Fault-Tolerant Spanners for Doubling Metrics: Better and Simpler

Shay Solomon [∗]

Abstract

In STOC'95 Arya et al. [\[2\]](#page-6-0) conjectured that for any constant dimensional n-point Euclidean space, a $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner with constant degree, diameter $O(\log n)$ and weight $O(\log n) \cdot \omega(MST)$ can be built in $O(n \cdot \log n)$ time. Recently Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1) (technical report, April 2012) proved this conjecture of Arya et al. in the affirmative. In fact, the proof of [\[10\]](#page-6-1) is more general in two ways. First, it applies to arbitrary doubling metrics. Second, it provides a complete tradeoff between the three involved parameters that is tight (up to constant factors) in the entire range.

Subsequently, Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) (technical report, July 2012]) provided another proof for Arya et al.'s conjecture, which is simpler than the proof of Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1). Moreover, Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) also showed that one can build a fault-tolerant (FT) spanner with similar properties. Specifically, they showed that there exists a k-FT $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and weight $O(k^3 \cdot \log n) \cdot \omega(MST)$. The running time of the construction of [\[5\]](#page-6-2) was not analyzed.

In this work we improve the results of Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2), using a simpler proof. Specifically, we present a simple proof which shows that a k-FT $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and weight $O(k^2 \cdot \log n) \cdot \omega(MST)$ can be built in $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$ time. Similarly to the constructions of [\[10\]](#page-6-1) and [\[5\]](#page-6-2), our construction applies to arbitrary doubling metrics. However, in contrast to the construction of Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1), our construction fails to provide a complete (and tight) tradeoff between the three involved parameters. The construction of Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) has this drawback too.

For random point sets in \mathbb{R}^d , we "shave" a factor of log n from the weight bound. Specifically, in this case our construction provides within the same time $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$, a k-FT $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and weight that is with high probability $O(k^2) \cdot \omega(MST)$.

[∗]Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel. E-mail: shay.solomon@weizmann.ac.il. Part of this work was done while this author was a graduate student in the Department of Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, under the support of the Clore Fellowship grant No. 81265410, the BSF grant No. 2008430, and the ISF grant No. 87209011.

1 Introduction

Consider a set P of n points in \mathbb{R}^d and a number $t \geq 1$, and let $G = (P, E)$ be a graph in which the weight $\omega(x, y)$ of each edge $e = (x, y) \in E$ is equal to the Euclidean distance $||x - y||$ between x and y. The graph G is called a t-spanner for P if for every $p, q \in P$, there is a path in G between p and q whose weight (i.e., the sum of all edge weights in it) is at most $t \cdot ||p - q||$. Such a path is called a t-spanner path. The problem of constructing Euclidean spanners has been studied intensively (see, e.g., [\[6,](#page-6-3) [13,](#page-6-4) [1,](#page-6-5) [2,](#page-6-0) [14,](#page-6-6) [8\]](#page-6-7)).

Euclidean spanners find applications in geometric approximation algorithms, network topology design, distributed systems, and other areas. In many applications it is required to construct a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner $G = (P, E)$ that satisfies some useful properties. First, the spanner should contain $O(n)$ (or nearly $O(n)$) edges. Second, its weight^{[1](#page-1-0)} $\omega(G) = \sum_{e \in E} \omega(e)$ should not be much greater than the weight $\omega(MST(P))$ of the minimum spanning tree $MST(P)$ of P. Third, its (hop-)diameter $\Lambda(G)$ should be small, i.e., for every $p, q \in P$ there should be a path Π in G that contains at most $\Lambda(G)$ edges and has weight $\omega(\Pi) = \sum_{e \in E(\Pi)} \omega(e) \le (1 + \epsilon) \cdot ||p - q||.$ Fourth, its *(maximum) degree deg(G)* should be small.

In STOC'95 [\[2\]](#page-6-0), Arya et al. showed that for any set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d one can build in $O(n \cdot \log n)$ time a $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner with constant degree, diameter $O(\log n)$ and lightness $O(\log^2 n)$. They conjectured that one can obtain in the same time a spanner with constant degree, and logarithmic diameter and lightness.

This conjecture of Arya et al. [\[2\]](#page-6-0) was resolved in the affirmative recently by Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1). In fact, the result of [\[10\]](#page-6-1) is more general in two ways. First, it applies to arbitrary *doubling metrics*.^{[2](#page-1-1)} Second, it provides a complete tradeoff between the involved parameters. Specifically, Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1) showed that one can build in $O(n \cdot \log n)$ time a $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner with degree $O(\rho)$, diameter $O(\log_\rho n + \alpha(\rho))$ and lightness $O(\rho \cdot \log_a n)$, where $\rho \geq 2$ is an integer parameter and α is the inverse Ackermann function. Due to lower bounds of Dinitz et al. [\[8\]](#page-6-7), this tradeoff is tight (up to constant factors) in the entire range.

Later, Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) provided a simpler proof for Arya et al.'s conjecture. Moreover, they strengthened their construction to be fault-tolerant (FT).^{[3](#page-1-2)} Specifically, they showed that there exists a k-FT $(1 + \epsilon)$ spanner with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and lightness $O(k^3 \cdot \log n)$. The running time of the construction of [\[5\]](#page-6-2) was not analyzed; we remark that a naive implementation requires quadratic time.

In this work we improve the results of Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2), using a simpler proof. Specifically, we present a simple proof which shows that a k-FT $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and lightness $O(k^2 \cdot \log n)$ can be built in $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$ time, for any integer $0 \le k \le n-2$. Similarly to the constructions of [\[10\]](#page-6-1) and [\[5\]](#page-6-2), our construction applies to arbitrary doubling metrics. However, in contrast to the construction of Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1), our construction fails to provide a complete (and tight) tradeoff between the involved parameters. The construction of Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) has this drawback too.

For random point sets in \mathbb{R}^d , where $d \geq 2$ is an integer constant, we "shave" a factor of log n from the lightness bound. Specifically, in this case our construction provides within the same time $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$, a k-FT $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and lightness that is with high probability (shortly, w.h.p.) $O(k^2)$. (It is assumed throughout the paper that $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small constant.)

1.1 Our and Previous Techniques. The starting point of the construction of Elkin and Solomon [\[10\]](#page-6-1) is to "shortcut" an MST-tour (or any Hamiltonian path with constant lightness) of the metric, using a 1-spanner construction from [\[15\]](#page-6-8) that has constant degree and logarithmic diameter and lightness. Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) suggested a similar idea, which involves shortcutting the net-tree of [\[11,](#page-6-9) [3\]](#page-6-10) (instead of the MST-tour) using a generalized 1-spanner construction for tree metrics from the same paper [\[15\]](#page-6-8). Each of the two approaches has advantages and disadvantages over the other one. The MST-tour has constant lightness, but it may blow up distances between points. On the other hand, distances between points in the net-tree can be controlled, but the lightness of the net-tree may be logarithmic (as in 1-dimensional

¹For convenience, we will henceforth refer to the normalized notion of weight $\Psi(G) = \frac{\omega(G)}{\omega(MST(P))}$, which we call *lightness*. ²The *doubling dimension* of a metric is the smallest value d such that every ball B in the metric can be covered by at most 2^d balls of half the radius of B. A metric is called *doubling* if its doubling dimension is constant.

³A spanner G for a point set P (or for any metric) is called a k -FT t-spanner (or simply k-FT spanner, if t is clear from the context), for any $t \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, if for any subset $F \subseteq P$ with $|F| \leq k$, $G \setminus F$ is a t-spanner for $P \setminus F$.

Euclidean metrics where the points are uniformly spaced). In both approaches the main difficulty is to bound the degree of the spanner. The argument of [\[10\]](#page-6-1) is more involved, mainly because one has to overcome the obstacle that distances between points in the MST-tour may blow up. On the bright side, since the MST-tour has constant lightness, it is possible to get a complete (and tight) tradeoff between all parameters in the entire range. The argument of [\[5\]](#page-6-2) is simpler due to the "nice" structure of the net-tree. On the negative side, since the lightness of the net-tree may be logarithmic, extending the basic tradeoff (constant degree, logarithmic diameter and lightness) to the entire range is doomed to failure.

We follow the ideas of [\[10\]](#page-6-1) and [\[5\]](#page-6-2), but propose a simpler argument. Instead of shortcutting the MST-tour or the net-tree, we shortcut the underlying tree of the bounded degree spanner of Gottlieb and Roditty [\[12\]](#page-6-11). More specifically, similarly to the argument of [\[5\]](#page-6-2), we shortcut the *light subtrees* of the tree– those with distance scales less than $\frac{\Delta_{\max}}{n}$, where Δ_{\max} is the maximum inter-point distance in the metric. Since the spanner of [\[12\]](#page-6-11) has bounded degree, this immediately gives rise to the desired bounds. Thus our argument bypasses the main difficulties that arose in [\[10\]](#page-6-1) and [\[5\]](#page-6-2) on the way to reducing the degree. Another advantage of our argument is that it easily and naturally extends to provide a FT spanner. On the other hand, the argument of Chan et al. [\[5\]](#page-6-2) for extending their basic spanner construction to provide a FT one is quite elaborate and non-trivial, and is based on the ICALP'12 paper [\[4\]](#page-6-12) of these authors.

2 The Basic Spanner Construction

In this section we provide a simple proof for the conjecture of Arya et al. [\[2\]](#page-6-0).

Let $M = (P, \delta)$ be an n-point doubling metric. A $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner H for M is called a tree-like spanner, if it "contains" a tree T (referred to as a *tree-skeleton* of H) that satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. Each vertex v of T is assigned a representative point $r(v) \in P$. There is a 1-1 correspondence between the points of P and the representatives of the leaves of T. Each internal vertex is assigned a unique representative. (Thus, each point of P will be the representative of at most two vertices of T . In particular, there are at most $2n$ vertices in T .)
- 2. Each vertex v of T has a radius rad(v). The radius of vertices decreases geometrically with the level; the radius of the root of T is roughly the maximum inter-point distance Δ_{max} , and the radius of leaves is roughly the minimum inter-point distance Δ_{\min} . Therefore, the depth of T is $O(\log \Delta)$, where $\Delta = \frac{\Delta_{\text{max}}}{\Delta_{\text{min}}}$ is the aspect ratio of M. For any vertex v in T, the metric distance between its representative $\overline{r}(v)$ and the representative of any vertex that belongs to the subtree T_v of T rooted at v is $O(rad(v))$; hence the metric distance between every pair of representatives from T_v is $O(rad(v))$. In particular, the weight of all edges between a vertex v and its children in T is $O(rad(v))$; hence the tree distance between every pair of vertices from T_v is $O(rad(v))$. (The weight of an edge (x, y)) is given by the metric distance $\delta(r(x), r(y))$ between the respective representatives.)
- 3. For any two points $p, q \in P$, there is a $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner path in H between p and q that is composed of three consecutive parts: (a) a path ascending the edges of T , from the leaf u whose representative is p to some ancestor u' of u in T; (b) a single *lateral* edge (u', v') ; (c) a path descending the edges of T, from v' to the leaf v whose representative is q. (Each edge (u, v) between a pair of vertices of T is translated into an edge $(r(u), r(v))$ in H of weight $\delta(r(u), r(v))$.) The weight of the ascending (respectively, descending) path is at most $O(rad(u'))$ (resp., $O(rad(v'))$). The weight $\delta(r(u'), r(v'))$ of the lateral edge is $\Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \cdot (rad(u') + rad(v'))$, hence it *dwarfs* the weights of the ascending and descending paths (i.e., it is larger than them by a factor of $\Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$).

Gottlieb and Roditty [\[12\]](#page-6-11) proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([\[12\]](#page-6-11)) For any n-point doubling metric $M = (P, \delta)$, and any constant $\epsilon > 0$, one can build in $O(n \cdot \log n)$ time a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner H and a tree-skeleton T for H (as defined above), with $deg(H) = O(1)$.

The tree T and the corresponding tree-like spanner H of Gottlieb and Roditty $[12]$ are similar to the net-tree and the corresponding net-tree spanner [\[11,](#page-6-9) [3\]](#page-6-10). In particular, the standard analysis of [\[3\]](#page-6-10) (see also [\[5\]](#page-6-2)) implies that the lightness of the net-tree spanner is logarithmic. Using the same considerations it can be shown that the tree-like spanner H of [\[12\]](#page-6-11) has logarithmic lightness as well.

Similarly to the net-tree spanner of [\[11,](#page-6-9) [3\]](#page-6-10), the tree-like spanner of Gottlieb and Roditty [\[12\]](#page-6-11) may have a large diameter. More specifically, the diameter of the spanner is linear in the depth of the underlying tree. To reduce the diameter, we employ the following tree-shortcutting theorem from [\[15\]](#page-6-8).

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 3 in [\[15\]](#page-6-8)) Let T be an arbitrary n-vertex tree, and denote by M_T the tree metric induced by T. One can build in $O(n \cdot \log_{\rho} n)$ time, for any integer $\rho \geq 4$, a 1-spanner for M_T with $O(n)$ edges, degree at most $deg(T) + 2\rho$ and diameter $O(log_{\rho} n + \alpha(\rho)).$

Next, we describe a simple spanner construction H^* , which coincides with Arya et al.'s conjecture.

We start by building the spanner H and its tree-skeleton T that are guaranteed by Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-0) Note that T contains at most $2n = O(n)$ vertices. Next, following an idea of [\[5\]](#page-6-2), we shortcut all the light subtrees of T, i.e., the subtrees of T with distance scales less than $\frac{\Delta_{\text{max}}}{n}$. More specifically, denote the light subtrees of T by T_1, \ldots, T_ℓ . For every subtree T_i , we employ Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) in the particular case $\rho = O(1)$ to build a 1-spanner G_i for the tree metric M_{T_i} induced by T_i with bounded degree and logarithmic diameter. Notice that the edge weights of G_i are assigned according to the distance function of M_{T_i} . The 1-spanner G_i is then converted into a graph G_i^* over the point set P, by replacing each edge (u, v) of G_i (where u and v are vertices of T_i) with the edge $(r(u), r(v))$ between their respective representatives. Finally, let H^* be the spanner obtained from the union of the $\ell+1$ graphs $H, G_1^*, \ldots, G_\ell^*$.

Denote by n_i the number of vertices in the subtree T_i , for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i \leq 2n$.

By Theorems [2.1](#page-2-0) and [2.2,](#page-3-0) the time needed to build H^* is $O(n \cdot \log n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} O(n_i \cdot \log n_i) = O(n \cdot \log n)$. By Theorem [2.1,](#page-2-0) $deg(T) \leq deg(H) = O(1)$. Also, theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) yields $deg(G_i) \leq deg(T_i) + 2\rho \leq$ $deg(T) + O(1) = O(1)$, for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Since each point of P is assigned as the representative of at most two vertices of T, we have $deg(H^*) \leq deg(H) + 2 \cdot \max\{deg(G_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\} = O(1)$.

The lightness of H is $O(\log n)$. For each subtree T_i and any pair u, v of vertices in T_i , the metric distance between $r(u)$ and $r(v)$ is at most linear in the distance scale $O(\frac{\Delta_{\max}}{n})$ of T_i . Thus the weight of each edge of G_i^* is $O(\frac{\Delta_{\max}}{n})$. The total weight of all graphs G_1^*, \ldots, G_ℓ^* (there are overall $O(n)$ edges in these graphs) is thus $O(n \cdot (\frac{\Delta_{\text{max}}}{n})) = O(\Delta_{\text{max}}) = O(\omega(MST(M)))$. Hence the lightness of H^* is $O(\log n)$.

Finally, we show that H^* is a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner for M with diameter $O(\log n)$. Consider any pair $p, q \in P$ of points, and let u, v be their respective leaves in T. Since T is a tree-skeleton of H, there is a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner path in H between p and q that is composed of three consecutive parts: (a) a path ascending the edges of T from u to u'; (b) a lateral edge (u', v') ; (c) a path descending the edges of T from v' to v. Let T_i and T_j be the light subtrees to which u and v belong, respectively. (It is possible that $T_i = T_i$.) Let \tilde{u} (respectively, \tilde{v}) be the last vertex that belongs to T_i (resp., T_i) on the path in T from u to u' (resp., v to v'). (It is possible that $\tilde{u} = u$ and/or $\tilde{u} = u'$. Similarly, it is possible that $\tilde{v} = v$ and/or $\tilde{v} = v'$.) We use the graph G_i^* (respectively, G_j^*) to shortcut the path from u to \tilde{u} (resp., v to \tilde{v}); the resulting path has the same (or smaller) weight, but only $O(\log n)$ edges. Also, the path from \tilde{u} to u' (respectively, \tilde{v} to v') has only $O(\log n)$ edges as well. It follows that there is a $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner path between p and q in H^* that has at most $O(\log n)$ edges.

Theorem 2.3 For any n-point doubling metric $M = (P, \delta)$, and any constant $\epsilon > 0$, a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner H^* with constant degree, and diameter and lightness $O(\log n)$ can be built in $O(n \cdot \log n)$ time.

3 The Fault-Tolerant Spanner Construction

In this section we present a construction H_{FT}^* of FT spanners that is obtained by a simple modification of the basic spanner construction H^* from the previous section.

To get a k-FT spanner, we will assign at most $2k+1$ representatives (instead of a single representative as in the basic construction) to any vertex v of the tree-skeleton T . We want these representatives to be close (in terms of metric distance) to the original representative $r(v)$ of v in the basic construction. Since the radii of vertices in T decrease geometrically with the level, the original representative $r(x)$ of any descendant x of v in T is close to $r(v)$, and so it may serve as a representative of v in the k-FT spanner.

Denote by $D(v)$ the set of all descendants of a vertex v in T (including v itself). Let $D^*(v)$ be a subset of $2k + 1$ vertices from $D(v)$ with smallest level in T (i.e., those of shortest hop-distance from v in T); if $|D(v)| \leq 2k+1$, we have $D^*(v) = D(v)$. Let $R^*(v)$ be the set of original representatives of the vertices in $D^*(v)$, i.e., $R^*(v) = \{r(x) \mid x \in D^*(v)\};$ note that $|R^*(v)| \leq |D^*(v)| \leq 2k+1$. Since each point of P is assigned as the representative of at most two vertices of T, we have $|R^*(v)| \ge \left\lceil \frac{|D^*(v)|}{2} \right\rceil$. Hence, either $R^*(v)$ contains the representatives of all the vertices in the subtree T_v of T rooted at v or it must hold that $|D^*(v)| = 2k + 1$, and in the latter case we have $|R^*(v)| \geq k + 1$. Observe that each vertex in T belongs to sets $D^*(w)$ of at most $2k+1$ vertices w in T, which implies that each point of P belongs to sets $R^*(w)$ of at most $4k+2$ vertices w in T.

The FT spanner construction H_{FT}^* is obtained from the basic construction H^* in the following way: for each edge $(r(u), r(v))$ in the basic spanner construction H^* (which is associated with the edge (u, v) between the respective vertices of T), our FT spanner construction $H[*]_{FT}$ will contain a complete bipartite graph between $R^*(u)$ and $R^*(v)$.

It is easy to see that, given the tree-skeleton T and the basic spanner construction H^* , the FT spanner construction H_{FT}^* can be built in $O(k^2 \cdot n)$ time. The overall running time is therefore $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$.

Consider an arbitrary point $p \in P$, and let w be a vertex in T such that $p \in R^*(w)$. For every edge (w, x) (associated with an edge $(r(w), r(x))$ of H^*) that is incident on w in the basic construction, p is connected to all $O(k)$ points of $R^*(x)$ in H^*_{FT} . Since $deg(H^*) = O(1)$, this contributes $O(k)$ units to the degree of p. Since p may belong to sets $R^*(w)$ of at most $O(k)$ vertices w in T, it follows that the degree of p in H^*_{FT} is at most $O(k^2)$. Hence $deg(H^*_{FT}) = O(k^2)$.

Observe that each edge $(r(u), r(v))$ of H^* is replaced by $O(k^2)$ edges of roughly the same weight in H_{FT}^* . More specifically, the weight of each of these $O(k^2)$ edges is greater than the weight $\delta(r(u), r(v))$ of the original edge $(r(u), r(v))$ by an additive factor of $O(rad(u) + rad(v))$. Since the basic construction H^* has constant degree, it follows that $\omega(H_{FT}^*) \leq O(k^2) \cdot (\omega(H^*) + \sum_{v \in T} rad(v))$. Using the standard analysis of [\[3,](#page-6-10) [5\]](#page-6-2) we conclude that the lightness of the FT spanner construction H_{FT}^* is $O(k^2 \cdot \log n)$.

Finally, we show that for any pair $p, q \in P \setminus F$ of functioning points (where $F \subseteq P$ is an arbitrary set of non-functioning points with $|F| \le k$), there is a $(1 + O(\epsilon))$ -spanner path in $H^*_{FT} \setminus F$ with $O(\log n)$ edges. Let u and v be the respective leaves of p and q in T, and consider the $(1 + \epsilon)$ -spanner path Π between p and q in H^* . It has $O(\log n)$ edges, and it is composed of three consecutive parts: (a) a path $\Pi_u = (u = u_0, \dots, u_i = u')$ from $u = u_0$ to $u_i = u'$, such that $u_{i'}$ is an ancestor of $u_{i'-1}$ in T, for each $1 \leq i' \leq i$; (b) a lateral edge (u', v') ; (c) a path $\Pi_v = (v' = v_0, \dots, v_j = v)$ from $v' = v_0$ to $v_j = v$, such that $v_{j'}$ is a descendant of $v_{j'-1}$ in T, for each $1 \leq j' \leq j$. Note that $p \in R^*(u)$. More generally, for each vertex $u_{i'}$ of Π_u , either $p \in R^*(u_{i'})$ or $|R^*(u_{i'})| \geq k+1$. Thus $R^*(u_{i'})$ contains at least one functioning point, for each $0 \leq i' \leq i$. Take $f(u_{i'})$ to be p whenever possible, i.e., for every $0 \leq i' \leq i$ such that $p \in R^*(u_{i'})$; otherwise take $f(u_{i'})$ to be an arbitrary functioning point from $R^*(u_{i'})$. (Note that $f(u) = p$.) Similarly, $R^*(v_{j'})$ contains at least one functioning point, for each $0 \leq j' \leq j$. Take $f(v_{j'})$ to be q whenever possible; otherwise take $f(v_{j'})$ to be an arbitrary functioning point from $R^*(v_{j'})$. (Note that $f(v) = q$.) By replacing each vertex x of Π with the respective functioning point $f(x)$, we obtain a path Π_{FT} in $H^*_{FT} \backslash F$ between $f(u) = p$ and $f(v) = q$ with the same number $O(\log n)$ of edges. Since the path Π_{FT} is a perturbation of the original $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner path Π (and the radii of vertices decrease geometrically with the level), it incurs only a small additional cost of $O(rad(u') + rad(v')) = O(\epsilon) \cdot \delta(r(u'), r(v')) = O(\epsilon) \cdot \delta(p, q)$. In other words, the stretch increases by an additive factor of $O(\epsilon)$, from $1+\epsilon$ to $1+O(\epsilon)$. One can reduce the stretch back to $1 + \epsilon$, at the expense of increasing the other parameters by some function of ϵ .

Theorem 3.1 For any n-point doubling metric $M = (P, \delta)$, any constant $\epsilon > 0$, and any integer $0 \leq \epsilon$ $k \leq n-2$, a k-FT $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner H^*_{FT} with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and lightness $O(k^2 \cdot \log n)$ can be built in $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$ time.

4 Random Point Sets

In this section we improve the lightness bound of Theorem [3.1](#page-4-0) for random point sets in \mathbb{R}^d . This improvement does not apply to the case $d = 1$, where we show that the lightness must be logarithmic.

4.1 Constant-Dimensional Random Point Sets. Consider a set V of n points that are chosen independently and uniformly at random from the unit d-dimensional cube, for an integer constant $d \geq 2$. We will show that the net-tree spanner of $[11, 3]$ $[11, 3]$ for V has w.h.p. constant lightness. Using the same considerations it can be shown that the tree-like spanner H of $[12]$ for V has w.h.p. constant lightness as well. Having reduced the lightness bound of H from $O(\log n)$ to $O(1)$, we repeat the arguments of Sections [2](#page-2-1) and [3.](#page-3-1) As an immediate consequence, the lightness bound of the basic spanner construction H^* from Section [2](#page-2-1) is reduced from $O(\log n)$ to $O(1)$, and the lightness bound of the FT spanner construction H_{FT}^* from Section [3](#page-3-1) is reduced from $O(k^2 \cdot \log n)$ to $O(k^2)$.

We restrict attention to the case $d = 2$. However, our argument extends to higher constant dimensions. The following lemma from [\[14\]](#page-6-6) provides a probabilistic lower bound on the weight of $MST(V)$.

Lemma 4.1 (Lemma 15.1.6 in [\[14\]](#page-6-6)) For a set V of n points that are chosen independently and uniformly at random from the unit square, it holds w.h.p. that $\omega(MST(V)) = \Omega(\sqrt{n}).$

The following lemma shows that the net-tree spanner for V has w.h.p. constant lightness.

Lemma 4.2 For any set S of n points in the unit square, the net-tree spanner has weight $O(\sqrt{n})$.

Proof: In level 0 (the bottom level) of the net-tree, the nodes (called *net points*) have radius $r_0 \approx \frac{\Delta_{\min}}{2}$. More generally, in level $i = 0, \ldots, i^*$, where $i^* \approx \log \Delta$, the net points have radius $r_i = 2^i \cdot r_0$. Denote by n_i the number of net points in level i. The sequence n_0, \ldots, n_{i^*} is monotone non-increasing, with $n_0 \leq n$ and $n_{i^*} = 1$. Since the distance between any pair of *i*-level net points is at least r_i , there is at most one such point within any circle of area smaller than $\pi \cdot (\frac{r_i}{2})^2$. It follows that $n_i = O(\frac{1}{r_i^2})$ $\frac{1}{r_i^2}$, for all $i = 0, \ldots, i^*$.

The standard analysis of [\[11,](#page-6-9) [3\]](#page-6-10) shows that at each level, each net point has only a constant number of neighbors at that level. The weight of all edges that are incident on a net point is greater than its radius by at most a constant factor. Hence, it suffices to bound the sum of radii of all net points.

Let j be the last index in $\{0, \ldots, i^*\}$ that satisfies $r_j < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ $\frac{1}{n}$. If $r_0 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{n}$, set $j = -1$. The sum of radii of all net points in levels $i = 0, \ldots, j$ is given by $\sum_{i=0}^{j} n_i \cdot r_i \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} n \cdot \frac{r_j}{2^i}$ $\frac{r_j}{2^i}$ \leq $\sum_{i=0}^j \frac{n}{2^i}$ $\frac{n}{2^i \cdot \sqrt{n}} = O(\sqrt{n}).$ Assuming $j \leq i^* - 1$, we have $r_{j+1} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ $\frac{1}{n}$. The sum of radii of all net points in levels $i = j + 1, \ldots, i^*$ is given by $\sum_{i=j+1}^{i^*} n_i \cdot r_i = \sum_{i=j+1}^{i^*} O(\frac{1}{r_i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{i^*-j-1} O(\frac{1}{2^i \cdot r_j})$ $\frac{1}{2^{i} \cdot r_{j+1}}$) = $O(\sqrt{n})$. The lemma follows.

Theorem 4.3 For any set V of n points that are chosen independently and uniformly at random from the unit d-dimensional cube, where $d \geq 2$ is an integer constant, any constant $\epsilon > 0$, and any integer $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, a k-FT $(1+\epsilon)$ -spanner H^*_{FT} with degree $O(k^2)$, diameter $O(\log n)$ and lightness w.h.p. $O(k^2)$ can be built in $O(n \cdot (\log n + k^2))$ time.

Remark: The diameter of the tree-like spanner of [\[12\]](#page-6-11) is $O(\log \Delta)$, where Δ is the aspect-ratio of the metric. It is not difficult to show that the aspect ratio of random point sets in the unit d -dimensional cube is w.h.p. polynomially bounded, and so the diameter of the tree-like spanner of [\[12\]](#page-6-11) is w.h.p. $O(\log n)$. Hence, for random point sets in the unit d-dimensional cube, the tree-like spanner of [\[12\]](#page-6-11) achieves w.h.p. logarithmic diameter and w.h.p. constant lightness, together with constant degree. (While the bounds on the diameter and lightness are probabilistic, the bound on the degree is deterministic.) Nevertheless, it is still advantageous to shortcut the light subtrees, because the diameter bound of the resulting spanner becomes deterministic, whereas all the other measures do not increase by more than constant factors.

4.2 1-Dimensional Random Point Sets. Let S be an arbitrary set of n evenly spaced points on the x-axis, and denote the distance between consecutive points by η . Dinitz et al. [\[7\]](#page-6-13) proved that any spanner

H for S with diameter $O(\log n)$ has lightness $\Omega(\log n)$, or equivalently, weight $\Omega(\log n \cdot (\eta \cdot n))$. This lower bound on the weight was generalized for Steiner spanners in [\[9\]](#page-6-14). We remark that the points need not be evenly spaced for this lower bound on the weight to hold. That is, the lower bound $\Omega(\log n \cdot (n \cdot n))$ on the weight remains valid as long as the minimum distance between consecutive points is at least η . We summarize this result in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 ([\[7,](#page-6-13) [9\]](#page-6-14)) Let S be a set of n points on the x-axis in which the minimum distance between consecutive points is at least η . Any (possibly Steiner) spanner for S with diameter $O(\log n)$ has weight $\Omega(\log n \cdot (\eta \cdot n)).$

Consider a set V of n points that are chosen independently and uniformly at random from the unit interval. Clearly, the weight $\omega(MST(V))$ of the MST for V is w.h.p. $\Theta(1)$.

We argue that w.h.p. there exists a subset \tilde{V} of V that consists of $\tilde{n} = c \cdot n$ points, for some constant $0 < c < 1$, such that the minimum distance between consecutive points in \tilde{V} is at least $\frac{1}{n}$. The proof of this assertion follows similar lines as those in the proof of Lemma 15.1.6 from [\[14\]](#page-6-6), and is thus omitted.

Let H be a (possibly Steiner) spanner for V with diameter $O(\log n) = O(\log \tilde{n})$. Note that H is a Steiner spanner for \tilde{V} , where all points of $V \backslash \tilde{V}$ (and possibly some other points too) serve as Steiner points. By Theorem [4.4](#page-6-15) (substituting S with \tilde{V} , n with \tilde{n} , and η with $\frac{1}{n}$), $\omega(H) = \Omega(\log \tilde{n} \cdot (\frac{1}{n} \cdot \tilde{n})) = \Omega(\log n)$, and so w.h.p. $\omega(H) = \Omega(\log n) \cdot \omega(MST(V))$. Consequently, the lightness $\Psi(H)$ of H is w.h.p. $\Omega(\log n)$.

Corollary 4.5 Let V be a set of n points that are chosen independently and uniformly at random from the unit interval. Any (possibly Steiner) spanner for V with diameter $O(\log n)$ has lightness w.h.p. $\Omega(\log n)$.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Michael Elkin and Michiel Smid for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] I. Althöfer, G. Das, D. P. Dobkin, D. Joseph, and J. Soares. On sparse spanners of weighted graphs. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 9:81–100, 1993.
- [2] S. Arya, G. Das, D. M. Mount, J. S. Salowe, and M. H. M. Smid. Euclidean spanners: short, thin, and lanky. In Proc. of 27th STOC, pages 489–498, 1995.
- [3] H. T.-H. Chan, A. Gupta, B. M. Maggs, and S. Zhou. On hierarchical routing in doubling metrics. In Proc. of 16th SODA, pages 762–771, 2005.
- [4] T.-H. H. Chan, M. Li, and L. Ning. Sparse fault-tolerant spanners for doubling metrics with bounded hopdiameter or degree. In $ICALP(1)$, pages 182–193, 2012.
- [5] T.-H. H. Chan, M. Li, and L. Ning. Incubators vs zombies: Fault-tolerant, short, thin and lanky spanners for doubling metrics. Technical Report, CoRR abs/1207.0892, July, 2012.
- [6] L. P. Chew. There is a planar graph almost as good as the complete graph. In Proc. of 2nd SOCG, pages 169–177, 1986.
- [7] Y. Dinitz, M. Elkin, and S. Solomon. Shallow-low-light trees, and tight lower bounds for Euclidean spanners. In Proc. of 49th FOCS, pages 519–528, 2008.
- [8] Y. Dinitz, M. Elkin, and S. Solomon. Low-light trees, and tight lower bounds for Euclidean spanners. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 43(4):736–783, 2010.
- [9] M. Elkin and S. Solomon. Narrow-shallow-low-light trees with and without Steiner points. In Proc. of 17th ESA, pages 215–226, 2009.
- [10] M. Elkin and S. Solomon. Optimal Euclidean spanners: really short, thin and lanky. Technical Report CS12-04, Ben-Gurion University, April 4, 2012. See also Technical Report, CoRR abs/1207.1831, July, 2012.
- [11] J. Gao, L. J. Guibas, and A. Nguyen. Deformable spanners and applications. In Proc. of 20th SoCG, pages 190–199, 2004.
- [12] L. Gottlieb and L. Roditty. An optimal dynamic spanner for doubling metric spaces. In Proc. of 16th ESA, pages 478–489, 2008. Another version of this paper is available via <http://cs.nyu.edu/~adi/spanner2.pdf>.
- [13] J. M. Keil and C. A. Gutwin. Classes of graphs which approximate the complete Euclidean graph. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 7:13–28, 1992.
- [14] G. Narasimhan and M. Smid. *Geometric Spanner Networks*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [15] S. Solomon and M. Elkin. Balancing degree, diameter and weight in Euclidean spanners. In Proc. of 18th ESA, pages 48–59, 2010.