MEAN DIMENSION & JAWORSKI-TYPE THEOREMS

YONATAN GUTMAN

Abstract. According to the celebrated Jaworski Theorem, a finite dimensional aperiodic dynamical system (X, T) embeds in the 1-dimensional cubical shift $([0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$. If X admits periodic points (still assuming $\dim(X) < \infty$) then we show in this paper that periodic dimension perdim $(X, T) < \frac{d}{2}$ implies that (X, T) embeds in the d-dimensional cubical shift $(([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift). This verifies a conjecture by Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto for finite dimensional systems. Moreover for an infinite dimensional dynamical system, with the same periodic dimension assumption, the set of periodic points can be equivariantly immersed in $(([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift). Furthermore we introduce a notion of markers for general topological dynamical systems, and use a generalized version of the Bonatti-Crovisier tower theorem, to show that an extension (X, T) of an aperiodic finite-dimensional system whose mean dimension obeys mdim $(X, T) < \frac{d}{16}$ embeds in the $(d+1)$ -cubical shift $(([0, 1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$.

1. Introduction

The classical Theorem of Jaworski (1974) is usually stated in the following way: a finite-dimensional aperiodic (invertible) topological dynamical system can be equivariantly embedded in the 1-dimensional cubical shift ([0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}},shift). However a careful reading of Jaworski's PhD thesis reveals that Jaworski actually proved a slightly stronger statement: one can replace the assumption of no periodic points with the requirement of no periodic

Date: August 16, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A35, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. Mean dimension, periodic dimension, Jaworski's theorem, cubical shift, marker property.

points of order less or equal to $(4n+3)^2$ (where n is the dimension of the system). One may wonder if this constant is best possible. Indeed it is pointed out by Coornaert (2005), that using Jaworski's original argument, it is enough to assume there are no periodic points of order less or equal to 6*n*. One may try to find the optimal constant (it turns out to be $2n$) but it soon becomes clear this is not the right way to recast Jaworski's theorem. Instead, it is better to consider the obstruction to embedding due to the set of periodic points in its totality. Our first theorem is the statement that if X is finite-dimensional and $\text{perdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ $\frac{d}{2}$ (see definition in Subsec-tion [2.4\)](#page-3-0), then (X, T) embeds in $(([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift). It is an old result due to Flores (1935) that one can find metric compacta X_d of dimension d which do not embed in \mathbb{R}^{2d} . Considering the trivial action $Id: X_d \to X_d$, we see there exists systems with $\text{perdim}(X_d, Id) = d$ which cannot be embedded in $(([0, 1]^{2d})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$. The constant in the theorem is thus optimal. The new theorem is a particular case of a conjecture by Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto (2012): If $\text{mdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ and $\text{perdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ then (X,T) embeds in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}},\text{shift})$. While we cannot report any progress in establishing this theorem in its totality, we have been able to prove what can be dubbed "the easy half" of the conjecture: namely if $\text{perdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ then one can find a morphism $\phi: (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ so that for P, the set of all periodic points, $\phi_{|P} : (P,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is an immersion, i.e. an injective continuous mapping.

Another direction for which Jaworski's theorem lends itself for generalization is replacing the requirement of the phase space X being finite dimensional by the condition of possessing a finite dimensional factor. This returns to Lindenstrauss' ingenious theorem (1999), stating that an extension of an aperiodic minimal system with $\text{mdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{36}$ embeds in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift}).$ We show that an extension of an aperiodic finite dimensional system with

 $\text{mdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{16}$ embeds in $(([0,1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$. In order to prove this theorem we introduce for general dynamical systems the notion of a marker, well known in the setting of zero dimensional systems, since the fundamental work of Krieger (1982). The key step is the statement the aperiodic finite dimensional systems admit markers. Surprisingly this is achieved by a certain generalization of the tower theorem of Bonatti-Crovisier (2004).

Remark. W. Winter and G. Szabó have informed me that the theorem that aperiodic finite dimensional systems admit markers have found applications in the classification theory for C^* -algebras arising from dynamical systems (see [\[Sza15,](#page-36-0) [HWZ15\]](#page-36-1)).

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Jérôme Buzzi, Sylvain Crovisier, Tomasz Downarowicz, Misha Gromov, Mariusz Lemańczyk, Elon Lindenstrauss, Julien Melleray, Michał Rams and Benjamin Weiss for helpful discussions. Special thanks to Tomasz Downarowicz and the Wrocław University of Technology for hosting me for numerous times during the time I was working on this article. I am grateful to Hanfeng Li and Masaki Tsukamoto for sending me comments on an earlier version. I thank the anonymous referee for a careful reading and many useful suggestions. The research was partially supported by the Marie Curie grant PCIG12-GA-2012-334564 and by the National Science Center (Poland) grant 2013/08/A/ST1/00275.

2. Preliminaries

We expand on some of the notions appearing in the Introduction:

2.1. **Embedding Dimension.** Let X be a metric compact space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a homeomorphism. For the topological dynamical system $(t.d.s)$ (X,T) , define:

$$
\mathrm{edim}(X,T)=\min\{d\in\mathbb{N}\cup\infty|(X,T)\hookrightarrow(([0,1]^d)^\mathbb{Z},\mathrm{shift})
$$

This is the minimal d such that there is a continuous equivariant embedding of (X, T) into the shift on the d-cube, the d-dimensional cubical shift. Notice that any compact metric space can be embedded in $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ which implies (X, T) is naturally embedded in $(([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift), hence edim (X, T) is well defined.

2.2. Topological Generators. The embedding dimension can be understood as a topological dynamical analogue to some concepts used in measured dynamics. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be an (invertible) measure preserving system. A measurable function $P: X \to \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ is said to be a *generating parti*tion if the $I_P(x) \triangleq (P(T^k(x)))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $x \in X$, almost surely separates points. Similarly let us call a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ a topological generator if $I_f(x) \triangleq (f(T^k(x)))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $x \in X$, separates points. Note that $d =$ edim (X, T) is the minimal d such there exists a topological generator $f: X \to [0,1]^d$. By Krieger's generator theorem ([\[Kri70\]](#page-36-2)) if (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) is ergodic and $h_{\mu}(T) < \log(d)$ then there exists a generating partition with d elements.

2.3. Dimension. Let $\mathcal C$ denote the collection of open (finite) covers of X. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ define its *order* by $\text{ord}(\alpha) = \max_{x \in X} \sum_{U \in \alpha} 1_U(x) - 1$. Let $D(\alpha) = \min_{\beta \succ \alpha} ord(\beta)$ (where β refines $\alpha, \beta \succ \alpha$, if for every $V \in \beta$, there is $U \in \alpha$ so that $V \subset U$). The Lebesgue covering dimension is defined by dim(X) = $\sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} D(\alpha)$. According to the Menger-Nöbeling theorem ($[HW41]$, Theorem V.2), a compact metric space of dimension d embeds in $[0, 1]^{2d+1}.$

2.4. **Periodic Dimension.** Let P_m denote the set of points of period $\leq m$. Introduce the infinite vector $\overrightarrow{\text{perdim}}(X,T) = \left(\frac{\dim(P_m)}{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. This vector is clearly a topological dynamical invariant. Let $d > 0$. Denote by $\vec{d} =$ (d, d, \ldots) the infinite constant vector. We write $\overrightarrow{\text{perdim}}(X, T) < d$ (respectively $\overrightarrow{\text{perdim}}(X,T) \leq \overrightarrow{d}$, or more simply perdim $(X,T) < d$ (respectively perdim $(X,T) \leq d$, if for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overrightarrow{\text{perdim}}(X,T)|_m \lt d$ (respectively $\overrightarrow{\text{perdim}}(X,T)|_m \leq d$. If X is finite dimensional, one can simplify matters by redefining perdim $(X,T) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\dim(P_m)}{m}$ $\frac{n(F_m)}{m}$, the point being that perdim $(X, T) < d$ and perdim $(X, T) \le d$ have exactly the same meaning as perviously. It is easy to see that $\text{perdim}(X,T) \leq \text{edim}(X,T)$ for any t.d.s (X, T) .

2.5. Mean Dimension. Define:

$$
\text{mdim}(X,T) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D(\alpha^n)}{n}
$$

where $\alpha^n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} \alpha$. Mean dimension was introduced by Gromov [\[Gro99\]](#page-35-0) and systematically investigated by Lindenstrauss and Weiss in [\[LW00\]](#page-36-4). It is easy to see edim $(X, T) \geq \text{mdim}(X, T)$ for any t.d.s (X, T) .

Remark 2.5.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{mdim}(X,T) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D(\alpha^{n+k})}{n}$ $\frac{n^{(n+1)}}{n}$.

2.6. Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto Embedding Conjecture. According to Conjecture 1.2 of [\[LT14\]](#page-36-5) it should hold for all t.d.s (X, T) that if $\min(X, T)$ d $\frac{d}{2}$ and perdim $(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ $\frac{d}{2}$, then edim $(X,T) \leq d$. This can be compared to Krieger's embedding theorem in symbolic dynamics ([\[Kri82\]](#page-36-6)): If (X, T) and (Y, S) are irreducible shifts of finite type so that there exists an embedding of the set of periodic points of X into the set periodic points of $Y, (P(X), T) \hookrightarrow (P(Y), S)$ and $h_{top}(X, T) < h_{top}(Y, S)$, then there exists an embedding $(X, T) \hookrightarrow (Y, S)$. By Flores' construction ([\[Flo35\]](#page-35-1)), as explained in the Introduction, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are finite dimensional t.d.s (X_n, T) for which $2n + 1 =$ edim $(X_n, T) > 2$ perdim $(X_n, T) = 2n$. By subsection 1.8 of [\[Gut11\]](#page-35-2), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are t.d.s (Y_n, T) such

that $2n + 1 = \text{edim}(Y_n, T) > 2 \text{mdim}(Y_n, T) = 2n$. It is easy to see that for these examples it also holds $\text{perdim}(Y_n, T) = n$. Remarkably by Theorem 1.3 of [\[LT14\]](#page-36-5), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are minimal t.d.s (Z_n, T) for which $edim(Z_n, T) > 2mdim(Y_n, T) = n$. However, unlike the condition on periodic points in Krieger's embedding theorem which is necessary for embedding, the conditions in the Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto embedding conjecture are not necessary. That is, for some systems it holds that $\frac{d}{2} \leq \text{mdim}(X,T) \leq d$ and $\frac{d}{2} \leq \text{perdim}(X,T) \leq d$ and (X,T) still embeds in $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift}), e.g (X,T) = (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift}).$

2.7. Jaworski's Theorems. Jaworski's PhD Thesis ([\[Jaw74\]](#page-36-7)) is the first attempt to bound edim (X, T) . Jaworski showed that if $dim(X) = n$ and $P_{(4n+3)^2} = \emptyset$, then edim $(X,T) = 1$. Coornaert ([\[Coo05\]](#page-35-3), Chapter 8, Exercise 10) noted that it is enough to assume $P_{6n} = \emptyset$. In addition Jaworski proved that if X is a differentiable manifold of dimension n and $T: X \to X$ is a C^r-diffeomorphism $(r \geq 1)$, then every equivariant C^r-embedding of $(P_{(2n+1)^2}, T)$ in $(([0, 1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ extends to an equivariant C^r -embedding of (X, T) in $(([0, 1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$. In the literature the name Jaworski's theorem has been attached to Theorem 9 in Chapter 13 of [\[Aus88\]](#page-35-4): An aperiodic finite dimensional t.d.s (X, T) has $edim(X, T) = 1$.

2.8. Some Known Embedding Theorems. in [\[Lin99\]](#page-36-8) Lindenstrauss proved that $edim(X, T) \leq [36 \text{mdim}(X, T)] + 1$ for (X, T) an extension of an aperiodic minimal t.d.s. In [\[Gut15\]](#page-36-9) it is shown one obtains the same bound if (X, T) an extension of either an aperiodic t.d.s with a countable number of minimal subsystems or an aperiodic finite-dimensional t.d.s. In [\[GT14\]](#page-35-5) the Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto conjecture is verified for extensions of aperiodic subshifts (subsystems of $(\{1, 2, ..., l\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$). In [\[Gut11\]](#page-35-2) it was shown that for \mathbb{Z}^k -actions $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ there exist constants

 $C(k) > 0$ so that if (\mathbb{Z}^k, X) is an extension a \mathbb{Z}^k zero dimensional t.d.s then $\text{edim}((\mathbb{Z}^k, X) \leq \lfloor C(k) \text{mdim}(X, T) \rfloor + 1.$

2.9. The Baire Category Theorem Framework. All results mentioned in the previous subsection were proven through the Baire category theorem. The same is true for the results in this article so we now introduce the basic concepts of this technique. A continuous mapping $f: X \to [0, 1]^d$ induces a continuous Z-equivariant mapping $I_f : (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ given by $x \mapsto (f(T^kx))_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, also known as the *orbit-map*. Conversely, any Z-equivariant continuous factor map $\pi : (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is induced in this way by $\pi_0: X \to [0,1]^d$, the projection on the zeroth coordinate. It is therefore useful to study the space of continuous functions $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. Under suitable assumptions, instead of explicitly constructing a $f \in C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ so that $I_f : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift) is an embedding, one shows that the property of being an embedding $I_f : (X,T) \hookrightarrow$ $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}},\text{shift})$ is generic in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ (but without exhibiting an explicit embedding). To make this precise introduce the following definition:

Definition 2.9.1. Let $K \subset (X \times X) \setminus \triangle$ ($\triangle = \{(x, x) | x \in X\}$ denotes the diagonal of $X \times X$) and $f \in C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. We say that I_f is K-compatible if for every $(x, y) \in K$, $I_f(x) \neq I_f(y)$, or equivalently if for every $(x, y) \in K$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $f(T^n x) \neq f(T^n y)$. Define:

$$
D_K = \{ f \in C(X, [0, 1]^d) | I_f \text{ is } K-\text{compatible} \}
$$

Under suitable assumptions one shows that for every $(x, y) \in (X \times X) \setminus \triangle$ there is an open $U \subset U \subset (X \times X) \setminus \triangle$ with $(x, y) \in U$ so that $D_{\overline{U}}$ is open and dense in $(C(X, [0, 1]^d), || \cdot ||_{\infty})$ ($|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$ denotes the the supremum metric, $||f - g||_{\infty} \triangleq sup_{x \in X} ||f(x) - g(x)||_{\infty}$. As $X \times X$ is second-countable, every subspace is Lindelöf, i.e. every open cover has a countable subcover. One can therefore cover $(X \times X) \setminus \triangle$ with a countable closed cover $\overline{U}_1, \overline{U}_2, \ldots$ so

that $D_{\overline{U}_m}$ is open and dense in $(C(X,[0,1]^d), || \cdot ||_{\infty})$ for all m. By the Baire category theorem $(C(X, [0, 1]^d), || \cdot ||_{\infty})$, is a *Baire space*, i.e., a topological space where the intersection of countably many dense open sets is dense. This implies $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D_{\overline{U}_m}$ is dense in $(C(X,[0,1]^d),\|\cdot\|_{\infty})$. Any $f \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D_{\overline{U}_m}$ is \overline{U}_m -compatible for all m simultaneously and therefore realizes an embedding $I_f : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$. A set in a topological space is said to be comeagre or generic if it is the complement of a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A set is said to be G_{δ} if it is the countable intersection of open sets. As a dense G_{δ} set is comeagre, the above argument shows that the set $\mathcal{A} \subset C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ for which $I_f : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is an embedding is comeagre, or equivalently, that the fact of I_f being an embedding is generic in $(C(X, [0, 1]^d), || \cdot ||_{\infty}).$

2.10. Overview of the article. Section [3](#page-7-0) contains notation. In Section [4](#page-9-0) it is shown that the set of periodic points can be immersed in a suitable cubical shift whose dimension is controlled by the periodic dimension of the system. In Section [5](#page-13-0) the marker property is introduced. In Section [6](#page-14-0) it is shown that aperiodic finite dimensional systems have the marker property by generalizing the Bonatti-Crovisier tower theorem. In Section [7](#page-18-0) the strong topological Rokhlin property is introduced. In Section [8](#page-19-0) the Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto conjecture is proven for finite dimensional systems. In Section [9](#page-22-0) a theorem bounding the embedding dimension of an extension of an aperiodic finite dimensional system is established. The Appendix contains auxiliary lemmas.

3. NOTATION

In this section we gather most of the notation of the paper for the convenience of the reader.

3.1. The system. Throughout the article (X, T) denotes an invertible topological dynamical system $(t.d.s)$. X is assumed to be compact and metric with metric d. Denote the diagonal of $X \times X$ by $\triangle \trianglelefteq \{(x, x) | x \in X\}$. Let P denote the set of periodic points of (X,T) . Let $P_n = \{x \in X | \exists 1 \leq$ $m \leq n$ $T^m x = x$ $\subset P$, be the set of periodic points of period $\leq n$ of X and $H_n = P_n \setminus P_{n-1}$. dim(X) denotes the (Lebesgue covering) dimension of X. Let $\text{orb}(x) \triangleq \{T^k x\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$. For α, β (finite) open covers of X, the join of α and β is the open cover by all sets of the form $A \cap B$ where $A \in \alpha$, $B \in \beta$. Denote $\alpha^N \triangleq \bigvee_{k=0}^{N-1} T^{-k} \alpha$. For $f : X \to [0,1]^d$ denote by $I_f : (X,T) \to (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ the Z-equivariant induced map $x \mapsto (f(T^k x))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$

3.2. Distances. For $A, B \subset X$ closed sets denote $dist(A, B) \triangleq \min_{x \in A, y \in B} d(x, y)$. For $A \subset X$, let $\text{diam}(A) \triangleq \sup_{x,y \in A} d(x,y)$. If $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_{\epsilon}(x) = \{y \in X | d(y,x) < \epsilon\}$ denote the open ball around x. We denote $\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x) = \overline{B_{\epsilon}(x)}$. Note $\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq \{y \in X | d(y,x) \leq \epsilon\}$ but equality does not necessary hold. Similarly let $B_{\epsilon}(F) = \{y \in X | \exists x \in F, d(y, x) < r\}$ be the " ϵ -tube" around a set $F \subset X$. We also define "inward ϵ -tubes" $B_{-\epsilon}(F) =$ $\{y \in F | d(y, F^c) > \epsilon\}.$ The supremum norm in $\mathbb{R}^l, l \geq 2$ is denoted by $||\cdot||_{\infty}.$ $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ stands for the collection of continuous functions $f: X \to [0, 1]^d$, equipped with the supremum metric $||f - g||_{\infty} \triangleq sup_{x \in X} ||f(x) - g(x)||_{\infty}$. If $A \subset X$ is a closed set we denote $||f - g||_{A,\infty} \triangleq sup_{x \in A} ||f(x) - g(x)||_{\infty}$. If $A = X$ one simply writes $||f - g||_{\infty}$.

3.3. **Tuples.** For $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $\text{co}(V) \triangleq \{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i | \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0\}$ the *convex hull* of V. Let K be a topological space. For a tuple $v =$ $(v_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in K^n$ and $0 \le a \le b \le n-1$, we let $v|_a^b \triangleq (v_i)_{i=a}^b$ and $v|_a \triangleq v_a$. If $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \subset \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_l$, we let $v|_I \triangleq$ $(v_{i_k})_{k=1}^l$. If $n \geq m$ and $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{m-1} \in K^m$, then $v^{\oplus n} \in K^n$ is defined

by $v^{\oplus n}|_k \triangleq v|_{(k \mod m)}$ and for $1 \leq l \leq m-1$, $v^{\bullet l} \in K^m$ is defined by $v^{\bullet l}|_k \triangleq v_{(k+l \mod m)}$. A statement of the form "Property **P** holds for $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m) \in ([0,1]^n)^m$ almost surely (w.r.t Lebesgue measure)" is to be understood in the following way: $\mu({{(v_{ij}) \in [0,1]^{nm} | P} \text{ holds for } (v_{ij})} = 1$ where μ is the Lebesgue measure of $[0, 1]^{nm}$.

3.4. **Miscellaneous.** If $\mathcal{U} = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n\}$, then $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \triangleq C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots C_n$. Given an open cover α of X and $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \alpha}$ a partition of unity subordinate to α , define for $x \in X$, $\alpha_x = \{U \in \alpha | \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \ge 0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $[r] = \lfloor r \rfloor = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \leq r\}$ denotes the floor function. $[r] = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq r\}$ denotes the ceiling function. ${r} = r - |r|$ denotes the fractional part function. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Addition in \mathbb{Z}_N is denoted by $a \oplus b$.

4. Immersion of the set of periodic points

Theorem 4.1. Assume perdim $(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the set of continuous mappings $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $I_f: (P,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is an immersion is comeagre in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$.

Proof. Note $(P \times P) \setminus \triangle = ((\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\circ} H_n) \times (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\circ} H_n)) \setminus \triangle = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\circ} H_n)$ $H_n \times H_n$) $\setminus \triangle$) \cup $\bigcup_{n \neq m}^{\infty} H_n \times H_m$, where H_n is the set of $x \in X$, whose minimal period is $n (H_n = P_n \setminus P_{n-1})$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix distinct $x, y \in H_n$. Either $x, Tx, \ldots, T^{n-1}x, y, Ty, \ldots, T^{n-1}y$ are pairwise distinct or $y = T^k x$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Thus by Propositions [4.2](#page-10-0) and [4.3](#page-12-0) below one may find open neighborhoods in H_n (but not necessarily open in X) R_x and R_y of x and y respectively so that for $K_{(x,y)} = R_x \times R_y$, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is dense in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \triangle = \emptyset$. As X is second-countable, every subspace is a Lindelöf space, i.e. every open cover has a countable subcover. We can therefore choose an open countable cover of $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{\circ}(H_n\times H_n)\setminus\Delta$ by $\mathcal{U} = \{R_{x_1} \times R_{y_1}, R_{x_2} \times R_{y_2}, \ldots\}$. Thus there is a closed countable cover of

 $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{\circ} (H_n\times H_n)\setminus\triangle$ by $\overline{\mathcal{U}}\triangleq\{K_{(x_1,y_1)},K_{(x_2,y_2)},\ldots\}$. Now let $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ with $n \neq m$ and fix $x \in H_n$ and $y \in H_m$. Note $x, Tx, \ldots, T^{n-1}x, y, Ty, \ldots, T^{m-1}y$ are pairwise distinct and thus yet again by Proposition [4.2](#page-10-0) below one may find open neighborhoods in H_n (but not necessarily open in X) R_x and R_y of x and y respectively so that for $K_{(x,y)} = R_x \times R_y$, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is dense in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \triangle = \emptyset$. Similarly to above choose a closed countable cover $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \triangleq \{K_{(x'_1, y'_1)}, K_{(x'_2, y'_2)}, \ldots\}$ of $\bigcup_{n \neq m}^{\circ} H_n \times H_m$. By Lemma [A.2](#page-27-0) for any $(x, y) \in (P \times P) \setminus \triangle$, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is open in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. Thus there is a closed countable cover of $(P \times P) \setminus \triangle$ by closed sets $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{V}} = \{K_1, K_2, \ldots\}$ so that D_{K_i} is open and dense in $C(X, [0, 1]^n)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the comeagre set $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{K \in \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{V}}} D_K$. Clearly for any $f \in \mathcal{A}, I_f : (P, T) \hookrightarrow (([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is injective (see Subsection [2.9](#page-6-0) for more explanations).

Proposition 4.2. Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a periodic points $x \in X$ whose minimal period is n_1 and $y \in X$ whose minimal period is n_2 such that $x, Tx, \ldots, T^{n_1-1}x, y, Ty, \ldots, T^{n_2-1}y$ are pairwise distinct, there exist open neighborhoods R_1 and R_2 of x and y respectively such that for $K_{(x,y)} =$ $\overline{R}_1\times \overline{R}_2,$ the set of $K_{(x,y)}$ -compatible functions is dense in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \triangle = \emptyset.$

Proof. As $x, Tx, \ldots, T^{n_1-1}x, y, Ty, \ldots, T^{n_2-1}y$ are pairwise distinct, one may select $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x), T\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x), \ldots, T^{n_1-1}\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x), \overline{B}_{\epsilon}(y), T\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(y), \ldots, T^{n_2-1}\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(y)$ are pairwise disjoint. Define $R_1 = B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap H_{n_1}$ and $R_2 = B_{\epsilon}(y) \cap H_{n_2}$. Notice that R_1 and R_2 are open in H_{n_1} and H_{n_2} respectively (but not necessarily open in X). We assume ϵ to be small enough such that \overline{R}_1 and \overline{R}_2 (closure in X) are contained in H_{n_1} and H_{n_2} respectively. E.g. if $d(x, P_{n-1}) = r > 0$, and $0 < \epsilon < r$ then $\overline{R}_1 = \overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x) \cap P_{n_1}$ obeys $\overline{R}_1 = \overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x) \cap H_{n_1}$.

Assume w.l.o.g $n_1 \geq n_2$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\tilde{f} : X \to [0,1]^d$ be a continuous function. We will show that there exists a continuous function

 $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ and I_f is $(\overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2)$ -compatible. As $\frac{\dim(R_i)}{n_i} < \frac{d}{2}$, one can choose open covers α_i of \overline{R}_i with $ord(\alpha_i) < \frac{n_i d}{2}$ so that $\max_{U \in \alpha_i, 0 \leq k \leq n_i-1} \text{diam}(\tilde{f}(T^kU)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. For each $U \in \alpha_i$ choose $q_U \in U$, so that $\{q_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i}$ is a collection of distinct points in \overline{R}_i and define $\tilde{v}_U = (\tilde{f}(T^j q_U))_{j=0}^{n_i-1}$. As $\overline{R}_1, \overline{R}_2$ are disjoint, by Lemma [A.9](#page-30-0) one can find continuous functions $F_i : \overline{R}_i \to ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$, with the following properties $(i = 1, 2)$:

\n- (1)
$$
\forall U \in \alpha_i
$$
, $||F_i(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,
\n- (2) $\forall x \in X$, $F_i(x) \in \text{co}\{F(q_U)| x \in U \in \alpha_i\}$,
\n- (3) If $x' \in \overline{R}_1$ and $y' \in \overline{R}_2$ then $F_1(x') \neq (F_2(y'))^{\oplus n_1}$ (recall that for $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_2}$, $v^{\oplus n_1} \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_1}$ is defined by $v^{\oplus n_1}|_k = v|_{(k \mod n_2)}$, $0 \leq k \leq n_1 - 1$).
\n

Using that $\overline{R}_1, T\overline{R}_1, \ldots, T^{n_1-1}\overline{R}_1, \overline{R}_2, T\overline{R}_2, \ldots, T^{n_2-1}\overline{R}_2$ are pairwise disjoint, define for $z \in \overline{R}_i$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_i - 1$:

$$
f_{|T^k \overline{R}_i}(T^k z) \triangleq F_i(z)|_k
$$

Notice that for $z \in \overline{R}_2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n_1 - 1$ it holds:

$$
f_{|T^k\overline{R}_2}(T^k z) = (F_2(z))^{\oplus n_1}|_k
$$

Indeed if $k_1 = k_2 \mod n_2$, $0 \le k_1 \le n_1 - 1$ and $0 \le k_2 \le n_2 - 1$, then $T^{k_1}z =$ $T^{k_2}z$ and $f_{|T^{k_1}\overline{R}_2}(T^{k_1}z) = f_{|T^{k_2}\overline{R}_2}(T^{k_2}z) = (F_2(z))^{\oplus n_1}|_{k_1}$ by definition.

Define $B = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_1-1} T^k \overline{R}_1 \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_2-1} \overline{R}_2$. As by property [\(2\)](#page-11-0) above, for $z \in$ \overline{R}_i and $0 \leq k \leq n_i - 1$, $f(T^k z) = F_i(z)_{|k} \in \text{co}\{F_i(q_U)_{|k} | z \in U \in \alpha_i\},$ one has $||f(T^k z) - \tilde{f}(T^k z)||_{\infty} \leq \max_{z \in U \in \alpha_i} ||F_i(q_U)||_{\infty} - \tilde{f}(T^k z)||_{\infty}$. Fix $U \in \alpha_i$ and $z \in U$. Note $||F_i(q_U)||_k - \tilde{f}(T^k z)||_{\infty} \leq ||F_i(q_U)||_k - \tilde{v}_U||_k||_{\infty} +$ $||\tilde{v}_U|_k - \tilde{f}(T^k z)||_{\infty}$. The first term on the right-hand side is bounded by ϵ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ by property [\(1\)](#page-11-1). As $\text{diam}(\tilde{f}(T^kU)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\tilde{v}_U|_k = \tilde{f}(T^k q_U)$ we have $||\tilde{f}(T^k q_U) - \tilde{f}(T^k z)||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{ε}{2}$. We conclude $||\tilde{f}_{|B} - f||_{B,\infty} < ε$. By Lemma [A.5,](#page-28-0) we can extend f continuously to $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $\|\tilde{f} - f\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$.

Assume for a contradiction $I_f(x') = I_f(y')$ for some $(x', y') \in \overline{R}_1 \times \overline{R}_2$. In particular we have $F_1(x') = (f(x'), \ldots, f(T^{n_1-1}x')) = (f(y'), \ldots, f(T^{n_1-1}y')) =$ $(F_2(y'))^{\oplus n_2}$ which is a contradiction to property [\(3\)](#page-11-2).

Proposition 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a periodic points $x \in X$ whose minimal period is n and $y \in X$ such that $y = T^l x$ for some $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, there exist an open neighborhood R of x such that for $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{R} \times T^l \overline{R}$, the set of $K_{(x,y)}$ -compatible functions is dense in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \Delta = \emptyset$.

Proof. As $x, Tx, \ldots, T^{n-1}x$ are pairwise distinct, one may select $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x), T\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x), \ldots, T^{n-1}\overline{B}_{\epsilon}(x)$ are pairwise disjoint. Define $R = B_{\epsilon}(x) \cap$ H_n . As in Proposition [4.2](#page-10-0) we may assume ϵ is small enough such that \overline{R} (closure in X) is contained in H_n . As $\frac{\dim(R)}{n} < \frac{d}{2}$ $\frac{d}{2}$, one can choose an open cover α of \overline{R} with $ord(\alpha) < \frac{nd}{2}$ $\frac{2d}{2}$ so that $\max_{U \in \alpha, 0 \le k \le n-1} \text{diam}(\tilde{f}(T^k U)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. For each $U \in \alpha$ choose $q_U \in U$, so that $\{q_U\}_{U \in \alpha}$ is a collection of distinct points in \overline{R} and define $\tilde{v}_U = (\tilde{f}(T^j q_U))_{j=0}^{n-1}$. By Lemma [A.10](#page-31-0) one can find a continuous function $F: \overline{R} \to ([0,1]^d)^n$, with the following properties:

- (1) $\forall U \in \alpha, \ ||F(q_U) \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$
- (2) $\forall x \in X, F(x) \in \text{co}\lbrace F(q_U) \vert x \in U \in \alpha \rbrace$,
- (3) If $x', y' \in \overline{R}$ then $F(x') \neq (F(y'))^{\bullet l}$ (recall that for $v = (v_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in$ $([0,1]^d)^n$ and $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, $v^{\bullet l}|_k = v_{(k+l)} \mod n$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$).

Using that $\overline{R}, T\overline{R}, \ldots, T^{n-1}\overline{R}$ are pairwise disjoint, define for $z \in \overline{R}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$:

(4.1)
$$
f_{|T^k\overline{R}}(T^kz) \triangleq F(z)|_k
$$

Denote $\overline{R}_2 = T^l \overline{R}$ and notice that for $\tilde{z} \in \overline{R}_2$ and $0 \le k \le n - 1$ it holds:

(4.2)
$$
f_{|T^k \overline{R}_2}(T^k \tilde{z}) = (F(T^{-l}\tilde{z}))^{\bullet l}|_k
$$

Indeed $f_{|T^k\overline{R}_2}(T^k\tilde{z}) = f_{|T^{k+l}\overline{R}}(T^{k+l}(T^{-l}\tilde{z})) = (F(T^{-l}\tilde{z}))^{\bullet l}|_k$ where we have used the fact that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as $R \subset H_n$, $f_{|T^k \overline{R}}(T^k z) = F(z)|_{k \mod n}$. As in Proposition [4.2](#page-10-0) one can extend f continuously to $f: X \to [0, 1]^d$ with $||\tilde{f} - f||_{\infty} < \epsilon.$

Assume for a contradiction $I_f(x') = I_f(y')$ for some $(x', y') \in \overline{R} \times \overline{R}_2$. In particular we have by [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1) and [\(4.2\)](#page-12-2), $F(x') = (f(x'), \ldots, f(T^{n-1}x')) =$ $(f(y'), \ldots, f(T^{n-1}y')) = (F(T^{-1}y'))^{\bullet l}$ which is a contradiction to property [\(3\)](#page-11-2).

5. The Marker Property

Definition 5.1. A subset F of a topological dynamical system (X, T) is called an *n*-marker ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) if:

- (1) $F \cap T^i(F) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n 1$.
- (2) The sets $\{T^i(F)\}_{i=1}^m$ cover X for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The system (X, T) is said to have the **marker property** if there exist *open n*-markers for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5.2. Clearly the marker property is stable under extension, i.e. if (X, T) has the marker property and $(Y, S) \rightarrow (X, T)$ is an extension, then (Y, S) has the marker property.

Remark 5.3. By Lemma [A.1](#page-26-0) we can (and do sometimes throughout the article) consider closed n-markers instead of open n-markers without loss of generality.

The marker property was first defined in [\[Dow06,](#page-35-6) Definition 2], where one requires the n-markers to be clopen. In the same article it was proven that an extension of an aperiodic zero-dimensional (non necessarily invertible) t.d.s has the marker property. This was essentially based on the "Krieger Marker Lemma" ([\[Kri82,](#page-36-6) Lemma 2]). From [\[Lin99,](#page-36-8) Lemma 3.3] it follows that an extension of an aperiodic minimal system has the marker property. In [\[Gut15\]](#page-36-9) the marker property and the related *local* marker property are investigated. In the same article, some classes of t.d.s having the marker property are exhibited, for example, extensions of aperiodic t.d.s with a countable number of minimal subsystems. We mention an open problem:

Problem 5.4. Does every aperiodic system have the marker property?

6. Aperiodic finite dimensional systems have the marker **PROPERTY**

We present a partial generalization of the Bonatti-Crovisier tower theorem ([\[BC04,](#page-35-7) Theorem 3.1]), proven for C^1 -diffeomorphism on manifolds, to the setting of homeomorphisms of metric compacta:

Theorem 6.1. Let (X, T) be an aperiodic finite dimensional t.d.s, then (X, T) has the marker property.

In order to prove the preceding theorem, we generalize Lemma 3.7 on p. 60 of [\[BC04\]](#page-35-7). We do not assume (X, T) is aperiodic but only that P is closed. This is exploited in [\[Gut15\]](#page-36-9). For the purposes of Theorem [6.1](#page-14-1) the reader is of course free to assume $P = \emptyset$.

Lemma 6.2. Let X be a compact metric space with $\dim(X) = d$ with a closed set of periodic points P. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let U and V be two open sets in X with $\overline{U}, \overline{V} \subset X \setminus P$. Then there exists $m = m(d, N) = (2d + 2)N - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if

- (1) $\overline{U} \cap T^i \overline{U} = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N 1$.
- (2) $\overline{V} \cap T^i \overline{V} = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

then there exists an open W so that $\overline{U} \subset \overline{W}$, $\overline{W} \subset X \setminus P$, $\overline{V} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} T^{i}(\overline{W})$ and $\overline{W} \cap T^i \overline{W} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$.

Proof of Theorem [6.1](#page-14-1) using Lemma [6.2.](#page-14-2) Cover X by U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_s so that $\overline{U}_j\cap T^i\overline{U}_j=\emptyset, i=1,2,\ldots m(d,N),\,j=1,2,\ldots,s$. Apply Lemma [6.2,](#page-14-2) to get an open set W_2 so that $\overline{W}_2 \cap T^i \overline{W}_2 = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$, $\overline{U}_1 \subset \overline{W}_2$ and $\overline{U}_2 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^i(\overline{W}_2)$. Proceed by induction. Assume there is an open set W_k so that $\overline{W}_k \cap T^i \overline{W}_k = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N-1$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \overline{U}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m T^i(\overline{W}_k)$. By Lemma [6.2,](#page-14-2) one can find an open set W_{k+1} so that $\overline{W}_{k+1} \cap T^i \overline{W}_{k+1} =$ \emptyset , $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$, $\overline{W}_k \subset \overline{W}_{k+1}$ and $\overline{U}_{k+1} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^i(\overline{W}_{k+1})$. The last two inclusions imply $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \overline{U}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^m T^i(\overline{W}_{k+1})$. We thus conclude that for $W \triangleq W_s$, one has $\overline{W} \cap T^i \overline{W} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$ and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \overline{U}_i \subset$ $\bigcup_{i=0}^m T^i$ (\overline{W}) .

In the proof of the preceding lemma, we are going to use the following definition from p. 944 of [\[Kul95\]](#page-36-10):

Definition 6.3. Let X be a compact space with $dim(X) = d$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We say $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_l \subset X$ are in **general position** if for all $\mathcal{I} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$ with $|\mathcal{I}| = m$, one has $\dim(\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} S_i) \le \max\{-1, d-m\}$ (where $\dim(Y) = -1$ iff $Y = \emptyset$).

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.5 of [\[Lin95\]](#page-36-11):

Lemma 6.4. Let X be a compact metric space with $\dim(X) = d$ and with a closed set of periodic points P. Let U be an open set so that $\overline{U} \subset X \setminus P$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and V open set with $\partial U \subset V$ there exists an open set U' with $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$ and $\partial U' \subset \partial U \cup V$ such that $\{\partial U', T\partial U', \dots T^{k-1}\partial U'\}$ are in general position.

Remark 6.5. In the sequel we only need the weaker property that any subcollection of $\{\partial U', T\partial U', \dots T^{k-1}\partial U'\}$ of cardinality $\geq d+1$ has empty intersection.

Proof of Lemma [6.2.](#page-14-2) The idea of the proof is the following: If \overline{U} and \overline{V} have both m disjoint iterates, it does not necessarily hold for their union $\overline{U} \cup \overline{V}$, which is the naive candidate for \overline{W} . Instead of this union we decompose \overline{V} into pieces and construct a closed set \overline{W} containing \overline{U} and (different) iterates of these pieces. Specifically denote $R \triangleq \overline{V} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^iU$. We will define a finite collection of closed sets $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ which covers R and has some additional properties that will be essential for the definition of W. Let $\rho > 0$ be such that $\overline{B}_{\rho}(R) \cap T^{i} \overline{B}_{\rho}(R) = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ and $\overline{B}_{\rho}(R) \subset X \setminus P$. By Lemma [6.4,](#page-15-0) by enlarging U one can assume w.l.o.g that $\mathcal{B} \triangleq \{ \partial U, T^1 \partial U, \dots, T^m \partial U \}$ is in general position and still $\overline{U} \cap T^i \overline{U} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N-1$ and $\overline{U} \subset X \backslash P$.

We claim that there is $0 < \delta < \rho$ so that for every $x \in R$, $\{i \in \mathcal{C}\}$ $\{1,\ldots,m\}|\ T^i\overline{U}\cap\overline{B}_{\delta}(x)\neq\emptyset\}|\leq d.$ Indeed assume not. Then one can find sequences $x_k \in R$, $\delta_k > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\delta_k \to_{k \to \infty} 0$ and $x_k \to_{k \to \infty} x$ for some $x \in R$ (as R is closed) with $|\{i \in \{1, ..., m\} | T^i \overline{U} \cap \overline{B}_{\delta_k}(x_k) \neq \emptyset\}| > d$. By refining the sequence one can find distinct $i_1, \ldots, i_{d+1} \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $y_k^l \in X, k \in I \subset \mathbb{N}, |I| = \infty, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d + 1\}$ so that $d(y_k^l, x_k) \leq \delta_k$ and $y_k^l \in T^{i_l} \overline{U}$. As for every $l, y_k^l \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} x$, we conclude $x \in R \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^{d+1} T^{i_l} \overline{U} \subset$ $\bigcap_{l=1}^{d+1} T^{i_l} \partial \overline{U}$. This contradicts \mathcal{B} being in general position. Choose an open finite cover of R of the form $\mathcal{U} = \{B_\delta(z_i)\}_{i=1}^s$ $(z_i \in R)$ and define $\overline{\mathcal{U}} = {\overline{\{M\}}} M \in \mathcal{U}$. We record the crucial property of the closed cover $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$:

(6.1)
$$
\forall E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}} \left| \{ i \in \{1, ..., m\} \mid T^i \overline{U} \cap E \neq \emptyset \} \right| \leq d
$$

Let $O = \bigcup \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Clearly $R \subset O$. Note $O \subset \overline{B}_{\rho}(R)$, which implies:

(6.2)
$$
O \cap T^i O = \emptyset, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m
$$

We now associate to each element in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ an integer in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d+1\}$, i.e. we define a mapping $c : \overline{U} \to \{1, 2, ..., 2d + 1\}$. Fix $E \in \overline{U}$. We claim there is at least one element $c(E)$ in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2d + 1\}$, so that for $t \in \{-(N-1), \ldots, (N-1)\}, T^tE \cap T^{c(E)N}\overline{U} = \emptyset$. Indeed start out by

marking the indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s \leq m$, so that $E \cap T^{i_k} \overline{U} \neq \emptyset$. By Equation [\(6.1\)](#page-16-0), $s \le d$. Consider the index intervals $I_k = \{-N + 1 +$ $kN, \ldots, kN + N - 1$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2d + 1$. As $m = (2d + 1)N + N - 1$, $I_k \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Clearly each i_l can be contained in at most two index intervals. By a simple pigeonhole argument there must be an interval $I_{c(E)}$ which does not contain any of the i_l , $l = 1, \ldots, s$. This is equivalent to the desired statement.

Define the open set $W = U \cup \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{U}} T^{-c(H)N} H$ and note:

$$
\overline{W}=\overline{U}\cup\bigcup_{E\in\overline{\mathcal{U}}}T^{-c(E)N}E
$$

Clearly $\overline{U} \subset \overline{W}$, $\overline{V} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^i(\overline{W})$ and $\overline{W} \subset X \setminus P$. Indeed let $x \in \overline{V}$. If $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^iU$, then certainly $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^i(\overline{W})$. Otherwise $x \in R$ $\overline{V} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m T^iU$. Thus there exist $E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$, such that $x \in E \subset T^{c(E)N} \overline{W}$. Clearly $1 \leq c(E)N < m$ We now claim $\overline{W} \cap T^i \overline{W} = \emptyset, i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$. Assume not, then there exists $x, y \in W$ and $t \in \{1, 2, ..., N-1\}$ so that $x = T^ty$. We consider several cases:

- (1) $x, y \in \overline{U}$. Contradicts the fact $\overline{U} \cap T^i \overline{U} = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N 1$.
- (2) $x, y \in T^{-c(E)N}E \subset T^{-c(E)N}O$ for some $E \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Contradicts Equation [\(6.2\)](#page-16-1).
- (3) $x \in T^{-c(E_1)N}E_1$ and $y \in T^{-c(E_2)N}E_2$ for $E_1 \neq E_2$. Conclude $T^{t+c(E_1)N}y \in E_1 \subset O$ and $T^{c(E_2)N}y \in E_2 \subset O$. However as $0 < |t + c(E_1)N - c(E_2)N| \leq (2d+1)N < m$ this contradicts Equation [\(6.2\)](#page-16-1).
- (4) $x \in T^{-c(E)N}E$ and $y \in \overline{U}$. Conclude $E \cap T^{t+c(E)N}\overline{U} \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the definition of $c(E)$.
- (5) $x \in \overline{U}$ and $y \in T^{-c(E)N}E$. Conclude $E \cap T^{-t+c(E)N}\overline{U} \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the definition of $c(E)$.

In [\[Gut11,](#page-35-2) Subsection 1.9], the topological Rokhlin property was introduced. Here is a stronger variant, originating in [\[Lin99\]](#page-36-8), which is further discussed in [\[Gut15\]](#page-36-9):

Definition 7.1. We say that (X, T) has the **(global)** strong topological **Rokhlin property** if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ so that if we define the exceptional set $E_f = \{x \in X \mid f(Tx) \neq 0\}$ $f(x) + 1$, then $E_f \cap T^i(E_f) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots n - 1$.

Remark 7.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b-a \leq n-1$. Under the above assumptions consider $x \in X$. Then there exists at most one index $a \leq l \leq b$ so that $f(T^{l+1}x) \neq f(T^lx) + 1$. Indeed if $T^lx, T^{l'}x \in E_f$ for $a \leq l < l' \leq b$, then $E_f \cap T^{l'-l}E_f \neq \emptyset$ contradicting the definition.

The following theorem is proven in [\[Gut15\]](#page-36-9) but we repeat it for the convenience of the reader. The proof of the direction \Leftarrow is based on [\[Lin99,](#page-36-8) Lemma 3.3]:

Theorem 7.3. (X, T) has the strong topological Rokhlin property iff (X, T) has the marker property.

Proof. Assume (X, T) has the marker property and fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. One may choose a closed set R and an open set F with $R \subset F$ so that

(7.1)
$$
X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{q} T^i R
$$

(7.2)
$$
F \cap T^{i}(F) = \emptyset, i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1
$$

Let $\omega : X \to [0,1]$ be a continuous function so that $\omega_{|R} \equiv 1$ and $\omega_{|F^c} \equiv 0$. We define a random walk for $z \in X$. At any point p we arrive during the

random walk, the walk terminates with probability $\omega(p)$ and moves to $T^{-1}p$ with probability $1 - \omega(p)$. Notice that for every point $z \in X$ the random walk will terminate in at most q steps. Indeed by Equation (7.1) there is an $i \in \{0, \ldots, q\}$ so that $T^{-i}z \in R$ at which $1 - \omega(T^{-i}z) = 0$. Conclude there is a finite number of possible walks starting at z and we denote by $f(z)$ the expected length of the walk starting at z. As there is a uniform bound on the number of walks, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous. Note that if $y \notin F$, then $f(y) = f(T^{-1}y) + 1$. Thus if $f(Tx) \neq f(x) + 1$ then $Tx \in F$. Conclude $E_f = \{x \in X \mid f(Tx) \neq f(x) + 1\} \subset T^{-1}F$. By Equation [\(7.2\)](#page-18-2) $T^{i}(E_f) \cap E_f = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m - 1$.

Now assume (X, T) has the strong topological Rokhlin property. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that for the open set $E_f = \{x \in X \mid f(Tx) \neq f(x) + 1\}, T^i(E_f), i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$, are pairwise disjoint. We claim that the iterates $E_f, T^{-1}E_f, \ldots$ eventually cover X and therefore E_f is an open *n*-marker. Indeed as f is bounded from above for any $x \in X$, the series $f(T^i x)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ cannot increase indefinitely. \Box

8. Finite dimensional Jaworski-type theorem

Theorem 8.1. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is finite dimensional and $\text{perdim}(X,T) < \frac{d}{2}$ $\frac{a}{2}$, then (X, T) can be equivariantly embedded in $(([0, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, shift).

Proof. Let $dim(X) = n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will use the following representation $(X \times$ $X\setminus(\triangle\cup (P\times P))\subset D_1\cup D_2$ where $D_1=(X\times X)\setminus(\triangle\cup (P_{6n}\times X)\cup (X\times P_{6n}))$ and $D_2 = (P_{6n} \times (X \setminus P)) \cup ((X \setminus P) \times P_{6n})$. That is D_1 is the collection of pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$, where x, y are distinct elements whose orbits have size greater than 6 dim(X); D_2 is the collection of pairs $(x, y) \in X \times X$, where x has orbit of size less or equal than $6 \dim(X)$ and y is aperiodic or vice versa. By Propositions [8.2](#page-20-0) and [8.3,](#page-21-0) for $(x, y) \in D_1 \cup D_2$ one may find open neighborhoods U_1 and U_2 of x and y respectively so that for $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U}_1 \times \overline{U}_2$,

 $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is dense in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \triangle = \emptyset$. By Lemma [A.2](#page-27-0) for any $(x, y) \in D_1 \cup D_2$, $D_{K_{(x,y)}}$ is open in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. As $X \times X$ is secondcountable, every subspace is a Lindelöf space, i.e. every open cover has a countable subcover. We can therefore choose an open countable cover of $D_1 \cup$ $D_2 = (X \times X) \setminus (\triangle \cup (P \times P))$ by $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathring{K}_{(x_1, y_1)}, \mathring{K}_{(x_2, y_2)}, \ldots\}$. Thus there is a closed countable cover of $D_1 \cup D_2$ by closed sets $\overline{U} \triangleq \{K_{(x_1,y_1)}, K_{(x_2,y_2)}, \ldots\}$ so that $D_{K_{(x_i,y_i)}}$ is open and dense in $C(X,[0,1]^n)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem [4.1](#page-9-1) there is a comeagre set $\mathcal{D} \subset C(X,[0,1]^d)$ so that if $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then I_f is $((P \times P) \setminus \triangle)$ -compatible. Define the comeagre set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D} \cap \bigcap_{K \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}} D_K$. Clearly any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ realizes an embedding $(X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ (see Subsection [2.9](#page-6-0) for more explanations).

Proposition 8.2. Given $x, y \in X$ with orbits of size greater than 6dim X, there exist open neighborhoods U_1 and U_2 of x and y respectively such that for $K_{(x,y)} = U_1 \times U_2$, the set of $K_{(x,y)}$ -compatible functions is dense in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \triangle = \emptyset$.

Proof. First we claim we can find $i_0 = 0, i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}$ so that $T^{i_0}x, \ldots, T^{i_{2n}}x, T^{i_0}y, \ldots, T^{i_{2n}}y$ are pairwise distinct. Indeed if $y \notin \text{orb}(x)$ this is trivial. Likewise if $x \notin P$ and $y = T^lx$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define $i_k = k(l + 1)$, $k = 0, 1, ..., 2n$. Finally if $y \in \text{orb}(x)$ and $x \in P$, then by assumption $x \in P_N \setminus P_{N-1}$ for $N > 6n$. To find $i_0 = 0, i_1, \ldots, i_{2n}$ invoke Lemma [A.3.](#page-27-1) We can thus find open neighborhoods U_1 and U_2 of x and y respectively so that $\{T^{i_k}\overline{U}_x, T^{i_k}\overline{V}_y\}_{k=0}^{2n}$ are pairwise disjoint. Denote $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U}_1 \times \overline{U}_2$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let \tilde{f} : $X \rightarrow [0, 1]^d$ be a continuous function. We will show that there exists a continuous function $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ and I_f is $K_{(x,y)}$ -compatible. Let α_1 and α_2 be open covers of \overline{U}_1 and \overline{U}_2 respectively with $\max_{W \in \alpha_j, k \in \{0,1,\dots,2n\}} \text{diam}(\tilde{f}(T^{i_k}W)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $ord(\alpha_j) \leq n$ open neighborhoods U_1 and U_2 of x and y respectively for $j = 1, 2$. For

each $W \in \alpha_j$ choose $q_W \in W$ so that $\{q_W\}_{W \in \alpha_j}$ is a collection of distinct points in X. Define $\tilde{v}_W = (\tilde{f}(T^{i_k}q_W))_{k=0}^{2n}$. By Lemma [A.9](#page-30-0) (with $n_1 = n_2 = 2n + 1$, note $F_2^{\oplus n_1} = F_2$) one can find for $j = 1, 2$ continuous functions $F_j : \overline{U}_j \to ([0,1]^d)^{2n+1}$, with the following properties:

(1)
$$
\forall W \in \alpha_j
$$
, $||F(q_W) - \tilde{v}_W||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,
\n(2) $\forall x \in \overline{U}_j$, $F_j(x) \in \text{co}\{F_j(q_W) | x \in W \in \alpha_j\}$,
\n(3) If $x' \in \overline{U}_1$ and $y' \in \overline{U}_2$ then $F_1(x') \neq F_2(y')$.
\nLet $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2n} T^{i_k}(\overline{U}_1 \cup \overline{U}_2)$. Define $f' : A \to [0, 1]^d$:

$$
f'_{|T^{i_k}\overline{U}_j}(T^{i_k}z) = F_j(z)|_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n
$$

Fix $z \in \overline{U}_j$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n\}$. As by property (2) , $f'(T^{i_k}z) = F_j(z)|_k \in$ $\cot\{F_j(q_W)\}_{k}| z \in W \in \alpha_j\},$ $||f'(T^{i_k}z) - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_{\infty} \leq \max_{z \in W \in \alpha_j} ||F_j(q_W)|_k \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_{\infty}$. Fix $W \in \alpha_j$ with $z \in W$. Note $||F_j(q_W)||_k - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_{\infty} \le$ $||F_j(q_W)||_k - \tilde{v}_W|_k||_{\infty} + ||\tilde{v}_W|_k - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_{\infty}$. The first term on the righthand side is bounded by $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ by property [\(1\)](#page-21-2). As $\text{diam}(\tilde{f}(T^{i_k}W)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $rac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\tilde{v}_W|_k = \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}q_W)$ we have $||\tilde{f}(T^{i_k}q_W) - \tilde{f}(T^{i_k}z)||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. We finally conclude $||f' - \tilde{f}||_{A,\infty} < \epsilon$. By Lemma [A.5](#page-28-0) there is $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $f_{|A} = f'_{|A}$ and $||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} < \epsilon$.

Assume for a contradiction $I_f(x') = I_f(y')$ for some $(x', y') \in \overline{U}_1 \times \overline{U}_2$. In particular we have $(f(T^{i_0}x'), \ldots, f(T^{i_{2n}}x')) = F(x') = (f(T^{i_0}y'), \ldots, f(T^{i_{2n}}y')) =$ $F(y')$ which is a contradiction to property [\(3\)](#page-21-3).

Proposition 8.3. Given $x, y \in X$ where x has orbit of size less or equal than $6 \dim(X)$ and y is aperiodic or vice versa, there exist open neighborhoods U_1 and U_2 of x and y respectively such that for $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U}_1 \times \overline{U}_2$, the set of $K_{(x,y)}$ -compatible functions is dense in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$ and $K_{(x,y)} \cap \Delta = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume w.l.o.g that $(x, y) \in (X \setminus P) \times H_m$ with $1 \leq m \leq 6n$. Choose $S \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $Sd \geq \text{ord}(\gamma) + 1 + md$. Let $U \subset X \setminus P_{6n}$ be an open set

with $x \in U$ and so that $\overline{U} \cap T^l \overline{U} = \emptyset$, $l = 1, \ldots S - 1$. As in Proposition [4.2](#page-10-0) we choose W to be an open set in H_m with $y \in W \subset \overline{W} \subset H_m$. Define $K_{(x,y)} = \overline{U} \times \overline{W}$. Let $\tilde{f} : X \to [0,1]^d$ be a continuous function. We will show that there exists a continuous function $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ and I_f is $K_{(x,y)}$ -compatible. Let γ be an open cover of U so that $\max_{V \in \gamma, k \in \{1, ..., S\}} \text{diam}(\tilde{f}(T^k V)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $ord(\gamma) \leq n$. For each $V \in \gamma$ choose $q_V \in V$ so that $\{q_V\}_{V \in \gamma}$ is a collection of distinct points in \overline{U} and define $\tilde{v}_V = (\tilde{f}(T^k q_V))_{k=1}^S$. By Lemma [A.11,](#page-33-0) there exists a continuous function $F: \overline{U} \to ([0,1]^d)^S$, with the following properties:

- (1) $\forall V \in \gamma, ||F(q_V) \tilde{v}_V||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$
- (2) $\forall x \in \overline{U}, F(x) \in \text{co}\{F(q_V) | x \in V \in \gamma\},\$
- (3) For any $w \in \overline{U}$ it holds $F(w) \notin V_S^m$, where $V_S^m \triangleq \{z = (z_1, \ldots, z_S) \in$ $([0,1]^d)^S$ $\forall 1 \le a, b \le S$, $(a = b \mod m) \to z_a = z_b$.

Let $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{S} T^k \overline{U}$. Define $f' : A \to [0, 1]^d$:

$$
f'_{|T^k\overline{U}}(T^k z) = F(z)|_k, \, k = 1, \dots, S
$$

We conclude as in Proposition [8.2](#page-20-0) that there is $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $f_{|A} = f'_{|A}$ and $||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} < \epsilon$. Let $(x', y') \in \overline{U} \times \overline{W}$. Note $(f(Tx'), \ldots, f(T^S x')) = F(x') \notin V_S^m$. As $y' \in \overline{W} \subset H_m$, we have $(f(Ty'), \ldots, f(T^Sy')) \in V_S^m$. Conclude by property [\(3\)](#page-22-1), $I_f(x') \neq I_f(y')$.

 \Box

9. Infinite dimensional Jaworski-type theorem

Theorem 9.1. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (Z, S) be an aperiodic finite-dimensional t.d.s and (X, T) a t.d.s with mdim $(X, T) < \frac{d}{16}$. Let $\pi : (X, T) \to (Z, S)$ be an extension. Then there is a continuous mapping $f: X \to [0,1]^{d+1}$ such that $I_f: (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is an embedding.

Proof. By Theorem [9.3](#page-23-0) below, there is an embedding $I_g \times \pi : (X,T) \hookrightarrow$ $(([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}},\text{shift})\times (Z,S)$ for some continuous mapping $g:X\to [0,1]^d$. By Jaworski's theorem (see Subsection [2.7\)](#page-5-0) there is a continuous mapping h : $Z \to [0,1]$ so that $I_h : (Z, S) \hookrightarrow (([0,1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is an embedding. Conclude that for $f = (g, h \circ \pi) : X \to [0, 1]^{d+1}, I_f : (X, T) \hookrightarrow (([0, 1]^{d+1})^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift})$ is an embedding.

Definition 9.2. Let X, Y be metric spaces and $\epsilon > 0$. A continuous mapping $f: X \to Y$ such that for every $y \in Y$, $\text{diam}(f^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon$ is called an ϵ -embedding.

The following theorem is closely related to [\[Lin99,](#page-36-8) Theorem 5.1] and [\[GT14,](#page-35-5) Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 9.3. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (Z, S) be an aperiodic finite-dimensional t.d.s and (X, T) a t.d.s with mdim $(X, T) < \frac{d}{16}$. Let $\pi : (X, T) \to (Z, S)$ be an extension. Then the collection of continuous mappings $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ that $I_f \times \pi : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift}) \times (Z,S)$ is an embedding is comeagre in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Denote:

 (9.1) $\tau_{\epsilon}^{\pi} = \{ f \in C(X, [0, 1]^d) | \quad I_f \times \pi \text{ is an } \epsilon \text{-embedding} \}$

By Lemma [9.4](#page-26-1) below D_{ϵ}^{π} is open in $C(X,[0,1]^d)$. We now prove that D_{ϵ}^{π} is dense in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$. As every $f \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi}$ realizes an embedding $I_f \times \pi : (X,T) \hookrightarrow (([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}, \text{shift}) \times (Z,S),$ this implies the statement of the theorem through the Baire category theorem (see Subsection [2.9\)](#page-6-0). Let $\tilde{f}:X\rightarrow [0,1]^d$ be a continuous function and $\delta>0.$ We will show that there exists a continuous function $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $||f - \tilde{f}||_{\infty} < \delta$ and $I_f \times \pi$ is an ϵ -embedding. We define:

$$
m_{\dim} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{32} & \text{mdim}(X, T) = 0\\ \text{mdim}(X, T) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Notice it holds $m_{\text{dim}} < \frac{d}{16}$. Let α be a cover of X with $\max_{U \in \alpha} \text{diam}(\tilde{f}(U))$ < δ $\frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\max_{U \in \alpha} \text{diam}(U) < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon' > 0$ be such that $16m_{\text{dim}}(1+2\epsilon') < d$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that it holds $\frac{1}{N}D(\alpha^{N+1}) < (1+\epsilon')m_{\text{dim}}$ (here we use $m_{\text{dim}} > 0$ and Remark [2.5.1\)](#page-4-0) and N is divisible by 16. Let $\gamma \succ \alpha^{N+1}$ be an open cover so that $D(\alpha^{N+1}) = \text{ord}(\gamma)$. We have thus $\text{ord}(\gamma) < N(1 + \epsilon')m_{\text{dim}}$. Let $M=\frac{N}{2}$ $\frac{N}{2}$ and $S = \frac{M}{8}$ $\frac{M}{8}$. Observe $M, S \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice $Sd > \frac{N}{16}16m_{\text{dim}}(1 + 2\epsilon') =$ $Nm_{\text{dim}}(1+2\epsilon') > Nm_{\text{dim}}(1+\epsilon')$. Conclude:

$$
(9.2)\qquad \qquad \text{ord}(\gamma) < Sd
$$

Choose distinct $q_U \in U$, $U \in \gamma$ and define $\tilde{v}_U = (\tilde{f}(T^i q_U))_{i=0}^{N-1}$. By Equation [\(9.2\)](#page-24-0), according to Lemma [A.12](#page-35-8) ([\[Lin99,](#page-36-8) Lemma 5.6]), one can find a continuous function $F: X \to ([0,1]^d)^N$, with the following properties:

- (1) $\forall U \in \gamma, ||F(q_U) \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\delta}{2}$ $\frac{\delta}{2}$
- (2) $\forall x \in X, F(x) \in \text{co}\lbrace F(q_U) \vert x \in U \in \gamma \rbrace$,
- (3) If for some $0 \leq l < N 4S$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $x, y, x', y' \in X$ so that:

$$
\lambda F(x)|_{l}^{l+4S-1} + (1 - \lambda)F(y)|_{l+1}^{l+4S} = \lambda F(x')|_{l}^{l+4S-1} + (1 - \lambda)F(y')|_{l+1}^{l+4S}
$$

then there exist $U \in \gamma$ so that $x, x' \in U$.

By Theorem [6.1](#page-14-1) (Z, S) has the marker property. By Theorem [7.3](#page-18-3) (Z, S) has the strong topological Rokhlin property. We therefore have a continuous function $n: Z \to \mathbb{R}$ so that for $E_n = \{y \in Z \mid n(Sy) \neq n(y) + 1\}$, it holds that $E_n \cap S^i(E_n)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 2M - 2$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $n(x), \overline{n}(x)n'(x) : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $n(x) = \lfloor n(\pi(x)) \rfloor \mod M$, $\overline{n}(x) =$ $\lceil n(\pi(x)) \rceil \mod M, n'(x) = \{ n(\pi(x)) \}.$ Define for $x \in X$:

(9.3)
$$
f(x) = (1 - n'(x)F(T^{-n(x)}x)|_{n(x)} + n'(x)F(T^{-n(x)}x)|_{n(x)}
$$

We claim f is continuous. If $n(\pi(x)) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then f is continuous at x as $n(x), \overline{n}(x)n'(x)$ are continuous at x. For $n(\pi(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ fix $\eta > 0$ and a neighborhood U of x such that for all $x' \in U$, $|n(x) - n(x')| < \eta$ and $||F(T^{-n(x)}x) - F(T^{n(x)}x')||_{\infty} < \eta$. Assume w.l.o.g $n(x) \leq n(x') < n(x) + \eta$ and compute, using $f(x) = F(T^{-n(x)}x)|_{n(x)}$,

$$
||f(x)-f(x')||_{\infty} \le ||n'(x')F(T^{-\overline{n}(x')}x')|_{\overline{n}(x)}||_{\infty} + ||F(T^{-n(x)}x) - F(T^{n(x)}x')||_{\infty} < 2\eta
$$

We claim $||\tilde{f} - f||_{\infty} < \delta$. Indeed note that $f(x) \in \text{co}\{F(q_V)|_n | 0 \leq n < \delta\}$ $M, T^{-n}x \in V \in \gamma$, and thus it is enough to to show for $x \in X$ and $0 \leq n < M$ such that $T^{-n}x \in V \in \gamma$, that $||\tilde{f}(x) - F(q_V)||_n||_{\infty} < \delta$. As $\gamma \succ \alpha^{N+1}$ and $M = \frac{N}{2}$, we may find $U \in \alpha$ such that $x, T^n q_V \in U$. As $\max_{U \in \alpha} \text{diam}(\tilde{f}(U)) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ $\frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\max_{U \in \gamma} ||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\delta}{2}$ $\frac{\delta}{2}$, we conclude $||\tilde{f}(x) - F(q_V)|_n||_{\infty} \le ||\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(T^n q_V)||_{\infty} + ||F(q_U)|_n - \tilde{v}_U|_n||_{\infty} < \delta.$

We now show that $f \in D_{\epsilon}^{\pi}$. Fix $x, y \in X$. Assume for a contradiction $f(T^a x) = f(T^a y)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$. The latter equality implies $\pi(T^a x) = \pi(T^a y)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies $n(\pi(T^a x)) = n(\pi(T^a y))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that by Remark [7.2](#page-18-4) there is at most one index $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq j \leq$ $\frac{M}{2}$ – 2 for which $n(T^{j+1}x) \neq n(T^{j}x) + 1$. By Lemma [A.4](#page-27-2) one can find an index $-\frac{3}{2}M \le r \le 0$ so that for $r \le s \le r + \frac{M}{2} - 1$, $\underline{n}(T^s x) = \underline{n}(T^r x) + s - r$, $\overline{n}(T^s x) = \overline{n}(T^r x) + s - r$ and $\underline{n}(T^r x) \leq \frac{M}{2}$ $\frac{M}{2}$. Denote $\lambda = n'(T^r x)$, $a =$ $\underline{n}(T^r x) \leq \frac{M}{2}$ $\frac{M}{2}$. Recall $\frac{M}{2} = 4S$. Substituting $T^s x, T^s y$ for $r \leq s \leq r+4S-1$ in Equation [\(9.3\)](#page-25-0), we conclude from the equality $I_f(x)|_r^{r+4S-1} = I_f(y)|_r^{r+4S-1}$ and $n(\pi(T^a x)) = n(\pi(T^a y))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$
(1-\lambda)F(T^{r-a}x)|_a^{a+4S-1} + \lambda F(T^{r-a-1}x)|_{a+1}^{a+4S} = (1-\lambda)F(T^{r-a}y)|_a^{a+4S-1} + \lambda F(T^{r-a-1}y)|_{a+1}^{a+4S}
$$

As $1 - \lambda \neq 0$, by property [\(3\)](#page-24-1) above, there exist $U \in \gamma \succ \alpha^{N+1}$ so that $T^{r-a}x, T^{r-a}y \in U$. As $N = -\frac{3}{2}M - \frac{M}{2} \le r - a \le 0$ we can find $V \in \alpha$, so that $x, y \in V$. As x, y were arbitrary and $\max_{U \in \alpha} \text{diam}(U) < \epsilon$, we conclude that for every $z \in ([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \times Z$, $\text{diam}((I_f \times \pi)^{-1}(z) < \epsilon$.

 \Box

Lemma 9.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$. D_{ϵ}^{π} is open in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$.

Proof. Let $f \in D_{\epsilon}^{\pi}$. We claim there exist $\tau > 0$ so that for every $z \in$ $([0,1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \times F \triangleq R$, diam $((I_f \times \pi)^{-1}(B_{\tau}(z))) < \epsilon$. Notice that by the definition of D_{ϵ}^{π} (Equation [\(9.1\)](#page-23-1)), the previous claim is true when $B_{\tau}(z)$ is replaced by z. Now assume for a contradiction that the claim does not hold. Then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find $z_i \in R$, $x_i, y_i \in X$, , so that $I_f \times \pi(x_i)$, $I_f \times \pi(y_i) \in B_{\frac{1}{i}}(z_i)$ and $d(x_i, y_i) \ge \epsilon$. By compactness there exist $x, y \in X$ so that $d(x, y) \ge \epsilon$ and $I_f \times \pi(x) = I_f \times \pi(y)$. This is a contradiction to $f \in D_{\epsilon}^{\pi}$. Now let $g \in C(X, [0, 1]^d)$ so that $||f - g||_{\infty} < \frac{\tau}{2}$ $\frac{\tau}{2}$. We claim $g \in D_{\epsilon}^{\pi}$. If $I_g \times \pi(x) =$ $I_g \times \pi(y)$, then $||I_f \times \pi(x) - I_f \times \pi(y)||_{\infty} < \tau$, which implies $d(x, y) < \epsilon$. \Box

APPENDIX

Lemma A.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (X,T) has a closed n-marker iff (X,T) has an open n-marker.

Proof. Let F be a closed n-marker such that $\{T^i(F)\}_{i=1}^m$ cover X for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $B_{\epsilon}(F) \cap T^{i}B_{\epsilon}(F) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$. Define $U = B_{\epsilon}(F)$. Clearly U is an open *n*-marker. Now let U be an open *n*-marker. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be the Lebesgue number for the covering $\{T^i(U)\}_{i=1}^m$, i.e. for every $x \in X$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq m$ so that $B_{\epsilon}(x) \subset T^{i}(U)$. Let

 $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ be so that $B_{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(T^iU) \subset T^i\overline{B}_{-\delta}(U)$ for $0 \leq i < m$. Note that for every $x \in X$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq m$ so that $x \in B_{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(T^iU)$. Define $F = \overline{B}_{-\delta}(U)$. Clearly F is a closed n-marker.

Lemma A.2. For any $K \subset (X \times X) \backslash \triangle$ compact, D_K is open in $C(X, [0, 1]^d)$.

Proof. We repeat the argument of Lemma III.4 on p.21 of [\[Jaw74\]](#page-36-7). Fix $f \in$ D_K. Define $\alpha: K \to [0,1]$ given by $\alpha(x,y) \triangleq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ||f(T^n x) - f(T^n y)||_{\infty}$. Notice that $\alpha_{|K} > 0$ and that α is lower semicontinuous, i.e. for any $a > 0$ $\{(x, y) \in K | \alpha(x, y) \leq a\}$ is closed. The latter implies α attains a minimum ϵ on K and the former implies $\epsilon > 0$. Conclude that for $g \in C(X, [0, 1]^d)$, with $||g - f||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$, one has $g \in D_K$ as $||g(T^n x) - g(T^n y)||_{\infty} \ge ||f(T^n x) - f(T^n y)||_{\infty}$ $f(T^ny)$ || $\infty - \frac{2\epsilon}{3} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for any $(x, y) \in K$.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall we denote $\mathbb{Z}_N = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \oplus)$.

Lemma A.3. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ with $0 \in A$ so that $|A| \geq \lceil \frac{N}{3} \rceil$ and $(y \oplus A) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Proof. Start by defining $A = \{0\}$. Note $(y \oplus A) \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $|A'| < \lceil \frac{N}{3} \rceil$ $rac{N}{3}$ with $(y \oplus A') \cap A' = \emptyset$. Define $B = A' \cup (y \oplus A) \cup (-y \oplus A)$. Note $|B| < N$. Choose $a \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus B$. Redefine $A = A' \cup \{a\}$ and note $(y \oplus A) \cap A = \emptyset$. \Box

Lemma A.4. Let $n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $x \in X$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$, an even integer. Assume there is at most one index $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq j \leq \frac{M}{2} - 2$ for which $n(T^{j+1}x) \neq n(T^jx) + 1$. Then one can find an index $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq r \leq 0$ so that $|n(T^r x)| \mod M \leq \frac{M}{2}$ $\frac{M}{2}$ and for $r \leq s \leq r + \frac{M}{2} - 1$:

(A.1)
$$
\lceil n(T^s x) \rceil \mod M = \lceil n(T^r x) \rceil \mod M + s - r
$$

(A.2)
$$
\lfloor n(T^s x) \rfloor \mod M = \lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M + s - r
$$

Proof. As the segment $-\frac{3}{2}M \leq j \leq \frac{M}{2} - 2$ has total length $M + (M - 1)$, one of the intervals $\{-\frac{3}{2}M, -\frac{3}{2}M + 1, \ldots, j\}, \{j+1, \ldots, \frac{M}{2} - 2\}$ is at least of length M. Denote this interval by $\{a, \ldots b\}$ $(b - a \geq M - 1)$. Note $n(T^s x)$ mod $M = n(T^a x) + s - a \mod M$ for $a \le s \le b$. Conclude there is $a \le k \le b$ so that $n(T^kx) \mod M \in [0,1)$. If $b-k+1 \geq \frac{M}{2}$ $\frac{M}{2}$, then let $r = k$. In this case $\lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M = 0$ and $n(T^s x_i) \mod M \in [0, 1 + s - r) \subset [0, M - 1)$ for $r \leq s \leq r + \frac{M}{2} - 1$. If $b - k + 1 < \frac{M}{2}$ $\frac{M}{2}$, then $k - a \ge b - a + 2 - \frac{M}{2}$ 2 which implies $\frac{M}{2} + 1 \le k - a$ and we set $r = k - \frac{M}{2} - 1 \ge a$. In this case $\lfloor n(T^r x) \rfloor \mod M \in [\frac{M}{2}-1, \frac{M}{2}]$ $\frac{M}{2}$) and $n(T^s x_i) \mod M \in [\frac{M}{2}-1, \frac{M}{2}+r-s) \subset$ $\left[\frac{M}{2}-1, M-1\right)$ for $r \leq s \leq r + \frac{M}{2}-1$. In both cases we see that $(A.1)$ and [\(A.2\)](#page-27-4) hold. This also implies $r \le -1$ as $r + \frac{M}{2} - 1 \le \frac{M}{2} - 2$.

Lemma A.5. Let $B \subset X$ be a closed set and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $f' : B \to [0,1]^d$ and $\tilde{f}: X \to [0,1]^d$ be continuous functions so that $||\tilde{f}_{|B} - f'||_{B,\infty} < \epsilon$. Then there exists a continuous function $f: X \to [0,1]^d$ so that $f_{|B} = f'$ and $||\tilde{f} - f||_{\infty} < \epsilon.$

Proof. Apply the Tietze extension theorem ([\[Mun75,](#page-36-12) Theorem 3.2]) to $\tilde{f}_{|B}$ – $f': B \to [-\epsilon', \epsilon']^d$ for some $\epsilon > \epsilon' > 0$, to find a continuous function $g: X \to$ $[-\epsilon', \epsilon']^d$ so that $g_{|B} = f' - \tilde{f}_{|B}$, i.e. on B, $f' = \tilde{f}_{|B} + g$. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ be given by $h(t) = 1$ for $t \ge 1$, $h(t) = t$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $h(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$. Let $\vec{h} : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]^d$ be given by $\vec{h}(x_1,\ldots,h_d) = (h(x_1),\ldots,h(x_d))$. Finally define:

$$
f = \vec{h} \circ (\tilde{f} + g)
$$

Clearly $f \in C(X,[0,1]^d)$. Fix $x \in X$ and $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. If $\tilde{f}(x)|_i +$ $g(x)|_i \in [0,1],$ then $f(x)|_i = \tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i$ and $|f(x)|_i - \tilde{f}(x)|_i| \le \epsilon' < \epsilon$. If $\tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \geq 1$, then $1 + \epsilon' \geq \tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \geq 1 = f(x)|_i$ and we have $|f(x)|_i - \tilde{f}(x)|_i \le \epsilon' < \epsilon$. Similarly for the case $\tilde{f}(x)|_i + g(x)|_i \le 0$.

Lemma A.6. Let $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear subspace with $\dim(V) = r$. If $r + s \leq m$, then almost surely w.r.t Lebesgue measure for $(v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_{r+s}) \in ([0, 1]^m)^s$, $\dim(V + \text{span}((v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_{r+s})) =$ $r + s$, equivalently $V \cap \text{span}(v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_{r+s}) = \{ \vec{0} \}.$

Proof. By induction. Assume that almost surely in $(v_{r+1}, \ldots v_i) \in ([0, 1]^m)^i$, $i < m$, one has $\dim(V_i) = i$ for $V_i = V + \text{span}(v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_i)$. For any $v_{i+1} \notin V_i \cap [0,1]^m$, one has $\dim(V + \text{span}(v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_{i+1})) = i+1$. Note $\mu(V_i \cap [0,1]^m) = 0$ for μ the Lebesgue measure in $[0,1]^m$. The result now follows from Fubini's theorem. $\hfill \square$

Definition A.7. $v_1, \ldots v_l \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are called **affinely independent** if for any $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \ldots, l$ with $\Sigma_{i=1}^l \lambda_i v_i = 0$, the equality $\Sigma_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 0$ implies $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, l$. This is equivalent to the requirement that v_2 − $v_1, v_3 - v_1, \ldots v_l - v_1$ are linearly independent.

Lemma A.8. Let $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $V = \text{span}(v_1, \ldots, v_r) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear subspace with dim(V) = r. If $r + s \le m + 1$, then almost surely *w.r.t Lebesgue measure for* $(v_{r+1}, v_2, \ldots, v_{r+s}) \in ([0,1]^m)^s$, $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r+s}$ are affinely independent.

Proof. By Lemma [A.6,](#page-29-0) if $r+s \leq m$ then almost surely in $(v_{r+1}, v_2, \ldots, v_{r+s}) \in$ $([0,1]^m)^s$, $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{r+s}$ are linearly independent, a fortiori affinely independent. We now consider the case $r + s = m + 1$. Using the previous case, almost surely for $(v_{r+1}, v_2, ..., v_m) \in ([0, 1]^m)^{m-r}$, $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$ are linearly independent. Let $V = \text{span}(v_2 - v_1, \dots, v_m - v_1)$. Note that almost surely dim(V) = $m - 1$, which implies $\mu(V \cap [0, 1]^m) = 0$ for μ the Lebesgue measure in $[0,1]^m$. Therefore almost surely for $v'_{m+1} \in [0,1]^m$, $\dim(V + \text{span}(v'_{m+1})) = m$. Define $v_{m+1} = v'_{m+1} + v_1$. Conclude that almost surely span $(v_2 - v_1, \ldots, v_m - v_1, v_{m+1} - v_1) = m$. The result now follows from Fubini's theorem.

Lemma A.9. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $n_1, n_2, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 \geq n_2$. Let $R_1, R_2 \subset X$ be closed sets so that $R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset$. For $i = 1, 2$, let α_i be open covers of R_i with $\text{ord}(\alpha_i) < \frac{n_i d}{2}$. Assume that for each $i = 1, 2$ a collection of distinct points $\{q_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i} \subset R_i$ and a collection of vectors $\{\tilde{v}_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i} \subset ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$ are given, then there exist continuous functions $F_i: R_i \to ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$, with the following properties $(i = 1, 2)$:

(1) $\forall U \in \alpha_i, ||F_i(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $(2) \ \forall x \in R_i$, $F_i(x) \in \text{co}\lbrace F_i(q_U) \vert x \in U \in \alpha_i \rbrace$, (3) If $x' \in R_1$ and $y' \in R_2$ then $F_1(x') \neq (F_2(y'))^{\oplus n_1}$.

Proof. Note that as α_i are open covers of disjoint sets, then if $U \in \alpha_1$ and $V \in \alpha_2$, then $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \alpha_i}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to α_i so that $\psi_U(q_U) = 1$. Let $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_1}$, $U \in \alpha_1$ be vectors that will be specified later. Let $\vec{v}_U = \tilde{v}_U, U \in \alpha_2$. Define $F_i : R_i \to ([0, 1]^d)^{n_i}$ by:

$$
F_i(x) = \sum_{U \in \alpha_i} \psi_U(x) \vec{v}_U
$$

Thus property [\(2\)](#page-30-1) holds. For $x \in R_i$ define $\alpha_{i,x} = \{U \in \alpha_i | \psi_U(x) > 0\}.$ Write [\(3\)](#page-30-2) explicitly as:

(A.3)
$$
\sum_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}} \psi_U(x') \vec{v}_U \neq \sum_{U \in \alpha_{2,y'}} \psi_U(y') (\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1}
$$

The left-hand side (right-hand side) of Equation [\(A.3\)](#page-30-3) is a convex combination of at most $\text{ord}(\alpha_1) + 1$ $(\text{ord}(\alpha_2) + 1)$ vectors. Therefore the total number of vectors is bounded by $|\alpha_{1,x'} \cup \alpha_{2,y'}| \leq \frac{n_1d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{n_2d}{2} - \frac{1}{2} +$ $1 \leq n_1 d + 1$. Denote $V_2 = \text{span}((\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1} | U \in \alpha_{2,y'})$ and note $\dim(V_2) \leq$ $|\alpha_{2,y'}| \leq \frac{n_2d}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. We distinguish between two cases. If $\dim(V_2) = \frac{n_2 d}{2} +$ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ then $\{(\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1} | U \in \alpha_{2,y'}\}$ are linearly independent and we can invoke Lemma [A.8,](#page-29-1) to conclude that almost surely in $(\vec{v}_U)_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}} \in ([0,1]^{dn_1})^{|\alpha_{1,x'}|}$,

 ${\vec{v}_U}_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}} \cup {\vec{v}_U}_{\theta^{n_1}}$ $\cup \in \alpha_{2,y'}$ are affinely independent. This implies Equa-tion [\(A.3\)](#page-30-3) holds almost surely as the sum of coefficients $\sum_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}} \psi_U(x')$ – $\sum_{U \in \alpha_{2,y'}} \psi_U(y') = 0$ but all coefficients are positive (see Definition [A.7\)](#page-29-2). If $\dim(V_2) < \frac{n_2d}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, then by Lemma [A.6](#page-29-0) almost surely in $(\vec{v}_U)_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}} \in$ $([0,1]^{dn_1})^{|\alpha_{1,x'}|}$, span $(\vec{v}_U)_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}}$ and span $((\vec{v}_U)^{\oplus n_1} | U \in \alpha_{2,y'})$ are complementary linear subspaces and $\{\vec{v}_U\}_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}}$ are linearly independent. This implies $(A.3)$ holds almost surely. Indeed notice that the null vector $\vec{0}$ is the only vector which belongs to both subspaces. As ${\vec{v}_U}_{U \in \alpha_{1,x'}}$ are linearly independent and $\psi_U(x') > 0$ for all $U \in \alpha_{1,x'}$ the linear combination on the left hand side does not equal the null vector. As there is a finite number of constraints of the form [\(A.3\)](#page-30-3), we can therefore choose $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^{n_1}$, $U \in \alpha_1$ so that both property [\(1\)](#page-30-4) and property [\(3\)](#page-30-2) hold. Finally we have already remarked property (2) holds.

Lemma A.10. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $n, l, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq l \leq n-1$. Let $R \subset X$ be a closed set. Let α be an open cover of R with $\text{ord}(\alpha) < \frac{nd}{2}$ $\frac{ad}{2}$. Assume a collection of distinct points $\{q_U\}_{U \in \alpha} \subset R$ and a collection of vectors $\{\tilde{v}_U\}_{U \in \alpha} \subset ([0,1]^d)^{n_i}$ are given, then there exists a continuous function $F: R \to ([0,1]^d)^n$, with the following properties:

(1) $\forall U \in \alpha, ||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ (2) $\forall x \in X, F(x) \in \text{co}\lbrace F(q_U) \vert x \in U \in \alpha \rbrace$, (3) If $x', y' \in R$ then $F(x') \neq (F(y'))^{\bullet l}$.

Proof. Let $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \alpha}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to α so that $\psi_U(q_U)$ 1. Let $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^n$, $U \in \alpha$ be vectors that will be specified later. Define:

$$
F(x) = \sum_{U \in \alpha} \psi_U(x) \vec{v}_U
$$

Thus property [\(2\)](#page-31-1) holds. For $x \in R$ define $\alpha_x = \{U \in \alpha | \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Considering \vec{v}_U as vectors in $[0, 1]^{dn}$, write [\(3\)](#page-31-2) explicitly as (\oplus denotes addition modulo $nd, S = \{0, 1, \ldots nd - 1\}$:

(A.4)
$$
\sum_{U \in \alpha_{x'}} \psi_U(x') \vec{v}_U|_i - \sum_{U \in \alpha_{y'}} \psi_U(y') \vec{v}_V|_{i \oplus dl} \neq 0, i \in S
$$

Consider this inequality as a symbolic equality in the (vector) symbols \vec{v}_U , i.e., let $\alpha_{x'} = \{U_1^1, \ldots, U_{m_1}^1\}$ and $\alpha_{y'} = \{U_1^2, \ldots, U_{m_2}^2\}$ with $m_1, m_2 \leq$ $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha)+1,$ and represent $\vec{v}_{U_i^j}$ as a vector composed of nd symbols: $\vec{v}_{U_i^j}$ = $(v_{\tau i}^{k,g})$ $\mathcal{U}^{k,g}_j)_{k \in \{1,\ldots,d\}, g \in \{1,\ldots,n\}}$. Let M be the matrix constituting of all different (symbolic) vectors appearing in Equation [\(A.4\)](#page-32-0).

$$
\mathcal{M} = \left[\vec{v}_{U_1^1|S}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{U_{m_1}^1|S}, \vec{v}_{U_1^2|S \oplus dl}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{U_{m_2}^2|S \oplus dl} \right]
$$

The matrix has nd rows. The number of columns of the matrix is bounded by $2(\text{ord}(\alpha)+1) \leq 2(\frac{nd}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+1) = nd+1$. Different x' and y' may give rise to different matrices, however the total number of matrices that arise in this way is finite. To proceed in the proof it is useful that all matrices have the same dimensions. We thus introduce a finite number of additional symbolic vectors $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^l$, consisting of distinct symbols of those in $\{v_U\}_{U \in \alpha}$, and add them as columns to (some of) the matrices so that the resulting matrices, to which we refer as *augmented*, have exactly nd rows and $nd + 1$ columns. We then claim that almost surely in $\{v_U\}_{U \in \alpha} \cup \{w_i\}_{i=1}^l$ each of the augmented matrices consist of a affinely independent columns. By Fubini's theorem almost surely in $\{v_U\}_{U \in \alpha}$, each of the original matrices consist of affinely independent columns. Thus Equation [\(A.4\)](#page-32-0) hold for all $x', y' \in R$. This implies we may choose specific substitution of values into the symbolic vectors $\{v_U\}_{U \in \alpha}$ such that properties [\(1\)](#page-30-4) and [\(3\)](#page-30-2) (as well as [\(2\)](#page-31-1) as remarked before) hold for the resulting $F: R \to ([0,1]^d)^n$. All that is left to prove is

the following statement: Given k symbolic column vectors of length $k-1$ in a $(k-1) \times k$ matrix \mathcal{M}_k so that the same symbol does not appear twice in any row and in any column and such that the same symbol appears at most twice in \mathcal{M}_k , then these k vectors are almost surely affinely independent. The proof is by induction on k. The case $k = 2$ is trivial as M is the 1×2 matrix [a, b] and almost surely in (a, b) , $a - b \neq 0$. Assume the result holds for $(k-1) \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_k = \left[\vec{v}_1, \cdots, \vec{v}_k\right]$ where $\vec{v}_j = (v_{i,j})_{i=1}^{k-1}$. There are two cases. In the first case every symbol in \mathcal{M}_k appears exactly once in \mathcal{M}_k . By Lemma [A.8](#page-29-1) (with $V = \emptyset$) almost surely \mathcal{M}_k consists of affinely independent vectors. In the second case there is some $v_{s,t}$ which appears exactly twice in \mathcal{M}_k , $v_{s,t} = v_{s',t'}$ for $s \neq s'$ and $t \neq t'$. Let \mathcal{N}_k be the $k \times k$ matrix achieved from \mathcal{M}_k by adding as a last row the vector $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. It is enough to show $\det(\mathcal{N}_k) \neq 0$ almost surely. Denote by $P = \{v_{i,j}\}_{(i,j)\neq (s,t),(s',t')}$ the collection all symbolic elements except $v_{s,t} = v_{s',t'}$. We can write the determinant of \mathcal{N}_k in the following way:

(A.5)
$$
\det(\mathcal{N}_k) = \pm D_{(s,t),(s',t')}(P)v_{s,t}^2 + f_1(P)v_{s,t} + f_0(P)
$$

where $D_{(s,t),(s',t')}(P)$ is the determinant of the $(k-2) \times (k-2)$ minor \mathcal{N}_{k-2} obtained from \mathcal{N}_k by erasing rows s and s' and columns t and t' and f_1, f_0 are some functions all depending on P. Note that if $k > 3$, \mathcal{N}_{k-2} corresponds to the case $k - 2$ of the claim and moreover the symbol $v_{s,t}$ does not appear in \mathcal{N}_{k-2} . If $k = 3$, $\mathcal{N}_{k-2} = \mathcal{N}_1 = [1]$. We conclude almost surely in P, $D_{(s,t),(s',t')} \neq 0$ and therefore almost surely in $P \cup \{v_{s,t}\}, \det(\mathcal{N}_k) \neq 0.$

The following lemma is related to Lemma 6.5 of [\[Lin99\]](#page-36-8).

Lemma A.11. Let $m, d, S \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $R \subset X$ be a closed set and γ an open cover of R with $Sd \geq \text{ord}(\gamma) + 1 + md$. Assume a collection of distinct points $\{q_U\}_{U \in \gamma} \subset X$ and a collection of vectors $\{\tilde{v}_U\}_{U \in \gamma} \subset ([0,1]^d)^S$

are given, then there exists a continuous function $F: R \to ([0,1]^d)^S$, with the following properties:

- (1) $\forall U \in \gamma, ||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$,
- (2) $\forall x \in R$, $F(x) \in \text{co}\{F(q_U) | x \in U \in \gamma\},\$
- (3) For any $x \in R$ it holds:

$$
(A.6) \tF(x) \notin V_S^m
$$

where $V_S^m \triangleq \{y = (y_1, \ldots, y_S) \in ([0,1]^d)^S | \forall 1 \le a, b \le S, (a = b) \}$ mod $m) \rightarrow y_a = y_b$.

Proof. Let $\{\psi_U\}_{U \in \gamma}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to γ so that $\psi_U(q_U)$ = 1. Let $\vec{v}_U \in ([0, 1]^d)^S$, $U \in \gamma$ be vectors that will be specified later. Define:

$$
F(x) = \sum_{U \in \gamma} \psi_U(x) \vec{v}_U
$$

Thus property [\(2\)](#page-34-0) holds. For $x \in R$ define $\gamma_x = \{U \in \gamma | \psi_U(x) > 0\}$. Write [\(A.6\)](#page-34-1) explicitly as:

(A.7)
$$
\sum_{U \in \gamma_x} \lambda_j \psi_U(x) \vec{v}_U \notin V_S^m
$$

Note that $\dim(V_S^m) = md$ and $md + |\gamma_x| \leq md + \text{ord}(\gamma) + 1 \leq Sd$. By Lemma [A.6,](#page-29-0) almost surely in ${\lbrace \vec{v}_U \rbrace_{U \in \gamma_x}$ in $([0,1]^d)^S \rbrace^{|\gamma_x|}$, $V_S^m \cap \text{span}({\lbrace \vec{v}_U \rbrace_{U \in \gamma_x}})$ ${\{\vec{0}\}}$. As there is a finite number of constraints of the form $(A.7)$, we can choose $\vec{v}_U \in ([0,1]^d)^S$, $U \in \gamma$ so that properties [\(1\)](#page-34-3) and [\(3\)](#page-34-4) hold (as well as (2) as remarked before).

The following lemma is Lemma 5.6 of [\[Lin99\]](#page-36-8). The proof is similar to the proofs of Lemmas [A.9,](#page-30-0) [A.10](#page-31-0) and [A.11.](#page-33-0)

Lemma A.12. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $N, d, S \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N > 4S$. Let γ be an open cover of X with ord (γ) < Sd. Assume a collection of distinct points ${q_U}_{U \in \gamma} \subset X$ and a collection of vectors ${\tilde{v}_U}_{U \in \gamma} \subset ([0,1]^d)^N$ are given, then there exists a continuous function $F: X \to ([0,1]^d)^N$, with the following properties:

(1) $\forall U \in \gamma, ||F(q_U) - \tilde{v}_U||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, (2) $\forall x \in X$, $F(x) \in \text{co}\lbrace F(q_U) \mid x \in U \in \gamma \rbrace$, (3) If for some $0 \leq l < N - 4S$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $x, y, x', y' \in X$ so that: $\lambda F(x)|_{l}^{l+4S-1} + (1 - \lambda)F(y)|_{l+1}^{l+4S} = \lambda F(x')_{l}^{l+4S-1} + (1 - \lambda)F(y')|_{l+1}^{l+4S}$ $l+1$

then there exist $U \in \gamma$ so that $x, x' \in U$.

REFERENCES

- [Aus88] Joseph Auslander. Minimal flows and their extensions, volume 153 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 122.
- [BC04] Christian Bonatti and Sylvain Crovisier. Récurrence et généricité. Invent. Math., 158(1):33–104, 2004.
- [Coo05] Michel Coornaert. Dimension topologique et systèmes dynamiques, volume 14 of Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005.
- [Dow06] Tomasz Downarowicz. Minimal models for noninvertible and not uniquely ergodic systems. Israel J. Math., 156:93–110, 2006.
- [Flo35] A. Flores. Über n-dimensionale Komplexe, die im \mathbb{R}_{2n+1} absolut selbstverschlungen sind. Erg. Math. Kolloqu., 6:4–7, 1935.
- [Gro99] Misha Gromov. Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps. I. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 2(4):323–415, 1999.
- [GT14] Yonatan Gutman and Masaki Tsukamoto. Mean dimension and a sharp embedding theorem: extensions of aperiodic subshifts. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 34:1888–1896, 2014.
- [Gut11] Yonatan Gutman. Embedding \mathbb{Z}^k -actions in cubical shifts and \mathbb{Z}^k -symbolic extensions. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 31(2):383–403, 2011.
- [Gut15] Yonatan Gutman. Embedding topological dynamical systems with periodic points in cubical shifts. To appear in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems. Available at <http://www.impan.pl/~gutman/>, 2015.
- [HW41] Witold Hurewicz and Henry Wallman. Dimension Theory. Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 4. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941.
- [HWZ15] Ilan Hirshberg, Wilhelm Winter, and Joachim Zacharias. Rokhlin dimension and C*-dynamics. Comm. Math. Phys., 335:637–670, 2015.
- [Jaw74] A. Jaworski. The Kakutani-Beboutov theorem for groups. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Maryland, 1974.
- [Kri70] Wolfgang Krieger. On entropy and generators of measure-preserving transformations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 149:453–464, 1970.
- [Kri82] Wolfgang Krieger. On the subsystems of topological Markov chains. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 2(2):195–202, 1982.
- [Kul95] John Kulesza. Zero-dimensional covers of finite-dimensional dynamical systems. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 15(5):939–950, 1995.
- [Lin95] Elon Lindenstrauss. Lowering topological entropy. J. Anal. Math., 67:231–267, 1995.
- [Lin99] Elon Lindenstrauss. Mean dimension, small entropy factors and an embedding theorem. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 89(1):227-262, 1999.
- [LT14] Elon Lindenstrauss and Masaki Tsukamoto. Mean dimension and an embedding problem: an example. Israel J. Math., 199:573–584, 2014.
- [LW00] Elon Lindenstrauss and Benjamin Weiss. Mean topological dimension. Israel J. Math., 115:1–24, 2000.
- [Mun75] James R. Munkres. Topology: a first course. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1975.
- [Sza15] Gábor Szabó. The Rokhlin dimension of topological \mathbb{Z}^m -actions. Proc. London Math. Soc., 110 (3):673–694, 2015.

Yonatan Gutman, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,

ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland.

 $E-mail$ address: y.gutman@impan.pl