

A NOTE ON THE MAZUR-ULAM PROPERTY OF ALMOST-CL-SPACES

DONGNI TAN AND RUI LIU*

ABSTRACT. We introduce the (T)-property, and prove that every Banach space with the (T)-property has the Mazur-Ulam property (briefly MUP). As its immediate applications, we obtain that almost-CL-spaces admitting a smooth point (specially, separable almost-CL-spaces) and a two-dimensional space whose unit sphere is a hexagon has the MUP. Furthermore, we discuss the stability of the spaces having the MUP by the c_0 - and ℓ_1 -sums, and show that the space $C(K, X)$ of the vector-valued continuous functions has the the MUP, where X is a separable almost-CL-space and K is a compact metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Mazur-Ulam theorem states that every surjective isometry between normed spaces is a linear mapping up to translation. In 1972, P. Mankiewicz [17] extended this by showing that every surjective isometry between the open connected subsets of normed spaces can be extended to a surjective affine isometry on the whole space. This result implies that the metric structure on the unit ball of a real normed space constraints the linear structure of the whole space. It is of interest to us whether this result can be extended to unit spheres. In 1987, D. Tingley [26] first studied isometries on the unit sphere and raised the *isometric extension problem*:

Problem 1.1. Let X and Y be normed spaces with the unit spheres S_X and S_Y , respectively. Assume that $T : S_X \rightarrow S_Y$ is a surjective isometry. Does there exist a linear isometry $\tilde{T} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{T}|_{S_X} = T$?

In [26], D. Tingley only proved that $T(-x) = -T(x)$ for all $x \in S_X$, with X being assumed to be a finite-dimensional Banach space. During the past decade, Ding and his students have been working on this topic and have obtained many important results (see [2, 3, 11] for a survey of results). Cheng and Dong [1] introduced the notion of the following Mazur-Ulam property and raised a generalized Mazur-Ulam question, whether every Banach space admits the Mazur-Ulam property, which is equivalent to the isometric extension problem.

Date: May 21, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B04; Secondary 46B20, 46A22.

Key words and phrases. (T)-property, isometric extension, Almost-CL-space, unit sphere.

*Corresponding author.

The first author was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China 11126249. The second author was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China 11001134 and 11126250, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the Tianjin Science & Technology Fund 20100820.

Definition 1.2. A Banach space X is said to have the *Mazur-Ulam property* (briefly, *MUP*) provided that for every Banach space Y , every surjective isometry T between the two unit spheres of X and Y is the restriction of a linear isometry between the two spaces.

It has been proved that several classical Banach spaces have the MUP, as for example $\ell^p(\Gamma)$, $L^p(\mu)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and $C(\Omega)$ (see [4–6, 14–16, 23–25]). However, the problem is still open in the general case, even in two dimensions.

Now, a natural and important problem is that of finding an essential sphere structure such that the isometric extension problem holds. Recently, L. Cheng and Y. Dong [1] attacked the problem for the class of CL-spaces admitting a smooth point and polyhedral spaces. Unfortunately their interesting attempt failed by a mistake at the very end of the proof. L. Cheng told to V. Kadets and M. Martin that they do not see how their proof can be repaired (also see the introduction in [18]). Kadets and Martin [18] prove that finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces have the MUP.

In this note, we introduce the (T)-property, and prove that every Banach space with the (T)-property has the MUP. As its applications, we obtain that almost-CL-spaces admitting a smooth point (specially, separable almost-CL-spaces) and a two-dimensional space whose unit sphere is a hexagon has the MUP. Furthermore, we discuss the stability of the spaces having the MUP by the c_0 -sums and ℓ_1 -sums, and show that the space $C(K, X)$ of the vector-valued continuous functions has the MUP, where X is a separable almost-CL-space and K is a compact metric space. This is the first time to study the stability of the MUP and the first examples of vector-valued spaces having the MUP. We cite some known results on almost-CL-space theory to show that our result includes many important examples of Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, we consider the spaces all over the real field. For a Banach space X , B_X, S_X and $ex(X)$ will stand for the unit ball of X , the unit sphere of X and the set of extreme points of B_X , respectively. Let $x \in S_X$, the *star of x with respect to S_X* is defined by $St(x) = \{y : y \in S_X, \|y + x\| = 2\}$. If C is a convex subset of S_X , we say that C is a *maximal convex subset of S_X* if it is not properly contained in any other convex subset of S_X (cf. [26]).

Definition 1.3. A Banach space X is said to be a (*an almost-*) *CL-space* if for every maximal convex set C of S_X , we have

$$B_X = co(C \cup -C) \quad (B_X = \overline{co}(C \cup -C), \text{ respectively}).$$

The notion of CL-spaces were first introduced in 1960 by R. Fullerton [7]. Almost-CL-spaces first studied without a name in the memoir by J. Lindenstrauss [9] and were further extended by Lima [12, 13] who showed that $L_1(\mu)$ spaces and their preduals are CL-spaces. For general information on CL-spaces and almost-CL-spaces, we refer to [19, 20].

2. MAIN RESULTS

First, we introduce the notion of D.Tingley property (briefly, (T)-property) using the notion of stars and maximal convex subsets of unit spheres.

Definition 2.1. A Banach space X is said to have the (T)-property if there exists a subset $\{x_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of S_X satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $St(x_\gamma)$ is a maximal convex subset of S_X ;
- (ii) $S_X = \bigcup\{St(x_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$;
- (iii) For every $x \in S_X$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $x_\gamma^+ \in St(x_\gamma)$ and $x_\gamma^- \in St(-x_\gamma)$ such that

$$\|x - x_\gamma^+\| + \|x - x_\gamma^-\| \leq 2 + \varepsilon.$$

Remark 2.2. Note that when E is a subspace of a Banach space X and C is a maximal convex subset of S_X , $C_E = C \cap E$ may not be a maximal convex set of S_E . For example, in the 3-dimensional space $X = l_\infty^{(3)}$, $C = \{(x, y, 1) \mid |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1\}$ is a maximal convex set of S_X . Let $E = \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1), (1, -1, 0)\}$. Then $C_E = C \cap E = \{(1, 1, 1)\}$. Indeed, if $(x, y, 1) = \alpha \cdot (1, 1, 1) + \beta \cdot (1, -1, 0) = (\alpha + \beta, \alpha - \beta, \alpha)$ with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$, then we have $x = y = \alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. But $\{(1, 1, 1)\}$ is not a maximal convex set of S_E , since $(1, 1, 1) \in \text{convex}\{(1, 1, 1), (1, -1, 0)\} \subset S_E$.

Remark 2.3. Note that, for any $x \in S_X$, if $St(x)$ is convex, then it is a maximal convex subset of S_X . Actually, let $C \subset S_X$ be convex with $x \in C$. Then for every $y \in C$, $(x + y)/2 \in C$ implies that $\|x + y\| = 2$. Thus $y \in St(x)$, and hence $C \subset St(x)$.

This remark and [14, Corollary 1, Lemma 2] show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $T : S_X \rightarrow S_Y$ be a surjective isometry. Then for every $x \in S_X$, the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $St(x)$ is a maximal convex subset of S_X .
- (ii) $T(St(x))$ is a maximal convex subset of S_Y .

The following is our main theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $T : S_X \rightarrow S_Y$ be a surjective isometry. If X has the (T)-property, then T can be extended to a linear surjective isometry from X onto Y .*

Proof. Let $\{x_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subset S_X$ satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) of (T)-property. We first show that there exists a family of pairs $\{x_\gamma^*, y_\gamma^*\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset S(X^*) \times S(Y^*)$ such that

$$y_\gamma^*(Tx) = x_\gamma^*(x) = \theta \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\theta \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $x \in St(\theta x_\gamma)$. Indeed, since $St(x_\gamma)$ is a maximal convex subset of S_X , it follows from the Eidelheit separation theorem that there exists an $x_\gamma^* \in X^*$ with $\|x_\gamma^*\| = 1$ such that $x_\gamma^*(x) = 1$ for all $x \in St(x_\gamma)$, and hence $x_\gamma^*(x) = -1$ for all $x \in St(-x_\gamma)$ since $St(-x_\gamma) = -St(x_\gamma)$.

Note from [14, Corollary 1] that

$$T(St(-x_\gamma)) = St(T(-x_\gamma)) = -St(-T(-x_\gamma)) = -T(St(x_\gamma)).$$

Then by Proposition 2.4, a similar argument applied to $T(St(x_\gamma))$ yields the desired result.

We next show that

$$y_\gamma^* \circ T|_{S_X} = x_\gamma^*|_{S_X} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{2.2}$$

For every $x \in S_X$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, by the (T)-property (iii) there exist $x_\gamma^+ \in St(x_\gamma)$ and $x_\gamma^- \in St(-x_\gamma)$ such that $\|x - x_\gamma^+\| + \|x - x_\gamma^-\| \leq 2 + \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= y_\gamma^*(Tx_\gamma^+) - y_\gamma^*(Tx_\gamma^-) \\ &= y_\gamma^*(Tx_\gamma^+ - Tx) + y_\gamma^*(Tx - Tx_\gamma^-) \\ &\leq \|Tx_\gamma^+ - Tx\| + \|Tx - Tx_\gamma^-\| \\ &= \|x_\gamma^+ - x\| + \|x - x_\gamma^-\| \leq 2 + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$y_\gamma^*(Tx_\gamma^+ - Tx) \geq \|x_\gamma^+ - x\| - \varepsilon, \quad y_\gamma^*(Tx - Tx_\gamma^-) \geq \|x - x_\gamma^-\| - \varepsilon.$$

This and (2.1) thus give

$$\begin{aligned} y_\gamma^*(Tx) &= 1 - y_\gamma^*(Tx_\gamma^+ - Tx) \\ &\leq 1 - \|x_\gamma^+ - x\| + \varepsilon \leq 1 - x_\gamma^*(x_\gamma^+ - x) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq x_\gamma^*(x) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_\gamma^*(Tx) &= -1 + y_\gamma^*(Tx - Tx_\gamma^-) \\ &\geq -1 + \|x - x_\gamma^-\| - \varepsilon \geq -1 + x_\gamma^*(x - x_\gamma^-) - \varepsilon \\ &= x_\gamma^*(x) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $y_\gamma^*(Tx) = x_\gamma^*(x)$. That is $y_\gamma^* \circ T|_{S_X} = x_\gamma^*|_{S_X}$.

Note that $S_X = \bigcup\{St(x_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $S_Y = \bigcup\{T(St(x_\gamma)) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Thus we can deduce from this and (2.2) that for all $x_1, x_2 \in S_X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 - \lambda x_2\| &= \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x_\gamma^*(x_1 - \lambda x_2)| \\ &= \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |y_\gamma^*(Tx_1 - \lambda Tx_2)| \\ &= \|Tx_1 - \lambda Tx_2\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Now we may define the required extension \tilde{T} of T by

$$\tilde{T}(x) = \begin{cases} \|x\|T\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right), & \text{if } x \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easily checked from (2.3) that $\tilde{T} : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective isometry whose restriction to the unit sphere S_X is just T . The Mazur-Ulam theorem hence shows that \tilde{T} is linear as required. The proof is complete. \square

We next shall that every almost-CL-space X admitting a smooth point has the (T)-property. For this statement, we need one more lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a Banach space, and let C be a maximal convex subset of S_X . If $x \in C$ is a smooth point, then $C = St(x)$.*

Proof. It is trivial that $C \subset St(x)$. For the converse, given $y \in St(x)$, the Hahn-Banach theorem implies that there exists a functional f in S_{X^*} such that

$$f(x + y) = \|x + y\| = 2.$$

Therefore $f(x) = f(y) = 1$. Note from the Hahn-Banach and Eidelheit separation theorems that there exists a functional g in S_{X^*} such that $g^{-1}\{1\} \cap S_X = C$. Since x is a smooth point, we have $g = f$. Thus $y \in g^{-1}\{1\} \cap S_X = C$, and hence $St(x) \subset C$. \square

We recall from [7, 12, 19] some basic facts and some notions on the almost-CL-space X . By applying the Hahn-Banach and Krein-Milman theorems, one can easily prove that every maximal convex subset F of S_X has the form

$$F = \{x \in S_X : x^*(x) = 1\}$$

for some $x^* \in ex(B_{X^*})$, denoted by F_{x^*} . We denote by $mexB_{X^*}$ the set of those $x^* \in ex(B_{X^*})$ such that F_{x^*} is a maximal convex subset of S_X . We say that an almost-CL-space X admits a smooth point if every maximal convex set C of its unit sphere S_X has a smooth point.

Proposition 2.7. *Every almost-CL-space X admitting a smooth point (in particular, every separable almost-CL-space) has the (T)-property.*

Proof. By Zorn's Lemma and the previous considerations we see that

$$S_X = \bigcup \{F_{x^*} : x^* \in mexB_{X^*}\}.$$

For every $x^* \in mexB_{X^*}$, let $x \in F_{x^*}$ be a smooth point of S_X . Then it follows directly from Lemma 2.6 that

$$St(x) = F_{x^*}. \tag{2.4}$$

Now for each $x \in S_X, x^* \in mexB_{X^*}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, since X is an almost CL-space, there exists a y in $\text{co}(F_{x^*}, -F_{x^*})$ such that

$$\|y - x\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

For this y , choose $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1$, $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^i \subset F_{x^*}$ and $\{x_k\}_{k=i+1}^n \subset -F_{x^*}$ such that

$$y = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k x_k.$$

We may assume that $\sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_k \neq 0$ or 1. Set $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_k, y_1 = (1/\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_k x_k$ and $y_2 = 1/(1 - \lambda) \sum_{k=i+1}^n \lambda_k x_k$. Then it is easy to see that

$$y = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_2$$

with $y_1 \in F_{x^*}$ and $y_2 \in -F_{x^*}$. Therefore

$$\|y - y_1\| + \|y - y_2\| = \|y_1 - y_2\| = 2.$$

Thus $\|x - y_1\| + \|x - y_2\| \leq 2 + \varepsilon$. \square

The next statement is an immediate application of Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.7.

Corollary 2.8. *Every almost-CL-space X admitting a smooth point (in particular, every separable almost-CL-space) has the MUP.*

We have the following important examples of spaces having the MUP as immediate consequences of Corollary 2.8 and results on almost-CL-spaces (see [19]).

Example 2.9. For any nonempty index set Γ , the space $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$ -type spaces [14] including $c_0(\Gamma)$, $c(\Gamma)$ and $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ are CL-spaces admitting a smooth point, and thus they have the MUP.

Example 2.10. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Then $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a separable CL-space, and thus it has the MUP.

Example 2.11. For every compact Hausdorff space K , $C(K)$ is a CL-space. If K is a completely regular and its singleton point is a G_δ , then $C(K)$ admits a smooth point, and thus it has the MUP. In particular, for every compact metric space K , $C(K)$ has the MUP.

We will show that some two-dimensional spaces with hexagonal norms which are clearly not almost-CL-spaces having the MUP. These spaces are firstly introduced by M. Martin and J. Meri [21].

Example 2.12. The space $X_\gamma = (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_{1/2})$ whose norm is given by

$$\|(\xi, \eta)\|_{1/2} = \max\{|\eta|, |\xi| + 1/2|\eta|\}, \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in X_{1/2}$$

has the (T)-property, and thus it has the MUP.

Proof. Let $x_1 = (0, 1), x_2 = (3/4, 1/2), x_3 = (-3/4, 1/2)$, and let

$$A = \{\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \pm x_3\}.$$

Then it is easily checked that for every $x \in A$, $St(x)$ is a maximal convex set of $S_{X_{1/2}}$, and

$$S_{X_{1/2}} = \bigcup_{x \in A} St(x).$$

For every $x \in S_{X_{1/2}}$, replace x by $-x$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^3 St(x_i).$$

To prove that $X_{1/2}$ satisfies the condition (iii) of the (T)-Property, we distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $x \in St(x_1)$. Set $x_2^+ = (1/2, 1), x_2^- = (-1, 0)$. Then $x_2^+ \in St(x_2)$ and $x_2^- \in St(-x_2)$. The definition of the norm thus implies that

$$\|x - x_2^+\| + \|x - x_2^-\| = 2.$$

A similar conclusion holds with x_2^+ replaced by x_3^+ and x_2^- replaced by x_3^- respectively where $x_3^+ = (-1/2, 1), x_3^- = (1, 0)$ satisfy $x_3^+ \in St(x_3)$ and $x_3^- \in St(-x_3)$.

Case 2. $x \in St(x_2)$. Set $x_1^+ = (1/2, 1), x_1^- = (1/2, -1)$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\|x - x_i^+\| + \|x - x_i^-\| = 2 \quad \forall i = 1, 3.$$

Case 3. $x \in St(x_3)$. This case yields to a similar argument as Case 2. □

Now Corollary 2.8 combined with the results [19, section 4] on the stability of the classes of almost-CL-spaces by c_0 - and ℓ_1 -sums will show the following result.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of separable almost-CL-spaces, and let $X = [\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i]_{c_0}$ or $X = [\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i]_{\ell_1}$. Then X has the MUP.*

We shall consider the spaces $C(K, X)$ of continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space K into a Banach space X . These are also the first examples of vector valued spaces having the MUP.

Proposition 2.14. *Let K be a compact metric space K , and let X be a separable almost-CL-space. Then $C(K, X)$ has the MUP.*

Proof. It follows from [27] that $C(K, X)$ is a separable Banach space. Note that the result in [19, section 5] states that $C(K, X)$ is an almost-CL-space if and only if X is. The desired conclusion follows immediately from Corollary 2.8. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors express their appreciation to Guanggui Ding for many very helpful comments regarding isometric theory in Banach spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Cheng and Y. Dong, *On a generalized Mazur-Ulam question: extension of isometries between unit spheres of Banach spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **377** (2011), no. 2, 464–470,
- [2] G. Ding, *On perturbations and extensions of isometric operators*, Taiwanese J. Math. **5** (1) (2001) 109–115.
- [3] G. Ding, *On isometric extension problem between two unit spheres*, Sci. China Ser. A **52** (10) (2009), 2069–2083.
- [4] G. Ding, *The isometric extension of the into mapping from the $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Gamma)$ -type space to some normed space E* , Illinois J. Math., **51**(2)(2007), 445–453.
- [5] G. Ding, *The isometric extension of “into” mappings on unit spheres of AL-spaces*, Sci. China Ser. A, **51**(10)(2008), 1904–1918.
- [6] X. Fang and J. Wang, *On extension of isometries between the unit spheres of normed space E and $C(\Omega)$* , Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), **22**(6)(2006), 1819–1824.
- [7] R. E. Fullerton, *Geometrical characterization of certain function spaces*, in: Proc. Inter. Sympos. Linear Spaces, Jerusalem, 1960, Jerusalem Academic Press/Pergamon, Jerusalem/Oxford, 1961, pp. 227–236.
- [8] R. B. Holmes: *Geometric functional analysis and its applications*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss, *Extension of compact operators*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 48, Providence 1964.
- [10] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II, Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

- [11] L. Li and R. Liu, *On the isometric extension problem: a survey*, Stability of functional equations and applications, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci., **24**(2008), 339–354.
- [12] A. Lima, *Intersection properties of balls and subspaces in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **227** (1977), 1–62.
- [13] A. Lima, *Intersection properties of balls in spaces of compact operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28** (1978) 35–65.
- [14] R. Liu, *On extension of isometries between the unit sphere of $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ -type space and a Banach space E* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **333**(2007), 959–970.
- [15] R. Liu and L. Zhang, *On extension of isometries and approximate isometries between unit spheres*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **352**(2009), 749–761.
- [16] R. Liu, *A note on extension of isometric embedding from a Banach space E into the universal space $\ell_\infty(\Gamma)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **363**(2010), 220-2-24.
- [17] P. Mankiewicz, *On extension of isometries in normed spaces*, Bul. Acad. Polon. Sci., **20**(1972), 367–371.
- [18] V. Kadets and M. Martin, *Extension of isometries between unit spheres of finite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces*, preprint.
<http://www.ugr.es/~mmartins/Curriculum/Papers/pre-KadetsMartin-isometries-polyhedral.pdf>
- [19] M. Martin and R. Payá, *On CL-spaces and almost CL-spaces*, Ark. Mat. **42** (2004), 107–118.
- [20] M. Martin, *Banach spaces having the Radon-Nikodým property and numerical index 1*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 3407–3410.
- [21] M. Martin and J. Meri, *Numerical index of some polyhedral norms on the plane*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **55**(2) (2007), 175–190.
- [22] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformations isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **194**(1932), 946–948.
- [23] D. Tan, *Extension of isometries on unit sphere of L^∞* , Taiwanese J. Math. **15** (2) (2011), 819–827.
- [24] D. Tan, *Extension of isometries on unit sphere of $L^p(\mu)$ spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), doi: 10.1007/s10114-011-0302-6.
- [25] D. Tan, *On extension of isometries on the unit spheres of L^p -spaces for $0 < p \leq 1$* , Nonlinear Anal., **74**(2011), 6981–6987.
- [26] D. Tingley, *Isometries of the unit spheres*, Geom. Dedicata, **22**(1987), 371–378.
- [27] G. Vidossich, *Characterizing separability of function spaces*, Invent. Math. **10**(1970), 205–208.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TIANJIN 300384, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: tandongni0608@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: ruiliu@nankai.edu.cn