

# Images of quantum representations of mapping class groups and Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphisms

Louis Funar

*Institut Fourier BP 74, UMR 5582  
University of Grenoble I  
38402 Saint-Martin-d'Hères cedex, France  
e-mail: louis.funar@ujf-grenoble.fr*

Wolfgang Pitsch

*Departament de Matemàtiques  
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona  
08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Espana  
e-mail: pitsch@mat.uab.es*

September 22, 2018

## Abstract

We prove that either the images of the mapping class groups by quantum representations are not isomorphic to higher rank lattices or else the kernels have a large number of normal generators. Further we show that the images of the mapping class groups have nontrivial 2-cohomology, at least for small levels. For this purpose we considered a series of quasi-homomorphisms on mapping class groups extending previous work of Barge and Ghys [7] and of Gambaudo and Ghys [25]. These quasi-homomorphisms are pull-backs of the Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphisms on pseudo-unitary groups along quantum representations.

2000 MSC Classification: 57 M 50, 55 N 25, 19 C 09, 20 F 38.

Keywords: Symplectic group, pseudo-unitary group, Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner cocycle, quasi-homomorphism, group homology, mapping class group, central extension, quantum representation.

## 1 Introduction and statements

The main motivation of this paper is to obtain new information about the images of mapping class groups by quantum representations by analyzing their 2-cohomology. McMullen ([40]) addressed the question of the arithmeticity of Burau representations of braid groups at roots of unity and Venkataramana ([46, 47]) solved it affirmatively in the case where the order of the root is bounded by twice the number of strands. Burau representations are particular examples of quantum representations in genus zero. Whether the image of quantum representations of mapping class groups of higher genus is arithmetic or thin seems to be a challenging problem with possible implications for the fine structure of mapping class groups. One additional difficulty in both the present case and the Burau representation at roots of unity of higher order is the absence of unipotents. Another, seemingly unrelated, question which arose recently is the determination of the kernel of the quantum representations at a fixed level, to be compared with the normal subgroup generated by given powers of Dehn twists. It is known that the intersection of infinitely many of these kernels is trivial, according to the asymptotic faithfulness result by Andersen ([2], see also [19, 35] for different proofs). Our aim is to prove first that the two questions above are directly related, in particular arithmeticity implies a large number of normal generators for the kernel, thus many other besides powers of Dehn twists. Our second result shows that in infinitely many cases the real 2-cohomology of the image of the quantum representations is nontrivial and hence these images are not virtually free.

One ingredient in this work is the relation between Burau and quantum representations, which we use to estimate the signature of Hermitian forms invariant by the mapping class groups. As

a consequence, quantum representations are Zariski dense within semi-simple groups with a large number of pseudo-unitary factors (see also [21]). We then apply Matsushima's vanishing theorem to prove that either the images of quantum representations are not higher rank irreducible lattices, or else the number of normal generators of the kernels of the quantum representations are bounded from below by linear functions on the level of the representation. In a second part we consider the family of quasi-homomorphisms on mapping class groups defined in [21], extending and inspired by previous work of Barge and Ghys [7] and of Gambaudo and Ghys [25]. These quasi-homomorphisms are constructed as trivializations of pull-backs of Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner cocycles along quantum representations of mapping class groups  $M_g$  of oriented surfaces of genus  $g \geq 2$  into pseudo-unitary groups. Although Bestvina and Fujiwara proved in [6] that there are uncountably many quasi-homomorphisms on mapping class groups, which could be derived using the action of mapping class groups on curve complexes, it seems that there are very few explicit ones. Explicit computations using arithmetic properties of the signatures from the first part give then the non-triviality of 2-cohomology classes on the image of the quantum representations, at least for small levels.

## 1.1 Quantum representations

In [5], Blanchet, Habegger, Masbaum and Vogel defined the TQFT functor  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , for every integer  $p \geq 3$  and a primitive root of unity  $\zeta$  of order  $2p$ . These TQFT should correspond to the so-called  $SU(2)$ -TQFT, for even  $p$  and to the  $SO(3)$ -TQFT, for odd  $p$  (see also [34] for another version of  $SO(3)$ -TQFT). It is known that these TQFT determine and are determined by a series of projective representations of the mapping class groups.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $p \geq 5$  and  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity.*

1. *The quantum representation  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$  is the projective representation of the mapping class group associated to  $\mathcal{V}_p$ , the TQFT at the root of unity  $\zeta$ .*
2. *We denote by  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}$  the linear representation of the central extension  $\widetilde{M}_g$  of the mapping class groups  $M_g$  of the genus  $g$  closed oriented surface which resolves the projective ambiguity of  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$  (see [26, 39]).*
3. *Furthermore,  $N(g,p)$  denotes the dimension of the space of conformal blocks associated by the TQFT  $\mathcal{V}_p$  to the closed oriented surface of genus  $g$ .*

Recall now that  $M_g$  is perfect when  $g \geq 3$  and that the universal central extension  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  of  $M_g$  is a subgroup of index 12 in the central extension  $\widetilde{M}_g$  (see [39]). We will often consider the restriction of  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}$  to the perfect subgroup  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  since the later has no other central extensions than itself.

*Remark 1.1.* The TQFT  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is unitary in the case  $\zeta = A_p$ , where

$$A_p = \begin{cases} -\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2p}\right), & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{(p+1)\pi i}{2p}\right), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Notice a slight change with respect to the convention [21] where a typo arose in the expression for odd  $p$ .

For prime  $p \geq 5$  we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_p$  the ring of cyclotomic integers  $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ , if  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_p = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{4p}]$ , if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  respectively, where  $\zeta_r$  denotes a primitive  $r$ -th root of unity. The main result of [27] states that there exists a free  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -lattice  $S_{g,p}$  in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of conformal blocks associated by the TQFT  $\mathcal{V}_p$  to the genus  $g$  closed orientable surface and a non-degenerate Hermitian  $\mathcal{O}_p$ -valued form on  $S_{g,p}$  both invariant under the action of  $\widetilde{M}_g$  via the representation  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}$ . Therefore the image of the mapping class group consists of unitary matrices (with respect

to the Hermitian form) with entries in  $\mathcal{O}_p$ . Let  $\mathbb{U}_{p,g}(\mathcal{O}_p)$  and  $P\mathbb{U}_{p,g}(\mathcal{O}_p)$  be the group of all such matrices and respectively its quotient by scalars.

When  $p$  is prime  $p \geq 5$  and  $g \geq 3$ , then it is known that  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,A_p}$  takes values in  $S\mathbb{U}_{p,g}$  (see [16, 24]). It is known that  $S\mathbb{U}_{p,g}(\mathcal{O}_p)$  is an irreducible lattice in a semi-simple algebraic group  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  obtained by the so-called restriction of scalars construction from the totally real cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \bar{\zeta}_p)$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Specifically, the group  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  is a product  $\prod_{\sigma \in S(p)} S\mathbb{U}_{p,g}^\sigma$ . Here  $S(p)$  stands for a set of representatives of the classes of complex embeddings  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{O}_p$  modulo complex conjugacy. The factor  $S\mathbb{U}_{p,g}^\sigma$  is the special unitary group associated to the Hermitian form conjugated by  $\sigma$ , thus corresponding to some Galois conjugate root of unity.

Denote by  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  and  $\rho_p$  the representations  $\prod_{\sigma \in S(p)} \tilde{\rho}_{p,\sigma(A_p)}$  and  $\prod_{\sigma \in S(p)} \rho_{p,\sigma(A_p)}$ , respectively. Notice that the real Lie group  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  is a semi-simple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

In [21] the first author proved that  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)$  is a discrete Zariski dense subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  whose projections onto the simple factors of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  are topologically dense, for  $g \geq 3$  and  $p \geq 5$  prime,  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ .

*Remark 1.2.* 1. Notice that, when  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  the image of  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z}[i])$  and thus it is a discrete Zariski dense subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus we have to replace each factor  $SU(m, n)$  of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  by its complexification  $SL(m + n, \mathbb{C})$ . There are a number of essential changes to be made if we wish to extend Theorem 1.1 to this case, contrary to the situation in [21]. However for Theorem 1.2 the discreteness is not an issue.

2. When  $p = 2r$ , for a prime  $r \geq 5$ , according to ([5], Theorem 1.5) there is an isomorphism of TQFTs between  $\mathcal{V}_{2r}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{V}_r$ . Furthermore the image of the TQFT representation associated to  $\mathcal{V}'_2$  is finite. Thus, the restriction of  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  to the finite index subgroup  $\ker \tilde{\rho}'_2 \subset \widetilde{M}_g$  is the tensor product of a trivial representation and  $\tilde{\rho}_r$ , hence is a direct sum of copies of  $\tilde{\rho}_r$ . The projection on a factor gives us a homomorphism  $\pi : \rho_{2r}(\ker \tilde{\rho}'_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{r,g}$ . Therefore, up to passing to a finite index subgroup of  $\widetilde{M}_g$  the image  $\pi \circ \tilde{\rho}_{2r}$  is a discrete Zariski dense subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_{r,g}$ .

## 1.2 Main results

The questions addressed here concern the description of the image of  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$  and its kernel. The first problem is whether the image of  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$  is of finite index in  $P\mathbb{U}_{p,g}(\mathcal{O}_p)$ , and in particular a higher rank lattice. Let  $M_g[p]$  denote the (normal) subgroup of  $M_g$  generated by the  $p$ -th powers of all Dehn twists. It is known that  $M_g[p] \subset \ker \rho_{p,\zeta}$  and the second problem is whether this inclusion is strict. This was stated in [37] and in unpublished notes by Jørgen Andersen. For instance this inclusion is an equality when the surface is a one-holed torus and the representations are 2-dimensional (see [22, 37]) or a 4-holed sphere (see [3]). Notice that  $M_g[p]$  has a small normally generating system.

Our first result states that whenever  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is isomorphic to a higher rank lattice the group  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  should be the quotient of  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  by a *large* number of relations, growing linearly with  $p$ .

To state this properly we need more notation. Set  $s_{p,g}$  for the number of simple non-compact factors of the semi-simple Lie group  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ . We also write  $s_{p,g}^*$  for the number of such factors of non-zero signature i.e. of the form  $SU(m, n)$  with  $m \neq n$ ,  $mn \neq 0$ . Each simple factor is associated to a primitive root of unity  $\zeta$  of order  $2p$  having positive imaginary part. Those  $\zeta$  corresponding to non-compact simple factors or non-compact with non-zero signature will be called *non-compact roots* and respectively *non-compact roots of non-zero signature*. Denote also by  $r_{p,g}$  the minimal number (possibly infinite) of normal generators of  $\ker \tilde{\rho}_p$  within  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$ , namely the minimum number of relators to be added in order to obtain the quotient  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $g \geq 4$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . Either  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is not isomorphic to a higher*

rank lattice, or else  $r_{p,g} \geq s_{p,g}$ . Moreover,

$$s_{p,g} \geq \left\lceil \frac{g-3}{2(g-1)}p + \frac{3}{2} \right\rceil, \text{ for } p \geq 2g-1, g \geq 4,$$

where  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer greater or equal to  $x$ .

A consequence of our theorem above is the following:

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $g \geq 4$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  such that  $p \geq 2g-1$ . Then the quotient  $M_g/M_g[p]$  is not isomorphic to a higher rank lattice.*

The way one proves this theorem is by finding an upper bound for the dimension of the cohomology group  $H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$  in terms of the number of normal generators. This is carried on in section 2.1. The necessary estimates for  $s_{p,g}$  and the real rank of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  are provided in sections 3.2 and 3.3, after having set the notation for the skein TQFT in section 3.1.

Lower bounds for these dimensions are more difficult to obtain and this is the subject of the second part of the article. Here we use the aforementioned family of quasi-homomorphisms on mapping class groups arising as trivializations of pull-backs of Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner cocycles along quantum representations. We first need an explicit formula for these quasi-homomorphisms, which will be stated in Proposition 4.2 section 4. Then computations of signatures arising in non-unitary TQFTs obtained in section 5.1 for small values of the level provide the necessary ingredients for the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *For  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$  and infinitely many values of  $g$  we have  $\dim H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R}) \geq 1$ .*

Since  $\rho_p(M_g)$  is of finite index within  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$ , from the 5-term exact sequence in cohomology it follows that:

**Corollary 1.2.** *For  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$  and infinitely many values of  $g$  we have  $\dim H^2(\rho_p(M_g), \mathbb{R}) \geq 1$ .*

An immediate consequence is the fact that  $\rho_p(M_g)$  is *not* a virtually free group. This can be improved, as follows. For a group  $\Gamma$  which is virtually torsion-free we denote by  $\text{vcd}(\Gamma)$  its virtual cohomological dimension, i.e. the cohomological dimension of any of its finite index torsion-free subgroups (see [9], VIII.11).

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $p \notin \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12\}$  and  $g \geq 2$ ,  $(p, g) \neq (10, 2)$  then we have:*

$$\text{vcd}(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{\Gamma}_g)) \geq g + \left\lceil \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rceil.$$

*In particular,  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{\Gamma}_g)$  is not virtually a free product of finite groups.*

Moreover the cohomology classes in Theorem 1.2 are not related to known classes on mapping class groups:

**Proposition 1.2.** *For any  $g \geq 2$ , the map induced in cohomology in degree 2*

$$\rho_p^* : H^2(\rho_p(M_g), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(M_g, \mathbb{R})$$

*is the trivial (zero) map.*

*Remark 1.3.* The restriction to  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$  comes from our inability to obtain modular properties for the signatures of TQFTs for general  $p$ . A general theory for these is beyond the scope of this paper and partial results in this direction will appear in [12]. We expect the result to hold for all primes  $p$ . However these cases with small  $p$  are already interesting since the representations  $\tilde{\rho}_p$  are known to be Zariski dense in the corresponding semi-simple Lie groups  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$ . Our method could improve this lower bound for specific values of  $p$  and  $g$ , but couldn't do better than  $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil + 1$  without additional information about the group  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$ . The arithmetic progressions above are rather explicit, for instance  $g \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$  is convenient for  $p \in \{5, 7\}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We are indebted to C. Blanchet, M. Brandenbursky, F. Costantino, F. Dahmani, K. Fujiwara, E. Ghys, V. Guirardel, G. Kuperberg, G. Masbaum, G. McShane, M. Sapir, and A. Zuk for useful discussions and advice and to the referee for several suggestions which considerably improved the presentation of this paper. The first author was partially supported by the ANR 2011 BS 01 020 01 ModGroup and the second author was supported by the FEDER/MEC grants MTM2010-20692 and MTM2013-42293.

## 2 Quasi-homomorphisms on mapping class group quotients

### 2.1 Restriction homomorphisms and proof of Theorem 1.1

**Proposition 2.1.** *We have  $\dim H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R}) \leq r_{p,g}$ , if  $g \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* The 5-term exact sequence in cohomology associated to the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \ker \tilde{\rho}_p \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_g^u \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u) \rightarrow 1,$$

gives us:

$$0 = H^1(\widetilde{M}_g^u, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u} \xrightarrow{\iota} H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_g^u, \mathbb{R}) = 0.$$

By exactness of the sequence above  $\iota$  is an isomorphism and hence identifies  $\text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u}$  with  $H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$ . The next lemma shows that  $\dim \text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u} \leq r_{p,g}$  and Proposition 2.1 follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $r_{p,g}$  is finite and let  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r_{p,g}}\}$  be a minimal system of normal generators for  $\ker \tilde{\rho}_p$  within  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$ . Then the evaluation homomorphism  $E : \text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_{p,g}}$ , given by  $E(f) = (f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_n))$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Any element  $x \in \ker \tilde{\rho}_p$  is a product  $x = \prod_i g_i a_i g_i^{-1}$ , for some  $g_i \in \widetilde{M}_g^u$ . Since  $f \in \text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u}$  is conjugacy invariant we have  $f(x) = \sum_i f(g_i a_i g_i^{-1}) = \sum_i f(a_i)$  and the Lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $s_{p,g} > r_{p,g}$  then  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is not a lattice in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall from [21] that  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  is a real semi-simple linear algebraic semi-simple group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  is obtained by restriction of scalars from an anisotropic unitary group it follows that all elements of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z})$  are semi-simple, as being obtained as Galois conjugates of unitary and hence diagonalizable matrices. Therefore, by Borel's Theorem,  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a cocompact lattice in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ . This was also noticed in [38].

We know as part of Matsushima's vanishing theorem that for cocompact lattices  $\Gamma$  in semi-simple Lie groups  $\mathbb{G}$  the restriction homomorphism  $H^j(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^j(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  is an isomorphism as long as  $j \leq \text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G} - 1$  (see [8], ch. 7, Prop. 4.3). We will show below in Proposition 3.2, section 3.3 that  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is of rank at least 3 for any odd  $p \geq 5$ , and hence  $H^2(\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  is an isomorphism for any lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Now,  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is a product of  $s_{p,g}$  pseudo-unitary groups of type  $SU(m, n)$ , each factor being a simple group of isometries of some irreducible Hermitian space. Then by [31] we have that  $H^2(\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{s_{p,g}}$  is the vector space generated by the set of Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner classes of the simple factors. In particular, if  $s_{p,g} > r_{p,g}$  then the restriction map  $H^2(\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$  cannot be an isomorphism by dimensional reasons and so  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  can not be isomorphic to a lattice in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Assume that  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is isomorphic to a higher rank irreducible lattice. For  $p$  as in the hypothesis one knows that  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, by Margulis super-rigidity theorem (see [36]) and the arithmeticity of lattices in higher rank Lie groups there exists a finite index subgroup of  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  which is a lattice in a product  $P$  of simple factors of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore the Zariski closure of  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is contained in the subgroup  $P$ . On the other hand, as observed before,  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  and hence  $P = \mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ , so that  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  must be a lattice in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ . Now Proposition 2.2 settles the first part of the Theorem. We postpone the proof of the lower bound  $s_{p,g} \geq \left\lceil \frac{g-3}{2(g-1)}p + \frac{3}{2} \right\rceil$ , for  $p \geq 2g + 1$ , until the next section 3.2, see Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.1.* First,  $M_g[p]$  is normally generated by the  $p$ -th powers of Dehn twists along a set of curves containing one simple closed curve for each integer  $1 \leq h \leq \frac{g}{2}$  which is bounding a sub-surface of genus  $h$  along with one non-separating simple curve. This gives an upper bound of  $t_g = 1 + \left\lceil \frac{g}{2} \right\rceil$  for the number of normal generators of  $M_g[p]$ , which is independent on  $p$ .

Assume that  $M_g/M_g[p]$  is a higher rank lattice  $\Gamma$  in the semi-simple Lie group  $H$ . We know that there exists a surjection of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\rho_p(M_g)$  which is a discrete Zariski dense subgroup of  $P\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$ . By Margulis super-rigidity theorem (see [36]) there exists a surjective continuous homomorphism  $H \rightarrow P\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  covering this surjection. Therefore the number of virtual Hermitian simple non-compact factors of  $H$  is at least the number  $s_{p,g}$  associated to  $P\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$ .

The proof of Proposition 2.2 applied to the surjection  $M_g \rightarrow M_g/M_g[p]$  shows that

$$\dim H^2(M_g/M_g[p], \mathbb{R}) \leq t_g.$$

Finally, by Matsushima's vanishing theorem we also have  $\dim H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \geq s_{p,g}$ . This leads to a contradiction for  $p$  large enough, as stated.  $\square$

### 3 Estimates concerning the TQFT Hermitian form

#### 3.1 The setting of the skein TQFT

We briefly review the properties of the TQFT  $\mathcal{V}_p$  and refer to [5] for more details. A TQFT is a functor from the category of surfaces into the category of finite dimensional vector spaces. Specifically, the objects of the first category are closed oriented surfaces endowed with colored banded points and morphisms between two objects are cobordisms decorated by uni-trivalent ribbon graphs compatible with the banded points. A banded point on a surface is a point with a tangent vector at that point, or equivalently a germ of an oriented interval embedded in the surface. There is a corresponding surface with colored boundary obtained by deleting a small neighborhood of the banded points and letting the boundary circles inherit the colors of the respective points.

The vector space associated by the functor  $\mathcal{V}_p$  to a surface is called the *space of conformal blocks*. Let  $\Sigma_g$  denote the genus  $g$  closed orientable surface,  $H_g$  be a genus  $g$  handlebody with  $\partial H_g = \Sigma_g$ . Assume given a finite set  $\mathcal{Y}$  of banded points on  $\Sigma_g$ . Let  $G$  be a uni-trivalent ribbon graph embedded in  $H_g$  in such a way that  $H_g$  retracts onto  $G$ , its univalent vertices are the banded points  $\mathcal{Y}$  and it has no other intersections with  $\Sigma_g$ .

For an odd number  $p \geq 5$ , called the *level* of the TQFT, we consider the *set of colors* in level  $p$  to be  $\{0, 2, 4, \dots, p-3\}$ . An edge coloring of  $G$  is called *p-admissible* if the triangle inequality is satisfied at any trivalent vertex of  $G$  and the sum of the three colors around a vertex is bounded by  $2(p-2)$ . There is a similar description of *p-admissibility* for even  $p$ .

Fix a coloring of the banded points  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then there exists a basis of the space of conformal blocks associated to the surface  $(\Sigma_g, \mathcal{Y})$  with the colored banded points (or the corresponding surface with colored boundary) which is indexed by the set of all *p-admissible* colorings of  $G$  extending the boundary coloring. We denote by  $W_g$  the vector space associated to the closed surface  $\Sigma_g$  without banded points, or equivalently, where all banded points are given the color 0.

In fact an admissible  $p$ -coloring of  $G$  provides an element of the skein module  $S_\zeta(H_g)$  of the handlebody evaluated at a primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . This skein element is obtained by cabling the edges of  $G$  by the Jones-Wenzl idempotents prescribed by the coloring. Let  $\overline{H}_g$  denote the complementary handlebody in the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . Then there is a sesquilinear form:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : S_\zeta(H_g) \times S_\zeta(\overline{H}_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x \sqcup y \rangle.$$

Here  $x \sqcup y$  is the element of  $S_\zeta(S^3)$  obtained by the disjoint union of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H_g \cup \overline{H}_g = S^3$ , and  $\langle \cdot \rangle : S_\zeta(S^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the Kauffman bracket invariant.

Eventually the space of conformal blocks  $W_g$  is the quotient  $S_\zeta / \ker \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by the left kernel of the sesquilinear form above. It follows that  $W_g$  is endowed with an induced *Hermitian form*  $H_\zeta$ . The projections of skein elements associated to the  $p$ -admissible colorings of a trivalent graph  $G$  as above form an orthogonal basis of  $W_g$  with respect to  $H_\zeta$ .

Let  $G' \subset G$  be a uni-trivalent subgraph whose degree one vertices are colored, corresponding to a sub-surface  $\Sigma'$  of  $\Sigma_g$  with colored boundary. The projections in  $W_g$  of skein elements associated to the  $p$ -admissible colorings of  $G'$  form an orthogonal basis of the space of conformal blocks associated to the surface  $\Sigma'$  with colored boundary components.

There is a geometric action of the mapping class groups of the handlebodies  $H_g$  and  $\overline{H}_g$  respectively on their skein modules and hence on the space of conformal blocks. Moreover, these actions extend to the projective action  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$  of  $M_g$  on  $W_g$  respecting the Hermitian form  $H_\zeta$ . Notice that the mapping class group of an essential (i.e. without annuli or disks complements) sub-surface  $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma_g$  is a subgroup of  $M_g$  which preserves the subspace of conformal blocs associated to  $\Sigma'$  with colored boundary. This kind of restriction to sub-surfaces is an essential ingredient in the next section.

The functor  $\mathcal{V}_p$  associates to a handlebody  $H_g$  the projection of the skein element corresponding to the trivial coloring of the trivalent graph  $G$  by 0. The invariant associated to a closed 3-manifold is given by pairing the two vectors associated to handlebodies in a Heegaard decomposition of some genus  $g$  and taking into account the twisting by the gluing mapping class action on  $W_g$ .

One should notice that the skein TQFT  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is unitary, in the sense that  $H_\zeta$  is a positive definite Hermitian form when  $\zeta = A_p$ , as chosen in the introduction. The main concern of the present article is the case of a general primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity, in which case the isometries of  $H_\zeta$  form a pseudo-unitary group.

### 3.2 Estimations on $s_{p,g}$

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $g \geq 4$  and  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , then*

$$s_{p,g} \geq \left\lceil \frac{g-3}{2(g-1)}p + \frac{3}{2} \right\rceil, \text{ for } p \geq 2g+1,$$

where  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer greater or equal to  $x$ .

*Proof.* This statement is essentially combinatorial, as the Hermitian form  $H_\zeta$  on the space of conformal blocks is given rather explicitly in [5] in its diagonal form. Nevertheless the combinatorial-arithmetical problem of counting the roots of unity for which the entries of  $H_\zeta$  are all positive seems rather complicated. We propose here an alternative way to bound from below  $s_{p,g}$  by restricting the problem from mapping class groups to braids, where computations are immediate. Although not sharp our estimates are linear in  $p$ .

There is an obvious injection of the pure braid group  $PB_{g-1}$  on  $(g-1)$  strands into  $M_g$ , when  $g \geq 3$ . Specifically, if the  $g$ -holed sphere is embedded in  $\Sigma_g$ , in such a way that its complement consists of  $g$  one-holed tori, then the map induced at the level of their mapping class groups is injective. Now, the pure mapping class group of the  $(g-1)$ -holed disk is an extension of  $PB_{g-1}$

by the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^{g-1}$  of Dehn twists along  $(g-1)$  boundary components. This extension splits non-canonically, thus providing an embedding of  $PB_{g-1}$  into  $M_g$ .

The restriction of the representation  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$  of  $M_g$  to  $PB_{g-1}$  is not irreducible. Set  $W_{0,g}$  for the space of conformal blocks associated to the disk with  $(g-1)$  holes, whose boundary circles are labeled by the colors  $(2g-4, 2, 2, \dots, 2)$ , the first label corresponding to the disk boundary. In order to admit an extension to a  $p$ -admissible coloring we need to impose the condition  $p \geq 2g-1$ . Then the restriction  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{PB_{g-1}}$  leaves invariant the subspace  $W_{0,g} \subset W_g$ . Moreover this representation naturally extends to one of the full braid group  $B_{g-1}$ , since the colors of  $(g-1)$  boundary circles of the sub-surface coincide. Eventually the projective representation of  $B_{g-1}$  lifts to a linear representation of  $B_{g-1}$ . Indeed, central extensions by  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the braid groups  $B_{g-1}$  are trivial, as Arnold ([4]) proved that  $H^2(B_n, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . We will still denote this linear lift by  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$ .

Recall now that the (reduced) Burau representation  $\beta_k : B_k \rightarrow GL(k-1, \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}])$ , for  $k \geq 3$ , is defined on the standard generators  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}$  of the braid group  $B_k$  on  $k$ -strands by the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_q(g_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} -q & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{k-3}, \\ \beta_q(g_j) &= \mathbf{1}_{j-2} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q & 0 \\ 0 & -q & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{k-j-2}, \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq k-2, \\ \beta_q(g_{k-1}) &= \mathbf{1}_{k-3} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q \\ 0 & -q \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we obtain a representation  $\beta_k(q)$  with values in  $GL(k-1, \mathbb{C})$ . The representations  $\beta_k(q)$  are irreducible unless  $q$  is a nontrivial  $k$ -th root of unity, in which case it has a  $(k-2)$ -dimensional irreducible summand denoted  $\hat{\beta}_k(q)$ . Following Formanek (see [17]) we call a complex representation of  $B_k$  of *Burau-type* if it is isomorphic to the tensor product of  $\beta_k(q)$  (or  $\hat{\beta}_k(q)$ ) with some 1-dimensional representation. The later are all of the form  $\chi(y)$ , where  $\chi(y)(g_j) = y \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , for  $j \leq k-1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The representation  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$  on  $W_{0,g}$  is of Burau-type.*

*Proof.* This is known to be true for  $g = 4, 5$  (see e.g. [20, 23]). By induction on  $g$  one shows that  $\dim W_{0,g} = g-2$ . Explicit computations as those in [20] (for even  $p$ ) show that the elements  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}(g_i)$  have only two nontrivial eigenvalues. Up to rescaling the images of  $g_i$  (i.e. twisting by a one-dimensional representation) the two nontrivial eigenvalues are 1 and  $-\zeta^8$ . Also the image of  $g_i$  is a pseudo-reflection.

Formanek proved in ([17], Theorem 10, 22) that irreducible representations of  $B_{g-1}$  of dimension at most  $g-2$  are either 1-dimensional or of Burau-type, if  $g \geq 8$  or  $g \geq 6$  and the image of  $g_i$  is a pseudo-reflection. When  $p \geq 2g-1$  is prime,  $\hat{\beta}_k(q)$  (with  $q^{g-1} = 1$ ) cannot be a summand of  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$ , because one eigenvalue of  $g_i$  is not a  $(g-1)$ -th root of unity.

Notice that  $\rho_{p,\zeta}$ , and hence  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$ , is semi-simple because it is Galois conjugate to the unitary representation  $\rho_{p,A_p}$ .

If  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$  were not irreducible then it would split as a direct sum of 1-dimensional representations. This is a contradiction, as the restriction of  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$  to  $B_3 \subset B_{g-1}$  is of Burau-type.

Therefore, up to twisting by some  $\chi(y)$  (the explicit value of  $y$  is not needed)  $\rho_{p,\zeta}|_{B_{g-1}}$  is equivalent to the Burau representation  $\beta_{q_p}$  of  $B_{g-1}$  at the root of unity  $q_p$ , where  $q_p$  is given by  $q_p = \zeta^8$ , for odd  $p$ .  $\square$

Now, for  $k \geq 3$  the Burau representation  $\beta_k(q)$  of  $B_k$  has an invariant Hermitian form defined by Squier in [43]. Squier's original Hermitian form is degenerate when  $q$  is a root of unity of order  $n \leq k$ . A slightly modified version  $H_q^S$  of this form can be found in [40, 48], where it is shown that



it is non-degenerate unless  $q$  is a  $k$ -th root of unity. Since a Burau-type representation is irreducible it admits a unique invariant Hermitian form, up to a real scalar.

In particular, the restriction of  $H_\zeta$  to the space of conformal blocks is a real multiple of  $H_\zeta^S$ . This also follows from stronger results from [18, 33] concerning the density of images of Burau-type representation.

The signature of the form  $H_q^S$  is given in ([40], Corollary. 3.2). Squier's form is definite (either positive or negative) if and only if  $\arg(q_p) \in \left(-\frac{2\pi}{g-1}, \frac{2\pi}{g-1}\right)$  (see also [1], Lemma 9). If we set  $\zeta = \exp\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{p}\right)$ , then it suffices to restrict to those integral  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}$ . This condition on  $\arg(q_p)$  amounts to counting all such integers  $k$  for which in addition

$$2s\pi - \frac{2\pi}{g-1} \leq \frac{4(2k+1)\pi}{p} \leq 2s\pi \frac{2\pi}{g-1}, \text{ where } s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

The number of such integers is at most  $\frac{p}{g-1} - \frac{3}{2}$ .

In [21] the first author proved that the factors of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$ , for  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  are in one-one correspondence with the  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  primitive  $2p$ -th roots of unity up to conjugacy. If we discard the compact ones we derive that the number of non-compact factors in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  is at least  $\frac{g-3}{2(g-1)}p + \frac{3}{2}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* It seems that there are precisely two conjugate values for which  $H_\zeta$  is positive when  $p \geq 5$  is odd prime and four values (obtained by conjugacy or changing the sign) when  $p$  is twice an odd prime, respectively, unless  $H_\zeta$  is totally positive. A similar statement might hold for all (not necessarily prime) odd large enough  $p$ .

*Remark 3.2.* Similar estimates hold true for  $g \in \{2, 3\}$ , by using the homomorphisms  $PB_3 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $PB_4 \rightarrow M_3$  from [23]. We skip the details.

### 3.3 Estimates for the rank of $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For  $g \geq 2$ , prime  $p \geq 7$  and  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  the real rank of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is at least 2. Furthermore, for  $g \geq 4$  and odd  $p \geq 5$  each simple non-compact factor of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  has rank at least 2. Moreover, the real rank of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is at least  $\left(\left\lceil \frac{g-3}{2(g-1)}p + \frac{3}{2} \right\rceil\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{g-3}$ , for  $g \geq 4$ ,  $p \geq 2g+1$  and  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W_g^\pm(\zeta)$  be a maximal positive/negative subspace of the space  $W_g$  of conformal blocks in genus  $g$ , for the Hermitian form  $H_\zeta$ . Consider a separating curve  $\gamma$  on the closed orientable surface  $\Sigma_g$  whose complementary sub-surfaces have genus  $g-1$  and 1 respectively. If we label  $\gamma$  by 0 then the spaces of conformal blocks associated to these two sub-surfaces are isometrically identified with the spaces of conformal blocks of the closed surfaces obtained by capping off the boundary components. Therefore we have natural isometric embeddings  $W_{g-1} \otimes W_1 \hookrightarrow W_g$ . It is well-known that  $W_1 = W_1^+(\zeta)$  is positive for any  $\zeta$ . Therefore we obtain the following isometric embeddings:  $W_{g-1}^+(\zeta) \otimes W_1 \hookrightarrow W_g^+(\zeta)$  and  $W_{g-1}^-(\zeta) \otimes W_1 \hookrightarrow W_g^-(\zeta)$ . In particular, we have for odd  $p$

$$\dim W_g^+(\zeta) \geq (\dim W_1)^g = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^g.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\zeta$  is such that  $W_3^+(\zeta) = W_3$ , then  $W_g^+(\zeta) = W_g$ , i.e. the simple factor associated to  $\zeta$  is compact.*

*Proof.* For a  $p$ -admissible coloring  $X$  of the trivalent graph  $G$  with  $g$  loops we denote by the same letter  $X$  the corresponding vector of the basis of  $W_g$  defined in section 3.1 above. For a vertex  $v$  we denote by  $a_v, b_v, c_v$  the colors of the three edges incident to  $v$  and for any edge  $e$  we denote by  $c_e$

the color of the edge  $e$ , as prescribed by  $X$ . The Hermitian norm of such a vector  $X$  was computed in ([5], 4.11), as follows:

$$H_\zeta(X, X) = \eta^{g-1} \prod_{v \in V(G)} \langle a_v, b_v, c_v \rangle \cdot \prod_{e \in E(G)} \langle c_e \rangle^{-1},$$

where  $\eta$  is a constant independent of the genus,  $V(G)$  denotes the set of vertices and  $E(G)$  the set of edges of the graph  $G$ . The precise values of the symbols  $\eta$ ,  $\langle a, b, c \rangle$  and  $\langle a \rangle$  in terms of quantum numbers are given in [5] but they will not be explicitly needed in the sequel. We only need to know that all of them are real numbers.

Observe also that the positivity of the Hermitian form in genus 3 implies the positivity for genus 2, as well. Now, there are two graphs with two loops and without leaves (degree one vertices), the theta graph and the graph made of two loops joined by a segment. The above formula for a vector corresponding to a coloring of the theta graph shows that:

$$\eta \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle c \rangle > 0,$$

for any  $p$ -admissible triple  $a, b, c$  at a vertex. Therefore all symbols  $\langle a \rangle$  have the same sign as  $\eta$ . Using the other graph with two loops we find that

$$\langle a, a, b \rangle \langle c, c, b \rangle > 0,$$

for every  $p$ -admissible coloring for which the symbols above are defined. Thus the sign of  $\langle a, a, b \rangle$  is  $\epsilon_b \in \{-1, +1\}$  and it only depends on  $b$ . Consider next a graph made of three loops joined together by means of a tree with one vertex and three edges, each edge having its endpoint on one loop. Take an arbitrary  $p$ -admissible triple of colors  $a, b, c$  for the three edges of the tree and color the loops in a  $p$ -admissible way. This is always possible, no matter how we chose the  $p$ -admissible triple  $a, b, c$ . The formula above implies that:

$$\langle a, b, c \rangle \epsilon_a \epsilon_b \epsilon_c > 0.$$

But now it is immediate that for any vector  $X$  corresponding to a colored trivalent graph without leaves with  $g \geq 2$  loops we have  $H_\zeta(X, X) > 0$ . This implies that the Hermitian form on every space of conformal blocks associated to a closed orientable surface is positive definite.  $\square$

It follows that either  $W_g^+(\zeta) = W_g$  is positive or else

$$\dim W_g^-(\zeta) \geq (\dim W_1)^{g-3} \dim W_3^-(\zeta) \geq \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{g-3}.$$

The two formulas above show that the rank of each simple non-compact factor of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}$  is at least  $\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{g-3}$ .

On the other hand if  $p$  is odd and  $(p, g) \neq (2, 5)$  then, by direct calculation one obtains that the Hermitian form associated to the 1-holed torus with the boundary circle colored by 2 is not totally positive. The argument above implies that the real rank of  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is at least 2. A similar statement is valid for even  $p \geq 14$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* When  $g = 2$  and  $p = 7$  the group  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is the product of two pseudo-unitary groups  $SU(11, 3) \times SU(10, 4)$ . When  $g = 3$  and  $p = 7$  the group  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R})$  is the product of two pseudo-unitary groups  $SU(58, 40) \times SU(44, 54)$ .

### 3.4 Proofs of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2

*Proof of Proposition 1.1.* Let  $\Sigma_{g,n}$  denote the compact orientable surface of genus  $g$  with  $n$  boundary components and  $M_{g,n}$  the mapping class group of  $\Sigma_{g,n}$ . Then  $\Sigma_g$  decomposes into  $g + \left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor$  pieces with disjoint interiors among which are  $g$  sub-surfaces  $\Sigma_{1,1}$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor$  sub-surfaces  $\Sigma_{0,4}$ , and  $g - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \right\rfloor \in \{0, 1\}$  pieces homeomorphic to  $\Sigma_{0,3}$ .

If  $p \notin \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12\}$ ,  $g \geq 2$  and  $(p, g) \neq (10, 2)$ , then every subgroup of the form  $\rho_p(M_{1,1})$  and  $\rho_p(M_{0,4})$  associated to a sub-surface  $\Sigma_{1,1}$  or  $\Sigma_{0,4}$  of  $\Sigma_g$  contains a free non-abelian group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  on two generators (see [22, 23]). In particular, we find that  $\mathbb{F}_2^{g + \left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor} \subset \rho_p(M_g)$ . Recall that  $\text{vcd}$  is increasing with respect to the inclusion of groups (see [9], chap VIII, 11, Ex.1, Prop. 2.4). Thus

$$\text{vcd}(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)) \geq \text{vcd}(\mathbb{F}_2^{g + \left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor}) \geq \text{vcd}(\mathbb{Z}^{g + \left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor}) = g + \left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Notice that we also have, by the same argument, that  $\text{vcd}(\rho_p(M_g)) \geq g + \left\lfloor \frac{g-2}{2} \right\rfloor$ . Observe that torsion-free nilpotent subgroups of  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)$  are abelian, because  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z})$  contains no nontrivial unipotents, so that they cannot be used to get better lower bounds.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* When  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\text{vcd}(\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z}))$  is the dimension of the corresponding non-compact symmetric space, since lattices are cocompact. If  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)$  were of infinite index in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z})$  then its top dimensional cohomology would vanish (see [9], VIII, Prop. 8.1). Therefore  $\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)$  has finite index in  $\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if  $\text{vcd}(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g)) = \text{vcd}(\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Compare also with ([44]), where the author proved that passing to an infinite index subgroup of a Poincaré duality group strictly decreases the cohomological dimension.

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* Since  $\rho_p(M_g)$  is of finite index in  $\rho_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$ , the map  $\rho_p^* : H^2(\rho_p(M_g), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(M_g, \mathbb{R})$  factors through  $\rho_p^* : H^2(\rho_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(\widetilde{M}_g^u, \mathbb{R})$ , but the group  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  has no non-split extensions, so this last cohomology group is trivial.  $\square$

## 4 Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphisms on mapping class groups

### 4.1 Quasi-homomorphisms on $\widetilde{M}_g$

Guichardet-Wigner [31] and Dupont [15] introduced explicit bounded continuous cocycles  $c_{SU(m,n)}$ , whose classes generate  $H_b^2(SU(m, n); \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  and could be interpreted in terms of the symplectic area of triangles. Let  $K$  be the maximal compact subgroup  $S(U(m) \times U(n))$ ,  $A$  the group of unitary diagonal matrices with real entries and  $N$  the group of unitary unipotent matrices in  $SU(m, n)$ . Corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition  $SU(m, n) = KAN$ , we denote by  $x = k(x)a(x)n(x)$  the Iwasawa decomposition of the element  $x \in SU(m, n)$ . The construction due to Guichardet and Wigner in ([31], Theorem 1) is as follows:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebra of the compact group  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $SU(m, n)$ . Consider a smooth function  $v : SU(m, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *the restriction of  $v$  to the maximal compact  $K$  is a nontrivial morphism of  $K$  into  $U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ ;*
2. *the restriction of  $v$  to  $\exp \mathfrak{p}$  is strictly positive and  $K$ -invariant;*
3.  *$v(k \cdot \exp p) = v(k)v(\exp p)$ , for any  $k \in K$  and  $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ .*

Then there exists a unique smooth 2-cocycle  $c_v : SU(m, n) \times SU(m, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_v(g_1, g_2)) = \arg(v(g_1g_2)^{-1} \cdot v(g_1) \cdot v(g_2)), \text{ and } c_v(1, 1) = 0$$

Moreover, the class of  $c_v$  generates the Borel cohomology group  $H^2(SU(m, n), \mathbb{R})$ .

An example is the function  $v_0 : K \rightarrow U(1)$  given by  $v_0(x) = \det(x_+)$ , where  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_+ & 0 \\ 0 & x_- \end{pmatrix} \in S(U(m) \times U(n))$  and  $x_+$  is the  $U(m)$  component of  $x$ . Setting  $v_0(\exp p) = 1$ , and  $v_0(k \cdot \exp p) = v_0(k)v_0(\exp p)$ , extends  $v_0$  to a function on all of  $SU(m, n)$  with values in  $U(1)$  that satisfies the conditions stated in Proposition 4.1. We therefore have the associated continuous bounded cocycle denoted  $c_{SU(m, n)}$ . We will later normalize the cocycle  $c_{SU(m, n)}$  to a cocycle whose class is the generator of the image of  $H^2(SU(m, n), \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H^2(SU(m, n), \mathbb{R})$ . We also consider the unique continuous lift  $\Phi : \widetilde{SU(m, n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $v_0$  to the universal covering, which is determined by the condition  $\Phi(1) = 0$ .

Let  $G$  be a topological group. The ordinary cohomology group  $H^2(G, \mathbb{R})$  is usually an extremely large group, for instance for non-compact Lie groups its dimension is typically uncountable (see [41]). This is not anymore the case for the continuous cohomology of Lie groups and in particular for their *bounded* cohomology group  $H_b^2(G; \mathbb{R})$ . There is a canonical comparison map  $H_b^2(G; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{R})$  whose kernel is described by quasi-homomorphisms: a map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *quasi-homomorphism* if  $\sup_{a, b \in G} |\partial\varphi(a, b)| < \infty$ , where  $\partial\varphi(a, b) = \varphi(ab) - \varphi(a) - \varphi(b)$  is the boundary 2-cocycle. The quasi-homomorphism  $\varphi$  is *homogeneous* if  $\varphi(a^n) = n\varphi(a)$ , for every  $a \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let us denote the vector space of quasi-homomorphisms by  $QH(G)$  and its quotient by the subspace generated by the bounded functions and the group homomorphisms by  $\widetilde{QH}(G)$ . It is known that there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{QH}(G) \rightarrow H_b^2(G; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{R}).$$

Bestvina and Fujiwara proved in [6] that  $\widetilde{QH}(M_g)$ , and hence  $\widetilde{QH}(\widetilde{M}_g)$  has uncountably many generators.

Let  $g \geq 3$ ,  $p \geq 5$  be a prime number and  $SU(m, n)$  be the non-compact simple factor of  $\mathbb{G}_{p, g}(\mathbb{R})$  corresponding to the primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Since the universal extension  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  is perfect and has no nontrivial extensions, we have an isomorphism  $\widetilde{QH}(\widetilde{M}_g^u) \simeq H_b^2(\widetilde{M}_g^u, \mathbb{R})$ . As  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  is of finite index in  $\widetilde{M}_g$ , we also have  $\widetilde{QH}(\widetilde{M}_g) \simeq H_b^2(\widetilde{M}_g, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus, there exists a quasi-homomorphism  $L_\zeta : \widetilde{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , unique up to a bounded quantity, verifying

$$\partial L_\zeta = \widetilde{\rho}_{p, \zeta}^*(c_{SU(m, n)}).$$

Let  $\overline{L}_\zeta$  denote the unique homogeneous quasi-homomorphism in the class of  $L_\zeta$ . To give an explicit formula for the quasi-homomorphism  $\overline{L}_\zeta : \widetilde{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have to introduce the Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphism  $\Phi$  on the universal covering  $\widetilde{SU(m, n)}$  of  $SU(m, n)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphism  $\Phi : \widetilde{SU(m, n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is a quasi-homomorphism satisfying:

$$\Phi(\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}) - \Phi(\widetilde{x}) - \Phi(\widetilde{y}) = c_{SU(m, n)}(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in SU(m, n)$  and their arbitrary lifts  $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{SU(m, n)}$ .

The quasi-homomorphism is *normalized* if

$$\Phi(Tz) = \Phi(z) + 1, \text{ for } z \in \widetilde{SU(m, n)},$$

where  $T$  denotes the generator of  $\ker(\widetilde{SU(m,n)} \rightarrow SU(m,n))$ . All Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphisms are at bounded distance from each other and the unique homogeneous normalized Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphism is given by  $\overline{\Phi}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(z^n)/n$ . In fact, it was noticed by Barge and Ghys in [7, Remarque fondamentale 2] that there is a unique homogeneous normalized quasi-homomorphism on any central extension of a uniformly perfect group, in particular on  $\widetilde{SU(m,n)}$ . The homogeneous quasi-homomorphism associated to a continuous quasi-homomorphism is also continuous, by the result of Shtern (see [42], Proposition 1), thus  $\overline{\Phi}$  is continuous.

Barge and Ghys gave a formula for the homogeneous symplectic quasi-homomorphism in ([7], Theorem 2.10). We will need in the sequel the following extension to the pseudo-unitary case:

**Proposition 4.2.** *The homogeneous quasi-homomorphism  $\overline{L}_\zeta$  is given by the formula:*

$$\overline{L}_\zeta(x) = \overline{\Phi}(\widehat{\rho}_{p,\zeta}(x))$$

where  $\widehat{\rho}_{p,\zeta} : \widetilde{M}_g^u \rightarrow \widetilde{SU(m,n)}$  is the unique lift of  $\widetilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}(x)$  to  $\widetilde{SU(m,n)}$ . Moreover, we have:

$$\overline{L}_\zeta(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \sum_{\lambda \in S(\widetilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}(x))} n^+(\lambda) \arg(\lambda) \right) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $S(u)$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $u$  and  $n^+(\lambda)$  is the positive multiplicity of  $\lambda$  (see section 4.4 for details).

## 4.2 Non-triviality of the quasi-homomorphisms space

**Proposition 4.3.** *If  $s_{p,g}^* > r_{p,g}$  then  $\widetilde{QH}(\widetilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u))$  cannot be trivial.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $i_{p,\zeta} : \widetilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}(\widetilde{M}_g^u) \rightarrow PU(m,n)$  the obvious inclusion.

In ([10], Theorem 1.3) Burger and Iozzi proved that for any discrete group  $\Gamma$ , two Zariski dense representations  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow SU(m,n)$ , with  $1 \leq m < n$ , are non-conjugate if and only if the corresponding cohomology classes  $\rho^*(c_{SU(m,n)}) \in H_b^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$  are distinct. Moreover, if distinct, then these classes are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent.

Following [21], when  $\zeta$  runs over the non-compact primitive roots of non-zero signature and positive imaginary part the bounded classes  $i_{p,\zeta}^*(c_{SU(m,n)}) \in H_b^2(\widetilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\widetilde{QH}(\widetilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u))$  were trivial, then the cohomology classes  $i_{p,\zeta}^*(c_{SU(m,n)}) \in H^2(\widetilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$  would also be independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . But these are integral classes, i.e. they lie in the image of  $H^2(\widetilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{Z})$ , because they are pull-backs of integral classes from  $H^2(\mathbb{G}_{p,g}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, they would be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . In other words we would produce  $s_{p,g}^*$  linearly independent classes living within the vector space  $\text{Hom}(\ker \widetilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u}$  which is of dimension at most  $r_{p,g}$ . This contradiction proves the claim.  $\square$

*Remark 4.1.* If  $\widetilde{QH}(\rho_p(M_g))$  were infinite dimensional then  $\rho_p(M_g)$  would not be boundedly generated.

## 4.3 Proof of Proposition 4.2

We reduce the problem to the computations made earlier by Barge and Ghys in [7] in the symplectic case. Given an integer  $n \geq 1$ , let  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$  denote the real symplectic group of  $2n \times 2n$  matrices. There are two natural homomorphisms  $i : SU(m,n) \hookrightarrow Sp(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})$  and  $j : Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow SU(n,n)$ , and these lift uniquely to continuous group homomorphisms  $\widetilde{SU}(m,n) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{Sp}(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})$  and  $\widetilde{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{SU}(n,n)$ . Let us set in this section  $\Phi_{SU(m,n)}$  for the homogeneous quasi-homomorphism  $\overline{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi_{Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})}$  for its symplectic cousin. Standard arguments show that:

**Proposition 4.4.** 1. The unitary homogeneous quasi-homomorphism  $\Phi_{SU(n,n)}$  restricts along the embedding  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow SU(n, n)$  to the symplectic homogeneous quasi-homomorphism  $\Phi_{Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})}$ .

2. The symplectic homogeneous quasi-homomorphism  $\Phi_{Sp(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})}$  restricts along the embedding  $SU(m, n) \hookrightarrow Sp(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})$  to  $2\Phi_{SU(m,n)}$ , if  $mn \neq 0$ .

*Remark 4.2.* It was already noticed in ([25], section 4) that the restriction of  $\Phi_{Sp(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})}$  to  $SU(m+n) \hookrightarrow Sp(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})$  is trivial, as this subgroup is simply connected. The fact that the restriction of the Maslov class on  $SU(m, n)$  is nontrivial was also stated in ([25], Corollary 4.4).

Then from ([7], Theorem 2.10) we deduce the following:

**Proposition 4.5.** The homogeneous Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner quasi-homomorphism  $\widetilde{\Phi} : \widetilde{SU(m, n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the unique continuous lift of the map  $\overline{\phi} : SU(m, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  sending 1 to 0, defined when  $g$  is semi-simple by the formula:

$$\overline{\phi}(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \sum_{\lambda \in S(g)} n^+(\lambda) \arg(\lambda) \right) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $S(g)$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $g$  and  $n^+(\lambda)$  their positive multiplicity.

We postpone the discussion and the definition of positive multiplicity to section 4.5.

*End of the proof of Proposition 4.2.* Proposition 4.5 shows that  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  is uniquely determined as a continuous lift of  $\overline{\phi}$  and the formula follows because  $\widetilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}(\widetilde{M}_g) \subset SU(m, n)$  consists only of semi-simple elements.  $\square$

The independence of  $\widetilde{L}_\zeta, \widetilde{\Phi}$  on the chosen bounded cocycle  $c_{SU(m,n)}$  is a consequence of the fact that  $SU(m, n)$  is uniformly perfect.

Although the fact that all simple Lie groups are uniformly perfect seems to be folklore, we did not find it explicitly in the literature. For all semi-simple Lie groups whose maximal compact is semi-simple any element is the product of 2 commutators (see [13]). However this does not apply precisely to  $SU(m, n)$ . One also knows that there are elements which are not commutators (from [45]). An explicit bound for the number of reflections needed to write any element in  $U(m, n)$  as a product was given in [14] and the number of commutators could be deduced from it. Using a similar reasoning one shows that:

**Proposition 4.6.** The group  $SU(m, n)$  is uniformly perfect, more precisely: any element is a product of at most  $14(m+n)$  commutators.

#### 4.4 Useful properties of Dupont-Guichardet-Wigner cocycles

Notice that the reduction mod  $\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  descends to a map  $\overline{\phi} : SU(m, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , given by  $\overline{\phi}(x) = \widetilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x})$ , where  $\tilde{x}$  is an arbitrary lift of  $x$ . The quasi-homomorphism is easy to compute on lifts of Borel subgroups of  $SU(m, n)$  such as  $AN$ . Recall that all Borel subgroups of  $SU(m, n)$  are conjugate. The subgroup  $AN$  is simply connected, and contains the identity matrix, therefore its preimage  $\widetilde{AN}$  is a disjoint union of (simply) connected components, each one homeomorphic to  $AN$  and canonically indexed by an element of  $\mathbb{Z} = \ker(\widetilde{SU}(m, n) \rightarrow SU(m, n))$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** The quasi-homomorphism  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  is locally constant on  $\widetilde{AN}$ . More precisely,  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  takes the value  $d$  on the sheet of  $\widetilde{AN}$  indexed by  $d$ . Consequently, if  $B$  is an arbitrary Borel subgroup of  $SU(m, n)$  and  $\widetilde{B}$  denotes its preimage in  $\widetilde{SU}(m, n)$ , then  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  takes integer values on  $\widetilde{B}$ .

*Proof.* By construction the function  $v_0$  is constant with value 1 on  $AN$ , therefore its continuous lift  $\Phi$  takes integral values on the  $\widetilde{AN}$ , and as it is continuous, these values are given by the integer indexing the connected component. Moreover, if  $g \in \widetilde{AN}$  belongs to the component indexed say by  $d$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the element  $g^n$  belongs to the component indexed by  $nd$ . Therefore we have:

$$\overline{\Phi}(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi(g^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} dn = d.$$

If  $B$  is an arbitrary Borel subgroup, then there is an element  $g \in SU(m, n)$  such that  $gBg^{-1} \subset AN$ . As a consequence, if we denote by  $\tilde{g}$  a preimage of  $g$  in  $\widetilde{SU}(m, n)$ , conjugation by  $\tilde{g}$  embeds  $\widetilde{B}$  into  $\widetilde{AN}$ . As  $\overline{\Phi}$  is invariant under conjugation the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *The homogeneous normalized quasi-homomorphism on  $\widetilde{SU}(m, n)$  is the unique continuous normalized lift of the map  $\overline{\phi} \circ e : SU(m, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  where  $g = e(g)h(g)u(g)$  is the Jordan decomposition of  $g \in SU(m, n)$ . Recall that  $e(g)$  is the elliptic part,  $h(g)$  the hyperbolic part and  $u(g)$  the unipotent part of  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be an arbitrary element in  $SU(m, n)$  and  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{SU}(m, n)$  one of its lifts. Choose also a lift  $\tilde{e}(g)$  of  $e(g)$ . Since  $e(g)$  commutes with  $g$  we have that  $\overline{\Phi}(\tilde{e}(g)^{-1}\tilde{g}) = \overline{\Phi}(\tilde{e}(g)^{-1}) + \overline{\Phi}(\tilde{g})$ . By construction,  $\tilde{e}(g)^{-1}\tilde{g} = h(g)u(g)$  and since  $h(g)$  is conjugate to some element in  $A$  and  $u(g)$  to some element in  $N$ ,  $h(g)u(g)$  belongs to some Borel subgroup of  $SU(m, n)$ . By Lemma 4.1 this implies that  $\overline{\Phi}(\tilde{e}(g)^{-1}\tilde{g}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  or equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi}(\tilde{g}) &= -\overline{\Phi}(\tilde{e}(g)^{-1}) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \overline{\Phi}(\tilde{e}(g)) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \overline{\phi}(e(g)) \text{ by definition of } \overline{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the fact that, as  $\overline{\Phi}$  is homogeneous and normalized, for any  $h \in \widetilde{SU}(m, n)$ ,  $\overline{\Phi}(h^{-1}) = -\overline{\Phi}(h)$ .  $\square$

## 4.5 Positive eigenvalues of pseudo-unitary operators

Consider a pseudo-unitary operator  $g \in SU(m, n)$ . Let  $H : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the indefinite Hermitian form defining the group  $SU(m, n)$ , where  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = m + n$ . We will assume henceforth that  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

The spectrum  $S(g)$  of  $g$  is symmetric with respect to the unit circle, namely if  $\lambda \in S(g)$  then  $\overline{\lambda}^{-1} \in S(g)$  (see [28], ch. 10, section 5). For a given  $\lambda \in S(g)$  we consider the root space  $V_{\lambda}(g) = \ker(g - \lambda I)^{m+n} \subset V$ . We have then  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in S(g)} V_{\lambda}(g)$ . Moreover, each  $V_{\lambda}(g)$  splits as  $V_{\lambda}(g) = \bigoplus_i V_{\lambda, i}(g)$ , where each subspace  $V_{\lambda, i}(g)$  corresponds to a Jordan block with diagonal  $\lambda$  in the Jordan decomposition of  $g$ . The number of such subspaces  $V_{\lambda, i}(g)$  (i.e. Jordan blocks) is the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ , namely  $\dim \ker(g - \lambda I)$ . The collection of dimensions  $\dim V_{\lambda, i}$  is the collection of partial multiplicities of  $\lambda$ . Furthermore the collection of partial multiplicities of  $\lambda \in S(g)$  agrees with the one for  $\overline{\lambda}^{-1}$ .

We will use the canonical form of pseudo-unitary operators from ([29], Theorem 5.15.1). We will only need a weaker form and state it in a simplest form, though the statement in [29] is more precise:

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $g \in SU(m, n)$  have the set of Jordan blocks  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{a+2b}$  (where  $a+2b \leq m+n$ ) and corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{a+2b}$ , not necessarily distinct. We suppose that that  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = \dots = |\lambda_a| = 1$ ,  $|\lambda_{a+2i-1}| > 1$  and  $\lambda_{a+2i-1} = \overline{\lambda_{a+2i}}^{-1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq b$ . Then there exists a non-singular matrix  $C$  such that the following two conditions hold simultaneously:*

$$C^{-1}gC = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^+(g)} \lambda_j K_{j_i} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^-(g)} \lambda_{s_i} K_{s_i} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq b} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{a+2i-1} K_{a+2i-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\lambda_{a+2i-1}}^{-1} K_{a+2i} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C^*HC = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^+(g)} P_{j_i} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^-(g)} -P_{s_i} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq b} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_{a+2i-1} \\ P_{a+2i} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

1. The blocks  $K_j$  are unipotent upper triangular matrices (also called Toeplitz blocks), for all  $j \leq a + 2b$ ;

2. Each matrix  $P_j$  is a permutation matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  having the size

of the Jordan block  $J_j$ , for all  $j \leq a + 2b$ ;

3. The two sets  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m_+(g)}\}$  and  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{m_-(g)}\}$  form a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ , so that  $m_+(g) + m_-(g) = a$ . The sign characteristic  $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq a$  is given by  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  iff  $i \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m_+(g)}\}$ .
4. The canonical form is unique, up to a permutation of equal Toeplitz blocks respecting the sign characteristic.

When  $g$  is semi-simple the canonical form is simpler, as follows:

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $g \in SU(m, n)$  be a semi-simple element with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m + n$ . Let us denote by  $\lambda_\alpha, \bar{\lambda}_\alpha^{-1}$ , with  $\alpha \in N(g) \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m + n\}$  those eigenvalues of modulus different from 1, where  $|\lambda_\alpha| > 1$ . Then there exists a non-singular matrix  $C$  such that the following two conditions hold simultaneously:*

$$C^{-1}gC = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^+(g)} (\lambda_{j_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^-(g)} (\lambda_{s_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in N(g)} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\lambda}_\alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C^*HC = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^+(g)} (+1) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m^-(g)} (-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in N(g)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the sets of indices  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m_+(g)}\}$ ,  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{m_-(g)}\}$  and  $N(g)$  form a partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m + n\}$ . The canonical form is unique up to a permutation preserving the eigenvalues and the sign characteristic.

*Proof.* This result seems to have been stated explicitly first by Krein (see [32]) for the symplectic group and by Yakubovich in the present setting (see [49], p.124).  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $g$  be a semi-simple element of  $SU(m, n)$ . The eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $g$ , for  $i \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m_+(g)}\}$ , i.e. those for which  $\varepsilon_i = +1$ , will be called positive (after Gelfand and Lidskii, Krein and Yakubovich) and their positive multiplicity  $n_i^+$  is the multiplicity among positive eigenvalues. By convention, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_\alpha$  with  $|\lambda_\alpha| > 1$  are said to be positive and their positive multiplicity coincide with the usual multiplicity. The remaining eigenvalues will be called negative eigenvalues of  $g$ . We will also denote by  $n^+(\lambda)$  the positive multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (which is 0 for negative ones) of the semi-simple  $g$ .*

The positivity seems more subtle when  $g$  is not semi-simple. In fact the signature of each block  $\varepsilon_j P_j$  equals 0 when its dimension  $n_j$  is even and  $\varepsilon_j$ , when its dimension  $n_j$  is odd, respectively. Further, the signature of  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_{a+2i-1} \\ P_{a+2i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is always 0. Thus, every eigenvalue involved in a Jordan block is positive with a positive multiplicity equal to approximately half of its partial multiplicity.



**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $g \in SU(m, n)$ . Then in a suitable basis of  $V$  we can write simultaneously:*

$$e(g) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^a \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq b} \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda_{a+2i-1}}{|\lambda_{a+2i-1}|}) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda_{a+2i-1}}{|\lambda_{a+2i-1}|}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^a \varepsilon_i X_i \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq b} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\text{diag}(\lambda_i)$  is a diagonal matrix of the size equal to the partial multiplicity  $n_i$  of  $\lambda_i$  and  $X_i$  is the diagonal matrix of the same size with entries  $\pm 1$  of signature  $\frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^{n_i})$ .

*Proof.* Clear. □

Furthermore, the elliptic element  $e(g)$  is conjugate to some element  $\begin{pmatrix} e(g)_+ & 0 \\ 0 & e(g)_- \end{pmatrix}$  of  $S(U(m) \times U(n))$ , where  $e(g)_+ \in U(m)$  corresponds to a maximal invariant positive subspace of  $V$  for the Hermitian form  $H$ . The previous lemma gives an explicit formula for  $e(g)_+$  in the form:

$$e(g)_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^a \text{diag}_+(\lambda_i) \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq b} \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda_{a+2i-1}}{|\lambda_{a+2i-1}|}),$$

where  $\text{diag}_+(\lambda_i)$  is a diagonal matrix of the size equal to its partial positive multiplicity, defined

$$\text{as: } n_i^+ = \begin{cases} \frac{n_i}{2}, & \text{even } n_i \\ \frac{n_i + \varepsilon_i}{2}, & \text{odd } n_i \end{cases}.$$

An immediate consequence is that

$$\det(e(g)_+) = \exp \left( 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^a n_i^+ \arg(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^b n_{a+2i-1} \arg(\lambda_{a+2i-1}) \right) \right).$$

When  $g$  is already semi-simple this formula simplifies to

$$\det(e(g)_+) = \exp \left( 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \left( \sum_{\lambda \in S(g)} n^+(\lambda) \arg(\lambda) \right) \right).$$

We formulate the result obtained so far in the following:

**Lemma 4.3.** *For  $g \in SU(m, n)$  we have*

$$\bar{\phi}(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \sum_{i=1}^a n_i^+ \arg(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^b n_{a+2i-1} \arg(\lambda_{a+2i-1}) \right) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

## 5 Evaluation of quasi-homomorphisms

### 5.1 Arithmetic properties of dimensions of conformal blocks

The aim of this section is to provide ground for the explicit computations of values of quasi-homomorphisms in the next section. Our results here are far from being complete and might only be seen as quantitative evidence in the favor of various non-degeneracy conditions of arithmetic nature.

### 5.1.1 Dimensions

The first step is an apparently unnoticed congruence satisfied by the dimensions  $N(g, p)$  of the space of conformal blocks arising in the TQFT  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . Before proceeding we need to introduce some notation.

We denote by  $\theta(p)$  the order of the root of unity  $\zeta_{2p}^{-12-p(p+1)}$ , where  $\zeta_{2p}$  is a primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity. Specifically, we have:

**Lemma 5.1.** *1. If  $p$  is odd we have:*

$$\theta(p) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \text{g.c.d.}(p, 6) = 1 \\ \frac{p}{3}, & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

*2. Assume  $p$  is even.*

(a) *If  $p = 12s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\theta(p) = \begin{cases} 2s, & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ s, & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

(b) *If  $p = 4s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{g.c.d.}(s, 3) = 1$*

$$\theta(p) = \begin{cases} 2s, & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ s, & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

(c) *If  $p = 6s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{g.c.d.}(s, 2) = 1$  then  $\theta(p) = 2s$ .*

(d) *If  $p = 2s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{g.c.d.}(s, 6) = 1$  then  $\theta(p) = 2s$ .*

*Proof.* Direct calculation. □

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $g \geq 3$  then*

$$N(g, p) \equiv 0 \pmod{\theta(p)}.$$

*If  $g = 2$  then*

$$10N(g, p) \equiv 0 \pmod{\theta(p)}.$$

*Proof.* The universal central extension  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  is a subgroup of the central extension  $\widetilde{M}_g(12)$  arising in the TQFT representation, which has Euler class 12 (see [39]). It was already noticed in [16, 24] that the image  $\widetilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u)$  in the unitary group  $U(N(g, p))$  is actually contained in the subgroup  $SU(N(g, p))$  for  $g \geq 3$ . This is a consequence of the fact that  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  is perfect. The action of the central element of  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$  is by means of the scalar matrix  $\zeta_{2p}^{-12-p(p+1)}$  (see e.g. [39]). This matrix has therefore unit determinant and hence the first congruence follows. In the case  $g = 2$  we have to use the fact that  $H_1(\mathcal{M}_2) = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$  and follow the same lines. □

We have also for small values of the genus  $g$  the following computations dues to Zagier ([50]):

$$N(g, 2k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}(k^3 - k), & \text{if } g = 2 \\ \frac{1}{180}(k^2(k^2 - 1)(k^2 + 11)), & \text{if } g = 3 \\ \frac{1}{7560}(k^3(k^2 - 1)(2k^4 + 23k^2 + 191)), & \text{if } g = 4 \end{cases}$$

and from [5]:

$$N(g, p) = \frac{1}{2g}N(g, 2p), \text{ if } p \text{ is odd.}$$

Notice that, with the notations from [50] we have  $N(g, p) = \mathcal{D}(g, k)$ , when  $p = 2k$  and  $N(g, p) = \frac{1}{2g}\mathcal{D}(g, p)$  if  $p$  is odd. As an immediate corollary we obtain the following:

**Lemma 5.2.** 1. If  $g = 3$  and  $p = 4n + 2$  or  $p = 8n \pm 3$  then  $N(3, p)$  is odd.

2. If  $p = 5$  then  $N(g, 5)$  is odd iff the genus  $g \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

*Proof.* Using the Verlinde formula (usually for even  $p$ ) and the previous relation we find that the dimension  $N(g, 5)$  is given by:

$$N(g, 5) = \left( \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{g-1} + \left( \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{g-1}.$$

Thus  $N(g, 5)$  is determined by the following recurrence with the given initial conditions:

$$N(g + 1, 5) = 5N(g, 5) - 5N(g - 1, 5), \quad N(1, 5) = 2, N(2, 5) = 5.$$

The mod 2 congruence follows by induction on  $g$ . □

**Corollary 5.1.** *The signature is non-zero (as needed in [10]) when  $N(g, p)$  is odd, and thus for infinitely many values of  $g, p$  as in Lemma 5.2.*

### 5.1.2 Signatures

The Verlinde formula for the dimensions  $N(g, p)$  admits refinements for the case of the signatures  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{2p})$  of the Hermitian forms  $H_\zeta$  in genus  $g$ . Here the root of unity  $\zeta_{2p}$  is a primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity. More details will appear in a forthcoming paper [12] devoted to this subject. The aim of this section is to gather evidence to back-up the following:

**Conjecture 5.1.** *Let us consider  $\zeta$  a primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity, for prime  $p \geq 5$  such that neither  $\zeta$  nor  $\bar{\zeta}$  are equal to  $A_p$ , for odd  $p$  and  $\pm A_p$ , for even  $p$ , respectively. Then for all  $g$  in some arithmetic progression  $\sigma(g, \zeta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

We have the following general behavior:

**Proposition 5.2** ([12]). *For each  $p$  we have:*

$$\sigma(g, p, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_i(\zeta)^{g-1},$$

where  $\lambda_i(\zeta)$  run over the set of roots of some polynomials  $P_\zeta$  with integer coefficients.

*Remark 5.1.* Observe that  $N(1, p) = \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$ , which corresponds to the fact that  $\sigma(g, p, \zeta) = N(1, p)$  for any  $\zeta$ , because the genus one Hermitian form  $H_\zeta$  is always positive, as the image of the quantum representations is always finite (see e.g. [30]).

In this section we will denote  $\zeta_{2p} = \exp(\frac{\pi i}{p})$  the principal primitive root of unity. The other primitive roots of unity are of the form  $\zeta_{2p}^k$ , with odd  $k$ . Moreover, it is enough to restrict to the case when  $k \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, p-1\}$ . Recall that  $P_{\zeta_{2p}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}$ , for  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  and  $P_{\zeta_{2p}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , respectively are the polynomials associated to the unitary TQFTs, thereby computing the dimensions of the space of conformal blocks according to the Verlinde formula. With the help of a computer program ran by F. Costantino one finds that:

*Example 5.1.* 1. Let  $p = 5$ .

(a) We have:

$$P_{\zeta_{10}} = x^2 - 3x + 3$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 5, \zeta_{10})$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are

$$2, 3, 3, 0, -9, -27, -54, -81, -81, 0, 243.$$

(b) Further

$$P_{\zeta_{10}^3} = x^2 - 5x + 5$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 5, \zeta_{10})$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are the dimensions  $N(g, 5)$ :

$$2, 5, 15, 50, 175, 625, 2250, 8125, 29375, 106250, 384375.$$

2. Let  $p = 7$ .

(a) We have

$$P_{\zeta_{14}} = x^3 - 8x^2 + 23x - 23$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 7, \zeta_{14})$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are

$$3, 8, 18, 29, 2, -237, -1275, -4703, -13750, -31156, -41167$$

(b) Also

$$P_{\zeta_{14}^3} = x^3 - 14x^2 + 49x - 49$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 7, \zeta_{14}^3)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are given by the dimension  $N(g, 7)$ :

$$3, 14, 98, 833, 7546, 69629, 645869, 6000099, 55765626, 518361494, 4818550093.$$

(c) Eventually we have:

$$P_{\zeta_{14}^5} = x^3 - 6x^2 + 23x - 23$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 7, \zeta_{14}^5)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are:

$$3, 6, -10, -129, -406, 301, 8177, 32801, 15658, -472404, -2440135.$$

3. Let  $p = 9$ .

(a) We have

$$P_{\zeta_{18}} = x^4 - 16x^3 + 97x^2 - 257x + 257$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 9, \zeta_{18})$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are

$$4, 16, 62, 211, 446, -1509, -29113, -259040, -1823114, -11137172, -60443933.$$

(b) Further

$$P_{\zeta_{18}^5} = x^4 - 30x^3 + 243x^2 - 729x + 729$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 9, \zeta_{18}^5)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are the dimensions  $N(g, 9)$ :

$$4, 30, 414, 7317, 137862, 2637765, 50664771, 974133540, 18734896134, 360344121174, 6930952607259.$$

(c) Eventually

$$P_{\zeta_{18}^7} = x^4 - 10x^3 + 101x^2 - 257x + 257$$

and the first terms of the sequence  $\sigma(g, 9, \zeta_{18}^7)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  are

$$4, 10, -102, -1259, -746, 90915, 687147, -2179104, -67636010, -303038972, 3064220783.$$

*Remark 5.2.* We have  $P_{\zeta} = P_{\bar{\zeta}}$ . Moreover, for even  $p$  we also have  $P_{\zeta} = P_{-\zeta}$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *Conjecture 5.1 is true for  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$ .*

*Proof.* We obtain from above that the sequence  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{10})(\bmod 5)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  is periodic with period 24 and its terms read:

$$2, 3, 3, 0, 1, 3, 1, 4, 4, 0, 3, 4, 3, 2, 2, 0, 4, 2, 4, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{10}) \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$  if and only if  $g(\bmod 24) \in \{4, 10, 16, 22\}$ .

Furthermore, for  $p = 7$  the sequence  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{14})(\bmod 7)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  is periodic with period 12 and its first terms read:

$$3, 1, 4, 1, 2, 1, -1, 1, 5, 1, 0, 1, 3, 1, 4, \dots$$

Thus  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{14}) \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$  if and only if  $g \equiv 11(\bmod 12)$ .

The sequence  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{14}^3)(\bmod 7)$ ,  $g \geq 1$  is eventually periodic. One can check that  $\sigma(g+36, \zeta_{14}^3) \equiv \sigma(g, \zeta_{14}^3)(\bmod 7)$  for  $g \geq 55$ .

A more conceptual proof is as follows. It suffices to show that  $P_\zeta(0)$  is invertible (mod  $p$ ). The vector  $v_g = \left( \sigma(h, \zeta)_{h \in \{g, g+1, \dots, g + \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor - 1\}} \right)$  is obtained from  $v_1$  by means of the formula

$$v_g = M_\zeta^g v_1$$

where  $M_\zeta$  is the companion matrix associated to  $P_\zeta$ . Therefore  $\det M_\zeta = P_\zeta(0)$ . If the determinant is invertible mod  $p$  then the sequence of vectors  $M_\zeta^g v_1$  cannot contain the null vector mod  $p$ . But this sequence is eventually periodic. Therefore for  $g$  in some arithmetic progression  $\sigma(g, \zeta)$  is nontrivial mod  $p$ . Using the explicit values of  $P_\zeta$  one settles immediately the cases  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$ .  $\square$

## 5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall from section 4.1 that we have a homogeneous quasi-homomorphism  $\bar{L}_\zeta : \widetilde{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  associated to a primitive  $2p$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Consider the map

$$\bar{l}_\zeta = \bar{L}_\zeta|_{\ker \tilde{\rho}_p} : \ker \tilde{\rho}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *We have  $\bar{l}_\zeta \in \text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u}$ , namely  $\bar{l}_\zeta$  is a group homomorphism invariant by the conjugacy action of  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$ .*

*Proof.* The boundary of  $\bar{L}_\zeta$  is  $\tilde{\rho}_p^*(c_{SU(m,n)})$  which obviously vanishes on  $\ker \tilde{\rho}_p$ , namely

$$\tilde{\rho}_p^*(c_{SU(m,n)})(x, y) = 0, \text{ if either } x \text{ or } y \in \ker \tilde{\rho}_p.$$

This implies that  $\bar{l}_\zeta$  is a homomorphism.

Eventually recall that  $\bar{L}_\zeta$  is a homogeneous quasi-homomorphism and thus it is a class function. This implies that  $\bar{l}_\zeta$  is also a class function.  $\square$

Recall from the proof of Proposition 2.1 that there is an isomorphism

$$\iota : \text{Hom}(\ker \tilde{\rho}_p, \mathbb{R})^{\widetilde{M}_g^u} \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R}).$$

We want to show that  $\bar{l}_\zeta \neq 0$  and consequently  $\iota(\bar{l}_\zeta) \in H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$  is not vanishing. Denote by  $h_g^+(\zeta)$  the dimension of the maximal positive subspace of the Hermitian form  $H_\zeta$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Suppose that  $h_g^+(\zeta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $p \geq 5$  prime. Then  $\iota(\bar{l}_\zeta) \neq 0 \in H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u); \mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c$  denote a generator of the center of  $\widetilde{M}_g^u$ . We know that  $\tilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}(c) = \zeta^{-12}$  (see [39]), when  $p$  is odd. The formula of Proposition 4.2 yields

$$\bar{L}_\zeta(c) \equiv -12h_g^+(\zeta)\arg(\zeta) \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Now, if  $\bar{L}_\zeta(c) \not\equiv 0 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\bar{L}_\zeta(c) \neq 0$ . This implies that  $\bar{L}_\zeta(c^n) \neq 0$  for any  $n \neq 0$ . Recall that  $c^p \in \ker \tilde{\rho}_{p,\zeta}$ . Thus  $\bar{l}_\zeta(c^p) \neq 0$  so that  $\bar{l}_\zeta$  is not identically zero, as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *If  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$  then  $\bar{l}_{\zeta_{2p}}$  is non-zero for infinitely many values of  $g$  in some arithmetic progression.*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 5.4 it suffices to show that  $h^+(\zeta_{2p}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . We proved in Proposition 5.1 that  $N(g, p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so that this condition is equivalent to proving that  $\sigma(g, \zeta_{2p}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . But this last statement is part of Proposition 5.3.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 1.2.* From Proposition 5.5 and the proof of Proposition 2.1 we obtain that  $\bar{l}_{\zeta_{2p}}$  is non-zero and hence a nontrivial class in  $H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$  for infinitely many values of  $g$  and  $p \in \{5, 7, 9\}$ .

*Remark 5.3.* The same method provides examples when  $\bar{L}_\zeta(T_\gamma^p) \neq 0$ , and hence slightly better lower bounds for the rank of  $H^2(\tilde{\rho}_p(\widetilde{M}_g^u), \mathbb{R})$ .

*Remark 5.4.* If we were able to show that there is at least one nontrivial quasi-homomorphism on  $\rho_{p,\zeta}(M_g)$  then it would follow that this group cannot be an irreducible higher rank lattice in a semi-simple Lie group, according to the result of Burger and Monod from [11].

## References

- [1] M.N.Abdulrahim, *A faithfulness criterion for the Gassner representation of the pure braid group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), no. 5, 1249–1257.
- [2] J.E.Andersen, *Asymptotic faithfulness of the quantum  $SU(n)$  representations of the mapping class groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 163 (2006), no. 1, 347–368.
- [3] J. E. Andersen, G. Masbaum and K. Ueno, *Topological Quantum Field Theory and the Nielsen-Thurston classification of  $M(0, 4)$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 141(2006), 477–488.
- [4] V. I. Arnold, *On some topological invariants of algebraic functions*, Trudy Moscov. Mat. Obsch. 21 (1970), 27–46 (Russian), English transl. in Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 21 (1970), 30–52.
- [5] C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum and P. Vogel, *Topological quantum field theories derived from the Kauffman bracket*, Topology 34 (1995), 883–927.
- [6] M. Bestvina and K. Fujiwara, *Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups*, Geom. Topol. 6 (2002), 69–89
- [7] J. Barge and É. Ghys, *Cocycles d’Euler et de Maslov*, Math. Annalen 294(1992), 235–265.
- [8] A. Borel and N. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Second edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 67, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.
- [9] K. Brown, *Group cohomology*, Graduate Texts in Math. 87, Springer-Verlag 1982.
- [10] M. Burger and A. Iozzi, *Bounded Kähler class rigidity of actions on Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 37 (2004), 77–103.
- [11] M. Burger and N. Monod, *Bounded cohomology of lattices in higher rank Lie groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 1 (1999), 199–235.
- [12] F. Costantino and L. Funar, *Verlinde formulas for signatures*, in preparation.
- [13] D.Z. Djoković, *On commutators in real semisimple Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. 23 (1986), no. 1, 223–228.
- [14] D.Z. Djoković and J.G. Malzan, *Products of reflections in  $U(p, q)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1982), no. 259, vi+82 pp.
- [15] J.L. Dupont, *Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles*, Topology 15 (1976), 233–245
- [16] N. Dunfield and H. Wong, *Quantum invariants of random 3-manifolds*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 11 (2011), no. 4, 2191–2205.

- [17] E. Formanek, *Braid group representations of low degree*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 73 (1996), 279–322.
- [18] M. H. Freedman, M. Larsen and Z. Wang, *The two-eigenvalue problem and density of Jones representation of braid groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. 228 (2002), 177–199.
- [19] M. H. Freedman, K. Walker and Z. Wang, *Quantum  $SU(2)$  faithfully detects mapping class groups modulo center*, Geom. Topol. 6 (2002), 523–539.
- [20] L. Funar, *On the TQFT representations of the mapping class groups*, Pacific J. Math. 188 (2)(1999), 251–274.
- [21] L. Funar, *Zariski density and finite quotients of mapping class groups*, International Mathematics Research Notices 2013, no.9, 2078-2096.
- [22] L. Funar and T. Kohno, *On Burau’s representations at roots of unity*, Geom. Dedicata 169(2014), 145–163.
- [23] L. Funar and T. Kohno, *Free subgroups within the images of quantum representations*, Forum Math. 26 (2014), no. 2, 337–355.
- [24] L. Funar and W. Pitsch, *Finite quotients of symplectic groups vs mapping class groups*, 33p., arXiv:1103.1855.
- [25] J.-M. Gambaudo and É.Ghys, *Braids and signatures*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 133 (2005), no. 4, 541–579.
- [26] S. Gervais, *Presentation and central extensions of mapping class groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), 3097–3132.
- [27] P. Gilmer and G. Masbaum, *Integral lattices in TQFT*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 40 (2007), 815–844.
- [28] I. Glazman and Y. Liubitch, *Analyse linéaire dans les espaces de dimensions finies: manuel en problèmes*. (French) Traduit du russe par Henri Damadian. Éditions Mir, Moscow, 1972.
- [29] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, *Indefinite linear algebra and applications*, Birkhauser, 2005.
- [30] P. Gilmer, *On the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev representations of mapping class groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), no. 8, 2483–2488.
- [31] A. Guichardet and D. Wigner, *Sur la cohomologie réelle des groupes de Lie simples réels*, Ann. Sci. E.N.S. (4) 11(1978), 277-292.
- [32] M.G. Krein, *Foundations of the theory of  $\lambda$ -zones of stability of a canonical system of linear differential equations with periodic coefficients* (In memory of Aleksandr Aleksandrovich Andronov), 413–498, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1955. reprinted in *Four papers on ordinary differential equations*, (Ed. Lev J. Leifman) Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, 120, 1983, 1–70.
- [33] G. Kuperberg, *Denseness and Zariski denseness of Jones braid representations*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011), 11–39.
- [34] M. Larsen and Z. Wang, *Density of the  $SO(3)$  TQFT representation of mapping class groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. 260 (2005), 641–658.
- [35] J.Marché and M.Narimannejad, *Some Asymptotics of TQFT via skein theory*, Duke Math. Journal. 141 (2008), no. 3, 573–587.
- [36] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1991, x+388 pp.
- [37] G. Masbaum, *On representations of mapping class groups in integral TQFT*, Oberwolfach Reports, Vol. 5, issue 2, 2008, pp. 1202-1205.
- [38] G. Masbaum and A. Reid, *All finite groups are involved in the mapping class groups*, Geom. Topol. 16 (2012), 1393–1412.
- [39] G. Masbaum and J. Roberts, *On central extensions of mapping class groups*, Math. Ann. 302 (1995), 131–150.
- [40] C. T. McMullen, *Braid groups and Hodge theory*, Math. Ann. 355 (2013), 893–946.

- [41] Chih-Han Sah, *Homology of classical Lie groups made discrete. I. Stability theorems and Schur multipliers*, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), no. 2, 308–347.
- [42] Shtern, *Remarks on pseudocharacters and the real continuous bounded cohomology of connected locally compact groups*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 20 (2001), 199–221.
- [43] C. Squier, *The Burau representation is unitary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 90(1984), 199–202.
- [44] R. Strebel, *A remark on subgroups of infinite index in Poincaré duality groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), 317–324.
- [45] R.C. Thompson, *Commutators in the special and general linear groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 16–33.
- [46] T.N.Venkataramana, *Image of the Burau representation at  $d$ -th roots of unity*, Ann. of Math. (2) 179 (2014), no. 3, 1041–1083.
- [47] T.N.Venkataramana, *Monodromy of cyclic coverings of the projective line*, Invent. Math. 197 (2014), no. 1, 145.
- [48] H. Wenzl, *On sequences of projections*, C.R.Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 9(1987), 5–9.
- [49] V. A. Yakubovich, *Critical frequencies of quasicanonical systems*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. 13 (1958), no. 13, 35–63 reprinted in *Four papers on ordinary differential equations*, (Ed. Lev J. Leifman) Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, 120, 1983, 111–137.
- [50] D. Zagier, *Elementary aspects of the Verlinde formula and of the Harder-Narasimhan-Atiyah-Bott formula*, Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993), 445–462, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996.