

Parametric matroid of rough set

Yanfang Liu, William Zhu *

Lab of Granular Computing
Zhangzhou Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, China

Abstract. Rough set is mainly concerned with the approximations of objects through an equivalence relation on a universe. Matroid is a combinatorial generalization of linear independence in vector spaces. In this paper, we define a parametric set family, with any subset of a universe as its parameter, to connect rough sets and matroids. On the one hand, for a universe and an equivalence relation on the universe, a parametric set family is defined through the lower approximation operator. This parametric set family is proved to satisfy the independent set axiom of matroids, therefore it can generate a matroid, called a parametric matroid of the rough set. Three equivalent representations of the parametric set family are obtained. Moreover, the parametric matroid of the rough set is proved to be the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid. On the other hand, since partition-circuit matroids were well studied through the lower approximation number, we use it to investigate the parametric matroid of the rough set. Several characteristics of the parametric matroid of the rough set, such as independent sets, bases, circuits, the rank function and the closure operator, are expressed by the lower approximation number.

Keywords: rough set, matroid, partition-circuit matroid, the lower approximation number

1 Introduction

Rough set theory is based on equivalence relations, and it was proposed by Pawlak to handle incomplete and inexact knowledge in information systems. It is an extension of set theory for studying and analyzing various types of data [26,27]. Rough set theory has been successfully applied to many fields, such as machine learning [10,24], pattern recognition [15,31], intelligent decision making [41], granular computing [4,47], data mining [16,28], approximate reasoning [2,43], attribute reduction [9,23,25,29,44], rule induction [11,42] and others [5,6,38]. Moreover, through extending equivalence relations or partitions, some extensions of rough sets are proposed, such as generalized rough sets base on relations [12,18,30,34,39,40,48], and covering-based rough sets [3,46,49,50,51,52].

Matroid theory [13,22] was proposed by Whitney to generalize the essence of “independence” in linear algebra. Matroids have sound theoretical foundations and wide applications. In theory, matroids have powerful axiomatic systems which provide a platform for connecting them with other theories, such as rough sets [19,20], generalized

* Corresponding author. E-mail: williamfengzhu@gmail.com (William Zhu)

rough sets based on relations [35,45,53,54], covering-based rough sets [36,37] and geometric lattices [1,21]. In application, matroids have been used in diverse fields, such as combinatorial optimization [14], algorithm design [8], information coding [33] and cryptology [7].

In this paper, for a universe and an equivalence relation on the universe, we define a parametric set family, with any subset of the universe as its parameter, is defined to connect rough sets and matroids. Firstly, for any subset, the parametric set family is proved to satisfy the independent set axiom of matroids, then a matroid called a parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to the subset is generated by the parametric set family. Two equivalent representations of the parametric set family are obtained through the lower approximation operator, and another equivalent representation is expressed by the partition generated by the equivalence relation. Moreover, the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to the subset is proved to be the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid, where the partition-circuit matroid is based on the restriction of the equivalence relation in the complement of the lower approximation of the subset and the free matroid is based on the lower approximation of the subset. The partition-circuit matroid is the restriction of the parametric matroid of the rough set, and so is the free matroid. Secondly, several characteristics of the parametric matroid of the rough set are studied by the lower approximation number which is proposed in [20]. Since a partition-circuit matroid was well investigated through the lower approximation number in [20], we use it to study the parametric matroid of the rough set as the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid. Independent sets, bases, circuits, the rank function and the closure operator of the parametric matroid of the rough set are well expressed by the lower approximation number.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions of classical rough sets and matroids. Section 3 defines a parametric set family and proves it to be an independent set family of a matroid which is called a parametric matroid of rough sets. In Section 4, we study characteristics of the parametric matroid of the rough set through the lower approximation number. Finally, we conclude this paper in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and related results which will be used in this paper.

2.1 Binary relation

Let U be a universe. If $R \in U \times U$, then R is called a binary relation [32] on U . For all $(x, y) \in U \times U$, if $(x, y) \in R$, we say x has relation R with y , and denote this relationship as xRy .

Throughout this paper, a binary relation is simply called a relation. In the following definition, we will introduce the restriction of a relation.

Definition 1. (Restriction of a relation [32]) Let R be a relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. The restriction of R in X is defined as follows:

$$R \upharpoonright X = \{(x, y) \in R : x \in X\}.$$

We list an example to illustrate the restriction of a relation.

Example 1. Let $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a universe, $R = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 5), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3), (4, 5), (5, 2)\}$ be a relation on U and $X = \{3, 5\}$. The restriction of R in X is that: $R \upharpoonright X = \{(3, 1), (3, 3), (5, 2)\}$.

Reflective, symmetric, and transitive properties play important roles in characterizing relations. Then, we introduce equivalence relations through these three properties.

Definition 2. (Reflexive, symmetric and transitive [32]) Let R be a relation on U .

If for all $x \in U$, xRx , we say R is reflexive.

If for all $x, y \in U$, xRy implies yRx , we say R is symmetric.

If for all $x, y, z \in U$, xRy and yRz imply xRz , we say R is transitive.

Definition 3. (Equivalence relation [32]) Let R be a relation on U . If R is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, we say R is an equivalence relation on U .

The power of an equivalence relation lies in its ability to partition a set into the disjoint union of subsets called equivalence classes.

Definition 4. (Equivalence class [32]) Let R be an equivalence on U . For all $x \in U$, $[x]_R = \{y \in U : xRy\}$ is called the equivalence class of x with respect to R .

2.2 Rough set model

In this subsection, we introduce some concepts and properties of rough sets [26].

Let U be a non-empty finite set called a universe and R an equivalence relation on U . R will generate a partition $U/R = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ on U , where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m are the equivalence classes, and, in rough sets, they are also called elementary sets of R . For any $X \subseteq U$, we can describe X in terms of the elementary sets of R . Specially, Pawlak [26] introduced two sets called lower and upper approximations.

Definition 5. (Lower and upper approximations[26]) Let U be a universe and R an equivalence relation on U . For all $X \subseteq U$,

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{R}(X) &= \{x \in U : [x]_R \subseteq X\} \\ &= \cup\{P \in U/R : P \subseteq X\}, \\ \overline{R}(X) &= \{x \in U : [x]_R \cap X \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \cup\{P \in U/R : P \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{R}(X), \overline{R}(X)$ is called the lower and upper approximations of X with respect to R , respectively.

In the following proposition, we list only some properties of the lower and upper approximations used in this paper.

Proposition 1. ([26]) Let U be a universe and R an equivalence relation on U . For all $X, Y \subseteq U$,

(1) $\underline{R}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$;

(2) $\underline{R}(U) = U$;

- (3) $\underline{R}(X) \subseteq X$;
- (4) $\underline{R}(X \cap Y) = \underline{R}(X) \cap \underline{R}(Y)$;
- (5) $X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow \underline{R}(X) \subseteq \underline{R}(Y)$;
- (6) $\underline{R}(X) \cup \underline{R}(Y) \subseteq \underline{R}(X \cup Y)$;
- (7) $\underline{R}(\underline{R}(X)) = \underline{R}(X)$;
- (8) $\overline{R}(X) = U - \underline{R}(U - X)$;
- (9) $\underline{R}(X) = \overline{R}(\overline{R}(X))$.

2.3 Matroid model

Matroids have many equivalent definitions. In the following definition, we will introduce one that focuses on independent sets.

Definition 6. (*Matroid [13]*) A matroid is a pair $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ consisting a finite universe U and a collection \mathbf{I} of subsets of U called independent sets satisfying the following three properties:

- (I1) $\emptyset \in \mathbf{I}$;
- (I2) If $I \in \mathbf{I}$ and $I' \subseteq I$, then $I' \in \mathbf{I}$;
- (I3) If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}$ and $|I_1| < |I_2|$, then there exists $u \in I_2 - I_1$ such that $I_1 \cup \{u\} \in \mathbf{I}$, where $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of I .

Since the above definition of matroids is defined from the viewpoint of independent sets, it is also called the independent set axiom of matroids. In order to make some expressions brief, we introduce some symbols as follows.

Definition 7. (*[13]*) Let U be a finite universe and \mathbf{A} a family of subsets of U . Then
 $Max(\mathbf{A}) = \{X \in \mathbf{A} : \forall Y \in \mathbf{A}, X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow X = Y\}$;
 $Min(\mathbf{A}) = \{X \in \mathbf{A} : \forall Y \in \mathbf{A}, Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X = Y\}$.

Any maximal independent set of a matroid is a base. A matroid and its family of bases are uniquely determined by each other.

Definition 8. (*Base [13]*) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid. Any maximal independent set in M is called a base of M , and the family of bases of M is denoted by $\mathbf{B}(M)$, i.e., $\mathbf{B}(M) = Max(\mathbf{I})$.

In a matroid, a subset is a dependent set if it is not an independent set. Any circuit of a matroid is a minimal dependent set. A matroid uniquely determines its circuits, and vice versa.

Definition 9. (*Circuit [13]*) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid. Any minimal dependent set in M is called a circuit of M , and we denote the family of all circuits of M by $\mathbf{C}(M)$, i.e., $\mathbf{C}(M) = Min(2^U - \mathbf{I})$, where 2^U is the power set of U .

The rank function of a matroid generalizes the maximal independence in vector subspaces. A matroid can be defined from the viewpoint of the rank function.

Definition 10. (*Rank function [13]*) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid and $X \subseteq U$.

$$r_M(X) = \max\{|I| : I \subseteq X, I \in \mathbf{I}\},$$
where r_M is called the rank function of M .

In order to represent the dependency between an element and a subset of a universe, we introduce the closure operator of a matroid.

Definition 11. (Closure [13]) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid and $X \subseteq U$. For any $u \in U$, if $r_M(X) = r_M(X \cup \{u\})$, then u depends on X . The subset of all elements depending on X of U is called the closure with respect to X and denoted by $cl_M(X)$:

$$cl_M(X) = \{u \in U : r_M(X) = r_M(X \cup \{u\})\}.$$

In the following definitions, we will introduce some special matroids used in this paper.

Definition 12. (Free matroid [17]) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid. M is called a free matroid if $\mathbf{I} = \{I : I \subseteq U\}$.

We see that a matroid is a free matroid if any subset of its universe is an independent set. In the following definition, we will introduce another matroid called restriction of a matroid.

Definition 13. (Restriction [13]) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid. For any $X \subseteq U$, $M|X = (X, \mathbf{I}_X)$ is called the restriction of M in X , where $\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \in \mathbf{I} : I \subseteq X\}$.

The following definition introduces a matroid called direct sum of matroids, which is expressed by the union of a family of matroids in different universes.

Definition 14. (Direct sum of matroids [13]) Let $M_1 = (U_1, \mathbf{I}_1)$, $M_2 = (U_2, \mathbf{I}_2)$ be two matroids and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ is a matroid where $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ and $\mathbf{I} = \{I_1 \cup I_2 : I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_1, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}_2\}$. We call M the direct sum of M_1 and M_2 , and denote it by $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$.

3 A parametric matroid of rough set

In this section, for a universe and an equivalence relation on the universe, we propose a parametric matroid of the rough set. First, we present a parametric set family in the following definition.

Definition 15. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. We define a parametric set family with X as its parameter as follows:

$$\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I) \subseteq X\}.$$

In the following proposition, we will prove that the parametric set family satisfies the properties of independent sets of matroids.

Proposition 2. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. Then, \mathbf{I}_X satisfies (I1), (I2) and (I3) in Definition 6.

Proof. (I1) According to (1) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Since $\emptyset \subseteq X$, according to Definition 15, $\emptyset \in \mathbf{I}_X$.

(I2) If $I \in \mathbf{I}_X, I' \subseteq I$, according to Definition 15 and (5) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq X$ and $\underline{R}(I') \subseteq \underline{R}(I)$, then $\underline{R}(I') \subseteq X$, hence $I' \in \mathbf{I}_X$.

(I3) If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}_X$ and $|I_1| < |I_2|$, then there exists $u \in I_2 - I_1$ such that $I_1 \cup \{u\} \in \mathbf{I}_X$. Suppose for all $u \in I_2 - I_1, I_1 \cup \{u\} \notin \mathbf{I}_X$. According to Definition 15, $\underline{R}(I_1 \cup \{u\}) \not\subseteq X$. Since $I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_X$, i.e., $\underline{R}(I_1) \subseteq X$, therefore $P_u \subseteq I_1 \cup \{u\}$ and $P_u \not\subseteq X$, where $u \in P_u \in U/R$. For all $u_1, u_2 \in I_2 - I_1, u_1 \neq u_2, u_1 \in P_{u_1} \in U/R$ and $u_2 \in P_{u_2} \in U/R$, then $P_{u_1} \neq P_{u_2}$. Since $I_1 = (I_1 - I_2) \cup (I_1 \cap I_2), I_2 = (I_2 - I_1) \cup (I_1 \cap I_2)$ and $\underline{R}(I_2) \subseteq X$, then for all $x \in I_2 - I_1$, there exists $y \in I_1 - I_2$ such that $y \in P_x \in U/R$, therefore $|I_2 - I_1| \leq |I_1 - I_2|$. Since $|I_1| = |I_1 - I_2| + |I_1 \cap I_2|, |I_2| = |I_2 - I_1| + |I_1 \cap I_2|$, then $|I_2| \leq |I_1|$, which is contradictory with the condition $|I_1| < |I_2|$. Therefore, there exists $u \in I_2 - I_1$ such that $\underline{R}(I_1 \cup \{u\}) \subseteq X$, i.e., $I_1 \cup \{u\} \in \mathbf{I}_X$.

From Proposition 2, we see that the parametric set family satisfies the independent set axiom of matroids, therefore it can generate a matroid.

Definition 16. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. The matroid with \mathbf{I}_X as its independent set family is denoted by $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$. We say M_X is the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X .

The following example illustrates the parametric matroid of the rough set.

Example 2. Let $R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$ be an equivalence relation on $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $X = \{1\}$. Then the partition induced by R is $U/R = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$. According to Definition 5, $\underline{R}(\emptyset) = \underline{R}(\{1\}) = \underline{R}(\{2\}) = \emptyset, \underline{R}(\{3\}) = \{3\}, \underline{R}(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2\}, \underline{R}(\{1, 3\}) = \{3\}, \underline{R}(\{2, 3\}) = \{3\}, \underline{R}(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Therefore the parametric matroid with respect to X is $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$, where $\mathbf{I}_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}\}$.

In the following two propositions, through the lower approximation operator, we obtain two equivalent representations of the parametric set family.

Proposition 3. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$.

$$\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)\}.$$

Proof. We need to prove $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq X \Leftrightarrow \underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$.

(\Rightarrow): According to (5) and (7) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq X \Rightarrow \underline{R}(\underline{R}(I)) \subseteq \underline{R}(X) \Rightarrow \underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$.

(\Leftarrow): According to (3) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(X) \subseteq X$. Since $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, then $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq X$.

Proposition 4. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$.

$$\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset\}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 3, $\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)\}$. Therefore, we need to prove $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$. According to Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \underline{R}(I \cap (U - \underline{R}(X))) = \underline{R}(I) \cap \underline{R}(U - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \underline{R}(I) \subseteq U - \underline{R}(U - \underline{R}(X)) = \overline{R}(\underline{R}(X)) = \underline{R}(X)$, i.e., $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$. To sum up, this completes the proof.

The parametric set family is based on an equivalence relation on a universe. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence relations and partitions, we want to know whether the parametric set family can be represented by the partition generated by the equivalence relation.

Proposition 5. *Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$.*

$$\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \subseteq U : \forall P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X) \Rightarrow |P \cap I| \leq |P| - 1\}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4, we need to prove $\{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset\} = \{I \subseteq U : \forall P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X), |P \cap I| \leq |P| - 1\}$.

(\Rightarrow): For all $I \in \{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset\}$, according to Definition 5, for all $P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq I - \underline{R}(X)$, then $|P \cap (I - \underline{R}(X))| \leq |P| - 1$. If $P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, then $|P \cap (I - \underline{R}(X))| = |(P \cap (I - \underline{R}(X))) \cup (P \cap (I \cap \underline{R}(X)))| = |P \cap ((I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X)))| = |P \cap I| \leq |P| - 1$. This proves that $\{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset\} \subseteq \{I \subseteq U : \forall P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X), |P \cap I| \leq |P| - 1\}$.

(\Leftarrow): For all $I \in \{I \subseteq U : \forall P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X), |P \cap I| \leq |P| - 1\}$, since $I \cap \underline{R}(X) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, then $P \cap (I \cap \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset$, therefore, $|P \cap I| = |P \cap ((I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X)))| = |(P \cap (I - \underline{R}(X))) \cup (P \cap (I \cap \underline{R}(X)))| = |P \cap (I - \underline{R}(X))| \leq |P| - 1$, so $P \not\subseteq I - \underline{R}(X)$. Since $I - \underline{R}(X) \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)$, then for all $P \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$ where $P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq I - \underline{R}(X)$. Therefore, for all $P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq I - \underline{R}(X)$. According to Definition 5, $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset$. This proves that $\{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset\} \supseteq \{I \subseteq U : \forall P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X), |P \cap I| \leq |P| - 1\}$.

For the parametric set family, its parameter is any subset of the universe. We will consider the situation when the subset is equal to empty set. First, we introduce a partition-circuit matroid induced by a partition [20]. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence from a partition to an equivalence relation, a partition-circuit matroid based on an equivalence relation is introduced at follows.

Definition 17. (*Partition-circuit matroid [20]*) *Let R be an equivalence relation on U . A partition-circuit matroid M_R is an ordered pair (U, \mathbf{I}_R) where $\mathbf{C}(M_R) = U/R$.*

The independent sets of a partition-circuit matroid can be expressed by the lower approximation operator.

Proposition 6. ([20]) *Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $M_R = (U, \mathbf{I}_R)$ the partition-circuit matroid. Then, $\mathbf{I}_R = \{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I) = \emptyset\}$.*

According to Proposition 4 and Proposition 6, one can see that a parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to a subset of the universe is degenerated to a partition-circuit matroid when the subset is empty set. We will ask a question that “what is the relationship between a parametric matroid with respect to an arbitrary subset and a partition-circuit matroid?”. In order to answer this question, we first propose one proposition and two lemmas as follows.

Proposition 7. *Let R be an equivalence relation on U . For any $X \subseteq U, X = \underline{R}(X)$ if and only if $R \upharpoonright X$ is an equivalence relation on X .*

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Since R be an equivalence on U and $X \subseteq U$, then for all $x \in X$, $(x, x) \in R$, i.e., $(x, x) \in R \upharpoonright X$. Therefore, $R \upharpoonright X$ is reflexive.

If $(x, y) \in R \upharpoonright X$, then $(x, y) \in R$ and $x \in X$. Since R is an equivalence relation, then $(y, x) \in R$ and $y \in [x]_R$. Since $X = \underline{R}(X)$, then $x \in \underline{R}(X)$. According to Definition 5, $[x]_R \subseteq X$, then $y \in X$. Therefore, $(y, x) \in R \upharpoonright X$. Hence, $R \upharpoonright X$ is symmetric.

Suppose $(x, y) \in R \upharpoonright X, (y, z) \in R \upharpoonright X$, then $(x, y) \in R, (y, z) \in R, x \in X$ and $y \in X$. Since R is an equivalence relation, then $(x, z) \in R$. Since $x \in X$, then $(x, z) \in R \upharpoonright X$. Therefore, $R \upharpoonright X$ is transitive.

So $R \upharpoonright X$ is an equivalence relation on X .

(\Leftarrow): Suppose $R \upharpoonright X$ is an equivalence relation on X , $X \neq \underline{R}(X)$. According to Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(X) \subseteq X$. Therefore, there exists $x \in X - \underline{R}(X)$ such that $[x]_R \not\subseteq X$, then there exists $y \in [x]_R - X$. Since R is an equivalence relation, then $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, x) \in R$. Since $x \in X, y \notin X$, then $(x, y) \in R \upharpoonright X, (y, x) \notin R \upharpoonright X$ which is contradictory with the condition that $R \upharpoonright X$ is an equivalence relation. Therefore, $X = \underline{R}(X)$.

For an equivalence relation on a universe, if the lower approximation of a subset of the universe is equal to the subset itself, then the restriction of the equivalence relation in the subset is an equivalence relation on the subset.

Remark 1. If R is an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$, then $R \upharpoonright \underline{R}(X)$ is an equivalence relation on $\underline{R}(X)$ and $R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)$ is an equivalence relation on $U - \underline{R}(X)$. Moreover $\underline{R}(X)/(R \upharpoonright \underline{R}(X)) = \{P \in U/R : P \subseteq \underline{R}(X)\}$ and $(U - \underline{R}(X))/(R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)) = \{P \in U/R : P \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)\}$.

Lemma 1. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. For any $I \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, $R \upharpoonright \underline{R}(X)(I) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\underline{R}(I) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $I \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, according to Definition 5, $R \upharpoonright \underline{R}(X)(I) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \cup\{P \in U/R : P \subseteq \underline{R}(X), P \subseteq I\} = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \cup\{P \in U/R : P \subseteq I\} = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \underline{R}(I) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2. Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $X_1 \subseteq \underline{R}(X), X_2 \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)$. If $\underline{R}(X_1) = \emptyset$, then $\underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2) = \underline{R}(X_2)$.

Proof. According to (5) of Proposition 1, $X_2 \subseteq X_1 \cup X_2$, then $\underline{R}(X_2) \subseteq \underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2)$. When $\underline{R}(X_1) = \emptyset$, suppose that $\underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2) \neq \underline{R}(X_2)$, then there exists x such that $x \in \underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2) - \underline{R}(X_2)$. Therefore, there exists $x \in P_x \in U/R$ such that $P_x \subseteq \underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2) - \underline{R}(X_2) \subseteq \underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2)$. According to Definition 5, $P_x \subseteq X_1 \cup X_2$. Since $X_1 \subseteq \underline{R}(X), X_2 \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)$, then $P_x \subseteq X_1$ or $P_x \subseteq X_2$. Since $P_x \subseteq \underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2) - \underline{R}(X_2)$, then $P_x \not\subseteq X_2$, hence $P_x \subseteq X_1$, which is contradictory with $\underline{R}(X_1) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\underline{R}(X_1 \cup X_2) = \underline{R}(X_2)$ if $\underline{R}(X_1) = \emptyset$.

In the following theorem, the parametric matroid of the rough set is proved to be the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid.

Theorem 1. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. Let $M_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)} = (U - \underline{R}(X), \mathbf{I}_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)})$ be a partition-circuit matroid and $M = (\underline{R}(X), \mathbf{I})$ a free matroid. Then,

$$\mathbf{I}_X = \{I_1 \cup I_2 : I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)}, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}\}.$$

Proof. According to Definition 12 and Proposition 6, $\mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)} = \{I \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X) : R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)(I) = \emptyset\}$ and $\mathbf{I} = \{I : I \subseteq \underline{R}(X)\}$. According to Definition 15, we only need to prove that $\{I \subseteq U : \underline{R}(I) \subseteq X\} = \{I_1 \cup I_2 : I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)}, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}\}$.
 (\Rightarrow) : For all $I \in \mathbf{I}_X$, since $I = (I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X))$, then $(I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X)) \in \mathbf{I}_X$, hence $\underline{R}((I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X))) \subseteq X$. According to (6) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup \underline{R}(I \cap \underline{R}(X)) \subseteq \underline{R}((I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X)))$, then $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) \subseteq X$. According to (3) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(X) \subseteq X$. Therefore, $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup \underline{R}(X) \subseteq X$. According to (5), (6) and (7) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup \underline{R}(X)) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, then $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup \underline{R}(X) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$. Therefore, $\underline{R}(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset$. Since $I - \underline{R}(X) \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)$, according to Lemma 1, $R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)(I - \underline{R}(X)) = \emptyset$, then $I - \underline{R}(X) \in \mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)}$. Since $I \cap \underline{R}(X) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, then $I \cap \underline{R}(X) \in \mathbf{I}$, therefore $(I - \underline{R}(X)) \cup (I \cap \underline{R}(X)) \in \{I_1 \cup I_2 : I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)}, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}\}$, i.e., $I \in \{I_1 \cup I_2 : I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)}, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}\}$.
 (\Leftarrow) : For all $I \in \{I_1 \cup I_2 : I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)}, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}\}$, there exist $I_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)}$ and $I_2 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $I = I_1 \cup I_2$. Since $R \uparrow U - \underline{R}(X)(I_1) = \emptyset$, according to Lemma 1, we obtain $\underline{R}(I_1) = \emptyset$. Since $I_2 \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$, according to (5) and (7) of Proposition 1, we obtain $\underline{R}(I_2) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$. According to Lemma 2, we obtain that $\underline{R}(I_1 \cup I_2) = \underline{R}(I_2)$, i.e., $\underline{R}(I) = \underline{R}(I_2)$. Therefore, $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq \underline{R}(X)$. According to (3) of Proposition 1, $\underline{R}(I) \subseteq X$. So, $I \in \mathbf{I}_X$.

For a universe and an equivalence relation on the universe, the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to a subset of the universe is the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid, where the partition-circuit matroid is based on the restriction of the equivalence relation in the complement of the lower approximation of the subset and the free matroid is based on the lower approximation of the subset. Moreover, can the partition-circuit matroid be expressed by the parametric matroid of the rough set? And what about the free matroid? In the following proposition, we will solve these issues.

Proposition 8. Let $M_1 = (U_1, \mathbf{I}_1)$, $M_2 = (U_2, \mathbf{I}_2)$ be two matroids and $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ the direct sum of M_1 and M_2 . Then $M_1 = M|U_1$, $M_2 = M|U_2$.

Proof. According to Definition 14 and Definition 13, it is straightforward.

For the direct sum of matroids, any one of the matroids is the restriction of the direct sum. Therefore, a partition-circuit matroid on a universe is the restriction of the parametric matroid in the universe, and the same as a free matroid.

4 Characteristics of a parametric matroid through the lower approximation number

As shown in Section 3, a parametric set family determines a parametric matroid, and vice versa. Moreover, a parametric matroid is the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid. Through a tool called the lower approximation number, some characteristics of partition-circuit matroids can be well represented. Can the lower approximation number be applied to a parametric matroid? First, in the following definition, we will introduce the lower approximation number.

Definition 18. (Lower approximation number [20]) Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$. We define the lower approximation number of X with respect to R as follows:

$$f_R(X) = |\{P \in U/R : P \subseteq X\}|.$$

One can see that the lower approximation number of any subset of a universe is equal to the number of equivalence classes which the subset contains. The following proposition represents the parametric set family through the lower approximation number.

Proposition 9. Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $X \subseteq U$.

$$\mathbf{I}_X = \{I \subseteq U : f_R(I - \underline{R}(X)) = 0\}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4 and Definition 18, it is straightforward.

Base is one of important characteristics of matroids. We will investigate it of the parametric matroid of the rough set through the lower approximation number as follows.

Proposition 10. Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$ the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X . Then,

$$\mathbf{B}(M_X) = \text{Max}\{I \subseteq U : f_R(I - \underline{R}(X)) = 0\}.$$

Proof. According to Definition 8 and Proposition 9, it is straightforward.

The following proposition represents the base set family of the parametric matroid of the rough set through a partition.

Proposition 11. Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$ the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X . Then,

$$\mathbf{B}(M_X) = \{I \cup \underline{R}(X) \subseteq U : \forall P \in U/R, P \not\subseteq \underline{R}(X) \Rightarrow |P \cap I| = |P| - 1\}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 5, it is straightforward.

Through the lower approximation number, the circuits of the parametric matroid of the rough set are represented in the following proposition.

Proposition 12. Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$ a parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X . Then,

$$\mathbf{C}(M_X) = \text{Min}\{C \subseteq U : f_R(C - \underline{R}(X)) = 1\}.$$

Proof. According to Definition 9 and Proposition 9, it is straightforward.

A parametric matroid is the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid. We will investigate the circuits of the parametric matroid through the circuits of the partition-circuit matroid and the free matroid. First, we introduce a proposition [19] which shows the relationship between the circuits of a matroid and ones of its restrictions.

Proposition 13. ([19]) Let $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ be a matroid, $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Then,

$$\mathbf{C}(M) = \mathbf{C}(M|U_1) \cup \mathbf{C}(M|U_2).$$

The circuits of a parametric matroid are obtained in the following proposition.

Proposition 14. *Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$ the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X . Then,*

$$\mathbf{C}(M_X) = \{P \in U/R : P \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)\}.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1, $M_X = M_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)} \oplus M$, where $M_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)}$ is the partition-circuit matroid and M is a free matroid. According to Definition 17 and Definition 12, $\mathbf{C}(M_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)}) = (U - \underline{R}(X))/(R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X))$ and $\mathbf{C}(M) = \emptyset$. According to Proposition 8 and Proposition 13, $\mathbf{C}(M_X) = \mathbf{C}(M_{R \upharpoonright U - \underline{R}(X)}) \cup \mathbf{C}(M)$. Hence $\mathbf{C}(M_X) = \{P \in U/R : P \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)\}$.

The rank function is a quantitative tool of matroids. In the following, we will study the rank function of a parametric matroid. We first investigate the relationship between the rank function of the direct sum of two matroids and the rank functions of the two matroids.

Proposition 15. *Let $M_1 = (U_1, \mathbf{I}_1)$, $M_2 = (U_2, \mathbf{I}_2)$ be two matroids and $M = (U, \mathbf{I})$ the direct sum of M_1 and M_2 . Then for all $X \subseteq U$,*

$$r_M(X) = r_{M_1}(X \cap U_1) + r_{M_2}(X \cap U_2).$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Suppose $r_M(X) = |B|$, according to Definition 10, $B \subseteq X$ and $B \in \mathbf{I}$. $B = B \cap U = B \cap (U_1 \cup U_2) = (B \cap U_1) \cup (B \cap U_2)$, suppose $B_1 = B \cap U_1$, $B_2 = B \cap U_2$, then $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. According to (I2) of Definition 6, $B_1 \in \mathbf{I}_1$, $B_2 \in \mathbf{I}_2$. Since $B_1 \subseteq U_1$, $B_2 \subseteq U_2$, according to Definition 13 and Proposition 8, $B_1 \in \mathbf{I}_1$, $B_2 \in \mathbf{I}_2$. Since $B_1 \subseteq X \cap U_1$, $B_2 \subseteq X \cap U_2$, then $|B_1| \leq r_{M_1}(X \cap U_1)$, $|B_2| \leq r_{M_2}(X \cap U_2)$, therefore $|B| = |B_1| + |B_2| \leq r_{M_1}(X \cap U_1) + r_{M_2}(X \cap U_2)$, i.e., $r_M(X) \leq r_{M_1}(X \cap U_1) + r_{M_2}(X \cap U_2)$.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose $r_{M_1}(X \cap U_1) = |B_1|$, $r_{M_2}(X \cap U_2) = |B_2|$, according to Definition 10, $B_1 \subseteq X \cap U_1$, $B_1 \in \mathbf{I}_1$ and $B_2 \subseteq X \cap U_2$, $B_2 \in \mathbf{I}_2$. According to Definition 14, $B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathbf{I}$. Since $B_1 \subseteq X \cap U_1$, $B_2 \subseteq X \cap U_2$, then $B_1 \cup B_2 \subseteq (X \cap U_1) \cup (X \cap U_2) = X \cap (U_1 \cup U_2) = X \cap U$, i.e., $B_1 \cup B_2 \subseteq X$. According to Definition 10, $r_M(X) \geq |B_1 \cup B_2| = |B_1| + |B_2|$, i.e., $r_M(X) \geq r_{M_1}(X \cap U_1) + r_{M_2}(X \cap U_2)$. To sum up, this completes the proof.

A parametric matroid is the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid. In the following proposition, we will introduce the rank function of a partition-circuit matroid.

Proposition 16. (*[20]*) *Let R be an equivalence relation on U and $M_R = (U, \mathbf{I}_R)$ the partition-circuit matroid. Then for all $X \subseteq U$, $r_{M_R}(X) = |X| - f_R(X)$.*

The rank function of the parametric matroid of the rough set is investigated through the lower approximation number in the following proposition.

Proposition 17. *Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$ the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X . Then for all $Y \subseteq U$,*

$$r_{M_X}(Y) = |Y| - f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X)).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1, $M_X = M_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)} \oplus M$, where $M_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)} = (U - \underline{R}(X), \mathbf{I}_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)})$ is a partition-circuit matroid and $M = (\underline{R}(X), \mathbf{I})$ is a free matroid. According to Proposition 15 and Proposition 16, $r_{M_X}(Y) = r_{M_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)}}(Y \cap (U - \underline{R}(X))) + r_M(Y \cap \underline{R}(X)) = r_{M_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)}}(Y - \underline{R}(X)) + r_M(Y \cap \underline{R}(X)) = |Y - \underline{R}(X)| - f_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)}(Y - \underline{R}(X)) + |Y \cap \underline{R}(X)| = |Y| - f_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)}(Y - \underline{R}(X))$. Since $Y - \underline{R}(X) \subseteq U - \underline{R}(X)$, according to Definition 5 and Definition 18, then $f_{R|U-\underline{R}(X)}(Y - \underline{R}(X)) = f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X))$, therefore, $r_{M_X}(Y) = |Y| - f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X))$.

In a matroid, the closure of a subset is all those elements when added to the subset, the rank is the same. The rank function of a parametric matroid can be expressed by the lower approximation number. Moreover, we use the lower approximation number to study the closure operator of a parametric matroid.

Proposition 18. *Let R be an equivalence relation on U , $X \subseteq U$ and $M_X = (U, \mathbf{I}_X)$ the parametric matroid of the rough set with respect to X . Then for all $Y \subseteq U$,*

$$cl_{M_X}(Y) = Y \cup \{y \in U - Y : f_R(Y \cup \{y\} - \underline{R}(X)) - f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X)) = 1\}.$$

Proof. According to Definition 11, $cl_{M_X}(Y) = \{y \in U : r_{M_X}(Y) = r_{M_X}(Y \cup y)\}$. If $y \in Y$, then $r_{M_X}(Y) = r_{M_X}(Y \cup y)$, hence $cl_{M_X}(Y) = Y \cup \{y \in U - Y : r_{M_X}(Y) = r_{M_X}(Y \cup y)\}$. According to Proposition 17, $r_{M_X}(Y) = |Y| - f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X))$, $r_{M_X}(Y \cup \{y\}) = |Y \cup \{y\}| - f_R(Y \cup \{y\} - \underline{R}(X))$, if $y \notin Y$, then $r_{M_X}(Y) = r_{M_X}(Y \cup y) \Leftrightarrow |Y| - f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X)) = |Y| + 1 - f_R(Y \cup \{y\} - \underline{R}(X)) \Leftrightarrow f_R(Y \cup \{y\} - \underline{R}(X)) - f_R(Y - \underline{R}(X)) = 1$. To sum up, this completes the proof.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, for a universe and an equivalence relation on the universe, we proposed a parametric matroid of the rough set through defining a parametric set family based on the lower approximation operator. Some equivalent forms of the parametric set family were obtained. Moreover, we proved the parametric matroid of the rough set to be the direct sum of a partition-circuit matroid and a free matroid. Through the lower approximation number, some characteristics of the parametric matroid of the rough set, such as independent sets, bases, circuits, the rank function and the closure operator, were well represented. In future works, we will extend equivalence relations/partitions to arbitrary relations/coverings to connect matroids with generalized rough sets.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61170128, the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China, under Grant Nos. 2011J01374 and 2012J01294, the Science and Technology Key Project of Fujian Province, China, under Grant No. 2012H0043 and State key laboratory of management and control for complex systems open project under Grant No. 20110106.

References

1. Aigner, M., Dowling, T.: Matching theory for combinatorial geometries. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **158** (1971) 231–245
2. Bittner, T., Stell, J.G.: Rough sets in approximate spatial reasoning. In: *Rough Sets and Current Trends in Computing*. Volume 2005 of LNCS. (2000) 445–453
3. Bonikowski, Z., Bryniarski, E., Wybraniec-Skardowska, U.: Extensions and intentions in the rough set theory. *Information Sciences* **107** (1998) 149–167
4. Calegari, S., Ciucci, D.: Granular computing applied to ontologies. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* **51** (2010) 391–409
5. Chen, Y., Miao, D., Wang, R.: A rough set approach to feature selection based on ant colony optimization. *Information Sciences* **31** (2010) 226–233
6. Dai, J., Xu, Q.: Approximations and uncertainty measures in incomplete information systems. *Information Sciences* **198** (2012) 62–80
7. Dougherty, R., Freiling, C., Zeger, K.: Networks, matroids, and non-shannon information inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **53** (2007) 1949–1969
8. Edmonds, J.: Matroids and the greedy algorithm. *Mathematical Programming* **1** (1971) 127–136
9. Hall, M., Holmes, G.: Benchmarking attribute selection techniques for discrete class data mining. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **15** (2003) 1437–1447
10. Hu, Q., Pan, W., An, S., Ma, P., Wei, J.: An efficient gene selection technique for cancer recognition based on neighborhood mutual information. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics* **1** (2011) 63–74
11. Kryszkiewicz, M.: Rules in incomplete information systems. *Information Sciences* **113** (1998) 271–292
12. Kryszkiewicz, M.: Rough set approach to incomplete information systems. *Information Sciences* **112** (1998) 39–49
13. Lai, H.: *Matroid theory*. Higher Education Press, Beijing (2001)
14. Lawler, E.: *Combinatorial optimization: networks and matroids*. Dover Publications (2001)
15. Li, J.: Topological methods on the theory of covering generalized rough sets. *Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence (in Chinese)* **17** (2004) 7–10
16. Lin, T., Cercone, N.: *Rough Sets and Data Mining*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston (1997)
17. Liu, G., Chen, Q.: *Matroid*. National University of Defence Technology Press, Changsha (1994)
18. Liu, Q., Wang, J.: Semantic analysis of rough logical formulas based on granular computing. In: *Granular Computing*. (2006) 393–396
19. Liu, Y., Zhu, W., Zhang, Y.: Relationship between partition matroid and rough set through k-rank matroid. To appear in *Journal of Information and Computational Science* (2012)
20. Liu, Y., Zhu, W.: Characteristic of partition-circuit matroid through approximation number. In: to appear in *Granular Computing*. (2012)
21. Matus, F.: Abstract functional dependency structures. *Theoretical Computer Science* **81** (1991) 117–126
22. Mao, H.: The relation between matroid and concept lattice. *Advance in Mathematics* **35** (2006) 361–365
23. Miao, D., Zhao, Y., Yao, Y., Li, H., Xu, F.: Relative reducts in consistent and inconsistent decision tables of the Pawlak rough set model. *Information Sciences* **179** (2009) 4140–4150
24. Min, F., Cai, H., Liu, Q., Bai, Z.: Dynamic discretization: a combination approach. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics*. (2007) 3672–3677

25. Min, F., He, H., Qian, Y., Zhu, W.: Test-cost-sensitive attribute reduction. *Information Sciences* **181** (2011) 4928–4942
26. Pawlak, Z.: Rough sets. *International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences* **11** (1982) 341–356
27. Pawlak, Z.: Rough sets: theoretical aspects of reasoning about data. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston (1991)
28. Polkowski, L., Skowron, A., eds.: Rough sets in knowledge discovery. Volume 2. Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag (1998)
29. Qian, Y., Liang, J., Pedrycz, W., Dang, C.: Positive approximation: An accelerator for attribute reduction in rough set theory. *Artificial Intelligence* **174** (2010) 597–618
30. Qin, K., Yang, J., Pei, Z.: Generalized rough sets based on reflexive and transitive relations. *Information Sciences* **178** (2008) 4138–4141
31. Raiffa, H., Richardson, J., Metcalfe, D.: Negotiation analysis: the science and art of collaborative decision making. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press (2002)
32. Rajagopal, P., Masone, J.: Discrete mathematics for computer science. Saunders College, Canada (1992)
33. Rouayheb, S. E., Sprintson, A., Georghiades, C.: On the index coding problem and its relation to network coding and matroid theory. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **56** (2010) 3187–3195
34. Slowinski, R., Vanderpooten, D.: A generalized definition of rough approximations based on similarity. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **12** (2000) 331–336
35. Wang, S., Zhu, W., Fan, M.: The vectorially matroidal structure of generalized rough sets based on relations. In: *Granular Computing*. (2011) 708–711
36. Wang, S., Zhu, W., Min, F.: Transversal and function matroidal structures of covering-based rough sets. In: *Rough Sets and Knowledge Technology*. (2011) 146–155
37. Wang, S., Zhu, W.: Matroidal structure of covering-based rough sets through the upper approximation number. *International Journal of Granular Computing, Rough Sets and Intelligent Systems* **2** (2011) 141–148
38. Wei, W., Liang, J., Qian, Y.: A comparative study of rough sets for hybrid data. *Information Sciences* **190** (2012) 1–16
39. Yao, Y.: Constructive and algebraic methods of theory of rough sets. *Information Sciences* **109** (1998) 21–47
40. Yao, Y.: Relational interpretations of neighborhood operators and rough set approximation operators. *Information Sciences* **111** (1998) 239–259
41. Yao, Y.: Three-way decisions with probabilistic rough sets. *Information Sciences* **180** (2010) 341–353
42. Yao, Y., Wang, F., Wang, J.: "rule + exception" strategies for knowledge management and discovery. In: *Rough Sets, Fuzzy Sets, Data Mining, and Granular Computing*. Volume 3641 of LNAI. (2005) 69–78
43. Zadeh, L.: The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning – III. *Information Sciences* **9** (1975) 43–80
44. Zhang, W., Qiu, G., Wu, W.: A general approach to attribute reduction in rough set theory. *Information Sciences* **50** (2007) 188–197
45. Zhang, S., Wang, X., Feng, T., Feng, T.: Reduction of rough approximation space based on matroid. *International Conference on machine Learning and Cybernetics* **2** (2011) 267–272
46. Zhu, W.: Topological approaches to covering rough sets. *Information Sciences* **177** (2007) 1499–1508
47. Zhu, W., Wang, F.: Covering based granular computing for conflict analysis. In: *Intelligence and Security Informatics*. Volume 3975 of LNCS. (2006) 566–571
48. Zhu, W., Wang, F.: Binary relation based rough set. In: *Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery*. Volume 4223 of LNAI. (2006) 276–285

49. Zhu, W., Wang, F.: Reduction and axiomization of covering generalized rough sets. *Information Sciences* **152** (2003) 217–230
50. Zhu, W., Wang, F.: Axiomatic systems of generalized rough sets. In: *Rough Set and Knowledge Technology*. Volume 4062 of LNAI. (2006) 216–221
51. Zhu, W., Wang, F.: A new type of covering rough sets. In: *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Systems 2006*, London, 4-6 September. (2006) 444–449
52. Zhu, W., Wang, F.: Relationships among three types of covering rough sets. In: *Granular Computing*. (2006) 43–48
53. Zhu, W., Wang, S.: Matroidal approaches to generalized rough sets based on relations. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics* **2** (2011) 273–279
54. Zhu, W., Wang, S.: Rough matroid. In: *Granular Computing*. (2011) 817–822