Fractional Noether's Theorem with Classical and Riemann–Liouville Derivatives

Gastão S. F. Frederico^{1,2} and Delfim F. M. Torres²

Abstract—We prove a Noether type symmetry theorem to fractional problems of the calculus of variations with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives. As result, we obtain constants of motion (in the classical sense) that are valid along the mixed classical/fractional Euler–Lagrange extremals. Both Lagrangian and Hamiltonian versions of the Noether theorem are obtained. Finally, we extend our Noether's theorem to more general problems of optimal control with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives.

Index Terms—calculus of variations, optimal control, fractional derivatives, Euler–Lagrange equations, invariance, Noether's theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K05, 26A33.

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of symmetry plays an important role in science and engineering. Symmetries are described by transformations, which result in the same object after the transformations are carried out. They are described mathematically by parameter groups of transformations [16], [21], [33], [34]. Their importance, as recognized by Noether in 1918 [25], is connected with the existence of conservation laws that can be used to reduce the order of the Euler–Lagrange differential equations [10]. Noether's symmetry theorem is nowadays recognized as one of the most beautiful results of the calculus of variations and optimal control [7], [26].

The fractional calculus is an area of current strong research with many different and important applications [20], [23], [30], [32]. In the last years, its importance in the calculus of variations and optimal control has been perceived, and a fractional variational theory began to be developed by several different authors [1], [3], [6], [8], [9], [17], [18], [24], [31], [35]. Most part of the results in this direction make use of fractional derivatives in the sense of Riemann-Liouville [3], [8], [12], [14], [24], FALVA [9], [11], Caputo [1], [13] or Riesz [15]. For a more general approach see [27], [28]; for the state of the art we refer the reader to the recent book [22]. Here we borrow a recent "transfer formula" from [5] that allows to obtain Noether conservation laws, in the classical sense, for fractional variational problems. In contrast with [5], where only momentum-type conservation laws are obtained, here we prove a Noether-type theorem in its general form, including both momentum and energy terms. We trust our results will have an important impact on Mechanics. Indeed, the classical Noether theorem is only

valid for conservative systems, while most processes observed in the physical world are nonconservative. By dealing with Lagrangians constructed using fractional derivatives, we can deal with nonconservative equations of motion [31].

1

The article is organized as follows. In Section II we review the basics of fractional calculus. In Section III we use the Euler–Lagrange equations (Theorem 8) and respective fractional extremals, to prove the extension of Noether's theorem to fractional problems of the calculus of variations (Theorem 15) and optimal control (Theorem 22) that include both classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives. An example of application of our main result is given in Section IV.

II. PRELIMINARIES ON FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

In this section we fix notations by collecting the definitions and properties of fractional integrals and derivatives needed in the sequel [2], [23], [30], [32].

Definition 1: (Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals) Let f be a continuous function in the interval [a, b]. For $t \in [a, b]$, the left Riemann–Liouville fractional integral ${}_{a}I_{t}^{\alpha}f(t)$ and the right Riemann–Liouville fractional integral ${}_{t}I_{b}^{\alpha}f(t)$ of order α , are defined by

$${}_{a}I_{t}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{a}^{t}(t-\theta)^{\alpha-1}f(\theta)d\theta,$$
$${}_{t}I_{b}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{t}^{b}(\theta-t)^{\alpha-1}f(\theta)d\theta,$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function and $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Definition 2: (Fractional derivatives in the sense of Riemann-Liouville) Let f be a continuous function in the interval [a, b]. For $t \in [a, b]$, the left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative ${}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}f(t)$ and the right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative ${}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}f(t)$ of order α are defined by

$${}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} {}_{a}I_{t}^{1-\alpha}f(t)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{a}^{t}(t-\theta)^{-\alpha}f(\theta)d\theta$$

and

$${}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}f(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}{}_{t}I_{b}^{1-\alpha}f(t)$$
$$= \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{t}^{b}(\theta-t)^{-\alpha}f(\theta)d\theta$$

Theorem 3: Let f and g be two continuous functions on [a, b]. Then, for all $t \in [a, b]$, the following property holds:

$${}_aD_t^{\alpha}\left(f(t) + g(t)\right) = {}_aD_t^{\alpha}f(t) + {}_aD_t^{\alpha}g(t).$$

¹G.S.F. Frederico is with Gregório Semedo University, Luanda, Angola. ²G.S.F. Frederico and D.F.M. Torres are with the Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications, Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal delfim@ua.pt

Remark 4: In general, the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of a constant is not equal to zero.

We now present the integration by parts formula for fractional derivatives.

Lemma 5: If f, g, and the fractional derivatives ${}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}g$ and ${}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}f$ are continuous at every point $t \in [a, b]$, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} g(t) dt = \int_{a}^{b} g(t)_{t} D_{b}^{\alpha} f(t) dt \qquad (1)$$

for any $0 < \alpha < 1$. Moreover, formula (1) is still valid for $\alpha = 1$ provided f or g are zero at t = a and t = b.

The reader interested in additional background on fractional calculus is referred to one of the many good books on the subject [4], [18], [20], [23], [29], [30], [32].

III. MAIN RESULTS: EULER–LAGRANGE EQUATIONS AND NOETHER'S THEOREMS FOR VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS WITH CLASSICAL AND RIEMANN–LIOUVILLE DERIVATIVES

In Section III-A we prove two important results for variational problems: a necessary optimality condition of Euler– Lagrange type (Theorem 8) and a Noether-type theorem (Theorem 15). The results are then extended in Section III-B to the more general setting of optimal control.

A. Fractional variational problems with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives

We begin by formulating the fundamental problem under investigation.

Problem 6: The fractional problem of the calculus of variations with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives consists to find the stationary functions of the functional

$$I[q(\cdot)] = \int_{a}^{b} L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)) dt$$
 (2)

subject to given 2n boundary conditions $q(a) = q_a$ and $q(b) = q_b$, where $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, a < b, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\dot{q} = \frac{dq}{dt}$, and the admissible functions $q: t \mapsto q(t)$ and the Lagrangian $L: (t,q,v,v_l) \mapsto L(t,q,v,v_l)$ are assumed to be C^2 :

$$\begin{split} q(\cdot) \in C^2\left([a,b];\,\mathbb{R}^n\right);\\ L(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) \in C^2\left([a,b]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n;\,\mathbb{R}\right) \end{split}$$

Along the work, we denote by $\partial_i L$ the partial derivative of L with respect to its *i*th argument, i = 1, ..., 4.

1) Fractional Euler–Lagrange equations: The Euler– Lagrange necessary optimality condition is central in achieving the main results of this work. Our results are formulated and proved using the Euler–Lagrange equations (3).

A variation of $q(\cdot) \in C^2([a,b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is another function of $C^2([a,b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ of the form $q + \varepsilon h$, with $h(\cdot) \in C^2([a,b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that h(a) = h(b) = 0 and ε a small real positive number.

Definition 7: (Fractional extremal with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives). We say that $q(\cdot)$ is an extremal with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives for functional (2) if for any $h \in C^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left. I[q + \varepsilon h] \right|_{\varepsilon = 0} = 0.$$

We now obtain the fractional Euler–Lagrange necessary optimality condition.

Theorem 8: (Fractional Euler–Lagrange equation). If $q(\cdot)$ is an extremal to Problem 6, then it satisfies the following Euler–Lagrange equation with classical and Riemann– Liouville derivatives:

$$\partial_{2}L(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)) - \frac{d}{dt}\partial_{3}L(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)) + {}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}\partial_{4}L(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [a,b].$$
(3)

Proof: According with Definition 7, a necessary condition for q to be an extremal is given by

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left[\partial_{2}L\left(t,q,\dot{q},{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right) \cdot h + \partial_{3}L\left(t,q,\dot{q},{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right) \cdot \dot{h} \right. \\ \left. + \left. \partial_{4}L\left(t,q,\dot{q},{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right) \cdot {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}h \right] dt = 0 \,.$$
 (4)

Using the fact that h(a) = h(b) = 0, and the classical and Riemann-Liouville (1) integration by parts formulas in the second and third terms of the integrand of (4), respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} & \left[\partial_{2}L\left(t,q,\dot{q},{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right) - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_{3}L\left(t,q,\dot{q},{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right) \right. \\ & \left. + {}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}\partial_{4}L\left(t,q,\dot{q},{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right) \right] \cdot h \, dt = 0. \end{split}$$

Equality (3) follows from the application of the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations (see, e.g., [16]).

2) Fractional Noether's theorem: In order to prove a fractional Noether's theorem for Problem 6 we adopt a technique used in [12], [19]. The proof is done in two steps: we begin by proving a Noether's theorem without transformation of the time (without transformation of the independent variable); then, using a technique of time-reparametrization, we obtain Noether's theorem in its general form.

Definition 9: (Invariance without transforming the time). Functional (2) is invariant under an ε -parameter group of infinitesimal transformations $\bar{q}(t) = q(t) + \varepsilon \xi(t,q) + o(\varepsilon)$ if

$$\int_{t_{a}}^{t_{b}} L(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)) dt = \int_{t_{a}}^{t_{b}} L(t,\bar{q}(t),\dot{\bar{q}}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}\bar{q}(t)) dt$$
(5)

for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a, b]$.

The next theorem establishes a necessary condition of invariance.

Theorem 10: (Necessary condition of invariance). If functional (2) is invariant, in the sense of Definition 9, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{2}L\left(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)\right)\cdot\xi(t,q) \\ &+\partial_{3}L\left(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)\right)\cdot\dot{\xi}(t,q) \\ &+\partial_{4}L\left(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)\right)\cdot{}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}\xi(t,q) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proof: Having in mind that condition (5) is valid for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a, b]$, we can get rid of the integral signs in (5). Differentiating this condition with respect to ε , substituting $\varepsilon = 0$, and using the definitions and properties

of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives given in Section II, we arrive to

$$0 = \partial_2 L(t, q, \dot{q}, {}_aD_t^{\alpha}q) \cdot \xi(t, q) + \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}, {}_aD_t^{\alpha}q) \cdot \dot{\xi}$$
$$+ \partial_4 L(t, q, \dot{q}, {}_aD_t^{\alpha}q) \cdot \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t (t-\theta)^{-\alpha} q(\theta) d\theta + \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t (t-\theta)^{-\alpha} \xi(\theta, q) d\theta \right]_{\varepsilon=0}.$$
 (7)

Expression (7) is equivalent to (6).

Remark 11: Using the Euler–Lagrange equation (3), the necessary condition of invariance (6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \xi(t,q) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L \left(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), {}_a D_t^{\alpha} q(t) \right) \\ &+ \partial_3 L \left(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), {}_a D_t^{\alpha} q(t) \right) \cdot \dot{\xi}(t,q) \\ &+ \partial_4 L \left(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), {}_a D_t^{\alpha} q(t) \right) \cdot {}_a D_t^{\alpha} \xi(t,q) \\ &- \xi(t,q) \cdot {}_t D_b^{\alpha} \partial_4 L \left(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), {}_a D_t^{\alpha} q(t) \right) = 0 \,. \end{split}$$
(8)

In [5], the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 12: (Transfer formula [5]). Consider functions $f, g \in C^{\infty}([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and assume the following condition (C): the sequences $(g^{(k)} \cdot_a I_t^{k-\alpha} f)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ and $(f^{(k)} \cdot_t I_b^{k-\alpha} g)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ converge uniformly to 0 on [a, b]. Then, the following equality holds:

$$g \cdot {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}f - f \cdot {}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}g$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^{r}g^{(r)} \cdot {}_{a}I_{t}^{r+1-\alpha}f + f^{(r)} \cdot {}_{t}I_{b}^{r+1-\alpha}g \right) \right]$$
Theorem 12. (Freetional Neether's theorem without trans

Theorem 13: (Fractional Noether's theorem without transformation of time). If functional (2) is invariant, in the sense of Definition 9, and functions ξ and $\partial_4 L$ satisfy condition (C) of Theorem 12, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\xi \cdot \partial_3 L + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^r \partial_4 L^{(r)} \cdot {}_a I_t^{r+1-\alpha} \xi + \xi^{(r)} \cdot {}_t I_b^{r+1-\alpha} \partial_4 L \right) \right] = 0$$

along any fractional extremal with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives q(t), $t \in [a, b]$ (Definition 7).

Proof: We combine equation (8) and Theorem 12. The next definition gives a more general notion of invariance for the integral functional (2). The main result of this section, the Theorem 15, is formulated with the help of this definition.

Definition 14: (Invariance of (2)). Functional (2) is said to be invariant under the ε -parameter group of infinitesimal transformations $\bar{t} = t + \varepsilon \tau(t, q(t)) + o(\varepsilon)$ and $\bar{q}(t) = q(t) + \varepsilon \xi(t, q(t)) + o(\varepsilon)$ if

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} L\left(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t), {}_aD_t^{\alpha}q(t)\right) dt$$
$$= \int_{\bar{t}(t_a)}^{\bar{t}(t_b)} L\left(\bar{t}, \bar{q}(\bar{t}), \dot{\bar{q}}(\bar{t})_a D_{\bar{t}}^{\alpha}\bar{q}(\bar{t})\right) d\bar{t}$$

for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a, b]$.

Our next result gives a general form of Noether's theorem for fractional problems of the calculus of variations with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives.

Theorem 15: (Fractional Noether's theorem with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives). If functional (2) is invariant, in the sense of Definition 14, and functions ξ and $\partial_4 L$ satisfy condition (C) of Theorem 12, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\xi \cdot \partial_3 L + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^r \partial_4 L^{(r)} \cdot {}_a I_t^{r+1-\alpha} \xi \right. \\ \left. + \xi^{(r)} \cdot {}_t I_b^{r+1-\alpha} \partial_4 L \right) \right. \\ \left. + \tau \left(L - \dot{q} \cdot \partial_3 L - \alpha \partial_4 L \cdot {}_a D_t^{\alpha} q \right) \right] = 0 \quad (9)$$

along any fractional extremal with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives $q(t), t \in [a, b]$.

Proof: Our proof is an extension of the method used in [19]. For that we reparametrize the time (the independent variable t) by the Lipschitz transformation

$$[a,b] \ni t \longmapsto \sigma f(\lambda) \in [\sigma_a,\sigma_b]$$

that satisfies

$$t_{\sigma}^{'} = \frac{dt(\sigma)}{d\sigma} = f(\lambda) = 1 \ if \ \lambda = 0.$$
 (10)

Functional (2) is reduced, in this way, to an autonomous functional:

$$\bar{I}[t(\cdot), q(t(\cdot))] = \int_{\sigma_a}^{\sigma_b} L\left(t(\sigma), q(t(\sigma)), \dot{q}(t(\sigma)), \sigma_a D^{\alpha}_{t(\sigma)}q(t(\sigma))\right) t'_{\sigma} d\sigma,$$
(11)

where $t(\sigma_a) = a$ and $t(\sigma_b) = b$. Using the definitions and properties of fractional derivatives given in Section II, we get successively that

$$\begin{split} &\sigma_a D^{\alpha}_{t(\sigma)} q(t(\sigma)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt(\sigma)} \int_{\frac{a}{f(\lambda)}}^{\sigma f(\lambda)} (\sigma f(\lambda) - \theta)^{-\alpha} q\left(\theta f^{-1}(\lambda)\right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{(t'_{\sigma})^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \int_{\frac{a}{(t'_{\sigma})^2}}^{\sigma} (\sigma - s)^{-\alpha} q(s) ds \\ &= (t'_{\sigma})^{-\alpha} \frac{a}{(t'_{\sigma})^2} D^{\alpha}_{\sigma} q(\sigma) \,. \end{split}$$

We then have

$$\begin{split} \bar{I}[t(\cdot),q(t(\cdot))] &= \int_{\sigma_a}^{\sigma_b} L\left(t(\sigma),q(t(\sigma)),\frac{q'_{\sigma}}{t'_{\sigma}},(t'_{\sigma})^{-\alpha}\frac{a}{(t'_{\sigma})^2}D_{\sigma}^{\alpha}q(\sigma)\right)t'_{\sigma}d\sigma \\ &\doteq \int_{\sigma_a}^{\sigma_b} \bar{L}_f\left(t(\sigma),q(t(\sigma)),q'_{\sigma},t'_{\sigma},\frac{a}{(t'_{\sigma})^2}D_{\sigma}^{\alpha}q(t(\sigma))\right)d\sigma \\ &= \int_a^b L\left(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t),aD_t^{\alpha}q(t)\right)dt \\ &= I[q(\cdot)]\,. \end{split}$$

If the integral functional (2) is invariant in the sense of Definition 14, then the integral functional (11) is invariant in the sense of Definition 9. It follows from Theorem 13 that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\xi \cdot \partial_3 \bar{L}_f + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t'_{\sigma}} \bar{L}_f + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^r \partial_5 \bar{L}_f^{(r)} \cdot {}_a I_t^{r+1-\alpha} \xi \right. \\ \left. + \xi^{(r)} \cdot {}_t I_b^{r+1-\alpha} \partial_5 \bar{L}_f \right) \right] = 0.$$
(12)

For $\lambda = 0$, the condition (10) allow us to write that

$$\frac{a}{(t'_{\sigma})^2} D^{\alpha}_{\sigma} q(t(\sigma)) = a D_t^{\alpha} q(t)$$

and, therefore, we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_3 \bar{L}_f = \partial_3 L, \\ \partial_5 \bar{L}_f = \partial_4 L, \end{cases}$$
(13)

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t'_{\sigma}} \bar{L}_{f} = L + \partial_{3} \bar{L}_{f} \cdot t'_{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'_{\sigma}} \frac{q'_{\sigma}}{t'_{\sigma}} + \partial_{4} \bar{L}_{f} \\
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial t'_{\sigma}} \left[\frac{(t'_{\sigma})^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{d\sigma} \right)^{n} \int_{\frac{\alpha}{(t'_{\sigma})^{2}}}^{\sigma} (\sigma-s)^{n-\alpha-1} q(s) ds \right] t'_{\sigma} \\
= -\dot{q} \cdot \partial_{3} L - \alpha \partial_{4} L \cdot {}_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} q + L .$$
(14)

We obtain (9) substituting (13) and (14) into equation (12).

B. Fractional optimal control problems with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives

We now adopt the Hamiltonian formalism in order to generalize the Noether type results found in [7], [33] for the more general context of fractional optimal control problems with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives. For this, we make use of our Noether's Theorem 15 and the standard Lagrange multiplier technique (cf. [7]). The fractional optimal control problem with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives is introduced, without loss of generality, in Lagrange form:

$$I[q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot)] = \int_{a}^{b} L\left(t, q(t), u(t), \mu(t)\right) dt \longrightarrow \min$$
(15)

subject to the differential system

$$\dot{q}(t) = \varphi\left(t, q(t), u(t)\right), \tag{16}$$

$${}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t) = \rho\left(t, q(t), \mu(t)\right) \tag{17}$$

and initial condition

$$q(a) = q_a \,. \tag{18}$$

The Lagrangian $L : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, the velocity vector $\varphi : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and the fractional velocity vector $\rho : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are assumed to be functions of class C^1 with respect to all their arguments. We also assume, without loss of generality, that

 $0 < \alpha < 1$. In conformity with the calculus of variations, we are considering that the control functions $u(\cdot)$ and $\mu(\cdot)$ take values on an open set of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^d , respectively.

Remark 16: The fractional functional of the calculus of variations with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives (2) is obtained from (15)–(17) choosing $\varphi(t, q, u) = u$ and $\rho(t, q, \mu) = \mu$.

1) Fractional Pontryagin Maximum Principle: In this subsection we prove a fractional maximum principle with the help of the Euler–Lagrange equations (3).

Definition 17: (Process with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives). An admissible triplet $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot))$ that satisfies the control system (16)–(17) of the optimal control problem (15)–(18), $t \in [a, b]$, is said to be a *process with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives*.

We now formulate the Fractional Pontryagin Maximum Principle for problems with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives. For convenience of notation, we introduce the following operator:

$$[q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) = (t, q(t), u(t), \mu(t), p(t), p_{\alpha}(t))$$

Theorem 18: (Fractional Pontryagin Maximum Principle). If $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot))$ is a process for problem (15)–(18), in the sense of Definition 17, then there exists co-vector functions $p(\cdot) \in PC^1([a,b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p_{\alpha}(\cdot) \in PC^1([a,b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $t \in [a,b]$ the quadruple $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), p(\cdot), p_{\alpha}(\cdot))$ satisfies the following conditions:

• the Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_5 \mathcal{H}[q, u, \mu, p, p_\alpha](t) = \dot{q}(t) ,\\ \partial_6 \mathcal{H}[q, u, \mu, p, p_\alpha](t) = {}_a D_t^\alpha q(t) ,\\ \partial_2 \mathcal{H}[q, u, \mu, p, p_\alpha](t) = -\dot{p}(t) + {}_t D_b^\alpha p_\alpha(t) ; \end{cases}$$

• the stationary conditions

$$\partial_3 \mathcal{H}[q, u, \mu, p, p_\alpha](t) = 0 ,$$

 $\partial_4 \mathcal{H}[q, u, \mu, p, p_\alpha](t) = 0 ;$

where the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is given by

$$\mathcal{H}\left(t,q,u,\mu,p,p_{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= L(t, q, u, \mu) + p \cdot \varphi(t, q, u) + p_{\alpha} \cdot \rho(t, q, \mu).$$
(19)
Proof: Minimizing (15) subject to (16)–(17) is equiv-

alent, by the Lagrange multiplier rule, to minimize

$$J[q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot), p(\cdot), p_{\alpha}(\cdot)] = \int_{a}^{b} \Big[\mathcal{H}[q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) - p(t) \cdot \dot{q}(t) - p_{\alpha}(t) \cdot {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t) \Big] dt \quad (20)$$

with \mathcal{H} given by (19). Theorem 18 is easily proved applying the optimality condition (3) to the augmented functional (20).

Definition 19: (Pontryagin extremal with classical and fractional derivatives). A tuple $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot), p(\cdot), p_{\alpha}(\cdot))$ satisfying Theorem 18 is called a *Pontryagin extremal with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives*.

Remark 20: For problems of the calculus of variations with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives, one has $\varphi(t, q, u) = u$ and $\rho(t, q, \mu) = \mu$ (Remark 16). Therefore,

 $\mathcal{H} = L + p \cdot u + p_{\alpha} \cdot \mu$. From the Hamiltonian system of Theorem 18 we get

$$\begin{cases} u = \dot{q} \\ \mu = {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q \\ \partial_{2}L = -\dot{p} + {}_{t}D_{b}^{\alpha}p_{\alpha} \end{cases}$$
(21)

and from the stationary conditions

$$\partial_3 \mathcal{H} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_3 L = -p \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L = -\dot{p},$$

$$\partial_4 \mathcal{H} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_4 L = -p_\alpha \Rightarrow {}_t D_b^\alpha \partial_4 L = -{}_t D_b^\alpha p_\alpha.$$

Substituting these two quantities into (21), we arrive to the Euler–Lagrange equations with classical and Riemann– Liouville derivatives (3).

2) Noether's theorem for fractional optimal control problems: The notion of variational invariance for (15)–(17) is defined with the help of the augmented functional (20).

Definition 21: (Variational invariance of (15)–(17)). We say that the integral functional (20) is invariant under the ε -parameter family of infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{cases} t = t + \varepsilon \tau [q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) + o(\varepsilon) ,\\ \bar{q}(t) = q(t) + \varepsilon \xi [q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) + o(\varepsilon) ,\\ \bar{u}(t) = u(t) + \varepsilon \varrho [q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) + o(\varepsilon) ,\\ \bar{\mu}(t) = \mu(t) + \varepsilon \iota [q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) + o(\varepsilon) ,\\ \bar{p}(t) = p(t) + \varepsilon \varsigma [q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) + o(\varepsilon) ,\\ \bar{p}_{\alpha}(t) = p_{\alpha}(t) + \varepsilon \nu [q, u, \mu, p, p_{\alpha}](t) + o(\varepsilon) , \end{cases}$$
(22)

if

$$(\mathcal{H}[\bar{q},\bar{u},\bar{\mu},\bar{p},\bar{p}_{\alpha}](t)-\bar{p}(t)\cdot\bar{q}(t)-\bar{p}_{\alpha}(t)\cdot_{\bar{a}}D_{\bar{t}}^{\alpha}\bar{q}(t))\,dt$$

$$=(\mathcal{H}[q,u,\mu,p,p_{\alpha}](t)-p(t)\cdot\dot{q}(t)-p_{\alpha}(t)\cdot_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t))\,dt.$$
(23)

Next theorem provides an extension of Noether's theorem to the wider fractional context of optimal control problems with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives.

Theorem 22: (Noether's theorem in Hamiltonian form for optimal control problems with classical and Riemann– Liouville derivatives). If (15)–(17) is variationally invariant, in the sense of Definition 21, and functions ξ and p_{α} satisfy condition (C) of Theorem 12, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[-\xi \cdot p - \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^r p_{\alpha}^{(r)} \cdot {}_a I_t^{r+1-\alpha} \xi + \xi^{(r)} \cdot {}_t I_b^{r+1-\alpha} p_{\alpha} \right) + \tau \left(\mathcal{H} - (1-\alpha) p_{\alpha} \cdot {}_a D_t^{\alpha} q \right) \right] = 0$$
(24)

along any Pontryagin extremal with classical and Riemann-Liouville derivatives (Definition 19).

Proof: The fractional conservation law (24) is obtained by applying Theorem 15 to the equivalent functional (20).

Theorem 22 gives an interesting result for autonomous fractional problems. Let us consider an autonomous fractional optimal control problem, i.e., the case when functions *L*, φ and ρ of (15)–(17) do not depend explicitly on the independent variable:

$$I[q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot)] = \int_{a}^{b} L\left(q(t), u(t), \mu(t)\right) dt \longrightarrow \min,$$
(25)

$$q(t) = \varphi(q(t), u(t)), \qquad (26)$$

$${}_aD_t^{\alpha}q(t) = \rho\left(q(t), \mu(t)\right) \,. \tag{27}$$

Corollary 23: For the autonomous fractional problem (25)–(27) one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\mathcal{H}(q(t), u(t), \mu(t), p(t), p_{\alpha}(t)) - (1 - \alpha) p_{\alpha}(t) \cdot {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t) \right] = 0 \quad (28)$$

along any Pontryagin extremal with classical and Riemann–Liouville derivatives $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), \mu(\cdot), p(\cdot), p_{\alpha}(\cdot))$.

Proof: As the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} does not depend explicitly on the independent variable t, we can easily see that (25)–(27) is invariant under translation of the time variable: the condition of invariance (23) is satisfied with $\bar{t}(t) = t + \varepsilon$, $\bar{q}(t) = q(t)$, $\bar{u}(t) = u(t)$, $\bar{\mu}(t) = \mu(t)$, $\bar{p}(t) = p(t)$, and $\bar{p}_{\alpha}(t) = p_{\alpha}(t)$. Indeed, given that $d\bar{t} = dt$, the invariance condition (23) is verified if $_{\bar{a}}D_{\bar{t}}^{\alpha}\bar{q}(\bar{t}) = _{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q(t)$. This is true because

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\bar{a}}^{\bar{t}} (\bar{t}-\theta)^{-\alpha} \bar{q}(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} (t+\varepsilon-\theta)^{-\alpha} \bar{q}(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} (t+\varepsilon-\theta)^{-\alpha} \bar{q}(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{a}^{t} (t-s)^{-\alpha} \bar{q}(s+\varepsilon) ds \\ &= {}_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} \bar{q}(t+\varepsilon) = {}_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} \bar{q}(\bar{t}) \\ &= {}_{a} D_{t}^{\alpha} q(t) \,. \end{split}$$

Using the notation in (22), we have $\tau = 1$, $\xi = \varrho = \varsigma = \sigma = \nu = 0$. From Theorem 22 we arrive to the intended equality (28).

The Corollary 23 shows that in contrast with the classical autonomous problem of optimal control, for (25)–(27) the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} does not define a conservation law. Instead of the classical equality $\frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[\mathcal{H} + (\alpha - 1)p_{\alpha} \cdot {}_{a}D_{t}^{\alpha}q\right] = 0, \qquad (29)$$

i.e., conservation of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} plus a quantity that depends on the fractional order α of differentiation. This seems to be explained by violation of the homogeneity of space-time caused by the fractional derivatives, when $\alpha \neq 1$. If $\alpha = 1$, then we obtain from (29) the classical result: the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is preserved along all the Pontryagin extremals.

IV. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

In this section we consider an example where the fractional Lagrangian and velocity vectors do not depend explicitly on the independent variable t (autonomous case). We use our Corollary 23 to establish a conservation law.

Example 24: Consider the fractional optimal control problem

$$\begin{split} I[q(\cdot)] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[q^2(t) + u^2(t) + \mu^2(t) \right] dt \longrightarrow \min, \\ \dot{q}(t) &= -q(t) + u(t), \\ {}_0 D_1^{\alpha} q(t) &= -q(t) + \mu(t). \end{split}$$

The Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} defined by (19) takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left(q^2 + u^2 + \mu^2 \right) + p(-q+u) + p_\alpha(-q+\mu).$$

It follows from our Corollary 23 that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(q^2 + u^2 + \mu^2 \right) + p(-q+u) + \alpha p_\alpha (-q+\mu) \right] = 0$$
(30)

along any fractional Pontryagin extremal of the problem.

For $\alpha = 1$ one has $u = \mu$ and $p = p_{\alpha}$, and the conservation law (30) can be interpreted as *conservation of energy*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by *FEDER* funds through *COM-PETE* — Operational Programme Factors of Competitiveness ("Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade") and by Portuguese funds through the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (University of Aveiro) and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology ("FCT — Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia"), within project PEst-C/MAT/UI4106/2011 with COMPETE number FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-022690. The first author was also supported by the post-doc fellowship SFRH/BPD/51455/2011 from FCT, program *Ciência Global*; the second author by the Portugal–Austin (USA) project UTAustin/MAT/0057/2008.

REFERENCES

- O. P. Agrawal, Generalized Euler-Lagrange equations and transversality conditions for FVPs in terms of the Caputo derivative, J. Vib. Control 13 (2007), no. 9-10, 1217–1237.
- [2] O. P. Agrawal, Fractional variational calculus in terms of Riesz fractional derivatives, J. Phys. A 40 (2007), no. 24, 6287–6303.
- [3] D. Baleanu and T. Avkar, Lagrangians with linear velocities within Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, Nuovo Cimento, 119 (2004), 73–79.
- [4] D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas and J. J. Trujillo, Fractional calculus: models and numerical methods, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2012.
- [5] L. Bourdin, J. Cresson and I. Greff, A continuous/discrete fractional Noether's theorem, preprint, 2012, arXiv:1203.1206
- [6] J. Cresson, Fractional embedding of differential operators and Lagrangian systems, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), no. 3, 033504, 34 pp.
- [7] D. S. Djukić Noether's theorem for optimum control systems, Internat. J. Control (1) 18 (1973), 667–672.
- [8] R. A. El-Nabulsi and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary optimality conditions for fractional action-like integrals of variational calculus with Riemann-Liouville derivatives of order (α, β) , Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **30** (2007), no. 15, 1931–1939. arXiv:math-ph/0702099
- [9] R. A. El-Nabulsi and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional actionlike variational problems, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), no. 5, 053521, 7 pp. arXiv:0804.4500
- [10] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Conservation laws for invariant functionals containing compositions, Appl. Anal. 86 (2007), no. 9, 1117–1126. arXiv:0704.0949

- arXiv:0711.0645
 [12] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, A formulation of Noether's theorem for fractional problems of the calculus of variations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 334 (2007), no. 2, 834–846. arXiv:math/0701187
- [13] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional optimal control in the sense of Caputo and the fractional Noether's theorem, Int. Math. Forum 3 (2008), no. 9-12, 479–493. arXiv:0712.1844
- [14] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional conservation laws in optimal control theory, Nonlinear Dynam. 53 (2008), no. 3, 215–222. arXiv:0711.0609
- [15] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional Noether's theorem in the Riesz-Caputo sense, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2010), no. 3, 1023-1033. arXiv:1001.4507
- [16] I. M. Gelfand and S. V. Fomin, *Calculus of variations*, Prentice-Hall, 1963.
- [17] Md. M. Hasan, X. W. Tangpong and O. P. Agrawal, A formulation and numerical scheme for fractional optimal control of cylindrical structures subjected to general initial conditions, in *Fractional dynamics* and control, 3–17, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [18] R. Hilfer, *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [19] J. Jost and X. Li-Jost, *Calculus of variations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [20] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applica*tions of fractional differential equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [21] J. D. Logan, Applied mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [22] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, *Introduction to the fractional calculus of variations*, Imperial College Press, London & World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2012.
- [23] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations, Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [24] S. I. Muslih and D. Baleanu, Hamiltonian formulation of systems with linear velocities within Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **304** (2005), no. 2, 599–606.
- [25] E. Noether, Invariant variation problems, Transport Theory Statist. Phys. 3 (1971), no. 1, 186–207.
- [26] E. Noether, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and L. Meersseman, Les théorèmes de Noether: invariance et lois de conservation au XX^e siècle, Palaiseau (Essonne), Les Éditions de l'École Polytechnique, 2004.
- [27] T. Odzijewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Generalized fractional calculus with applications to the calculus of variations, Comput. Math. Appl., 2012, DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2012.01.073. arXiv:1201.5747
- [28] T. Odzijewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional calculus of variations in terms of a generalized fractional integral with applications to Physics, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012 (2012), Article ID 871912, 24 pp. arXiv:1203.1961
- [29] M. D. Ortigueira, Fractional calculus for scientists and engineers, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, 84, Springer, Dordrecht, 2011.
- [30] I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [31] F. Riewe, Mechanics with fractional derivatives, Phys. Rev. E (3) 55 (1997), no. 3, part B, 3581–3592.
- [32] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [33] D. F. M. Torres, On the Noether theorem for optimal control, Eur. J. Control 8 (2002), no. 1, 56–63.
- [34] B. van Brunt, *The calculus of variations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [35] S. A. Yousefi, A. Lotfi and M. Dehghan, The use of a Legendre multiwavelet collocation method for solving the fractional optimal control problems, J. Vib. Control 17 (2011), no. 13, 2059–2065.

This is a preprint of a paper whose final and definite form will be published in: 51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, December 10-13, 2012, Maui, Hawaii, USA. Article Source/Identifier: PLZ-CDC12.1832.45c07804. Submitted 08-March-2012; accepted 17-July-2012.