

THE REGULARITY OF THE η FUNCTION FOR THE SHUBIN CALCULUS

PEDRO T. P. LOPES

ABSTRACT. We prove the regularity of the η function for classical pseudodifferential operators with Shubin symbols. We recall the construction of complex powers and of the Wodzicki and Kontsevich-Vishik functionals for classical symbols on \mathbb{R}^n with these symbols. We then define the ζ and η functions associated to suitable elliptic operators. We compute the K_0 group of the algebra of zero-order operators and use this knowledge to show that the Wodzicki trace of the idempotents in the algebra vanishes. From this, it follows that the η function is regular at 0 for any self-adjoint elliptic operator of positive order. Keywords: Pseudodifferential operators, K-Theory of C^* -algebras.

In this paper, we present a study of the η and ζ functions constructed for the class of classical elliptic symbols on \mathbb{R}^n defined in Chapter 4 of Shubin [24]. These important spectral functions can be associated with pseudodifferential operators on a manifold, allowing the study of spectral properties of the operators and carrying a good amount of geometric information. The η function was first defined by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer [1]. Its regularity at the origin was proved for particular cases one year later [2], as part of their study of first order boundary problems. The complete proof of its regularity was accomplished by Gilkey [9]. Right after that, Wodzicki gave an alternative analytical proof in [28, 29].

It is well known that the classes of Shubin symbols reproduce many of the properties of the pseudodifferential calculus on compact manifolds. Furthermore many results obtained recently about noncommutative aspects of global symbols, notably those contained in the Nicola and Rodino's book [19], also lead us to consider the natural question of the regularity of the η function for self-adjoint elliptic operators in this calculus.

We follow closely the ideas of Wodzicki and Shubin, explained clearly also by Ponge [20]. It consists of the proof of the following expression

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=0}\eta(\operatorname{op}(a), s) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}(\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(a)),$$

where $\operatorname{res}_{s=0}\eta(\operatorname{op}(a), s)$ denotes the residue of the meromorphic function $s \mapsto \eta(\operatorname{op}(a), s)$, for a given self-adjoint elliptic symbol of positive order a , Res denotes the Wodzicki trace, see section 5.1 of [19], and $\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(a)$ are the so-called “sectorial projections”. We can prove using only elementary K -Theory of C^* -algebras that the Wodzicki trace of any idempotent pseudodifferential operator in the Shubin class is zero. Hence η is a regular function at the origin.

This article is organized as follows. We first recall the basic definitions and properties of the Shubin calculus and show how to determine the norm of zero order operators modulo compacts in terms of their principal symbols. We then define a Fréchet topology for classical Shubin pseudodifferential operators and show that the classical Shubin operators of zero order form a $*$ -Fréchet algebra contained in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is closed under the analytic functional calculus.

After that we recall the definition of complex powers and the ζ function of Shubin pseudodifferential operators. The ζ function provides a link between the η function and the Wodzicki trace. In order to define the ζ function we need to use complex powers and a linear functional defined on the space of classical Shubin symbols with order different from $-2n + j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, that extends in a certain way the trace of trace class pseudodifferential operators in Hilbert spaces. We call this function, which appears also in the work of Maniccia, Schrohe and Seiler [17] under the name of “finite-part integral”, the Kontsevich-Vishik functional on classical Shubin operators, because it is similar to the function defined in [26].

We then prove that the Wodzicki trace of every pseudodifferential idempotent with symbol in the Shubin class is zero. In order to do that we compute the K -theory of the C^* -algebra generated by the zero order operators and use standard arguments of the theory. We use in particular that the K -theory of this C^* -algebra is the same as the K -theory of the $*$ -Fréchet algebra of the zero order operators, because the latter is closed under the analytic functional calculus. This implies the desired regularity of the η function.

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS.

In this section we will recall some of the main properties of the Shubin calculus. The basic facts about Shubin operators can be found in details in the Chapter 4 of the book of Shubin [24] and in Chapters 1 and 2 of the book of Nicola and Rodino [19].

In this paper, we fix a strictly positive C^∞ function $[\cdot] : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $[x] = |x|$ for $|x| \geq 1$. A zero excision function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a function that assumes values on $[0, 1]$ and which is zero in a neighborhood of the origin and it is equal to 1 outside a compact set. If \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space, we use the notation $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to indicate the set of bounded operators in \mathcal{H} . $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$ indicates the norm in this space. In particular $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ coincides with the set of complex $q \times q$ matrices. We also use $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Definition 1. (*Shubin symbols*) Let $m \in \mathbb{C}$. We define $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ as the space of C^∞ functions $a : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)$, such that for all α and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, there exists a constant $C_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ such that the following inequality holds for all components a_{ij} of a :

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a_{ij}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} [(x, \xi)]^{Re(m) - |\alpha| - |\beta|},$$

These estimates provide a Fréchet space structure to $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, with seminorms

$$p_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a) = \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left\| [(x, \xi)]^{-(Re(m) - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} \partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)}.$$

We note that $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{C}} \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, the matrices whose entries are rapidly decreasing functions. The elements of $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ are called regularizing symbols. When $q = 1$, we denote $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ simply by $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and call its elements of scalar symbols.

Associated to each symbol $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, we can define an operator $op(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ as

$$(op(a)u(x))_l = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j=1}^q \int e^{ix\xi} a_{lj}(x, \xi) \hat{u}_j(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} u(x) dx$. These operators extend to $op(a) : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$, where $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ is the space of temperate distributions. We note also that the map $a \mapsto op(a)$ is injective.

The operators of type $op(a)$ are closed under composition, that is, if $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ and $b \in \Gamma^\mu(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, then $op(a)op(b) = op(c)$, where $c \in \Gamma^{m+\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ and it is also denoted by $a \sharp b$. The operators are also closed under involution, that is, if $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, there is a unique $a^* \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, such that for all u and v in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the following holds:

$$(op(a)u, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (u, op(a^*)v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ denotes the usual inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, if $a^* = a$, we say that a is a self-adjoint symbol.

The subclasses of classical symbols are defined as follows:

Definition 2. We denote by $\Gamma^{(\mu)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the set of functions in $C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ that are homogeneous of order μ , that is, $a_{(\mu)}(tx, t\xi) = t^\mu a_{(\mu)}(x, \xi)$ for all $t > 0$. By $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote the subset of $\Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ of symbols a , for which there exists a sequence of functions $a_{(m-j)} \in \Gamma^{(m-j)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that, for any zero excision function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \chi a_{(m-j)} \in \Gamma^{m-N}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)).$$

In this case we say that a is a classical symbol and the sequence $a_{(m-j)}$ is the asymptotic expansion of a . We use the notation $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{(m-j)}$ to indicate that. The function $a_{(m)} \in \Gamma^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is called principal symbol of a if $a_{(m)}$ is not identically equal to zero. The operators $op(a)$ with classical symbols - also called classical operators - are closed under composition and involution.

For classical symbols we define the following sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{cl}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \xrightarrow{s} C^\infty(S^{2n-1}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion and s is given by $s(a) = a_{(m)}|_{S^{2n-1}}$. This sequence is exact. In fact if $s(a) = 0$, then $a_{(m)} = 0$ and, by the asymptotic expansion, a must belong to $\Gamma^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. If $g \in C^\infty(S^{2n-1}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, we can choose a zero excision function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and define $a(x, \xi) = \chi(x, \xi)g\left(\frac{(x, \xi)}{|(x, \xi)|}\right) |(x, \xi)|^m \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. It is clear that $s(a) = g$, and s is surjective.

Next we define the notion of elliptic symbols for this class. It will turn out later that ellipticity is equivalent to the Fredholm property.

Definition 3. We say that $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is an elliptic symbol if there exists $C > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that for each $|(x, \xi)| \geq R$, $a(x, \xi)$ is invertible and

$$\|a(x, \xi)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)} \leq C[(x, \xi)]^{-Re(m)}.$$

For classical symbols, ellipticity can be completely characterized by the principal symbol: $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is elliptic if, and only if, $a_{(m)}(x, \xi)$ is invertible for all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$, where $a_{(m)}$ is the principal symbol of a . Moreover if $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is elliptic, then there exists a symbol $b \in \Gamma^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, called a parametrix of a , that satisfies $a\sharp b = 1 + r_1$ and $b\sharp a = 1 + r_2$, where r_1 and r_2 belong to $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. If a is classical, so is its parametrix.

We can also define Sobolev type spaces, on which the operators act continuously.

Definition 4. Let $b \in \Gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the function $b(x, \xi) = (1 + |x|^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $c \in \Gamma^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a parametrix of b . We define the Shubin Sobolev space $Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the space

$$Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n); op(b)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

Let $r \in \Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $op(r) + I = op(c)op(b)$. Then we can endow $Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a Hilbert space structure with inner product

$$(u, v)_s = (op(b)u, op(b)v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (op(r)u, op(r)v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

It can be proved that the spaces $Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined using any other elliptic symbol and its parametrix instead of b . That they provide the same topology is proved in Proposition 1.5.3 of [19] for even more general operators.

These spaces are such that

$$\bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover if $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, then $op(a) : Q^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow Q^{s-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ acts continuously for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $m = 0$, this implies that $op(a) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$.

We note also that if $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, is a self-adjoint elliptic symbol, then $op(a) : Q^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ is a unbounded self-adjoint operator.

2. NORM MODULO COMPACT OPERATORS.

In this section, we express the norm of the operators, modulo compacts, in terms of their principal symbols. The notation $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ will indicate the set of compact operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

We follow an idea of Hörmander and define a family of unitary operators on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$, which is our adaptation for Shubin class of the family defined in Theorem 3.3 of [12].

Definition 5. For each $\lambda > 0$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$, we define the operator $T_\lambda(x_0, \xi_0) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ by

$$T_\lambda(x_0, \xi_0)u(x) = e^{i\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(x-x_0)\xi_0}u(x - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x_0).$$

These operators have the following properties:

Proposition 6. Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. Let us denote by $a_{(0)}$ the principal symbol of a . Let us fix $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and denote by T_λ the operator $T_\lambda(x_0, \xi_0)$. Then the following holds:

- (i) T_λ is an unitary operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$.
- (ii) For every $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$, we have that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda u = 0$ weakly.
- (iii) For any $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\lambda^{-1}op(a)T_\lambda u - a_{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} = 0.$$

Proof. We only sketch the proof since it follows closely the arguments of Theorem 3.3 of Hörmander [12] and the arguments that follow lemma 4.6 of Grigis and Sjöstrand [25]. We just note that

$$\widehat{T_\lambda u}(\xi) = e^{i\lambda x_0 \xi_0 - i\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0 \xi_0 - i\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0 \xi} \widehat{u}(\xi - \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_0)$$

$$T_\lambda^{-1}u(x) = e^{-i\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(x + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x_0 - x_0)\xi_0}u(x + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x_0).$$

Using these two formulas we obtain the following

$$T_\lambda^{-1}op(a)T_\lambda u = op(a(x + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}x_0, \xi + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_0)).$$

The proof follows now from the Lebesgue dominated convergence Theorem. \square

Corollary 7. *Let $A = op(a)$, $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\sup_{|(x,\xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)| \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Proof. Let us prove that $|a_{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0)| \leq \inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$ for any $(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, $C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|T_\lambda^{-1}(A + C)T_\lambda u - a_{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore $|a_{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0)| \leq \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and then the result follows. \square

We will prove next the opposite inequality. A similar argument was used by Nicola for SG symbols [18]. In order to do that we use simple facts about the Anti-Wick quantization, see [19, Section 1.7] and [24, Chapter 4]. These are stated in the form of the next proposition.

Proposition 8. *There is a linear map $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto A_a \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that the following holds:*

(i) $A_1 = I$, where I is the identity operator on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

(ii) For each $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $b \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $A_a = op(b)$ and $a - b \in \Gamma_{cl}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) If $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a real valued function, then A_a is a self-adjoint operator. Furthermore, if $a \geq 0$, then $A_a \geq 0$.

Using this we can prove.

Proposition 9. *Let $A = op(a)$, $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a_{(0)}$ be its principal symbol. Then*

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq 2 \sup_{|(x,\xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)|.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that for any real valued function $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \sup_{|(x,\xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)|.$$

If a is a real valued function, so is its principal symbol $a_{(0)}$. Let $M := \sup_{|(x,\xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)|$ and $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a zero excision function. Let us define $c \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$c(x, \xi) = \chi(x, \xi)a_{(0)}(x, \xi).$$

The above expression shows that the principal symbol of c , $c_{(0)}$, is equal to $a_{(0)}$. Therefore $a - c \in \Gamma_{cl}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is also clear that $M - c \geq 0$ and $M + c \geq 0$. Hence using the Anti-Wick quantization, we conclude that $MI - A_c$ and $MI + A_c$ are two positive operators on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. As A_c is self-adjoint, $\|A_c\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq M$. We know that $A_c = op(b)$, for some $b \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $c - b \in \Gamma_{cl}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $A_c = op(a) + op(k)$, where $k = b - c + c - a \in \Gamma_{cl}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore $op(k) \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $\|op(a) + op(k)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq M$. \square

Let $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ be the closure of the set of operators $op(a)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As a consequence of the above proposition one can define the operator $\bar{s} : \overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow C(S^{2n-1})$ as the unique operator such that

$$\bar{s}(op(a)) = s(a),$$

where s is the function that was defined in 1.1.

In fact as $\sup_{|(x,\xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)| \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$, the above function extends continuously to $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Corollary 10. *The following sequence*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} \xrightarrow{\bar{s}} C(S^{2n-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where \bar{i} is the inclusion and \bar{s} is the map defined above, is an exact sequence of C^* -algebras. In particular $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}/\mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to $C(S^{2n-1})$, which implies that for $A = op(a)$, $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the following equality holds

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|A + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \sup_{|(x,\xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)|.$$

Proof. It is clear that the inclusion \bar{i} is injective and the inequalities we have just proved show that $\ker \bar{s} = \text{Im}(\bar{i})$. In order to prove that \bar{s} is surjective, we have only to observe that s is surjective and $C^\infty(S^{2n-1})$ is dense in $C(S^{2n-1})$. The result now follows from the fact that the image of an homomorphism of C^* algebras is closed. \square

3. FRÉCHET SPACES AND ALGEBRAS OF CLASSICAL SHUBIN OPERATORS.

In order to deal with classical Shubin symbols, we will consider the following topology in $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.

Corollary 11. *The topology of $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m \in \mathbb{C}$, is the smallest locally convex topology that makes the following maps continuous*

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) &\rightarrow a_{(m-j)} \in \Gamma^{(m-j)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)), \\ a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) &\rightarrow a - \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \chi a_{(m-l)}(x, \xi) \in \Gamma^{m-j}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)), \end{aligned}$$

where each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ defines a different map. In the above expression χ is a zero excision function, $a_{(m-j)}$ are the homogeneous terms of the asymptotic expansion of a and $\Gamma^{(\mu)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is the Fréchet space, whose topology is induced by $C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.

The above topology is clearly a Fréchet one and it is independent of the zero excision function χ . It is clear that the inclusion $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is continuous. Furthermore the space $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is closed in $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, for any $m \in \mathbb{C}$. Actually $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ induces in $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ the usual topology of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.

This topology is such that the involution $*$: $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \rightarrow \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ and the operation of composition \sharp : $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \times \Gamma_{cl}^\mu(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \rightarrow \Gamma_{cl}^{m+\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ are continuous. In particular $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is a $*$ -algebra of Fréchet.

Definition 12. Let $op : \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$ be the continuous injective function given by $a \mapsto op(a)$. We define \mathcal{A} as the $*$ -Fréchet algebra $op(\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)))$ with the topology induced by $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.

Next we prove that \mathcal{A} is a Ψ^* -algebra in the sense of Gramsch [10].

Proposition 13. *Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^\mu(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence a is elliptic iff $op(a) : \mathcal{Q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{s-Re(\mu)}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ is Fredholm for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. We prove only for $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. The general result follows by the use of order reducing operators. By an order reducing operator of order m , we mean an operator $op(a)$, where $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is an elliptic invertible symbol, that is, there exists $b \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ such that $a\sharp b = b\sharp a = 1$. Hence $op(a)$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathcal{Q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ to $\mathcal{Q}^{s-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ for any s . The construction of these operators for the Shubin symbols can be done by the use of the Anti-Wick quantization, as it is explained in section 1.7 of [19].

If a is elliptic, then there exists $b \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ such that $a\sharp b \equiv b\sharp a \equiv 1 \pmod{\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}$. Hence $op(a)op(b) = 1 + C_1$ and $op(b)op(a) = 1 + C_2$ where C_1 and C_2 are compact operators in $\mathcal{Q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ for any s . We conclude that $op(a)$ is a Fredholm operator.

Let us suppose that $A = op(a) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ is Fredholm. Then there exists an operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$ such that $BA = I + C$, $C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$. Let us now fix $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus (0, 0)$ and let us denote the operators $T_\lambda(x_0, \xi_0)$, $\lambda > 0$, just by T_λ (see definition 5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} &= \|T_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} = \|(BA - C)T_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} \leq \|BT_\lambda T_\lambda^{-1}AT_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} + \|CT_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} \leq \\ &\leq \|B\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})} \|T_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})} \|T_\lambda^{-1}AT_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} + \|CT_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}} \rightarrow \|B\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})} \|a_{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\|a_{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)} \geq \|B\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})}^{-1}$ for all $(x_0, \xi_0) \neq (0, 0)$. Hence a is elliptic. \square

If $A = op(a) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, is invertible as an element of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then A is Fredholm and a is elliptic. Therefore there exists $b \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ such that $op(b)op(a) = I + R_1$ and $op(a)op(b) = I + R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are regularizing. Using these relations it is easy to get

$$A^{-1} = op(b) - op(b)R_2 + R_1A^{-1}R_2 \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)).$$

Therefore $A^{-1} = op(c)$, for some $c \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. We obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 14. *\mathcal{A} is a $*$ -Fréchet algebra that is spectrally invariant in \mathfrak{A} , that is, $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathfrak{A}^{-1} = \mathcal{A}^{-1}$, and it has a stronger topology than that induced by \mathfrak{A} . It is therefore what Gramsch called an Ψ^* -algebra.*

The group of invertible elements of a Ψ^* -algebra is always open. In any unital Fréchet algebra with an open group of invertible elements, the inversion is continuous (see Waelbroeck [27]). We then conclude that the inversion in the algebras \mathcal{A} and $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is continuous.

Now let us consider $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. Due to the spectral invariance we know that $\sigma(a) = \sigma(op(a))$, where σ denotes the spectrum of a and $op(a)$ in \mathcal{A} and \mathfrak{A} respectively. Note that we are identifying the two algebras \mathcal{A} and

$\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. Let f be an analytic function in Ω , an open set that contains $\sigma(a)$. Next we show that $f(op(a))$, the operator constructed using the holomorphic functional calculus, belongs to \mathcal{A} .

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a)$. Then $\lambda - a$ has an inverse. Therefore $(\lambda - a)^{-1} = b(\lambda) \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ and $(\lambda - op(a))^{-1} = op(b(\lambda))$. As $\lambda \in \Omega \setminus \sigma(a) \mapsto \lambda - a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is continuous, we conclude that $\lambda \in \Omega \setminus \sigma(a) \mapsto b(\lambda) \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is also continuous.

Now if γ is a curve around $\sigma(a)$ in the positive direction and contained in Ω , we conclude from the continuity of the inversion that the function $f(a)$ defined by the expression $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda) b(x, \xi, \lambda) d\lambda$ belongs to $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. Moreover if $b \sim \sum_j b_{(-j)}$, the terms of the asymptotic expansion of $f(a)$ are

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda) b_{(-j)}(x, \xi, \lambda) d\lambda \in \Gamma^{(-j)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)).$$

This implies that under the hypothesis above, the operator $f(op(a))$ constructed using the usual holomorphic functional calculus in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$ is a pseudodifferential operator, whose symbol is $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda) b(x, \xi, \lambda) d\lambda$. Similar arguments to these were also used in a more difficult context in [4].

4. COMPLEX POWERS OF SHUBIN OPERATORS.

In this section, we recall the construction of complex powers and sectorial projection. The details can be found for a similar context, the SG calculus, in the article of Manniccia, Schrohe and Seiler [16], see also Robert [21] and Kumano-go [14]. For Shubin symbols the constructions are the same, even simpler actually, and can be found in [15].

4.1. The construction of complex powers. Let Λ be a sector of \mathbb{C} , $\Lambda = \{re^{i\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq r < \infty, \theta \leq \varphi \leq \theta'\}$, also denoted by $\Lambda_{\theta, \theta'}$. We will first define the concept of Λ -ellipticity.

Definition 15. A classical symbol $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \geq 0$, is Λ -elliptic iff

$$spec(a_{(m)}(x, \xi)) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset, \quad \forall |(x, \xi)| \neq 0,$$

where $a_{(m)}$ is the principal symbol of a and $spec$ is the spectrum of a matrix in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)$. In particular, a is elliptic.

Using the same analysis done by Manniccia, Schrohe and Seiler [16], we can construct a parametrix $b(\lambda)$ of $(\lambda - a)$ in the following way. We define

$$b_{-m}(x, \xi, \lambda) := (\lambda - a_{(m)}(x, \xi))^{-1},$$

and

$$b_{-m-k}(x, \xi) := \sum_{j+2|\sigma|+p=k, j < k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial_{\xi}^{\sigma} b_{-m-j})(x, \xi, \lambda) (D_x^{\sigma} a_{(m-p)})(x, \xi) b_{-m}(x, \xi, \lambda).$$

Using standard techniques of asymptotic summation, one can construct a parametrix $b(\lambda)$ such that for any $N \geq 1$ and for any zero excision function χ , there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \left(b(x, \xi, \lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \chi(x, \xi) b_{-m-k}(x, \xi, \lambda) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)} \leq C (|\lambda| + [(x, \xi)]^m)^{-3} [(x, \xi)]^{2m-N-|\alpha|-|\beta|}$$

and

$$\left\| \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} b(x, \xi, \lambda) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)} \leq C (|\lambda| + [(x, \xi)]^m)^{-1} [(x, \xi)]^{-|\alpha|-|\beta|}.$$

This parametrix b is a C^{∞} function of $(x, \xi, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \Lambda$, such that for each λ , $b(x, \xi, \lambda)$ belongs to $\Gamma_{cl}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. Moreover for any continuous seminorm q of $\Gamma^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $[\lambda]q(b(\lambda))$ are bounded for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for any continuous seminorm \tilde{q} of $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $[\lambda]^2 \tilde{q}((\lambda - a)^{-\sharp} - b(\lambda))$ are bounded for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where $^{-\sharp}$ indicates the inversion in the symbolic calculus. This parametrix is such that

$$(\lambda - a) \sharp b(\lambda) = 1 + r_1(\lambda),$$

$$b(\lambda) \sharp (\lambda - a) = 1 + r_2(\lambda).$$

In the above expression $r_i(\lambda) \in \Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ for $i = 1$ and 2 . For any continuous seminorm q of $\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, that is, of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, we have that $[\lambda]q(r_i(\lambda))$ are bounded for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular the resolvent set of the unbounded operator

$$A := op(a) : \mathcal{Q}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$$

contains all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of sufficiently large absolute value.

Our operators must satisfy conditions stronger than only Λ -ellipticity. In fact we will consider only operators $A = op(a)$ such that

- (1) $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is Λ -elliptic, with $m > 0$.
- (2) $\lambda - op(a)$ is invertible for all $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda$.

We call these conditions as assumption (A). The spectrum of $op(a) : \mathcal{Q}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$, where $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is elliptic and $m > 0$, is always either equal to \mathbb{C} or pure point without accumulation point [19, Proposition 4.2.5]. Hence if a is Λ -elliptic, the spectrum has to be pure point and we can always choose a subsector $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$, such that the second condition is satisfied. We note also that in this case 0 is at most an isolated spectral point.

We are now in condition to define complex powers and sectorial projections. Let Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be two different sectors of \mathbb{C} . Suppose that a is Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ elliptic. Let us define the following curves. In the following θ and θ' are angles whose rays $\{re^{i\theta}, 0 \leq r < \infty\}$ and $\{re^{i\theta'}, 0 \leq r < \infty\}$ are contained on Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$, respectively.

$$\Gamma_{\theta, \theta'} = \{\rho e^{i\theta}, \infty > \rho \geq \epsilon\} \cup \{\epsilon e^{it}; \theta \leq t \leq \theta'\} \cup \{\rho e^{i\theta'}, \epsilon \leq \rho < \infty\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\theta} = \{\rho e^{i(\theta-2\pi)}, \infty > \rho \geq \epsilon\} \cup \{\epsilon e^{it}; \theta - 2\pi \leq t \leq \theta\} \cup \{\rho e^{i\theta}, \epsilon \leq \rho < \infty\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is such that $\lambda - op(a)$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in B_{\epsilon}(0) \setminus \{0\}$.

From now on we will denote by γ any one of these curves. The fact that the inverse of $\lambda - a$ can be described by the above parameter dependent parametrix implies the following:

Proposition 16. *Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ be a symbol that satisfies assumption (A). Then $\int_{\gamma} \lambda^z (a - \lambda)^{-\sharp} d\lambda \in \Gamma_{cl}^{mz}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(z) < 0$.*

Corollary 17. *Under the above hypothesis and for $Re(z) < 0$, the bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ given by the integral*

$$F(A) = \int_{\gamma} \lambda^z (op(a) - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\int_{\gamma} \lambda^z (a - \lambda)^{-\sharp} d\lambda \in \Gamma_{cl}^{mz}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.

We are now in conditions to define the complex powers of a pseudodifferential operator and the sectorial projection.

Definition 18. Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be a classical Λ -elliptic pseudodifferential operator that satisfies the assumption (A) and θ be an angle whose ray $\{re^{i\theta}; 0 \leq r < \infty\}$ is contained in Λ . Let us define $\lambda_{\theta}^z = |\lambda|^z e^{iz \arg \theta \lambda}$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{te^{i\theta}; t \geq 0\}$, where $\arg \theta \lambda$ assumes values in $] \theta - 2\pi, \theta[$. The complex powers of a are the pseudodifferential operators, whose symbols are denoted by $a_{\theta}^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{mz}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, given by

$$op(a_{\theta}^z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta}} \lambda_{\theta}^z (\lambda - op(a))^{-1} d\lambda, \quad Re(z) < 0.$$

For $Re(z) > 0$, we define $op(a_{\theta}^z) := op(a)^k op(a_{\theta}^{z-k})$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $Re(z) < k$.

Now let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be a Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ -elliptic pseudodifferential operator that satisfies the assumption (A) for both sectors and let θ and θ' be angles whose rays are contained in the sectors Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$, respectively. We define the sectorial projection of a associated with the angular sector $\Lambda_{\theta, \theta'}$ as the operator

$$\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(op(a)) = op(a) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \theta'}} \lambda^{-1} (op(a) - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right).$$

The symbol of this operator will be denoted by $\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(a) \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. It is clear that

$$\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(a) = a^{\sharp} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta, \theta'}} \lambda^{-1} (a - \lambda)^{-\sharp} d\lambda \right),$$

and

$$a_{\theta}^z = a^{\sharp k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta}} \lambda_{\theta}^{z-k} (\lambda - a)^{-\sharp} d\lambda \right),$$

where $Re(z) < k$ and $a^{\sharp k}$ denotes $a^{\sharp} \dots a^{\sharp}$, k times.

Definition 19. An idempotent classical Shubin pseudodifferential operator is a pseudodifferential operator $op(a)$ with $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ or $a \in \Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, such that $op(a)op(a) = op(a)$.

Using the same arguments of Seeley [23], Shubin [24] and Ponge [20], we can prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 20. *Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be a Λ -elliptic pseudodifferential operator that satisfies the assumption (A) and θ be an angle contained in Λ . Then a^z is well defined, that is, for $Re(z) \geq 0$ it does not depend on the choice of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $Re(z) < k$. It is additive, that is $op(a_\theta^z)op(a_\theta^s) = op(a_\theta^{z+s})$, for z and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.*

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ we have that $op(a_\theta^k) = op(a)^k$. For $k = 0$, we have $op(a_\theta^0) = op(a^{-1})op(a) = I - op(\Pi_0(a))$, where $\Pi_0(a) \in \Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ and $op(\Pi_0(a))$ is an idempotent operator that has its image in the kernel of $op(a)$.

The sectorial projections of a are idempotent operators that belong to $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.

Using our estimates for the symbol of a_θ^z we can prove that a_θ^z is a holomorphic family of pseudodifferential operators. The following definition can be found in a similar version in Kumano-go [14] and in Maniccia, Schrohe and Seiler [16].

Definition 21. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. We say that $\{b^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)); z \in \Omega\}$ is a holomorphic family of classical pseudodifferential operators when the following conditions are satisfied:

- There is an analytic function $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $b^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{\tau(z)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$.
- If b^z has the asymptotic expansion $b^z \sim \sum_j b_{(\tau(z)-j)}^z$, then

$$(x, \xi, z) \mapsto b_{(\tau(z)-j)}^z(x, \xi) \in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)),$$

and it is analytic in the z variable for each $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

- For any $z_0 \in \Omega$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood of z_0 , denoted by $V_0 \subset \Omega$, such that $Re(\tau(z)) < Re(\tau(z_0)) + \epsilon$ for all $z \in V_0$. Moreover for all zero excision function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the map $z \in V_0 \mapsto b^z - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \chi b_{(\tau(z)-j)}^z \in \Gamma^{\tau(z_0)-N+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is holomorphic.

If $\{b^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)); z \in \Omega\}$ and $\{c^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)); z \in \Omega\}$ are two holomorphic family of classical pseudodifferential operators, then the above definition implies that its composition $\{b^z \sharp c^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)); z \in \Omega\}$ is also such a family.

From the definition of holomorphic families and of a_θ^z , one can be prove the following proposition.

Proposition 22. *The complex powers of a classical pseudodifferential operator form a holomorphic family of pseudodifferential operators $\{a_\theta^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)); z \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $\tau(z) = mz$.*

5. THE TRACES OF KONTSEVICH-VISHIK AND WODZICKI. THE ζ FUNCTION.

In this section we study and define two linear functionals in the algebras of classical pseudodifferential operators: The Wodzicki trace and Kontsevich-Vishik functional for Shubin symbols. We remember that a trace in an algebra \mathcal{A} is a linear functional $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is zero on each commutator, $\tau(xy - yx) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

The Wodzicki trace was first defined on compact manifolds by Wodzicki in 1984 [29]. In the context of Weyl's algebra it was also independently discovered by Guillemin in 1985 [11]. For Shubin's operators, it was defined -actually for a bigger class of operators- by Boggiatto and Nicola [5], see also Section 5.1 of [19].

Theorem 23. *There exists a unique trace on the algebra $\Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))/\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, where $\Gamma_{cl}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, called the Wodzicki trace for Shubin operators. It is given by*

$$Res(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{S^{2n-1}} Tr(a_{(-2n)}(x, \xi)) dx d\xi,$$

where $a_{(-2n)}$ is the $-2n$ term of the asymptotic expansion of a and Tr denotes the usual trace on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)$.

The proof of the uniqueness does not require any assumptions about the topology of the algebra. It is a purely algebraic result. Nevertheless it is clear that $Res|_{\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))/\Gamma^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ has the topology previously defined.

The other interesting linear functional we use is the Kontsevich-Vishik functional, also called Kontsevich-Vishik trace although it is not exactly a trace in our sense. It was first defined in [26] for classical operators on compact manifolds. In order to keep the notation simpler, we define this functional only for scalar symbols. For matricial symbols, all we have to do is to insert the usual trace Tr of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ before each symbol.

Let us fix a zero excision function χ . We know that for every $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $a_{(z-j)} \in \Gamma^{(z-j)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $r_{z-p} \in \Gamma_{cl}^{z-p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, depending on a , uniquely determined, such that

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \chi a_{(z-j)} = r_{z-p}.$$

Using this convention we define the function TR , which will be the Kontsevich-Vishik functional for the Shubin class, also called finite-part integral in the work of Maniccia, Schrohe and Seiler [17].

Definition 24. We define $TR : \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2n, -2n+1, -2n+2, \dots\}$, in the following way. We choose $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $z - p < -2n$ and define for $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$TR(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left\{ \int_{B_1(0)} a(x, \xi) dx d\xi - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{2n+z-j} \int_{S^{2n-1}} a_{(z-j)}(x, \xi) d\theta + \int_{B_1(0)^c} r_{z-p}(x, \xi) dx d\xi \right\},$$

where $d\theta$ is the volume measure on S^{2n-1} .

The idea behind this definition is to “integrate” the function a . The function TR is well defined, in the sense that $TR(a)$ does not depend on the choice of the zero excision function and on p . Moreover for each $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-2n, -2n+1, -2n+2, \dots\}$, $TR : \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is linear and continuous. If $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $Re(z) < -2n$, then $TR(a)$ coincides with the trace of $op(a)$, in the sense of trace of a trace class operator acting in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is given by $TR(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int a(x, \xi) dx d\xi$.

This functional has the very nice property of producing analytic functions from holomorphic families. Let us make this more precise.

Proposition 25. Let $\{b^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n); z \in \Omega\}$ be a holomorphic family of classical Shubin pseudodifferential operators. Then $\Omega \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \tau(z) = -2n + j, \text{ where } j \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \ni z \mapsto TR(b^z)$ is a holomorphic function.

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of holomorphic families of classical pseudodifferential operators and the explicit expression for $TR(b^z)$:

$$TR(b^z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left\{ \int_{|(x, \xi)| \leq 1} b^z(x, \xi) dx d\xi - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{2n+\tau(z)-j} \int_{S^{2n-1}} b_{(\tau(z)-j)}^z(1, \theta) d\theta + \int_{1 \leq |(x, \xi)|} r_{\tau(z)-p}^z(x, \xi) dx d\xi \right\},$$

where p is such that $\tau(z) - p < -2n$ and $b^z = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \chi_{(\tau(z)-j)} b_{(\tau(z)-j)}^z + r_{\tau(z)-p}^z$. \square

We can relate the two functionals by the following proposition. Similar considerations were also used in [3].

Proposition 26. Let $\{b^z \in \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n); z \in \Omega\}$ be a holomorphic family of classical pseudodifferential operators defined on a neighborhood Ω of 0. If $b^0 = b \in \Gamma_{cl}^N(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau(z) := ord(b^z) = z + N$, then on $z = 0$ the function $TR(b^z)$ has at worst a simple pole and $res_{z=0} TR(b^z) = -Res(b)$. In particular we have $res_{z=0} TR(q \# a_{\theta}^{-z}) = \frac{1}{m} Res(q)$, for any $q \in \Gamma_{cl}^z(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfies the conditions for the construction of complex powers.

Proof. Using the expression for $TR(b^z)$, with $\tau(z) = z + ord(b)$ we see that near 0 we have to consider just the term with $j = 2n + ord(b)$. We then have

$$TR(b^z) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n z} \int_{S^{2n-1}} b_{(z-2n)}^z(x, \xi) d\theta + \text{analytic function near 0.}$$

Therefore $res_{z=0} TR(b^z) = -Res(b^0) = -Res(b)$.

Finally let us consider the family $\{q \# a_{\theta}^{\frac{z}{m}}, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$. By theorem 20 $q \# a_{\theta}^0 = q - q \# \Pi_0(a)$ and $ord(q \# a_{\theta}^{\frac{z}{m}}) = z + ord(q) = z + ord(q - q \# \Pi_0(a))$. Therefore the residue of the function $f(z) = TR(q \# a_{\theta}^{\frac{z}{m}})$ is $-Res(q - q \# \Pi_0(a)) = -Res(q)$ and $res_{z=0} TR(q \# a_{\theta}^{-z}) = res_{z=0} f(-mz) = \frac{1}{m} Res(q)$. \square

We end this section using the Kontsevich-Vishik functional to define the ζ function.

Definition 27. Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $m > 0$ be Λ -elliptic. Let θ be an angle whose corresponding ray belongs to Λ . Then we can define complex powers of a , a_{θ}^z , using Γ_{θ} as the path of integration. The zeta function of a is defined on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; z \neq \frac{2n-j}{m} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ by

$$\zeta_{\theta}(a, z) = TR(a_{\theta}^{-z}).$$

Explicitly the ζ_{θ} function can be written as

$$(5.1) \quad \zeta_{\theta}(a, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left\{ \int_{B_1(0)} a_{\theta}^{-z}(x, \xi) dx d\xi - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{2n-mz-j} \int_{S^{2n-1}} a_{\theta}^{-z(-mz-j)}(x, \xi) d\theta + \int_{B_1(0)^c} r_{\theta}^{-z-mz-p}(x, \xi) dx d\xi \right\},$$

where $-mz - p < -2n$ and

$$a_{\theta}^{-z}(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \chi(x, \xi) a_{\theta(-mz-j)}^{-z}(x, \xi) + r_{\theta-mz-p}^{-z}(x, \xi),$$

where $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a zero excision function.

Using formula 5.1, we conclude that the ζ_{θ} function of a Λ -elliptic symbol $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $m > 0$, is a meromorphic extension with at worst simple poles at $\{z = \frac{2n-j}{m} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of the analytic function on $Re(z) > \frac{2n}{m}$, given by

$$tr(op(a_{\theta}^{-z})) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int a_{\theta}^{-z}(x, \xi) dx d\xi,$$

where tr is the trace of trace class operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover the poles are given by $\frac{1}{m} \text{Res}(a_{\theta}^{-\frac{2n-j}{m}})$.

Remark 28. Suppose that $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an elliptic self-adjoint symbol and $m > 0$. Then $A = op(a) : \mathcal{Q}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ is an unbounded self-adjoint operator. Suppose in addition that A is positive and let $\{\lambda_j; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of eigenvalues of A . Then for $Re(z) > \frac{2n}{m}$

$$\zeta_{\theta}(a, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-z}.$$

This follows from Shubin [24].

Example 29. Let us consider the operator, whose symbol is $a(x, \xi) = \frac{x^2 + \xi^2 + 1}{2}$. In this case $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^2(\mathbb{R})$ is an elliptic symbol and $op(a) : Q^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a positive operator, whose eigenvalues are $\lambda_j = j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (this is a simple consequence of the harmonic oscillator results, see for instance Theorem 2.2.3 of [19]).

We can evaluate explicitly the zeta function of this operator. In fact for $Re(z) > \frac{2n}{m} = 1$, the zeta function is

$$\zeta_{\theta}(a, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z}.$$

This is the well known Riemann zeta function.

6. K-THEORY.

In this section we compute the K groups of the classical 0 order Shubin pseudodifferential operators in order to get some information about traces of idempotent operators. This approach of K-theory of C^* -algebras was also used by Gaarde [8] to deal with boundary problems.

As in the case of classical pseudodifferential operators on manifolds, we have seen in Corollary 10 that for a symbol $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whose principal symbol is $a_{(0)} \in C^{\infty}((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\})$, the following equality holds

$$\inf_{C \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|op(a) + C\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \sup_{|(x, \xi)|=1} |a_{(0)}(x, \xi)|.$$

It is easily seen that $\Gamma^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to $C^{\infty}(S^{2n-1})$. As $C^{\infty}(S^{2n-1})$ is dense in $C(S^{2n-1})$, we conclude from the above relation that

$$\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} / \mathcal{K} \cong C(S^{2n-1}).$$

$\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is the closure of the set of operators $op(a)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, with $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Using these isomorphisms we can calculate the K groups of these C^* -algebras. We refer to [22] for definitions and notations.

Lemma 30. *Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a metric space. Consider the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. If $K_0(C(X)) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $[f]_0$ is a generator of $K_0(C(X))$.*

Proof. Let $p \in X$ an arbitrary point and $\hat{p} : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the $*$ -homomorphism given by $\hat{p}(f) = f(p)$. As K_0 is a functor, we can construct the group homomorphism $K_0(\hat{p}) : K_0(C(X)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{C})$. As $K_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}$, and $K_0(\hat{p})(f) = [f(p)]_0 = [1]_0$, we conclude that $K_0(\hat{p})$ is a homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{Z} that is surjective. Therefore it must be also bijective. As $[1]_0$ is the generator of $K_0(\mathbb{C})$, $[f]_0$ should also be the generator $K_0(C(X))$. \square

Using this lemma we obtain the following simple but important Theorem.

Theorem 31. *The K groups of the C^* -algebras $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}/\mathcal{K}$ are*

$$K_i\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}/\mathcal{K}\right) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

$$K_i\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0 \\ 0, & i = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore the K_0 -class of the identity operator $I = op(1)$ is a generator of $K_0\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)$.

Proof. We have $K_i\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}/\mathcal{K}\right) \cong K_i\left(C(S^{2n-1})\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0$ and 1 [22]. For $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ we use the canonical cyclic exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence 2.1:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\bar{i})} & K_0(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\bar{s})} & K_0(C(S^{2n-1})) & & \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 & & \\ K_1(C(S^{2n-1})) & \xleftarrow{K_1(\bar{s})} & K_1(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}) & \xleftarrow{K_1(\bar{i})} & K_1(\mathcal{K}) & & \end{array}.$$

We know that $K_0(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z}$, $K_0(C(S^{2n-1})) = \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$, $K_1(C(S^{2n-1})) = \mathbb{Z}$, see [22]. This exact sequence then becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{K_0(\bar{i})} & K_0(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\bar{s})} & \mathbb{Z} & & \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 & & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{K_1(\bar{s})} & K_1(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}) & \xleftarrow{K_1(\bar{i})} & 0 & & \end{array}.$$

The map $\delta_1 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ gives the Fredholm index [22, Proposition 9.4.2]. As we know from the Fedosov formula [7], see also [13, Theorem 19.3.1], there is a matrix-valued Fredholm operator of index 1. Hence δ_1 is an isomorphism. We conclude that $K_1(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}) = 0$ and $K_0(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)}) = \mathbb{Z}$. The function $f(x) = 1$ is a generator of $K_0(C(S^{2n-1}))$. Therefore the K_0 class of the identity operator $I = op(1)$ is a generator of $K_0(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)})$. \square

From the stability of the K -Theory, we immediately get:

Corollary 32. *Let $\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}$ the closure of the algebra generated by the operators of the form $op(a)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$, with $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. Then*

$$K_i\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}/\mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})\right) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

$$K_i\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}\right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0 \\ 0, & i = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, the K_0 -class of the identity operator $I = op(1)$, where 1 indicates the unity matrix, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ is a generator of $K_0\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}\right)$.

Our main objective now is to prove that the Wodzicki residue is zero for any idempotent classical Shubin pseudodifferential operator.

Theorem 33. *The Wodzicki trace of any idempotent Shubin operator in $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ is zero.*

Proof. Let us first identify $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$ with the algebra \mathcal{A} of operators $op(a)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q})$ with symbols in $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. We have seen in section 2.4 that this algebra is a Fréchet algebra and it is closed under the holomorphic functional calculus. Therefore, the inclusion $i : \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}$ provides an isomorphism

$$K_0(i) : K_0\left(\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))\right) \rightarrow K_0\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}\right)$$

(in order to see that the inclusion is an isomorphism of the K groups, see, for instance, [6, Proposition 3, page 292] and the references mentioned there). Hence $[I]_0 = [op(1)]_0$ is a generator of the group $K_0\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}\right)$ and it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . We know that for each trace τ in $\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, there exists a unique homomorphism $K_0(\tau) : K_0\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$K_0(\tau)([p]_0) = \tau(p).$$

We know that $K_0(\text{Res})([I]_0) = [\text{Res}(1)]_0 = 0$, because obviously all the terms of the asymptotic expansion of 1 are 0 , except for the homogeneous term of order 0 . The trace of Wodzicki depends only on the term $-2n$ of the asymptotic expansion, as it can be seen in the Theorem 23. As $[I]_0$ is the generator of $K_0\left(\overline{\Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))}\right)$, we conclude that $K_0(\text{Res})$ is 0 . Hence $\text{Res}(p) = 0$ for any idempotent $p \in \Gamma_{cl}^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$. \square

7. THE REGULARITY OF THE η FUNCTION.

We are now in position of proving the regularity of the η function.

Definition 34. Let $a \in \Gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be a self-adjoint, elliptic symbol. The η function associated to a is defined as

$$\eta(op(a), z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(op(a)) \setminus \{0\}} \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) |\lambda|^{-z} = \operatorname{tr}(op(a) |op(a)|^{-(z+1)}), \quad \operatorname{Re}(z) > \frac{2n}{m},$$

where $\sigma(op(a))$ denotes the spectrum of $op(a) : Q^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\oplus q}$ and tr the trace of trace class operators on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

This function can be extended to a meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} with at most simple poles at $s = \frac{2n-j}{m}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, as can be proved using the same arguments we used for the ζ function

Proposition 35. Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be a self-adjoint, elliptic symbol. Then for any sector Λ contained in the upper half plane, $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$, or in the lower half plane, $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im}(z) < 0\}$, the symbol a is Λ -elliptic and satisfies the condition (A).

Proof. As a is self-adjoint, $a = a^*$, we conclude that $a_{(m)}(x, \xi) = a_{(m)}(x, \xi)^\dagger$, where \dagger indicates the adjoint of a matrix in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q)$. Therefore $a_{(m)}(x, \xi)$ is a self-adjoint matrix for each (x, ξ) and therefore the spectrum of the matrix $a_{(m)}(x, \xi)$ is contained in \mathbb{R} . Hence

$$\operatorname{spec}(a_{(m)}(x, \xi)) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset, \quad \forall |(x, \xi)| \neq 0.$$

This proves the Λ -ellipticity. The (A) condition is a direct consequence of the fact that the spectrum of $op(a)$ is contained in the real axis. \square

Now we state two results that are in essence independent of the class of symbols that we use. They establish the connection between the Wodzicki trace and the η and ζ function.

Proposition 36. Let a symbol $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be a self-adjoint, elliptic symbol. We have the equality of meromorphic functions,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (\zeta_\theta(a, z) - \zeta_{\theta'}(a, z)) &= 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}(\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(a)), \\ \operatorname{res}_{s=0} \eta(op(a), s) &= \frac{i}{\pi} \lim_{z \rightarrow k} (\zeta_\uparrow(a, z) - \zeta_\downarrow(a, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\operatorname{res}_{s=0} \eta(op(a), s) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}(\Pi_{\theta, \theta'}(a))$.

Proof. The first equality was proved by Wodzicki [29] and the second was first stated by Shubin [24]. Ponge also proves them with details in [20]. These results were obtained for pseudodifferential operators on compact manifolds. However the proofs work equally well also for Shubin operators as can be seen using our results and the proofs in Section 4 of [20]. \square

The above proposition, together with Theorem 33 implies the regularity of the η function:

Theorem 37. Let $a \in \Gamma_{cl}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^q))$, $m > 0$, be an elliptic symbol and self-adjoint symbol. Then the η function associated to a is regular at 0.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Severino Melo and Elmar Schrohe for fruitful discussions and the Brazilian agency CNPq (Processo número 142185/2007-8) for financial support.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi and I. M. Singer. *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 77:43-69 (1975).
2. M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi and I. M. Singer. *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry III*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 79: no. 1, 71-99 (1976).
3. U. Battisti and S. Coriasco. *Wodzicki residue for operators on manifolds with cylindrical ends*. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, 30:223-249 (2011).
4. O. Bilyj, E. Schrohe and J. Seiler. *H_∞ -calculus for hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operators*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 138:1645-1656 (2010).
5. P. Boggiatto and F. Nicola. *Non-commutative residues for anisotropic pseudo-differential operators in \mathbb{R}^n* . Journal of Functional Analysis, 203:305-320 (2003).

6. A. Connes. *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, (1994).
7. B. V. Fedosov. *Direct proof of the formula for the index of an elliptic system in Euclidean space*. *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, 4:339-341 (1970).
8. A. Gaarde. *Noncommutative Residue of Projections in Boutet de Monvel's Calculus*. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0709.3407.pdf>. To appear *J. Noncommut. Geometry*. (2008)
9. P. B. Gilkey. *The residue of the global eta function at the origin*. *Adv. in Math.*, 40:290-307 (1981).
10. B. Gramsch. *Relative Inversion in der Störungstheorie von Operatoren und Ψ -Algebren*. *Mathematische Annalen*, 269:27-71 (1984).
11. V. Guillemin. *A new proof of Weyl's formula on the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues*. *Adv. in Math.*, 55:131-160 (1985).
12. L. Hörmander. *Pseudo-differential and hypoelliptic equations*. In *Singular Integrals (Chicago, 1966)*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **10**, 138-183, 1967.
13. L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III: Pseudo-Differential Operators*. Springer, (1994).
14. H. Kumano-go. *Pseudo-differential operators*. The MIT Press, (1982).
15. P.T.P. Lopes. *K-Teoria e aplicações para cálculos pseudodiferenciais globais e seus problemas de fronteira*. Tese de doutorado IME-USP.
16. L. Maniccia, E. Schrohe and J. Seiler. *Complex powers of classical SG-pseudodifferential operators*. *Annali dell'Universita di Ferrara*, 52:353-369 (2006).
17. L. Maniccia, E. Schrohe and J. Seiler. *Determinants of elliptic pseudo-differential operators*. <http://www.ifam.uni-hannover.de/~seiler/artikel/ifam86.pdf>.
18. F. Nicola. *K-theory of SG-pseudo-differential algebras*. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 131:2841-2848 (2003).
19. F. Nicola and L. Rodino. *Global Pseudo-differential calculus on Euclidean spaces*. Birkhäuser, (2010).
20. R. Ponge. *Spectral asymmetry, zeta functions and the noncommutative residue*. *Int. J. Math.*, 17:1065-1090 (2006).
21. D. Robert. *Proprietes spectrales d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*. *Comm. in partial differential equations*, 3(9):755-826 (1978).
22. M. Rordam, F. Larsen and N. J. Lausten. *Introduction to K-Theory for C^* -Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, (2000).
23. R. Seeley. *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*. In *Singular Integrals (Chicago, 1966)*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **10**, Amer. Math. Soc. 288-307, 1967.
24. M. A. Shubin. *Pseudo-differential operators and spectral theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1987).
25. A. Grigis and J.Sjöstrand. *Microlocal analysis for differential operators*. Cambridge University Press, (1994).
26. S. Vishik and M. Kontsevich. *Determinants of elliptic pseudo-differential operators*. arXiv:hep-th/9404046v1 (1994).
27. L. Waelbroeck. *Topological vector spaces and algebras*. *Lect. Notes in Math.*, Springer, 230 (1971).
28. M. Wodzicki. *Spectral asymmetry and zeta functions*. *Invent. Math.*, 66:115-135 (1982).
29. M. Wodzicki. *Local invariants of spectral asymmetry*. *Invent. Math.*, 75:143-177 (1984).

E-mail address: pplopes@ime.usp.br; dritao@yahoo.com

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, 05508-090, SÃO PAULO, SP, BRAZIL