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#### Abstract

For $n \geqslant 1$, let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ be independent, identically distributed standard normal variables. Among nonnegative real vectors $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ of norm 1 , the quantity $\mathbb{E} \|\left(u_{1} \xi_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \xi_{n} \|_{\infty}\right.$ is maximised when $u$ has at most two non-zero entries, and it is minimised when $u$ is proportional to $(1, \ldots, 1)$. Further generalisations of this result are also discussed. As a corollary, a lower bound on the mean width of a general convex body $K$ is derived in terms of the successive inner radii of $K$.


## 1. Context and motivation

Let $K$ be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A natural way to measure how close $K$ is to a ball is to relate its volume to that of the largest ball inscribed in $K$, or to the smallest ball circumscribed about $K$. Equivalently, one may as well relate $\operatorname{Vol}(K)$ to the inradius of $K$ and to the circumradius of $K$. However, the best possible estimates in this case are the trivial ones.

More interesting inequalities can be obtained by taking into account the successive inner and outer radii of $K$. These are defined as follows. Let $r(K)$ and $R(K)$ denote the inradius and the circumradius of $K$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{n}$ denote the set of $i$-dimensional affine subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and for a subspace $L \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{n}$, denote by $K \mid L$ the orthogonal projection of $K$ onto $L$. The successive inner and outer radii of $K$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ are given by

$$
r_{i}(K)=\max _{L \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{n}} r(K \cap L) \text { and } R_{i}(K)=\min _{L \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{n}} R(K \mid L)
$$

Note that $r_{n}(K)=r(K), R_{n}(K)=R(K), 2 r_{1}(K)$ is the diameter of $K$, and $2 R_{1}(K)$ is the minimum width of $K$.

We also introduce the intrinsic volumes of $K$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}(K)=\frac{\binom{n}{i} \kappa_{n}}{\kappa_{i} \kappa_{n-i}} \int_{\mathcal{L}_{i}^{n}} \operatorname{Vol}_{i}(K \mid L) d \mu_{i}(L) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{i}^{n}$ is the Grassmannian of all $i$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, equipped with the unique Haar probability measure $\mu_{i}$, and $\kappa_{n}$ is the volume of $B^{n}$, the unit ball of dimension $n$ :

$$
\kappa_{n}=\frac{\pi^{n / 2}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)}
$$

[^0]Alternatively, $V_{i}(K)$ may be expressed as the coefficients in Steiner's formula

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(K+\lambda B^{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{d} \lambda^{n-i} \kappa_{n-i} V_{i}(K)
$$

The most well-known special cases are: $V_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Vol}(K) ; 2 V_{n-1}(K)$ is the surface area of $K ; 2 \kappa_{n-1} /\left(n \kappa_{n}\right) V_{1}(K)$ is the mean width of $K$; and $V_{0}(K)=1$ is the Euler characteristic. For further references, see Gruber [4] or Schneider [7].

Since the intrinsic volumes are the average volumes of projections of $K$ onto lower dimensional subspaces, it is natural to expect a relationship between these and the successive radii of $K$. This link was established by M. Henk and M. Hernández Cifre [5] who proved that the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{i}(K) & \leqslant 2^{i} s_{i}\left(R_{1}(K), \ldots, R_{n}(K)\right) \text { for every } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n ;  \tag{2}\\
V_{n-1}(K) & \geqslant \frac{2^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sqrt{s_{n-1}\left(r_{1}(K)^{2}, \ldots, r_{n}(K)^{2}\right)} ; \\
V_{n-2}(K) & \geqslant \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{2^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \sqrt{s_{n-2}\left(r_{1}(K)^{2}, \ldots, r_{n}(K)^{2}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{i}$ stands for the $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial:

$$
s_{i}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leqslant k_{1}<\cdots<k_{i} \leqslant n} \lambda_{k_{1}} \ldots \lambda_{k_{i}}
$$

The upper bound (2) is sharp. In order to derive the lower bounds on $V_{i}(K)$, the authors of [5] apply a sequence of Steiner symmetrisations to $K$, leading to a convex body $\widetilde{K}$ which contains the orthogonal cross-polytope spanned by $\pm r_{1}(K) e_{1}, \ldots, \pm r_{n}(K) e_{n}$, where $\left(e_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Using that Steiner symmetrisations do not increase the intrinsic volumes (see e.g. [4]), one arrives at the following bound:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}(K) \geqslant V_{i}\left(C_{n}\left(r_{1}(K), \ldots, r_{n}(K)\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\operatorname{conv}\left( \pm \lambda_{i} e_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right)
$$

Thus, in order to derive the best bounds provided by this method, one faces the following question:

Problem 1. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, determine the vectors $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ minimising

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{i}\left(C_{n}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{s_{i}\left(u_{1}^{2}, \ldots, u_{n}^{2}\right)}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the quantity (41) is invariant under scaling (see e.g. Corollary 2.1. of [5]), thus we may assume that $u \in S^{n-1}$. It is proved in 5] that for $i=n-1$ and $i=n-2$, the minimum of (4) is attained when $u$ is a multiple of $(1, \ldots, 1)$, that is, when the cross-polytope is regular. The authors also conjecture that the same statement should hold for every $i$.

In the present note, we settle the $i=1$ case of Problem 1, showing that the minimum is attained in the regular case, whereas the maximum
is attained when the cross-polytope is at most 2 dimensional. Perhaps it is more convenient to formulate an equivalent question about Gaussian vectors.

Problem 2. Let $n \geqslant 1$, and $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ be independent, identically distributed standard normal variables. Determine the unit vector $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with non-negative coordinates which minimises

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left\{\left|u_{i} \xi_{i}\right|\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the random vector $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ by $\xi$ and introducing the Hadamard product $v \odot w$ of $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
(v \odot w)_{i}=v_{i} w_{i}
$$

the quantity in (5) becomes $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}$. Note that $u \odot \xi$ is an $n$-dimensional Gaussian random vector with independent coordinates, whose covariance matrix has trace 1.

The equivalence of Problem [2 and the $i=1$ case of Problem $\square$ follows by the following standard transformation. For a convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $x \in S^{n-1}$, let $h_{K}(x)$ denote the support function and $\rho_{K}(x)$ the radial function of $K$. If $K$ is symmetric, $\|.\|_{K}$ denotes the assigned norm with unit ball $K . K^{*}$ stands for the polar body of $K$. Furthermore, $\sigma(x)=\sigma_{k-1}(x)$ denotes the ( $k-1$ )-dimensional surface (Lebesgue) measure on $S^{k-1}$; note that $\sigma$ is a scaled copy of the rotationally invariant probablity measure on $S^{k-1}$, with total mass $k \kappa_{k}$. With these conventions, using (1),
$\kappa_{n-1} V_{1}(K)=\int_{S^{n-1}} h_{K}(x) d \sigma(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\rho_{K^{*}}(x)} d \sigma(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}}\|x\|_{K^{*}} d \sigma(x)$.
Since $C_{n}^{*}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ is a rectangular box of half-axes $e_{1} / u_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} / u_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}\left(C_{n}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{\kappa_{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}}\|u \odot x\|_{\infty} d \sigma(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{(n-1) / 2}} \int_{S^{n-1}}\|u \odot x\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{2} / 2} r^{n} d r d \sigma(x) \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-|x|^{2} / 2}\|u \odot x\|_{\infty} d x \\
& =\sqrt{2 \pi} \mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Relaxing the independence condition of Problem 2, we can ask the following, more general question. We call a multivariate random variable centred, if the mean values of its coordinate variables are 0 .

Problem 3. Among the n-dimensional centred Gaussian random vectors $X$ satisfying $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Cov} X=1$, which ones minimise and maximise $\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{\infty}$ ?
Problem 2 is a special case of Problem [3 when $\operatorname{Cov} X$ is assumed to be diagonal.

We answer Problems 2 and 3 formulated above. By standard normal vector we understand a vector with i.i.d. standard normal coordinate variables.

Theorem 1. Let $\xi=\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional standard normal vector, and let $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a unit vector. For $n=2$, the expectation $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}$ is independent of the choice of $u$. For $n \geqslant 3$, the expectation is maximised
when at most two coordinates of $u$ are non-zero, and it is minimised when $u=( \pm 1 / \sqrt{n}, \ldots, \pm 1 / \sqrt{n})$.

Thus, the regular cross-polytope is the minimiser for Problem 1 ,
Theorem 2. Among the $n$-dimensional centred Gaussian random vectors $X$ satisfying $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Cov} X=1, \mathbb{E}\|X\|_{\infty}$ is maximal when $\operatorname{Cov} X$ is diagonal with at most two non-zero entries, and minimal when the absolute values of the coordinates of $X$ are identical almost everywhere.

We note that among symmetric convex bodies $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in John position (that is, $B_{2}^{n}$ is the maximal volume ellipsoid inscribed in $K$ ), $\int_{S^{n-1}}\|x\|_{K} d \sigma(x)$ is minimal for the cube [6], see also [1], pp. 52-53. It also follows that the cube has minimal mean width among its affine images of the same volume [3]. This, however, does not imply the above results, as the cube has the smallest volume among the rectangular boxes to be considered in the present problem.

Next, we derive a lower estimate for $V_{1}(K)$ from Theorem 1. Let $\mu$ be the median of $\|x\|_{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with respect to the standard Gaussian measure. For the reader's convenience, we illustrate how to estimate $\mu$, following Ball [1], pp. 52. On the one hand, (6) implies that

$$
V_{1}\left(C_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{n}} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-|x|^{2} / 2}\|x\|_{\infty} d x>\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 n}} \mu
$$

On the other hand, $\mu$ satisfies that

$$
\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{[-\mu, \mu]^{n}} e^{-|x|^{2} / 2} d x=\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\mu} e^{-s^{2} / 2} d s\right)^{n} \approx\left(1-e^{-\mu^{2} / 2}\right)^{n}
$$

thus, from $2^{-1 / n} \approx 1-(\log 2) / n$ we deduce that $\mu \approx \sqrt{2 \log n}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}\left(C_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \approx \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking (3) into account, we arrive at the following estimate.
Corollary 1. There exists an absolute constant $c$, so that for any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
V_{1}(K) \geqslant c \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \sqrt{r_{1}(K)^{2}+\cdots+r_{n}(K)^{2}}
$$

Numerical calculations show that the value of $c$ can be chosen to be 1.74. This estimate, however, is not optimal, because the approximating orthogonal cross-polytope does not cover $K$ (e.g. the successive inner radii of the regular cross-polytope form a strictly decreasing sequence). In fact, the authors of [5] conjecture that the sharp lower bound in terms of the inner radii should be $2 \sqrt{r_{1}(K)^{2}+\cdots+r_{n}(K)^{2}}$; setting $K=C_{n}\left(\mu, \mu^{2}, \ldots, \mu^{n}\right)$ for $\mu$ large shows that this bound would be the best possible.

## 2. Proofs

We start with a technical lemma.
Lemma 1. For any $0<q \leqslant 2$, the function

$$
F(x)=\frac{e^{x^{2} / 2}}{x^{q-1}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

is strictly increasing for $x>0$.
Proof. It is easy to obtain that

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{x^{q}}\left(x+\left(x^{2}-q+1\right) e^{x^{2} / 2} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t\right)=: \frac{1}{x^{q}} f(x) .
$$

Here $f(0)=0$ and

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=x^{2}+2-q+x\left(x^{2}+3-q\right) e^{x^{2} / 2} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

which is positive for $x>0$. Thus, $F^{\prime}(x)>0$ for every $x>0$.
Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}$ is a continuous function of $u$ on the unit sphere, it suffices to find the extremum values among the critical points on $S^{n-1}$ (the compactness of the unit sphere implies that the extrema exist). First, we show that the absolute values of the non-zero coordinates of the critical points are all equal. Because of symmetry, we may and do assume that $u_{i} \geqslant 0$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Using that for a non-negative random variable $X$

$$
\mathbb{E} X=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X>t) d t=\int_{0}^{\infty}(1-\mathbb{P}(X \leqslant t)) d t
$$

we can express the expectation in question as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty} & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|u_{i} \xi_{i}\right| \leqslant t\right)\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n / 2} \int_{0}^{t / u_{1}} e^{-s^{2} / 2} d s \ldots \int_{0}^{t / u_{n}} e^{-s^{2} / 2} d s\right) d t  \tag{8}\\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\phi\left(t / u_{1}\right) \ldots \phi\left(t / u_{n}\right)\right) d t
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\phi(a)=\operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{a} e^{-s^{2} / 2} d s,
$$

also using the convention that $c / 0=\infty$ for $c \geqslant 0$.

When $u_{1} \neq 0$, integrating by parts leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}}{\partial u_{1}}= & \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{1}^{2}}}{u_{1}^{2}} \phi\left(t / u_{2}\right) \ldots \phi\left(t / u_{n}\right) d t \\
= & {\left[-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{1}^{2}} \phi\left(t / u_{2}\right) \ldots \phi\left(t / u_{n}\right)\right]_{0}^{\infty}+}  \tag{9}\\
& +\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{1}^{2}} \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(\frac{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{i}^{2}}}{u_{i}} \prod_{\substack{j=2 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \phi\left(t / u_{j}\right)\right) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Note that on the right hand side, the first summand vanishes.
Next, assume that $u$ is a critical point of $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}$ on $S^{n-1}$ with at least two non-zero coordinates, say, $u_{1} \geqslant u_{2}>0$. Keeping all the other coordinates fixed and applying the Lagrange multiplier method to the restricted function, we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{u_{1}} \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}}{\partial u_{1}}=\frac{1}{u_{2}} \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}}{\partial u_{2}}
$$

Along with (9), this implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{1}^{2}}}{u_{1}} \sum_{i=3}^{n}\left(\frac{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{i}^{2}}}{u_{i}} \prod_{\substack{j=2 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \phi\left(t / u_{j}\right)\right) d t \\
&=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{2}^{2}}}{u_{2}} \sum_{i=3}^{n}\left(\frac{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{i}^{2}}}{u_{i}} \phi\left(t / u_{1}\right) \prod_{\substack{j=3 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} \phi\left(t / u_{j}\right)\right) d t . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The quotient of the above integrands is

$$
\frac{u_{2}}{u_{1}} \frac{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{1}^{2}}}{e^{-t^{2} / 2 u_{2}^{2}}} \frac{\phi\left(t / u_{2}\right)}{\phi\left(t / u_{1}\right)}=\frac{s}{\phi(s)} e^{-s^{2} / 2} \frac{e^{(\mu s)^{2} / 2}}{\mu s} \phi(\mu s)
$$

where $s=t / u_{1}$ and $\mu=u_{1} / u_{2}$. Setting $q=2$ in Lemma 1 implies that for any fixed $s>0$, this is a strictly increasing function of $\mu$. In particular, $\mu>1$ would imply that the quotient is strictly greater than 1 for every $s>0$. Thus, equality in (10) can hold only if $\mu=1$, that is, $u_{1}=u_{2}$.

Therefore, all the non-zero coordinates of the extremal vectors $u$ must be equal, and in order to find the minimum and the maximum values of $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}$, it suffices to compute the expectations for the set of points

$$
u^{k}=(\underbrace{1 / \sqrt{k}, \ldots, 1 / \sqrt{k}}_{k}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-k}), \quad k=1, \ldots, n .
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
E_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathbb{E}\left\|\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}
$$

our goal is to show that

$$
E_{1}=E_{2}>E_{3}>\cdots>E_{n}
$$

Note that (6) and (7) imply that the above inequality is asymptotically true, as $E_{n} \approx \sqrt{\log n / 2 n}$.

Fix $n \geqslant 1$, and for $0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1 / \sqrt{n+1}$, introduce

$$
u(\rho)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho^{2}}{n}}, \ldots, \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho^{2}}{n}}, \rho\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
$$

Then, by (8),

$$
\mathbb{E}\|u(\rho) \odot \xi\|_{\infty}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right) \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n}\right) d t=: R(\rho)
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\prime}(\rho)= & \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{\rho^{2}} e^{-t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n} d t \\
& -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t \rho n^{3 / 2}}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right) \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-1} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By partial integration,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{\rho^{2}} e^{-t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n} d t=\left[-e^{-t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n}\right]_{0}^{\infty} \\
& \quad+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} n \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}} e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-1} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first term on the right hand side vanishes. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t \rho n^{3 / 2}}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right) \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-1} d t \\
&=\left[-e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \rho \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right) \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-1}\right]_{0}^{\infty} \\
& \quad+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}} e^{-t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-1} d t \\
& \quad+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-n t^{2} /\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \rho \frac{n(n-1)}{1-\rho^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right) \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime}(\rho) & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}(n-1) \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)^{n-2}  \tag{11}\\
\cdot & {\left[e^{-t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)-e^{-n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \rho \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right)\right] d t }
\end{align*}
$$

Since $1 / \rho \geqslant \sqrt{n /\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}$, Lemman $(q=2)$ implies that for every $t>0$

$$
\frac{1}{t \sqrt{n /\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}} e^{n t^{2} / 2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \phi\left(t \sqrt{\frac{n}{1-\rho^{2}}}\right) \leqslant \frac{\rho}{t} e^{t^{2} / 2 \rho^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right)
$$

which, by (11), shows that for any $t>0$, the function $R(\rho)$ is decreasing on the interval $[0,1 / \sqrt{n+1}]$. Hence, for every $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
E_{n}=R(0) \geqslant R\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right)=E_{n+1}
$$

Furthermore, (11) shows that equality holds above if and only if $n=1$.
Proof of Theorem 图. Let $u_{i}=\sqrt{(\operatorname{Cov} X)_{i i}}$. For the minimum inequality, we may and do assume that $u_{1}^{2}$ is the largest diagonal entry of $\operatorname{Cov} X$, hence $u_{1} \geqslant 1 / \sqrt{n}$. Since $\|X\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left|X_{1}\right|$, and $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|=\sqrt{2 / \pi} u_{1}$, we obtain the lower bound $\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{\infty} \geqslant \sqrt{2 /(n \pi)}$. This bound is sharp only if for all $i, u_{i}=1 / \sqrt{n}$ and $\|X\|_{\infty}=\left|X_{i}\right|$ almost everywhere, which yields that for every $i$ and $j$, $\left|X_{i}\right|=\left|X_{j}\right|$ almost everywhere.

For the upper bound, let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ be i.i.d standard normal variables. By a theorem of Šidák ([8], [9]), which is a relative of Slepian's lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\|X\|_{\infty} \leqslant t\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{1}\right| \leqslant t, \ldots,\left|X_{n}\right|\right. & \leqslant t) \\
& \geqslant \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{i}\right| \leqslant t\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|u_{i} \xi_{i}\right| \leqslant t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the question reduces to Problem 2, and the upper bound provided by Theorem 1 is sharp if the coordinate variables of $X$ are independent.

## 3. Further remarks

Theorem 1 may also be proved by induction on $n$; the inductive statement asserts that for any constant $C \geqslant 0$, the quantity

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \max \left\{C,\|u \odot x\|_{\infty}\right\} d \gamma(x)
$$

where $\gamma$ is the standard $n$-variate Gaussian distribution, is maximal for $u=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$, and minimal for $u=(1 / \sqrt{n}, \ldots, 1 / \sqrt{n})$. The initial step is the $n=2$ case. After determining the possible extremum points using Lagrange multipliers, the remaining statement amounts to the following.

Lemma 2. For any $c \geqslant 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$
c+\int_{c}^{\infty}\left(1-(\phi(\sqrt{2} t))^{2}\right) d t \leqslant c+\int_{c}^{\infty}(1-\phi(t)) d t=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-c^{2} / 2}+c \phi(c)
$$

We choose not to include the somewhat technical proof here; the interested reader can verify the statement by taking second derivatives and analysing the functions.

There are two natural directions to generalise the above results. First, Problem 1 is open for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-3$. A method similar to the one presented here may be applied to these cases as well; however, when computing the mixed volumes of cross-polytopes, one faces a formula (see e.g. Corollary 2.1 of [5]) which is too complicated to carry out the necessary analysis. It may be possible to express the mixed volumes in a more suitable way; in that respect, it is illustrative that (6) differs from the $i=1$ case of the above cited formula.

The other direction is to generalise Problem 2 the following way.

Problem 4. Let $n \geqslant 1, p, q \in(1, \infty]$, and let $\xi$ be an n-variate Gaussian vector with i.i.d. standard normal coordinate variables. Determine the maximum and minimum of $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{p}$ subject to the condition $\|u\|_{q}=1$.

Clearly, in the $p=q=1$ case the expectation is independent of the choice of $u$, whereas Theorem 1 provides the answer to the $q=2, p=\infty$ case. We now extend this for $0<q<2$ as well.

Theorem 3. For $p=\infty$ and $0<q \leqslant 2$, the answer to Problem 4 is given as follows: $\mathbb{E}\|u \odot \xi\|_{\infty}$ is maximised by $u=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$, and it is minimised by $u=\left(n^{-1 / q}, \ldots, n^{-1 / q}\right)$.

Proof. The argument applied in the course of the proof of Theorem 1, together with the general case of Lemma 1 imply that the extremal vectors are among the $u_{q}^{k}$ given by

$$
u_{q}^{k}=(\underbrace{k^{-1 / q}, \ldots, k^{-1 / q}}_{k}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-k}), \quad k=1, \ldots, n .
$$

Introducing

$$
E_{k, q}=k^{-1 / q} \mathbb{E}\left\|\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}
$$

the chain of inequalities

$$
E_{1, q}>E_{2, q}>\cdots>E_{n, q}
$$

easily follows by

$$
\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{1 / q}>\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \geqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\|\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k+1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}}{\mathbb{E}\left\|\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty}}
$$

It would be natural to expect that for every $p$ and $q$, the behaviour of the extremal vectors is similar to the above case. However, this is very far from being true. We illustrate this phenomenon by the $n=2$ and $p=2$ case, where by elementary but tedious calculations one can show the following. Let $q_{L}=3 / 2, q_{M}=\log 2 /(\log \pi-\log 2) \approx 1.53$ and $q_{U}=2$. For $1 \leqslant q \leqslant q_{L}$, the expectation is maximal when $u=(1,0)$, and there is exactly one local (and global) minimum at $\left(2^{-1 / q}, 2^{-1 / q}\right)$. For $q_{L}<q<q_{U}$, there is a local maximum at both of these directions, and there are two further local (and global) minimum points. When $q=q_{M}$, these two maxima are equal; for $q<q_{M}$, the global maximum is at $(1,0)$, whereas for $q>q_{M}$, the global maximum is at $\left(2^{-1 / q}, 2^{-1 / q}\right)$. For $q \geqslant q_{U}$, the vector $(1,0)$ becomes a global minimum point, and the only local extremum points are $(1,0),(0,1)$ and $\left(2^{-1 / q}, 2^{-1 / q}\right)$. For general $p$, a similar pattern holds with $q_{U}=2$, and $q_{L} \rightarrow 2$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

The same situation occurs in higher dimensions, with $q_{U}$ depending on $n$. Thus, in particular, it is not true in general that the extremal vectors $u$ are necessarily of the form $(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)$, up to permutation and sign changes of the coordinates.

For $p=\infty$ and $q>2$, the distribution of the minimum and maximum points is unclear. Theorem 1 and the discussion above show that for $q \leqslant 2$, the maximum is achieved at $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$, whereas the minimum is taken when $u$ is parallel to $(1, \ldots, 1)$. However, for $q=\infty$, the role of these two directions is clearly swapped. Thus, there must be a transition phase as
$q \rightarrow \infty$, and it is plausible to expect that the behaviour of the extremal points depends heavily on the dimension as well. That for any $q>2$, whether all the extremal points have equal absolute values of the non-zero coordinates remains an open question.
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