

STATIONARY MEASURES FOR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH JUMPS*

HUIJIE QIAO AND JINQIAO DUAN

*Department of Mathematics, Southeast University,
Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, China
hjqlaogean@seu.edu.cn*

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology,
Chicago, IL 60616, USA
duan@iit.edu*

ABSTRACT. In the paper, stationary measures of stochastic differential equations with jumps are considered. Under some general conditions, existence of stationary measures is proved through Markov measures and Lyapunov functions. Moreover, for two special cases, stationary measures are given by solutions of Fokker-Planck equations and long time limits for the distributions of system states.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stationary measures for stochastic differential equations (SDEs) and invariant measures for Markov processes have been studied extensively, as in [2, 3, 7, 15, 18], among others. Moreover, there are interesting relationships between stationary measures and invariant measures, such as the one-to-one correspondence between the set of invariant Markov measures (Definition 3.1 in Section 3) and the set of stationary measures ([3, 7]), as well as the correspondence between the solutions of Fokker-Planck equations and stationary measures ([15]). These results play an important role in the development of the theory for random dynamical systems associated with SDEs.

Existence and uniqueness of the stationary measures of a one dimensional diffusion process with Gaussian noise have been discussed in [16]. Khasminski [8] extended the results in not only for higher dimension but also for a Markov process framework so that any solution process of a parabolic SDE (with certain properties, e.g strongly Feller) can be shown to have a unique stationary measure. Zakai [19] was able to release the strong Feller condition from Khasminski's work in Gaussian noise case and showed the existence of the stationary measure using Lyapunov functions. Liu-Mandrekar offered a weaker condition of ultimately boundedness [9] for construction of a Lyapunov function and thereby carried on Zakai's work further for the stationary measure for the solution of Gaussian SDE.

In recent years stationary measures of SDEs with jumps are considered by a number of authors ([1, 2, 4, 5, 14, 18]). Let us mention some works. Zabczyk [18] studied stationary measures for *linear* SDEs with jumps. Later, Alberverio-Rüdiger-Wu [1] discussed stationary measures for SDEs with jumps, in the context of Lévy type operators and considered

AMS Subject Classification(2010): 28C10; 60G52, 60H10, 60J35.

Keywords: Stationary measure, Cadlag cocycles, Markov measure, Fokker-Planck equations.

*This work was partly supported by the NSF of China (No. 11001051).

mainly infinitesimal invariant measures. The concept of infinitesimal invariant measures there is weaker than that of the usual stationary measures. Recently, Bhan-Chankraborty-Mandrekar [4] studied the stationary measure for the solution of a stochastic differential equation driven by jump Lévy processes by the same Lyapunov approach as in [11]. In [4] the stochastic differential equation is 1-dimensional and driven only by a jump Lévy process and no Brownian motion.

We ask, naturally, whether the above correspondences for usual SDEs also hold for SDEs with jumps. This question will be answered in Sections 3 and 4 in the present paper. To our knowledge, a result presented in [5] is the closest to the result in Section 4 of this paper. In [5, Theorem 3.2] Bhatt-Karandikar set about a martingale problem and showed existence of stationary measures for Markov processes. There, they required that the domain of the generator is an algebra that separates points and vanishes nowhere, which is not the case in our setting. Because the generators of SDEs with jumps which we consider here are integro-differential operators, their domains are usually not algebras with some properties.

Now we briefly sketch our method. We begin from SDEs with jumps as random dynamical systems and examine their Markov measures. Then we weaken some conditions and still obtain existence of stationary measures by the similar Lyapunov approach to in [4]. Here, the type of our equations is more general and these conditions are easier to satisfy than the type and conditions in [4]. Next, in the first special case, we consider SDEs which are driven by Brownian motions and α -stable processes. By a functional analysis technique, stationary measures are investigated. When the coefficients of the SDEs are sufficiently regular in the second special case, the long time limits for the distributions of the solutions are shown to be stationary measures.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we introduce random dynamical systems and related concepts. Symmetric α -stable processes are also introduced. The content to obtain existence of stationary measures through Markov measures and Lyapunov functions is in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider special stochastic differential equations driven by Brownian motions and α -stable processes. In Section 5, we study SDEs with jumps, under certain regular conditions on the coefficients.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we recall basic concepts and facts that will be needed throughout the paper.

2.1. Random dynamical systems and related concepts.

Definition 2.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ a family of measurable transformations from Ω to Ω . We call $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$ a metric dynamical system if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, θ_t preserves the probability measure \mathbb{P} , i.e.,*

$$\theta_t^* \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}.$$

Throughout the paper $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ will be assumed ergodic, i.e. all measurable θ -invariant sets have probability either 0 or 1 ([3]).

Definition 2.2. *A measurable càdlàg random dynamical system on the Polish space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B})$ over a metric DS $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$ with time \mathbb{R}_+ is a family of homeomorphisms*

of \mathbb{X} ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{X} &\mapsto \mathbb{X}, & (t, \omega, x) &\mapsto \varphi(t, \omega, x), \\ \varphi(t, \omega) \cdot &:= \varphi(t, \omega, \cdot) : \mathbb{X} &\mapsto \mathbb{X},\end{aligned}$$

such that

(i) *Measurability:* φ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is Borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R}_+ .

(ii) *Càdlàg cocycle property:* $\varphi(t, \omega)$ forms a (perfect) càdlàg cocycle over θ if it is càdlàg in t and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(0, \omega) &= id_{\mathbb{X}}, \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega, & (1) \\ \varphi(t+s, \omega) &= \varphi(t, \theta_s \omega) \circ \varphi(s, \omega), & (2)\end{aligned}$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

A random dynamical system (RDS) induces a skew product flow of measurable maps

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_t : \Omega \times \mathbb{X} &\mapsto \Omega \times \mathbb{X} \\ (\omega, x) &\mapsto (\theta_t \omega, \varphi(t, \omega)x).\end{aligned}$$

The flow property $\Theta_{t+s} = \Theta_t \circ \Theta_s$ follows from (2). Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \mathbb{X})$ the probability measures on $(\Omega \times \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B})$. Moreover, Θ_t acts on $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \mathbb{X})$ by $(\Theta_t \mu)(C) = \mu(\Theta_t^{-1}C)$, for $C \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Definition 2.3. A probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \mathbb{X})$ is called invariant for the skew product flow Θ_t if

- (i) the marginal of μ on Ω is \mathbb{P} ,
- (ii) $\Theta_t \mu = \mu$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

If \mathbb{X} is a Polish space with its Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$, every measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega \times \mathbb{X})$ with marginal \mathbb{P} can be uniquely characterized through its factorization

$$\mu(d\omega, dx) = \mu_\omega(dx) \mathbb{P}(d\omega),$$

where $\mu_\omega(dx)$ is a probability kernel, i.e. for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$, $\mu_\omega(B)$ is \mathcal{F} -measurable; for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, $\mu_\omega(\cdot)$ is a probability measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}))$ ([3, p.23]). Thus μ is invariant if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, \omega) \mu_\omega | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}](\omega) = \mu_{\theta_t \omega}, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (3)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

2.2. Symmetric α -stable processes.

Definition 2.4. A process $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $L_0 = 0$ a.s. is a d -dimensional Lévy process if

- (i) L has independent increments; that is, $L_t - L_s$ is independent of $L_v - L_u$ if $(u, v) \cap (s, t) = \emptyset$;
- (ii) L has stationary increments; that is, $L_t - L_s$ has the same distribution as $L_v - L_u$ if $t - s = v - u > 0$;
- (iii) L_t is stochastically continuous;
- (iv) L_t is right continuous with left limit.

Its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp\{i\langle z, L_t \rangle\}) = \exp\{t\Psi(z)\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is called the characteristic exponent of the Lévy process L . By the Lévy-Khintchine formula, there exist a nonnegative-definite $d \times d$ matrix Q , a measure ν on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying

$$\nu(\{0\}) = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (|u|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(du) < \infty,$$

and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\Psi(z) = i\langle z, \gamma \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle z, Qz \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (e^{i\langle z, u \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, u \rangle 1_{|u| \leq 1}) \nu(du). \quad (4)$$

The measure ν is called the Lévy measure.

Definition 2.5. For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. A d -dimensional symmetric α -stable process L is a Lévy process such that its characteristic exponent Ψ is given by for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\Psi(z) = -C|z|^\alpha, \quad C = \pi^{-1/2} \frac{\Gamma((1+\alpha)/2)\Gamma(d/2)}{\Gamma((d+\alpha)/2)}.$$

Thus, for d -dimensional symmetric α -stable process L , the diffusion matrix $Q = 0$, the drift vector $\gamma = 0$, and the Lévy measure ν is given by

$$\nu(du) = \frac{C_{d,\alpha}}{|u|^{d+\alpha}} du, \quad C_{d,\alpha} = \frac{\alpha \Gamma((d+\alpha)/2)}{2^{1-\alpha} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(1-\alpha/2)}.$$

To give the infinitesimal generator of a d -dimensional symmetric α -stable process, we introduce several function spaces. Let $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of continuous functions f on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that f is two times differentiable and the partial derivatives of f with order two and less than two belong to $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_c^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ stand for the space of all n times differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact supports. Let $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^d and \hat{f} the Fourier transform of $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Set

$$\mathbb{H}^{\lambda,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{\lambda,2} < \infty\},$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\|f\|_{\lambda,2}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |u|^2)^\lambda |\hat{f}(u)|^2 du.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{H}^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Define

$$(\mathcal{L}_\alpha h)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (h(x+u) - h(x) - \langle \partial_x h(x), u \rangle 1_{|u| \leq 1}) \frac{C_{d,\alpha}}{|u|^{d+\alpha}} du$$

for $h \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and then \mathcal{L}_α is the infinitesimal generator of a d -dimensional symmetric α -stable process ([2]). Moreover, by [2, Example 3.3.8, P.166] for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(\mathcal{L}_\alpha f)(x) = C[-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f](x).$$

The following result from [1] is used in Section 4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let \mathcal{L}_α be as above for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = \Delta$, as defined on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then \mathcal{L}_α , $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, has a unique closed extensions to self-adjoint negative operators on the domain $\mathbb{H}^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

3. EXISTENCE OF STATIONARY MEASURES

In the section, we prove existence of stationary measures for general SDEs with jumps under some general conditions.

Let $(\mathbb{U}, \mathcal{U}, n)$ be a σ -finite measurable space. Let $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an m -dimensional standard Brownian motion, and $\{k_t, t \geq 0\}$ a stationary Poisson point process with values in \mathbb{U} and with characteristic measure n ([2]). Let $N_k((0, t], du)$ be the counting measure of k_t , i.e., for $A \in \mathcal{U}$

$$N_k((0, t], A) := \#\{0 < s \leq t : k_s \in A\},$$

where $\#$ denotes the cardinality of a set. The compensator measure of N_k is given by

$$\tilde{N}_k((0, t], du) := N_k((0, t], du) - tn(du).$$

Fix a $\mathbb{U}_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $n(\mathbb{U} - \mathbb{U}_0) < \infty$, and consider the following SDE with jumps in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(x) &= x + \int_0^t b(X_s(x)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s(x)) dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(X_{s-}(x), u) \tilde{N}_k(ds, du) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U} - \mathbb{U}_0} g(X_{s-}(x), u) N_k(ds, du), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{U} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy the following assumptions:

(**H_b**) there exists a constant $C_b > 0$ such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|b(x) - b(y)| \leq C_b |x - y| \cdot \log(|x - y|^{-1} + e);$$

(**H_σ**) there exists a constant $C_\sigma > 0$ such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|^2 \leq C_\sigma |x - y|^2 \cdot \log(|x - y|^{-1} + e);$$

(**H_f**) There exists a positive function $L(u)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{U}_0} L(u) \leq \delta < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} L(u)^2 \nu(du) < +\infty,$$

such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in \mathbb{U}_0$

$$|f(x, u) - f(y, u)| \leq L(u) \cdot |x - y|,$$

and

$$|f(0, u)| \leq L(u).$$

Moreover, we also require that for some $q > 4d$

$$\frac{q\delta}{(1 - \delta)^{q+1}} < 1.$$

(**H_g**) For each $u \in \mathbb{U} - \mathbb{U}_0$, $x \mapsto x + g(x, u) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of all homeomorphism mappings from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^d .

Here, the second integral of the right hand side in Eq.(5) is taken in Itô's sense, and the definitions of the third and fourth integrals are referred to [2]. Under (\mathbf{H}_b) , (\mathbf{H}_σ) , (\mathbf{H}_f) and (\mathbf{H}_g) , it is well known that there exists a unique strong solution to Eq.(5) ([13]). This solution will be denoted by $X_t(x)$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\geq t} &:= \sigma\{W_s, N_p((0, s], B); s \geq t, B \in \mathcal{U}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\leq t} &:= \sigma\{W_s, N_p((0, s], B); s \leq t, B \in \mathcal{U}\},\end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 0$. And then

$$\theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0} \subset \mathcal{F}_{>t}, \quad \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{\leq s} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\leq t+s}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Moreover, $X_t(x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t}$ -measurable and independent of $\theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}$.

Definition 3.1. A probability measure μ on $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is called a Markov measure if μ_ω satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{<\infty}) = \mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{=0}), \quad \text{P.a.s..}$$

For the Markov process $X_t(x)$, the transition probability is defined by

$$p_t(x, B) := \mathbb{P}(X_t(x) \in B), \quad t > 0, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Definition 3.2. A measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is a stationary measure for p_t or Eq.(5) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \bar{\mu}(dx) = \bar{\mu}(B), \quad \forall t > 0, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Before stating the main result in the section, we prove an important lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Set $\varphi(t, \omega)x := X_t(x)$, and then for an invariant Markov measure μ of the skew product flow Θ_t , the stationary measure $\bar{\mu}$ for p_t is given by $\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{>0})$.

Proof. By Definition 3.1, $\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{<\infty}) = \mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{=0})$. Set $\bar{\mu} := \mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{>0})$, and then it follows from independence of $\mathcal{F}_{>0}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{=0}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu} &= \mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{<\infty} \wedge \mathcal{F}_{>0}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{<\infty}) | \mathcal{F}_{>0}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{=0}) | \mathcal{F}_{>0}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{=0})] = \mathbb{E}(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bar{\mu}$ is not random.

On one hand, by (3), it holds that for $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, \cdot)\mu | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\varphi(t, \cdot)\mu | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}) | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}] = \mathbb{E}[\mu_{\theta_t} | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{>0})(\theta_t \omega) = \bar{\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, \cdot)\mu | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(\varphi(t, \cdot)\mu | \mathcal{F}_{>0}) | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, \cdot)\bar{\mu} | \theta_t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{>0}] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, \cdot)\bar{\mu}].$$

Thus for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}(B) &= \mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, \cdot)\bar{\mu}(B)] = \mathbb{E}[\bar{\mu}(\varphi(t, \cdot)^{-1}B)] = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(\varphi(t, \omega)x) \bar{\mu}(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\mu}(dx) \int_{\Omega} 1_B(\varphi(t, \omega)x) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \bar{\mu}(dx).\end{aligned}$$

By Definition 3.2 $\mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{>0})$ is a stationary measure for p_t . □

Next, under these conditions (\mathbf{H}_b) (\mathbf{H}_σ) (\mathbf{H}_f) (\mathbf{H}_g) , it follows from [13, Theorem 1.3] that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \mapsto X_t(x, \omega) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Set $\varphi(t, \omega)x := X_t(x)$, and then $\varphi(t, \omega)$ is a measurable càdlàg random dynamical system on the Polish space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. When $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is compactified as $(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^d, \mathcal{B}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^d))$, [7, Lemma 5.1] admits us to obtain that an invariant Markov measure μ of the skew product flow Θ_t associated with $\varphi(t, \omega)$ exists. Set $\bar{\mu} := \mathbb{E}(\mu | \mathcal{F}_{>0})$, and then by Lemma 3.3 it holds that $\bar{\mu}$ is a stationary measure for Eq.(5). Thus, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that these conditions (\mathbf{H}_b) (\mathbf{H}_σ) (\mathbf{H}_f) (\mathbf{H}_g) hold. Then on the compact space $(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^d, \mathcal{B}(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^d))$, stationary measures for Eq.(5) exist.*

Next, we weaken (\mathbf{H}_f) (\mathbf{H}_g) and show by the similar Lyapunov approach to in [4] that stationary measures for Eq.(5) still exist.

Assume that

(\mathbf{H}'_f) For some $q > (2d) \vee 4$ and any $p \in [2, q]$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}_0} |f(x, u) - f(y, u)|^p \nu(du) \leq C_p |x - y|^p \cdot \log(|x - y|^{-1} + e),$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}_0} |f(x, u)|^p \nu(du) \leq C_p (1 + |x|)^p.$$

(\mathbf{H}'_g) For each $u \in \mathbb{U} - \mathbb{U}_0$, $x \mapsto g(x, u) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Under (\mathbf{H}_b) , (\mathbf{H}_σ) , (\mathbf{H}'_f) and (\mathbf{H}'_g) , it is well known that there exists a unique strong solution to Eq.(5)([13]). This solution will be still denoted by $X_t(x)$. Moreover, the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{L} of $X_t(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}h)(y) &= \langle \partial_y h(y), b(y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \sigma_{ik}(y) \sigma_{kj}(y) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \left(h(y + f(y, u)) - h(y) - \langle \partial_y h(y), f(y, u) \rangle \right) \nu(du) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{U} - \mathbb{U}_0} \left(h(y + g(y, u)) - h(y) \right) \nu(du) \end{aligned}$$

for $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([4]). We introduce two key concepts.

Definition 3.5. $X_t(x)$ is said to be 2-ultimately bounded if there exists a positive finite constant M such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}|X_t(x)|^2 \leq M.$$

Definition 3.6. $X_t(x)$ is said to be exponentially 2-ultimately bounded if there exist positive finite constants K, β, M such that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t(x)|^2 \leq K e^{-\beta t} |x|^2 + M.$$

Obviously, if $X_t(x)$ is exponentially 2-ultimately bounded, then $X_t(x)$ is 2-ultimately bounded. Next, we show that $X_t(x)$ is exponentially 2-ultimately bounded under some conditions.

Proposition 3.7. *If there exists a function $V \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

$$(i) \quad K_1|x|^2 - M_1 \leq V(x) \leq K_2|x|^2 + M_2,$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{L}V(x) \leq -K_3V(x) + M_3,$$

where $K_1 > 0, K_2 > 0, K_3 > 0, M_1 \geq 0, M_2 \geq 0, M_3 \geq 0$ are constants, then $X_t(x)$ is exponentially 2-ultimately bounded.

Proof. Applying the Itô formula to $e^{K_3t}V(X_t)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{K_3t}V(X_t) - V(x) &= \int_0^t K_3 e^{K_3s} V(X_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \langle \partial_y V(X_s), b(X_s) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \langle \partial_y V(X_s), \sigma(X_s) dW_s \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} e^{K_3s} \left(V(X_s + f(X_s, u)) - V(X_s) \right) \tilde{N}_\kappa(ds, du) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}-\mathbb{U}_0} e^{K_3s} \left(V(X_s + g(X_s, u)) - V(X_s) \right) N_\kappa(ds, du) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \frac{\partial^2 V(X_s)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \sigma_{ik}(X_s) \sigma_{kj}(X_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} e^{K_3s} \left(V(X_s + f(X_s, u)) - V(X_s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle \partial_y V(X_s), f(X_s, u) \rangle \right) \nu(du) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on two hands sides, we further get by (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{K_3t} \mathbb{E}V(X_t) - V(x) &= \int_0^t K_3 e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E}V(X_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E} \langle \partial_y V(X_s), b(X_s) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}-\mathbb{U}_0} e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E} \left(V(X_s + g(X_s, u)) - V(X_s) \right) \nu(du) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 V(X_s)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \sigma_{ik}(X_s) \sigma_{kj}(X_s) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E} \left(V(X_s + f(X_s, u)) - V(X_s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle \partial_y V(X_s), f(X_s, u) \rangle \right) \nu(du) ds \\ &= \int_0^t K_3 e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E}V(X_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}V(X_s) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t K_3 e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E}V(X_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{K_3s} \mathbb{E} (-K_3V(X_s) + M_3) ds \\ &= M_3 \frac{e^{K_3t} - 1}{K_3}. \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, it follows from (i) that

$$\mathbb{E}V(X_t) \leq e^{-K_3t} V(x) + M_3 \frac{1 - e^{-K_3t}}{K_3} \leq e^{-K_3t} (K_2|x|^2 + M_2) + M_3 \frac{1 - e^{-K_3t}}{K_3}. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, (i) also admits us to have that

$$\mathbb{E}V(X_t) \geq K_1 \mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 - M_1. \quad (7)$$

Combining (7) with (6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 &\leq \frac{K_2}{K_1} e^{-K_3 t} |x|^2 + \left(\frac{M_1}{K_1} + \frac{M_2 e^{-K_3 t}}{K_1} + M_3 \frac{1 - e^{-K_3 t}}{K_3 K_1} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K_2}{K_1} e^{-K_3 t} |x|^2 + \frac{K_3(M_1 + M_2) + M_3}{K_3 K_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

The function $V(x)$ in the above Proposition is called as a Lyapunov function of $X_t(x)$. In the following, we use exponentially 2-ultimately bounded property of $X_t(x)$ to prove existence of stationary measures for Eq.(5).

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that there exists a Lyapunov function $V(x)$ of $X_t(x)$. Then Eq.(5) has a stationary measure.*

Proof. By the above Proposition, we know that $X_t(x)$ is exponentially 2-ultimately bounded and then 2-ultimately bounded. Thus by Definition 3.5, there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that for $t > t_0$, $\mathbb{E}|X_t(x)|^2 < M$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}|X_s|^2 ds &= \frac{1}{t} \left[\int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E}|X_s|^2 ds + \int_{t_0}^t \mathbb{E}|X_s|^2 ds \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \left[\int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E}|X_s|^2 ds + M(t - t_0) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E}|X_s|^2 ds + M \left(1 - \frac{t_0}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\bar{\mu}_T(B) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T p_t(x, B) dt,$$

for any $T > 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. And we have by Chebyshev's inequality that for $T > t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_T(B^c(0, R)) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T p_t(x, B^c(0, R)) dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}(|X_t(x)| > R) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{TR^2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{R^2} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E}|X_s|^2 ds + M \left(1 - \frac{t_0}{T} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\bar{\mu}_T(B(0, R)) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for R being large enough. Hence, $\{\bar{\mu}_T, T > t_0\}$ is tight and its limit $\bar{\mu}$ is a stationary measure of Eq.(5). \square

4. SPECIAL CASE 1: $f(x, u) = g(x, u) = u$

In the section, requiring that $f(x, u) = g(x, u) = u$ and $\nu(du) = \frac{C_{d,\alpha}}{|u|^{d+\alpha}} du$, the Lévy measure of a symmetric α -stable process, we show that stationary measures for Eq.(5) could be represented as the solutions for a type of Fokker-Planck equations.

Consider the following equation

$$X_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b(X_s(x)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s(x)) dW_s + L_t,$$

where L_t is a symmetric α -stable process independent of W_t . Based on the lévy-Itô representation of L_t , the above equation can be rewritten as follows:

(i) for $1 \leq \alpha < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(x) &= x + \int_0^t b(X_s(x)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s(x)) dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|u| \leq \delta} u \tilde{N}_\kappa(ds, du) + \int_0^t \int_{|u| > \delta} u N_\kappa(ds, du), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\kappa_t := L_t - L_{t-}$ and δ is the same one as in Section 3.

(ii) for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(x) &= x + \int_0^t b(X_s(x)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s(x)) dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} u N_\kappa(ds, du). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We study mainly Eq.(8) and Eq.(9) can be dealt with similarly.

Under (\mathbf{H}_b) and (\mathbf{H}_σ) , by [13, Theorem 1.3], for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \mapsto X_t(x, \omega)$ is a homeomorphism mapping on \mathbb{R}^d , where $X_t(x, \omega)$ is the solution of Eq.(8). Define

$$(p_t h)(x) := \mathbb{E}[h(X_t(x))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) p_t(x, dy),$$

for $h \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $p_t h \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by dominated convergence theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all finite regular signed measures on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. And then it is adjoint of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([17]).

Next, we give some useful lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. X_t is stochastically continuous.

Proof. By continuity of the integral and the stochastic integral, and the definition of stochastic continuity ([14, Definition 1.5, P.3]), one could obtain the result. \square

Lemma 4.2. The family of operators $\{p_t, t \geq 0\}$ defined above is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 6.4.6, P.388], $\{p_t, t \geq 0\}$ forms a semigroup.

Next, we prove strong continuity. For $h \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, h is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^d . And for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that $|h(x) - h(y)| < \varepsilon$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|x - y| < \eta$. For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t h)(x) \lambda(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \lambda(dx) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(y) p_t(x, dy) \lambda(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) p_t(x, dy) \lambda(dx) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(y) - h(x)| p_t(x, dy) |\lambda|(dx) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y|<\eta} |h(y) - h(x)| p_t(x, dy) |\lambda|(dx) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y|\geq\eta} |h(y) - h(x)| p_t(x, dy) |\lambda|(dx) \\
&\leq |\lambda|(\mathbb{R}^d)\varepsilon + 2\|h\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}\{|X_t(x) - x| \geq \eta\} |\lambda|(dx),
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\lambda|$ stands for the variation measure of the signed measure λ . For $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}\{|X_t(x) - x| \geq \eta\} |\lambda|(dx)$, by Lemma 4.1 and dominated convergence theorem, when t is small enough,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}\{|X_t(x) - x| \geq \eta\} |\lambda|(dx) < \varepsilon.$$

So,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t h)(x) \lambda(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \lambda(dx).$$

That is to say, $p_t h$ converges weakly to h . By [17, Theorem, p.233], $p_t h$ converges strongly to h .

Finally, by [12, Definition 2.1, p.4], $\{p_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. \square

Let \mathcal{L} be the infinitesimal generator of $\{p_t, t \geq 0\}$ and then for $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([2])

$$(\mathcal{L}h)(y) = \langle \partial_y h(y), b(y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \sigma_{ik}(y) \sigma_{kj}(y) + (\mathcal{L}_\alpha h)(y).$$

By Theorem 2.6, we know that the adjoint \mathcal{L}^* of \mathcal{L} is given by

$$(\mathcal{L}^* \psi)(y) := -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (b_j(y) \psi(y)) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} (\sigma_{ik}(y) \sigma_{kj}(y) \psi(y)) + (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \psi)(y)$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *If there exists a $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)$ satisfying the following equation*

$$\mathcal{L}^* \rho = 0$$

with these conditions $\rho(y) \geq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(y) dy = 1$. Then $\bar{\mu}(dy) := \rho(y) dy$ is a stationary measure for p_t .

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, $\{p_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{L} . Let p_t^* be adjoint of p_t and $\overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)}$ stand for the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)$ in $\mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$. [12, Theorem 10.4, p.41] admits us to get that the restriction p_t^+ of p_t^* to $\overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $\mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, the infinitesimal generator \mathcal{L}^+ of p_t^+ is the part of \mathcal{L}^* in $\overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^+) = \{h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*), \mathcal{L}^* h \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)}\}$ and $\mathcal{L}^+ h = \mathcal{L}^* h$ for $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^+)$.

Since $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^*)$ and $\mathcal{L}^* \rho = 0$, $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}^+)$ and $\mathcal{L}^+ \rho = 0$. By [12, Theorem 2.4, p.4], we obtain that

$$p_t^+ \rho = \rho, \quad t \geq 0.$$

So, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \rho(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) (p_t^+ \rho)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) (p_t^* \rho)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t \varphi)(x) \rho(x) dx.$$

Besides, under these conditions $\rho(y) \geq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(y) dy = 1$, $\rho(y)$ is a density function and $\bar{\mu}(dy) := \rho(y) dy$ is a probability measure. Thus, by density of $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \bar{\mu})$, it holds that for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \bar{\mu}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t I_B)(x) \bar{\mu}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} I_B(x) \bar{\mu}(dx) = \bar{\mu}(B).$$

By Definition 3.2, $\bar{\mu}(dy)$ is a stationary measure for p_t . \square

Remark 4.4. *If $b(x) = -x$ and $\sigma(x) = 0$, the above theorem is [1, Proposition 3.2(ii)]. Moreover,*

$$\hat{\rho}(u) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha} C |u|^\alpha\right\}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where C is the same constant as one in Definition 2.5.

5. SPECIAL CASE 2: $g(x, u) = 0$

In the section, we require that $g(x, u) = 0$ in Eq.(5). And then Eq.(5) is changed as the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(x) &= x + \int_0^t b(X_s(x)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s(x)) dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(X_{s-}(x), u) \tilde{N}_k(ds, du), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For Eq.(10), if b, σ, f satisfy some regular conditions, we could know what stationary measures for Eq.(10) are certainly.

Assume:

($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}^1$) b and σ are $(4d + 6)$ -times differentiable with bounded derivatives of all order between 1 and $4d + 6$. Besides, $f(\cdot, u)$ is $(4d + 6)$ -times differentiable, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, \cdot) &\in \bigcap_{2 \leq q < \infty} L^q(\mathbb{U}_0, n) \\ \sup_x |\partial_x^r f(x, \cdot)| &\in \bigcap_{2 \leq q < \infty} L^q(\mathbb{U}_0, n), \quad 1 \leq r \leq 4d + 6, \end{aligned}$$

where the space (\mathbb{U}_0, n) is equipped with a norm and $\partial_x^r f(x, \cdot)$ stands for r order partial derivative of $f(x, \cdot)$ with respect to x .

($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}^2$) There exist three constants $\varepsilon > 0, \eta \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\langle y, \sigma(x) \sigma^T(x) y \rangle \geq |y|^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + |x|^\eta}, \quad |\det\{I + r \partial_x f(x, u)\}| \geq C \text{ for all } r \in [0, 1].$$

Under ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}^1$) and ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}^2$), by [6, Theorem 2-29, p.15], Eq.(10) has a unique solution denoted by $X_t(x)$. Moreover, the transition probability $p_t(x, dy)$ has a density $\rho_t(x, y)$ and $(t, x, y) \mapsto \rho_t(x, y)$ is continuous. Thus, the distribution of X_t has the density $\rho_t(x, y)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_t(x, y) = \rho(y)$, where $\rho(y)$ satisfies*

$$\rho(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(y) dy = 1.$$

Then $\bar{\mu}(dy) := \rho(y) dy$ is a stationary measure for p_t .

Proof. For $t > 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \bar{\mu}(dx) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \rho(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \rho_s(x, x) dx \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, B) \rho_s(x, x) dx = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_B \rho_t(x, y) \rho_s(x, x) dy dx \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_t(x, y) \rho_s(x, x) dx dy = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_B \rho_{s+t}(x, y) dy = \bar{\mu}(B). \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 3.2, $\bar{\mu}(dy)$ is a stationary measure for p_t . □

Remark 5.2. *By the above theorem, we see that if a limiting distribution exists, it must be a stationary measure. This theorem also has a corresponding version in the theory of Markov chains ([10, p.237]).*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, B. Rüdiger and J. Wu: Invariant measures and symmetry property of Lévy type operators, *Potential Analysis*, 13(2000)147-168.
- [2] D. Applebaum: *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, Second Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [3] L. Arnold: *Random Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1998.
- [4] C. Bhan, P. Chankraborty and V. S. Mandrekar: Invariant measure and stability of the solution of a stochastic differential equation driven by a jump Lévy process, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 7(2012)33-52.
- [5] A. G. Bhatt and R. L. Karandikar: Invariant measures and evolution equations for Markov processes characterized via martingale problems, *The Annals of Probability*, 21(1993)2246-2268.
- [6] K. Bichteler, J. B. Gravereaux and J. Jacod: *Malliavin Calculus for Processes with Jumps*, Stochastic Monographs Volume 2, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1987.
- [7] H. Crauel: Markov measures for random dynamical systems, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, 37(1991)153-173.
- [8] R. Z. Khasminski: Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the solution to the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations, *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, No 2, Vol V, 1960, pp 179-196.
- [9] R. Liu and V.S. Mandrekar: em Ultimate boundedness and invariant measures of stochastic evolution equations, *Stochastics and Stochastic reports*, 56(1996)75-101.
- [10] S. P. Meyn and R.L. Tweedie: *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*, Cambridge University Press, 2nd Edition, 2008.
- [11] Y. Miyahara: Ultimate Boundedness of the system governed by stochastic differential equations, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 47(1972)111-144.
- [12] A. Pazy: *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1983.
- [13] H. Qiao and X. Zhang: Homeomorphism flows for non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations with jumps, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 118(2008)2254-2268.
- [14] K. Sato: *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [15] C. Soize: *The Fokker-Planck Equation for Stochastic Dynamical Systems and Its Explicit Steady State Solutions*, World Scientific, Singapore New Jersey London Hong Kong, 1994.
- [16] M. Watanabe: Ergodic property of recurrent diffusion processes, *J. Math. Soc. Jpan*, 10, 3, 1958, pp 272-286.
- [17] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 6th Edition, 1980.
- [18] J. Zabczyk: Stationary distribution for linear equations driven by general noise, *Bulletin of The Polish Academy of Sciences, Mathematics*, 31(1983)197-209.
- [19] M. Zakai: A Lapunov criterion for the existence of stationary probability distribution for systems perturbed by noise, *SIAM. J. Control*, Vol 7, No 3, 1969.