

# A Superspace Formulation of the BV Action for Higher Derivative Gauge Theories

Mozzam Khan

Department of Mathematics, King's College London  
Strand, London WC2R 2L

November 21, 2018

## Abstract

In this paper we analyse a certain type of higher derivative gauge theories which are known to possess BRST symmetry associated with their higher derivative structure. We first show that these theories are also invariant under a anti-BRST symmetry and a double BRST symmetry. We then discuss the invariance of these theories under shift symmetry in the superspace Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) formalism. We show that these theories are manifestly extended BRST invariant. However they are only extended anti-BRST invariant on-shell.

Key Words: Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism, Higher Derivative Gauge Theory  
PACS Number: 03.70.+k

## 1 Introduction

Higher derivative gauge theories arise naturally as an expansion of the Born-Infeld action for  $D$ -branes [1]-[4]. These higher order corrections to gauge theories become relevant for studying  $D$ -branes beyond the slowly varying field approximation. The expansion of the action can be viewed as an expansion in  $\alpha'$  and the Yang-Mills coupling  $g$ , with  $\alpha'gF_{\mu\nu}$  being dimensionless. Thus the lowest order terms are corrected by terms like  $\alpha'^2g^2F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$  and  $\alpha'^4g^4F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\tau\lambda}F_{\tau\lambda}$  along with terms like  $\alpha'^3g^2D^2F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$  and  $\alpha'^4g^2D^4F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$ .

Higher derivative gauge theories are also important in the study of linearly polarized laser beam propagating through a transverse magnetic field [5]. It is hoped that induced ellipticity of the laser beam can be explained by an effective cubic order Lagrangian in the field strength [6].

However, the existence of higher derivatives causes these theories to possess negative norm states or ghost states associated with their higher derivative structure [7]-[11]. It is well known how to deal with the problems associated with negative norm states induced by the Faddeev-Popov ghosts in conventional gauge theories by means of BRST and anti-BRST symmetries [12]-[13]. In fact, gauge invariance in gauge theories also has very interesting consequences in string theory [21]-[23]. So, the BRST symmetry has been studied in string theory [24]-[32]. This BRST or anti-BRST invariance of the sum of the classical

Lagrangian, the gauge fixing term and the ghost term can be used to remove all the negative norm states associated with the Faddeev-Popov ghosts.

Recently BRST symmetry in higher derivative gauge theories has been studied [33]. This BRST symmetry is not due to gauge fixing but is an intrinsic feature of a certain type of higher derivative gauge theories. In fact it was demonstrated in [33]-[34] that even certain scalar field theories possess BRST and anti-BRST symmetries along with double BRST symmetry. Furthermore, the effect of shift symmetry on these scalar theories has also been recently analysed in the superspace BV-formalism [34]. BV formalism [35]-[37] in the context of both the extended BRST, and the extended anti-BRST symmetries of Faddeev-Popov ghosts [38]-[40] along a superspace formalism for it is also well understood [41]-[43].

In this paper we will analyse certain gauge theories known to possess a BRST symmetry with their higher derivative structure [33] on similar line to what was done in [34]. So we will first show that these higher derivative gauge theories also possesses anti-BRST symmetry along with the double BRST symmetry. Then we shall study the effect of shift symmetry on these theories in the Batalin Vilkovisky (BV) formalism. So we will study these higher derivative gauge theories in the superspace BV formalism in analogy to what was done for scalar field theories BRST symmetry associated with their higher derivative structure.

## 2 BRST and Anti-BRST Invariant Lagrangian Density

The Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}$  of higher derivative gauge theory that we will analyze in this paper is

$$\mathcal{L} = Tr \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O} A^\mu \mathcal{O} A_\mu + \bar{c}^\mu \mathcal{O} c_\mu \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $c_\mu$  is a ghost field,  $\bar{c}_\mu$  is an anti-ghost field,  $A_\mu$  is a gauge field and  $\mathcal{O}$  depends on the order of the theory. The Lagrangian density given in Eq. (1) can then be rewritten using an auxiliary field  $L_\mu$  as

$$\mathcal{L} = Tr \left[ L^\mu \mathcal{O} A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} L^\mu L_\mu + \bar{c}^\mu \mathcal{O} c_\mu \right]. \quad (2)$$

We can also write this Lagrangian density explicitly for any order of the theory. For example for a fourth order theory it is given by

$$Tr [L^\mu \mathcal{O} A_\mu] = Tr \left[ L^\mu \left( D^\nu F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \partial_\mu \partial^\nu A_\nu \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

With this the Lagrangian density for a fourth order theory can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ D^\nu F_{\mu\nu} D_\rho F^{\rho\mu} + \frac{1}{4\alpha^2} \partial^\mu \partial^\rho A_\rho \partial_\mu \partial^\sigma A_\sigma \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha} D^\nu F_{\nu\mu} \partial^\mu \partial^\rho A_\rho + \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - 2i \{ \bar{c}^\mu, c^\nu \} F_{\nu\mu} \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial^\mu \bar{c}_\mu \partial^\nu c_\nu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

However we will use the Lagrangian density given by Eq. (2) in this paper so as to keep the results very general and applicable to any order gauge theory. So we will not define the exact form of  $\mathcal{O}$  in this paper as it will in general depend on the order of the theory.

The Lagrangian density given by Eq (2) is known to possess a BRST symmetry [33], as it is invariant under the following BRST transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta A_\mu &= c_\mu, \\ \delta \bar{c}_\mu &= -L_\mu, \\ \delta c_\mu &= 0, \\ \delta L_\mu &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

We note that this Lagrangian density given by Eq (2) is also invariant under the following anti-BRST transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\delta} A_\mu &= \bar{c}_\mu, \\ \bar{\delta} \bar{c}_\mu &= 0, \\ \bar{\delta} c_\mu &= L_\mu, \\ \bar{\delta} L_\mu &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Now we can write this Lagrangian density as a total BRST variation or a total anti-BRST variation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \bar{\delta} Tr \left[ \left( c^\mu \left( \mathcal{O} A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} L_\mu \right) \right) \right] \\ &= -\delta Tr \left[ \left( \bar{c}^\mu \left( \mathcal{O} A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} L_\mu \right) \right) \right].\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

However it can also be written as a total double BRST variation. This is a feature of gauge theories with higher derivative structure as in conventional gauge theories this can only be done in Landau gauge or in non-linear gauges.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\delta} \delta Tr [(A^\mu \mathcal{O} A_\mu - c^\mu \bar{c}_\mu)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta \bar{\delta} Tr [(A^\mu \mathcal{O} A_\mu - c^\mu \bar{c}_\mu)].\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

### 3 Extended BRST and Anti-BRST Lagrangian Density

The extended BRST and anti-BRST invariant Lagrangian density is obtained by requiring the Lagrangian density to be invariant under the original BRST transformations, the original anti-BRST transformations and the shift transformations of the original fields given by

$$\begin{aligned}A_\mu &\rightarrow A_\mu - \tilde{A}_\mu, \\ c_\mu &\rightarrow c_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu, \\ \bar{c}_\mu &\rightarrow \bar{c}_\mu - \tilde{\bar{c}}_\mu, \\ L_\mu &\rightarrow L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Thus the extended BRST invariant Lagrangian density given is invariant under the following extended BRST symmetry with the transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A_\mu &= \psi_\mu, & \delta \tilde{A}_\mu &= (\psi_\mu - (c_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu)), \\
\delta c_\mu &= \epsilon_\mu, & \delta \tilde{c}_\mu &= \epsilon_\mu, \\
\delta \bar{c}_\mu &= \epsilon_\mu, & \delta \tilde{\bar{c}}_\mu &= (\bar{\epsilon}_\mu + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu)), \\
\delta L_\mu &= \rho_\mu, & \delta \tilde{L}_\mu &= \rho_\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here,  $\psi_\mu, \epsilon_\mu, \bar{\epsilon}_\mu$  and  $\rho_\mu$  are the ghost fields associated with the shift symmetries of the original fields  $A_\mu, c_\mu, \bar{c}_\mu$  and  $L_\mu$  respectively. Following the standard BV-formalism we also add anti-fields with opposite parity to the original fields along with new auxiliary fields. These anti-fields transform into new auxiliary fields under BRST transformations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A_\mu^* &= -b_\mu, \\
\delta c_\mu^* &= -B_\mu, \\
\delta \bar{c}_\mu^* &= -\bar{B}_\mu, \\
\delta L_\mu^* &= -\bar{b}_\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The BRST transformations of these ghosts associated with the shift symmetry and these new auxiliary fields vanish

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \psi_\mu &= 0, & \delta b_\mu &= 0, \\
\delta \epsilon_\mu &= 0, & \delta B_\mu &= 0, \\
\delta \tilde{\epsilon}_\mu &= 0, & \delta \bar{B}_\mu &= 0, \\
\delta \rho_\mu &= 0, & \delta \bar{b}_\mu &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The original and shifted fields obey the extended anti-BRST transformations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} \tilde{A}_\mu &= A_\mu^*, & \bar{\delta} A_\mu &= A_\mu^* + (c_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu), \\
\bar{\delta} \tilde{c}_\mu &= \bar{c}_\mu^*, & \bar{\delta} c_\mu &= \bar{c}_\mu^* + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu), \\
\bar{\delta} \tilde{\bar{c}}_\mu &= c_\mu^*, & \bar{\delta} \bar{c}_\mu &= c_\mu^*, \\
\bar{\delta} \tilde{L}_\mu &= L_\mu^*, & \bar{\delta} L_\mu &= L_\mu^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The ghost fields associated with the shift symmetry have the following extended anti-BRST transformations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} \psi_\mu &= b_\mu + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu), \\
\bar{\delta} \epsilon_\mu &= B_\mu, \\
\bar{\delta} \tilde{\epsilon}_\mu &= \bar{B}_\mu, \\
\bar{\delta} \rho_\mu &= \bar{b}_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and the extended anti-BRST transformations of the anti-fields of the auxiliary fields associated with the shift symmetry vanishes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta} b_\mu &= 0, & \bar{\delta} A_\mu^* &= 0, \\
\bar{\delta} B_\mu &= 0, & \bar{\delta} c_\mu^* &= 0, \\
\bar{\delta} \bar{B}_\mu &= 0, & \bar{\delta} \bar{c}_\mu^* &= 0, \\
\bar{\delta} \bar{b}_\mu &= 0, & \bar{\delta} L_\mu^* &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Now we can find a Lagrangian density which is invariant under the extended BRST transformations and also invariant under the extended anti-BRST transformation at least on-shell. It has to be invariant under extended anti-BRST transformation on-shell as the extended anti-BRST transformation reduce to reduce to standard anti-BRST transformations on-shell.

Following what was done for scalar field theories in [34], we choose the Lagrangian density in such a way that it fixes the shift symmetry in such a way that the tilde fields will be made to vanish. Thus the Lagrangian density with extended BRST symmetry and on-shell extended anti-BRST symmetry is given can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}} = & \text{Tr} \left[ A^{*\mu} c_\mu - c^{*\mu} L_\mu - \left( A_\mu^* + \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta L^\mu} \right) \psi^\mu \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \bar{c}_\mu^* + \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta c^\mu} \right) \epsilon^\mu - \left( c^{*\mu} - \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta \bar{c}^\mu} \right) \bar{\epsilon}^\mu + \left( L_\mu^* - \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta L^\mu} \right) \rho^\mu \right], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

with

$$\Psi = -\text{Tr}[\bar{c}^\mu(\mathcal{O}A_\mu - L_\mu/2)]. \quad (17)$$

Now we can obtain explicit expression for the anti-fields in terms of the original fields by integrating out the ghosts associated with the shift symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^* &= -\frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta A^\mu}, \\ \bar{c}_\mu^* &= -\frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta c^\mu}, \\ c_\mu^* &= \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta \bar{c}^\mu}, \\ L_\mu^* &= \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta L^\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now we can compare this expression with the original one and that will lead to the identification of these anti-fields with the original fields as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^* &= \mathcal{O}\bar{c}_\mu, \\ \bar{c}_\mu^* &= 0, \\ c_\mu^* &= -\mathcal{O}A_\mu + \frac{L_\mu}{2}, \\ L_\mu^* &= \frac{\bar{c}_\mu}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

## 4 Extended Superspace

This Lagrangian density can be given a geometric structure in terms of superspace formalism. However as the ghosts are vector field for these theories we will need to define superfield with free Lorentz index with two anti-commutating parameters, namely  $\theta$  and  $\bar{\theta}$ . Thus we define the following superfields:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= A_\mu + \theta\psi_\mu + \bar{\theta}(A_\mu^* + (\bar{c}_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu)) + \theta\bar{\theta}(b_\mu + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu)), \\ \tilde{\varphi}_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \tilde{A}_\mu + \theta(\psi_\mu - (c_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu)) + \bar{\theta}A_\mu^* + \theta\bar{\theta}b_\mu, \\ \chi_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= c_\mu + \theta\epsilon_\mu + \bar{\theta}(c_\mu^* + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu)) + \theta\bar{\theta}B_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\chi}_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \tilde{c}_\mu + \theta \epsilon_\mu + \bar{\theta} c_\mu^* + \theta \bar{\theta} B_\mu, \\
\bar{\chi}_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \bar{c}_\mu + \theta \bar{c}_\mu + \bar{\theta} \bar{c}_\mu^* + \theta \bar{\theta} \bar{B}_\mu, \\
\tilde{\bar{\chi}}_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \tilde{\bar{c}}_\mu + \theta(\bar{c}_\mu + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu)) + \bar{\theta} \bar{c}_\mu^* + \theta \bar{\theta} \bar{B}_\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Now we can define  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  as the Lagrangian density that depends only on the shifted fields. Thus from the above expressions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}^\mu \tilde{\varphi}_\mu + \tilde{\chi}^\mu \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_\mu \right] \\
&= -\text{Tr} \left[ b^\mu \tilde{A}_\mu - A^{*\mu} (\psi_\mu - (c_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu)) - \bar{B}^\mu \tilde{c}_\mu + \bar{c}^{*\mu} \epsilon_\mu \right. \\
&\quad \left. + B^\mu \tilde{\bar{c}}_\mu - c^{*\mu} (\bar{c}_\mu + (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu)) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\tag{22}$$

Being the  $\theta \bar{\theta}$  component of a superfield, this gauge-fixing Lagrangian density is manifestly invariant under extended BRST and anti-BRST transformations.

We now define  $\mathcal{L}$  as the Lagrangian density for the original fields and write it as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\delta(\bar{\theta}) \Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})), \tag{23}$$

where

$$\Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = \text{Tr} [\varphi^\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) \chi_\mu(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})]. \tag{24}$$

We can thus express it as follows:

$$\Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = \Psi + \theta \delta \Psi + \bar{\theta} \bar{\delta} \Psi + \theta \bar{\theta} \delta \bar{\delta} \Psi. \tag{25}$$

The component of  $\theta \bar{\theta}$  can be made to vanish on-shell. This Lagrangian density is manifestly invariant under extended BRST transformations. It is also invariant under on-shell extended anti-BRST transformations.

The complete Lagrangian density is given by the sum of the above two Lagrangian densities. It can therefore be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}} &= \tilde{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{L} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}^\mu \tilde{\varphi}_\mu + \tilde{\chi}^\mu \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_\mu \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\delta(\bar{\theta}) \Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})) \right] \\
&= -\text{Tr} \left[ b^\mu \tilde{A}_\mu - \bar{B}^\mu \tilde{c}_\mu + B^\mu \tilde{\bar{c}}_\mu - (A_\mu^* + \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta A^\mu}) \psi^\mu \right. \\
&\quad \left. + A^{*\mu} (c_\mu - \tilde{c}_\mu) - c^{*\mu} (L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\bar{c}^{*\mu} + \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta c^\mu}) \epsilon^\mu - (c^{*\mu} - \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta \bar{c}^\mu}) \bar{c}^\mu \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We can redefine the auxiliary field as  $L_\mu - \tilde{L}_\mu \rightarrow L_\mu$  because the combination  $(L_\mu + \tilde{L}_\mu)$  can then be integrated out and absorbed into the normalization constant.

## 5 Conclusion

We have analysed higher derivative gauge theories with suitably added ghost terms in the superspace BV formalism. These theories are expressed as a total

double BRST variation. We have also analysed the effect of shift symmetry on these theories. These theories are invariant under extended BRST transformations but they are only invariant under on-shell extended anti-BRST transformations.

It will be interesting to develop a supersymmetric version of this theory and apply it to higher derivative super-Yang-Mills theories. However so far no higher derivative super-Yang-Mills theory is known to possess a BRST symmetry associated with its higher derivative structure. But we can proceed to construct such a theory by considering the theory studied here as the bosonic part of the higher derivative super-Yang-Mills theory. It will also be interesting to apply the results of this paper to higher derivative Chern-Simons theories. It will be interesting to generalise the results of this paper to de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spacetimes [44]-[48]. It will also be interesting to investigate higher derivatives in gauge theory using Wheeler-DeWitt equation [49]-[53].

## References

- [1] A. A. Tseylin, Nucl. Phys. B 501, 41 (1997)
- [2] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 277, 1 (1986)
- [3] F. Deneff, A. Sevrin and W. Troost, Nucl. Phys. B 581, 135 (2000)
- [4] A. Hashimoto and W. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 503, 193 (1997)
- [5] E. Zavattini et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110406 (2006)
- [6] S. I. Kruglov, Phys. Lett. B 652, 146 (2007)
- [7] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D 16, 953 (1977)
- [8] J. Julve and M. Tonin, Nuovo Cim. B 46, 137 (1978)
- [9] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. D 18, 4480 (1978)
- [10] I.G. Avramidi and A.D. Barvinsky, Phys. Lett. B 159, 269 (1985)
- [11] A. Codello and R. Percacci, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 221301 (2006)
- [12] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. 1, 66 (1979)
- [13] I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. 64, 625 (1980)
- [14] M. Faizal, Found. Phys. 41, 270 (2011)
- [15] F. Gronwald, Phys. Rev. D 57, 961 (1998)
- [16] M. Faizal, arXiv:1108.2853
- [17] H. Kanno and M. H. Sarmadi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A9, 39 (1994)
- [18] M. Faizal, Phys. Lett. B705, 120 (2011)
- [19] A. Bilal, Phys. Lett. B 282, 309 (1992)
- [20] M. Faizal, Mod. Phys. Lett. A27, 1250075 (2012)

- [21] M. Faizal, JHEP. 1204, 017 (2012)
- [22] K. Okuyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 127, 229 (2012)
- [23] M. Faizal, Europhys. Lett. 98, 31003 (2012)
- [24] M. Faizal, Phys. Rev. D84, 106011 (2011)
- [25] M. B. Halpern, JHEP. 1011, 031 (2010)
- [26] M. Faizal, Comm. Theor. Phys. 57.4, 637 (2012)
- [27] A. Pakman, JHEP. 0306, 053 (2003)
- [28] M. Faizal and D. Smith, Phys. Rev. D85, 105007 (2012)
- [29] P. Mansfield, Nucl. Phys. B416, 205 (1994)
- [30] M. Faizal, Mod. Phys. Lett. A. arXiv:1207.2120 – Accepted
- [31] B. H. Lian and G. J. Zuckerman, Comm. Math. Phys. 154, 613 (1993)
- [32] M. Faizal, Comm. Theor. Phys. arXiv:1207.6564 – Accepted
- [33] V. O. Rivelles, Phys. Lett. B 577, 137 (2003)
- [34] M. Faizal and M. Khan, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1603 (2011)
- [35] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B 102, 27 (1981)
- [36] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2567 (1983)
- [37] C. Bizdadea and S. O. Saliu, J. Phys. A 31, 8805 (1998)
- [38] C. Bizdadea, I. Negru and S. O. Saliu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14, 359 (1999)
- [39] G. Barnich, R.Constantinescu and P. Gregoire, Phys. Lett. B 293, 353 (1992)
- [40] H. Ikemori, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7, 3397 (1992)
- [41] N. R. F. Braga and A. Das, Nucl. Phys. B 42, 655 (1995)
- [42] N. R. F. Braga and S. M. de Souza, Phys. Rev. D 53, 916 (1996)
- [43] E. M. C. Abreu and N. R. F. Braga, Phys. Rev. D 54, 4080 (1996)
- [44] M. Faizal, Class. Quant. Grav. 29, 035007 (2012)
- [45] Y. S. Myung, Eur. Phys. J. C71, 1550 (2011)
- [46] M. Faizal and A. Higuchi, Phys. Rev. D78, 067502 (2008)
- [47] E. Kiritsis and F. Nitti, Nucl. Phys. B 772, 67 (2007)
- [48] M. Faizal and A. Higuchi, Phys. Rev. D85, 124021 (2012)
- [49] S. Davis, Gen. Rel. Grav. 32, 541 (2000)
- [50] J. H. Kung, Phys. Rev. D52, 6922 (1995)



- [51] M. Faizal, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 114, 456 (2012)
- [52] B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rev. 160, 1113 (1967)
- [53] M. Faizal, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 27, 1250007 (2012)