

Key to Network Controllability

Arising from YY Liu, JJ Slotine & AL Barabási, Nature **473**, 167 - 173 (2011)

Soumya Jyoti Banerjee and Soumen Roy

Bose Institute, 93/1 Acharya PC Roy Road, Kolkata 700009 India

Liu *et. al.* recently proposed a minimum number of *driver nodes*, N_D , needed to obtain full structural controllability over a directed network [1]. Driver nodes are unmatched nodes, from which there are directed paths to all matched nodes. Their most important assertion is that a system's controllability is to a great extent encoded by the underlying network's degree distribution, $P(k_{in}, k_{out})$. Is the controllability of a network decided almost completely by the immediate neighbourhood of a node, while, even slightly distant nodes play no role at all? Motivated by the above question, in this communication, we argue that an effective understanding of controllability in directed networks can be reached using distance based measures of closeness centrality (CC) and betweenness centrality (BC) and may not require the knowledge of local connectivity measures like in-degree and out-degree.

Consider, for example, the case of two $N = 4$ node networks: a chain graph, \mathcal{G}_1 , and a rather densely connected graph, \mathcal{G}_2 , constituted by the set of edges $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$ respectively. It is apparent that graphs like \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 would have very different $P(k_{in}, k_{out})$ and degree correlations. Therefore, \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are expected to have different controllability, ($n_D = N_D/N$), values following Ref. [1]. However, $n_D = 1/4$, for both \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 . It is also notable that there exists some difference between the actual and expected values of n_D for a number of degree preserved random graphs. Indeed, for food webs, metabolic and neuronal networks, this difference is significant [1, 2].

Degree reflects information about the immediate neighbourhood of a node. However, CC signifies a node's potential to choose good control paths passing through it. Again, a node with high BC could be rather distant from the node in question but could connect it to a matched or unmatched node. Thus, we are immediately led to investigate the important role that CC and BC should play in deciding controllability. We propose that controllability should obey a functional relation, $n_D = F(X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}))$, where, $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_N)$, for a network of N nodes. C_i and B_i , where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$; are defined in *Methods*. Herein, we examine the dependence of n_D on X , where




FIG. 1. (a) Change in controllability, n_D , versus $X = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle / \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ for 32 different real world networks with different degree distributions from [1, 3, 4]. (b) Change in n_D for Little Rock food web (which showed maximum deviation between actual and expected values [1]) versus N_s (number of swaps). Swapping procedure is detailed in *Methods*. Only 12% of edge swaps lead to decrease of n_D by 35% whereas Ref. [1] predicts n_D to remain almost constant for such swaps.

X is a very simple function:

$$X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) = \begin{cases} \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle / \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle, & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \neq 0 \\ \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle, & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \neq 0$ in a connected network and hence $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$

is always well-defined. $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ denote the average (over all nodes) of closeness and betweenness centralities respectively of a network. We now focus on the limiting cases of best and worst controllability. The former is observed in directed, fully connected graphs and chains and the latter in directed star graphs. However, low $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ in star networks lead to high n_D whereas high $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ in completely connected graphs and chains lead to low n_D .

As apparent from Fig.1(a), assuming Function 1 involving $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ presents a coherent picture of controllability. n_D decreases, with increase of $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$. The term $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle / \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ reflects the interaction among betweenness and closeness in deciding controllability. *The value of $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ for a given network furnishes an estimate of its controllability, without resorting to maximum matching which is computationally expensive.* Also, $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ seems to act as a good index for distinguishing various kinds of biological networks: very low (≈ 0.003), low (≈ 0.2) and intermediate (≈ 0.33) values of $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ correspond to transcriptional, metabolic and neuronal networks respectively. $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ also illustrates why dense graphs are easier to control than sparser ones. Fig. 1(b) shows that controllability can be changed even within a given network by using $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ and without taking recourse to degree correlations [2].

We wish to emphasize that (i) we have considered only the first standardised moment of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} for simplicity, and, (ii) the most efficient strategy to destroy network controllability should naturally exploit CC and BC . We are addressing (Banerjee and Roy, in preparation), the former by incorporating higher standardised moments of metrics which are known to convey a clearer picture in networks [5–7], and, the latter by using CC and BC rather than control centrality [8]. In summary, we present an understanding of controllability in directed networks using distance based measures of closeness and betweenness centralities, which can be achieved without using local connectivity measures like in-degree and out-degree.

METHODS SUMMARY

Closeness centrality of a node, i , is the inverse of the sum of its distance $d(i, j)$, to all other nodes, j . Mathe-

matically, it is defined as:

$$C_i = \frac{N-1}{\sum_{j=1}^N d(i, j)} \quad (2)$$

Betweenness centrality of a node, i , refers to the ratio of the number of shortest paths, $\sigma_{st}(i)$, that pass through i to the total number of shortest paths, σ_{st} , existing from node, s , to node, t , in the network. Mathematically, it is defined as:

$$B_i = \sum_{s \neq i \neq t} \frac{\sigma_{st}(i)}{\sigma_{st}} \quad (3)$$

Edge swapping procedure: a pair of edges is swapped only if it increases $X(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ while preserving in and out degree, (and hence total degree), of every node involved. Thus $P(k_{in}, k_{out})$ for a network remains unchanged.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

SJB thanks CSIR for financial support.

-
- [1] Liu YY, Slotine JJ & Barabási AL, Controllability of complex networks, *Nature* **473**, 167-173 (2011).
 - [2] Pósfai M, Liu YY, Slotine JJ & Barabási AL, Effect of correlations on network controllability, arXiv:1203.5161v1
 - [3] Wuellner, D., Roy, S. & D'Souza, R. Resilience and rewiring of the passenger airline networks in the United States. *Physical Review E* **82**, 056101 (2010).
 - [4] Network Controllability Datasets: http://boseinst.ernet.in/soumen/Network_Controllability_Datasets.html
 - [5] Roy, S. Systems biology beyond degree, hubs and scale-free networks: the case for multiple metrics in complex networks. *Systems and Synthetic Biology* (2012) **6**: 31 - 34.
 - [6] Roy, S. & Filkov, V. Strong associations between microbe phenotypes and their network architecture. *Physical Review E* **80**, 040902(R) (2009).
 - [7] Filkov, V., Saul, Z., Roy, S., D'Souza, R. & Devanbu, P. Modeling and verifying a broad array of network properties. *Europhysics Letters* **86** (2009) 28003.
 - [8] Liu YY, Slotine JJ & Barabási AL, Control centrality and hierarchical structure in complex networks, arXiv:1203.2655v1