

Nice Banach Modules and Invariant Subspaces

Stanislav Shkarin

Abstract

Let \mathbb{A} be a semisimple unital commutative Banach algebra. We say that a Banach \mathbb{A} -module M is *nice* if every proper closed submodule of M is contained in a closed submodule of M of codimension 1. We provide examples of nice and non-nice modules.

MSC: 46J10, 47A15

Keywords: Banach algebras, Banach modules, Invariant subspaces

1 Introduction

In this article, all vector spaces are assumed to be over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. As usual, \mathbb{R} is the field of real numbers, \mathbb{N} is the set of all positive integers, \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers and \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of non-negative integers. For a Banach space X , $L(X)$ stands for the algebra of bounded linear operators on X , while X^* is the space of continuous linear functionals on X . For $T \in L(X)$, its dual is denoted T^* : $T^* \in L(X^*)$, $T^*f(x) = f(Tx)$ for every $f \in X^*$ and every $x \in X$.

Throughout this article \mathbb{A} stands for a unital commutative semisimple Banach algebra. It is well-known and is a straightforward application of the Gelfand theory [2, 1] that for an ideal J in \mathbb{A} ,

$$J = \mathbb{A} \iff J \text{ is dense in } \mathbb{A} \iff \varkappa|_J \neq 0 \text{ for every } \varkappa \in \Omega(\mathbb{A}),$$

where $\Omega(\mathbb{A})$ is the spectrum of \mathbb{A} , that is, $\Omega(\mathbb{A})$ is the set of all (automatically continuous) non-zero algebra homomorphisms from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{C} (endowed with the $*$ -weak topology). Equivalently, every proper ideal in \mathbb{A} is contained in a closed ideal of codimension 1.

Let $\Omega^+(\mathbb{A})$ be the set of all algebra homomorphisms from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{C} . That is, $\Omega^+(\mathbb{A})$ is $\Omega(\mathbb{A})$ together with the identically zero map from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{C} . The main purpose of this paper is to draw attention to possible extensions of the above fact to Banach \mathbb{A} -modules. Clearly, each $\varkappa \in \Omega^+(\mathbb{A})$ gives rise to the 1-dimensional \mathbb{A} -module \mathbb{C}_\varkappa being \mathbb{C} with the \mathbb{A} -module structure given by the multiplication $a\lambda = \varkappa(a)\lambda$ for every $a \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It is also rather obvious that we have just listed all the 1-dimensional \mathbb{A} -modules up to an isomorphism.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a Banach \mathbb{A} -module. A *character* on M is a non-zero $\varphi \in M^*$ such that there exists $\varkappa \in \Omega^+(\mathbb{A})$ making φ into an \mathbb{A} -module morphism from M to \mathbb{C}_\varkappa .

Obviously, the kernel of a character on a Banach \mathbb{A} -module M is a closed \mathbb{A} -submodule of M .

Definition 1.2. Let M be a Banach \mathbb{A} -module. We say that M is *nice* if for every proper closed submodule of M is contained in a closed submodule of codimension 1. Equivalently, M is nice if and only if for every proper closed submodule N of M , there is a character φ on M such that φ vanishes on N .

The general question we would like to raise is:

Question 1.3. *Characterize nice Banach \mathbb{A} -modules.*

The remark we started with ensures that \mathbb{A} is nice as an \mathbb{A} -module. In this paper we just present examples of nice and non-nice modules. Before even formulating the results, I would like to put forth my personal motivation for even looking at this question. Assume for a minute that \mathbb{A} is a subalgebra of $L(X)$ for some Banach space X . We allow the norm topology of \mathbb{A} to be stronger (not necessarily strictly) than

the topology defined by the norm inherited from $L(X)$. The multiplication $(A, x) \mapsto Ax$ defines a Banach \mathbb{A} -module structure on X . What are the characters on X ? Why, one easily sees that they are exactly the common eigenvectors of A^* for $A \in \mathbb{A}$. What are the \mathbb{A} -submodules of X ? They are exactly the invariant subspaces for the action of \mathbb{A} on X . Thus the \mathbb{A} -module X is nice exactly when every non-trivial closed \mathbb{A} -invariant subspace of X is contained in a closed \mathbb{A} -invariant hyperplane. Thus X being a nice \mathbb{A} -module translates into a strong and important property of the lattice of \mathbb{A} -invariant subspaces. Note that under relatively mild extra assumptions on \mathbb{A} , the nicety of X results in every closed \mathbb{A} -invariant subspace being the intersection of a collection of characters on X thus providing a complete description of the lattice of \mathbb{A} . A byproduct of this observation is the following easy example of a non-nice module.

Example 1.4. *Let Ω be a non-empty compact subset of \mathbb{C} with no isolated points and μ be a finite σ -additive purely non-atomic Borel measure on \mathbb{C} , whose support is exactly Ω . The pointwise multiplication equips $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ with the structure of a Banach $C(\Omega)$ -module. This module is non-nice.*

Proof. The $C(\Omega)$ -module $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ does have plenty of closed submodules. For instance, every Borel subset A of Ω satisfying $\mu(A) \neq 0$ and $\mu(\Omega \setminus A) \neq 0$ generates a closed non-trivial submodule $M_A = \{f \in L^2(\Omega, \mu) : f \text{ vanishes outside } A\}$. On the other hand, we can always pick $f \in C(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mu(f^{-1}(\lambda)) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case the dual of the multiplication by f operator on $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ has empty point spectrum. Due to the above remark, our module possesses no characters at all (while possessing non-trivial closed submodules) and therefore can not possibly be nice. \square

In the positive direction we have the following two rather easy statements.

Proposition 1.5. *The finitely generated free \mathbb{A} -module \mathbb{A}^n is nice.*

Proposition 1.6. *Let Ω be a Hausdorff compact topological space and X be a Banach space. Then the $C(\Omega)$ -module $C(\Omega, X)$ is nice, where $C(\Omega, X)$ carries the natural norm $\|f\| = \sup\{\|f(\omega)\|_X : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and the module structure is given by the pointwise multiplication.*

Note that Example 1.4 is rather cheatish since the non-nicety comes from the lack of characters. A really interesting situation is when a non-nice module possesses a separating set of characters. The following result says that this is quite possible. Recall that the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ consists of the functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ absolutely continuous on any bounded subinterval of I and such that $f' \in L_2[0, 1]$. The space $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{1,2} = \int_0^1 (f(t)\overline{g(t)} + f'(t)\overline{g'(t)}) dt$$

is a separable Hilbert space. We denote $\|f\|_{1,2} = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_{1,2}}$. Apart from being a Hilbert space, $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ is also a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication (if one strives for the submultiplicativity of the norm together with the identity $\|1\| = 1$, he or she has to pass to an equivalent norm).

We say that a function f defined on $[0, 1]$ and taking values in a Banach space X is *absolutely continuous* if there exists an (automatically unique up to a Lebesgue-null set) Borel measurable function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \|g(t)\| dt < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^x g(t) dt = f(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in [0, 1],$$

where the second integral is considered in the Bochner sense. We denote the function g as f' . If H is a Hilbert space. The symbol $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ stands for the space of absolutely continuous functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \|f'(t)\|^2 dt < +\infty.$$

The space $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 (\langle f(t), g(t) \rangle_H + \langle f'(t), g'(t) \rangle_H) dt$$

is a Hilbert space and is separable if H is separable. In any case if $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an orthonormal basis of H , then the space $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ is naturally identified with the Hilbert direct sum of $|A|$ copies of $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$: $f \mapsto \{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, where $f_\alpha(t) = \langle f(t), e_\alpha \rangle_H$. It is also clear that $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Hilbert space tensor product of $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ and H . Clearly, $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ is a Banach $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ -module. This module possesses a lot of characters. Indeed, if $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in H$, then the functional $f \mapsto \langle f(t), x \rangle_H$ is a character on $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$. Moreover, these characters do separate points of $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let H be a Hilbert space. Then the $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ -module $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ is nice if and only if H is finite dimensional.*

2 Proof of Proposition 1.6

It is easy to see that a character on $C(\Omega, X)$ is exactly a functional of the form

$$\varkappa_{\omega, \varphi}(f) = \varphi(f(\omega)), \quad \text{where } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } \varphi \in X^* \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The following lemma describes all closed submodules of $C(\Omega, X)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a $C(\Omega)$ -submodule of $C(\Omega, X)$ and for each $\omega \in \Omega$ let $M_\omega = \{f(\omega) : f \in M\}$. Then the closure \overline{M} of M in $C(\Omega, X)$ satisfies*

$$\overline{M} = \widetilde{M}, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{M} = \{f \in C(\Omega, X) : f(\omega) \in \overline{M}_\omega \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega\}, \quad (2.2)$$

with \overline{M}_ω being the closure in X of M_ω .

Proof. Since $M \subseteq \widetilde{M}$ and \widetilde{M} is closed, we have $\overline{M} \subseteq \widetilde{M}$. Let $f \in \widetilde{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The desired equality will be verified if we show that there is $g \in M$ such that $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$. Indeed, in this case $\widetilde{M} \subseteq \overline{M}$ and therefore $\overline{M} = \widetilde{M}$.

Take $\omega \in \Omega$. Since M_ω is dense in \overline{M}_ω , there is $g_\omega \in M$ such that $\|f(\omega) - g_\omega(\omega)\|_X < \varepsilon$. Then $V_\omega = \{s \in \Omega : \|f(s) - g_\omega(s)\|_X < \varepsilon\}$ is an open subset of Ω containing ω . Thus $\{V_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is an open covering of Ω . Since for every open covering of a Hausdorff compact topological space, there is a finite partition of unity consisting of continuous functions and subordinate to the covering [4], there are $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \Omega$ and $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n \in C(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho_j(s) \leq 1 & \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } s \in \Omega; \\ \rho_j(s) = 0 & \text{ whenever } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } s \in \Omega \setminus V_{\omega_j}; \\ \rho_1(s) + \dots + \rho_n(s) & = 1 \text{ for each } s \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now we set $g = \rho_1 g_{\omega_1} + \dots + \rho_n g_{\omega_n}$. Since M is a $C(\Omega)$ -module and $g_\omega \in M$, we have $g \in M$. Using (2.3) together with the inequality $\|f(s) - g_{\omega_j}(s)\|_X < \varepsilon$ for $s \in V_{\omega_j}$, we easily see that $\|f(s) - g(s)\|_X < \varepsilon$ for each $s \in \Omega$. Hence $g \in M$ and $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$, which completes the proof. \square

We are ready to prove Proposition 1.6. Let M be a closed submodule of $C(\Omega, X)$ such that none of the characters on $C(\Omega, X)$ vanishes on M . According to (2.1), the latter means that every $M_\omega = \{f(\omega) : f \in M\}$ is dense in X and therefore $\overline{M}_\omega = X$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Since M is closed, Lemma 2.1 says that $M = C(\Omega, X)$. The proof is complete.

3 Proof of Propositions 1.5

We start with the following easy observation. Let $\varkappa \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$. Then the \mathbb{A} -module morphisms $\psi : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\varkappa$ are all given by

$$\varphi_c(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \varkappa(a_j), \quad \text{where } c \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We shall prove a statement slightly stronger than Proposition 1.5.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and M be an \mathbb{A} -submodule of the free \mathbb{A} -module \mathbb{A}^n . Assume also that none of the characters on \mathbb{A}^n vanishes on M . Then $M = \mathbb{A}^n$.*

Proof. We use induction with respect to n . The case $n = 1$ is trivial (see the remark at the very start of the article). Assume now that $n \geq 2$ and that the conclusion of Proposition 1.5 holds for every smaller n . We interpret \mathbb{A}^n as $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. The induction hypothesis easily implies that the projection of M onto \mathbb{A}^{n-1} is onto. Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ be defined by $M \cap (\mathbb{A} \times \{0\}) = J \times \{0\}$. Then J is an ideal in \mathbb{A} . If $J = \mathbb{A}$, we can factor out the first component in the product $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-1} = \mathbb{A}^n$ and then use the induction hypothesis to conclude that $M = \mathbb{A}^n$. Thus it remains to consider the case $J \neq \mathbb{A}$. Then there is $\varkappa \in \Omega(\mathbb{A})$ such that $J \subseteq \ker \varkappa$. Using the definition of J , and the facts that M is an \mathbb{A} -module, M projects onto the entire \mathbb{A}^{n-1} and \varkappa vanishes on J , we can define $\psi : \mathbb{A}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the rule $\psi(b) = \varkappa(a)$ if $(a, b) \in M \subseteq \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. It is easy to see that ψ is a well-defined continuous linear functional and that $\psi : \mathbb{A}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\varkappa$ is an \mathbb{A} -module morphism. According to the above display there are $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \varkappa(a_j)$ for every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{A}$. By definition of ψ , we now see that

$\varphi : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishes on M , where φ is defined by the formula $\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \varkappa(a_j)$ with $c_n = -1$.

By the above display, $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\varkappa$ is an \mathbb{A} -module morphism. Since $c_n \neq 0$, $\varphi \neq 0$ and therefore φ is a character on \mathbb{A}^n . We have produced a character on \mathbb{A}^n vanishing on M , which contradicts the assumptions. Thus the case $J \neq \mathbb{A}$ does not occur, which completes the proof. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section, for a function f on an interval I of the real line $\|f\|_2$ will always denote the L^2 -norm of f (with respect to the Lebesgue measure), while $\|f\|_\infty$ always stands for the L^∞ -norm of f .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < +\infty$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $f \in C^1[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = 0$, $f'(\alpha) = a$, $f'(\beta) = b$ and $\|f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C^1[0, \infty)$ be a monotonically non-increasing function such that $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\varphi'(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$. For any $\delta \in (0, \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2})$ let

$$f_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in (\alpha + \delta, \beta - \delta), \\ a(x - \alpha)\varphi((x - \alpha)/\delta) & \text{if } x \in [\alpha, \alpha + \delta), \\ b(x - \beta)\varphi((\beta - x)/\delta) & \text{if } x \in (\beta - \delta, \beta]. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $f_\delta \in C^1[\alpha, \beta]$, $f_\delta(\alpha) = f_\delta(\beta) = 0$, $f'_\delta(\alpha) = a$, $f'_\delta(\beta) = b$ and $\|f_\delta\|_\infty \leq \delta \max\{|a|, |b|\}$. Hence the function $f = f_\delta$ for $\delta < \varepsilon / \max\{|a|, |b|\}$ satisfies all desired conditions. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $K \subset [0, 1]$ be a nowhere dense compact set, $a \in C(K)$, $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $g \in C^1[0, 1]$ such that $g'|_K = a$ and $\|g - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Since $C^1[0, 1]$ is dense in the Banach space $C[0, 1]$, we can, without loss of generality, assume that $f \in C^1[0, 1]$. Since any continuous function on K admits a continuous extension to $[0, 1]$ (one can apply, for instance, the Tietze theorem [4]), there exists $h \in C[0, 1]$ such that $h(x) = a(x) - f'(x)$ for any $x \in K$. Let $\delta > 0$. Since K is nowhere dense, there exist

$$0 = \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \beta_n = 1$$

such that $\beta_j - \alpha_j < \varepsilon$ for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j$, where $I_j = [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$. Let

$$a_j = \int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} h(t) dt \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

By Lemma 4.1, for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, there is $\varphi_j \in C^1[\beta_j, \alpha_{j+1}]$ such that $\varphi_j(\beta_j) = \varphi_j(\alpha_{j+1}) = 0$, $\varphi_j'(\beta_j) = h(\beta_j) + \frac{a_j}{\alpha_{j+1} - \beta_j}$, $\varphi_j'(\alpha_{j+1}) = h(\alpha_{j+1}) + \frac{a_j}{\alpha_{j+1} - \beta_j}$ and $\|\varphi_j\|_\infty < \delta$. Consider the function

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\alpha_j}^x h(t) dt & \text{if } x \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j], 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ \varphi_j(x) + \frac{a_j(x - \alpha_{j+1})}{\beta_j - \alpha_{j+1}} & \text{if } x \in (\beta_j, \alpha_{j+1}), 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

The values of φ_j' at β_j and α_{j+1} were chosen in such a way that $\psi \in C^1[0, 1]$. Moreover, $\psi'|_{I_j} = h$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence, $(\psi + f)'|_K = a$. Let us estimate $\|\psi\|_\infty$. If $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $x \in [\beta_j, \alpha_{j+1}]$, then $|\psi(x)| \leq \delta + |a_j| \leq \delta + |\beta_j - \alpha_j| \|h\|_\infty \leq \delta(1 + \|h\|_\infty)$. If $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $x \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$, then $|\psi(x)| \leq |\beta_j - \alpha_j| \|h\|_\infty \leq \delta \|h\|_\infty$. Hence $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \delta(1 + \|h\|_\infty)$. Choose $\delta < \varepsilon/(1 + \|h\|_\infty)$ and denote $g = \psi + f$. Then $g'|_K = a$ and $\|g - f\|_\infty = \|\psi\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $K \subset [0, 1]$ be a nowhere dense compact set and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $f \in C(K)$ such that*

$$\int_K f(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\chi + g\|_2 \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{where} \quad g(x) = \int_{K \cap [x, 1]} f(t) dt$$

and χ is the indicator function of K ($\chi(x) = 1$ if $x \in K$ and $\chi(x) = 0$ if $x \in [0, 1] \setminus K$).

Proof. If the Lebesgue measure $\mu(K)$ of K is zero, the statement is trivially true since the function $f \equiv 0$ satisfies the desired conditions for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, we can assume that $\mu(K) > 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since K is nowhere dense and has positive Lebesgue measure, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_k, \beta_k, a_k, b_k, u_k, v_k \in [0, 1] \setminus K$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k < \beta_k < a_k < b_k < u_k < v_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad v_{k-1} < \alpha_k \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 < \mu(K \cap [\alpha_k, \beta_k]) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16n} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \mu(K \cap [u_k, v_k]) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16n} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\mu\left(\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n [\alpha_k, v_k]\right) \setminus K\right) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}. \quad (4.2)$$

Consider the function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu(K \cap [\alpha_k, \beta_k])} & \text{if } x \in K \cap [\alpha_k, \beta_k], 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ \frac{-1}{\mu(K \cap [u_k, v_k])} & \text{if } x \in K \cap [u_k, v_k], 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously $f \in C(K)$ and

$$\int_K f(t) dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\int_{K \cap [\alpha_k, \beta_k]} f(t) dt - \int_{K \cap [u_k, v_k]} f(t) dt \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - 1) = 0.$$

Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$g(x) = \int_{K \cap [x, 1]} f(t) dt.$$

From the definition of f it follows that $|g(x)| \leq 1$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$, $g(x) = -1$ if $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n [\beta_k, u_k]$ and

$\chi(x) = g(x) = 0$ if $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n [\alpha_k, v_k]$. Hence the set $\Omega = \{x \in [0, 1] : g(x) + \chi(x) \neq 0\}$ is contained in the union

$$\Omega_1 = \left(\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n [\alpha_k, v_k] \right) \setminus K \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n ([\alpha_k, \beta_k] \cap K) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n ([u_k, v_k] \cap K) \right).$$

Therefore

$$\|g + \chi\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 (g(x) + \chi(x))^2 \leq 4\mu(\Omega) \leq 4\mu(\Omega_1).$$

Using (4.1) and (4.2), we see that $\mu(\Omega_1) \leq \varepsilon^2/4$. Hence $\|g + \chi\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Lemma 4.4. Let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be an orthonormal basis in a separable Hilbert space H and scalar sequences $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|\gamma_n|^2 + |\delta_n|^2) < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Let also $f_0 = e_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n e_n$ and $f_n = e_n - \delta_n e_0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the linear span of $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is dense in H if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \delta_n \neq -1. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Condition (4.3) implies that the linear operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ such that $T e_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n e_n$ and $T e_n = -\delta_n e_0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is bounded. Since the range of T is at most two-dimensional, T is compact. By the Fredholm theorem [3], the operator $S = I + T$ has dense range if and only if S is injective. Since $S e_n = f_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the linear span of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is dense in H if and only if the operator S is injective.

The equation $Sx = 0$, $x \in H$ can be rewritten as

$$\langle x, e_0 \rangle \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \delta_n \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, e_n \rangle = \gamma_n \langle x, e_0 \rangle \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \delta_n \neq -1$, the first equation implies $\langle x, e_0 \rangle = 0$ and the rest yield $\langle x, e_n \rangle = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus in this case $x = 0$. That is, S is injective and therefore the linear span of $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is dense in H . If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \delta_n = -1$, the system of the equations in the above display has the non-zero solution $x = x_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n e_n \in H$. Hence S is not injective and therefore the linear span of $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is non-dense. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.7. First, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and H is n -dimensional, then $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ is isomorphic to the free $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ -module with n generators and the nicety of $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ follows from Proposition 1.5. It is easy to see that a direct (module) summand of a nice module is nice. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.7 will be complete if we verify that $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ is non-nice. In order to do this, we have to construct a proper closed $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ -submodule M of $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ such that none of the characters on $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ vanishes on M . Now we shall do just that.

Pick a nowhere dense compact set $K \subset [0, 1]$ of positive Lebesgue measure and let χ be the indicator function of K . By Lemma 4.3, there exists $A_n \in C(K)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_K A_n(x) dx = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\|B_n + \chi\|_2 < 2^{-n}, \quad \text{where } B_n(x) = \int_{K \cap [x, 1]} A(t) dt. \quad (4.6)$$

We also set $A_0 = 0$, $B_0 = 0$ and $S_0 = 1$. By Lemma 4.2, there exist $S_n \in C^1[0, 1]$ such that

$$S_n|_K = A_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|S_n - 1\|_{\infty} < 2^{-n} \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.7)$$

Denote $\rho_n = n^2(S_n - S_{n-1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\rho_n \in C^1[0, 1]$ and according to (4.7),

$$\|\rho_n\|_{\infty} \leq n^2(\|S_n - 1\|_{\infty} + \|S_{n-1} - 1\|_{\infty}) \leq n^2(2^{1-n} + 2^{-n}) = 3n^2 2^{-n} \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.8)$$

Let also $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be the standard orthonormal basis in ℓ_2 . Consider the functions $f^{[n]} \in W_2^1([0, 1], \ell_2)$ defined by the formulas

$$f^{[0]}(x) = e_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} e_n \quad \text{and} \quad f^{[n]}(x) = e_n - \rho_n(x) e_0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let now M be the closed $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ -submodule of $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ generated by the set $\{f^{[n]} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Equivalently, M is the closed linear span in $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ of the set $\{\varphi f^{[n]} : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \varphi \in W^{1,2}[0, 1]\}$.

It is easy to see that every character on $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ has the shape

$$\varphi_{t,y}(f) = \langle f(t), y \rangle_H, \quad \text{where } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } y \in \ell_2 \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus in order for every character on $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ not to vanish on M it is necessary and sufficient for $M_t = \{f(t) : f \in M\}$ to be dense in ℓ_2 for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Let $t \in [0, 1]$. By definition of ρ_n and (4.7), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \rho_n(t) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m (S_n(t) - S_{n-1}(t)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (S_m(t) - S_0(t)) = 0 \neq -1. \quad (4.9)$$

By Lemma 4.4 with $\gamma_n = n^{-2}$ and $\delta_n = \rho_n(t)$, the linear span of $\{f^{[n]}(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is dense in ℓ_2 . Since $f^{[n]} \in M$, M_t is dense in ℓ_2 . Thus none of the characters on $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ vanishes on M . It remains to verify that $M \neq W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$. Consider $g_n \in W_2^1[0, 1]^*$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, defined by the formula

$$g_n(\varphi) = \int_K (\rho_n \varphi)'(x) dx, \quad \text{where } \rho_0 \text{ is assumed to be identically 1.}$$

We start with estimating the norms of the functionals g_n . Clearly,

$$g_n(\varphi) = \int_K \rho_n(x) \varphi'(x) dx + \int_K \rho_n'(x) \varphi(x) dx \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in W^{1,2}[0, 1]. \quad (4.10)$$

Since $\rho_n'(x) = n^2(S_n'(x) - S_{n-1}'(x)) = n^2(A_n(x) - A_{n-1}(x))$ for $x \in K$, we have

$$\int_K \rho_n'(x) \varphi(x) dx = n^2 \int_K (A_n(x) - A_{n-1}(x)) \varphi(x) dx = n^2 \int_0^1 (B_{n-1}'(x) - B_n'(x)) \varphi(x) dx.$$

By (4.5) and (4.6),

$$B_n(0) = B_n(1) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Integrating by parts and using the above display, we obtain

$$\int_K \rho_n'(x) \varphi(x) dx = n^2 \int_0^1 (B_{n-1}'(x) - B_n'(x)) \varphi(x) dx = n^2 \int_0^1 (B_n(x) - B_{n-1}(x)) \varphi'(x) dx.$$

This formula together with (4.10) yields

$$|g_n(\varphi)| \leq \|\varphi'\|_2 (\|\rho_n\|_2 + n^2 \|B_n - B_{n-1}\|_2) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\|\rho_n\|_2 \leq 3n^2 2^{-n}$ and $\|B_n - B_{n-1}\|_2 \leq \|B_n + \chi\|_2 + \|B_{n-1} + \chi\|_2 \leq 2^{1-n} + 2^{-n} = 3 \cdot 2^{-n}$, we have $|g_n(\varphi)| \leq 6n^2 2^{-n} \|\varphi\|_{1,2}$. Hence $\|g_n\| \leq 6n^2 2^{-n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|g_n\|^2 < \infty$. Thus the formula

$$g(h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(h_n)$$

defines a continuous linear functional on $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$, where, as usual, $h_n(t) = \langle h(t), e_n \rangle$. Since $g_0 \neq 0$, we have $g \neq 0$. In order to show that $M \neq W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$, it suffices to verify that $g(h) = 0$ for any $h \in M$.

For this it is enough to check that $g(\varphi f^{[n]}) = 0$ for every $\varphi \in W^{1,2}[0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. First, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by definition of g_n , we immediately have

$$g(\varphi f^{[n]}) = g_n(\varphi) - g_0(\rho_n \varphi) = 0.$$

It remains to prove that $g(\varphi f^{[0]}) = 0$. Using the uniform convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \rho_n$ provided by the estimate (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\varphi f^{[0]}) &= g_0(\varphi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} g_n(\varphi) = \int_K \left(\varphi'(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} (\rho_n \varphi)'(x) \right) dx = \\ &= \int_K \varphi'(x) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \rho_n(x) \right) dx + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \varphi(x) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m n^{-2} \rho'_n(x) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.9), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \rho_n(x) \equiv 0$. On the other hand, using (4.7) and the equality $S_0 = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^m n^{-2} \rho'_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m (S'_n(x) - S'_{n-1}(x)) = S'_m(x) = A_m(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in K.$$

Hence

$$g(\varphi f^{[0]}) = \int_K \varphi'(x) dx + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_K \varphi(x) A_m(x) dx. \quad (4.11)$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_K \varphi(x) A_m(x) dx = - \int_0^1 \varphi(x) B'_m(x) dx = \int_0^1 \varphi'(x) B_m(x) dx = \int_0^1 \varphi'(x) (B_m(x) + \chi(x)) dx - \int_K \varphi'(x) dx.$$

According to (4.11) and the above display,

$$g(\varphi f^{[0]}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \varphi'(x) (B_m(x) + \chi(x)) dx. \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.6) and (4.12), $g(\varphi f^{[0]}) = 0$ for each $\varphi \in W^{1,2}[0, 1]$. Thus $g(h) = 0$ for every $h \in M$ and therefore $M \neq W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$. The proof of Theorem 1.7 is complete.

5 Remarks

One can easily generalize Theorem 1.7 by taking most any algebra of smooth functions instead of $W^{1,2}[0, 1]$. For example, following the same route of argument with few appropriate amendments one can show that if X is an infinite dimensional Banach space and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $C^k([0, 1], X)$ as a $C^k[0, 1]$ -module is non-nice. We opted for $W^{1,2}([0, 1], H)$ to make a point that even the friendly Hilbert space environment does not save the day.

Theorem 1.7 says that there are weird proper closed submodules of $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$ which are not contained in any closed submodule of codimension 1. The following question remains wide open.

Question 5.1. *Characterize closed submodules of $W^{1,2}([0, 1], \ell_2)$.*

References

- [1] H. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000
- [2] A. Helemsky, *Banach and locally convex algebras*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993
- [3] W. Rudin *Functional Analysis*, McGra2-Hill, New York, 1991
- [4] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989