(v_2)$. Hence $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ forms an *L*-block. A contradiction since v_1 and v_2 are strictly (n - 2)-adjacent.

Conversely, let us suppose that conditions 1), 2), and 3) hold, and, by contradiction, that for any (n-2)-cell $e \in D$, $E = B_{n-2}(e) \setminus D$ is not an (n-2)-tandem over e. Then E is either an *i*-block (i = n - 2, n - 1) or an L-block whose facts contradict our hypothesis.

Definition 11. An *i*-cell *e* (with i = 0, ..., n - 1) of a digital *n*-object *D* is free iff $B_i(e) \nsubseteq D$.

For any i = 0, ..., n - 1, we denote by $C_i^*(D)$ (respectively by $C_i'(D)$) the set of all free (respectively non-free) *i*-cells of the object *D*. Moreover, we denote by $c_i^*(D)$ (or simply by c_i^*) the number of free *i*-cells of *D*, and by $c_i'(D)$ (or simply by c_i') the number of non-free cells. It is evident that $\{C_i^*(D), C_i'(D)\}$ forms a partition of $C_i(D)$ and that $c_i = c_i^* + c_i'$.

Definition 12. The *i*-border (i = 1, ..., n - 1) $bd_i(D)$ of a digital *n*-object *D* is the set of all its *i*-cells such that $B_i(e)$ intersects both *D* and $\mathbb{C}_n \setminus D$. The union of all *i*-borders ($0 \le i \le n - 1$) is called border of *D* and denoted by bd(D).

An immediate consequence of Definitions 11 and 12 is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 6. An *i*-cell e (i = 0, ..., n - 1) of a digital object D is free iff $e \in bd(D)$.

Remark 7. The border bd(D) of a digital n-object is composed of the set of all free cells of D. Moreover, c'_i coincides with the number of all i-blocks $B_i(e)$ such that $B_i(e) \subseteq D$.

4. Main Results

Definition 13. Let e be an i-cells of \mathbb{C}_n . The j-flower of e $(i < j \le n)$ is the set of cells $F_j(e)$ constituted by all j-cells that are bounded by e, that is we set $F_j(e) = \{c \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(j)} : e < c\}$. The cell e is called the center of the flower, while an element of $F_j(e)$ is called a j-petal (or simply petal if confusion does not arise) of the j-flower $F_j(e)$.

Let us note that Definition 13 is a generalization of the notion of *i*-block given in Definition 8. Indeed an *i*-block centered on an *i*-cell e can be considered like the *n*-flower of e.

Notation 8. Let *i*, *j* be two natural number such that $0 \le i < j$. We denote by $c_{i \to j}$ the maximum number of i-cells of \mathbb{C}_n that bound a j-cell. Moreover, we denote by $c_{i \leftarrow j}$ the maximum number of j-cell of \mathbb{C}_n that are bounded by an *i-cell*.

Let us note that, for any $0 \le i < j$, $c_{i \leftarrow j}$ represents the number of *j*-petal of the *j*-flower $F_j(e)$, where *e* is a cell of dimension *i*.

Proposition 9. For any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \le i < j$, it is

$$c_{i \to j} = 2^{j-i} \binom{j}{i}.$$

Proof. Since a *j*-cell of \mathbb{C}_n can be considered like an hypercube of dimension *j*, the number $c_{i \to j}$ corresponds with the number of *i*-faces of this hypercube which is $2^{j-i} \binom{j}{i}$ (see, for example, [12]).

Proposition 10. For any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \le i < j$, it is

$$c_{i\leftarrow j} = 2^{j-i} \binom{n-i}{j-i}.$$

Proof. Let *e* be an *i*-cell of \mathbb{C}_n , and let $F_j(e)$ be the related *j*-flower. The dual Φ' of $\Phi = F_j(e) \cup \{e\}$ is an incidence structure (V, \mathcal{B}, I) , where $V = \{p': p \in F_j(e)\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{e'\}$ and I is the dual relation of the bounding relation <. Moreover, we have dim(e') = n - i and dim(p') = n - j. Hence, up to a bijection, Φ' is the set composed of the (n - i)-cell e' and by all the possible (n - j)-cells which bound e'. It follows that the maximum number $c_{i\leftarrow j}$ of *j*-cells that are bounded by a given *i*-cell coincides with the maximum number of (n - j)-cells that bound an (n - i)-cell, that is, by Proposition 9,

$$c_{i\leftarrow j} = c_{n-j\to n-i} = 2^{n-i-n+j} \binom{n-i}{n-j} = 2^{j-i} \binom{n-i}{j-i}.$$

Lemma 11. Let D be a digital n-object. Then

$$c_{n-1} = 2nc_n - c'_{n-1}.$$



Figure 2: The five possible cases for the set $V = \{v \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(n)} : e < v\}$ in 3D case. The black thick segment represents the edge *e*.

Proof. Let us consider the set

$$F = \bigcup_{v \in C_n(D)} \{ (e, v) \colon e \in C_{n-1}(D), e < v \}.$$

It is evident that $|F| = |\{(e, v): e \in C_{n-1}(D), e < v\}| \cdot |C_n(D)| = c_{n-1 \to n} \cdot c_n = 2nc_n$. Let us set $F^* = F \cap (C^*_{n-1}(D) \times C_n(D))$ and $F' = F \cap (C'_{n-1}(D) \times C_n(D))$. The map $\phi: F^* \to C^*_{n-1}(D)$, defined by $\phi(e, v) = e$, is a bijection. In fact, besides being evidently surjective, it is also injective, since, if by contradiction there were two distinct pairs (e, v_1) and $(e, v_2) \in F^*$ associated to e, then $B_{n-1}(e) = \{v_1, v_2\}$ should be an (n - 1)-block contained in D. This contradicts the fact that the (n - 1)-cell e is free. Thus $|F^*| = |C^*_{n-1}(D)| = c^*_{n-1}$.

On the other hand,
$$|F'| = \left| \bigcup_{v \in C_n(D)} \{(e, v) \colon e \in C'_{n-1}(D), e < v \} \right| = \left| \bigcup_{e \in C'_{n-1}(D)} \{(e, v) \colon v \in C_n(D), e < v \} \right| = \left| \{(e, v) \colon v \in C_n(D), e < v \} \right|$$

 $C_n(D), e < v \} \Big| \cdot \Big| C'_{n-1}(D) \Big| = c_{n-1 \leftarrow n} \cdot c'_{n-1} = 2c'_{n-1}.$ Since $\{F^*, F'\}$ is a partition of F, we finally have that $|F| = |F^*| + |F'|$, that is $2nc_n = c^*_{n-1} + 2c'_{n-1} = c_{n-1} - c'_{n-1} + 2c'_{n-1} = c_{n-1} + c'_{n-1}$, and then the thesis.

Notation 12. Let *e* be an *i*-cell of a digital *n*-object *D*, and $0 \le i < j$. We denote by $b_j(e, D)$ (or simply by $b_j(e)$ if no confusion arises) the number of *j*-cells of bd(D) that are bounded by *e*.

Let us note that if *e* is a non-free *i*-cell, then $b_i(e) = 0$.

Definition 14. A free *i*-cell of a digital *n*-object that is not an *i*-hub is called *i*-nub.

Notation 13. For any i = 0, ..., n - 1, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_i(D)$ and by $\mathcal{N}_i(D)$ (or simply by \mathcal{H}_i and by \mathcal{N}_i if no confusion arises) the sets of *i*-hubs and *i*-nubs of *D*, respectively. We have $|\mathcal{H}_i| = g_i$ and $|\mathcal{N}_i| = c_i^* - g_i$.

We are interested in classifying all the possible configurations of *n*-voxels bounded by an (n - 2)-cell *e*.

Lemma 14. Let e be an (n - 2)-cell of \mathbb{C}_n , and $V = \{v \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(n)} : e < v\}$ be the set of n-voxels bounded by e. Then one and only one of the following five cases occurs (See Figure 2 for an example for 3D case):

- V is a singleton and e is a simple cell;
- *V* is an (n 1)-block centered on an (n 1)-cell that is bounded by *e*;
- V is (n-2)-gap and e is its (n-2)-hub;
- V is an L-block and e is its center;
- V is an (n-2)-block and e is its center.

Proof. By Definition 8(1), the largest set of *n*-voxels bounded by *e* is the (n - 2)-block centered on *e*. Moreover, by Remark 4, $c_n(B_{n-2}(e)) = 4$. Hence, the number $c_n(V)$ of *n*-voxels of *V* have to be between one and four and, up to symmetries, we can distinguish the following cases.

If $c_n(V) = 1$, *V* is a single *n*-voxel. If $c_n(V) = 2$, we have two configurations, depending on the relative position of the two *n*-voxels v_1 and v_2 . More precisely, if v_1 and v_2 are strictly (n - 1)-adjacent, then they form an (n - 1)-block centered on an (n - 1)-cell that is bounded by *e*; instead, if they are strictly (n - 2)-adjacent, they form an (n - 2)-gap having *e* as (n - 2)-hub. If $c_n(V) = 3$, by Definition 8(2) and Remark 4, the unique possible configuration is given by the *L*-block centered on *e*.

Proposition 15. Let v be an n-voxel and e be one of its i-cells, i = 0, ..., n - 1. Then, for any $i < j \le n$, it results:

$$b_j(e) = \frac{c_{i \to j} c_{j \to n}}{c_{i \to n}}.$$

Proof. Let us consider the incidence structure $I = (C_i(v), C_j(v), <)$. By Proposition 3, it is $\sum_{a \in C_i(v)} r_a = \sum_{a \in C_j(v)} k_a$. Evidently, $|C_i(v)| = c_i = c_{i \to n}$ and $|C_j(v)| = c_j = c_{j \to n}$, while, for any *i*-cell *a* of $C_i(v)$ (respectively *j*-cell *a* of $C_j(v)$), $r_a = b_j(e)$ (respectively $k_a = c_{i \to j}$). Hence we have $b_j(e)c_{i \to n} = c_{i \to j}c_{j \to n}$, from which we get the thesis.

Corollary 16. Let v be an n-voxel and e be one of its i-cell, i = 0, ..., n - 1. Then, for any $i < j \le n$, we have

$$b_j(e) = \binom{n-i}{j-i}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 15, it is

$$b_{j}(e) = \frac{c_{i \to j}c_{j \to n}}{c_{i \to n}} = \frac{2^{j-i}\binom{j}{i}2^{n-j}\binom{n}{j}}{2^{n-i}\binom{n}{i}} = \frac{j!}{(j-i)!i!} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-j)!j!} \cdot \frac{(n-i)!i!}{n!} = \frac{(n-i)!}{(n-j)!(j-i)!} = \binom{n-i}{j-i}.$$

Lemma 17. Let e be an (n-1)-cell of \mathbb{C}_n . Then the number of *i*-cells of the (n-1)-block centered on e is

$$c_i(B_{n-1}(e)) = \frac{3n+i}{2n}c_{i\to n}.$$

Proof. By Remark 4, $B_{n-1}(e)$ is composed of two (n-1)-adjacent *n*-voxels. Each of such voxels has exactly $c_{i\to n}$ *i*-cells, but some of these cells are in common. The number of these common *i*-cells coincides with the number of *i*-cells of the center *e* of the given block. So, we have $c_i(B_{n-1}(e)) = 2c_{i\to n} - c_{i\to n-1} = 2 \cdot 2^{n-i} {n \choose i} - 2^{n-1-i} {n-1 \choose i} = 2^{n-1-i} {n-1 \choose i}$

$$2 \cdot 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} - 2^{n-i-1} \binom{n}{i} \frac{n-1}{n} = 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \left(2 - \frac{n-i}{2n}\right) = \frac{3n+i}{2n} c_{i \to n}.$$

Lemma 18. Let e be an (n-1)-cell of \mathbb{C}_n . Then the number of free (n-1)-cells of the (n-1)-block centered on e is:

$$c_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(e)) = 2(2n-1).$$

Proof. By applying Lemma 11 to the digital object $B_{n-1}(e)$, we have $c'_{n-1} + c^*_{n-1} = 2nc_n - c'_{n-1}$. But for an (n-1)-block it is $c_n = 2$ and $c'_{n-1} = 1$. Then $c^*_{n-1} = 2(2n-1)$.

Proposition 19. Let *e* be a free (n - 2)-cells that belongs to the center of an (n - 1)-block $B_{n-1}(f)$, then $b_{n-1}(e) = 2$.

Proof. Let us consider the incidence structure $(C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f)), C^*_{n-1}(B_{n-1}(f)), <)$. By Lemma 17, it is $|C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))| = c_{n-2} = 2(n-1)(2n-1)$, and by Lemma 18, we have $|C^*_{n-1}(B_{n-1}(f))| = c^*_{n-1} = 4n-2$. Moreover, by Proposition 3, it is

$$\sum_{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))} r_a = \sum_{a \in C_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(f))} k_a.$$

Since for any $a \in C^*_{n-1}(B_{n-1}(f))$ it is $k_a = c_{n-2 \to n-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{a \in C^*_{n-1}(B_{n-1}(f))} k_a = c^*_{n-1} \cdot c_{n-2 \to n-1} = (4n-2) \cdot 2 \cdot (n-1) = 4(2n-1)(n-1)$$

Let us consider the sets

$$F = \{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f)) \colon a < f\}$$

and

$$G = \{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f)) \colon a \not< f\}.$$

Since $\{F, G\}$ forms a partition of $C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))$, we can write

$$\sum_{a\in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))}r_a=\sum_{a\in F}r_a+\sum_{a\in G}r_a.$$

For any $a \in F$, $r_a = b_{n-1}(e)$, and so

$$\sum_{a \in F} r_a = |F|b_{n-1}(e) = c_{n-2 \to n-1}b_{n-1}(e) = 2(n-1)b_{n-1}(e).$$

Instead, thanks to Proposition 15, for any $a \in G$, we have

$$r_a = b_{n-1}(e) = \frac{c_{n-2 \to n-1} \cdot c_{n-1 \to n}}{c_{n-2 \to n}} = 2$$

Hence, we get that

$$\sum_{a \in G} r_a = 2(c_{n-2} - c_{n-2 \to n-1}) = 2(2(n-1)(2n-1) - 2(n-1)) = 4(n-1)(2n-1) - 4(n-1).$$

To sum up, we can write $4(n-1)(2n-1) - 4(n-1) + 2(n-1)b_{n-1}(e) = 4(2n-1)(n-1)$, from which we get the thesis.

Lemma 20. Let e be an (n-2)-cell of \mathbb{C}_n . Then the number of i-cells of the L-block centered on e is:

$$c_i(L(e)) = \left(\frac{2n+i}{n}\right)c_{i\to n}$$

Proof. By Remark 4, L(e) is composed of three *n*-voxels, which are pairwise (n-1)-adjacent in exactly two non-free (n-1)-cells. Each of these three voxels has exactly $c_{i\to n}$ *i*-cells, but some of these cells are in common. The number of such common *i*-cells coincides with the number of *i*-cells of the two non-free (n-1)-cells. So, we have $c_i(L(e)) = 3c_{i\to n} - 2c_{i\to n-1} = 3 \cdot 2^{n-i} {n \choose i} - 2 \cdot 2^{n-i-1} {n-1 \choose i} = 3 \cdot 2^{n-i} {n \choose i} - 2^{n-i} {n$

Lemma 21. Let e be an (n-1)-cell of \mathbb{C}_n . Then the number of free (n-1)-cells of the L-block centered on e is:

$$c_{n-1}^*(L(e)) = 2(3n-2).$$

Proof. By applying Lemma 11 to the digital object L(e), we have $c'_{n-1} + c^*_{n-1} = 2nc_n - c'_{n-1}$. But for an *L*-block it is $c_n = 3$ and $c'_{n-1} = 2$. Then $c^*_{n-1} = 2(3n-2)$.

Proposition 22. Let *e* be a free (n - 2)-cells which is the center of an L-block L(e). Then $b_{n-1}(e) = 2$.

Proof. Let us consider the incidence structure $(C_{n-2}(L(e)), C_{n-1}^*(L(e)), <)$. By Lemma 20, we have $|C_{n-2}(L(e))| = c_{n-2} = 2(n-1)(3n-2)$, and by Lemma 21, it is $|C_{n-1}^*(L(e))| = c_{n-1}^* = 2(3n-2)$.

By Proposition 3, it is

$$\sum_{e \in C_{n-2}(L(e))} r_a = \sum_{a \in C_{n-1}^*(L(e))} k_a.$$
 (2)

Since for any $a \in C^*_{n-1}(L(e))$ it is $k_a = c_{n-1 \to n-2}$, we have

$$\sum_{a \in C^*_{n-1}(L(e))} k_a = c^*_{n-1} \cdot c_{n-1 \to n-2} = 2(3n-2) \cdot 2 \cdot (n-1) = 4(3n-2)(n-1)$$

Let us set $F = \mathbb{C}'_{n-1}(L(e))$, and let us consider the sets:

 $B = \{ c \in \mathbb{C}_{n-2}(L(e)) \colon c \not< f, \text{ for some } f \in F \}.$ $C = \{ c \in \mathbb{C}_{n-2}(L(e)) \{ e \} \colon c < f, \text{ for some } f \in F \}.$

 $A = \{e\},\$

Let us observe that |F| = 2 because the number of (n - 1)-block of L(e) is 2. Since $\{A, B, C\}$ forms a partition of $C_{n-2}(L(e))$, it results

$$\sum_{a \in C_{n-2}(L(e))} r_a = r_e + \sum_{a \in B} r_a + \sum_{a \in C} r_a,$$
(3)

where, evidently, $r_e = b_{n-1}(e)$.

Moreover, by Proposition 19, it is $\sum_{a \in B} r_a = (2c_{n-2 \to n-1} - 2) \cdot 2 = (2 \cdot 2(n-1) - 2) \cdot 2 = 8(n-1) - 4$. Finally, by Proposition 15, we have $\sum_{a \in C} r_a = 2(c_{n-2} - 2c_{n-2 \to n-1} + 1) = 2(2(3n-2)(n-1) - 2 \cdot 2(n-1) + 1) = 4(3n-2)(n-1) - 8(n-1) + 2$.

Thus, replacing these results into formulas 3 and 2, we obtain $4(3n-2)(n-1) = b_{n-1}(e) + 8(n-1) - 4 + 4(3n-2)(n-1) - 8(n-1) + 2$, from which we get the thesis.

Proposition 23. Let D be a digital object of \mathbb{C}_n and $e \in \mathcal{H}_{n-2}$. Then $b_{n-1}(e) = 4$.

Proof. Let v_1 and v_2 be the two *n*-voxels of the (n-2)-gap through *e*. Then the number $b_{n-1}(e)$ of free (n-1)-cells of *D* bounded by *e* coincides with the maximum number of (n-1)-cells bounded by an (n-2)-cell, that is, by Proposition 10:

$$b_{n-1}(e) = c_{n-2 \leftarrow n-1} = 2^{(n-1)-(n-2)} \binom{n-(n-2)}{(n-1)-(n-2)} = 4.$$

Proposition 24. Let *D* be a digital object of \mathbb{C}_n and $e \in \mathcal{N}_{n-2}$. Then $b_{n-1}(e) = 2$.

Proof. Every free (n-2)-cell that is not an (n-2)-hub is either a simple cell, or bounds the center of an (n-1)-block, or is the center of an *L*-block. Hence, by Corollary 16 and Propositions 19 and 22, we get the thesis.

Proposition 25. Let D be a digital n-object, and $i < j \le n - 1$. Then

$$\sum_{e \in bd_i(D)} b_j(e) = c_{i \to j} c_j^*.$$

Proof. The *i*-border $bd_i(D)$ of *D* can be considered as an incidence structure (V, \mathcal{B}, I) , where $V = bd_i(D)$, $\mathcal{B} = bd_j(D)$, and the incidence relation *I* is the bounding relation <.

In such a structure, the point degree of every vertex $e \in V$ coincides with the number $b_j(e)$ of *j*-cells of bd(D) bounded by *e*. Moreover, the block degree k_β of every block \mathcal{B} coincides with the maximum number $c_{i\to j}$ of *i*-cells that bound a *j*-cell. Hence, by Proposition 3, $\sum_{e \in bd_i(D)} b_j(e) = \sum_{\beta \in bd_j(D)} c_{i\to j} = c_{i\to j} |bd_j(D)| = c_{i\to j} c_j^*$. **Theorem 26.** The number of (n-2)-gaps of a digital object D of \mathbb{C}_n is given by the formula:

$$g_{n-2} = (n-1)c_{n-1}^* - c_{n-2}^*.$$
(4)

Proof. Let us consider the sets \mathcal{H}_{n-2} and \mathcal{N}_{n-2} of all (n-2)-hubs and (n-2)-nubs of D, respectively. Evidently $\{\mathcal{H}_{n-2}, \mathcal{N}_{n-2}\}$ is a partition of $bd_{n-2}(D)$. Moreover, for i = n-1 and j = n-2, Proposition 25 give us

$$\sum_{e \in bd_{n-2}(D)} b_{n-1}(e) = c_{n-2 \to n-1} c_{n-1}^* = 2(n-1)c_{n-1}^*.$$

Since

$$\sum_{e \in bd_{n-2}} b_{n-1}(e) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{H}_{n-2}} b_{n-1}(e) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{N}_{n-2}} b_{n-1}(e)$$

by Lemmas 23 and 24, we obtain

$$\sum_{e \in bd_{n-2}} b_{n-1}(e) = 4|\mathcal{H}_{n-2}| + 2|\mathcal{N}_{n-2}| = 4g_{n-2} + 2(c_{n-2}^* - g_{n-2})$$

and hence the thesis.

In [7], it was proved that the number of (n - 2)-gap of a digital *n*-object D can be expressed by

$$g_{n-2} = -2n(n-1)c_n + 2(n-1)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + \beta_{n-2},$$
(5)

where β_{n-2} is the number of all (n-2)-blocks contained in *D*.

Such a formula is equivalent to the expression (4) obtained in Theorem 26. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 27. The formulas

$$g_{n-2} = (n-1)c_{n-1}^* - c_{n-2}^* \tag{6}$$

and

$$g_{n-2} = -2n(n-1)c_n + 2(n-1)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + \beta_{n-2}$$
(7)

are equivalent.

Proof. By Lemma 11, we have

$$c_{n-1}^* = c_{n-1} - c_{n-1}' = c_{n-1} + c_{n-1} - 2nc_n = 2c_{n-1} - 2nc_n.$$

Hence, replacing the latter expression in (6), we obtain

$$g_{n-2} = (n-1)c_{n-1}^* - c_{n-2}^* = 2(n-1)c_{n-1} - 2(n-1)c_n - c_{n-2} + c_{n-2}'.$$

Finally, since c'_{n-2} is the number β_{n-2} of (n-2)-blocks contained in *D*, we get Formula (7). Conversely, by Lemma 11, we have $c_n = \frac{c_{n-1}+c'_{n-1}}{2n}$. Thus Formula (7) becomes

$$g_{n-2} = -2n(n-1)\frac{c_{n-1} + c'_{n-1}}{2n} + 2(n-1)c_{n-1} + c^*_{n-2} = -(n-1)c'_{n-1} + (n-1)c_{n-1} + c^*_{n-2} = (n-1)c^*_{n-1} + c^*_{n-2},$$

that is Formula (6). This completes our proof.

5. Conclusion and Perspective

In this paper we have found a new formula for expressing the number of (n-2)-gaps of a digital *n*-object by means of its free cells. Unlike the equivalent formula (5) given in [7], our expression has the advantage to involve only few intrinsic parameters. We hypothesize that such information could be obtained from some appropriate data structure related to the digital *n*-object. This will be the focus of a forthcoming research.

Another field of investigation could consist in finding a formula, analogous to (4), which express the number of any k-gaps with $0 \le k \le n - 3$, by means of same basic parameters of the digital *n*-object.

References

- [1] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, An explicit formula for the number of tunnels in digital objects, ARXIV (2005), http://arxiv.org/abs/cs.DM/0505084.
- [2] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, R.P. Barneva, R. Klette, *The number of gaps in binary pictures*, Proceedings of the ISVC 2005, Lake Tahoe, NV, USA, December 5-7, 2005, (Editors: Bebis G., Boyle R., Koracin D., Parvin B.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 3804 (2005), 35 - 42.
- [3] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, *Counting Gaps in Binary Pictures*, Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop, IWCIA 2006, Berlin, GERMANY, June 2006, (Editors: Reulke R., Eckardt U., Flach B., Knauer U., Polthier K.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, LNCS 4040 (2006), 16 24.
- [4] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, On the notion of dimension in digital spaces, Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop, IWCIA 2006, Berlin, GERMANY, June 2006, (Editors: Reulke R., Eckardt U., Flach B., Knauer U., Polthier K.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, LNCS 4040 (2006), 241 - 252.
- [5] V. E. Brimkov, G. Nordo, R. P. Barneva, A. Maimone, Genus and Dimension of Digital Images and their Time- and Space-Efficient Computation, International Journal of Shape Modeling 14,2 (2008), 147-168.
- [6] A. Maimone, G. Nordo, On 1-gaps in 3D Digital Objects, Filomat 25:3 (2011), 85-91.
- [7] V.E. Brimkov, Formulas for the number of (n-2)-gaps of binary objects in arbitrary dimension, Discrete Applied Mathematics **157**(3) (2009), 452-463.
- [8] R. Klette, A. Rosenfeld, Digital Geometry Geometric Methods for Digital Picture Analysis, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 2004.
- [9] V.A. Kovalevsky, *Finite topology as applied to image analysis*, Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing 46(2) (1989) 141-161.
- [10] D. Knuth, Two Notes on Notation, American Mathematics Montly, Volume 99, Number 5, (1992), 403-422 (http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9205211).
- [11] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design Theory* Volume 1, II ed., Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [12] H.S.M. Coxeter, Regular Polytopes, Dover 1973.