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#### Abstract

We first study a new family of graded quiver varieties together with a new $t$-deformation of the associated Grothendieck rings. This provides the geometric foundations for a joint paper by Yoshiyuki Kimura and the author.

We further generalize the result of that paper to any acyclic quantum cluster algebra with arbitrary nondegenerate coefficients. In particular, we obtain the generic basis, the dual PBW basis, and the dual canonical basis. The method consists in a correction technique, which works for general quantum cluster algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation. The theory of cluster algebras, which was invented by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02], has its origin in Lie theory and combinatorics. Since its very beginning, the theory of (quantum) cluster algebras has been related to many areas, such as Poisson Geometry, discrete dynamical systems, higher Techmüller spaces, combinatorics, commutative and noncommutative algebraic geometries, and representation theory, cf. [Kel12].

One of the main motivations of the study of quantum cluster algebras [BZ05] is to provide an algebraic framework for the dual canonical bases of quantum groups. Therefore, bases of cluster algebra deserve to be put under scrutiny. The following two questions arise naturally.

Question 1.1.1. 1) How to construct bases of (quantum) cluster algebras?
2) What are the corresponding structure constants and transition matrices?

Question 1.1.2. 1) Can we construct a"dual canonical basis" of the quantum cluster algebra, such that its structure constants are positive and it contains all the quantum cluster monomials?
2) Can we identify this basis with a subset of the dual canonical basis of certain quantum groups?

Remark 1.1.3. We expect this basis for acyclic quantum cluster algebra to be identified with a proper subset of the dual canonical basis, because the acyclic cluster algebras are "smaller" than general quantum groups, cf. [GLS11].

Furthermore, by [FZ07] [Tra11], (quantum) cluster monomials vary little when the choice of the coefficient pattern changes. If such a result holds for the bases as well, our research on the bases will be largely simplified. Surprisingly, to best of the author's knowledge, this problem seems has not been studied in literature yet.

Question 1.1.4. How does the bases data depend on the choice of the coefficients and quantization? Are they controllable?

The final motivation of this paper comes from the study of quantum cluster characters. In [Qin12b], the author defined quantum cluster characters of the rigid objects of certain cluster categories, and showed that these characters describe the quantum cluster monomials of acyclic quantum cluster algebras. Nagao proposed a more general formula to describe the quantum cluster variables of general (quantum) cluster algebras based on the theory of non-commutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants developed by Kontsevich and Soibelmann (up to some conjectures), cf. [KS08] [Nag10]. The following question is natural from the representation theoretic point of view.

Question 1.1.5. Can we extend the quantum cluster character to generic objects, such that we obtain a generic basis of the quantum cluster algebra containing all the quantum cluster monomials?

### 1.2. Previous context, strategies, and results.

Previous context. Despite the many successful applications of (quantum) cluster algebras to other areas, the basis construction problems which motivate their introduction remain largely open.

We have limited knowledge of the constructions of bases of the classical cluster algebras. In the approach via preprojective algebra, cf. [GLS11], Geiß, Leclerc, and Schroër have shown that if $G$ is a semi-simple complex algebraic group and $N \subset G$ a maximal nilpotent subgroup, then the coordinate algebra $\mathbb{C}[N]$ admits a canonical classical cluster structure whose coefficient type is specific. They further constructed the generic basis of $\mathbb{C}[N]$, which contains the cluster monomials, and identified it with Lusztig's dual semicanonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[N]$ [Lus00]. As another approach, recently, Musiker, Schiffler, and Williams constructed bases for classical cluster algebras arising from unpunctured surfaces with coefficients whose exchange matrix is of full rank, [MSW11].

Our knowledge of the bases of the quantum cluster algebras is even more limited. [Lam11a] [Lam11b] [DX12] [HL11] obtained partial results of Question 1.1.2 for quivers of finite and affine type. Standard bases and partial results of triangular bases have been obtained for acyclic quantum cluster, cf. [BFZ05] [BZ11] [BZ12].

Deformed monoidal pseudo-categorification. In this paper, we use (deformed) monoidal pseudo-categorifications to give a new approach to bases of (quantum) cluster algebras.

Monoidal categorification was used by Hernandez and Leclerc as a new approach to the positivity conjecture of cluster algebras, cf. [HL10]. For a given cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we want to find a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a well-behaved algebra isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to the Grothendieck ring $R$ of $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, each cluster monomial should be sent to the class of a simple module. In the original work [HL10], the tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ is the tensor category of certain finite-dimensional modules of certain quantum affine algebra, and the isomorphism is obtained by comparing the (truncated) $q$-characters of these modules with cluster characters.

Therefore, we easily arrive at the following naive idea: in order to construct the bases of (quantum) cluster algebras, it suffices to study bases of the (deformed) Grothendieck ring, and then apply the algebra isomorphism.

In this paper, we use a similar category $\mathcal{C}$ (closely related to quantum loop algebras) and construct a linear map identifying the (truncated) $q t$ characters with quantum cluster characters studied by the author in [Qin12b]. Our construction holds for general coefficients and quantizations, where the linear map fails to be algebraic, thus the name "pseudo-categorification". A key ingredient is the observation that the failures are mild and controllable. Therefore, in practice, we can still follow the above naive idea to study bases.

Graded quiver varieties. In order to construct this monoidal pseudo-categorification, we need to understand the deformed Grothendieck ring and the $q t$-characters. A second key ingredient of the paper is that we can understand them geometrically by using Nakajima's quiver varieties, cf. [Nak01a] [Nak04].

Recall that Nakajima's quiver variety is a natural generalization of the ADHM-construction of instantons. His graded quiver variety is the fixed point subvariety with respect to a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action. In [Nak11], he required the quiver to be bipartite when constructing the graded quiver varieties.

In Section 4, we introduce a new family of graded quiver varieties for acyclic quivers which are not necessarily bipartite. In order to remove the bipartite restriction, we carefully change the definition of the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action, such that the resulting new graded quiver varieties (fixed point sets) still have good properties. Then we establish the geometric foundations of our discussion, and proceed to study the deformed Grothendieck rings and qtcharacters following the arguments of Nakajima in [Nak01a] [Nak04] [Nak11].

Furthermore, as an important application, these constructions lead to an affirmative answer of Question 1.1.2 for acyclic quantum cluster algebras with specific coefficients and quantization, which will be presented in the joint work [KQ12] by Yoshiyuki Kimura and the author. In particular, by
[KQ12, Theorem 3.3.7, Corollary 3.3.9], the result of Nakajima [Nak11] can be generalized as the following:

When the quantum cluster algebra contains an acyclic seed, the positivity conjecture is true. Namely, the coefficients of the cluster expansions of the quantum cluster variables are positive with respect to any chosen seed.

Its proof depends on the Fourier-Deligne-Sato transform, cf. the recent work by Efimov [Efi11] for an independent different approach. We shall use some useful results obtained in the proof.
Main results. Via the pseudo-categorification approach introduced above, we use $t$-analogue of $q$-characters to realize three bases of the acyclic quantum cluster algebras for any choice of coefficients and quantizations: the generic basis, the dual PBW basis, and the canonical basis, cf. Theorem 8.1.8 8.2.1. For such (quantum) cluster algebras, we obtain affirmative answers to Question 1.1.1, 1.1.2(1), 1.1.5, cf. sections 8.2 8.3.

In the last section of the paper, we develop the correction technique for general quantum cluster algebras, cf. Theorem 9.1.2, which has been implicitly used in previous sections when we measure the failures of the monoidal categorification in [KQ12]. In the acyclic case, we obtain an affirmative answer to Question 1.1.4, cf. sections 8.2 8.3.

Remark 1.2.1. By the joint work of Kimura with the author [KQ12], 1.1.2(2) has an affirmative answer for acyclic quantum cluster algebra of a specific choice of coefficients and quantization. For a general choice, it seems unlikely that we can find a reasonable identification which preserves the multiplication. First, the number of the generators of the center of the quantum group restricts the possible number of the frozen vertices. Second, if we fix the initial seed of the given quantum cluster algebra and identify the initial variables with a collection of generators of the quantum group, the identification will fail to preserve the multiplication if we make any change to the quantization ( $\Lambda$-matrix) of the initial seed. However, our observations do not rule out the possibility of establishing a new identification by choosing a different quantum group or different generators (of both algebras).
It should be mentioned that, despite that the results on the generic quantum cluster characters and the quantum generic basis seem very natural, their proof needs the full power of the graded quiver varieties and the existence of the monoidal categorification. For the moment, the author does not see any alternative approach.
1.3. Plan of the paper. In section 2 , we recall notations of quantum cluster algebras arising from ice quivers.

In section 3, we show how the cluster expansions of quantum cluster variables (monomials) of quantum cluster algebras with arbitrary compatible pairs can be deduced from those with unitally compatible pairs.
In section 4, we study a family of new graded quiver varieties by choosing a new torus action. Then we follow the statements of [Nak11] to construct the deformed Grothendieck ring $R_{t}$.

In section 5 , we define the $t$-analogue of $q$-characters for these graded quiver varieties.

In section 6, we collect useful results from [KQ12] on generic characters.

In section 7, we measure the failure of these characters being isomorphism. Our constructions allow to quantize [Nak11] by comparing the $q t$-characters with the quantum cluster characters in [Qin12b].

In section 8, we construct bases of acyclic quantum cluster algebra for any choice of coefficients and quantizations. We also study their transition matrices and structure constants.

Finally, in section 9, we establish the correction technique for general quantum cluster algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

We refer the readers to [Qin12a] or [KQ12, Section 2] for details, whose conventions will be briefly recalled here.
2.1. Quantum cluster algebras. We first recall the definition of a quantum cluster algebra, cf. [BZ05]. Let $(\Lambda, \widetilde{B})$ denote a compatible pair, namely we have

$$
\Lambda(-\widetilde{B})=\left[\begin{array}{l}
D  \tag{1}\\
0
\end{array}\right],
$$

where the $B$-matrix $\widetilde{B}$ is an $m \times n$ integer matrix and the $\Lambda$-matrix $\Lambda$ is an $m \times m$ integer matrix for some integers $m \geq n$, and $D$ is a diagonal matrix with strictly positive integers on the diagonal. The principal part $B$ of $\widetilde{B}$ is defined to be its upper $n \times n$ submatrix.

We use $v$ to denote the formal parameter $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, while $v^{2}$ is sometimes denoted by $q$. The quantum torus $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ associated with the $\Lambda$-matrix $\Lambda$ is the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[v^{ \pm}\right]\left[x_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m}^{ \pm}\right]$, whose usual product $\cdot$ is often omitted. Let $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$ denotes its subring $\mathbb{Z}\left[v^{ \pm}\right]\left[x_{n+1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m}^{ \pm}\right]$, which we call the coefficient ring.
The matrix product $g^{T} \Lambda h, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$, is denoted by $\Lambda(g, h)$, where we use $g^{T}$ to denote the matrix transposition of $g$. Then we endow $\mathcal{T}$ with the twisted product $*$ such that we have

$$
x^{g} * x^{h}=v^{\Lambda(g, h)} x^{g+h}
$$

for any degrees $g$ and $h$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$. The natural involution of $\mathcal{T}$ which sends $v$ to $v^{-1}$ is denoted by ( $\overline{\text {. }}$.

We fix an $n$-regular tree $\mathbb{T}_{n}$ with root $t_{0}$. Recursively, we can associate a quantum seed $(\Lambda(t), \widetilde{B}(t), x(t))$ with each vertex $t$ of the $n$-regular tree such that we have
(1) $\left(\Lambda\left(t_{0}\right), \widetilde{B}\left(t_{0}\right), x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=(\Lambda, \widetilde{B}, x)$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)$, and
(2) if there exists an edge labeled $k$ connecting two vertices $t$ and $t^{\prime}$, then the two quantum seeds $\left(\Lambda\left(t^{\prime}\right), \widetilde{B}\left(t^{\prime}\right), X\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $(\Lambda(t), \widetilde{B}(t), X(t))$ are related by the mutation at $k, c f$. [KQ12].
We define the $x$-variables to be $x_{i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq m, t \in \mathbb{T}_{n}$. Those $x$ variables $x_{i}(t)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ are called the quantum cluster variables. The quantum cluster monomials are the monomials of the quantum cluster variables contained in any common quantum seed.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the skew-field of fractions of the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$. The quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ over $(R, v)$ is the $(+, *)$-subalgebra of the skewfield generated by the $x$-variables and the elements $x_{j}^{-1}$ for all $j>n$. According to the Quantum Laurent phenomenon, cf. [BZ05, Section 5], $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ is contained in the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$.

When we specialize $v$ to 1 , we obtain the classical cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}=$ $\left.\mathcal{A}^{q}\right|_{v \mapsto 1}$, which we also denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.
2.2. Coefficient types (frozen patterns). We use $Q$ to denote a quiver whose set of vertices is labeled by $I=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Any arrow $h$ of $Q$ points from its source $s(h)$ to its target $t(h)$. By reversing the direction of $h$, we obtain a new arrow $\bar{h}$. By reversing all the arrow directions, we obtain the quiver ${\underset{Q}{ }}^{o p}$.

Let $\widetilde{Q}$ be a quiver with vertices vertices $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ which contains $Q$ as a full sub-quiver. $Q$ is called the principal part of $\widetilde{Q}$ and $\widetilde{Q}$ is called an ice quiver. The set of the frozen vertices $\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$ and all the arrows incident to them is called the coefficient type (or frozen pattern) of the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$, which we denote by $\widetilde{Q}-Q$.

We associate with $\widetilde{Q}$ an $m \times n$ integer matrix ${ }^{1} \widetilde{B}=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ such that we have

$$
b_{i j}=\sharp\{h \in \widetilde{Q} \mid s(h)=i, t(h)=j\}-\sharp\{h \in \widetilde{Q} \mid s(h)=j, t(h)=i\} .
$$

Similarly, we associate with $Q$ an $n \times n$-matrix $B=B_{Q}$.
$Q$ is called acyclic if it has no oriented cycles. We refer the reader to [KQ12] or [Qin12a] for the definition of bipartite quivers and level $l$ ice quivers with z-pattern.

Example 2.2.1. (1) Figure 1 is an example of acyclic quiver (which is not bipartite).
(2) Figure 2 is an example of a level 1 ice quiver with z-pattern whose principal part is given by Figure 1. Notice that since $B_{\widetilde{Q}}$ is invertible, its inverse provides a canonical choice of $\Lambda$ such that $(\Lambda, \widetilde{B})$ is a compatible pair.

## 3. Quantum cluster variables via normalization

Let $\widetilde{Q}$ be an ice quiver with principal part $Q$ and $\widetilde{B}$ its associated $m \times n$ matrix. Let $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ be the quantum cluster algebra associated with a compatible pair $(\Lambda, \widetilde{B})$ such that $\Lambda(-\widetilde{B})=\left[\begin{array}{c}D \\ 0\end{array}\right]$.

Following [Ami09] [Pla11c], we choose a generic potential $\widetilde{W}$ associated with the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ and construct the corresponding cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{W})}$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}$ for simplicity. Then to each $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, we can associate an object $M_{i}(t)$ in the cluster category. Furthermore, we have a map ind () sending each object in $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}$ to its index ind $\left(M_{i}(t)\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$ such that $\operatorname{ind}\left(M_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=e_{i}$. We refer the reader to [Qin12a, Section 2.2.2] for details.
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Figure 1. An acyclic quiver which is not bipartite


Figure 2. A level 1 ice quiver with z-pattern
First choose $\widetilde{Q}-Q$ and $\Lambda$ such that $D$ is the identity matrix $\mathbf{1}_{n}$. Because the quantum $F$-polynomials exist, cf. [Tra11, Theorem 5.3], the quantum cluster variables take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t)=\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} c_{i, v}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(M_{i}(t)\right)+\widetilde{B} v}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some bar-invariant Laurent polynomials $c_{i, v} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$. For general $\widetilde{Q}-Q$ and $\Lambda$, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.0.2. Assume $D$ is a matrix whose diagonal entries are equal to $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then in $\mathcal{A}^{q}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t)=\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} c_{i, v}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(M_{i}(t)\right)+\widetilde{B} v} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the $2 n \times 2 n$-matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B & -\mathbf{1}_{n} \\
\mathbf{1}_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Denote its inverse by $-\Lambda_{1}$. Its submatrix $\widetilde{B}^{\prime}=\binom{B}{\mathbf{1}_{n}}$ is the $2 n \times n$-matrix associated with the ice quiver with principal coefficient $\widetilde{Q}^{\prime}$ and principal part $Q$. We have the objects $M_{i}^{\prime}(t)$ in the cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}^{\prime}}$, and the map ind ${ }^{\prime}$.

Notice that $\left(\Lambda_{1}, \widetilde{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is a unitally compatible pair, and we have the associated quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}^{q}{ }_{1}$.

Inspired by [Tra11, Proposition 5.1], we consider the $2 n \times 2 n$-matrix

$$
\Lambda_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -D \\
D & B D
\end{array}\right)
$$

The pair $\left(\Lambda_{2}, \widetilde{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is again compatible. In fact, one can check that $\Lambda_{2}=\delta \cdot \Lambda_{1}$. Denote the associated quantum cluster algebra by $\mathcal{A}^{q} 2$.

Since $\Lambda_{2}$ is divisible by $\delta$, we can view $\mathcal{A}^{q}{ }_{2}$ as a quantum cluster algebra over $\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[v^{ \pm}\right], v\right)$ with $v=q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ and the initial compatible pair is $\left(\Lambda_{2} / \delta, \widetilde{B}^{\prime}\right)$. Then by sending $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to $q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}, \mathcal{A}^{q}{ }_{1}$ is identified with $\mathcal{A}^{q}$. In $\mathcal{A}^{q}{ }_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}(t) & =\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} c_{i, v}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\mathrm{ind}^{\prime}\left(M_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\widetilde{B}^{\prime} v} \\
& =\left(\sum_{v} c_{i, v}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{2}\left(\widetilde{B}^{\prime} v, \widetilde{g}_{i, 1}\right)} x^{\widetilde{B}^{\prime} v}\right) * x^{\mathrm{ind}\left(M_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the vectors $\widetilde{g}_{i, 1}=\operatorname{ind}^{\prime}\left(M_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)$.
Finally, by [Tra11, Theorem 5.3] quantum $F$-polynomials exist. Therefore, the quantum cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}(t) & =\left(\sum_{v} c_{i, v}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{2}\left(\widetilde{B}^{\prime} v, \widetilde{g}_{i, 1}\right)} x^{\widetilde{B} v}\right) * x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(M_{i}(t)\right)} \\
& =\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} q^{\frac{1}{2} f_{i, v}} c_{i, v}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(M_{i}(t)\right)+\widetilde{B} v}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some integers $f_{i, v} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $\left\{X^{\widetilde{g}_{i}+\widetilde{B} v} \mid v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\right\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$ because we can show that $\widetilde{B}$ is full rank. Since $X_{i}(t)$ and $c_{i, v}$ are bar-invariant, all $f_{i, v}$ must vanish.

## 4. Graded quiver varieties

This section contains proofs of properties of our new graded quiver varieties, which are needed by [KQ12] and later sections of this paper.

We begin with constructing some graded quiver varieties associated with acyclic quivers. Our graded quiver varieties are slightly different from the original ones in [Nak01a]. Thanks to our modified definition, we can show the existence of suitable stratifications for arbitrary acyclic quivers (Proposition 4.3.5). To prove this and other geometric properties, we adapt Nakajima's original arguments in [Nak98], [Nak01a].

Remark 4.0.3. It seems likely that our definition should still enjoy the link with quantum loop algebras established in [Nak01a]. However, since Lie theory is not the major focus in this paper, we do not recheck the link. Therefore, when we follow any useful arguments in [Nak01a], the Lie theoretic expressions should be replaced with their geometric counterparts.
4.1. Graded quiver varieties. We first give the definitions of the graded quiver varieties.

Fix a quiver $Q$ which is acyclic, $c f$. Section 2.2. We label its vertices such that there is no arrows from $i$ to $j$ if $i \geq j$. The associated Cartan matrix $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ is given by

$$
c_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
2 & \text { if } i=j  \tag{4}\\
-\left|b_{i j}\right| & \text { if } i \neq j
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Let $C_{q}$ denote the linear map from $\mathbb{Z}^{I \times\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\right)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{I \times \mathbb{Z}}$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}\right)}$, the image $C_{q} \xi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{q} \xi\right)_{k}(a)=\xi_{k}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\xi_{k}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\sum_{j: k<j \leq n} b_{k j} \xi_{j}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\sum_{i: 1 \leq i<k} b_{i k} \xi_{i}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.1.1 ( $q$-Cartan matrix). The map $C_{q}$ is the $q$-analogue of the Cartan matrix $C$.

The map $C_{q}$ induces a map from $\mathbb{Z}^{I \times \mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{I \times \mathbb{R}}$, which we denote by $C_{q}$ by abuse of notation. It follows that $C_{q}[d]$ equals $[d] C_{q}$ for any $d \in \mathbb{R}$, where $[d]$ is the natural degree shift.

Lemma 4.1.2. Let $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times \mathbb{R}}$ be any two given vectors, such that at least one of them has finite support, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2} \cdot C_{q} \xi^{1}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]=C_{q} \xi^{2} \cdot \xi^{1}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove the equation, it suffices to expand both sides:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS}= & \sum_{k, a} \xi_{k}^{2}(a) \cdot\left(\xi_{k}^{1}(a)+\xi_{k}^{1}(a-1)-\sum_{i: i<k} b_{i k} \xi_{i}^{1}(a)-\sum_{j: k<j} b_{k j} \xi_{j}^{1}(a-1)\right) \\
= & \sum_{k, a}\left(\xi_{k}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\xi_{k}^{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\sum_{j: k<j} b_{k j} \xi_{j}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sum_{i: i<k} b_{i k} \xi_{i}^{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \cdot \xi_{k}^{1}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
= & \text { RHS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that there is a lexicographical order on the set $I \times \mathbb{R}$, which is also called the weight order $<_{w}$ in [Qin12a].

Define $E$ to be $\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times \mathbb{Z}} \mid \xi_{k}(a)=0, \forall k \in I, a \ll 0\right\}$.
Lemma 4.1.3. (1) $C_{q}$ induces a bijection between $E\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $E$.
(2) $C_{q}$ induces a bijection between $E$ and $E\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]$.

Proof. Since $C_{q}$ commutes with $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$, it suffices to show 1). Consider the block matrix of the restricted map $C_{q}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ from $E$ to $E$, whose components are indexed by $(i, a) \times(j, b), i, j \in I, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is lower unitriangular with respect to the lexicographical order on $I \times \mathbb{R}$. Notice that $E$ is bounded below. The claim follows.

Therefore, it makes sense to talk about the inverses of the above bijections, which are both denoted by $C_{q}^{-1}$.

Next, we generalize the graded quiver varieties of [Nak11] from the bipartite to the acyclic case, modifying the original construction via the lexicographical order. We follow the convention of [Qin12a] and consider bigraded dimension vectors $w=\left(w_{i}(a)\right)_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $v=\left(v_{i}(b)\right)_{i \in I, b \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}$. We always assume that they have non-negative components and finite supports. Let $W=\mathbb{C}^{w}=\oplus_{i, a} W_{i}(a)$ and $V=\mathbb{C}^{v}=\oplus_{i, b} V_{i}(b)$ be the associated bigraded vector spaces. If two $I \times \mathbb{R}$-graded vectors are given, such that at least one of them has finite support, their scalar product • is well defined.

We say a pair $(v, w)$ is $l$-dominant if the difference $w-C_{q} v$ is contained in $\mathbb{N}^{I \times \mathbb{Z}}$. We say $(v, w)$ dominates $\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$, which we denote by $(v, w) \geq\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ (dominance order), if there exists some $v^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ such that $w^{\prime}-C_{q} v^{\prime}=$ $w-C_{q}\left(v+v^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We denote $w^{\prime} \leq w$ if $\left(0, w^{\prime}\right) \leq(0, w)$.

For any $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, w \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times \mathbb{Z}}$, which have finite supports, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}^{\bullet}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) & =\oplus_{(i, b)} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{i}(b), V_{i}^{\prime}(b)\right) \\
\mathrm{L}(w, v) & =\oplus_{(i, a)} \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{i}(a), V_{i}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
\mathrm{L}(v, w) & =\oplus_{(i, b)} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{i}(b), W_{i}\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
\mathrm{E}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\oplus_{h \in \Omega, b} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{s(h)}(b), V_{t(h)}^{\prime}(b)\right)\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{\bar{h} \in \bar{\Omega}, b} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{s(\bar{h})}(b), V_{t(\bar{h})}^{\prime}(b-1)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega}$ are the sets of arrows of the quivers $Q^{o p}$ and $Q$ respectively. Let $H$ be the union of $\Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega}$, and $\epsilon$ the function on $H$ such that it sends $\Omega$ to 1 and $\bar{\Omega}$ to -1 respectively. The vector space $\mathrm{E}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ consists of the elements $\left(B_{h}\right)_{h \in H}$, where $B_{h}=\oplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} B_{h, b}$ and $B_{h, b}$ is any linear map from $V_{s(h)}(b)$ to $V_{t(h)}^{\prime}\left(b+\frac{\epsilon(h)-1}{2}\right)$. The function $\epsilon$ acts on $B_{h}$ by $\epsilon B_{h}=\epsilon(h) B_{h}$.

Define the vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Rep}^{\bullet}\left(Q^{o p}, v, w\right)=\mathrm{E}(v, v) \oplus \mathrm{L}(w, v) \oplus \mathrm{L}(v, w) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose points are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
(B, \alpha, \beta) & =\left(\left(B_{h}\right)_{h \in H}, \alpha, \beta\right) \\
& =\left(\left(b_{h}\right)_{h \in \Omega},\left(b_{\bar{h}}\right)_{\bar{h} \in \bar{\Omega}},\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{i}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& =\left(\left(\oplus_{b} b_{h, b}\right)_{h \in \Omega},\left(\oplus_{b} b_{\bar{h}, b}\right)_{\bar{h} \in \bar{\Omega}},\left(\oplus_{a} \alpha_{i, a}\right)_{i},\left(\oplus_{b} \beta_{i, b}\right)_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The restriction of the moment map for ungraded quiver varieties becomes the map

$$
\mu: \operatorname{Rep}^{\bullet}\left(Q^{o p}, v, w\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\bullet}(v, v[-1])
$$

such that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(B, \alpha, \beta)=\oplus_{i, b}\left(\sum_{h \in \Omega}\left(b_{h, b} b_{\bar{h}, b+1}-b_{\bar{h}, b+1} b_{h, b+1}\right)+\alpha_{i, b+\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i, b+1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.1.4. Figure 3 provides an example of $\operatorname{Rep}^{\bullet}\left(Q^{o p}, v, w\right)$, whose rows and columns are indexed by $I$-degrees and $\mathbb{R}$-degrees respectively.

The base change group $G_{v}=\prod_{i, a} G L\left(V_{i, a}\right)$ naturally acts on $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Define $\chi$ to be the character which sends any group element $g$ to $\prod_{i, a}\left(\operatorname{det} g_{i, a}\right)^{-1}$.

$$
\operatorname{deg}=-\frac{3}{2} \quad \operatorname{deg}=-1 \quad \operatorname{deg}=-\frac{1}{2} \quad \operatorname{deg}=0
$$



Figure 3. Vector space $\operatorname{Rep}{ }^{\bullet}\left(Q^{o p}, v, w\right)$
Let $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$ denote the set of $\chi$-stable points in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ the free quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s} / G_{v}$. This is a quasi-projective variety. Define $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$ to be the affine variety $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mu^{-1}(0)\right]^{G_{v}}\right)$. Let $\pi$ denote the canonical projective morphism from $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$. For any point $x$ in $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$, denote $\pi^{-1}(x)$ by $\mathfrak{m}^{\bullet}{ }_{x}(v, w)$. We also denote $\pi^{-1}(0)=$ $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}=\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)$. The varieties $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w), \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w), \mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ are called graded quiver varieties.

In the rest of this section, we verify important properties of graded quiver varieties.
4.2. Ungraded quiver varieties. We first recall important properties of ungraded quiver varieties.

Let $V$ and $W$ be finite-dimensional $I$-graded complex vector spaces (without $\mathbb{Z}$-grading). In analogy with the previous subsection, we have vector spaces

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L(V, V)=\oplus_{i} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{i}, V_{i}\right) \\
L(W, V)=\oplus_{i} \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{i}, V_{i}\right) \\
L(V, W)=\oplus_{i} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{i}, W_{i}\right) \\
E(V, V)=\oplus_{h \in H} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{s(h)}, V_{t(h)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Consider the symplectic vector space $\operatorname{Rep}\left(Q^{o p}, V, W\right)=L(W, V) \oplus L(V, W) \oplus$ $E(V, V)$. The associated moment map $\mu: \operatorname{Rep}\left(Q^{o p}, V, W\right) \rightarrow L(V, V)$ takes a point $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ of $\operatorname{Rep}\left(Q^{o p}, V, W\right)$ to

$$
\mu(B, \alpha, \beta)=(\epsilon B) B+\alpha \beta .
$$

Following the arguments of [Nak98], we consider the $G L(V)$-variety $\mu^{-1}(0)$, and fix the character $\chi$ of $G L(V)$ such that $\chi(g)=\prod_{i}\left(\operatorname{det} g_{i}\right)^{-1}$. Then we can construct the geometric invariant theory quotient (GIT quotient for short) $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ with respect to $\chi$ and the categorical quotient $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$ by
the action of $G L(V)$ together with the projective morphism $\pi: \mathcal{M}(V, W) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$.

The points in $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$ are in bijection with the closed orbits in $\mu^{-1}(0)$. A point $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ in such a closed orbit is called a representative of the corresponding point in $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$, which is denoted by $[B, \alpha, \beta]$. Let $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$ be the open subset of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ consisting of the $\chi$-stable points. It is well known that $G L(V)$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$. Therefore, the points of $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ are in bijection with the orbits of $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$. Again, a point $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ of such a free orbit is called a representative of the corresponding point in $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$, denoted by $[B, \alpha, \beta]$.

Proposition 4.2.1 ([Nak98, Corollary 3.12]). The variety $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ is smooth.

Given any two vectors $v, v^{\prime}$ such that $v \leq v^{\prime}$ (with respect to the coordinatewise order), there is a natural embedding of $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$ into $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(V^{\prime}, W\right)$ given by extending the coordinates of the representatives by zero. Take all possible $v$ and define $\mathcal{M}_{0}(W)=\cup_{V} \mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$ to be the direct limit of all the embeddings. It is possibly infinite-dimensional, $c f$. [Nak01a, 2.5].

Let $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ be a point in $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ and let $x=(B, \alpha, \beta)$ be its representative. Suppose that we have a $B$-invariant filtration of $V$

$$
0 \subset F^{0} \subset F^{1} \subset \ldots \subset F^{t}=V
$$

where $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \subset F^{0}$. Let $\mathrm{gr}_{0} \alpha$ denote the morphism from $W$ to $F^{0}$ such that its composition with the inclusion $F^{0} \rightarrow V$ is $\alpha$. Let $\operatorname{gr}_{0} \beta$ denote the restriction of $\beta$ to $F^{0}$. For $1 \leq s \leq t$, let $\operatorname{gr}_{s} B$ denote the endomorphism which $B$ induces on $F^{s} / F^{s-1}$ and $\operatorname{gr} B=\oplus_{1 \leq s \leq t} \mathrm{gr}_{s} B$ the endomorphism on $\oplus_{1 \leq s \leq t} F^{s} / F^{s-1}$. The induced representative gr $x$ is defined to be ( $\operatorname{gr} B, \operatorname{gr}_{0} \alpha, \operatorname{gr}_{0} \beta$ ), cf. [Nak98, Definition 3.19].

Proposition 4.2.2. [Nak98, Proposition 3.20] Let $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ be a point in $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ and let $x=(B, \alpha, \beta)$ be its representative. Then there exists a $B$-invariant filtration of $V$

$$
0 \subset F^{0} \subset F^{1} \subset \ldots \subset F^{t}=V
$$

such that $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \subset F^{0}$ and the induced triple $\operatorname{gr} x=\left(\operatorname{gr} B, \operatorname{gr}_{0} \alpha, \operatorname{gr}_{0} \beta\right)$ is a representative of $\pi([B, \alpha, \beta])$.

If $\widehat{G}$ is a subgroup of $G_{v}$, we denote by $(\widehat{G})$ the conjugacy class of $\widehat{G}$. There is a natural stratification $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)=\sqcup_{(\widehat{G})} \mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)_{(\widehat{G})}$, such that each stratum is the set of the points $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ which have representatives $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ with the stabilizers in the conjugacy class $(\widehat{G})$.

Proposition 4.2 .3 (3.27, [Nak98]). Let $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ be a point in $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)_{(\widehat{G})}$ for some nontrivial $\widehat{G}$. Then there is a representative $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ and a decomposition

$$
V=V^{0} \oplus\left(V^{1}\right)^{\oplus \widehat{v_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(V^{r}\right)^{\oplus \widehat{v_{r}}}
$$

such that we have
(1) $B\left(V^{s}\right) \subset V^{s}$ for each summand $V^{s}, 0 \leq s \leq r$;
(2) if $s \neq s^{\prime}$, there is no isomorphism from $V^{s}$ to $V^{s^{\prime}}$ that commutes with $B$;
(3) $\operatorname{Im} \alpha$ is contained in $V^{0}$, and $V^{s}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker} \beta$ for all $s>0$;
(4) the restriction of $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ to $V^{0}$ has the trivial stabilizer in $\prod_{i \in I} G L\left(V_{i}^{0}\right)$;
(5) the subgroup $\prod_{i \in I} G L\left(V_{i}^{s}\right)$ meets $\widehat{G}$ only in the scalar subgroup $\mathbb{C}^{*} \subset$ $G L(V)$;

Remark 4.2.4. Restricting the equation $\mu(B, \alpha, \beta)=0$ to each summand $V^{s}, s>0$, we see that $V^{s}$ is a module over the preprojective algebra associated with $Q^{o p}$ with respect to the restriction of the B-action. It has the minimal possible stabilizer $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ and a closed orbit under the $\prod_{i} G L\left(V_{i}^{s}\right)$ action. Therefore, it is a representative of a point in the categorical quotient $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(V^{s}, 0\right)$.

We call $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)_{(\{1\})}$ the regular stratum and denote it by $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\text {reg }}(V, W)$. It is known that the restriction of $\pi$ gives an isomorphism from $\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\text {reg }}(V, W)\right)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\text {reg }}(V, W)$.

Assume $x$ is a point in $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\text {reg }}\left(V^{0}, W\right)$, which is naturally embedded into a quotient $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$. Let $T$ be the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\text {reg }}(V, W)$ at $x$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\text {reg }}\left(V^{0}, W\right)$ is non-empty, $\left(V^{0}, W\right)$ is l-dominant. Define $W^{\perp}=$ $\mathbb{C} \underline{\operatorname{dim}} W-C \underline{\operatorname{dim}} V^{0}, V^{\perp}=\mathbb{C} \underline{\operatorname{dim}} V-\underline{\operatorname{dim}} V^{0}$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2.5 (Theorem 3.3.2, [Nak04]). There exist neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{U}^{\perp}$ of $x \in \mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W), 0 \in \mathcal{T}, 0 \in \mathcal{M}_{0}\left(V^{\perp}, W^{\perp}\right)$ respectively, and biholomorphic maps $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{T} \times \mathcal{U}^{\perp}, \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}} \times \pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}\right)$, such that the following diagram commutes:


For any two $I$-graded vector spaces $V, V^{\prime}$, define $L\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)=\oplus_{i} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{i}, V_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, $E\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)=\oplus_{h} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{s(h)}, V_{t(h)}^{\prime}\right)$.

For a point $(B, \alpha, \beta) \in \mu^{-1}(0)$, we consider the following complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(V, V) \xrightarrow{\iota} E(V, V) \oplus L(W, V) \oplus L(V, W) \xrightarrow{d \mu} L(V, V), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \mu$ is the differential map of $\mu$, and for $\xi \in L(V, V)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota(\xi)=\left(\oplus_{h \in \Omega}\left(B_{h} \xi-\xi B_{h}\right)\right) \oplus(-\xi \alpha) \oplus(\beta \xi) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ at $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ is isomorphic to the middle cohomology of this complex.
4.3. Graded quiver varieties as fixed point sets. In this subsection, we check the properties of our new graded quiver varieties by using the technique developed by Nakajima in his previous works. The results obtained in this subsection provide the geometric foundations for [KQ12].

Let $V$ and $W$ be as in Section 4.2. Using the fixed point technique developed in [Nak01a], we can deduce the properties of our graded quiver varieties from their ungraded version in Section 4.2.

Choose a torus action ${ }^{2}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on $\operatorname{Rep}\left(Q^{o p}, V, W\right)$, such that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon\left(\alpha, \beta, b_{h}, b_{\bar{h}}\right)=\left(\varepsilon^{n} \alpha, \varepsilon^{n} \beta, \varepsilon^{2(s(h)-t(h))} b_{h}, \varepsilon^{2(n-s(h)+t(h))} b_{\bar{h}}\right) .
$$

Taking into account of the natural action of $G L(W)$ on $\operatorname{Rep}\left(Q^{o p}, V, W\right)$, we get a group action of $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ on this space.

Since the actions of $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $G L(V)$ commute, $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ acts on the quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$ and it commutes with the projective morphism $\pi$.

Take a pair $(s, \varepsilon)$, such that $s \in G L(W)$ is semisimple, and $\varepsilon$ is not a root of unity. It generates a cyclic subgroup, whose closure with respect to the Zariski topology is denoted by $A$. As in [Nak01a], let $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ be a point in $\mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}$ and $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ be any representative of it. There exists a group homomorphism $\rho_{(B, \alpha, \beta)}$ from $A$ to $G L(V)$ such that for any element $a \in A$, we have $a(B, \alpha, \beta)=\rho_{(B, \alpha, \beta)}(a)^{-1}(B, \alpha, \beta)$. The conjugacy class of $\rho_{(B, \alpha, \beta)}$ is independent of the choice of the representative $(B, \alpha, \beta)$ because the actions of $G L(V)$ and $A$ commute. So we can denote it by $\left[\rho_{[B, \alpha, \beta]}\right]$.
Lemma 4.3.1 ([Nak01a, Section 4.1]). The map from $\mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}$ to the conjugacy classes of the group homomorphisms from $A$ to $G L(V)$, sending $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ to $\left[\rho_{[B, \alpha, \beta]}\right]$, is locally constant.
Proof. Since $A$ is generated by the element $a=(s, \varepsilon)$, it suffices to study the conjugacy class $\left[\rho_{[B, \alpha, \beta]}(a)\right]$.

First, we show that $\left[\rho_{[B, \alpha, \beta]}(a)\right]$ is continuous in $[B, \alpha, \beta]$. Recall that $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$ is a principal $G L(V)$-bundle over $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$. Denote the fibre map by $p$. Take a trivialization. Let $U$ be any chart. For any continuous curve $[x(t)], 0 \leq t \leq 1$, the curve $\left[x^{U}(t)\right]=U \cap[x(t)]$ in $U \cap \mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}$ can be lifted to a continuous curve $x^{U}(t)=\left[x^{U}(t)\right] \times\{e\}$ in $(U \times G L(V)) \cap p^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}\right)$, where $e$ denotes the identity of $G L(V)$. Then the fibre coordinates of the continuous curve $a^{-1} x^{U}(t)$ are described by $\rho_{x(t)}(a)$. Recall that the transition between different charts is given by conjugating. Therefore, the conjugacy class $\left[\rho_{[x(t)]}(a)\right]$ is continuous on the curve $[x(t)]$.

Since $s$ is semi-simple and $\rho: A \rightarrow G L(V)$ is a group homomorphism, the Jordan form of $\rho_{x(t)}(a)$ is a discrete subset in the set of the conjugacy classes of $G L(V)$. Therefore, $\left[\rho_{[x(t)]}(a)\right]$ is locally constant.

We denote the collection of the points $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ with the common conjugacy class $[\rho]$ by $\mathcal{M}([\rho])$. Then $\mathcal{M}([\rho])$ is a union of connected components of $\mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}$. It follows that we have $\pi \mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}=\cup_{[\rho]} \pi \mathcal{M}([\rho])=$ $\sqcup_{[\rho]} \pi \mathcal{M}([\rho])$. Denote each stratum $\pi \mathcal{M}([\rho])$ by $\mathcal{M}_{0}([\rho])$.

Fix the conjugacy class $[\rho]$. Using the eigenvalues and the eigenspaces of $s$ and $\rho(s, \varepsilon)$, we can endow $W$ and $V$ with gradings. Assume $W$ and $V$ have eigenspace decompositions $W=\oplus_{i} W_{i}=\oplus_{i ; a \in \mathbb{Z}} W_{i}(a), V=\oplus_{i} V_{i}=$ $\oplus_{i ; a \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, where $W_{i}(a)$ and $V_{i}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ have eigenvalues $\varepsilon^{2(a n+i-1)}$, $\varepsilon^{2\left(\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right) n+i-1\right)}$ respectively. Associate to $[\rho(s, \varepsilon)]$ the bigraded vectors $w=$ $\left(\operatorname{dim} W_{i}(a)\right), v=\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{i}(a)\right)$. We can identify $\mathcal{M}([\rho])$ with the graded quiver

[^1]variety $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$. Similarly, the graded categorical quotient $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$ is identified with the subvariety $\mathcal{M}_{0}([\rho])$ of $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$.

Remark 4.3.2. If we take the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action in [Nak11], the representatives of the fixed points in the sub-varieties $\mathcal{M}([\rho])$ do not take the form of representations of the quiver in Figure 3. For example, let the quiver $Q$ be given by Figure 1. For simplicity, let us assume $w_{2}=0$. Then these representatives are representations of the quiver in Figure 4, where the black arrows arise from those of $Q^{o p}$, the green arrows arise from those of $Q$, and the orange arrows correspond to the linear maps $\alpha_{i}(a), \beta_{i}(a), i \in I, a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Such representations do not suit our purpose.


Figure 4. The vector space $\operatorname{Rep}^{\bullet}\left(Q^{o p}, v, w\right)$ for $Q$ acyclic and the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action of [Nak11]

The graded version of Proposition 4.2.1 implies that the graded quiver variety $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(V, W)$ is smooth.

Proposition 4.3.3 ([Nak94, Corollary 5.5] [Nak01a, Proposition 4.1.2]). $\mathcal{M}([\rho])$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{L}(V, W) \cap \mathcal{M}([\rho])$.

Proof. By using Slodowy's technique [Slo80, Section 4.3], Nakajima has shown that $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ in [Nak94], and he has also proved this proposition with a different $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-action in [Nak01a]. The technique remains valid here. We shall briefly recall it.

Equip the space $\operatorname{rep}\left(Q^{o p}, V, W\right)$ with the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action such that we have $\varepsilon\left(B_{h}, B_{\bar{h}}, \alpha, \beta\right)=\left(B_{h}, \varepsilon B_{\bar{h}}, \alpha, \varepsilon \beta\right)$ for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. It commutes with the $G L(V)$-action. Furthermore, the set of the stable points $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$ is invariant under this action. Therefore, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(V, W)=\left\{[x] \in \mathcal{M}(V, W) \mid \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon[x] \text { exists }\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the technique of Slodowy [Slo80, 4.3] implies that, via this $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action, $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ retracts to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$, such that $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is a strong deformation retract of this neighborhood.

Because our $A$-action commutes with this $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action, we can apply the above constructions to the $A$-fixed subsets $\operatorname{Rep}^{\bullet}\left(Q^{o p}, v, w\right), \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w), \mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)$. Then the proposition is verified.

Let us define $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}(v, w)=\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r e g}([\rho])=\pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{reg}}(V, W)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}([\rho])\right)$. Then the morphism $\pi$ is an isomorphism from $\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r}{ }^{\text {reg }}(v, w)\right)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { erg }}(v, w)$.

The maps in Theorem 4.2 .5 commute with the $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ action. Restrict the maps to the subvarieties $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w), \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right)$ of $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$, $\mathcal{M}\left(V^{\perp}, W^{\perp}\right)$ respectively. We obtain a transversal slice theorem for graded quiver varieties.

For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r e g}\left(v^{0}, w\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$, let $T$ denote the its tangent space in $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r e g}(v, w)$. Define $w^{\perp}$ and $v^{\perp}$ to be $w-C_{q} v^{0}$ and $v^{\perp}=v-v^{0}$ respectively.

Theorem 4.3.4 (Transversal slice). There exist neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{U}^{\perp}$ of $x$ in $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(V, W)$ and the origins in $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right)$ respectively, and biholomorphic maps $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}} \times \mathcal{U}^{\perp}, \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}} \times \pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}\right)$, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w) \supset \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) & \cong & \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}} \times \pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}\right) \subset \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{M}\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right) \\
& \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{1 \times \pi}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is biholomorphic to the fibre $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right)$ over the origin.

Proposition 4.3.5. The affine graded quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$ admits a stratification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqcup_{\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \geq(v, w)} \mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(\mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}\right)=\sqcup_{V^{\prime}} \pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{reg}}\left(V^{\prime}, W\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each pair of vector spaces $(V, W)$. Then we obtain (13) by restricting (14) to the subvariety $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)=\mathcal{M}_{0}([\rho])$ for the conjugacy class $[\rho]$ associated with $(v, w)$.

We claim that every point $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ of $\pi\left(\mathcal{M}(V, W)^{A}\right)$ is contained in the right hand side of (14). Using Proposition 4.2.3 and Remark 4.2.4, we see that $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ belongs to some $\mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)_{(\widehat{G})}$. If $\widehat{G}$ equals $\{e\}$, the claim is true. Otherwise, choose the representative ( $B, \alpha, \beta$ ) in Proposition 4.2.3 and consider the $B$ actions on all the summand $V^{s}, s>0$. If the actions are trivial, $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ lies in the regular stratum $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{reg}}\left(V^{0}, W\right)$, and the claim follows easily. If the action is nontrivial for some $V^{s}, s>0$, we obtain a point other than 0 in the categorical quotient $\mathcal{M}_{0}\left(V^{s}, 0\right)$. Because the $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-action is compatible with the decomposition of $V$ in Proposition 4.2.3, $\rho_{(B, \alpha, \beta)}$ stabilizes the decomposition. Let $v^{s}$ be the bigraded vector associated with the $\rho_{(B, \alpha, \beta)}$-action on $V^{s}$. As in Remark 4.2.4, $V^{s}$ is a representative of a nonzero point in $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}\left(v^{s}, 0\right)$. However in our setting $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}\left(v^{s}, 0\right)$ is the categorical quotient of the $v^{s}$-dimensional representations
of some acyclic quiver, which is always equal to $\{0\}$. This contradiction implies that the $B$-action on $V^{s}$ must be trivial.

Remark 4.3.6. When $Q$ is of Dynkin type, the ungraded version of the proposition holds, cf. [Nak01a, Proposition 2.6.3]. However, it does not necessarily hold when $Q$ is not of Dynkin type, cf. Example 10.10 in [Nak98]. In general, whether the proposition is true or not depends on the choice of the $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-action.

Let $m$ be any integer. Following [Nak01a, Section 2.8], we define a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ module structure $L(m)$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \cdot v=\varepsilon^{m} v \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, v \in \mathbb{C}$.
For a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-module M , we denote the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-module $L(m) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$ by $q^{m} M$.
As in $\left[2.9,[\right.$ Nak01a] $], \mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$ is a principal $G L(V)$-bundle over $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$. Therefore, for any $i \in I$, we can view the vector space $V_{i}$ as an associated vector bundle by using the natural $G L\left(V_{i}\right)$ action. Also, it is naturally a $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-equivariant vector bundle such that $G L(W)$ acts trivially. Similarly, we view $W_{i}$ as a $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-equivariant vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ by using the $G L\left(W_{i}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ action such that $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ acts trivially.

For each $k \in I$, we have the following complex $C_{k}^{*}=\left(\sigma_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)$ of $G L(W) \times$ $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-equivariant vector bundles:
$C_{k}^{*}: q^{-2 n} V_{k} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k}}\left(\left(\oplus_{j: i<k} q^{2(k-i-n)} V_{i}^{\oplus b_{i k}}\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{j: j>k} q^{2(j-k)} V_{j}^{\oplus b_{k j}}\right) \oplus q^{-n} W_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau_{k}} V_{k}$,
where $\sigma_{k}=\left(\oplus_{h \in H, s(h)=k} B_{h}\right) \oplus \beta_{k}, \tau_{k}=\sum_{h \in \Omega, t(h)=k} B_{h}-\sum_{\bar{h} \in \bar{\Omega}, t(\bar{h})=k} B_{\bar{h}}+\alpha_{k}$ are $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-equivariant morphisms. This complex is just the complex in [Nak98, 4.2] with a modification of $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-action. Let the middle term be the degree 0 component.
Proposition 4.3.7 ([Nak01a, Lemma 2.9.2, Lemma 2.9.4]). Fix a point $[B, \alpha, \beta] \in \mathcal{M}(V, W)$ and consider $C_{k}^{*}$ as a complex of vector spaces, $k \in I$.

1) The cohomology $H^{-1}\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)$ vanishes.
2) If the image $\pi([B, \alpha, \beta]) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$ is contained in some regular stratum $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\text {reg }}\left(V^{\prime}, W\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{0}(V, W)$, then $V^{\prime}$ equals $V$ if and only if $H^{1}\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)$ vanishes for all $k \in I$.

Fix a graded quiver variety $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)=\mathcal{M}([\rho])$. Let $\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)^{\bullet}$ be the restriction of $C_{k}^{*}$ to $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$. Then the complex $\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)^{\bullet}$ decomposes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)^{\bullet}=\oplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} C_{k}^{*}(b) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the complexes $C_{k}^{*}(b)=\left(\sigma_{k}(b), \tau_{k}(b)\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{-2 n} V_{k}(b) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k}(b)}\left(\left(\oplus_{j: i<k} q^{2(k-i-n)} V_{i}(b)^{\oplus b_{i k}}\right)\right. & \left.\oplus\left(\oplus_{j: j>k} q^{2(j-k)} V_{j}(b-1)^{\oplus b_{k j}}\right) \oplus q^{-n} W_{k}\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)  \tag{18}\\
& \xrightarrow{\tau_{k}(b)} V_{k}(b-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

The decomposition commutes with the $A$-action.
We have the following analogue of Proposition 4.3.7 in graded cases.

Proposition 4.3.8. 1) The cohomology $H^{-1}\left(\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)^{\bullet}\right)$ vanishes.
2) The image $\pi([B, \alpha, \beta]) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ is contained in the regular stratum $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet \bullet \mathrm{reg}}(v, w)$ if and only if $H^{1}\left(\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)^{\bullet}\right)$ vanishes, $\forall k \in I$.

Proof. Part 1) follows from Proposition 4.3 .7 by restriction. For part 2), we additionally use Proposition 4.3.5.
Theorem 4.3.9. $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ is connected.
Proof. The statement follows from the arguments in the proof of [Nak01a, Theorem 5.5.6]. Notice that, since our $G L(W) \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-action is different from that of [Nak01a], we don't need the condition $\left|b_{i j}\right| \leq 1,1 \leq i, j \leq n$, in [Nak01a, Theorem 5.5.6].

As a consequence, the smooth variety $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ is irreducible.
Proposition 4.3.10 ([Nak01a, Corollary 5.5.5]). On a nonempty open subset of $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} \tau_{k}(b)=\max \left(0,-\operatorname{rank}\left(C_{k}^{*}(b)\right)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3.11. $\left(\operatorname{rank}\left(C_{k}^{*}\right)^{\bullet}\right)_{k \in I}$ equals $w-C_{q} v$.
Remark 4.3.12. In their ongoing work [KS13], Bernhard Keller and Sarah Scherotzke use representation theory to give explicit constructions of the points in $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r}{ }^{\operatorname{reg}}(v, w)$, where $(v, w)$ is l-dominant. The special case where $Q$ is of Dynkin type has also been studied in [LP13].

Proposition 4.3.13. $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet r e g}(v, w)$ is non-empty if and only if $(v, w)$ is l-dominant.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.3.8 4.3.10 and Lemma 4.3.11.
Because the restriction of $\pi$ over $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}(v, w)$ is a local homeomorphism, $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}(v, w)$ can be calculated by Lemma 4.3.19.
Remark 4.3.14. For any given vector $w$, by induction on its width with respect to the lexicographical order, one can prove that there are only finitely many $v$ such that $(v, w)$ is $l$-dominant. Then Propositions 4.3.13 and 4.3.5 imply that $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(w)=\cup_{v} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ is finite-dimensional.
Corollary 4.3.15. For any l-dominant pairs $(v, w),\left(v^{0}, w\right), \mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r e g}\left(v^{0}, w\right)$ is contained in the closure of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}(v, w)}$ if and only if $\left(v^{0}, w\right)$ dominates $(v, w)$.
Proof. Proposition 4.3.13 implies that both regular strata are non-empty. By Theorem 4.3.9, $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$ is irreducible. Since $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r}{ }^{\bullet r}(v, w)$ is a nonempty open subset in $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$, its closure equals $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$.

If $\left(v^{0}, w\right) \geq(v, w), \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}\left(v^{0}, w\right)$ is naturally embedded into $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$. Thus the only if part holds.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}\left(v^{0}, w\right) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r e g}(v, w)}=\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)$, using proposition 4.3 .5 we obtain the if part.

Following [Nak04, (4.9)], for any given pairs of vectors $\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right)$ and $\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)$, we define the following complex of vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right) \times \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, v^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{21}} \mathrm{E}\left(v^{1}, v^{2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{L}\left(w^{1}, v^{2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{L}\left(v^{1}, w^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau^{21}} \mathrm{~L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, v^{2}[-1]\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the middle term has degree 0 , and we denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma^{21}(\xi)=\left(B^{2} \xi-\xi B^{1}\right) \oplus\left(-\xi \alpha^{1}\right) \oplus \beta^{2} \xi,  \tag{21}\\
\tau^{21}(C \oplus I \oplus J)=\epsilon B^{2} C+\epsilon C B^{1}+\alpha^{2} J+I \beta^{1} . \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

The complex is exact on the left and on the right, cf. the argument in [Nak98, 3.10].

Lemma 4.3.16. The quotient $\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21} / \operatorname{lm} \sigma^{21}$ is a vector bundle over $\mathcal{M} \cdot\left(V^{1}, W^{1}\right) \times$ $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(V^{2}, W^{2}\right)$.

Proof. By [CBJ05], any subvariety of a vector bundle over the smooth variety $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right) \times \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)$, whose intersection with every fibre is a vector subspace of constant dimension, is a sub-bundle. Therefore, $\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21}$ is a vector bundle. Let $\left(\sigma^{21}\right)^{*}$ be the transpose (or dual) of $\sigma^{21}$ restricted to $\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21}$. Its $\operatorname{kernel}\left(\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21} / \operatorname{lm} \sigma^{21}\right)^{*}$ is a sub-bundle of $\left(\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21}\right)^{*}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21} / \operatorname{Im} \sigma^{21}$ is again a vector bundle.
Denote the rank of the vector bundle $\operatorname{ker} \tau^{21} / \operatorname{Im} \sigma^{21}$ by $d\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)$.
Lemma 4.3.17. The $\operatorname{rank} d\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w^{1}-C_{q} v^{1}\right) \cdot v^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]+v^{1} \cdot w^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right] . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to calculate the rank of the complex. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)= & \sum_{k \in I, a \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{k}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i: i<k} b_{i k} v_{i}^{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\sum_{j: j>k} b_{k j} v_{j}^{1}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{k \in I, a \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(w_{k}^{1}(a) v_{k}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)+v_{k}^{1}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right) w_{k}^{2}(a-1)\right) \\
& -\sum_{k \in I, a \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{k}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(v_{k}^{1}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)+v_{k}^{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{k, a}\left(v_{k}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-C_{q} v^{1}\right)_{k}(a)+w_{k}^{1}(a) v_{k}^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right)+w_{k}^{2}(a) v_{k}^{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
= & -v^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q} v^{1}+w^{1} \cdot v^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]+w^{2} \cdot v^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \\
= & \text { RHS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.3.18. Using equation (6), we can easily rewrite the quadratic form (23) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)=v^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot\left(w^{2}-C_{q} v^{2}\right)+w^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot v^{2} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader is invited to compare this expression with [Nak04, (2.1)].
Let $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ be any point in $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)=\mathcal{M}([\rho]) \subset \mathcal{M}(V, W)$. The tangent space of $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ at $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ is the $A$-fixed part of the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ at the same point. The $A$-fixed part of complex (10) over this point is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}(v, v[-1]) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{E}(v, v) \oplus \mathrm{L}(v, w) \oplus \mathrm{L}(w, v) \xrightarrow{d \mu} \mathrm{~L}(v, v[1]) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing it with the complex (20), we have the following result.
Lemma 4.3.19. The dimension of $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ equals $d((v, w),(v, w))$.
Theorem 4.3.20 ([Nak01a, Theorem 7.4.1]). The odd homology of $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ vanishes. And we have a nondegenerate pairing between $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)$ and $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)$.

Proof. We refer the reader to [Nak01a, Section 7] for the proof. This theorem is just a consequence of [Nak01a, Theorem 7.4.1].

## 5. qt-CHARACTERS

In the study of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, Nakajima invented the $t$-analogues of the $q$-characters ( $q t$-characters for short) [Nak04], which are defined as the generating series of the Betti numbers of the graded quiver varieties. In this section, we generalize his constructions for our graded quiver varieties and introduce a new $t$-deformation.
5.1. Quadratic forms. For any pairs $(v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$, recall that we have the quadratic form $d\left((v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)$ given by (23), whose geometric meaning is explained by Lemma 4.3.19. Let us further define the quadratic forms $\widetilde{d^{\prime}}\left((v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right), \widetilde{d}_{W}^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{d}_{W}^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=-w\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime} \\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=-w\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime}+w^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w \\
\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left((v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)=d\left((v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)+\widetilde{d_{W}^{\prime}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Our definitions are slightly different from those of [Nak04]. It follows from our definitions that $\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left((0, w),\left(0, w^{\prime}\right)\right)=\widetilde{d_{W}^{\prime}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 5.1.1. The form $\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left((v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right)$ equals $\widetilde{d^{\prime}}\left(\left(0, w-C_{q} v\right),\left(0, w^{\prime}-\right.\right.$ $\left.C_{q} v^{\prime}\right)$ ).

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left((v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\left(w-C_{q} v\right) \cdot v^{\prime}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]+v \cdot w^{\prime}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]-w\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime} \\
& =\left(w-C_{q} v\right) \cdot v^{\prime}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]+v \cdot C_{q} C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]-w\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime} \\
& \stackrel{(6)}{=}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) \cdot v^{\prime}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]+C_{q} v \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]-w\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1} w^{\prime} \\
& =-\left(w-C_{q} v\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot C_{q}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}-C_{q} v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left(\left(0, w-C_{q} v\right),\left(0, w^{\prime}-C_{q} v^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $e_{k, a}$ to be the unit $I \times \mathbb{Z}$-graded vector concentrated at the degree $(k, a)$. Define $p_{i, j}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\bmod \mathbb{C} Q}\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)$, where $P_{i}, i \in I$, is the $i$-th projective right module of $\mathbb{C} Q$.

Lemma 5.1.2. 1) For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i, a}, e_{j, a}\right)=0 \\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i, a}, e_{j, a-1}\right)=-p_{j i} \\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i, a-1}+e_{i, a}, e_{j, a-1}+e_{j, a}\right)=p_{i j}-p_{j i} \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

2) Let $B_{\widetilde{Q}}$ be the $2 n \times 2 n$-matrix associated with $\widetilde{Q}_{1}^{z}$ the level 1 ice quiver with z-pattern (cf. Figure 2 for an example and [Qin12a] for the definition) whose principal part is $Q$. Define $\Lambda^{z}$ to be the $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix such that for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Lambda_{i, j}^{z}=-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i, 0}, e_{j, 0}\right)=0, \\
\Lambda^{z_{i+n, j}=-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i,-1}+e_{i, 0}, e_{j, 0}\right)=-p_{i j},} \\
\Lambda_{i, j+n}^{z_{i, n}}=-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i, 0}, e_{j,-1}+e_{j, 0}\right)=p_{j i}, \\
\Lambda^{z_{i+n, j+n}=-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(e_{i,-1}+e_{i, 0}, e_{j,-1}+e_{j, 0}\right)=-p_{i j}+p_{j i} .} \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

Then $\Lambda^{z}$ is the inverse of $-B_{\widetilde{Q}}$.

Proof. 1) The claim follows from straightforward computation.
2) Apply the results of 1 ) for $a=0$. The entries of $B_{\widetilde{Q}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i, j}=b_{i j}, \\
\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i+n, j}=-\delta_{i j}+\left[b_{j i}\right]_{+}, \\
\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i, j+n}=-\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{j+n, i}, \\
\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i+n, j+n}=0,
\end{array}
$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Here []$_{+}$is defined to be $\max \{, 0\}$.
We have, for any $1 \leq i, k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Lambda^{z} B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i, k} & =\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \Lambda_{i, j+n}^{z} \cdot\left(-B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{j+n, k} \\
& =\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{j i} \delta_{j k}-\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{j i}\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+} \\
& =p_{k i}-\sum_{j}\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+} p_{j i} \\
& =\delta_{i k},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Lambda^{z} B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i+n, k}= & \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n}\left(-\Lambda_{i+n, j}^{z}\right) \cdot\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{j, k}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n}\left(-\Lambda_{i+n, j+n}^{z}\right) \cdot\left(B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{j+n, k} \\
= & \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{i j} b_{j k}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n}\left(p_{i j}-p_{j i}\right)\left(-\delta_{j k}+\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j: j>k} p_{i j}\left(-b_{k j}\right)+\sum_{j: j<k} p_{i j} b_{j k}+\left(-p_{i k}+p_{k i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j}\left(p_{i j}-p_{j i}\right)\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+} \\
= & \left(\sum_{j: j>k} p_{i j}\left(-b_{k j}\right)+\sum_{j} p_{i j}\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+}\right)+\left(\sum_{j: j<k} p_{i j} b_{j k}-p_{i k}\right) \\
& +\left(p_{k i}-\sum_{j}\left[b_{k j}\right]_{+} p_{j i}\right) \\
= & 0-\delta_{i k}+\delta_{i k} \\
= & 0, \\
\left(-\Lambda^{z} B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i, k+n}= & \left(\Lambda^{z} B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{k+n, i}=0, \\
\left(-\Lambda^{z} B_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)_{i+n, k+n}= & \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} p_{i j}\left(\delta_{k j}-\left[b_{j k}\right]_{+}\right) \\
= & p_{i k}-\sum_{j} p_{i j}\left[b_{j k}\right]_{+} \\
= & \delta_{i k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5.2. Deformed Grothendieck ring. Recall that, by Proposition 4.3.5, we have the stratification

$$
\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(w)=\cup_{v} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(v, w)=\sqcup_{v:(v, w) \text { is } l \text {-dominant }} \mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet r e g}(v, w)
$$

Let $I C(v, w)$ denote the intersection cohomology sheaf associated with $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \mathrm{reg}}(v, w)$ for $l$-dominant pair $(v, w)$ and $1_{\mathcal{M} \bullet(v, w)}$ the perverse sheaf $\mathbb{C}\left[\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right]$. Applying the decomposition theorem [BBD82] to the sheaf $\pi(v, w)=\pi_{!}\left(1_{\mathcal{M} \bullet(v, w)}\right)$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.2.1. We have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(v, w)=\oplus_{v^{\prime}:\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \text { is } l \text {-dominant }, d \in \mathbb{Z}} I C\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)[d]^{\oplus a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{d}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have $a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{d}=a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{-d}, a_{v, v ; w}^{d}=\delta_{d 0}$ for $l$ dominant $(v, w)$, and $a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{d} \neq 0$ only if $(v, w) \leq\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)$.
Proof. By Proposition 4.3.5, Proposition 4.3.13, Corollary 4.3.15, the summands appearing in the decomposition of $\pi_{!}\left(1_{\mathcal{M} \bullet(v, w)}\right)$ are shifts of simple perverse sheaves generated by local systems over $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet r e g}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)$ for $l$ dominant pairs $\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)$.

The argument in the proof of [Nak01a, Theorem 14.3.2] implies the following results:
(1) $a_{v, v ; w}^{d}=\delta_{d 0}$ if $(v, w)$ is $l$-dominant, since $\pi$ is an isomorphism between $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet \text { reg }}(v, w) \subset \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet \text { •eg }}(v, w)$;
(2) Because we have Theorem 4.3.4, the transversal slice technique in the proof of [Nak01a, Theorem 14.3.2] is effective. It follows that the decomposition summands are shifts of simple perverse sheaves $I C\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)$ generated by trivial local systems.
Finally, since $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M} \bullet(v, w)}$ is invariant under the Verdier duality $D$, the sheaf $\pi(v, w)$ is invariant as well, and therefore we have $a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{d}=a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{-d}$.

We construct a positive Laurent polynomial from the coefficients $a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}$ :

$$
a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}(t)=\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}^{d} t^{d} \in \mathbb{N}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]
$$

Theorem 4.3.4 implies that we have $a_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}(t)=a_{v-v^{\prime}, 0 ; w-C_{q} v}(t)$.
For any given $w$, we have a finite set by Remark 4.3.14:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{w}=\{I C(v, w) \mid \text { the pair }(v, w) \text { is l-dominant, }\}
$$

As usual, we consider the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}_{c}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(w)\right)$ of constructible sheaves on $\mathcal{M}_{0} \bullet(w)$ and its full subcategory $\mathcal{Q}_{w}$ whose objects are the direct sums of $I C(v, w)[d], I C(v, w) \in \mathcal{P}_{w}, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{w}$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_{w}$. It is naturally a free $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-module whose module structure is given by $t(L)=(L[1]), L \in \mathcal{K}_{w}$. The Verdier duality $D$ induces an involution $\overline{()}$ on $\mathcal{K}_{w}$.

The set $\{I C(v, w)\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-basis of the Grothendieck group $\mathcal{K}_{w}$. Notice that the sheaves $I C(v, w)$ are defined only for the $l$-dominant pairs $(v, w)$. By Theorem 5.2.1, $\mathcal{K}_{w}$ has another $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-basis $\{\pi(v, w) \mid(v, w)$ is l-dominant $\}$. It follows that the group $\mathcal{K}^{*}{ }_{w}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]}\left(\mathcal{K}_{w}, \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]\right)$has two dual bases $\{L(v, w)\}$ and $\{\chi(v, w)\}$ respectively. Define the third basis

$$
\{M(v, w) \mid(v, w) \text { is } l \text {-dominant }\}
$$

whose elements are given by

$$
\langle M(v, w), L\rangle=\sum_{k} t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet \mathrm{reg}}(v, w)-k} \operatorname{dim} H^{k}\left(i_{x_{v, w}}^{!} L\right)
$$

where $x_{v, w}$ is any regular point in $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}{ }^{\bullet \text { reg }}(v, w), i_{x_{v, w}}$ the inclusion, $L \in \mathcal{Q}_{w}$, and $\langle$,$\rangle the natural pairing. Notice that, by Theorem 4.3.4, M(v, w)$ does not depend on the choice of $x_{v, w}$. Furthermore, we have

$$
\left\langle M\left(v^{\prime}, w\right), I C(v, w)\right\rangle=\left\langle M\left(v^{\prime \perp}, w^{\perp}\right), I C\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle
$$

The properties of perverse sheaves imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(v, w) \in M(v, w)+\sum_{\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)<(v, w)} t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{-1}\right] M\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\{M(v, w)\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-basis.
Following [Nak04, Section 8], let us denote the inverse basis transform by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(v, w)=L(v, w)+\sum_{\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)<(v, w)} Z_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}(t) L\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{v, v^{\prime} ; w}(t) \in t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{-1}\right]$.

As in [Nak11, 3.3], we define
$\mathcal{R}_{t}=\left\{f=\left(f_{w}\right) \in \prod_{w} \mathcal{K}^{*}{ }_{w} \mid\left\langle f_{w}, I C(v, w)\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{w^{\perp}}, I C\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle, \forall I C(v, w) \in \mathcal{P}_{w}\right\}$.
It is a free $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-module. Define $M(w)=\left(f_{w^{\prime}}\right) \in \prod_{w^{\prime}} \mathcal{K}^{*}{ }_{w^{\prime}}$ to be the element in $\mathcal{R}_{t}$ such that $f_{w}=M(0, w)$ and $L(w)=\left(f_{w^{\prime}}\right)$ the element in $\mathcal{R}_{t}$ such that $f_{w}=L(0, w)$. Then $\{M(w)\}$ and $\{L(w)\}$ are $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-bases of $\mathcal{R}_{t}$.

Next, we endow $\mathcal{R}_{t}$ with an associative multiplication $\otimes$. By [VV03] (cf. also [Nak11, Section 3.5]), there is a restriction functor for any given decomposition $w=w^{1}+w^{2}$ :

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Res}}_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}: \mathcal{D}_{c}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}(w)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{c}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}\left(w^{1}\right) \times \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bullet}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)
$$

In particular, $\widetilde{\operatorname{Res}}_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}(\pi(v, w))$ equals

$$
\oplus_{v^{1}+v^{2}=v} \pi\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right) \boxtimes \pi\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\left[d\left(\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right),\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right)\right)-d\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

The shifted functor $\operatorname{Res}_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}\left[-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)\right]$ induces a homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_{w}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{w^{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]} \mathcal{K}_{w^{2}}$, which we also denote by $\operatorname{Res}_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}\left[-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)\right]$. For any given $w$, define the homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}^{w}$ from $\mathcal{K}_{w}$ to $\oplus_{w^{1}+w^{2}=w}\left(\mathcal{K}_{w^{1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t \pm]}\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{K}_{w^{2}}\right)$ to be the direct sum $\sum_{w^{1}+w^{2}=w} \widetilde{\operatorname{Res}_{w^{1}, w^{2}}}\left[-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)\right]$. By Theorem 4.3.4, the homomorphisms $\operatorname{Res}^{w}$ induce a multiplication of $\mathcal{R}_{t}$, which we denote by $\otimes$.

Theorem 5.2.2. The structure constants of the basis $\{L(w)\}$ are positive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(w^{1}\right) \otimes L\left(w^{2}\right)=\sum_{w^{3}} b_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w^{3}}(t) L\left(w^{3}\right), b_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w^{3}}(t) \in \mathbb{N}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The statement follows from the argument of [VV03, Section 5.1 Lemma 1(b)].
5.3. Rings of characters. Define the ring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}=\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]\left[\left[W_{i}(a), V_{i}(b)\right]\right]_{i \in 1, a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in\left(\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t, W_{i}(a), V_{i}(b)$ are indeterminates. We denote its product by $\cdot$, and often omit this notation.

For any $(v, w)$, we let $m(v, w)$ denote the monomial

$$
m=m(v, w)=\prod_{i, a} W_{i}(a)^{w_{i}(a)} \prod_{i, b} V_{i}(b)^{v_{i}(b)} .
$$

Endow $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ with the twisted product $*$ and the bar involution $\overline{()}$ such that we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{t}=t^{-1}, \bar{m}=m, \\
m^{1} * m^{2}=t^{-\widetilde{d^{\tilde{d}}}\left(m^{1}, m^{2}\right)+\tilde{d}^{\prime}\left(m^{2}, m^{1}\right)} m^{1} m^{2}, \tag{40}
\end{array}
$$

where $m, m^{1}, m^{2}$ are any monomials, and we define

$$
\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left(m^{1}\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right), m^{2}\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)=\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

We follow the notation of Section 5.2. Moreover, as in Theorem 4.3.4, we write $\mathfrak{m}^{\bullet} x(v, w)$ for the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ of a point $x$ under $\pi: \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w) \rightarrow$
$\mathcal{M}_{0}{ }^{\bullet}(v, w)$. Notice that the homology of the fibre $H_{*}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\bullet}{ }_{x_{v, w}}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right), \mathbb{C}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)-*}\left(i_{x_{v, w}}^{!} \pi\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)\right)$, cf. [CG97, 8.5.4]. Therefore, Theorem 4.3.4 implies that

$$
\left\langle M(v, w), \pi\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle M\left(v^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right), \pi\left(v^{\prime}-v^{0}, w^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Definition 5.3.1 (t-analogue of $q$-characters). For any given $w$, define $\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}()$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-linear map from $\mathcal{K}^{*}{ }_{w}$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}()=\sum_{v}\langle, \pi(v, w)\rangle W^{w} V^{v} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}(L(v, w)) & =\sum_{v^{\prime}} a_{v^{\prime}, v ; w}(t) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{v^{\prime}-v} a_{v^{\prime}-v, 0 ; w}(t) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}}$ and $a_{v^{\prime}, v ; w}(t)$ are bar-invariant, $\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}()$ is bar-invariant as well. Similarly, we define $M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}(v, w)$ to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}(M(v, w)) & =\sum_{v^{\prime}, k} t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)-k} \operatorname{dim} H^{k}\left(i_{x_{v, w}}^{!} \pi\left(v^{\prime}, w\right), \mathbb{C}\right) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{v^{\prime}, k} t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)} t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)-k} \operatorname{dim} H^{k}\left(i_{x_{v, w}}^{!} \pi\left(v^{\prime}, w\right), \mathbb{C}\right) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{v^{\prime}, l} t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)} t^{l} \operatorname{dim} H_{l}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\bullet}{ }_{x_{v, w}}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right), \mathbb{C}\right) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}} \\
& \stackrel{4.3 .20}{=} \sum_{v^{\prime}} t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M} \bullet\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)} P_{t}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{\bullet}{ }_{x_{v, w}}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)\right) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{v^{\prime}-v} t^{-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\prime}-v, w-C_{q} v\right)} P_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{\prime}-v, w-C_{q} v\right)\right) W^{w} V^{v^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $P_{t}()$ is the twisted Poincaré polynomial $\sum_{k}(-t)^{k} \operatorname{dim} H_{k}()$.
Definition 5.3.2 (dual PBW elements). For any given $w$, define $M^{\hat{\mathcal{Y}}}(w)$ to be the generating series

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}(w)=\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}(M(0, w))=\sum_{v} P_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right) t^{-d((v, w),(v, w))} W^{w} V^{v} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the summation runs over all $v$ and $(v, w)$ is not necessarily l-dominant.

Remark 5.3.3. By Theorem 4.3.20, the parameter $-t$ in $P_{t}()$ in Definition 5.3.2 can be replaced by $t$.

Let $E(; x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be the virtual Hodge Polynomial, cf. e.g. [HV08]. Define $p_{t}()$ to be the virtual Poincaré polynomial (called the $E$-polynomial in [Qin12b] $)$, i.e. $p_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)=E\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w) ; t, t\right)$. It is additive with respect to $\alpha$-partitions in the sense of [Nak01a, Section 7.1], and multiplicative with respect to fibre products.

Proposition 5.3.4 ([Nak04, Lemma 5.2]). We have $p_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)=P_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)$ and also $p_{t}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)=P_{t}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)$.

Recall that, in $\mathcal{K}^{*}{ }_{w}$, we have $M(v, w)=\sum_{v^{\prime}} Z_{(v, w)\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)}(t) L\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)$, where the matrix of coefficients $\left(Z_{(v, w)\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)}\right)$ is an upper uni-triangular matrix with respect to the dominance order. Therefore, we can solve the following equation recursively

$$
\overline{M(v, w)}=\sum_{v^{\prime}:\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \leq(v, w)} u_{(v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)} M\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)
$$

where the coefficients $u_{(v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$.
Finally, we apply $\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}$ to the above equation and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}(v, w)}=\sum_{v^{\prime}:\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \leq(v, w)} u_{(v, w),\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)} M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}\left(v^{\prime}, w\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.3.5. In fact, we can compute the coefficient matrix $\left(u_{(v, w)\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)}\right)$ and the basis transition matrix $\left(Z_{(v, w)\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)}\right)$ from $P_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}(v, w)\right)$, cf. [Nak04, Section 8].

Proposition 5.3.6 ([Nak04, Proposition 6.2]). If for all $i, j \in I, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a>b$, either $\left(w^{1}\right)_{i}(a)$ or $\left(w^{2}\right)_{j}(b)$ vanishes, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\widehat{y}}\left(w^{2}\right) * M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}\left(w^{1}\right)=t^{\varepsilon^{\prime}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)} M^{\widehat{\mathcal{y}}}\left(w^{1}+w^{2}\right) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As in the proof of [Nak04, Proposition 6.2], for any monomials $m^{1}=$ $W^{w^{1}} V^{v^{1}}, m^{2}=W^{w^{2}} V^{v^{2}}$, we have a complex vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^{\bullet}}\left(v^{1}, w^{1} ; v^{2}, w^{2}\right)$ of rank given by $\operatorname{Ker} \tau^{21} / \operatorname{Im} \sigma^{21}=d\left(\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right),\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right)$ over each $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right) \times$ $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)$. The set of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^{\bullet}}\left(v^{1}, w^{1} ; v^{2}, w^{2}\right)$ for various $v^{1}, v^{2}$, gives a stratification of $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}+v^{2}, w^{1}+w^{2}\right), c f$. [Nak01b, 6.12]. Using the additivity and multiplicativity of $p_{t}()$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}\left(w^{2}\right) * M^{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}}\left(w^{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{v^{1}, v^{2}} p_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right)\right) \times p_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right) t^{-d\left(m^{1}, m^{1}\right)-d\left(m^{2}, m^{2}\right)} m^{2} * m^{1} \\
& =\sum_{v^{1}, v^{2}} p_{t}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{1}, w^{1}\right) \times \mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\left(v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right) t^{-d\left(m^{1}, m^{1}\right)-d\left(m^{2}, m^{2}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{t^{\prime}\left(m^{1}, m^{2}\right)-\widetilde{d^{\prime}}\left(m^{2}, m^{1}\right)}^{m^{1} m^{2}} \\
& =p_{v^{1}, v^{2}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}^{\bullet}}\left(v^{1}, w^{1} ; v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right) t^{-2 d\left(m^{1}, m^{2}\right)} t^{-d\left(m^{1}, m^{1}\right)-d\left(m^{2}, m^{2}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{v^{1}, v^{2}} p_{t}\left(\widetilde{\left.\left.\mathcal{Z}^{\prime}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)+d\left(m^{1}, m^{2}\right)-d\left(m^{2}, m^{1}\right) m^{1} m^{2} ; v^{2}, w^{2}\right)\right) t^{\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right)} t^{-d\left(m^{1} m^{2}, m^{1} m^{2}\right)} m^{1} m^{2}}\right. \\
& =\operatorname{RHS}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to study quantum cluster algebras, we also need the following ring of formal power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}=\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]\left[\left[Y_{i}(a)^{ \pm}\right]\right]_{i \in 1, a \in \mathbb{Z}}, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t, Y_{i}(a)$ are indeterminates. We often omit its usual product $\cdot$. We associate the monomial $m(v, w)=Y^{w-C_{q} v}$ to any given pair $(v, w)$. Then similar to the case of $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$, we endow $\mathcal{Y}$ with a bar involution ( $\overline{( })$ and a twisted product $*$ arising from the bilinear form $\widetilde{d^{\prime}}$.

Define the $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-linear map $\widehat{\Pi}$ from $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ such that for any $(v, w)$, it sends any monomial $m(v, w) \in \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ to the monomial $m(v, w)=Y^{w-C_{q} v} \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then it is a ring homomorphism. Define the $t$-analogue of the $q$-character map to be the $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-linear map $\chi_{q, t}()$ from $\mathcal{R}_{t}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{q, t}()=\sum_{v}\langle, \pi(v, w)\rangle Y^{w-C_{q} v} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.3.7. 1) $\left.\widehat{\chi_{q, t}( }\right)$ is injective.
2) $\chi_{q, t}()$ is an injective algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{R}_{t}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. The statements follow from the same arguments in[VV03] and [Nak04, Theorem 3.5].

## 6. Results on generic characters

From this section, let us restrict to level 1 case. Namely, we always assume $w \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$. Furthermore, we consider only those $v$ contained in $\mathbb{N}^{I \times\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\right\}} \simeq \mathbb{N}^{I}$. By putting these restrictions on $w, v$ appearing in $\widehat{\chi_{q, t}}$ and $\chi_{q, t}$, we arrive at the truncated character maps $\widehat{\chi_{q, t}} \leq 0$ and $\chi_{q, t} \leq 0$. Proposition 5.3.6 and Theorem 5.3.7 are still valid after truncations, cf. [HL10, Proposition 6.1].

By default, we consider $Q^{o p}$-representations, and the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ is of level 1 with $z$-pattern.

For any $m=\left(m_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^{I}$, we define $I^{m}=\oplus_{i \in I} I_{i}^{\oplus m_{i}}$ (resp. $P^{m}=$ $\oplus_{i \in I} P_{i}^{\oplus m_{i}}$ ) to be the corresponding injective (resp. projective) $Q^{o p}$-representation.

For any $w, w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$, we define the integer $r_{w w^{\prime}}$ to be given as in [KQ12, 3.2.1], which are determined by Fourier-Deligne-Sato transformations. Then we have

- $r_{w, w}=1$,
- for any given $w$, only finitely many of the $r_{w, w^{\prime}}$ are nonzero,
- the matrix $\left(r_{w, w^{\prime}}\right)$ is upper unitriangular with respect to the dominance order.
We define the almost simple pseudo-module $\mathbb{L}(w)$ to be the element in the Grothendieck group $\mathcal{R}_{t}$ such that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}(w)=\sum_{w^{\prime}} r_{w, w^{\prime}} L\left(w^{\prime}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the truncated $q t$-characters $\chi_{q, t^{\leq}} \leq 0(\mathbb{L}(w))$ by $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{Y}}(w)$.
The following is the main theorem of the first part of [KQ12].

Theorem 6.0.8 ([KQ12]). The truncated qt-characters of the almost simple pseudo-modules in $\mathcal{Y}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{Y}}(w)=\sum_{v} t^{-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{v}{ }^{\sigma} W\right)} P_{t}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{v}{ }^{\sigma} W\right) Y^{w-C_{q} v} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Gr}_{v}{ }^{\sigma} W$ is the quiver Grassmannian determined by $Q$, $v$, w.
Definition 6.0.9. For any given $w \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$, its pure coefficient part ${ }^{f} w$ is the maximal element in $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{N}}\left\{e_{i,-1}+e_{i, 0} \mid i \in I\right\}$ such that $w-{ }^{f} w \in$ $\mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$. We denote the difference $w-{ }^{f} w$ by ${ }^{\phi} w$ and call it the coefficientfree part of $w$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set $\left\{\left.w\right|^{f} w=0\right\}$.

The truncated characters of almost simple pseudo-modules, which are also called the generic characters, have the following properties.

Proposition 6.0.10 ([KQ12]). 1) If $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{Y}}(w)$ is a quantum cluster monomial, then we have $\mathbb{L}(w)=L(w)$.
2) In the notation of Definition 6.0.9, we have a factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{Y}}(w)=\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{Y}}\left({ }^{\phi} w\right) \cdot \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{Y}}\left({ }^{f} w\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7. From characters to the quantum torus

In this section, we compare the ring of formal power series $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ in which $q t$ characters live with the quantum tori $D(\mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{T}$ in which quantum cluster algebras live. Although our construction fails to preserve some properties of the products of $q t$-characters, we find that the failures are bearable and controllable. This observation will play a crucial role in later sections.
7.1. Dual PBW basis elements. As in Section 2.2, let $\widetilde{Q}$ be an ice quiver whose principal part $Q$ is acyclic. We construct the cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{W})}$ (denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}$ for simplicity), whose shift functor will be denoted by [1].

Notice that we have the indecomposable objects $M_{i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq m, t \in$ $\mathbb{T}_{n}$, in the cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}$, corresponding to the $x$-variables $x_{i}(t)$, cf. [Pla11b]. We denote the object $M_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)$ by $T_{i}$. By applying a sequence of mutations to $t_{0}$ corresponding to a sink sequence of the principal part $Q$, we obtain some vertex $\Sigma t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}_{n}$ together with the new objects $M_{i}\left(\Sigma t_{0}\right), 1 \leq i \leq$ $n$, which we shall denote by $I_{i}$. For any $i \in I$, the object $I_{i}$ is characterized by the property that the right $\mathbb{C} \widetilde{Q}$-module $\operatorname{Ext}{\underset{\mathcal{C}}{\widetilde{Q}}}_{1}\left(\oplus_{1 \leq j \leq m} T_{j}, I_{i}\right)$ is supported at the vertices of $Q$ and it can be viewed as the $i$-th injective right module of $\mathbb{C} Q$ (which is also denoted by $I_{i}$ ).

Following the convention of section 3 , for any $M$ in the cluster category which appears in a triangle

$$
T^{m} \rightarrow T^{m^{\prime}} \rightarrow M \rightarrow T^{m}[1]
$$

for some for some $m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{m}$, its index ind $M$ is $m^{\prime}-m \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}, c f$. [Pla11b].
By abuse of notation, we use ind ( ) to denote the map from $\mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{m-n}$ which sends $w=\left(w_{i, a}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$ to ind $\left(\oplus_{i} I_{i}^{w_{i,-1}}\right)+$ $\operatorname{ind}\left(\oplus_{i} T_{i}^{w_{i, 0}}\right)$.

When the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ is of level 1 with $z$-pattern in the sense of [KQ12], the index map $\operatorname{ind}()$ is denoted by $\operatorname{ind}^{z}()$ and the compatible pair by
$\left(\widetilde{B}^{z}, \Lambda^{z}\right)$. In this section, we shall see how the variation of the coefficients attached to the principal part $Q$ affects our computation.

Lemma 7.1.1. We have, for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(e_{k, 0}\right)=e_{k}, \\
\operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(e_{k,-1}\right)=e_{k+n}-e_{k} . \tag{51}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The first equation is clear.
In order to calculate the indices, we can choose the potential $\widetilde{W}$ to be the sum of the 3 -cycles in the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ (in the sense of [DWZ08], $\widetilde{W}$ is a "nondegenerate" potential for the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$, cf. [BIRS11]).

The index of $I_{i}$ can be checked directly by applying the mutation rules to the $g$-vectors along the mutation sequence from $t_{0}$ to $\Sigma t_{0}$. Alternatively, we give a proof using cluster categories as the follows.

Consider a triangle

$$
T_{k} \xrightarrow{f} T_{k+n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow T_{k}[1]
$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}$, where $f$ is non-zero.
We first show that $M$ satisfies $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}^{1}\left(M, T_{j+n}\right)=0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{Q}}}\left(, T_{j+n}\right)$ to the above triangle, we obtain a long exact sequence
$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}\left(T_{k+n}, T_{j+n}\right) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}\left(T_{k}, T_{j+n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}\left(M, T_{j+n}[1]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}\left(T_{k+n}, T_{j+n}[1]\right)$.

The last term is zero since $\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n} T_{i}$ is rigid. With our choice of the potential, it is easy to check that the map $f^{*}$ is surjective (in other words, $f$ is a left approximation of $T_{k}$ in $\operatorname{add}\left(T_{n+i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ in the sense of [IY08]). Therefore, we have checked $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}^{1}\left(M, T_{j+n}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}^{1}\left(T_{j+n}, M\right)=0$.

The Calabi-Yau reduction (cf. [IY08]) from $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}$ to the cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ of $Q$ sends $M$ to the object with index $-e_{k}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{Q}}}^{1}\left(\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n} T_{i}, M\right)$ is the $i$-th injective right module of $\mathbb{C} Q$ and $M$ is isomorphic to the object $I_{i}=M_{i}\left(\Sigma t_{0}\right)$. So we have ind ${ }^{z}\left(e_{k,-1}\right)=\operatorname{ind} M=e_{k+n}-e_{k}$.

Let $\mathrm{pr}_{n}$ denote the projection of $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$ (respectively $\mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ ) to the first $n$ coordinates. Then $\mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind is independent of the choice of the coefficient $\widetilde{Q}-Q$.

Lemma 7.1.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(w-C_{q} v\right)=\operatorname{ind}^{z}(w)+\widetilde{B}^{z} v . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to check the case of $w=0$ and $v=e_{k,-\frac{1}{2}}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { ind }^{z}\left(-C_{q} v\right)= & -\operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(e_{k,-1}+e_{k, 0}\right)+\sum_{i: 1 \leq i<k} b_{i k} \operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(e_{i, 0}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j: k<j \leq n} b_{k j} \text { ind }^{z}\left(e_{j,-1}\right) \\
= & -e_{k+n}+\sum_{1 \leq i<k} b_{i k} e_{i}+\sum_{k<j \leq n} b_{k j}\left(e_{j+n}-e_{j}\right) \\
= & b_{k+n, k} e_{k+n}+\sum_{1 \leq i<k} b_{i k} e_{i}+\sum_{k<j \leq n} b_{j+n, k} e_{j+n}+\sum_{k<j \leq n} b_{j k} e_{j} \\
= & \widetilde{B}^{z} e_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta$ be a strictly positive integer. Denote the product of $\delta$ with the rank $m$ identity matrix $I_{m}$ by $D$. Let $(\widetilde{B}, \Lambda)$ be a compatible pair such that $\Lambda(-\widetilde{B})=\left[\begin{array}{l}D \\ 0\end{array}\right]$.

Define $N=\max \{2 n, m\}$. Extend $\Lambda$ into an $N \times N$ matrix by putting zero entries in the extra rows and columns indexed by either $\{m+1, \ldots, N\}$ or $\{n+1, \ldots, N\}$. We extend the $m \times n$ matrix $\widetilde{B}$ and the $2 n \times n$ matrix $\widetilde{B}^{z}$ to $N \times N$ matrices similarly. Notice that $\Lambda\left(e_{i}, \widetilde{B} v\right)=0$, for any $n+1 \leq i \leq N$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$.

Definition 7.1.3. The double quantum torus is the Laurent polynomial ring

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\mathcal{T})=\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[x_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{N}^{ \pm}, y_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, y_{n}^{ \pm}\right] \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the twisted product $*$ such that for any $g^{1}, g^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}, v^{1}, v^{2} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we have

$$
x^{g^{1}} y^{v^{1}} * x^{g^{2}} y^{v^{2}}=q^{\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(g^{1}+\widetilde{B} v^{1}, g^{2}+\widetilde{B} v^{2}\right)} x^{g^{1}+g^{2}} y^{v^{1}+v^{2}}
$$

It has the bar involution $\overline{()}$ given by $\overline{\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{g} y^{v}\right)}=q^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{g} y^{v}$.
Define the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-subalgebra of $D(\mathcal{T})(+, *)$ generated by $x_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{N}^{ \pm}$. Recall that the coefficient ring is $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]=$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[x_{n+1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m}^{ \pm}\right]$. Let $\mathbb{Z} P$ denote its semi-classical limit under the specialization $q^{\frac{1}{2}} \mapsto 1$.

Next, we construct a map from $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $D(\mathcal{T})$.
Definition 7.1.4 (Correspondence map). The $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map cor from $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $D(\mathcal{T})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cor}\left(t^{\lambda} W^{w} V^{v}\right)=q^{\frac{\delta}{2} \lambda} x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} y^{v} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w, v$, and integer $\lambda$.
Notice that cor commutes with the bar involutions. Although cor does not commute with twisted products $*$, we can measure the failure as below.

Lemma 7.1.5 (Failure 1). We have, for any monomials $m^{i}=W^{w^{i}} V^{v^{i}}$, $i=1$, 2,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{cor}\left(W^{w^{1}} V^{v^{1}} * W^{w^{2}} V^{v^{2}}\right)= & q^{\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(w^{1}\right), \operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{1}\right), \operatorname{ind}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)}  \tag{55}\\
& \operatorname{cor}\left(W^{w^{1}} V^{v^{1}}\right) * \operatorname{cor}\left(W^{w^{2}} V^{v^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the $q$-power does not depend on $v^{1}, v^{2}$.
Proof. Notice that we always have $\Lambda$ (ind $w, \widetilde{B} v)=-\delta \mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind $w \cdot v$, and $\Lambda\left(\widetilde{B} v^{1}, \widetilde{B} v^{2}\right)=\delta\left\langle v^{1}, v^{2}\right\rangle-\delta\left\langle v^{2}, v^{1}\right\rangle, c f$. [Qin12b, 5.2.1]. Using Lemma 5.1.2 and 7.1.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS}= & \operatorname{cor}\left(t^{-\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left(m^{1}, m^{2}\right)+\widetilde{d}^{\prime}\left(m^{2}, m^{1}\right)} m^{1} m^{2}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{cor}\left(t^{-\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(w^{1}-C_{q} v^{1}, w^{2}-C_{q} v^{2}\right)} m^{1} m^{2}\right) \\
= & q^{\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\text { ind }^{z}\left(w^{1}-C_{q} v^{1}\right), \text { ind }^{z}\left(w^{2}-C_{q} v^{2}\right)\right)} X^{\text {ind } w^{1}} Y^{v^{1}} X^{\text {ind }} w^{2} Y^{v^{2}} \\
= & q^{\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\text { ind }^{z} w^{1}+\widetilde{B^{z}} v_{1}, \text { ind }^{z} w^{2}+\widetilde{B}^{z} v^{2}\right)} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\text { ind } w^{1}+\widetilde{B} v^{1}, \text { ind } w^{2}+\widetilde{B} v^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad X^{\text {ind } w^{1}} Y^{v^{1}} * X^{\text {ind } w^{2}} Y^{v^{2}} \\
= & q^{\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\text { ind }^{z} w^{1}, \text { ind }^{z} w^{2}\right)} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\text { ind } w^{1}, \text { ind } w^{2}\right)} X^{\text {ind } w^{1}} Y^{v^{1}} * X^{\text {ind } w^{2}} Y^{v^{2}} \\
= & \text { RHS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 7.1.6. We define the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-linear map $\widehat{\Pi}$ from $D(\mathcal{T})$ to $\mathcal{T}$ such that it sends $x^{g} y^{v}$ to $x^{g+B v}$.

Notice that $\widehat{\Pi}$ is an algebra homomorphism with respect to both the usual products and the twisted products.

Let us define

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M^{D(\mathcal{T})}(v, w)=\operatorname{cor} \widehat{\chi q, t} \leq 0 \\
M^{\mathcal{T}}(v, w)=\widehat{\Pi} M^{D(\mathcal{T})}(v, w) \tag{57}
\end{array}
$$

When $v=0$, we also denote them by $M^{D(\mathcal{T})}(w)$ and $M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$. Explicitly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{D(\mathcal{T})}(w) & =\sum_{v} P_{q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}(\mathcal{L}(v, w)) q^{-\frac{\delta}{2} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}(v, w)} x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} y^{v} \\
& =\sum_{v} \operatorname{cor}(\langle M(0, w), \pi(v, w)\rangle) x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} y^{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that we have a similar map from $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, which is also denoted by $\widehat{\Pi}$. In general, we do not have $\widehat{\Pi} \widehat{\chi_{q, t}}=\chi_{q, t} \widehat{\Pi}$. This failure is measured by the following result.

Lemma 7.1.7 (Failure 2). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\mathcal{T}}(v, w)=M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\mathcal{T}}(v, w) & =\sum_{v^{\prime}: v^{\prime}-v \in \mathbb{N}^{I}} \widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor}\left(\left\langle M(v, w), \pi\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)\right\rangle\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} x^{\widetilde{B} v^{\prime}} . \\
= & \sum_{v^{\prime}-v} \widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor}\left(\left\langle M\left(0, w-C_{q} v\right), \pi\left(v^{\prime}-v, w\right)\right\rangle\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(w-C_{q} v\right)} x^{\widetilde{B}\left(v^{\prime}-v\right)} \\
& x^{\widetilde{B} v+\operatorname{ind}(w)-\operatorname{ind}\left(w-C_{q} v\right)} . \\
= & M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\operatorname{ind} C_{q} v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the correction factor $x^{\widetilde{B} v+i n d ~} C_{q} v$ is contained in $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$.
Proposition 7.1.8. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)}=\sum_{(v, w) \leq(0, w)} u_{(0, w),(v, w)} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If we apply $\widehat{\Pi}$ cor to (43), the statement follows from (58).
Proposition 7.1.9. Fix $w^{1}$ and $w^{2}$. If for all $i, j \in I$ and $a>b \in \mathbb{Z}$, either $\left(w^{1}\right)_{i}(a)$ or $\left(w^{2}\right)_{j}(b)$ vanishes, then the multiplicative property holds:

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}\right)=q^{\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{2}\right), \text { ind }\left(w^{1}\right)\right)-\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\operatorname{ind} d^{z}\left(w^{2}\right), \text { ind }{ }^{z}\left(w^{1}\right)\right)} \\
q^{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{E}}}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}\right) M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}+w^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Using (55), (58), and Proposition 5.3.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}\right)= & \widehat{\Pi}(\operatorname{cor} \widehat{\chi q, t} \leq 0 \\
= & q^{\left.\left.\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{2}\right), \operatorname{ind}\left(w^{1}\right)\right)-\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(w^{2}\right)\right) * \operatorname{cor} \operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(w^{2}\right), \operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(w^{1}\right)\right)} \leq 0 \\
& \widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor}\left(\widehat{\chi_{q, t}} \leq 0\left(M\left(w^{2}\right)\right) * \widehat{\chi_{q, t}} \leq 0\right. \\
= & \text { RHS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$ denote the vector space spanned by the standard basis elements $M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ over $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$. The following is the main result of this section.

Proposition 7.1.10. The vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$ is closed under the involution $\overline{()}$ and the twisted multiplication *.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Proposition 7.1.8. It remains to verify the second one.

Recall that $w_{i}(a)$ is the $(i, a)$-th component of $w$, and $w(a)=\oplus_{i} w_{i}(a)$, where $i \in I, a \in\{-1,0\}$. We have $M\left(w_{i}(a)\right)=L\left(w_{i}(a)\right)$. Consequently, $M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w_{i}(a)\right)=\widehat{\Pi} \mathrm{cor} \widehat{q_{q, t}} \leq 0\left(L\left(w_{i}(a)\right)\right)$ is bar-invariant. Applying Proposition 7.1.9, we obtain that $M^{\mathcal{T}}(w(a))$ is bar-invariant.

Use Propositions 7.1.8 and 7.1.9. For any two elements $M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}\right), M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}\right)$, up to specified invertible elements in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right], M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(-1)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(0)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(-1)\right) \\
= & M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)\right) * \overline{\overline{M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(0)\right)} * \overline{M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(-1)\right)}} * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(-1)\right) \\
= & M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)\right) * \overline{M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(0)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(-1)\right)} * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(-1)\right) \\
= & M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)\right) * \overline{M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(0)+w^{1}(-1)\right)} * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(-1)\right) \\
= & M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)\right) *\left(\sum_{w^{\prime}} u_{w, w^{\prime}} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(-1)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we write $w=w^{2}(0)+w^{1}(-1), w^{\prime}=w-C_{q} v, u_{w, w^{\prime}}=u_{(0, w),(v, w)}$. The monomial $x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v}$ is contained in $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$ and quasi-commutes with the other factors. Therefore, up to specified invertible elements in $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$, the above result becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w^{\prime}} u_{w, w^{\prime}} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\operatorname{ind} C_{q} v} M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{\prime}(0)\right) * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{\prime}(-1)\right) \\
& * M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{2}(-1)\right) \\
= & \sum_{w^{\prime}} u_{w, w^{\prime}} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} M^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{1}(0)+w^{\prime}(0)+w^{\prime}(-1)+w^{2}(-1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 7.1.11. (1) The above proof shows that the twisted product * of the standard basis elements is determined by Proposition 5.3.6 and 7.1.8.
(2) Notice that the map $\widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor} \widehat{\chi_{q, t}} \leq 0$ is algebraic when $\widetilde{Q}$ is of z-pattern. So Theorem 9.1.2 below provides another proof to Proposition 7.1.10.
7.2. Dual canonical basis elements. For any l-dominant pair $(v, w)$, define $L^{\mathcal{T}}(v, w)=\widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor} \widehat{\chi_{q, t}} \leq 0(L(v, w))$ and denote it by $L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ when $v=0$. Then $L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ is given by

$$
L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=\sum_{v} a_{v, 0 ; w}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)+\widetilde{B} v}
$$

where the Laurent polynomials $a_{v, 0 ; w}(t)=\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{v, 0 ; w}^{d} t^{d}$ are given by 33. We shall see all the quantum cluster monomials essentially take this form.

Lemma 7.2.1. We have

$$
L^{\mathcal{T}}(v, w)=L^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v}
$$

Proof. 1) Similar to (58), we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\mathcal{T}}(v, w) & =\sum_{v^{\prime}} \widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor}\left(\left\langle L(v, w), \pi\left(v^{\prime}, w\right)\right\rangle\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} x^{\widetilde{B} v^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{v^{\prime}-v} \widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor}\left(\left\langle L\left(0, w-C_{q} v\right), \pi\left(v^{\prime}-v, w-C_{q} v\right)\right\rangle\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(w-C_{q} v\right)} x^{\widetilde{B}\left(v^{\prime}-v\right)} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\operatorname{ind}(w)-\operatorname{ind}\left(w-C_{q} v\right)} \\
& =L^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We already know that $M(0, w)=\sum_{v} Z_{(0, w)(v, w)} L(v, w)$. If we apply $\widehat{\Pi c}$ cor $\widehat{\chi_{q, t}} \leq 0$ to it, we obtain

$$
M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=\sum_{v} Z_{(0, w)(v, w)} L^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v}
$$

7.3. Generic basis elements. The generic basis elements $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ are defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=\sum_{v:(v, w) \text { is } l \text {-dominant }} r_{w, w^{\prime}} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} L^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{\prime}=w-C_{q} v$, and the integer $r_{w, w^{\prime}}$ is given in [KQ12, 3.2.1]. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w) & =\sum_{v} r_{w, w-C_{q} v} L^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w-C_{q} v\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\mathrm{ind} C_{q} v} \\
& =\sum_{v, v^{\prime}} r_{w, w-C_{q} v} a_{v^{\prime}, 0 ; w-C_{q} v}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(w-C_{q} v\right)+\widetilde{B} v^{\prime}} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\mathrm{ind} C_{q} v} \\
& =\sum_{v, v^{\prime}} r_{w, w-C_{q} v} a_{v^{\prime}+v, v ; w}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} x^{\widetilde{B}\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{v+v^{\prime}=v^{\prime \prime}} b_{v^{\prime \prime}}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\operatorname{ind}(w)} x^{\widetilde{B} v^{\prime \prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficient $b_{v^{\prime \prime}}=\sum_{(v, w) \text { is } l \text {-dominant }} r_{w, w-C_{q} v} \cdot a_{v^{\prime \prime}, v ; w}$.
In particular, $b_{v^{\prime \prime}}$ is independent of the frozen pattern.

## 8. Bases of acyclic quantum cluster algebras

We keep the assumptions on the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ and the vectors $v, w$ as in Section 7.
8.1. Generic basis. The results in Section 6 and 8.1 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1.1. 1) The generic basis elements have the following expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=\sum_{v} P_{q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{v}\left({ }^{\sigma} W\right)\right) q^{-\frac{\delta}{2} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Gr}_{v}\left({ }^{\sigma} W\right)} x^{\mathrm{ind} w+\widetilde{B} v} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) We have a factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{\phi} w\right) \cdot \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{f} w\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) If $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ becomes a quantum cluster monomial when we choose $\widetilde{Q}$ to be of z-pattern, then we have $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$.

For any given $w \in \mathcal{J}$, we choose a generic map $f: I^{w(-1)} \rightarrow I^{w(0)}$ and define the object $O(w)$ to be $\operatorname{Cone}(f)[-1]$ in the cluster category. So we have the following triangle

$$
O(w) \rightarrow I^{w(-1)} \xrightarrow{f} I^{w(0)} \rightarrow O(w)[1] .
$$

We define the associated generic quantum cluster characters to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w) & =\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w) \cdot x^{\text {ind } O(w)-\text { ind } w} \\
& =\sum_{v} P_{q^{\frac{1}{2} \delta}}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{v}\left({ }^{\sigma} W\right)\right) q^{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Gr}_{v}\left({ }^{\sigma} W\right)} x^{\text {ind } O(w)+\widetilde{B} v} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 8.1.2. We can denote $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w)$ by $X_{O(w)}$. This definition naturally generalizes the quantum cluster character formula in [Qin12b, Definition 1.2.1] to generic objects.

It is not clear if one can extend this quantum character to arbitrary objects of the presentable cluster category in a reasonable way, for example, such that its image is still contained in $\mathcal{A}^{q}$. Some results for Dynkin quivers are discussed in [Din11].

Proposition 8.1.3. For any $w \in \mathcal{J}$, we have $\operatorname{pr}_{n}$ ind $O(w)=\operatorname{pr}_{n}$ ind $w$. When the ice quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ is the level 1 ice quiver with z-pattern, we have ind $O(w)=$ ind $w$.

Proof. Because $\mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind $O(w)$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind $w$ do not depend on the coefficients $\widetilde{Q}-Q$, it suffices to prove the second statement.

First, the index ind $w$ is linear in $w$.
Second, the index ind $O(w)$ is linear with respects to the components in the canonical decomposition of the generic objects $O(w)$, which are either generic modules or the object $P_{i}[1], i \in I$.

Therefore, it suffices to study the indices of modules. Let $O(w)=M$ be any $Q^{o p}$-module with the minimal injective resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} I^{w(-1)} \xrightarrow{g} I^{w(0)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B$ denote the Jacobi algebra of $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{W})$. View the resolution as a short exact sequence in the category of $B^{o p}$-modules. Denote the simple $B^{o p_{-}}$ modules by $S_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 2 n$. If we apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j},\right)$ to the above short exact sequence, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ldots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, M\right) \xrightarrow{f_{0}} \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, I^{w(-1)}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, I^{w(0)}\right) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{w_{0}} \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{j}, M\right) \xrightarrow{g_{1}} \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{j}, I^{w(-1)}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{j}, I^{w(0)}\right) \rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(\operatorname{ind} M)_{j}=-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, M\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{j}, M\right), c f$. [Pal08].

Because $M$ is supported on the principal part $Q$, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, M\right)$ vanishes unless $1 \leq j \leq n$. The fact that (64) is the minimal injective resolution of $Q^{o p}$-modules implies $f_{0}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $w_{0}$ is injective.

When the quiver is of $z$-pattern, we have ind $I_{i}=-e_{i}+e_{i+n}$, cf. Lemma 7.1.1. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{j}, I^{w(-1)}\right)$ equals $w_{j-n}(-1)$ if $j>n$ and vanishes elsewhere. Furthermore, the fact that (64) is the minimal injective resolution of the $Q^{o p}$-module $M$ implies that for any $i \in I$, either $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{i+n}, I^{w(-1)}\right)=w_{i}(-1)$ or $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{i+n}, I^{w(0)}\right)=$ $w_{i}(0)$ is zero. Therefore, $g_{1}$ is surjective.

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{ind} M)_{j}= & -\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, I^{w(-1)}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }\left(S_{j}, I^{w(0)}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{B^{o p}-\bmod }^{1}\left(S_{j}, I^{w(0)}\right) \\
= & \begin{cases}-w_{j}(-1)+w_{j}(0) & \text { if } j \leq n \\
w_{j}(0)+w_{j-n}(-1) & \text { if } j>n,\end{cases} \\
= & (\operatorname{ind} w)_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark 8.1.4 (Failure 3). For general $\widetilde{Q}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } w \neq \operatorname{ind} O(w) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 8.1.5. The elements in the coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$ quasi-commute with $M^{\mathcal{T}}(w), L^{\mathcal{T}}(w), \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$.
Proof. It follows from the fact that $x_{i}, n<i \leq N$, commutes with $x^{\widetilde{B} v}$ for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$.
Proposition 8.1.6. The set $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-basis of the vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$.
Proof. Notice that the matrix $\left(r_{w, w^{\prime}}\right)$ is upper unitriangular. Furthermore, each element $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ divides into the composition of the coefficient free part $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{\phi} w\right)$ and the pure coefficient part $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{f} w\right) \in \mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{s u b}^{q}$ is spanned by $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ over $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$.

Take any vectors $w, w^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{J}$, such that $w \neq w^{\prime}$. By proposition 8.1.3, the vector $\mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind $^{z} w$ is the index of a generic object in the cluster category of $Q$. Furthermore, we have $\mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind $w \neq \mathrm{pr}_{n}$ ind $w^{\prime}$, $c f$. the proof of Proposition 8.1.3 or [Pla11c]. Because ind $w$ is the leading term of $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ and $\widetilde{B}$ is of full rank, the set $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ is $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-linearly independent.
Proposition 8.1.7. $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$ equals $\mathcal{A}^{q}$.
Proof. $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ because the latter contains $\left\{M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)\right\}$. If we take any two elements $\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{\phi} w^{1}\right) * f_{1}, \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{\phi} w^{2}\right) * f_{2}$, where $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$, it follows from Proposition 7.1.10 that their twisted product still belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{\text {sub }}^{q}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ with respect to the twisted product. But it contains all the quantum cluster variables. Therefore, the two algebras must agree.
Theorem 8.1.8. $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-basis of $\mathcal{A}^{q}$. It is called the generic basis. Furthermore, it contains all the quantum cluster monomials.
Proof. The statement follows from Proposition 8.1.6 and 8.1.7.
8.2. Dual canonical basis and dual PBW basis. Similar to the treatment of generic quantum cluster characters, for each $w \in \mathcal{J}$, we normalize the quantum torus elements $M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ and $L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)$ by defining

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{\mathcal{A}}(w) & =M^{\mathcal{T}}(w) \cdot x^{\text {ind } O(w)-\operatorname{ind} w}  \tag{66}\\
L^{\mathcal{A}}(w) & =L^{\mathcal{T}}(w) \cdot x^{\text {ind } O(w)-\text { ind } w} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 8.2.1. The sets $\left\{M^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\},\left\{L^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ are $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$ bases of $\mathcal{A}^{q}$. They are called the dual PBW basis and the dual canonical basis of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ respectively. Furthermore, all the quantum cluster monomials are contained in $\left\{L^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$.
Proof. It suffices to show that $\left\{M^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\},\left\{L^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ are $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$ bases of $\mathcal{A}^{q}$. Applying the truncated character map to (35), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)+\sum_{(v, w) \text { is } l \text {-dominant }} Z_{w, w^{\prime}}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} L^{\mathcal{T}}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{\prime}=w-C_{q} v$ and $Z_{w, w^{\prime}}(t)=Z_{0, v ; w}(t) \in t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{-1}\right]$. Denote the matrix of the coefficients $Z_{w, w^{\prime}}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v}$ by $\widetilde{Z}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)$.

Similarly, denote the matrix of the coefficients $r_{w, w^{\prime}} x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v}$ in (60) by $R$ and its inverse by $R^{-1}$.

Define the $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$ matrix ${ }^{\phi} R^{-1}$ such that its entry in position $\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ is

$$
\left({ }^{\phi} R^{-1}\right)_{w, w^{\prime}}=\sum_{w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}: \phi} w^{\prime \prime}=w^{\prime}} R_{w, w^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(f_{w^{\prime \prime}}\right)} .
$$

Denote the product $\widetilde{Z}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) R^{-1}$ by $S\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)$. Similarly, the $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$ matrix ${ }^{\phi} S\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)$ is defined such that its entry in position $\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ is

$$
\left({ }^{\phi} S\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)\right)_{w, w^{\prime}}=\sum_{w^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N} I \times\{-1,0\}: \phi w^{\prime \prime}=w^{\prime}} S\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)_{w, w^{\prime \prime}} x^{\operatorname{ind}\left(f{ }^{f} w^{\prime \prime}\right)} .
$$

The matrix transition between $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ and $\left\{L^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ is given by the matrix ${ }^{\phi} R^{-1}$. The matrix transition between $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ and $\left\{M^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ is given by the matrix ${ }^{\phi} S\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)$.

With respect to the dominance order, the matrices $R^{-1}$ and $S$ are upper unitriangular. It follows that ${ }^{\phi} R^{-1}$ and ${ }^{\phi} S$ are upper triangular. Notice that, if for $w, w^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$, we have $w^{\prime} \leq w$ with respect to the dominance order, then $w^{\prime}$ must be equal to $w$. It follows that ${ }^{\phi} R^{-1}$ and ${ }^{\phi} S$ are upper unitriangular. Therefore, we obtain the statements from Theorem 8.1.8.
Remark 8.2.2. The transition matrices vary little when the choice of the coefficients and quantization change:

1) The matrix $Z$ can be solved recursively by a combinatorial algorithm, cf. [Lus90, 7.10] or [Nak04, Section 8]. Z only depends on $Q$. Also, the geometrically defined integer matrix ( $r_{w, w^{\prime}}$ ) only depends on $Q$. The set $\left\{w^{\prime}:{ }^{\phi} w^{\prime}=w^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for given $w^{\prime \prime}$ can be calculated easily.
2) We have $\widetilde{Z}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)=Z\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{w, w^{\prime}}\right)$ if the quiver is of $z$-pattern.
8.3. Structure constants. In [Kim12], Kimura studied the factorization of the dual canonical basis $\mathbf{B}^{\text {up }}$ of a certain quantum unipotent subgroup. Because in [KQ12], the authors identified $L(w)$ with elements in $\mathbf{B}^{\text {up }}$, we can translate his result into our setting.
Theorem 8.3.1 ([Kim12, Theorem 6.21]). Up to t-powers, we have the factorization of simples

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(w)=L\left({ }^{\phi} w\right) \cdot L\left({ }^{f} w\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}$ in $\mathcal{J}$, define an element in $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi b_{w^{1}, w^{2} ; \widetilde{B}}^{w^{3}}=x^{\operatorname{ind} O\left(w^{1}\right)+\operatorname{ind} O\left(w^{2}\right)-\operatorname{ind} O\left(w^{3}\right)-\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{1}+w^{2}-w^{3}\right)} \sum_{w: \phi} \sum_{w=w^{3}} b_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w} x^{\text {ind } f} w x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w^{1}+w^{2}-C_{q} v \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integers $b_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}$ by (37).
Theorem 8.3.2 (Positive basis). The structure constants of the dual canonical basis $\left\{L^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ are given by, for any $w^{1}$, $w^{2}$ in $\mathcal{J}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w^{1}\right) * L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w^{2}\right)=q^{\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{1}\right), \operatorname{ind}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)-\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\operatorname{ind}^{z}\left(w^{1}\right), \text { ind }^{z}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)} \\
\cdot \sum_{w^{3} \in \mathcal{J}}{ }^{\phi} b_{w^{1}, w^{2} ; \widetilde{B}}^{w^{3}}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w^{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, they are contained in $\mathbb{N}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[x_{n+1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m}^{ \pm}\right]$.
Proof. First consider the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}^{q}$ associated with $\left(\widetilde{B}^{z}, \Lambda^{z}\right)$. Then we have $\widehat{\Pi} \widehat{\chi_{q, t}}=\chi_{q, t} \widehat{\Pi}$. The truncated character $\chi_{q, t} \leq 0$ is algebraic from $R_{t}$ to $D(\mathcal{T})$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$-linear independent set $\left\{L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)\right\}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under the twisted product $*$ and the corresponding positive structure constants are $b_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}$ as given by (37). Using Theorem 8.3.1, we obtain the structure constants of the dual canonical basis $\left\{L^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$.

Finally, the correction technique in Theorem 9.1.2 implies the above result for any acyclic quantum cluster algebra.

We obtain that, whatever the coefficient pattern $\widetilde{Q}-Q$ and the quantization $\Lambda$ we choose, the quantum cluster algebras containing acyclic seeds are strongly positive: they admit a basis in which the structure constants are positive.

The following important consequence of the deformed monoidal categorification is an easy generalization of the first main result of the author's joint work with Kimura [KQ12] for arbitrary choice of coefficients and quantization.

Corollary 8.3.3. (Quantum positivity [KQ12]) Any quantum cluster monomial $m$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial of the quantum cluster variables $x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, in any given seed with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{\delta}{2}}, x_{n+1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m}^{ \pm}\right]$.

Proof. By the quantum Laurent phenomenon, we have

$$
m=\frac{\sum_{m_{*}=\left(m_{i}\right)} c_{m_{*}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}^{m_{i}}}{\prod_{i} x_{i}^{d_{i}}}
$$

where $m_{*}=\left(m_{i}\right)_{i \in I}, d_{*}=\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ are sequences of nonnegative integers and the coefficients $c_{m_{*}}$ are contained in $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$. Notice that we use the usual product - in this expression.

The quantum cluster monomial $m$ equals $L^{\mathcal{A}}(w)$ for some $w$. Also, the quantum $X$-variable $x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, equals $L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w_{i}\right)$ for some $w_{i}$. We can rewrite the above equation as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m_{*}=\left(m_{i}\right)} c_{m_{*}} L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i} w_{i}\right) & =\prod_{i} L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w_{i}\right)^{d_{i}} \cdot L^{\mathcal{A}}(w) \\
& =q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\operatorname{ind}\left(\sum_{i} d_{i} w^{i}\right), \operatorname{ind}(w)\right)} L^{\mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{i} d_{i} w_{i}\right) * L^{\mathcal{A}}(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

The statement follows from Theorem (8.3.2).
The almost simple pseudo-modules $\mathbb{L}(w)$ introduced in Section 6 form a $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-basis of $\mathcal{R}_{t}$. Notice that, when the ice quiver is of $z$-pattern, we have $\widehat{\Pi} \operatorname{cor} \chi_{q, t}{ }^{\leq 0} \mathbb{L}(w)=\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w)=\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w)$. Denote the structure constants of this basis by $c_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}(t)$ : for any $w^{1}, w^{2}$ in $\mathbb{N}^{I \times\{-1,0\}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}\left(w^{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{L}\left(w^{2}\right)=\sum_{w} c_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w} \mathbb{L}(w) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the matrix $\left(r_{w, w^{\prime}}\right)$ and the matrix of the structure constants of $\left\{L^{\mathcal{T}}(w)\right\}$ are upper unitriangular, the matrix $\left(c_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w}\right)$ is upper unitriangular as well. Therefore, for every nonzero term in the sum, we always have $w=w^{1}+w^{2}-C_{q} v$ for some $v$.

For any $w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}$ in $\mathcal{J}$, define an element in $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$
$\phi^{\prime} c_{w^{1}, w^{2} ; \widetilde{B}}^{w^{3}}=x^{\text {ind } O\left(w^{1}\right)+\operatorname{ind} O\left(w^{2}\right)-\operatorname{ind} O\left(w^{3}\right)-\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{1}+w^{2}-w^{3}\right)} \sum_{w: \phi w=w^{3}} c_{w^{1}, w^{2}}^{w} x^{\text {ind } f} w x^{\widetilde{B} v+\text { ind } C_{q} v}$
where $v$ is determined by $w=w^{1}+w^{2}-C_{q} v$.
Theorem 8.3.4. The structure constants of the generic basis $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{T}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ are given by, for any $w^{1}, w^{2}$ in $\mathcal{J}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w^{1}\right) * \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w^{2}\right)=q^{\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\left(\operatorname{ind}\left(w^{1}\right), \operatorname{ind}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)-\frac{\delta}{2} \Lambda^{z}\left(\text { ind }^{z}\left(w^{1}\right), \text { ind }{ }^{z}\left(w^{2}\right)\right)} \\
& \cdot \sum_{w^{3} \in \mathcal{J}} \phi{ }_{c} c_{w^{1}, w^{2} ; \widetilde{B}}^{w^{3}}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) \mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(w^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This theorem is a consequence of (62) and Theorem 9.1.2. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 8.3.2.

## 9. Correction technique

9.1. Corrections of algebraic relations. Given any (quantum) cluster algebra, if some basis of it is known, we want to ask what happens if we change the coefficients and the quantization. As we have seen in Theorem 8.1.8 Theorem 8.2.1 and Remark 8.2.2, the bases and their transition matrices vary little when the coefficient type $\widetilde{Q}-Q$ and the quantization $\Lambda$ change. We shall show that this phenomenon is true in general, by generalizing the correction factors in the previous failures (55) (58) (65).

Let $n, m^{(1)}, m^{(2)}$ be three integers, such that $0<n \leq m^{(1)}, m^{(2)}$. For $i=1,2$, let $\widetilde{B}^{(i)}$ be an $m^{(i)} \times n$ matrix. Let $\Lambda^{(i)}$ be an $m^{(i)} \times m^{(i)}$ skewsymmetric integer matrix.

Definition 9.1.1 (weakly compatible pair). The pair $\left(\widetilde{B}^{(i)}, \Lambda^{(i)}\right)$ is called weakly compatible if there exists an $n \times n$ integer matrix $D^{(i)}$ such that we have

$$
\Lambda^{(i)}\left(-\widetilde{B}^{(i)}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
D^{(i)}  \tag{75}\\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Assume the matrices $\widetilde{B}^{(i)}$ have the common principal part $B$, the pairs $\left(\widetilde{B}^{(i)}, \Lambda^{(i)}\right)$ are weakly compatible, and $D^{(2)}=\delta D^{(1)}$ for some integer $\delta$. A priori, rank $D^{(1)}$ is no less than rank $D^{(2)}$.

Define the associated quantum tori

$$
\mathcal{T}^{(i)}=\mathcal{T}^{(i)}\left(\Lambda^{(i)}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[x_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m^{(i)}}^{ \pm}\right]
$$

such that twisted product is determined by $\Lambda^{(i)}$.
Let $\max \left(m^{(1)}, m^{(2)}\right)$ be denoted by $m$. As in (53), enlarge $\widetilde{B}^{(1)}, \widetilde{B}^{(2)}$ into $m \times n$ matrices and $\Lambda^{(2)}$ into an $m \times m$ matrix by adding zero entries, and define the associated enlarged quantum torus

$$
\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[x_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m}^{ \pm}\right]
$$

such that its twisted product is determined by the enlarged matrix $\Lambda^{(2)}$.
For each $i$, and for any integer $s \geq 3$, integer $1 \leq j \leq s$, vector $g^{(i)}{ }_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{i}}$, and polynomial

$$
F_{j}\left(t ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} b_{j}(t ; v) y^{v} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]
$$

where $t, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are indeterminates, $b_{j}(t ; v) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$, we define the following element in the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{j}^{(1)} & =x^{g_{j}^{(1)}} F_{j}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; x^{\widetilde{B}^{(1)} e_{1}}, \ldots, x^{\widetilde{B}^{(1)} e_{n}}\right) \\
& =x^{g_{j}^{(1)}} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} b_{j}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v\right) x^{\widetilde{B}^{(1)} v},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{k}$ is the $k$-th unite vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, and a similar element in $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{j}^{(2)} & =x^{g_{j}^{(2)}} F_{j}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}} ; x^{\widetilde{B}^{(2)} e_{1}}, \ldots, x^{\widetilde{B}^{(2)} e_{n}}\right) \\
& =x^{g_{j}^{(2)}} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} b_{j}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}} ; v\right) x^{\widetilde{B}^{(2)} v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume the first $n$ coordinates of $g_{j}^{(1)}$ and $g_{j}^{(2)}$ are equal, i.e. we have $\mathrm{pr}_{n} g_{j}^{(1)}=\mathrm{pr}_{n} g_{j}^{(2)}=g_{j}$ for some vector $g_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
Theorem 9.1.2. Assume that $\widetilde{B}^{(1)}$ is of full rank and $b_{j}(t ; 0)$ does not vanish for any $3 \leq j \leq s$. If the following equation holds in $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(1)}\left(g_{1}^{(1)}, g_{2}^{(1)}\right)} M_{1}^{(1)} * M_{2}^{(1)}=\sum_{3 \leq j \leq s} c_{j}^{(1)}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) M_{j}^{(1)} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some coefficients $c_{j}^{(1)}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]\left[x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{m^{(1)}}^{ \pm}\right]$, then there exist unique vectors $u_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that $g_{j}^{(1)}=g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} u_{j}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(2)}\left(g_{1}^{(2)}, g_{2}^{(2)}\right)} M_{1}^{(2)} * M_{2}^{(2)}=\sum_{3 \leq j \leq s} c_{j}^{(2)}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) M_{j}^{(2)} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]\left[x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{m^{(2)}}^{ \pm}\right]$are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}^{(2)}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)=c_{j}^{(1)}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(2)}+g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} u_{j}-g_{j}^{(2)} .} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Expanding LHS of (76), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LHS }=\sum_{v_{1}, v_{2}: v_{1}+v_{2}=v} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(1)}\left(g_{1}^{(1)}, g_{2}^{(1)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(1)}\left(g_{1}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} v_{1}, g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} v_{2}\right)} \\
& \text { - } b_{1}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{1}\right) b_{2}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{2}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(1)}}+g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} v \\
& =\sum_{v_{1}, v_{2}: v_{1}+v_{2}=v} q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(-g_{1}^{T} D^{(1)} v_{2}+g_{2}^{T} D^{(1)} v_{1}+v_{1}^{T} B D^{(1)} v_{2}\right)} \\
& \cdot b_{1}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{1}\right) b_{2}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{2}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} v} . \\
& =\sum_{v_{1}, v_{2}: v_{1}+v_{2}=v} q^{\frac{1}{2 \delta}\left(-g_{1}^{T} D^{(2)} v_{2}+g_{2}^{T} D^{(2)} v_{1}+v_{1}^{T} B D^{(2)} v_{2}\right)} \\
& \cdot b_{1}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{1}\right) b_{2}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{2}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In (76), since $b_{j}(t ; 0)$ is nonzero, the monomial $x^{g_{j}^{(1)}}$ in RHS must be killed by either another monomial $x^{g_{j^{\prime}}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)}} v_{j^{\prime}}$ in RHS or a monomial in LHS. In the former case, repeat this argument for $x^{g_{j^{\prime}}^{(1)}}$. Then, after finite steps, we obtain that for some $u_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, x^{g_{j}^{(1)}}$ must equal the monomial $x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)} u_{j}}$ in LHS. Therefore, we can rewrite RHS as

$$
\mathrm{RHS}=\sum_{j} c_{j}^{(1)}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \sum_{v_{j}} b_{j}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{j}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}+\widetilde{B}^{(1)}\left(u_{j}+v_{j}\right)}
$$

View the both sides as usual Laurent polynomial and embed them into the enlarged quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$. We can rewrite them as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LHS }=\sum_{v_{1}, v_{2}: v_{1}+v_{2}=v}\left(q^{\frac{1}{\delta \delta}}\right)^{-\Lambda^{(2)}\left(g_{1}^{(2)}, g_{2}^{(2)}\right)+\Lambda^{(2)}\left(g_{1}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} v_{1}, g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} v_{2}\right)} \\
\cdot \\
\quad b_{1}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{1}\right) b_{2}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{2}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(2)}+g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} v} \\
\text { RHS }=x_{j, v_{j}: u_{j}+v_{j}=v} x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}-g_{1}^{(2)}-g_{2}^{(2)} x^{\left(\widetilde{B}^{(1)}-\widetilde{B}^{(2)}\right) v},} \begin{array}{c}
\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) b_{j}\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} ; v_{j}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(2)}+g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)}\left(u_{j}+v_{j}\right)} \\
\\
\quad x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}-g_{1}^{(2)}-g_{2}^{(2)}} x^{\left(\widetilde{B}^{(1)}-\widetilde{B}^{(2)}\right)\left(u_{j}+v_{j}\right)} .
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the both sides, we replace the indeterminate $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ and delete the factor

$$
x^{g_{1}^{(1)}+g_{2}^{(1)}-g_{1}^{(2)}-g_{2}^{(2)}} x^{\left(\widetilde{B}^{(1)}-\widetilde{B}^{(2)}\right) v}
$$

in each monomial. Then we still have LHS $=$ RHS, which now become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LHS} & =q^{-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(2)}\left(g_{1}^{(2)}, g_{2}^{(2)}\right)} M_{1}^{(2)} * M_{2}^{(2)} \\
\mathrm{RHS} & =\sum_{j} \sum_{v_{j}} c_{j}^{(1)}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) b_{j}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}} ; v_{j}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(2)}+g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} u_{j}-g_{j}^{(2)}} x^{g_{j}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} v_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{j} c_{j}^{(1)}\left(q^{\frac{\delta}{2}}\right) x^{g_{1}^{(2)}+g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} u_{j}-g_{j}^{(2)}} M_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (77) is proved.
Remark 9.1.3. The quotient between the $q$-powers on the left of (76) and (77) should be viewed as the generalization of the $q$-power correction factor in Failure 1 (55). The correction factor $x^{g_{1}^{(2)}+g_{2}^{(2)}+\widetilde{B}^{(2)} u_{j}-g_{j}^{(2)}}$ should be viewed as the generalization of the factor in Failure $2(58)$. The difference $g_{j}^{(2)}-g_{j}^{(1)}$ should be viewed as the generalization of the difference of the two sides of (65).

### 9.2. Structure constants.

Theorem 9.2.1. For $i=1,2$, assume that the pair $\left(\widetilde{B}^{(i)}, \Lambda^{(i)}\right), i=1,2$, in Theorem 9.1.2 is either compatible or the matrix $\Lambda^{(i)}$ is zero. Denote the corresponding quantum or classical cluster algebras by $\mathcal{A}^{q(i)}$.

For $i=1$, 2, let $\boldsymbol{B}^{(i)}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[x_{n+1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, x_{m^{(i)}}^{ \pm}\right]$-basis of $\mathcal{A}^{q(i)}$ such that its elements take the form $M^{(i)}{ }_{j}$. If the structure constants of $\boldsymbol{B}^{(1)}$ are described by (76), then the structure constants of $\boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$ are described by the corresponding equation (77).
Proof. The statement is obtained by taking (76) to be the multiplication of basis elements.

Remark 9.2.2. By this theorem, in order to study the structure constants of the bases, it suffices to choose a special coefficient pattern with special quantizations, e.g. the principal coefficients with the canonical quantization.
9.3. Acyclic case. Now we can apply the correction techniques to the results of Section 8. We simplify the proofs of previous results on bases of acyclic quantum cluster algebras, and we also present results about the bases of acyclic classical cluster algebras.

Let the compatible pairs $\left(\widetilde{B}^{(i)}, \Lambda^{(i)}\right), i=1,2$, be given as in Theorem 9.2.1. Further assume that $B=B_{Q}$ for some acyclic quiver, and choose $\left(\widetilde{B}^{(1)}, \Lambda^{(1)}\right)$ to be $\left(\widetilde{B}^{z}, \Lambda^{z}\right)$. Then we can choose the $\mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-linearly independent subset ${ }^{3} \mathbf{B}^{(1)}$ of the elements of $\mathcal{A}^{q(1)}$ to be the set $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w)\right\}$, the set $\left\{M^{\mathcal{A}}(w)\right\}$, or the set $\left\{L^{\mathcal{A}}(w)\right\}$. Notice that the elements of $\mathbf{B}^{(1)}$ take the form $M_{j}^{(1)}$ of Theorem 9.1.2. Define $\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$ to be the subset $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$, $\left\{M^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\},\left\{L^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$ respectively if $\Lambda^{(2)}$ is nonzero, or the corresponding classical limit if $\Lambda^{(2)}=0$. Then the elements of $\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$ take the form $M_{j}^{(2)}$.

[^2]Theorem 9.3.1. If $\widetilde{B}^{(2)}$ is of full rank, then $\boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-basis of $\mathcal{A}^{q(2)}$. Furthermore, if $\boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$ is $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ or $\left\{L^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$, then the structure constants of $\boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$ can be deduced from (77) which corresponds to the structure constants equation (76).

Proof. By the proof of 8.1.6, the leading terms (terms with $v=0$ ) of the elements in $\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$ are different. Because $\widetilde{B}^{(2)}$ is of full rank, in each $M_{j}^{(2)}$, the leading term cannot be killed by the other terms. Therefore, $\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$ is linearly independent. Because $\mathbf{B}^{(1)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}^{q(1)}$, by Theorem 9.1.2, $\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}^{q(2)}$.

Assume $\mathbf{B}^{(2)}$ is either $\left\{\mathbb{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ or $\left\{L^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$. Then it contains all the quantum cluster monomials by section 6 . Moreover, it generates a $\mathbb{Z} P\left[q^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}\right]$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{q(2)}$ by Theorem 9.1.2 and (49) or (70). The statement concerning its structure constants also follows from Theorem 9.1.2.

Using the upper unitriangular basis transition matrices studied before, we deduce that $\left\{M^{\mathcal{A}}(w), w \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$ is also a basis.

Remark 9.3.2. If we take $\Lambda^{(2)}=0$, then $\mathcal{A}^{q(2)}$ is a classical cluster algebra, which we denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$.

1) If we take $\boldsymbol{B}^{(1)}$ to be the dual canonical basis, then we obtain that $\boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$ is the dual canonical basis of $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ with positive structure constants. All cluster monomials are contained in $\boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$.
2) If we take $\boldsymbol{B}^{(1)}$ to be the generic basis and the dual $P B W$ basis, then this theorem generalizes the results of the dual semicanonical basis and the dual PBW basis in [GLS11, 16.1] to the integral form and general coefficient type.

Recently, another proof of the generic basis for $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is obtained in [GLS12] (see also [Pla11a]), which is based on cluster categories and a combinatorial result of [BFZ05].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Notice that this convention is opposite to that of [Nak11].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The choice is not unique. In fact, different choices might give isomorphic graded quiver varieties.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The subset $\mathbf{B}^{(1)}$ is a basis of the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{q(1)}$ generated by the quantum cluster variables and the frozen variables $x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}$.

