

A new transformation into State Transition Algorithm for finding the global minimum

Xiaojun Zhou, Chunhua Yang and Weihua Gui

Abstract— To promote the global search ability of the original state transition algorithm, a new operator called axesion is suggested, which aims to search along the axes and strengthen single dimensional search. Several benchmark minimization problems are used to illustrate the advantages of the improved algorithm over other random search methods. The results of numerical experiments show that the new transformation can enhance the performance of the state transition algorithm and the new strategy is effective and reliable.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE basic random optimization(BRO) was proposed by Matyas[1] in 1965, and it is proved that the BRO can ensure convergence to a global minimum with probability one([2],[3]). To enhance the performance of the random optimization, various strategies have been introduced. In [4], to adjust the parameters of mean and standard deviation in a Gaussian vector, a heuristic random optimization (HRO) was presented, utilizing two different mechanisms based on gradient information and reinforcement, respectively. In ([5],[6]), two approaches named adaptive random search technique(ARSET) and dynamic random search technique(DARSET) were put forward by Coskun Hamzacebi and Fevzi Kutay, to facilitate the determination of the global minimum. Then, another new random search algorithm named random selection walk(RSW) was raised through integrating the random selection and walk algorithms[7].

Based on the concepts of state and state transition, a metaheuristic random search method called state transition algorithm(STA) was emerged, and experimental results have shown that the proposed algorithm is advantageous for most of the test problems[8]. On the other hand, especially for optimization functions with independent variables, it is easy to get trapped into local optimum. In this study, a new operation call axesion transformation is raised to promote its search ability. Comparisons with other methods on several benchmark problems are presented in the paper, and the outcome is satisfactory, which shows that the proposed strategy is effective.

II. THE BASIC FRAMEWORK OF STA

In general, the form of state transition algorithm can be described as the following

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k u_k \\ y_{k+1} = f(x_{k+1}) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Xiaojun Zhou, Chunhua Yang and Weihua Gui are with the School of Information Science and Engineering, The Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, (email: tiezhongyu2010@gmail.com).

The work was supported by the National Science Found for Distinguished Young Scholars of China (Grant No. 61025015).

where x_k stands for a state, corresponding to a solution of the optimization problem; A_k and B_k are state transition matrixes, which are usually transformation operators; u_k is the function with variables x_k and history states; f is the objective function or evaluation function.

Using various types of space transformation for reference, three special state transformation operators are defined to solve continuous function optimization problems.

(1) Rotation transformation

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha \frac{1}{n \|x_k\|_2} R_r x_k \quad (2)$$

where $x_k \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times 1}$, α is a positive constant, called rotation factor; $R_r \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, is random matrix with its elements belonging to the range of $[-1, 1]$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ is 2-norm of a vector. It has proved that the rotation transformation has the function of searching in a hypersphere[8].

(2) Translation transformation

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \beta R_t \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|_2} \quad (3)$$

where β is a positive constant, called translation factor; $R_t \in \mathfrak{R}^1$ is a random variable with its elements belonging to the range of $[0, 1]$. It has illustrated the translation transformation has the function of searching along a line from x_{k-1} to x_k at the starting point x_k , with the maximum length of β [8].

(3) Expansion transformation

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \gamma R_e x_k \quad (4)$$

where γ is a positive constant, called expansion factor; $R_e \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$ is a random diagonal matrix with its elements obeying the Gaussian distribution. It has also stated the expansion transformation has the function of expanding the elements in x_k to the range of $[-\infty, +\infty]$, searching in the whole space[8].

The procedures of the original state transition algorithm can be outlined in the following pseudocode.

- 1: Initialize feasible solution $x(0)$ randomly, set α, β, γ , and $k \leftarrow 0$
- 2: **repeat**
- 3: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 4: **while** $\alpha \leq \textit{tolerance}$ **do**
- 5: $\textit{State} \leftarrow \textit{op_rotate}(x(k-1), SE, \alpha)$
- 6: **if** $\min f(\textit{State}) < f(x(k-1))$ **then**
- 7: Updating $x(k-1)$
- 8: $\textit{State} \leftarrow \textit{op_translate}(x(k-1), SE, \beta)$
- 9: **if** $\min f(\textit{State}) < f(x(k-1))$ **then**

```

10:         Updating  $x(k-1)$ 
11:     end if
12: end if
13:      $\alpha \leftarrow \frac{\alpha}{fc}$ 
14: end while
15:  $State \leftarrow op\_expand(x(k-1), SE, \gamma)$ 
16: if  $\min f(State) < f(x(k-1))$  then
17:     Updating  $x(k-1)$ 
18:      $State \leftarrow op\_translate(x(k-1), SE, \beta)$ 
19:     if  $\min f(State) < f(x(k-1))$  then
20:         Updating  $x(k-1)$ 
21:     end if
22: end if
23:  $x(k) \leftarrow x(k-1)$ 
24: until the specified termination criterion is met

```

where (SE) is search enforcement, which means the times of the transformation. Operators such as $op_rotate(\cdot)$, $op_translate(\cdot)$ and $op_expand(\cdot)$ correspond to the rotation, translation, and expansion, respectively. fc is a constant coefficient used for lessening the α . By the way, the translation operator will only be performed when a better solution is obtained.

III. A NEW TRANSFORMATION INTO THE STA

In the proposed STA, three different state transformation operators are designed, aiming for exploration(global search) and exploitation(local search) as well as the equilibrium between them. It is beneficial for optimization functions with relevant variables; however, for functions with independent variables, it is necessary to intensify the single dimension search.

To simplify the one dimensional search, a new operator called axesion is added to the STA, which, in its meaning, aims to search along each axes.

(4) Axesion transformation

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \delta R_a x_k \quad (5)$$

where δ is a positive constant, called axesion factor; $R_a \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a random diagonal matrix with its elements obeying the Gaussian distribution and only one random index has value. For example, $R_a \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ can be the following styles:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}$$

To illustrate the functions of the axesion transformation, let suppose the $x_k = [1; 1; 1]$ and $\delta = 1$; then, after 1e3 times of independent axesion transformation, the distribution of x_{k+1} can be illustrated on the space as described in Figure.1.

When the new transformation is introduced into the original STA, it will follow the same procedures as rotation and expansion, while translation transformation will only be performed when a better solution is gained by axesion. In

the meanwhile, to reduce the computational complexity, the rotation transformation will be executed in an outer loop instead of an inner loop, that is to say, the α factor will vary in a periodic way.




Fig. 1

THE FUNCTION OF AXESION TRANSFORMATION

IV. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

Experiments are divided into two groups. The first group consist of five benchmark problems which have been tested by HRO, ARSET, and RSW, while the second group benchmark problems have been tested by DARSET and RSW. In the proposed original STA and new STA, all of the problems will be carried out. Parameters of the STAs are described in Table I, and the lessening coefficient fc will be 4 in original STA and 2 in new STA.

TABLE I

PARAMETERS OF THE STAs

Parameter	Value
epoch number	1000
SE (Search enforcement)	32
α	$1 \rightarrow 1e-4$
β	1
γ	1
δ	1
fc	4(2)

A. The first Group

(1) Problem f_1

The first problem is taken from [4,5,7], objective function of the problem is given as follows

$$f_1 = \begin{cases} x^2, & \text{if } x \leq 1, \\ (x-3)^2 - 3, & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

As can be seen from Fig. 2, the function has two minimums, one lying on $x = 0$ and the other one on $x = 3$. Their results of the HRO, ARSET, RSW, and STAs are given in Table II. Both STAs can achieve the global minimum in the

end, as a matter of fact, STAs can meet the optimum in no more than 10 epoches.




Fig. 2
THE LANDSCAPE OF f_1

TABLE II
RESULTS FOR THE f_1

Algorithms	Best x	Best $f(x)$
HRO	3.000324	-3
ARSET	3	-3
RSW	3	-3
STA(original)	3	-3
STA(new)	3	-3

(2) Problem f_2

The second problem is taken from [4,5,7], objective function of the problem is given as follows

$$f_2 = [x \sin(\frac{1}{x})]^4 + [x \cos(\frac{1}{x})]^4, f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0 \quad (7)$$

As can be seen from Fig. 3, the function has numerous local minimums. Their results of the HRO, ARSET, RSW, and STAs are given in Table III. Both STAs can achieve the global minimum in the end, as a matter of fact, STAs can meet the optimum in no more than 50 epoches.

TABLE III
RESULTS FOR THE f_2

Algorithms	Best x	Best $f(x)$
HRO	2.4000e-005	2.8595e-019
ARSET	-2.53e-011	2.21e-043
RSW	8.17e-82	0
STA(original)	2.0447e-082	0
STA(new)	3.5197e-084	0

(3) Problem f_3

The third problem is taken from [5,7], objective function of the problem is given as follows

$$f_3 = \frac{(x-3)^8}{1+(x-3)^8} + \frac{(y-3)^4}{1+(y-3)^4} \quad (8)$$




Fig. 3
THE LANDSCAPE OF f_2

As can be seen from Fig. 4, the function also has numerous local minimums. Their results of the ARSET, RSW, and STAs are given in Table IV. Compared with ARSET and RSW, STAs can get better solution than them, in the same time, STAs can meet the specified precision in no more than 100 epoches.




Fig. 4
THE LANDSCAPE OF f_3

(4) Problem f_4

The fourth problem is taken from [5,7], objective function of the problem is given as follows

$$f_4 = 100(x-y^2)^2 + (1-x)^2 \quad (9)$$

Fig. 5 shows the graph of the function, which is widely used for testing because there is a valley in the landscape, making it hard to optimize. Their results of the ARSET, RSW, and STAs are given in Table V. Compared with ARSET and RSW, STAs seem a little deficient for the function.

TABLE IV
RESULTS FOR THE f_3

Algorithms	Best x	Best y	Best $f(x, y)$
ARSET	3.0015	3	5.04e-023
RSW	2.9996	3	3.43e-28
STA(original)	3.0000	3.0000	5.8715e-033
STA(new)	3.0000	3.0000	1.0335e-035




Fig. 5
THE LANDSCAPE OF f_4

TABLE V
RESULTS FOR THE f_4

Algorithms	Best x	Best y	Best $f(x, y)$
ARSET	1	1	4.02e-016
RSW	1	1	1.97e-31
STA(original)	1.0000	1.0000	8.2040e-012
STA(new)	1.0000	1.0000	3.7678e-012

(5) Problem f_5

The fifth problem is taken from [5,7], objective function of the problem is given as follows

$$f_5 = \frac{x}{1 + |y|} \quad (10)$$

Fig. 6 shows the graph of the function, which is indifferentiable at the minimum point. Their results of the ARSET, RSW, and STAs are given in Table VI. Compared with ARSET and RSW, STAs are much better than them, because only they can meet the global minimum.

TABLE VI
RESULTS FOR THE f_5

Algorithms	Best x	Best y	Best $f(x, y)$
ARSET	-10	6.67e-008	-10
RSW	-9.9996	-6.57e-17	-9.9996
STA(original)	-10.0000	0.0000	-10
STA(new)	-10.0000	0.0000	-10




Fig. 6
THE LANDSCAPE OF f_5

B. The second Group

Problems g_1 to g_{15} are taken from [6] and [7], which have been tested by DARSET and RSW. The detailed information of the test functions are listed in Table VII. In DARSET and RSW, epoch number varies from 250200 to 2508000; however, in original STA and new STA, both the epoch number is fixed at 1000, and 10 independent times are run.

As described in Table VIII, the original STA can get the same results as DARSET and RSW in $g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7, g_8, g_{14}$ and g_{15} . For g_1 and g_{10} , the results of original STA are better than DARSET but a little inferior to BSW, for g_2 and g_3 , the original STA can get better results than BSW but a little inferior to DARSET, and for g_{11} , the original STA can gain better solution than both DARSET and BSW.

On the other hand, the new STA can achieve the best results of all functions except for g_9, g_{12} and g_{13} . Even so, it is obvious to find that the new STA actually can achieve the global minimums in a specified precision.

Through the numerical experiments, it has proved that the new STA has better performance than the original STA in terms of the global search ability and the proposed axesion transformation is beneficial for STA.

The reasons of the efficiency of the new strategy can be explained as: (1) axesion transformation enlarge the search space. Not only rotation but also expansion, both of them search in the neighborhood of the best solution, of which, one search in a unit hypersphere, the other search in a relative broader space but with low probability, while the new operator can also search in global space, that is to say, the probability of finding the global optimum is increased. (2) the new transformation has the function of single dimensional search, which is advantageous for functions with independent variables. (3) the new transformation can achieve good quality solution with high precision. When searching in a single direction, the axesion can enhance the depth of search.

TABLE VII
THE SECOND GROUP FUNCTIONS FOR TEST IN THIS PAPER

Function	Dimension	Variable range	Theoretical best
$g_1 = x^2 + 2y^2 - 0.3\cos(3\pi x) - 0.4\cos(4\pi y) + 0.7$	2	[-1.28,1.28]	0
$g_2 = [\cos(2\pi x) + \cos(2.5\pi x) - 2.1][2.1 - \cos(3\pi y) + \cos(3.5\pi y)]$	2	[-1,1]	-16.0917
$g_3 = [0.002 + \sum_{j=1}^{25} (j + \sum_{i=1}^2 (x_i - a_{ij})^6)^{-1}]^{-1}$	2	[-65.536,65.536]	0.9980
$a = \begin{vmatrix} -32 & -16 & 0 & 16 & 32 & \dots & -32 & -16 & 0 & 16 & 32 \\ -32 & -32 & -32 & -32 & -32 & \dots & 32 & 32 & 3 & 32 & 32 \end{vmatrix}$			
$g_4 = (y - \frac{5.1}{4\pi^2}x^2 + \frac{5}{\pi}x - 6)^2 + 10(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi})\cos(x) + 10$	2	$x \in [-5, 10]$ $y \in [0, 15]$	0.3979
$g_5 = (4 - 2.1x^2 + \frac{x^4}{3})x^2 + xy + (4y^2 - 4)y^2$	2	$x \in [-3, 3]$ $y \in [-2, 2]$	-1.0316
$g_6 = [1 + (x + y + 1)^2(19 - 14x + 3x^2 - 14y + 6xy + 3y^2)]$ $\times [30 + (2x - 3y)^2(18 - 32x + 12x^2 + 48y - 36xy + 27y^2)]$	2	[-5,5]	3
$g_7 = [\sum_{i=1}^5 i\cos((i+1)x+i)] \times [\sum_{i=1}^5 i\cos((i+1)y+i)]$	2	[-10,10]	-186.7309
$g_8 = \sum_{i=1}^5 (x(a_i)^y(b_i)^z - c_i)^2$	3	$[-\infty, \infty]$	8.0128
$a = 5\ 3\ 0.6\ 0.1\ 3 , b = 10\ 1\ 0.6\ 2\ 1.8 , c = 2.122\ 9.429\ 23.57\ 74.25\ 6.286 $			
$g_9 = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2 + 90(x_4 - x_3^2)^2 + (1 - x_3)^2$ $+ 10.1[(x_2 - 1)^2 + (x_4 - 1)^2] + 19.8(x_2 - 1)(x_4 - 1)$	4	[-10,10]	0
$g_{10} = \sum_{i=1}^{19} [(x_i^2)^{(x_{i+1}^2+1)} + (x_{i+1}^2)^{(x_i^2+1)}]$	20	[-1,4]	0
$g_{11} = (\frac{\pi}{20})[10\sin^2(\pi x_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{19} ((x_i - 1)^2(1 + 10\sin^2(\pi x_{i+1})) + (x_{20} - 1)^2)]$	20	[-10,10]	0
$g_{12} = 100(y - x^2)^2 + (1 - x)^2$	2	[-10,10]	0
$g_{13} = \exp(0.5(x^2 + y^2 - 25)^2) + \sin^4(4x - 3y) + 0.5(2x + y - 10)^2$	2	[-5,5]	1
$g_{14} = 0.1[12 + x^2 + \frac{1+y^2}{x^2} + \frac{x^2y^2+100}{(xy)^4}]$	2	[0,10]	1.74
$g_{15} = (x_1 + 10x_2)^2 + 5(x_3 - x_4)^2 + (x_2 - 2x_3)^4 + 10(x_1 - x_4)^4$	4	[-5,5]	0

TABLE VIII
TEST RESULTS OF THE SECOND GROUP FUNCTIONS IN THIS PAPER

Function	DRASET		RSW		STA(original)		STA(new)	
	Best	Average	Best	Average	Best	Average	Best	Average
g_1	0	9.10e-016	0	0	0	5.3147e-012	0	0
g_2	-16.0917	-16.0917	-16.0917	-15.7399	-16.0917	-16.0917	-16.0917	-16.0917
g_3	0.998	1.5885	0.998	6.3728	0.9980	3.9354	0.9980	0.9980
g_4	0.3979	0.3979	0.3979	0.3979	0.3979	0.3979	0.3979	0.3979
g_5	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316	-1.0316
g_6	3	3	3	3	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000
g_7	-186.7309	-186.7309	-186.7309	-186.7309	-186.7309	-186.7309	-186.7309	-186.7309
g_8	8.0128	8.0128	8.0128	8.0128	8.0128	8.0128	8.0128	8.0128
g_9	3.72e-12	9.30e-06	1.28e-28	2.15e-28	2.8718e-010	1.1802e-009	8.3086e-011	1.1344e-009
g_{10}	2.45e-16	4.02e-15	0	0	0	0	4.9783e-094	2.7247e-084
g_{11}	5.93e-12	26.227	2.36e-32	3.3927	7.2021e-011	1.0417	2.6223e-011	3.8022e-011
g_{12}	3.91e-15	4.28e-14	2.84e-29	6.07e-28	8.9683e-014	3.8771e-012	9.5239e-014	9.9002e-012
g_{13}	1	1.0077	1.0091	1.0091	1.0000	1.0375	1.0000	1.0225
g_{14}	1.7442	1.7442	1.7442	1.7442	1.7442	1.7442	1.7442	1.7442
g_{15}	8.17e-09	1.68e-07	1.02e-11	1.71e-11	2.1942e-014	6.4995e-009	9.9870e-014	1.0542e-007

V. CONCLUSIONS

As a random search method, the original STA has shown the great ability in optimizing continuous functions. To enhance the global search capability of the STA, axesion transformation is introduced into the original STA, which aims to search along a single dimension in depth. The results of the numerical experiments have testified the efficiency and reliability of the new STA. Comparisons with other random optimization methods, the outcome of the experiments has also revealed the advantages of the STAs. By the way, other functions with independent variables have also been tested, and results are more satisfactory.

REFERENCES

- [1] Matyas, J, "Random optimization," *Automation and Remote Control*, vol. 26, pp. 246-253, 1965.
- [2] Francisco J. Solis and Roger J-B. Wets, "Minimization by random search techniques," *Mathematics of operations research*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 19-30, 1981.
- [3] N.Baba, T.Shoman,Y.Sawaragi, "A modified convergence theroem for a random optimization method," *Information Science*, vol. 13, pp. 159-166, 1977.
- [4] Junyi Li and R. Russell Rhinehart, "Heuristic random optimization," *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 427-444, 1998.
- [5] Coskun Hamzacebi, Fevzi Kutay, "A heuristic approach for finding the global minimum: Adaptive random search technique," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 173, pp. 1323-1333, 2006.

- [6] Coskun Hamzacebi, Fevzi Kutay, "Continuous functions minimization by dynamic random search technique," *Applied Mathematical Modeling*, vol. 31, pp. 2189-2198, 2007.
- [7] Tunchan Cura, "A random search approach to finding the global minimum," *Int.J.Contemp.Math.Science*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 179-190, 2010.
- [8] Xiaojun Zhou, "Chunhua Yang and Weihua Gui, Initial version of State Transition Algorithm," *The 2nd International Conference on Digital Manufacturing & Automation*, 2011(to be published).