

TRIVIAL AND SIMPLE SPECTRUM FOR $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ COCYCLES WITH FREE BASE AND FIBER DYNAMICS

MÁRIO BESSA AND PAULO VARANDAS

ABSTRACT. Let $AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ denote the pairs (f, A) so that $f \in \mathcal{A} \subset \text{Diff}^1(M)$ is a C^1 -Anosov transitive diffeomorphisms and A is an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ cocycle dominated with respect to f . We prove that open and densely in $AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ (in appropriate topologies) the pair (f, A) has simple spectrum with respect to the unique maximal entropy measure μ_f . On the other hand, there exists a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$, with respect to the separate topology, such that any element (f, A) in \mathcal{R} has trivial spectrum or it is hyperbolic. Then, we prove prevalence of trivial spectrum near the dynamical cocycle of an area-preserving map and also for generic cocycles in $\text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^p(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M denote a compact, Riemannian d -dimensional manifold ($d \geq 2$) endowed with a distance $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ inherited from the metric, Leb the volume-measure associated to the volume form on M , $\text{Aut}_{Leb}(M)$ the space of the automorphisms of M (i.e. bi-measurable bijections preserving Leb) and $\text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$ the space of homeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ which leave invariant the measure Leb , i.e. for all borelians \mathcal{B} we have $Leb(\mathcal{B}) = Leb(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}))$. We endow $\text{Aut}_{Leb}(M)$ with the *weak topology* denoted by \mathcal{W} (i.e. $f_n \rightarrow f$ if and only if $Leb(f_n(K) \Delta f(K)) \rightarrow 0$ for all measurable sets $K \subset M$) and endow $\text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$ with the C^0 topology, given by the metric

$$d(f, g) := \sup\{d(f(x), g(x)), d(f^{-1}(x), g^{-1}(x)): x \in M\},$$

where f and g are in $\text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$.

Let $A: M \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous map when we consider the distance d in M and the uniform operator norm on the special linear group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\nu \geq 0$ be such that $r + \nu > 0$ and let $C^{r+\nu}(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of C^r cocycles $A: M \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $D^r A$ is a C^ν -Hölder continuous. Let $L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of all *essentially bounded* maps $A: M \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ endowed with the L^∞ -norm defined by

$$\|A - B\|_\infty = \text{esssup}_{x \in M} \|A(x) - B(x)\| + \text{esssup}_{x \in M} \|A^{-1}(x) - B^{-1}(x)\|.$$

Given $A \in L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ we will denote, by a slight abuse of notation, by *cocycle* the skew-product

$$F_A: \quad M \times \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \longrightarrow \quad M \times \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (x, v) \quad \longmapsto \quad (f(x), A(x) \cdot v),$$

whose joint base and fiber dynamics is given by $F_A^n(x, v) = (f^n(x), A^n(x) \cdot v)$ where

$$A^n(x) = A(f^{n-1}(x)) \circ \cdots \circ A(f(x)) \circ A(x).$$

In the present paper we intend to study both the dynamical cocycle associated to the derivative of the dynamics as other class of cocycles over a base dynamics f and fiber dynamics A . In other words, we ask what is the typical behavior of the deterministic product, determined by f , of the product of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ matrices when we allow changes in both f and A .

If μ is an f -invariant probability measure such that $\log \|A^\pm\| \in L^1(\mu)$, then it follows from Oseledets theorem (see e.g. [2]) that, for μ -almost every x , there exists the *largest Lyapunov exponent* defined by the limit

$$\lambda^+(f, A, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^n(x)\|,$$

satisfying $\lambda^+(f, A, f(x)) = \lambda^+(f, A, x)$ and it is a non-negative measurable function of x . Moreover, since we are dealing with elements in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, for μ -a.e. point $x \in M$ with $\lambda(f, A, x) \neq 0$, there is an A -invariant splitting of the bundle over x , $E_x^u \oplus E_x^s$ which varies measurably with x and such that, if $u \in E_x^u \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ and $s \in E_x^s \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^n(x) \cdot u\| = \lambda^+(f, A, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^n(x) \cdot s\| = \lambda^-(f, A, x) = -\lambda^+(f, A, x).$$

If the Lyapunov exponents are all different from each other we say that the spectrum is *simple*. Moreover, we say that the Lyapunov spectrum is *trivial* if all the Lyapunov exponents are equal, i.e. the Lyapunov spectrum reduces to a single point.

2. SIMPLE SPECTRUM

From now on we will consider more regular cocycles with a fiber-bunching assumption, called *domination*, over uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems as we will now describe. We say that $f \in \text{Diff}^1(M)$ is an *Anosov diffeomorphism* if there are constants $C = C_f > 0$ and $\theta = \theta_f \in (0, 1)$, and a Df -invariant splitting $TM = E_f^s \oplus E_f^u$ of the tangent bundle such that $\|Df^n|E_f^s\| \leq C\theta^n$ and $\|(Df^n|E_f^u)^{-1}\| \leq C\theta^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. We refer to this splitting as an *hyperbolic splitting*. We say that f is *transitive* if it displays a dense orbit, i.e., there exists $x \in M$ such that $\overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(x)} = M$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Diff}^1(M)$ the space of C^1 -Anosov diffeomorphisms on M that are transitive, endowed with the C^1 Whitney topology, whose norm we denote by $\|\cdot\|_1$. In fact it is well known that \mathcal{A} is an open set and that the constants $C > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ can be chosen in a way that for all $g \in \mathcal{A}$ sufficiently close to f there exists a Dg -invariant splitting $TM = E_g^s \oplus E_g^u$ such that $\|Dg^n|E_g^s\| \leq C\theta^n$ and $\|(Dg^n|E_g^u)^{-1}\| \leq C\theta^n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We say that a diffeomorphism f is C^r -*structurally stable* if there exists a C^r -neighborhood of f on which for any element g , there exists a homeomorphism $h = h_g$ such that $g \circ h = h \circ f$. Given $\gamma > 0$, the homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be γ -*Hölder continuous* if there exist $C > 0$ such that $d(h(x), h(y)) \leq C d(x, y)^\gamma$ for all $x, y \in M$. Since Anosov diffeomorphisms are structurally stable, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and a γ -Hölder continuous homeomorphism h_g close to the identity and such that $g \circ h_g = h_g \circ f$. Let $\eta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is $\eta(f)$ -Hölder conjugate to all sufficiently close maps (see e.g. [10] for details on regularity of conjugacies). As a simple consequence, it follows from the structural stability that the set \mathcal{A} of transitive Anosov diffeomorphisms forms an open set in the set of all Anosov diffeomorphisms.

Similarly to before, we can define a hyperbolic cocycle F_A by swapping Df by A . In other words, a cocycle is *hyperbolic* if it admits an A -invariant hyperbolic splitting. Hyperbolicity is an open property and it is well-known that the original metric can be changed in order to obtain constant C equal to one. We refer the reader to [10] for a detailed account on hyperbolicity. This put us in a position to recall the notion of domination for cocycles, that roughly means that the cocycle has some partial hyperbolicity in a sense that it behaves like a partial hyperbolic dynamical system whose central direction dynamics is given by the fiber. More precisely, following [5, Definition 1.1], given a constant $\nu > 0$, we say that a ν -Hölder continuous cocycle A is *dominated* for f with hyperbolicity rate θ , if it satisfies

$$\|A(x)\| \|A(x)^{-1}\| \theta^\nu < 1 \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

In fact the later is an open condition in the space $C^0(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ of continuous cocycles. Therefore, of any $\nu > 0$, this is also an open condition in the space $C^\nu(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ of ν -Hölder continuous cocycles

endowed with the usual C^ν norm $\|\cdot\|_\nu = \|\cdot\|_0 + |\cdot|_\nu$, where

$$|A|_\nu = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{\|A(x) - A(y)\|}{d(x, y)^\nu}. \quad (2.1)$$

When $\nu = 1$ this corresponds to the Lipschitz norm. We denote by *Lip* the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_\nu$ with $\nu = 1$.

It follows from the thermodynamical formalism for uniformly hyperbolic maps (see e.g. [6]) that for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a unique maximal entropy measure μ_f , and that it has local product structure as we now describe. Recall that local stable and local unstable manifolds are C^1 -embedded submanifolds of M with the property that $W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^u(x)$ vary continuously with x and there exists $\delta > 0$ is all such that for any $x \in M$ and $y, z \in B(x, \delta)$ the intersection

$$[y, z] := W_{\text{loc}}^u(y) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^s(z) \neq \emptyset$$

consists of a unique point. Hence, there exists $N_x^u(\delta) \subset W_{\text{loc}}^u(x)$ a u -neighborhood of x and $N_x^s(\delta) \subset W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$ an s -neighborhood of x and a neighborhood $N_\delta(x)$ of x in M such that the map $\Upsilon_x : N_\delta(x) \rightarrow N_x^u(\delta) \times N_x^s(\delta)$ given by $\Upsilon_x(y) = ([x, y], [y, x])$ is a homeomorphism.

An f -invariant probability measure η has *local product structure* if for any $x \in \text{supp}(\eta)$ (where $\text{supp}(\eta)$ stands for the support of the measure η) and a small $\delta > 0$ the measure $\eta|_{N_x(\delta)}$ is equivalent to the product measure $\eta_x^u \times \eta_x^s$, where η_x^i denotes the conditional measure of $(\Upsilon_x)_*(\eta|_{N_x(\delta)})$ on $N_x^i(\delta)$, for $i \in u, s$. See e.g. [6] for details. We study Lyapunov exponents with respect to the maximal entropy measure given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda : \quad AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R})) &\longrightarrow [0, +\infty[\\ (f, A) &\longmapsto \int_M \lambda^+(f, A, x) d\mu_f \end{aligned}$$

Let $AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R})) \subset \mathcal{A} \times C^1(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ to be the set of pairs (f, A) such that f is a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism and A is a C^1 -cocycle, dominated for f . We show that most cocycles in $AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$, in the sense of Theorem A stated below, have simple spectrum. Let us give a brief description of the strategy of the proof. Using that the base dynamics f is Anosov, hence structurally stable, it follows that any diffeomorphism g close to f there exists an Hölder continuous homeomorphism h_g such that $h_g \circ g = f \circ h_g$. Since the maximal entropy measure is preserved by the Hölder continuous conjugation first we can make a reduction and assume that the dynamics f_0 is fixed in the Lyapunov exponent function above and one considers cocycles of the form $A \circ h_g$, where A is C^1 -smooth. The smoothness of the original cocycle plays a key role to guarantee that all close cocycles obtained by conjugation are Hölder continuous with the same regularity.

Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ and $g : N \rightarrow N$ be invertible measurable maps and measurable h -conjugated, say $g \circ h = h \circ f$, for an invertible measurable map $h : M \rightarrow N$. The cocycle A over f and the cocycle B over g are *equivalent* if there exists a measurable tempered map $L : M \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that the cohomology equations holds: $A(h^{-1}(x)) = L(g(x))^{-1}B(x)L(x)$ for $x \in N$ (cf. Chapter 4 in [2]). We are now in a position to state our first result.

Theorem A. *For any $(f, A) \in AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $(g, B) \in AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that $\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon$, $\|A - B\|_{\eta(f)} < \varepsilon$ and the pair (g, B) has simple spectrum with respect to μ_g . Moreover, given $(f, A) \in AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ with simple spectrum with respect to μ_f there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} in the $C^1 \times \text{Lip}$ -topology in $AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that if $(g, B) \in \mathcal{U}$, then the pair has simple spectrum with respect to the maximal entropy measure μ_g .*

Proof. For simplicity reasons, when no confusion is possible, whenever we will say that the pair (f, A) has simple spectrum we will omit the maximal entropy measure μ_f .

First, let $(f, A) \in AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given arbitrary. Using that domination is an open condition, up to consider a smaller value of ε we may assume without loss of generality that all cocycles C^0 -close to A are dominated with respect to all g that are C^1 close to f . Now, since μ_f has

local product structure and the cocycle A is C^1 (hence in particular $C^{\eta(f)}$ -Hölder continuous) it follows from [5, 13] that there exists an open and dense subset \mathcal{S}_f in the set of $C^{\eta(f)}$ -Hölder continuous and dominated cocycles for f such that (f, \tilde{B}) has simple spectrum for every $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{S}_f$. Since C^1 -smooth cocycles are $C^{\eta(f)}$ -dense in the space of Hölder continuous cocycles, then the first assertion will follow by taking $g = f$ and $B \in C^1(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ in \mathcal{S}_f with $\|A - B\|_{\eta(f)} < \varepsilon$.

Now, we proceed to prove the second assertion in the theorem. Assume that the pair $(f, A) \in AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ has simple spectrum. Using again [5, 13] as above, there exists a $C^{\eta(f)}$ -open neighborhood \mathcal{V} of A in the space of $C^{\eta(f)}$ cocycles such that $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{S}_f$.

In particular, (f, B) has simple spectrum with respect to μ_f for every $B \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $B(A, 5\delta) \subset \mathcal{V}$, where $B(A, 5\delta)$ stands for the ball, in the $C^{\eta(f)}$ -topology centered in A and with radius 5δ . Let us consider then a C^1 -neighborhood \mathcal{U}_1 of f so that:

- (i) for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$ there exists an $\eta(f)$ -Hölder continuous homeomorphism h_g which is close to the identity and $g \circ h_g = h_g \circ f$, and
- (ii) for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $B \in \mathcal{V}$ the pair (g, B) is dominated.

Using that the cocycle A is C^1 smooth, then the map $\Xi : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow C^{\eta(f)}(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ given by $\Xi : g \mapsto A \circ h_g$ is well defined and continuous, where the continuity follows from the fact that the conjugation $g \mapsto h_g$ is continuous. In fact, while the later is a local bijection between a neighborhood of f onto a neighborhood of the identity id_M one can reduce the neighborhood \mathcal{U}_1 of the Anosov diffeomorphism f if necessary so that $\Xi(\mathcal{U}_1) \subset B(A, \delta)$ and $\|h_g\|_{\eta(f)} < 2$ for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$.

Now, for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$ consider the $C^{\eta(f)}$ -open set of cocycles $\mathcal{V}_g = \{B \circ h_g^{-1} : B \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Since there is a unique maximal entropy measure for both f and g , then it is preserved by topological conjugacy and so $(h_g^{-1})_* \mu_g = \mu_f$. Therefore, if $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\tilde{B} = B \circ h_g^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}_g$, for some $B \in \mathcal{V}$, then we can use that $f^n = h_g^{-1} \circ g^n \circ h_g$ to check that the cocycle \tilde{B} under iteration over g becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^n(y) &= \tilde{B}(g^{n-1}(y)) \circ \dots \circ \tilde{B}(g(y)) \circ \tilde{B}(y) \\ &= B(h_g^{-1}(g^{n-1}(y))) \circ \dots \circ B(h_g^{-1}(g(y))) \circ B(h_g^{-1}(y)) \\ &= B(f^{n-1}(h_g^{-1}(y))) \circ \dots \circ B(f(h_g^{-1}(y))) \circ B(h_g^{-1}(y)) \\ &= B^n(h_g^{-1}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in M$, where $B^n(h_g^{-1}(y))$ is obtained by iteration of B over f . Thus, the Lyapunov exponents of the cocycle (g, \tilde{B}) with respect to the maximal entropy measure μ_g are the same as the ones of the cocycle (f, B) with respect to the maximal entropy measure μ_f and, consequently, the pair (g, \tilde{B}) has simple spectrum.

Finally, we claim that any pair $(g, B) \in AC_D(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\|A - B\|_1 < \delta$ has simple spectrum. For that it is enough to show that $B \in \mathcal{V}_g$ or equivalently that $B \circ h_g \in \mathcal{V}$, since writing $B = (B \circ h_g) \circ h_g^{-1}$. For any $x, y \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(B \circ h_g - A)(x) - (B \circ h_g - A)(y)\| &\leq \|(B \circ h_g - A \circ h_g)(x) - (B \circ h_g - A \circ h_g)(y)\| \\ &\quad + \|(A \circ h_g - A)(x) - (A \circ h_g - A)(y)\| \\ &\leq \|A - B\|_1 d(h_g(x), h_g(y)) \\ &\quad + \|(A \circ h_g - A)\|_{\eta(f)} d(x, y)^{\eta(f)} \\ &\leq \delta \|h_g\|_{\eta(f)} d(x, y)^{\eta(f)} + \delta d(x, y)^{\eta(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the $\|B \circ h_g - A\|_{\eta(f)} < 3\delta$. Similar estimates provide an upper bound for the C^0 norm of the cocycle $B \circ h_g - A$, hence proving that $B \circ h_g \in B(A, 5\delta) \subset \mathcal{V}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

We finish this section with some comments. First we mention that the proof of the density above can be taken in the stronger $C^1 \times C^1$ -topology by the results in [5, 13].

Secondly, since we use some results from [5, 13] the previous result also holds from transitive Anosov diffeomorphisms to a basic piece of an Axiom A diffeomorphism. Moreover, we can replace $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ by $SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ cocycles for $d \geq 2$ (see [5]). We opted to state Theorem A as above for more simple comparison to the characterization of continuous $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ cocycles given in the next theorem.

3. TRIVIAL AND SIMPLE SPECTRUM

In the recent years several results about the generic behavior of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ cocycles have been proved. We refer to result of Cong [7] where it is proved the L^∞ genericity of hyperbolic behavior among *bounded* cocycles when we keep the base dynamics and perturb the fiber dynamics in the L^∞ -sense. Moreover, Bochi and Fayad [4] proved that the trivial Lyapunov spectrum prevails in a C^0 -generic way when we keep the fiber dynamics and allow perturbations in the base dynamics.

In this note we intend to continue the discussion on the problem of knowing what is the prevalent behavior when we consider two degrees of freedom, i.e., perturbation of both base and fiber dynamics. In what follows, we endow the product space $\text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ with the separate topology in $\mathcal{W} \times L^\infty$. For full details on the issue of continuity of multivariable real maps see [9].

The following result is a very simple consequence of [4, Proposition 1.7] which we present in order to contextualize with the object of our study.

Theorem 3.1. ([4, Proposition 1.7]) *There exists a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that any element $(f, A) \in \mathcal{R}$ has trivial spectrum or else the cocycle (f, A) is uniformly hyperbolic.*

Proof. We consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda: \quad \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R})) &\longrightarrow [0, +\infty[\\ (f, A) &\mapsto \int_M \lambda^+(f, A, x) dLeb(x) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition A.2 from [4] assures that, for any fixed $A \in L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$, we have that the integrated Lyapunov exponent function $\Lambda(\cdot, A)$ is upper semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{W} . Furthermore, by [3, Proposition 2.1], fixing $f \in \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M)$ we have that $\Lambda(f, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous with respect to the L^∞ norm. Thus, Λ is an upper semicontinuous map with respect to the separate topology. As a consequence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of (f_0, A_0) such that

$$\Lambda(f, A) \leq \Lambda(f_0, A_0) + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } (f, A) \in U.$$

We claim that if (f_0, A_0) is a continuity point of Λ , then $\Lambda(f_0, A_0) = 0$ or else (f_0, A_0) is uniformly hyperbolic. Assume, by contradiction, that $\Lambda(f_0, A_0) > 0$ and (f_0, A_0) is not uniformly hyperbolic. Then, there exists a small $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\Lambda(f, A) \geq \Lambda(f_0, A_0)/2 > 0$ for all $(f, A) \in \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that f is ε -close to f_0 (w.r.t. the topology \mathcal{W}) and $\|A - A_0\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Since we do not have the uniform hyperbolicity property, we are in the conditions of [3] (observe that we do not need ergodicity cf. [3, §5.3]). Hence, there exists $\tilde{A}_1 \in L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that $\|\tilde{A}_1 - A_0\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ and moreover $\Lambda(f_0, \tilde{A}_1) = 0$ which leads to a contradiction and the theorem is proved. \square

We observe that the previous result also holds for $\text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times C^0(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ instead of $\text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^\infty(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and the C^0 topology instead of the L^∞ one (see e.g. [3]).

4. TRIVIAL SPECTRUM

Here, we begin by proving that the trivial spectrum is prevalent for the dynamical cocycle of an area-preserving diffeomorphism near any element of $\text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$. Let $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^r(M)$ stands for the set of C^r area-preserving diffeomorphisms on surfaces. A point p is said to be *periodic* of period $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $h \in \text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$, if n is the smallest positive integer such that $f^n(p) = p$. We say that a periodic point is *persistent* for $h \in \text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if \hat{h} is a homeomorphism δ - C^0 -close to h then \hat{h} has a periodic point \hat{p} of period n which is ε -close to p .

Theorem B. For a C^0 -dense subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$ of C^2 diffeomorphisms and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that, for $f \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a C^0 -neighborhood \mathcal{U}_f of f and a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{U}_f$ such that for all $h \in \mathcal{R}$:

$$\int_M \lambda^+(h, Df, x) dLeb(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Using [12] we know that C^1 -close to any element in $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^1(M)$ there is an Anosov map or else a map that exhibits dense elliptic periodic orbits. By ([14]) $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^r(M)$ ($r \geq 2$) is C^1 -dense in $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^1(M)$ and by ([11]) $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^1(M)$ is C^0 -dense in $\text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$.

By performing an arbitrarily small C^0 -perturbation of an Anosov in $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^1(M)$ we can obtain an element in $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^1(M)$ C^1 -far from the C^1 -open subset of Anosov maps, thus having an element in $\text{Diff}_{Leb}^1(M)$ exhibiting dense elliptic periodic orbits. Therefore, we obtain a C^0 -dense subset \mathcal{D} of $\text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$ with dense elliptic periodic orbits and of class C^2 . Moreover, \mathcal{D} can be chosen such that at least one of its (dense) periodic orbits has non-zero second derivative at some point of its orbit.

In conclusion, we have $f \in \mathcal{D}$ with the dynamical cocycle $Df: M \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of class C^1 and, moreover, f has a persistent elliptic periodic point $p = f^n(p)$ such that $D(Df_{f^i(p)})$ is non-zero, for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. In fact, the periodic point is persistent since it is an isolated fixed point, for the return map, and thus the Poincaré-Lefschetz index is different from 1.

We are in the conditions of [4, Corollary 5] (see also [4, Theorem 4]) and so we obtain a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_f \subset \text{Homeo}_{Leb}(M)$ of f and a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{U}_f$ such that

$$\int_M \lambda^+(h, Df, x) dLeb(x) < \varepsilon$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{R}$ and the theorem is proved. □

Now, we also obtain prevalence of trivial spectrum if we consider the L^p -measurable $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ cocycles endowed with the L^p -norm (cf. [1]). The next result is a direct consequence of Arbieto and Bochi theorem [1].

Corollary 4.1. *There exists a residual subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^p(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that any element $(f, A) \in \mathcal{R}$ has trivial spectrum.*

Proof. The strategy is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We consider the function endowed with the separate topology, $\mathcal{W} \times L^p$ -norm, in its domain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda: \quad \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^p(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R})) &\longrightarrow [0, +\infty[\\ (f, A) &\mapsto \int_M \lambda^+(f, A, x) dLeb(x) \end{aligned}$$

By [4, Proposition A.2] we know that, for any fixed $A \in L^p(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$, we have that the integrated Lyapunov exponent function $\Lambda(\cdot, A)$ is upper semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{W} . Moreover, by [1, Theorem 2], for any fixed $f \in \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M)$ we have that $\Lambda(f, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous with respect to the L^p norm. Hence, since we consider the separate topology it is easy to show that Λ is an upper semicontinuous map. Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of (f_0, A_0) such that

$$\Lambda(f, A) \leq \Lambda(f_0, A_0) + \varepsilon$$

for all $(f, A) \in U$. Now we claim that if (f_0, A_0) is a continuity point of Λ then $\Lambda(f_0, A_0) = 0$. Assume, by contradiction, that $\Lambda(f_0, A_0) > 0$. Then, there exists a small $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\Lambda(f, A) \geq \Lambda(f_0, A_0)/2 > 0$ for all $(f, A) \in \text{Aut}_{Leb}(M) \times L^p(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ such that f is ε -close to f_0 (with respect to the topology \mathcal{W}) and $\|A - A_0\|_{L^p} < \varepsilon$.

However, we can perturb f_0 obtaining an ergodic f_1 (cf. [8]), and, since we are in the conditions of [4, Theorem 1], there exists $\tilde{A}_1 \in L^p(M, SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ that is ε - L^p -close to A_0 and such that $\Lambda(\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{A}_1) = 0$ which leads to a contradiction. This proves our claim. Finally, since the set of continuity points of a semicontinuous function is a residual set the corollary is now proved. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MB was partially supported by National Funds through FCT - “Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia”, project PEst-OE/MAT/UI0212/2011 and also the project PTDC/MAT/099493/2008. PV was partially supported by CNPq and FAPESB. The authors would like to thanks Jairo Bochi for suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arbieto and J. Bochi, LP -generic cocycles have one-point Lyapunov spectrum, *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 3 (2003), 73–81. Corrigendum. *ibid*, 3 (2003), 419–420.
- [2] L. Barreira and Ya. Pesin, Nonuniform Hyperbolicity, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 115*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [3] J. Bochi. Genericity of zero Lyapunov exponents. *Erg. Theor. Dyn. Syst.*, 22:1667–1696, 2002.
- [4] J. Bochi and B. Fayad. Dichotomies between uniform hyperbolicity and zero Lyapunov exponents for $SL(2, R)$ cocycles. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, 37:307–349, 2006.
- [5] C. Bonatti and M. Viana. Lyapunov exponents with multiplicity 1 for deterministic products of matrices. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.*, 24:1295–1330, 2004.
- [6] R. Bowen. Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 470, Springer, 2008.
- [7] N. Cong. A generic bounded linear cocycle has simple Lyapunov spectrum. *Erg. Theor. Dyn. Syst.*, 25:1775–1797, 2005.
- [8] P.R. Halmos. *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*. The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1956.
- [9] M. Henriksen and R.G.Woods. Separate versus joint continuity: A tale of four topologies *Topology and its Applications*, 97 (1999) 175–205.
- [10] A. Katok and B. Hasselblat. Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 1996.
- [11] Yong-Geun Oh. C^0 -coerciveness of Moser’s problem and smoothing area preserving homeomorphisms, Preprint ArXiv. math.DS/0601183, 2006.
- [12] S. Newhouse. Quasi-elliptic periodic points in conservative dynamical systems. *Am. J. Math.*, **99**:1061–1087, 1977.
- [13] M. Viana, Almost all cocycles over any hyperbolic system have nonvanishing Lyapunov exponents. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 167 (2008), no. 2, 643–680.
- [14] E. Zehnder, Note on smoothing symplectic and volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, In *Proc. III Latin Amer. School of Math., Inst. Mat. Pura Aplicada CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, 1976*, volume 597 *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 828–854. Springer, Berlin, 1977.

MÁRIO BESSA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR, RUA MARQUÊS D’ÁVILA E BOLAMA, 6201-001 COVILHÃ, PORTUGAL.

E-mail address: `bessa@ubi.pt`

PAULO VARANDAS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA BAHIA, AV. ADEMAR DE BARROS S/N, 40170-110 SALVADOR, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `paulo.varandas@ufba.br`