Angelo MAIMONE<sup>a</sup>, Giorgio NORDO<sup>a,\*</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Universit`a degli Studi di Messina, Contrada Papardo, Salita Sperone 31, 98166, Sant'Agata, Messina.*

### **Abstract**

We provide a formula that expresses the number of (*n* − 2)-gaps of a generic digital *n*-object. Such a formula has the advantage to involve only a few simple intrinsic parameters of the object and it is obtained by using a combinatorial technic based on incidence structure and on the notion of free cells. This approach seems suitable as a model for an automatic computation, and also allow us to find some expressions for the maximum number of *i*-cells that bound or are bounded by a fixed *j*-cell.

*Keywords:* gap, free cell, tandem, bounding relation, digital object, incidence structure *2010 MSC:* 52C99, 52C45

# **1. Introduction**

With the word "gap" in Digital Geometry we mean some basic portion of a digital object that a discrete ray can cross without intersecting any voxel of the object itself. Since such a notion is strictly connected with some applications in the field of Computer graphics (e.g. the rendering of a 3D image by the ray-tracing technique), many papers (see for example [1], [2], [3], [\[4\]](#page-10-0), and [\[5](#page-10-1)]) concerned the study of 0- and 1-gaps of 3-dimensional objects and of some of their topological invariant such as dimension and genus (i.e. the degree of connectedness of a digital image). Recently (see [\[6](#page-10-2)]), we have found a formula for expressing the number of 1-gaps of a digital 3-object by means of the number of its free cells of dimension 1 and 2. During the submission process of that paper, the anonymous referee raised to our attention the existence of another recent and more general formula presented in [\[7\]](#page-10-3) which gives the number of a generical (*n*−2)-gaps of any digital *n*-object. Unfortunately, such formula involves some parameters (the number of (*n* − 2)-blocks and of *n*-, (*n* − 1)- and (*n* − 2)- cells) that are non-intrinsic or that can not be easily obtained by the geometrical knowledge of the object. For such a reason, in the present paper, we propose a generalization of the formula obtained in [\[6](#page-10-2)] that allow us to express the number of  $(n - 2)$ -gaps using only two basic parameters, that is the number of free  $(n - 2)$ - and  $(n - 1)$ -cells of the object itself. Although we prove the equivalence between these two formulas, the latter approach seems simpler and more suitable as a model for an automatic computation.

In order to obtain our formula, we adopt a combinatorial technic based on the notion of incidence structure, which also allow us to find a couple of interesting expressions for the maximum number of *i*-cells that bound or are bounded by a fixed *j*-cell.

In the next section we recall and formalize some basic notions and notations of digital geometry. In Section [3,](#page-3-0) we introduce the notions of tandem and gap, and we give some elementary facts about them. In Section [4,](#page-4-0) we prove some propositions concerning, in particular, the number of  $(n - 1)$ -cells of the boundary of a digital object that are bounded by a given (*n* − 2)-cell satisfying some particular condition, and we use such results to obtain our main formula for the number of (*n* − 2)-gaps. Finally, in Section [5,](#page-9-0) we resume the goal of the paper and we give some suggestions for other future researches.

 $\hat{z}$ This research was supported by P.R.I.N, P.R.A. and I.N.D.A.M. (G.N.S.A.G.A.).

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +39-090-393502.

*Email addresses:* angelo.maimone@unime.org (Angelo MAIMONE), giorgio.nordo@unime.org (Giorgio NORDO )

## **2. Preliminaries**

Throughout this paper we use the *grid cell model* for representing digital objects, and we adopt the terminology from [\[8\]](#page-10-4) and [\[9\]](#page-10-5).

Let  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a point of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\theta \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$  be an *n*-word over the alphabet  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ , and  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We define *i*-cell related to *x* and  $\theta$ , and we denote it by  $e = (x, \theta)$ , the Cartesian product, in a certain fixed order, of  $n - i$ singletons  $\left\{x_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\right\}$  by *i* closed sets  $\left[x_j - \frac{1}{2}, x_j + \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , i.e. we set

$$
e = (x, \theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[ x_j + \frac{1}{2} \theta_j - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0], x_j + \frac{1}{2} \theta_j + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0] \right],
$$

where  $\lceil \bullet \rceil$  denotes the Iverson bracket [\[10](#page-10-6)]. The word  $\theta$  is called the *direction* of the cell  $(x, \theta)$  related to the point *x*. Let us note that an *i*-cell can be related to different point  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and, once we have fixed it, can be related to different direction. So, when we talk generically about *i*-cell, we mean one of its possible representation.

The dimension of a cell  $e = (x, \theta)$ , denoted by dim( $e = i$ , is the number of non-trivial interval of its product representation, i.e. the number of null components of its direction  $\theta$ . Thus, dim( $e$ ) =  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} [\theta_j = 0]$  or, equivalently, dim(*e*) =  $n - \theta \cdot \theta$ . So, *e* is an *i*-cell if and only if it has dimension *i*.

We denote by  $\mathbb{C}_n^{(i)}$  the set of all *i*-cells of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and by  $\mathbb{C}_n$  the set of all cells defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e. we set  $\mathbb{C}_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^n \mathbb{C}_n^{(j)}$ . An *n*-cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$  is also called an *n*-voxel. So, for convenience, an *n*-voxel is denoted by *v*, while we use other lower case letter (usually *e*) to denote cells of lower dimension. A finite collection *D* of *n*-voxels is a digital *n*-object. For any  $i = 0, \ldots, n$ , we denote by  $C_i(D)$  the set of all *i*-cells of the object *D*, that is  $D \cap \mathbb{C}_n^{(i)}$ , and by  $c_i(D)$  (or simply by  $c_i$  if no confusion arise) its cardinality  $|C_i(D)|$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Definition 1.** Let  $e = (x, \theta)$  be an *i*-cell. The center of e is defined by cnt( $e$ ) =  $x + \frac{1}{2}\theta$ .

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Remark 1.** Let us note that for a cell  $e = (x, \theta)$ , we have cnt( $e$ ) = x if and only if  $dim(e) = n$ . Moreover, thanks to *Definition [1,](#page-1-0) an i-cell related to x and* θ *can be shortly represented in the following way:*

$$
e = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \text{cnt}(e)_j - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0], \text{cnt}(e)_j + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0] \right].
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span>**Definition 2.** Let  $e = (x, \theta)$  be an *i*-cell related to the point x and to the direction  $\theta$ . We define dual e' of e, the cell *represented by the following cartesian product:*

$$
e'=\prod_{j=1}^n\left[cnt(e)_j-\frac{1}{2}[\theta_j\neq 0],cnt(e)_j+\frac{1}{2}[\theta_j\neq 0]\right].
$$

By the above expression and the definition of dimension of a cell, we have that the dimension of the dual *e* ′ of a cell  $e = (x, \theta)$  coincides with the number of non-null components of the direction  $\theta$ , that is dim( $e'$ ) =  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} [\theta_j \neq 0]$ . Consequently, the dual  $e'$  of an *i*-cell  $e$  is an  $(n - i)$ -cell.

**Definition 3.** Let D be a digital object. The dual D' of D is the set of all dual cells e', with  $e \in D$ .

We say that two *n*-cells  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  are *i*-adjacent (*i* = 0, 1, ..., *n* − 1) if  $v_1 \neq v_2$  and there exists at least an *i*-cell  $\overline{e}$  such that  $\overline{e}$  ⊆ *v*<sub>1</sub> ∩ *v*<sub>2</sub>, that is if they are distinct and share at least an *i*-cell. Two *n*-cells *v*<sub>1</sub>, *v*<sub>2</sub> are *strictly i*-adjacent, if they are *i*-adjacent but not *j*-adjacent, for any  $j > i$ , that is if  $v_1 \cap v_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(i)}$ . The set of all *n*-cells that are *i*-adjacent to a given *n*-voxel *v* is denoted by  $A_i(v)$  and called the *i*-*adjacent neighborhoods* of *v*. Two cells  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n$  are *incident* each other, and we write  $e_1Ie_2$ , if  $e_1 \nsubseteq e_2$  or  $e_2 \nsubseteq e_1$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{C}_n$ . We say that  $e_1$  bounds  $e_2$  (or that  $e_2$  is bounded by  $e_1$ ), and we write  $e_1 < e_2$ , if  $e_1Ie_2$ *and*  $dim(e_1) < dim(e_2)$ *. The relation* < *is called bounding relation.* 

**Definition 5.** Let e be an i-cell of a digital n-object D (with  $i = 0, \ldots n - 1$ ). We say that e is simple if e bounds one *and only one n-cell.*

**Definition 6.** *Let D and G be two finite subsets of* C*n. We say that D and G form a dual pair i*ff *there exists a bijection*  $\varphi: D \to G$  that inverts the bounded relation, that is for any couple  $e, f \in D$ , if  $e < f$  then  $\varphi(f) < \varphi(e)$ , and for any  $e \in D$ , dim( $\varphi(e)$ ) = *n* − dim(*e*).

# **Proposition 2.** *Let D be a digital n-object and D*′ *its dual. Then D and D*′ *form a dual pair.*

*Proof.* Let us consider the mapping  $\varphi: D \to D'$  that associates to each cell  $e = (x, \theta) \in D$  its dual  $\varphi(e) = e'$ . Since, by Remark [1](#page-1-1) and Definition [2,](#page-1-2) both *e* and *e'* are uniquely determinated by the point *x* and the direction  $\theta$ , it is clear that  $\varphi$  is a bijection.

By a basic property of the Iverson notation, for every cell  $e = (x, \theta)$ , we have that

$$
\dim(\varphi(e)) = \dim(e') = \sum_{j=1}^n [\theta_j \neq 0] = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - [\theta_j = 0]\right) = n - \sum_{j=1}^n [\theta_j = 0] = n - \dim(e).
$$

Moreover,  $\varphi$  inverts the bounding relation < over  $\mathbb{C}_n$ . Indeed, for every couple of cells  $e = (x, \theta)$  and  $f = (y, \psi)$  in *D* such that  $e < f$ , without loss of generality, we have that  $e \subseteq f$  and  $\dim(e) < \dim(f)$ . Thus, by Remark [1,](#page-1-1) we get

$$
\prod_{j=1}^n \left[ \text{cnt}(e)_j - \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0], \text{cnt}(e)_j + \frac{1}{2} [\theta_j = 0] \right] \subseteq \prod_{j=1}^n \left[ \text{cnt}(f)_j - \frac{1}{2} [\psi_j = 0], \text{cnt}(f)_j + \frac{1}{2} [\psi_j = 0] \right].
$$

Hence, for every  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have

$$
cnt(f)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j = 0] \leq cnt(e)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j = 0] \leq cnt(e)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j = 0] \leq cnt(f)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j = 0].
$$

and so, we obtain

$$
cnt(e)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j \neq 0] = \text{cnt}(e)_j - \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - [\theta_j = 0]\right) = \text{cnt}(e)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j = 0] - \frac{1}{2} \le \text{cnt}(f)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j = 0] - \frac{1}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \text{cnt}(f)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j \neq 0] \le \text{cnt}(f)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j \neq 0] = \text{cnt}(f)_j + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - [\psi_j = 0]\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \text{cnt}(f)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\psi_j = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \le \text{cnt}(e)_j - \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j = 0] + \frac{1}{2} = \text{cnt}(e)_j + \frac{1}{2}[\theta_j \neq 0],
$$

which implies

$$
\prod_{j=1}^n\left[cnt(f)_j-\frac{1}{2}[\psi_j\neq 0],cnt(f)_j+\frac{1}{2}[\psi_j\neq 0]\right]\subseteq \prod_{j=1}^n\left[cnt(e)_j-\frac{1}{2}[\theta_j\neq 0],cnt(e)_j+\frac{1}{2}[\theta_j\neq 0]\right].
$$

Thus,  $f' \subseteq e'$ , i.e.  $\varphi(f) \subseteq \varphi(e)$ . Finally, since dim(*e*) < dim(*f*), we have dim( $\varphi(f)$ ) = *n* − dim(*f*) < *n* − dim(*e*) =  $\dim(\varphi(e))$  and so  $\varphi(f) < \varphi(e)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 7.** An incidence structure (see [\[11\]](#page-10-7)) is a triple  $(V, \mathcal{B}, I)$  where V and B are any two disjoint sets and I is *a binary relation between V and B, that is*  $I \subseteq V \times B$ . The elements of V are called points, those of B blocks. Instead  $of (p, B) \in I$ *, we simply write pIB and say that "the point p lies on the block B" or "p and B are incident".* 

If *p* is any point of *V*, we denote by (*p*) the set of all blocks incident to *p*, i.e. (*p*) = {*B*  $\in$  *B*: *pIB*}. Similarly, if *B* is any block of B, we denote by (B) the set of all points incident to B, i.e.  $(B) = \{p \in V : pIB\}$ . For a point p, the number  $r_p = |(p)|$  is called the degree of p, and similarly, for a block  $B$ ,  $k_B = |(B)|$  is the degree of B.

We remind the following fundamental proposition of incidence structures.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Proposition 3.** *Let* (*V*, B, I) *be an incidence structure. We have*

$$
\sum_{p \in V} r_p = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} k_B,\tag{1}
$$

*where r<sub>p</sub>* and  $k_B$  are the degrees of any point  $p \in V$  and any block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , respectively.



<span id="page-3-1"></span>Figure 1: Configurations of 1- and 0-gaps in  $\mathbb{C}_3$ .

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**3. Theoretical Backgrounds**

In [3] and [\[6\]](#page-10-2), a constructive definition of gap for a digital object *D* in spaces of dimensions 2 and 3 was proposed, and a relation between the number of such a gaps and the numbers of free cells was found.

In order to generalize those results for the *n*-dimensional space, we need to introduce some definitions and to make some considerations.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Definition 8.** *Let e be an i-cell (with*  $0 \le i \le n - 1$ ) *of*  $\mathbb{C}_n$ *. Then:* 

- (1) An *i*-block centered on e is the union of all the n-voxels bounded by e, i.e.  $B_i(e) = \bigcup \{v \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(n)} : e < v\}$ .
- (2) *An L-block centered on e is an* (*n* − 2)*-block centered on e from which we take away one of its four n-cells, that is L*(*e*) = *B*<sub>*n*−2</sub>(*e*)  $\setminus$  {*v*}*, where <i>v* ∈ *C*<sub>*n*</sub>(*B*<sub>*n*−2</sub>(*e*)*.*

<span id="page-3-4"></span>**Remark 4.** Let us note that, for any i-cell e,  $B_i(e)$  is the union of exactly  $2^{n-i}$  *n*-voxels,  $e \in B_i(e)$ , and that an L-block *is exactly composed of three n-voxels.*

**Definition 9.** Let  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  be two n-voxels of a digital object D, and e be an i-cell  $(i = 0, \ldots, n-1)$ . We say that  $t_i = \{v_1, v_2\}$  *forms an i-tandem of D over e if D* ∩  $B_i(e) = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $v_1$  *and v<sub>2</sub> are strictly i-adjacent and v<sub>1</sub> ∩*  $v_2 = e$ *.* 

**Definition 10.** *Let D be a digital n-object and e be an i-cell (with i* = 0, ...,  $n-2$ ). We say that D has an i-gap over e *if there exists an i-block B<sub>i</sub>(e) such that B<sub>i</sub>(e) \ D is an <i>i-tandem over e. The cell e is called i-hub of the related <i>i-gap*. *Moreover, we denote by gi*(*D*) *(or simply by g<sup>i</sup> if no confusion arises) the number of i-gap of D.*

Examples of gaps for 3D case are given in Figure [1.](#page-3-1)

**Proposition 5.** *A digital n-object D has an*  $(n-2)$ *-gap over an*  $(n-2)$ *-hub e iff there exist two n-voxels v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> such that:*

1)  $e < v_1$  *and*  $e < v_2$ ; 2) *v*<sub>1</sub> ∈  $A_{n-2}(v_2) \setminus A_{n-1}(v_2)$ ; 3)  $A_{n-1}(v_1) \cap A_{n-1}(v_2) \cap D = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let us suppose that *D* has an  $(n-2)$ -gap over an  $(n-2)$ -hub *e*. Then there exists an  $(n-2)$ -block  $B = B_{n-2}(e)$ such that  $B \setminus D$  is an  $(n-2)$ -tandem over *e*. Hence  $B \setminus D$  is composed of two strictly  $(n-2)$ -adjacent *n*-voxel, let us say  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , and  $v_1 \cap v_2 = e$ . This implies that  $e \subset v_1$  and  $e \subset v_2$ , and so  $e \prec v_1$  and  $e \prec v_2$ .

Now, let us suppose that  $v_1 \notin A_{n-2}(v_2) \setminus A_{n-1}(v_2)$ . Then it should be  $v_1 \notin A_{n-2}(v_2)$  or  $v_1 \in A_{n-1}(v_2)$ . Both expressions lead to a contradiction, since  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are strictly  $(n-2)$ -adjacent.

Finally, let us suppose that  $A_{n-1}(v_1) \cap A_{n-1}(v_2) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Then it should exists an *n*-voxel  $v_3 \in D$  such that  $v_3 \in A_{n-1}(v_1)$ and  $v_3 \in A_{n-1}(v_2)$ . Hence  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  forms an *L*-block. A contradiction since  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are strictly  $(n-2)$ -adjacent.

Conversely, let us suppose that conditions 1), 2), and 3) hold, and, by contradiction, that for any  $(n-2)$ -cell  $e ∈ D$ ,  $E = B_{n-2}(e) \setminus D$  is not an  $(n-2)$ -tandem over *e*. Then *E* is either an *i*-block  $(i = n-2, n-1)$  or an *L*-block whose facts contradict our hypothesis.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Definition 11.** An i-cell e (with  $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ ) of a digital n-object D is free iff  $B_i(e) \nsubseteq D$ .

For any  $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ , we denote by  $C_i^*(D)$  (respectively by  $C_i'(D)$ ) the set of all free (respectively non-free) *i*-cells of the object *D*. Moreover, we denote by  $c_i^*(D)$  (or simply by  $c_i^*$ ) the number of free *i*-cells of *D*, and by  $c_i'(D)$ (or simply by  $c'_i$ ) the number of non-free cells. It is evident that  $\{C_i^*(D), C_i'(D)\}$  forms a partition of  $C_i(D)$  and that  $c_i = c_i^* + c'_i.$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Definition 12.** *The i-border*  $(i = 1, ..., n - 1)$   $bd_i(D)$  of a digital n-object D is the set of all its i-cells such that  $B_i(e)$ *intersects both D and*  $\mathbb{C}_n \setminus D$ . The union of all *i-borders* ( $0 \le i \le n - 1$ ) is called border of D and denoted by bd(D).

An immediate consequence of Definitions [11](#page-3-2) and [12](#page-4-1) is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.** *An i-cell e*  $(i = 0, \ldots, n - 1)$  *of a digital object D is free iff e* ∈ *bd*(*D*).

**Remark 7.** *The border bd*(*D*) *of a digital n-object is composed of the set of all free cells of D. Moreover, c*′ *i coincides with the number of all i-blocks*  $B_i(e)$  *such that*  $B_i(e) \subseteq D$ .

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**4. Main Results**

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Definition 13.** Let e be an *i*-cells of  $\mathbb{C}_n$ . The *j*-flower of e ( $i < j \le n$ ) is the set of cells  $F_i(e)$  constituted by all *j*-cells *that are bounded by e, that is we set*  $F_j(e) = \{c \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(j)} : e < c\}$ *. The cell e is called the center of the flower, while an element of Fj*(*e*) *is called a j-petal (or simply petal if confusion does not arise) of the j-flower Fj*(*e*)*.*

Let us note that Definition [13](#page-4-2) is a generalization of the notion of *i*-block given in Definition [8.](#page-3-3) Indeed an *i*-block centered on an *i*-cell *e* can be considered like the *n*-flower of *e*.

**Notation 8.** *Let i*, *j be two natural number such that* 0 ≤ *i* < *j. We denote by ci*→*<sup>j</sup> the maximum number of i-cells of* C*<sup>n</sup> that bound a j-cell. Moreover, we denote by ci*←*<sup>j</sup> the maximum number of j-cell of* C*<sup>n</sup> that are bounded by an i-cell.*

Let us note that, for any  $0 \le i \le j$ ,  $c_{i \leftarrow j}$  represents the number of *j*-petal of the *j*-flower  $F_i(e)$ , where *e* is a cell of dimension *i*.

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Proposition 9.** *For any i, j*  $\in$  *N such that*  $0 \le i \le j$ *, it is* 

$$
c_{i \to j} = 2^{j-i} \binom{j}{i}.
$$

*Proof.* Since a *j*-cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$  can be considered like an hypercube of dimension *j*, the number  $c_{i\to j}$  corresponds with the number of *i*-faces of this hypercube which is  $2^{j-i} \binom{j}{i}$  (see, for example, [\[12\]](#page-10-8)).  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-5"></span>**Proposition 10.** *For any i, j*  $\in$  N *such that*  $0 \le i \le j$ *, it is* 

$$
c_{i \leftarrow j} = 2^{j-i} {n-i \choose j-i}.
$$

*Proof.* Let *e* be an *i*-cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$ , and let  $F_i(e)$  be the related *j*-flower. The dual  $\Phi'$  of  $\Phi = F_i(e) \cup \{e\}$  is an incidence structure  $(V, \mathcal{B}, I)$ , where  $V = \{p' : p \in F_j(e)\}\$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{e'\}\$  and  $I$  is the dual relation of the bounding relation <. Moreover, we have dim(*e'*) =  $n - i$  and dim( $p'$ ) =  $n - j$ . Hence, up to a bijection,  $\Phi'$  is the set composed of the (*n* − *i*)-cell *e*' and by all the possible  $(n - j)$ -cells which bound *e'*. It follows that the maximum number  $c_{i \leftarrow j}$  of *j*-cells that are bounded by a given *i*-cell coincides with the maximum number of  $(n - j)$ -cells that bound an  $(n - i)$ -cell, that is, by Proposition [9,](#page-4-3)

$$
c_{i \leftarrow j} = c_{n-j \to n-i} = 2^{n-i-n+j} {n-i \choose n-j} = 2^{j-i} {n-i \choose j-i}.
$$

<span id="page-4-4"></span>**Lemma 11.** *Let D be a digital n-object. Then*

$$
c_{n-1} = 2nc_n - c'_{n-1}.
$$



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 2: The five possible cases for the set  $V = \{v \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(n)} : e < v\}$  in 3D case. The black thick segment represents the edge *e*.

*Proof.* Let us consider the set

$$
F = \bigcup_{v \in C_n(D)} \{ (e, v) \colon e \in C_{n-1}(D), e < v \}.
$$

It is evident that  $|F| = |((e, v): e \in C_{n-1}(D), e \lt v)|$ <br>and  $F' = F \circ (C' \cap (D) \vee C \cap D)$  The man  $\phi: F^* \to$  $\left| C_n(D) \right| = c_{n-1 \to n} \cdot c_n = 2nc_n$ . Let us set  $F^* = F \cap (C_{n-1}^*(D) \times C_n(D))$ <br>  $C^*$  (*D*) defined by  $\phi(e, y) = e$  is a bijection. In fact, besides being and  $F' = F \cap (C'_{n-1}(D) \times C_n(D))$ . The map  $\phi: F^* \to C^*_{n-1}(D)$ , defined by  $\phi(e, v) = e$ , is a bijection. In fact, besides being evidently surjective, it is also injective, since, if by contradiction there were two distinct pairs  $(e, v_1)$  and  $(e, v_2) \in F^*$ associated to *e*, then  $B_{n-1}(e) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  should be an  $(n-1)$ -block contained in *D*. This contradicts the fact that the  $(n-1)$ -cell *e* is free. Thus  $|F^*| = |C^*_{n-1}(D)| = c^*_{n-1}$ .

On the other hand, 
$$
|F'| = \Big| \bigcup_{v \in C_n(D)} \{(e, v) : e \in C'_{n-1}(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \bigcup_{e \in C'_{n-1}(D)} \{(e, v) : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{(e, v) : v \in C_n(D)\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big| \{e, v\} : v \in C_n(D), e < v\} \Big| = \Big|
$$

 $C_n(D), e < v$ }<br>that is  $2ne$  –  $\left|C'_{n-1}(D)\right| = c_{n-1} + c'_{n-1} = 2c'_{n-1}$ . Since  $\{F^*, F'\}$  is a partition of F, we finally have that  $|F| = |F^*| + |F'|$ , that is  $2nc_n = c_{n-1}^* + 2c_{n-1}' = c_{n-1} - c_{n-1}' + 2c_{n-1}' = c_{n-1} + c_{n-1}'$ , and then the thesis.

**Notation 12.** Let e be an *i*-cell of a digital n-object D, and  $0 \le i < j$ . We denote by  $b_j(e, D)$  (or simply by  $b_j(e)$  if no *confusion arises) the number of j-cells of bd*(*D*) *that are bounded by e.*

Let us note that if *e* is a non-free *i*-cell, then  $b_i(e) = 0$ .

**Definition 14.** *A free i-cell of a digital n-object that is not an i-hub is called i-nub.*

**Notation 13.** For any  $i = 0, ..., n - 1$ , we denote by  $H_i(D)$  and by  $N_i(D)$  (or simply by  $H_i$  and by  $N_i$  if no confusion *arises) the sets of i-hubs and i-nubs of D, respectively. We have*  $|\mathcal{H}_i| = g_i$  *and*  $|\mathcal{N}_i| = c_i^* - g_i$ *.* 

We are interested in classifying all the possible configurations of *n*-voxels bounded by an (*n* − 2)-cell *e*.

**Lemma 14.** Let e be an  $(n-2)$ -cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$ , and  $V = \{v \in \mathbb{C}_n^{(n)} : e < v\}$  be the set of n-voxels bounded by e. Then one *and only one of the following five cases occurs (See Figure [2](#page-5-0) for an example for* 3*D case):*

- *V is a singleton and e is a simple cell;*
- *V is an* (*n* − 1)*-block centered on an* (*n* − 1)*-cell that is bounded by e;*
- *V is* (*n* − 2)*-gap and e is its* (*n* − 2)*-hub;*
- *V is an L-block and e is its center;*
- *V is an* (*n* − 2)*-block and e is its center.*

*Proof.* By Definition [8\(](#page-3-3)1), the largest set of *n*-voxels bounded by *e* is the (*n* − 2)-block centered on *e*. Moreover, by Remark [4,](#page-3-4)  $c_n(B_{n-2}(e)) = 4$ . Hence, the number  $c_n(V)$  of *n*-voxels of *V* have to be between one and four and, up to symmetries, we can distinguish the following cases.

If  $c_n(V) = 1$ , V is a single *n*-voxel. If  $c_n(V) = 2$ , we have two configurations, depending on the relative position of the two *n*-voxels  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . More precisely, if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are strictly  $(n-1)$ -adjacent, then they form an  $(n-1)$ -block centered on an (*n* − 1)-cell that is bounded by *e*; instead, if they are strictly (*n* − 2)-adjacent, they form an (*n* − 2)-gap having *e* as (*n* − 2)-hub. If *cn*(*V*) = 3, by Definition [8\(](#page-3-3)2) and Remark [4,](#page-3-4) the unique possible configuration is given by the *L*-block centered on *e*. Finally, if  $c_n(V) = 4$ , *V* coincides with the  $(n-2)$ -block centered on *e*.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 15.** Let v be an n-voxel and e be one of its i-cells,  $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ . Then, for any  $i < j \le n$ , it results:

$$
b_j(e) = \frac{c_{i \to j}c_{j \to n}}{c_{i \to n}}.
$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the incidence structure  $I = (C_i(v), C_j(v), <)$ . By Proposition [3,](#page-2-0) it is  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *a*∈*Ci*(*v*)  $r_a = \sum_a$ *a*∈*Cj*(*v*) *ka*. Evidently,  $|C_i(v)| = c_i = c_{i \to n}$  and  $|C_j(v)| = c_j = c_{j \to n}$ , while, for any *i*-cell *a* of  $C_i(v)$  (respectively *j*-cell *a* of  $C_j(v)$ ),

 $r_a = b_j(e)$  (respectively  $k_a = c_{i \to j}$ ). Hence we have  $b_j(e)c_{i \to n} = c_{i \to j}c_{j \to n}$ , from which we get the thesis.

<span id="page-6-4"></span>**Corollary 16.** Let v be an n-voxel and e be one of its i-cell,  $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ . Then, for any  $i < j \le n$ , we have

$$
b_j(e) = \binom{n-i}{j-i}.
$$

*Proof.* By Proposition [15,](#page-6-0) it is

$$
b_j(e) = \frac{c_{i \to j}c_{j \to n}}{c_{i \to n}} = \frac{2^{j-i}{j \choose i}2^{n-j}{n \choose j}}{2^{n-i}{n \choose i}} = \frac{j!}{(j-i)!i!} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-j)!j!} \cdot \frac{(n-i)!i!}{n!} = \frac{(n-i)!}{(n-j)!(j-i)!} = {n-i \choose j-i}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 17.** Let e be an  $(n-1)$ -cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$ . Then the number of *i*-cells of the  $(n-1)$ -block centered on e is

$$
c_i(B_{n-1}(e))=\frac{3n+i}{2n}c_{i\rightarrow n}.
$$

*Proof.* By Remark [4,](#page-3-4)  $B_{n-1}(e)$  is composed of two  $(n-1)$ -adjacent *n*-voxels. Each of such voxels has exactly  $c_{i\to n}$ *i*-cells, but some of these cells are in common. The number of these common *i*-cells coincides with the number of *i*-cells of the center *e* of the given block. So, we have  $c_i(B_{n-1}(e)) = 2c_{i\to n} - c_{i\to n-1} = 2 \cdot 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}$ *i*  $\bigg(-2^{n-1-i}\bigg\{n-1\bigg\}$ *i*  $=$ 

$$
2 \cdot 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} - 2^{n-i-1} \binom{n}{i} \frac{n-1}{n} = 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \left( 2 - \frac{n-i}{2n} \right) = \frac{3n+i}{2n} c_{i \to n}.
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Lemma 18.** Let e be an  $(n-1)$ -cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$ . Then the number of free  $(n-1)$ -cells of the  $(n-1)$ -block centered on e is:

$$
c_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(e)) = 2(2n - 1).
$$

*Proof.* By applying Lemma [11](#page-4-4) to the digital object  $B_{n-1}(e)$ , we have  $c'_{n-1} + c^*_{n-1} = 2nc_n - c'_{n-1}$ . But for an  $(n-1)$ -block it is  $c_n = 2$  and  $c'_{n-1} = 1$ . Then  $c_{n-1}^* = 2(2n - 1)$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Proposition 19.** Let e be a free  $(n-2)$ -cells that belongs to the center of an  $(n-1)$ -block  $B_{n-1}(f)$ , then  $b_{n-1}(e) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the incidence structure  $(C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f)), C_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(f)), <)$ . By Lemma [17,](#page-6-1) it is  $|C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))|$  =  $c_{n-2} = 2(n-1)(2n-1)$ , and by Lemma [18,](#page-6-2) we have  $|C_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(f))| = c_{n-1}^* = 4n-2$ . Moreover, by Proposition [3,](#page-2-0) it is

$$
\sum_{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))} r_a = \sum_{a \in C_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(f))} k_a.
$$

Since for any *a* ∈  $C_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(f))$  it is  $k_a = c_{n-2 \to n-1}$ , we have

$$
\sum_{a \in C_{n-1}^*(B_{n-1}(f))} k_a = c_{n-1}^* \cdot c_{n-2 \to n-1} = (4n-2) \cdot 2 \cdot (n-1) = 4(2n-1)(n-1).
$$

Let us consider the sets

$$
F = \{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f)) : a < f\}
$$

and

$$
G = \{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f)) : a \nless f\}.
$$

Since  ${F, G}$  forms a partition of  $C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))$ , we can write

$$
\sum_{a \in C_{n-2}(B_{n-1}(f))} r_a = \sum_{a \in F} r_a + \sum_{a \in G} r_a.
$$

For any  $a \in F$ ,  $r_a = b_{n-1}(e)$ , and so

$$
\sum_{a \in F} r_a = |F|b_{n-1}(e) = c_{n-2 \to n-1}b_{n-1}(e) = 2(n-1)b_{n-1}(e).
$$

Instead, thanks to Proposition [15,](#page-6-0) for any  $a \in G$ , we have

$$
r_a = b_{n-1}(e) = \frac{c_{n-2 \to n-1} \cdot c_{n-1 \to n}}{c_{n-2 \to n}} = 2.
$$

Hence, we get that

$$
\sum_{a \in G} r_a = 2(c_{n-2} - c_{n-2 \to n-1}) = 2(2(n-1)(2n-1) - 2(n-1)) = 4(n-1)(2n-1) - 4(n-1).
$$

To sum up, we can write  $4(n-1)(2n-1) - 4(n-1) + 2(n-1)b_{n-1}(e) = 4(2n-1)(n-1)$ , from which we get the thesis.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Lemma 20.** *Let e be an*  $(n-2)$ -cell of  $\mathbb{C}_n$ *. Then the number of i-cells of the L-block centered on e is:* 

$$
c_i(L(e)) = \left(\frac{2n+i}{n}\right)c_{i \to n}.
$$

*Proof.* By Remark [4,](#page-3-4) *L*(*e*) is composed of three *n*-voxels, which are pairwise (*n* − 1)-adjacent in exactly two non-free (*n* − 1)-cells. Each of these three voxels has exactly *ci*→*<sup>n</sup> i*-cells, but some of these cells are in common. The number of such common *i*-cells coincides with the number of *i*-cells of the two non-free  $(n - 1)$ -cells. So, we have  $c_i(L(e))$  =  $3c_{i\to n} - 2c_{i\to n-1} = 3 \cdot 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}$ *i*  $\bigg\} - 2 \cdot 2^{n-i-1} \bigg\{ n-1$ *i*  $= 3 \cdot 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}$ *i*  $\bigg\} - 2^{n-i} \bigg\{ \frac{n}{2}$ *i* ! *n* − *i*  $\frac{-i}{n} = 2^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}$ *i*  $\left(3 - \frac{n-i}{n} \right)$ *n*  $= \left(\frac{2n+i}{2}\right)$ 2*n*  $\bigg\}c_{i\rightarrow n}.$ 

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Lemma 21.** *Let e be an*  $(n - 1)$ *-cell of*  $\mathbb{C}_n$ *. Then the number of free*  $(n - 1)$ *-cells of the L-block centered on e is:* 

$$
c_{n-1}^*(L(e)) = 2(3n - 2).
$$

*Proof.* By applying Lemma [11](#page-4-4) to the digital object  $L(e)$ , we have  $c'_{n-1} + c^*_{n-1} = 2nc_n - c'_{n-1}$ . But for an *L*-block it is  $c_n = 3$  and  $c'_{n-1} = 2$ . Then  $c_{n-1}^* = 2(3n - 2)$ . П <span id="page-8-2"></span>**Proposition 22.** Let e be a free  $(n-2)$ -cells which is the center of an L-block L(e). Then  $b_{n-1}(e) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the incidence structure  $(C_{n-2}(L(e)), C_{n-1}^*(L(e)), <)$ . By Lemma [20,](#page-7-0) we have  $|C_{n-2}(L(e))|$  =  $c_{n-2} = 2(n-1)(3n-2)$ , and by Lemma [21,](#page-7-1) it is  $|C_{n-1}^*(L(e))| = c_{n-1}^* = 2(3n-2)$ .

By Proposition [3,](#page-2-0) it is

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{a \in C_{n-2}(L(e))} r_a = \sum_{a \in C_{n-1}^*(L(e))} k_a.
$$
 (2)

Since for any  $a \in C_{n-1}^*(L(e))$  it is  $k_a = c_{n-1 \to n-2}$ , we have

$$
\sum_{a \in C_{n-1}^*(L(e))} k_a = c_{n-1}^* \cdot c_{n-1 \to n-2} = 2(3n-2) \cdot 2 \cdot (n-1) = 4(3n-2)(n-1).
$$

Let us set  $F = \mathbb{C}'_{n-1}(L(e))$ , and let us consider the sets:

 $A = \{e\}$  $B = \{c \in \mathbb{C}_{n-2}(L(e)) : c \nless f, \text{ for some } f \in F\}.$ *C* = {*c* ∈  $\mathbb{C}_{n-2}(L(e))$ {*e*}: *c* < *f*, for some *f* ∈ *F*}.

Let us observe that  $|F| = 2$  because the number of  $(n - 1)$ -block of  $L(e)$  is 2. Since  $\{A, B, C\}$  forms a partition of  $C_{n-2}(L(e))$ , it results

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{a \in C_{n-2}(L(e))} r_a = r_e + \sum_{a \in B} r_a + \sum_{a \in C} r_a,
$$
\n(3)

 $\Box$ 

where, evidently,  $r_e = b_{n-1}(e)$ .

Moreover, by Proposition [19,](#page-6-3) it is  $\sum_{a \in B} r_a = (2c_{n-2 \to n-1} - 2) \cdot 2 = (2 \cdot 2(n-1) - 2) \cdot 2 = 8(n-1) - 4$ . Finally, by Proposition [15,](#page-6-0) we have  $\sum_{a \in C} r_a = 2(c_{n-2} - 2c_{n-2 \to n-1} + 1) = 2(2(3n-2)(n-1) - 2 \cdot 2(n-1) + 1) = 4(3n-2)(n-1) - 8(n-1) + 2$ .

Thus, replacing these results into formulas [3](#page-8-0) and [2,](#page-8-1) we obtain  $4(3n - 2)(n - 1) = b_{n-1}(e) + 8(n - 1) - 4 + 4(3n - 1)$  $\Box$  $2(n-1) - 8(n - 1) + 2$ , from which we get the thesis.

<span id="page-8-4"></span>**Proposition 23.** *Let D be a digital object of*  $\mathbb{C}_n$  *and e* ∈  $\mathcal{H}_{n-2}$ *. Then b<sub>n−1</sub>(e)* = 4*.* 

*Proof.* Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be the two *n*-voxels of the  $(n-2)$ -gap through *e*. Then the number  $b_{n-1}(e)$  of free  $(n-1)$ -cells of *D* bounded by *e* coincides with the maximum number of (*n*−1)-cells bounded by an (*n*−2)-cell, that is, by Proposition [10:](#page-4-5)

$$
b_{n-1}(e) = c_{n-2 \leftarrow n-1} = 2^{(n-1)-(n-2)} \binom{n-(n-2)}{(n-1)-(n-2)} = 4.
$$

<span id="page-8-5"></span>**Proposition 24.** *Let D be a digital object of*  $\mathbb{C}_n$  *and e* ∈  $\mathcal{N}_{n-2}$ *. Then*  $b_{n-1}(e) = 2$ *.* 

*Proof.* Every free (*n*−2)-cell that is not an (*n*−2)-hub is either a simple cell, or bounds the center of an (*n*−1)-block, or is the center of an *L*-block. Hence, by Corollary [16](#page-6-4) and Propositions [19](#page-6-3) and [22,](#page-8-2) we get the thesis.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-8-3"></span>**Proposition 25.** *Let D be a digital n-object, and*  $i < j \leq n - 1$ *. Then* 

$$
\sum_{e \in bd_i(D)} b_j(e) = c_{i \to j} c_j^*.
$$

*Proof.* The *i*-border  $bd_i(D)$  of *D* can be considered as an incidence structure  $(V, \mathcal{B}, I)$ , where  $V = bd_i(D), \mathcal{B} = bd_i(D)$ , and the incidence relation  $I$  is the bounding relation  $\leq$ .

In such a structure, the point degree of every vertex  $e \in V$  coincides with the number  $b_i(e)$  of *j*-cells of  $bd(D)$  bounded by *e*. Moreover, the block degree *k*<sup>β</sup> of every block *B* coincides with the maximum number *c*<sub>*i→j*</sub> of *i*-cells that bound a *j*-cell. Hence, by Proposition [3,](#page-2-0)  $\Sigma$ *e*∈*bdi*(*D*)  $b_j(e) = \sum_i$ β∈*bdj*(*D*)  $c_{i \to j} = c_{i \to j} |bd_j(D)| = c_{i \to j} c_j^*$ .

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Theorem 26.** *The number of*  $(n-2)$ *-gaps of a digital object D of*  $\mathbb{C}_n$  *is given by the formula:* 

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
g_{n-2} = (n-1)c_{n-1}^* - c_{n-2}^*.
$$
\n(4)

*Proof.* Let us consider the sets  $H_{n-2}$  and  $N_{n-2}$  of all  $(n-2)$ -hubs and  $(n-2)$ -nubs of *D*, respectively. Evidently  ${H_{n-2}, N_{n-2}}$  is a partition of  $bd_{n-2}(D)$ . Moreover, for  $i = n-1$  and  $j = n-2$ , Proposition [25](#page-8-3) give us

$$
\sum_{e \in bd_{n-2}(D)} b_{n-1}(e) = c_{n-2 \to n-1} c_{n-1}^* = 2(n-1)c_{n-1}^*.
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{e\in bd_{n-2}}b_{n-1}(e)=\sum_{e\in \mathcal{H}_{n-2}}b_{n-1}(e)+\sum_{e\in \mathcal{N}_{n-2}}b_{n-1}(e),
$$

by Lemmas [23](#page-8-4) and [24,](#page-8-5) we obtain

$$
\sum_{e \in bd_{n-2}} b_{n-1}(e) = 4|\mathcal{H}_{n-2}| + 2|\mathcal{N}_{n-2}| = 4g_{n-2} + 2(c_{n-2}^* - g_{n-2})
$$

and hence the thesis.

In [\[7\]](#page-10-3), it was proved that the number of  $(n-2)$ -gap of a digital *n*-object *D* can be expressed by

<span id="page-9-5"></span>
$$
g_{n-2} = -2n(n-1)c_n + 2(n-1)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + \beta_{n-2},
$$
\n(5)

where  $\beta_{n-2}$  is the number of all  $(n-2)$ -blocks contained in *D*.

Such a formula is equivalent to the expression [\(4\)](#page-9-1) obtained in Theorem [26.](#page-9-2) Indeed, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 27.** *The formulas*

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
g_{n-2} = (n-1)c_{n-1}^* - c_{n-2}^* \tag{6}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
g_{n-2} = -2n(n-1)c_n + 2(n-1)c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} + \beta_{n-2}
$$
\n(7)

*are equivalent.*

*Proof.* By Lemma [11,](#page-4-4) we have

 $c_{n-1}^* = c_{n-1} - c'_{n-1} = c_{n-1} + c_{n-1} - 2nc_n = 2c_{n-1} - 2nc_n$ .

Hence, replacing the latter expression in [\(6\)](#page-9-3) , we obtain

$$
g_{n-2} = (n-1)c_{n-1}^* - c_{n-2}^* = 2(n-1)c_{n-1} - 2(n-1)c_n - c_{n-2} + c'_{n-2}.
$$

Finally, since  $c'_{n-2}$  is the number  $\beta_{n-2}$  of  $(n-2)$ -blocks contained in *D*, we get Formula [\(7\)](#page-9-4).

Conversely, by Lemma [11,](#page-4-4) we have  $c_n = \frac{c_{n-1} + c'_{n-1}}{2n}$ . Thus Formula [\(7\)](#page-9-4) becomes

$$
g_{n-2} = -2n(n-1)\frac{c_{n-1} + c'_{n-1}}{2n} + 2(n-1)c_{n-1} + c^*_{n-2} = -(n-1)c'_{n-1} + (n-1)c_{n-1} + c^*_{n-2} = (n-1)c^*_{n-1} + c^*_{n-2},
$$

that is Formula [\(6\)](#page-9-3). This completes our proof.

### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**5. Conclusion and Perspective**

In this paper we have found a new formula for expressing the number of (*n*−2)-gaps of a digital *n*-object by means of its free cells. Unlike the equivalent formula [\(5\)](#page-9-5) given in [\[7](#page-10-3)], our expression has the advantage to involve only few intrinsic parameters. We hypothesize that such information could be obtained from some appropriate data structure related to the digital *n*-object. This will be the focus of a forthcoming research.

Another field of investigation could consist in finding a formula, analogous to [\(4\)](#page-9-1), which express the number of any *k*-gaps with  $0 \le k \le n - 3$ , by means of same basic parameters of the digital *n*-object.

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

## **References**

- [1] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, *An explicit formula for the number of tunnels in digital objects*, ARXIV (2005), http://arxiv.org/abs/cs.DM/[0505084.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cs.DM/0505084)
- [2] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, R.P. Barneva, R. Klette, *The number of gaps in binary pictures*, Proceedings of the ISVC 2005, Lake Tahoe, NV, USA, December 5-7, 2005, (Editors: Bebis G., Boyle R., Koracin D., Parvin B.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. **3804** (2005), 35 - 42.
- [3] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, *Counting Gaps in Binary Pictures*, Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop, IWCIA 2006, Berlin, GERMANY, June 2006, (Editors: Reulke R., Eckardt U., Flach B., Knauer U., Polthier K.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, LNCS **4040** (2006), 16 - 24.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[4] V.E. Brimkov, A. Maimone, G. Nordo, *On the notion of dimension in digital spaces*, Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop, IWCIA 2006, Berlin, GERMANY, June 2006, (Editors: Reulke R., Eckardt U., Flach B., Knauer U., Polthier K.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, LNCS **4040** (2006), 241 - 252.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[5] V. E. Brimkov, G. Nordo, R. P. Barneva, A. Maimone, *Genus and Dimension of Digital Images and their Time- and Space-E*ffi*cient Computation*, International Journal of Shape Modeling **14**,2 (2008), 147-168.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[6] A. Maimone, G. Nordo, *On* 1*-gaps in* 3*D Digital Objects*, Filomat **25**:3 (2011), 85-91.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[7] V.E. Brimkov, *Formulas for the number of (n-2)-gaps of binary objects in arbitrary dimension*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **157**(3) (2009), 452-463.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[8] R. Klette, A. Rosenfeld, *Digital Geometry - Geometric Methods for Digital Picture Analysis*, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 2004.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[9] V.A. Kovalevsky, *Finite topology as applied to image analysis*, Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing **46**(2) (1989) 141- 161.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[10] D. Knuth, *Two Notes on Notation*, American Mathematics Montly, Volume **99**, Number 5, (1992), 403-422 (http://arxiv.org/abs/math/[9205211\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9205211).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[11] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design Theory* Volume 1, II ed., Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[12] H.S.M. Coxeter , *Regular Polytopes*, Dover 1973.