

Heat equation with a general stochastic measure on nested fractals

Vadym Radchenko

Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University
E-mail: vradchenko@univ.kiev.ua

Martina Zähle

Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena,
E-mail: martina.zaehle@uni-jena.de

Abstract

A stochastic heat equation on an unbounded nested fractal driven by a general stochastic measure is investigated. Existence, uniqueness and continuity of the mild solution are proved provided that the spectral dimension of the fractal is less than $4/3$.

1 Introduction

The main object of the paper is the stochastic heat equation on an unbounded nested fractal \tilde{E} which can formally be written as

$$du(t, x) = \mathcal{L}u(t, x) dt + f(t, x, u(t, x)) dt + \sigma(t, x) d\mu(x), \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad (1)$$

where u is an unknown measurable stochastic function, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \tilde{E}$, μ is a general stochastic measure, \mathcal{L} is the infinitesimal generator of Brownian motion on \tilde{E} . We consider the equation in the mild sense (see (7) below) and obtain existence, uniqueness and continuity of the solution. The Euclidean version on the real line was proved in [10].

Here we use the Brownian motion on \tilde{E} constructed in [4]. Our results are based on the Hölder continuity and an upper estimate of the transition density of the process derived in that paper. Diffusions on nested fractals also were constructed in [1, 3, 6].

SPDE on fractals and general metric measure spaces are studied e.g. in [7] (with an infinite-dimensional Wiener process as integrator) and in [2] (with pathwise definition of the stochastic integral). In our paper we consider the case of an additive noise, but with a rather general stochastic integrator. We do not assume path regularity or moment existence for the stochastic measures.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes some basic facts about nested fractals and stochastic measures. In Section 3 we obtain the continuity of paths of stochastic parameter integrals on these fractals. Section 4 contains the main result of the paper.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60G57; Secondary 60H15

Key words and phrases: Stochastic measure, Nested fractal, Stochastic equation on fractals, Stochastic heat equation, Mild solution

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Heat kernel on nested fractals

Let E be a *nested fractal* (see Definition 1.3 [4]). Then $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact set, E has a self-similar structure, i.e., $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \psi_i(E)$, where $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are similitudes with the same contraction factor,

$$|\psi_i(x) - \psi_i(y)| = \alpha^{-1}|x - y|, \quad \alpha > 1.$$

In the following we assume that $\psi_1(x) = \alpha^{-1}x$.

Let us denote by d_s the *spectral dimension*, by d_w the *walk dimension*, and by d_f the *Hausdorff dimension* of the fractal set E . Recall that $d_f = \log N / \log \alpha$, $d_w = 2d_f / d_s$.

Let F be the set of fixed points of ψ_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. $x \in F$ is called an *essential fixed point* if there exist $y \in F$, $j \neq k$ such that $\psi_j(x) = \psi_k(y)$. Let $F^{(0)}$ be the set of essential fixed points,

$$F^{(n)} = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_n}(F^{(0)}).$$

Further, let us define the unbounded nested fractal \tilde{E} . We set

$$\tilde{F}^{(0)} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (\alpha^n F^{(n)}), \quad \tilde{F}^{(n)} = \alpha^{-n} \tilde{F}^{(0)}, \quad \tilde{E} = \text{Cl} \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{F}^{(n)} \right).$$

Note that

$$\tilde{E} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (\alpha^n \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_n}(E)), \quad (2)$$

where any two sets in the union either coincide or have finite intersection.

Let \mathfrak{m} be the d_f -dimensional Hausdorff measure on \tilde{E} such that $\mathfrak{m}(E) = 1$, $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$ denote the Borel σ -algebra on \tilde{E} .

Assumption 1. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following. If for $x, y \in E$ we have $|x - y| \leq \alpha^{-m}$, then there exist x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l ($l \leq k$) such that $x_1 = x$, $x_l = y$, $x_2, \dots, x_{l-1} \in F^{(m)}$ and x_j, x_{j+1} , $1 \leq j \leq l - 1$ lie in the same set $\psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_m}(E)$.

Let $p(t, x, y)$, $t > 0$, $x, y \in \tilde{E}$, be the transition density of Brownian motion on \tilde{E} constructed in [4] provided that Assumption 1 holds. Then p is symmetric in x, y , is jointly continuous in (t, x, y) and satisfies the following *Hölder continuity and sub-Gaussian estimate* for some constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$, and $d_J > 1$.

Theorem 1. [4, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2]

- a) $|p(t, x, y_1) - p(t, x, y_2)| \leq c_1 t^{-1} |y_1 - y_2|^{d_w - d_f}$.
- b) $p(t, x, y) \leq c_2 t^{-d_s/2} \exp\{-c_3 (|x - y|^{d_w} / t)^{1/(d_J - 1)}\}$.

We will take this $p(t, x, y)$ as the heat kernel for the stochastic heat equation in the mild form (7). Note that p fulfills the deterministic heat equation $\partial p / \partial t = \mathcal{L}p$, where \mathcal{L} is the infinitesimal generator of the Brownian motion on \tilde{E} [4, Theorem 6.3].

The same estimates of the heat kernel on the Sierpiński gasket, both the bounded and unbounded cases, are given in Theorem 2.23 [1], and the inequalities for the two cases are equivalent. In our paper, we consider unbounded nested fractals, but we expect the same results for the bounded versions.

Example 1. The *Vicsek set* is the main example of this paper. Let H_0 be the closed unit square with vertices $a_1 = (0, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1)$, $a_3 = (1, 1)$, $a_4 = (1, 0)$. We take $a_5 = (1/2, 1/2)$ and set

$$\psi_i(x) = a_i + (x - a_i)/3, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5, \quad H_{n+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^5 \psi_i(H_n), \quad E_{VS} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} H_n.$$

Then E_{VS} is called a Vicsek set (or Vicsek snowflake).

It is known that E_{VS} is a nested fractal [3, Proposition 2.1], and we have for this set $d_f = \log 5 / \log 3$, $d_w = \log 15 / \log 3$ [1, Section 2], $d_s = \log 25 / \log 15$ (see calculations in [11, Section 6] for $n = 2$). Note that Assumption 1 holds for this set with $k = 4$.

2.2 Stochastic measures

Let $L_0 = L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be the set of all real-valued random variables defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ (more precisely, the set of equivalence classes). Convergence in L_0 means the convergence in probability. Let \mathbf{X} be an arbitrary set and \mathcal{B} be a σ -algebra of subsets of \mathbf{X} .

Definition. A σ -additive mapping $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow L_0$ is called *stochastic measure (SM)*.

We do not assume any martingale or moment conditions for μ . Examples of SM are the following. For square integrable martingale $X(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, set $\mu(A) = \int_{[0, T]} \mathbf{1}_A(t) dX(t)$, then μ is a SM on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}([0, T])$. For unconditionally convergent in probability series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \xi_n$ and $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbf{X}$ set function $\mu(A) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \xi_n \mathbf{1}_A(x_n)$ is a SM on $2^{\mathbf{X}}$.

Example 2. Let E_{VS} be the Vicsek set. We construct an example of a SM on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(E_{VS})$. Let μ be a SM on $\mathcal{B}((0, 1])$ such that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ a. s. for each $x \in (0, 1]$. For $n \geq 0$ we take a representation

$$E_{VS} = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq 5^n} E_k^{(n)}, \quad E_k^{(n)} = \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_n}(E_{VS}) = E_{5k-4}^{(n+1)} \cup \dots \cup E_{5k}^{(n+1)}.$$

We set

$$\mu_E(E_k^{(n)}) = \mu(((k-1)5^{-n}, k5^{-n}]), \quad \mu_E(\{x\}) = 0$$

for each $x \in E_k^{(n)} \cap E_i^{(n)}$ and define μ_E on the generated algebra by additivity. Then μ_E is continuous in \emptyset and the values of μ_E are bounded in probability (see [5, Theorem B.2.1]). By Theorem 1 of [8] we can extend the stochastic set function μ_E to $\mathcal{B}(E_{VS})$. Taking the weighted sum of stochastic measures defined on the sets $\alpha^n \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_n}(E_{VS})$ from (2), we can easily extend this stochastic measure to the unbounded Vicsek set \tilde{E}_{VS} .

In [5] the integral of measurable deterministic functions $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to (w.r.t.) SM is constructed. The dominated convergence theorem holds for this integral [5, Proposition 7.1.1], and all bounded measurable functions are integrable. We will use the following statement.

Lemma 1. [10, Lemma 3.1] Let $g_l : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l \geq 1$, be measurable functions such that $\bar{g}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |g_l(x)|$ is integrable w.r.t. μ . Then $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbf{X}} g_l d\mu \right)^2 < \infty$ a. s.

3 Parameter stochastic integrals on nested fractal

Let \tilde{E} be an unbounded nested fractal, μ be a SM defined on $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$, Z be a metric space, function $h : Z \times \tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable w.r.t. μ on \tilde{E} for each first argument $z \in Z$. Then the stochastic function

$$\eta(z) = \int_{\tilde{E}} h(z, y) d\mu(y), \quad z \in Z$$

is determined.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that h is bounded and continuous on $Z \times \tilde{E}$, for some $K_h > 0$, $\beta(h) > d_f/2$ we have*

$$|h(z, y_1) - h(z, y_2)| \leq K_h |y_1 - y_2|^{\beta(h)}, \quad (3)$$

and the SM μ is such that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ a. s. for each $x \in \tilde{E}$, and for some $\tau > d_f/2$ the function $|y|^\tau$ is integrable w.r.t. μ on \tilde{E} .

Then $\eta(z)$ has a version with continuous paths.

Proof. Using (2), we can take a representation $\tilde{E} = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} E_j$, where each E_j is a bounded part of the form

$$\alpha^n \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_n}(E), \quad E_j \subset \alpha^n E, \quad E_j \not\subset \alpha^{n-1} E \text{ for } N^{n-1} < j \leq N^n.$$

Let

$$\gamma = \inf\{|y|, y \in E \setminus \alpha^{-1}E\},$$

we have $\gamma > 0$ because $(E \setminus \alpha^{-1}E) \subset \bigcup_{i=2}^N \psi_i(E)$, and $0 \notin \psi_i(E)$, $2 \leq i \leq N$ by [1, Lemma 5.23]. Recalling that $d_f = \log N / \log a$ we infer

$$j \leq (|y|/\gamma)^{d_f}, \quad y \in E_j, \quad j \geq 2. \quad (4)$$

Consider $\eta_j(z) = \int_{E_j} h(z, y) d\mu(y)$, $j \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 0$ we can take the representation

$$E_j = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq N^n} E_k^{(nj)}, \quad E_k^{(nj)} = \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_n}(E_j).$$

For

$$S^{(nj)}(z) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^n} h(z, y_k^{(nj)}) \mu(E_k^{(nj)}), \quad y_k^{(nj)} \in E_k^{(nj)},$$

the dominated convergence theorem [5, Proposition 7.1.1] implies that $S^{(nj)}(z) \xrightarrow{P} \eta_j(z)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $z \in Z$.

For convenience, assume that $\text{diam } E = 1$, then $\text{diam } E_k^{(nj)} = \alpha^{-n}$. If $0 < \beta < \beta(h) - d_f/2$, using (3) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_j(z)| &\leq |S^{(0j)}(z)| + \sum_{n \geq 0} |S^{((n+1)j)}(z) - S^{(nj)}(z)| \leq |S^{(0j)}(z)| \\ &+ \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}} |h(z, y_k^{((n+1)j)}) - h(z, y_k^{(nj)})| |\mu(E_k^{((n+1)j)})| \leq |S^{(0j)}(z)| \\ &+ K_h \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}} \alpha^{-n\beta(h)} |\mu(E_k^{((n+1)j)})| \leq |h(z, y_1^{(0j)})| |\mu(E_j)| \\ &+ K_h \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} N^{n+1} \alpha^{2n(\beta - \beta(h))} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha^{-2n\beta} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}} |\mu(E_k^{((n+1)j)})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(The number k' is chosen such that $E_k^{((n+1)j)} \subset E_{k'}^{(nj)}$.) Lemma 1 implies

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha^{-2n\beta} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}} |\mu(E_k^{((n+1)j)})|^2 < \infty \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Note that $2(\beta(h) - \beta) > d_f = \log N / \log \alpha$. As in (5) we obtain that

$$\sum_{n \geq m} |S^{((n+1)j)}(z) - S^{(nj)}(z)| \leq K_h \left(\sum_{n \geq m} N^{n+1} \alpha^{2n(\beta - \beta(h))} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \geq m} \alpha^{-2n\beta} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}} |\mu(E_k^{((n+1)j)})|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

consequently, the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |S^{((n+1)j)}(z) - S^{(nj)}(z)|$$

converges uniformly in z a.s. Each $S^{(nj)}(z)$ has continuous paths, therefore $\eta_j(z)$ has a continuous version.

Recall that $\eta(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \eta_j(z)$. Using $|h(z, y_1^{(0j)})| \leq M$ for some constant M , estimate (5) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get for $\rho > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq m} |\eta_j(z)| &\leq \left(\sum_{j \geq m} j^{-2\rho} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \geq m} j^{2\rho} M^2 |\mu(E_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ K_h \left(\sum_{j \geq m} j^{-2\rho} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \geq m} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}} j^{2\rho} \alpha^{-2n\beta} |\mu(E_k^{((n+1)j)})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now we apply Lemma 1 to the function sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \{g_l(y), l \geq 1\} &= \{j^\rho M \mathbf{1}_{E_j}(y), j \geq 1\}, \\ \{g_l(y), l \geq 1\} &= \{j^\rho \alpha^{-n\beta} \mathbf{1}_{E_k^{((n+1)j)}}(y), j \geq 1, n \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq N^{n+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{l \geq 1} |g_l(y)| \leq C|y|^{\rho d_f}$ (see (4)). From the integrability assumption of our Lemma we infer that the all series in (6) converge for $m = 1$. Thus we obtain that $\sum_{j \geq m} |\eta_j(z)|$ tends to 0 uniformly a.s. Therefore, $\eta(z)$ has a continuous version. \square

4 Stochastic heat equation on a nested fractal

We consider the heat equation on \tilde{E} in the following mild sense

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t, x, y) u_0(y) d\mathbf{m}(y) + \int_0^t ds \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t-s, x, y) f(s, y, u(s, y)) d\mathbf{m}(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{\tilde{E}} d\mu(y) \int_0^t p(t-s, x, y) \sigma(s, y) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \tilde{E}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $u : [0, T] \times \tilde{E} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown measurable stochastic function, p is the transition density of the Brownian motion on \tilde{E} constructed in [4], μ is a SM on $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{E})$ and u_0 is a random initial function.

We make the following assumptions.

Assumption 2. $u_0 : \tilde{E} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and for each $\omega \in \Omega$ the function $u_0(\cdot, \omega)$ is continuous and bounded on \tilde{E} , $|u_0(y, \omega)| \leq C_{u_0}(\omega)$, and vanishes at ∞ .

Assumption 3. $f : [0, T] \times \tilde{E} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and bounded, $|f(s, y, r)| \leq C_f$.

Assumption 4. $f(s, y, r)$ is uniformly Lipschitz in $y \in \tilde{E}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(s, y_1, r_1) - f(s, y_2, r_2)| \leq K_f(|y_1 - y_2| + |r_1 - r_2|).$$

Assumption 5. $\sigma : [0, T] \times \tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and bounded, $|\sigma(s, y)| \leq C_\sigma$.

Assumption 6. $\sigma(s, y)$ is uniformly Hölder continuous in $y \in \tilde{E}$,

$$|\sigma(s, y_1) - \sigma(s, y_2)| \leq K_\sigma |y_1 - y_2|^{\beta(\sigma)}, \quad \beta(\sigma) > d_f/2.$$

Assumption 7. The spectral dimension d_s of E is less than $4/3$.

Assumption 8. $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ a. s. for each $x \in \tilde{E}$.

Lemma 2. Under the Assumptions 1, 5, 6 and 7 the function

$$h(z, y) = \int_0^t p(t-s, x, y) \sigma(s, y) ds, \quad z = (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \tilde{E}, \quad y \in \tilde{E},$$

satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 for $Z = [0, T] \times \tilde{E}$.

Proof. We check the Hölder continuity of h , the other conditions obviously hold true. By C we will denote a positive constant whose values may change. Using Assumption 6 and Theorem 1 b) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |h(z, y_1) - h(z, y_2)| &\leq \int_0^t |p(t-s, x, y_1) - p(t-s, x, y_2)| |\sigma(s, y_1)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t p(t-s, x, y_2) |\sigma(s, y_1) - \sigma(s, y_2)| ds \\ &\leq C_\sigma \int_0^t |p(t-s, x, y_1) - p(t-s, x, y_2)| ds + CK_\sigma |y_1 - y_2|^{\beta(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1 a), for the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |p(t-s, x, y_1) - p(t-s, x, y_2)| ds &= \int_0^{t-\delta} + \int_{t-\delta}^t \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t-\delta} (t-s)^{-1} |y_1 - y_2|^{d_w - d_f} ds + C \int_{t-\delta}^t (t-s)^{-d_s/2} ds \\ &\leq C(\log T - \log \delta) |y_1 - y_2|^{d_w - d_f} + C\delta^{1-d_s/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = |y_1 - y_2|^{(d_w - d_f)/(1 - d_s/2)}$ and using that for all $\gamma > 0$, $t^\gamma \log t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (3) for any $\beta(h) < \min\{d_w - d_f, \beta(\sigma)\}$. If $d_s = 2d_f/d_w < 4/3$ we can find $\beta(h) > d_f/2$. \square

Theorem 3. Suppose Assumptions 1–8 hold.

1) Equation (7) has a solution $u(t, x)$. If $v(t, x)$ is another solution, then for each t and x , $u(t, x) = v(t, x)$ a. s.

2) If, additionally, the function $|y|^\tau$ be integrable w.r.t. μ on \tilde{E} for some $\tau > d_f/2$, then the solution $u(t, x)$ has a version continuous on $[0, T] \times \tilde{E}$.

Proof. Take $u^{(0)}(t, x) = 0$ and set

$$u^{(n+1)}(t, x) = \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t, x, y) u_0(y) d\mathbf{m}(y) + \int_0^t ds \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t-s, x, y) f(s, y, u^{(n)}(s, y)) d\mathbf{m}(y) + \int_{\tilde{E}} d\mu(y) \int_0^t p(t-s, x, y) \sigma(s, y) ds, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

The measurability of the first and second summands of (8) follows from the Fubini theorem. The third summand may be rewritten as limit in probability of the integrals of the simple functions

$$\int_E h_i(t, x, y) d\mu(y), \quad i \rightarrow \infty, \quad h_i(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{l^{(i)}} h_k^{(i)}(t, x) \mathbf{1}_{A_k^{(i)}}(y).$$

By [9, Lemma 2], the limit in probability of measurable processes is again measurable.

Further, for all n, t, x we take the same version of the stochastic integral and obtain the following estimates for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Assumption 5 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & |u^{(n+1)}(t, x) - u^{(n)}(t, x)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t ds \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t-s, x, y) [f(s, y, u^{(n)}(s, y)) - f(s, y, u^{(n-1)}(s, y))] d\mathbf{m}(y) \right| \\ &\leq K_f \int_0^t ds \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t-s, x, y) |u^{(n)}(s, y) - u^{(n-1)}(s, y)| d\mathbf{m}(y), \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since f is bounded, we infer

$$|u^{(2)}(t, x) - u^{(1)}(t, x)| \leq 2C_f \int_0^t ds \int_{\tilde{E}} p(t-s, x, y) d\mathbf{m}(y) = 2C_f t.$$

Set $g_n(t) = \sup_{x \in \tilde{E}} |u^{(n+1)}(t, x) - u^{(n)}(t, x)|$, $n \geq 1$. Then we get from (9),

$$g_n(t) \leq K_f \int_0^t g_{n-1}(s) ds, \quad \text{hence} \quad g_n(t) \leq 2C_f K_f^n \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \quad (10)$$

i.e., the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(t)$ converges uniformly on $[0, T]$. We define $u(t, x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^{(n)}(t, x)$. Taking in (8) the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at (7).

If $u(t, x)$ and $v(t, x)$ both are solutions to (7), then we may repeat the arguments from (9) to (10) for $u - v$ (instead of $u^{(n+1)} - u^{(n)}$) and $g(t) = \sup_{x \in \tilde{E}} |u(t, x) - v(t, x)|$ (instead of g_n) and obtain $g = 0$.

From Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 it follows that $u^{(n)}$ has a continuous version. Then $u(t, x)$ as uniform limit of $u^{(n)}(t, x)$ is continuous, too. \square

Remark. For the Vicsek set E_{VS} we have $d_s = \log 25 / \log 15 < 4/3$, therefore E_{VS} satisfies Assumption 7. It is known that Sierpiński gasket is a nested fractal with $d_s = \log 9 / \log 5 > 4/3$, and Assumption 7 fails for this set.

Acknowledgments

V.Radchenko acknowledges the support of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, grant 1074615, and thanks Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena for hospitality.

References

- [1] M. T. Barlow. *Diffusions on fractals*, volume 1690 of *Lect. Notes Math.*, pages 1–121. Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, 1998.
- [2] M. Hinz and M. Zähle. Semigroups, potential spaces and applications to (S)PDE. *Potent. Anal.*, pages 1–33, 2011.
- [3] W. Krebs. A diffusion defined on on a fractal state space. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 37:199–212, 1991.
- [4] T. Kumagai. Estimates of transition densities for Brownian motion on nested fractals. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 96:205–224, 1993.
- [5] S. Kwapien and W. A. Woyczyński. *Random Series and Stochastic Integrals: Single and Multiple*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.
- [6] T. Lindstrøm. *Brownian Motion on Nested Fractals*, volume 420 of *Mem. AMS*. AMS, 1990.
- [7] C. Prévôt and M. Röckner. *A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, volume 1905 of *Lect. Notes Math.* Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, 2007.
- [8] V. Radchenko. Integrals with respect to random measures and random linear functionals. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 36:621–623, 1991.
- [9] V. Radchenko. On a definition of the integral of a random function. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 41:597–601, 1997.
- [10] V. Radchenko. Mild solution of the heat equation with a general stochastic measure. *Studia Mathematica*, 194:231–251, 2009.
- [11] D. Zhou. Spectral analysis of Laplacians on the Vicsek set. *Pacific J. Math.*, 24:369–398, 2009.