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#### Abstract

We show that the non-embedded eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on the real line with non-Hermitian potential $V$ lie in the disjoint union of two disks in the right and left half plane, respectively, provided that the $L^{1}$-norm of $V$ is bounded from above by the speed of light times the reduced Planck constant. An analogous result for the Schrödinger operator, originally proved by Abramov, Aslanyan and Davies, emerges in the nonrelativistic limit. For massless Dirac operators, the condition on $V$ implies the absence of nonreal eigenvalues. Our results are further generalized to potentials with slower decay at infinity. As an application, we determine bounds on resonances and embedded eigenvalues of Dirac operators with Hermitian dilation-analytic potentials.


## 1. Introduction

There has been an increasing interest in the spectral theory of non-selfadjoint differential operators during the past years. In particular, eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials have recently been investigated by various authors, [1, 6, 11, 18, 20, 10. Corresponding results for non-selfadjoint Dirac operators are much more sparse, [23, 24, although operators of this type arise for example as Lax operators in the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation [3].

In this paper we derive the first eigenvalue enclosures for Dirac operators with non-Hermitian potentials. We consider one-dimensional Dirac operators $H=H_{0}+V$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$, where the free Dirac operator is of the form

$$
H_{0}=-\mathrm{i} c \hbar \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \sigma_{1}+m c^{2} \sigma_{3}, \quad \sigma_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{1}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $c$ denoting the speed of light, $\hbar$ the reduced Planck constant, $m \geq 0$ the particle mass and where $V$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix-valued function with entries in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Since we do not assume $V(x)$ to be Hermitian, the operator $H$ is not selfadjoint, in general. Moreover, already the free Dirac operator $H_{0}$ is not bounded from below, with purely absolutely continuous $\sigma\left(H_{0}\right)=\left(-\infty,-m c^{2}\right] \cup\left[m c^{2}, \infty\right)$.

In our main result, Theorem 2.1, we prove that if the potential $V$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|_{1}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|V(x)\| \mathrm{d} x<\hbar c \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|V(x)\|$ is the operator norm of $V(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with Euclidean norm, then the non-embedded eigenvalues of $H$ lie in the union of two disjoint disks,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \subset K_{m r_{0}}\left(m x_{0}\right) \cup K_{m r_{0}}\left(-m x_{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the right and left half plane; the radii $m r_{0}$, as well as the points $m x_{0}$ determining the centres, diverge to $\infty$ as $\|V\|_{1} \rightarrow \hbar c$. In particular, our theorem implies that
the massless Dirac operator (i.e. $m=0$ in (11) with non-Hermitian potential $V$ has no complex eigenvalues at all since in this case $m r_{0}=0$.

The second main result of this paper is an enclosure for resonances of Dirac operators with Hermitian potentials under some analyticity assumptions on $V$. While the literature on the theory of resonances of Schrödinger operators is vast, see e.g. 22], 29 and the references therein, much less is known for the Dirac operator; we only mention [21] where the complex scaling method was employed. We use the interplay of this method with Theorem 2.1ffor the scaled Dirac operators $H_{\theta}$ to describe a region in the complex plane where the uncovered resonances may lie in terms of $L_{1}$-norms of the scaled potentials $V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \cdot\right)$. Moreover, for the massless Dirac operator, we show that there are no resonances near the real axis.

Further results concern the sharpness of our eigenvalue enclosures and generalizations to more slowly decaying potentials. Finally, in the non-relativistic limit $(c \rightarrow \infty)$, our main result reproduces [1, Theorem 4] for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+V \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with complex-valued potential $V \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash[0, \infty)$ of which lie in a disk around the origin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|V(x)| \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proofs are based on the so-called Birman-Schwinger principle. Although the latter is not bound to one dimension, the generalization to higher dimensions poses a major challenge; the reason for this is the intrinsically different behaviour of the resolvent kernel of $H_{0}$ which already in the case of Schrödinger operators requires sophisticated analytical estimates [10].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Theorem 2.1 of Section 2 we prove the enclosure (3) and show that, for $m \neq 0$, the eigenvalue bound (5) for the Schrödinger operator emerges in the nonrelativistic limit $(c \rightarrow \infty)$. One of the main, new, ingredients in the proof of Theorem [2.1] is the use of a Möbius transformation of the spectral parameter to localize the eigenvalues.

In Section 3, we demonstrate the sharpness of Theorem 2.1 by considering a family of delta-potentials. Moreover, we show that assumption (2) may be weakened if the potential has additional structure such as being purely imaginary.

In Section 4, we extend Theorem 2.1 to potentials with slower decay at infinity; in this case (2) has to be replaced by more complicated conditions. From this we derive eigenvalue estimates in terms of higher $L^{p}$-norms of $V$, see Corollary 4.6. We also prove that, if $p \in[2, \infty]$ and an additional smallness assumption holds, then $H$ is similar to a block-diagonal matrix operator, see Theorem 4.8.

In Section [5] we establish enclosures for resonances and embedded eigenvalues of $H$ with Hermitian $V(x)$. For this purpose, we use the well-known method of complex scaling where resonances are characterized as eigenvalues of non-selfadjoint operators and apply Theorem [2.1 to the scaled Dirac operators $H_{\theta}$. To this end, a careful analysis of the dependence of the corresponding balls $K_{m r_{\theta}}\left( \pm m x_{\theta}\right)$ on the scaling angle $\theta$ is required.

To avoid overly technical discussions, we prove all results in Sections $2-5$ for the case of bounded $V$, i.e. $V_{i j} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), i, j=1,2$; it will be evident, however, that
the boundedness does not play an essential role, and we will show in Section 6 how to dispense with it.

The following notation will be used throughout this paper. For $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r>0$, let $K_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)$ be the closed disk centred at $z_{0}$ with radius $r$; for $r=0$, we use the convention that $K_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)=\emptyset$. For a closed densely defined linear operator $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(T), \operatorname{ker}(T), \rho(T), \sigma(T), \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(T)$ its domain, kernel, resolvent set, spectrum, and set of eigenvalues, respectively. Let $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of bounded linear operators with domain equal to $\mathcal{H}$ and by $\|\cdot\|$ the operator norm on $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$; the norm on the ideal of Hilbert-Schmidt operators is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\text {HS }}$. The identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $I_{\mathcal{H}}$. We shall use the abbreviation $T-z$ for the operator $T-z I_{\mathcal{H}}, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Throughout Sections 25 we work in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$. By tr we denote the trace in this Hilbert space, while $\operatorname{Tr}$ is the trace in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. By abuse of notation, we shall denote integral operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and their kernels by the same symbol. For example, we write $R_{0}(z)=\left(H_{0}-z\right)^{-1}$ for the resolvent of the free Dirac operator $H_{0}$ and $R_{0}(x, y ; z)$ for its resolvent kernel. For a measurable matrix-valued function $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ we shall always identify the function $V$ with the closed maximal multiplication operator in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

The potentials $V$ we consider are supposed to decay at infinity,

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_{i j}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

It is well known that the essential spectrum of $H_{0}$ is stable under such perturbations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(H)=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\left(-\infty,-m c^{2}\right] \cup\left[m c^{2}, \infty\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see e.g. [25, 4.3.4, Remark 2]. Note that there are at least five different notions of essential spectrum for a non-selfadjoint closed operator $T$; here we use the following one:

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(T):=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: T-z \text { is not a Fredholm operator }\}
$$

With this definition of the essential spectrum, it follows from [9, Theorem IX.2.4] that [25, 4.3.4, Remark 2], which is only stated for Hermitian-valued potentials, still holds true in the non-Hermitian case. The discrete spectrum of $T$ is defined as

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(T):=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z \text { is an isolated eigenvalue of } T \text { of finite multiplicity }\} .
$$

Note that, if $T$ is not selfadjoint, then, in general, $\sigma(T)$ is not the disjoint union of $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(T)$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(T)$. However, for the Dirac operators $H=H_{0}+V$ considered here, $\mathbb{C} \backslash \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\rho\left(H_{0}\right)$ has either one or two (for $m=0$ ) connected components, each of which contains points of $\rho(H)$. Hence [13, Theorem XVII.2.1] implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(H) \backslash \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(H)=\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we will use units where $\hbar=c=1$ from now on. The correct values in other units may simply be restored by dimensional analysis.

## 2. Integrable potentials

In this section we derive sharp bounds on the eigenvalues of the perturbed Dirac operator $H$ in (11), with potential $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}, V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. For eigenvalue bounds in terms of higher $L^{p}$-norms see Corollary 4.6 as well as the forthcoming paper 5.

Theorem 2.1. Let $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|_{1}<1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H) \subset K_{m r_{0}}\left(m x_{0}\right) \cup K_{m r_{0}}\left(-m x_{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}:=\sqrt{\frac{\|V\|_{1}^{4}-2\|V\|_{1}^{2}+2}{4\left(1-\|V\|_{1}^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r_{0}:=\sqrt{\frac{\|V\|_{1}^{4}-2\|V\|_{1}^{2}+2}{4\left(1-\|V\|_{1}^{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{2}} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular, the spectrum of the massless Dirac operator $(m=0)$ with nonHermitian potential $V$ is $\mathbb{R}$.


Figure 1. The two disks of Theorem 2.1 for three different values of $\|V\|_{1} \in(0,1)$ and $m=1$; the imaginary axis always remains free of eigenvalues.

Proof. In this section we prove Theorem 2.1 under the assumption that $V$ is bounded in which case $H=H_{0}+V$ is a closed operator. The only additional obstruction in the general case is the construction of a closed extension $H$ of $H_{0}+V$, a technical point which we postpone to Section 6.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the Birman-Schwinger principle: Let $U$ be the partial isometry in the polar decomposition of $V=U|V|$. We shall factorize $V$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=B A, \quad B:=U|V|^{1 / 2}, \quad A:=|V|^{1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $R_{0}(\cdot)$ the resolvent of $H_{0}$, i.e.

$$
R_{0}(z):=\left(H_{0}-z\right)^{-1}, \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)
$$

Let $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$. It is easy to verify that $z$ is an eigenvalue of $H$ if and only if -1 is an eigenvalue of $V R_{0}(r)$. Since the nonzero eigenvalues of $B A R_{0}(z)$ and $A R_{0}(z) B$ are the same, this is thus equivalent to -1 being an eigenvalue of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z):=A R_{0}(z) B: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $z$ is an eigenvalue of $H$, then $\|Q(z)\| \geq 1$. On the other hand, since the spectrum of $H$ in the complement of $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}\right)$ is discrete by (6) and (7), $z \in \rho(H)$ whenever $\|Q(z)\|<1$.

It is well-known that the resolvent kernel of the free Dirac operator is given by

$$
R_{0}(x, y ; z)=M(x, y ; z) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k(z)|x-y|}, \quad M(x, y ; z):=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta(z) & \operatorname{sgn}(x-y) \\
\operatorname{sgn}(x-y) & \zeta(z)^{-1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z):=\frac{z+m}{k(z)}, \quad k(z):=\sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}, \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the branch of the square root on $\mathbb{C} \backslash[0, \infty)$ is chosen such that $\operatorname{Im} k(z)>0$. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(z) & :=\zeta(z)^{2}=\frac{z+m}{z-m} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash[0, \infty), \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \\
\eta(s) & :=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(s+s^{-1}\right)}, \quad s>0 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Observing that

$$
\|M(x, y ; z)\|=\|M(x, y ; z)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}=\eta(|\Phi(z)|)
$$

we obtain that for $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right), f, g \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(A R_{0}(z) B f, g\right)\right| & \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|A(x)\|\|B(y)\|\|f(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\|g(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|A(x)\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|B(y)\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / 2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}  \tag{15}\\
& =\eta(|\Phi(z)|)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|V(x)\| \mathrm{d} x\right)\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we used $\exp (-\operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|) \leq 1$ in the first line, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the second line, and the equality

$$
\|B(x)\|=\|A(x)\|=\left\||V(x)|^{1 / 2}\right\|=\|V(x)\|^{1 / 2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

in the last line. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q(z)\| \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|)\|V\|_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\|Q(z)\|<1$ whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
w:=\Phi(z) \in B_{\rho^{2}, \rho^{-2}}:=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \rho^{-2}<|w|<\rho^{2}\right\}, \quad \rho:=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\|V\|_{1}^{2}}}{\|V\|_{1}} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observing that $\Phi$ is a Möbius transformation for $m \neq 0$ with inverse

$$
z=\Phi^{-1}(w)=m \frac{w+1}{w-1}
$$

we see that the complement of the annulus $B_{\rho^{2}, \rho^{-2}}$ in the $w$-plane is mapped onto the union of the disks $K_{m r_{0}}\left(m x_{0}\right)$ and $K_{m r_{0}}\left(-m x_{0}\right)$ in the $z$-plane. Indeed, $\Phi^{-1}$ maps (generalized) circles to (generalized) circles, and, by virtue of the equality

$$
\overline{\Phi^{-1}(w)}=\Phi^{-1}(\bar{w}), \quad w \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}
$$

the image of a circle with centre at the origin is symmetric with respect to the real axis. The outer boundary of $B_{\rho^{2}, \rho^{-2}}$ is mapped to the circle with centre $m x_{0}$ and radius $m r_{0}$ given by
$x_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\rho^{2}+1}{\rho^{2}-1}+\frac{-\rho^{2}+1}{-\rho^{2}-1}\right)=\frac{\rho^{4}+1}{\rho^{4}-1}, \quad r_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\rho^{2}+1}{\rho^{2}-1}-\frac{-\rho^{2}+1}{-\rho^{2}-1}\right)=\sqrt{x_{0}^{2}-1}$.
On the other hand, since

$$
\Phi^{-1}\left(w^{-1}\right)=-\Phi^{-1}(w), \quad w \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}
$$

the inner boundary of $B_{\rho^{2}, \rho^{-2}}$ is mapped to the circle with centre $-m x_{0}$ and radius $m r_{0}$. Since $\Phi^{-1}$ is biholomorphic and $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(B_{\rho^{2}, \rho^{-2}}\right)$ is doubly connected, its image must be too, so it fills the regions inside the two circles. Observing that

$$
\frac{\rho^{4}+1}{\rho^{4}-1}=\sqrt{\frac{\|V\|_{1}^{4}-2\|V\|_{1}^{2}+2}{4\left(1-\|V\|_{1}^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}}
$$

the spectral inclusion (9) is proved for the case $m \neq 0$. If $m=0$, then $\Phi(z)=1$ and $\eta(|\Phi(z)|)=1 / c$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, (16) implies that $\|Q(z)\|<1$ for $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$. This proves the limiting case $m=0$ in (9).

Remark 2.2. The eigenvalue bound (5) of [1] for the Schrödinger operator with complex potential $V$ emerges from the corresponding bounds for the Dirac operator (9) in the nonrelativistic limit since

$$
\lim _{c \rightarrow \infty}\left(H(c)-m c^{2}-z\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(-\frac{1}{2 m} \Delta+V-z\right)^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

see e.g. [25, Theorem 6.4]. Here, we have restored $c$ (the speed of light) by replacing $m$ by $m c^{2}$ and $c^{-1}\|V\|_{1}$ and $\|V\|_{1}$. It follows from Theorem 2.1] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}\left(H(c)-m c^{2}\right) \subset K_{m c^{2} r_{0}(c)}\left(m c^{2}\left(x_{0}(c)-1\right)\right) \cup K_{m c^{2} r_{0}(c)}\left(m c^{2}\left(x_{0}(c)+1\right)\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}(c), r_{0}(c)$ now depend on $c$ via $c^{-1}\|V\|_{1}$. An easy calculation shows that, in the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$, the right hand side of (18) converges to the closed disk with radius $m / 2\|V\|_{1}^{2}$ and centre at the origin, compare (5) (recall that $\hbar=1$ here).

Remark 2.3. The factorization of $V$ used above is optimal in the sense that for an arbitrary factorization $V=B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$, the last equality in (15) generally turns into an inequality. We also note that

$$
\|V\|_{1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|V(x)\|_{\mathrm{HS}} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr} V(x)^{*} V(x)} \mathrm{d} x
$$

Remark 2.4. For the massless Dirac operator $(m=0)$ the absence of nonreal eigenvalues can also be proved by showing that the perturbed operator $H$ is similar to the selfadjoint operator $H_{0}$ :
i) For potentials of the particular form

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{1} & v_{2}  \tag{19}\\
v_{2} & -v_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $v_{1}, v_{2} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, Syroid proved in [23] by a method due to Kato [14] that, if $\|V\|_{1}<1$, then $H$ is similar to $H_{0}$,

$$
H=W_{ \pm} H_{0} W_{ \pm}^{-1}
$$

Here, $W_{ \pm}$are the Kato wave operators [14], which admit the representation

$$
W_{ \pm}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t H} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t H_{0}}
$$

and $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t H}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t H_{0}}$ are the strongly continuous groups with generators $H, H_{0}$, respectively. In particular, $H$ is a spectral operator in the sense of [7 with absolutely continuous spectrum $\sigma(H)=\mathbb{R}$. It is not difficult to check that the proof in [23] also works without the assumption (19).
ii) If $V$ is an electric potential of the form

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 0 \\
0 & q
\end{array}\right)
$$

with a complex-valued function $q \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, then the similarity of $H$ and $H_{0}$ (with $m=0$ ) holds without the assumption $\|V\|_{1}<1$. Indeed, if $U$ is the operator of multiplication with

$$
U(x)=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \sigma_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{x} q(y) \mathrm{d} y\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

then $U$ is bounded and boundedly invertible in $\mathcal{H}$, and $U^{-1} H_{0} U=H$. Moreover, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, the resolvent $R(z):=(H-z)^{-1}$ can be estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R(z)\| \leq\|U\|\left\|U^{-1}\right\|\left\|R_{0}(z)\right\| \leq|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1} \exp \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(y)| \mathrm{d} y\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In analogy to (20), the following proposition provides an estimate for the norm of the resolvent $R(z)$ of $H$ for general potentials $V$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$ be such that $\|V\|_{1}<1$. Then, for $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty,-m] \cup[m, \infty))$ outside the union of the two disks $K_{m r_{0}}\left(m x_{0}\right)$ and $K_{m r_{0}}\left(-m x_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R(z)\| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)\right)}+\frac{\eta(|\Phi(z)|)^{2}}{\operatorname{Im} k(z)} \frac{\|V\|_{1}}{1-\eta(|\Phi(z)|)\|V\|_{1}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By iterating the second resolvent identity,

$$
R(z)=R_{0}(z)-R_{0}(z) V R(z)
$$

we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=R_{0}(z)-R_{0}(z) B\left(I_{\mathcal{H}}+Q(z)\right)^{-1} A R_{0}(z) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left\|A R_{0}(z)\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}},\left\|R_{0}(z) B\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}\right\} \leq \frac{\eta(|\Phi(z)|)}{\sqrt{2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)}}\|V\|_{1}^{1 / 2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (22), the selfadjointness of $H_{0}$ and the Neumann series, it follows that

$$
\|R(z)\| \leq\left\|R_{0}(z)\right\|+\left\|R(z)-R_{0}(z)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)\right)}+\frac{\left\|A R_{0}(z)\right\|\left\|R_{0}(z) B\right\|}{1-\|Q(z)\|}
$$

If we combine this with (23) and (16), the claim is proved.

## 3. Sharpness of Theorem 2.1 and purely imaginary potentials

In this section we provide an example which suggests that the eigenvalue enclosures of Theorem 2.1 are sharp and that the assumption $\|V\|_{1}<1$ cannot be omitted. Moreover, we show how additional structure of the potential may be used to improve the bounds of Theorem 2.1
Example 3.1. We consider the family of delta-potentials

$$
V_{\tau}=\mathrm{i} \kappa \delta_{0} W_{\tau}, \quad W_{\tau}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau} & 0  \tag{24}\\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau}
\end{array}\right), \quad \kappa>0, \quad-\pi \leq \tau<\pi
$$

for which the operator $Q(z)$ reduces to the matrix

$$
Q(z)=-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau} \zeta(z) & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau}  \tag{25}\\
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau} & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau} \zeta(z)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ if we define $\operatorname{sgn}(0)=1$. The perturbed operator $H_{\tau}$ may be rigorously defined as a rank two perturbation of $H_{0}$. Alternatively, it may be described in terms of boundary conditions, v.i.z.
$\mathcal{D}\left(H_{\tau}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \cap H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right): \sigma_{1}(f(0+)-f(0-))-\kappa W_{\tau} f(0+)=0\right\}$, $\left(H_{\tau} f\right)(x)=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} x} \sigma_{1} f(x)+m \sigma_{3} f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}\left(H_{\tau}\right)$.
It follows that

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\tau}-z\right) \subset\left\{\binom{\zeta(z)}{\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k(z)|\cdot|},\binom{\operatorname{sgn}(\cdot)}{\zeta(z)^{-1}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k(z)|\cdot|}\right\},
$$

and the boundary conditions imply that $\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{\tau}-z\right)$ is nontrivial if and only if

$$
\operatorname{det}(I+Q(z))=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\kappa / 2 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau} \zeta(z) & -\kappa / 2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau} \\
-\kappa / 2 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau} & 1-\kappa / 2 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau} \zeta(z)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Solving this equation for $\zeta(z)$, we find the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z)=\zeta_{ \pm}:=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau} \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2}}}{\kappa} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling (13), (14), it is seen that we must have $\operatorname{Im} \zeta(z)<0$ for $z$ to be an eigenvalue of $H_{\tau}$.

If $\kappa<1$, then $\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{ \pm}<0$ if and only if $0<\tau<\pi$; in this case, as $\tau$ varies from 0 to $\pi$, the points $w_{ \pm}:=\zeta_{ \pm}^{2}$ trace out the boundary of the annulus $B_{\rho^{2}, \rho^{-2}}$ with

$$
\rho:=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\kappa^{2}}}{\kappa}
$$

which is precisely $\rho$ in (17) with $\|V\|_{1}$ replaced by $\kappa(<1)$. This implies that the two eigenvalues of $H_{\tau}, 0<\tau<\pi$, lie on the boundaries of the disks $K_{m r_{0}}\left( \pm m x_{0}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1. In the case $-\pi \leq \tau \leq 0$, there are no eigenvalues.

If $\kappa \geq 1$, then the square root in (26) becomes imaginary, and it is easily verified that $\zeta_{ \pm}$lie on the unit circle, with

$$
\operatorname{Im} \zeta_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{\kappa}\left(-\sin (\tau) \pm \cos (\tau) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}-1}\right)
$$

Hence, for $m \neq 0$, there are either zero, one, or two eigenvalues; as theta varies, they cover the imaginary axis.

A straightforward calculations shows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{+}=1 \Longleftrightarrow \tau=\arccos (1 / \kappa) \\
\zeta_{-}=-1 \Longleftrightarrow \tau=\pi-\arccos (1 / \kappa)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, for $m=0$,

$$
\sigma\left(H_{\tau}\right) \cap(\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R})=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}, & \tau=\arccos (1 / \kappa) \\
\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z<0\}, & \tau=\pi-\arccos (1 / \kappa) \\
\emptyset, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, for $\kappa \geq 1$, the eigenvalues of $H_{\tau}$ need not lie in a bounded set, and hence an enclosure as in Theorem 2.1 cannot hold.

Incidentally, this example (with $m=0$ ) illustrates two typical non-selfadjoint phenomena: First, since $H_{\tau}$ is a rank two resolvent perturbation of $H_{0}$, the essential spectra are clearly the same, $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{\tau}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathbb{R}$. However, for $\tau=\arccos (1 / \kappa)$ and $\tau=\pi-\arccos (1 / \kappa)$, the spectrum in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ is not discrete, but consists of dense point spectrum in the upper or lower half-plane; this is not a contradiction to [13, Theorem 3.1] since $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ is not connected. Secondly, although it can be shown that the mapping $\tau \mapsto H_{\tau}$ is continuous in the norm resolvent topology, for $m=0$ the spectrum $\sigma\left(H_{\tau}\right)$ is lower-semidiscontinuous as a function of $\tau$ at the points $\tau=\arccos (1 / \kappa)$ and $\tau=\pi-\arccos (1 / \kappa)$, compare e.g. [15, IV.3.2].

If the potential has additional structure, the assumption $\|V\|_{1}<1$ may be weakened in some cases. As an example, we consider perturbations by purely imaginary potentials $V=\mathrm{i} \widetilde{V}$ with $\widetilde{V} \geq 0$. Such potentials have been studied in [18] in the framework of Schrödinger operators.
Theorem 3.2. Let $V=\mathrm{i} \tilde{V}$, with $\widetilde{V}=\left(\widetilde{V}_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ such that $\widetilde{V} \geq 0$ and $\widetilde{V}_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$. Then $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)$ lies in the open upper half plane; if $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=$ $\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty,-m] \cup[m, \infty))$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{z+m}{\sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}}\right)\left\|\tilde{V}_{11}\right\|_{1}+\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}}{z+m}\right)\left\|\widetilde{V}_{22}\right\|_{1}<2 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $z \notin \sigma(H)$. In particular, if $m=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{V}_{11}\right\|_{1}+\left\|\tilde{V}_{22}\right\|_{1}<2 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the spectrum of $H$ is $\mathbb{R}$.
Remark 3.3. The set of points satisfying (27) does not have such a simple form as the disks in Theorem 2.1) However, (27) implies e.g. that for $m>0$

$$
\sigma(H) \cap \mathrm{i} \mathbb{R} \subset\left\{\mathrm{i} \mu: \mu>0, \frac{\sqrt{\mu^{2}+m^{2}}}{\mu} \geq \frac{\left\|\widetilde{V}_{11}\right\|_{1}+\left\|\widetilde{V}_{22}\right\|_{1}}{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. We follow the lines of the proof of [18, Theorem 9]. Like in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we assume that $V$ is bounded; for the proof of the general case, see Section 6

Let $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$ and $Q(z)$ be given by (12), i.e.

$$
Q(z)=\mathrm{i} \tilde{V}^{1 / 2} R_{0}(z) \widetilde{V}^{1 / 2}
$$

Using the first resolvent identity, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} Q(z)=-(\operatorname{Im} z)\left(R_{0}(z) \widetilde{V}^{1 / 2}\right)^{*}\left(R_{0}(z) \widetilde{V}^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0$, this implies that $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \geq 0$. Hence the numerical range

$$
W(I+Q(z)):=\{((I+Q(z)) f, f): f \in \mathcal{H},\|f\|=1\}
$$

satisfies

$$
W(I+Q(z)) \subset\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 1\} .
$$

Since the spectrum of a bounded operator is contained in the closure of its numerical range, see [15, Corollary V.3.3], it follows that $0 \in \rho(I+Q(z))$, i.e. $z \in \rho(H)$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0$.

To prove the second claim, assume to the contrary that $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Im} z>0$ satisfies condition (27), and $z \in \sigma(H)$. Then (29) implies that $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \leq 0$, i.e. the spectrum of $Q(z)$ lies in the left half plane, and -1 is an eigenvalue of $Q(z)$. Hence the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}(Q(z))$ of $Q(z)$ satisfy

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j}(Q(z)) \leq-1 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j}(Q(z)) \leq-\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Re} Q(z))=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Re} Q)(x, x ; z) \mathrm{d} x, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\operatorname{Re} Q)(\cdot, \cdot ; z)$ is the kernel of the operator $\operatorname{Re} Q(z)$; for the proof of the second inequality we refer to [18, Corollary 1] or [2, Theorem 1], see also [11, Lemma 1] for a different idea of the proof. Since

$$
\operatorname{Re} Q(z)=-\widetilde{V}^{1 / 2} \operatorname{Im} R_{0}(z) \widetilde{V}^{1 / 2},
$$

we have

$$
(\operatorname{Re} Q)(x, x ; z)=-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{V}(x)^{1 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Re} \zeta(z) & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{Re} \zeta(z)^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \widetilde{V}(x)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Together with assumption (27), this implies

$$
-\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Re} Q(z))=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Re} \zeta(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{V}_{11}(x) \mathrm{d} x+\operatorname{Re} \zeta(z)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{V}_{22}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)<1
$$

a contradiction to (30). The last claim is immediate since (27) reduces to (28) in the case $m=0$.

## 4. Slowly decaying potentials

In this section we consider potentials decaying more slowly at infinity than just $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ as in Theorem [2.1] We assume that $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. there exists a decomposition $V=W+X$ such that $W_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $X_{i j} \in L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$; here, $L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of bounded functions that vanish at infinity. Schrödinger operators with this type of potentials have been studied in [6].

It is well known, and easy to see, that if $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon>0$, then there exists a (generally non-unique) decomposition $V=W+X$ with $W_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|X\| \leq \varepsilon$, see [6]. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varepsilon}:=\inf \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\| \mathrm{d} x: V=W+X, W_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}),\|X\| \leq \varepsilon\right\} \in[0, \infty) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$. Let $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty,-m] \cup[m, \infty))$ and let $\eta$, $\Phi$ be defined as in (14), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(|\Phi(z)|)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1+\frac{|z|^{2}+m^{2}}{\left|z^{2}-m^{2}\right|}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{\varepsilon}$ as in (31). If for some $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varepsilon}<\eta(|\Phi(z)|)^{-1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)\right)}+\frac{\eta(|\Phi(z)|)^{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}} \frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{1-\eta(|\Phi(z)|) C_{\varepsilon}}<\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $z \notin \sigma(H)$.
Remark 4.2. If $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, then, in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the condition (33) becomes (8) since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_{\varepsilon}=\|V\|_{1}$ (compare (16)), and (34) is automatically satisfied. Hence, Theorem 2.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.1

Proof. Again, in order to avoid technical complications we shall assume that $V$ is bounded. This restriction does not play a role for the eigenvalue bounds and may be omitted if the construction of Section 6 is used.

It can be shown that the infimum in (31) is in fact a minimum, see 6]. Let $W$ be the corresponding minimizing element, and set $X:=V-W$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{W}:=|W|^{1 / 2}, \\
& B_{W}:=U_{W}|W|^{1 / 2} \\
& A_{X}:=|X|^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned} B_{X}:=U_{X}|X|^{1 / 2}, ~ l
$$

where $U_{W}$ and $U_{X}$ are the partial isometries in the polar decompositions of $W$ and $X$, respectively. Set $\mathcal{K}:=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and define the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\binom{A_{W}}{A_{X}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}, \quad B:=\left(B_{W} B_{X}\right): \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $V=B A$ and $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $H$ if and only if -1 is an eigenvalue of $Q(z)$,

$$
Q(z):=A R_{0}(z) B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{W} & A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{X} \\
A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{W} & A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{X}
\end{array}\right), \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)
$$

Since $\left\|R_{0}(z)\right\|=1 / \operatorname{dist}\left(z, \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left\|A_{X}\right\|=\left\|B_{X}\right\|=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}<\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ by (34), it follows that $I_{\mathcal{H}}+A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{X}$ has a bounded inverse. By the well-known Schur-Frobenius factorization (see e.g. [26, Proposition 1.6.2]), $I_{\mathcal{K}}+Q(z)$ has a bounded inverse if and only if so does its Schur complement $S(z)$,

$$
S(z):=I_{\mathcal{H}}+A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{W}-A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{X}\left(I_{\mathcal{H}}+A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{X}\right)^{-1} A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{W}
$$

By a Neumann series argument, the latter holds whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z):=\frac{\left\|A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{X}\right\|\left\|A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{W}\right\|}{\left(1-\left\|A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{W}\right\|\right)\left(1-\left\|A_{X} R_{0}(z) B_{X}\right\|\right)}<1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $I_{\mathcal{H}}+A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{W}$ has a bounded inverse as well. By the estimates used in the proof of Theorem [2.1, we have

$$
\left\|A_{W} R_{0}(z) B_{W}\right\| \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|) C_{\varepsilon}<1
$$

by (33). Together with (23) this yields

$$
\omega(z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon C_{\varepsilon} \eta(|\Phi(z)|)^{2}}{\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)\left(1-\eta(|\Phi(z)|) C_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon / \operatorname{dist}\left(z, \sigma\left(H_{0}\right)\right)\right)}
$$

It is not difficult to check that the right hand hand side above is $<1$ if (and only if) (34) holds.

Theorem 4.1 is the analogue of [6] Theorem 1.5] for Dirac operators. The next theorem is the counterpart to [6, Theorem 2.9]. Keeping the same notation as in [6, we define the positive, decreasing convex function

$$
F_{V}(s):=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|V(x)\| \mathrm{e}^{-s|x-y|} \mathrm{d} x, \quad s>0 .
$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$. Let $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty,-m] \cup[m, \infty))$ and let $\eta$, $\Phi$ be defined as in (32). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(|\Phi(z)|) F_{V}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)<1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $z \notin \sigma(H)$. If the equation $F_{V}(\mu)=\mu / m$ has a solution $\mu_{0} \in(-m, m)$, it is unique and

$$
\sigma(H) \cap\left(-\sqrt{m^{2}-\mu_{0}^{2}}, \sqrt{m^{2}-\mu_{0}^{2}}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Remark 4.4. If $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, then by [6] Lemma 2.1]

$$
F_{V}(s) \leq F_{V}\|V(x)\|_{1}, \quad s>0 .
$$

Hence, Theorem [2.1 is a special case of Theorem 4.3
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem [2.1, we assume that $V$ is bounded and use the factorization $V=B A$ with $A=|V|^{1 / 2}, B=U|V|^{1 / 2}$ (see (11)). As before, we set $Q(z)=A R_{0}(z) B($ see (12) $)$.

Using a straightforward generalization of the Schur inequality to matrix-valued kernels, we obtain

$$
\|Q(z)\| \leq\left(\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|Q(x, y ; z)\| \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\rho(x, y)}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|Q(x, y ; z)\| \rho(x, y) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

where $Q(x, y ; z)$ is the kernel of $Q(z)$ and $\rho(x, y)$ is a positive weight. Choosing $\rho(x, y):=\|V(x)\|^{1 / 2}\|V(y)\|^{-1 / 2}$ and using that $\left|R_{0}(x, y ; z)\right| \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|)$, we arrive at

$$
\|Q(z)\| \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|) F_{V}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)
$$

This proves the first part of the theorem.
Let $z \in(-m, m)$. Observing that, by (32),

$$
\eta(|\Phi(z)|)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1+\frac{m^{2}+z^{2}}{m^{2}-z^{2}}}=\frac{m}{\sqrt{m^{2}-z^{2}}},
$$

we infer that

$$
\eta(|\Phi(z)|) F_{V}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)=1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad F_{V}\left(\sqrt{m^{2}-z^{2}}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{m^{2}-z^{2}}}{m}
$$

Since the function $\mu \mapsto F_{V}(\mu)$ is decreasing [6, Lemma 2.1] and $\mu \mapsto \mu / m$ is increasing, the solution $\mu_{0} \in(-m, m)$ of the latter equation (which exists by assumption) is unique, and $F_{V}(\mu)<\mu / m$ for $\mu>\mu_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\eta(|\Phi(z)|) F_{V}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)<1, \quad|z|<\sqrt{m^{2}-\mu_{0}^{2}}
$$

and hence $z \notin \sigma(H)$ by the first part of the Theorem.
Remark 4.5. Using different factorizations of $V$, one infers from the proof of Theorem 4.3 that
$\eta(|\Phi(z)|) \inf \left\{F_{A^{\prime 2}}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot F_{B^{\prime 2}}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}<1 \Longrightarrow z \in \rho(H)$,
where the infimum is taken over all factorizations $V=B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$.
Theorem4.1 enables us to obtain eigenvalue bounds in terms of higher $L^{p}$-norms of the potential $V$.

Corollary 4.6. Suppose $V_{i j} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$ and some $p \in(1, \infty)$, and set

$$
\|V\|_{p}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|V(x)\|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Let $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty,-m] \cup[m, \infty))$ and let $\eta$, $\Phi$ be defined as in (32). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(|\Phi(z)|)\left(\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left(\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}}\right)^{-(p-1) / p}\|V\|_{p}<1 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $z \notin \sigma(H)$.
Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and the inequality

$$
F_{V}(s) \leq\left(\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p} s^{-(p-1) / p}\|V\|_{p}
$$

see [6, Corollary 2.17].
Although the conditions in the above theorems seem to be very complicated, they may still provide explicit eigenvalue bounds as the following example shows.
Example 4.7. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \mu \neq 0$, and consider the massless Dirac operator $H_{\mu}=H_{0}+V_{\mu}$ with potential

$$
V_{\mu}(x)=\frac{2 \mu}{\sinh (2 \mu x+\mathrm{i})}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

see 24. Since

$$
\left\|V_{\mu}\right\|_{p}^{p}=(2|\mu|)^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|\sinh \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \arg (\mu)} x+\mathrm{i}\right)\right|^{p}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

and $\eta(|\Phi(z)|)=1$ for $m=0$ by (32), Corollary 4.6 implies that for every $p>1$, all eigenvalues of $H_{\mu}$ are contained in the strip

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}\left(H_{\mu}\right) \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq|\mu| \frac{4(p-1)}{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|\sinh \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \arg (\mu)} x+\mathrm{i}\right)\right|^{p}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 /(p-1)}\right\}
$$

For $p=1$, one can check that

$$
\left\|V_{\mu}\right\|_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left|\sinh \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \arg (\mu)} x+\mathrm{i}\right)\right|} \mathrm{d} x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|\sinh (x+\mathrm{i})|} \mathrm{d} x(\approx 3.4184)
$$

is greater than one (and independent of $|\mu|$ ) so that Theorem 2.1 cannot exclude the occurrence of nonreal eigenvalues. In fact, it was shown in [24] that $H_{\mu}$ does have the nonreal eigenvalue $\mathrm{i} \mu$.

The result of Corollary 4.6 may also be used to prove that $H$ is similar to a block diagonal matrix operator if the $L^{p}$-norm is sufficiently small and $p \in[2, \infty]$. For more results on block-diagonalization of Dirac operators as well as abstract Hilbert space operators, the reader is referred to [4].

Theorem 4.8. Let $m>0, V_{i j} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$ and some $p \in[2, \infty)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|_{p}<\left(\frac{m p}{2(p-1)}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H$ is similar to a block-diagonal operator,

$$
S H S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{+} & 0 \\
0 & H_{-}
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma\left(H_{ \pm}\right)=\sigma(H) \cap\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \pm \operatorname{Re} z>0\}
$$

Proof. If $z=\mathrm{i} t, t \in \mathbb{R}$, then (38) is less than one, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| Q(\mathrm{i} t)) \|<\left(\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p}\left(\sqrt{t^{2}+m^{2}}\right)^{-(p-1) / p}\left(\frac{m p}{2(p-1)}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \leq 1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\mathrm{i} \mathbb{R} \subset \rho(H)$. Let again $A:=|V|^{1 / 2}, B:=U|V|^{1 / 2}$, and set $Y:=A^{p}$. Since $A_{i j} \in L^{2 p}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $Y_{i j} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, hence $Y$ is $H_{0}$-bounded (see for instance [28, Satz 17.7]). By Heinz' inequality, $Y^{\alpha}$ is $\left|H_{0}\right|^{\alpha}$-bounded for any $\alpha \in(0,1)$. In particular, for $\alpha=1 / p, A$ is $\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p}$-bounded. Thus, since $\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} \geq(m)^{1 / p}$, there exists a constant $\delta_{m}<\infty$ such that for all $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A R_{0}(z)\right\| \leq \delta_{m}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(z)\right\| \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{0}(z) B\right\| \leq \delta_{m}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(z)\right\| \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\chi \in \mathbb{C},|\chi|<1$, let $H(\chi):=H_{0}+\chi V$. By inspection of the resolvent of $H(\chi)$,

$$
(H(\chi)-z)^{-1}=R_{0}(z)-\chi R_{0}(z) B\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+\chi Q(z)\right)^{-1} A R_{0}(z)
$$

it is easily seen that $H(\chi),|\chi|<1$, is a holomorphic family. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\chi) f:=\frac{1}{2} f+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^{R}(H(\chi)-\mathrm{i} t)^{-1} f \mathrm{~d} t, \quad|\chi|<1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that the limit exists and that $P(\chi)$ is a bounded-holomorphic family of projections. By [15, II.4.2], it then follows that there exists a boundedholomorphic family of isomorphisms $U(\chi)$ such that

$$
U(\chi) P(\chi) U(\chi)^{-1}=P(0), \quad \chi \in \mathbb{C}, \quad|\chi|<1
$$

On the other hand, by the standard Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation (i.e. diagonalizing $H_{0}$ in momentum space, see e.g. [25), there exists a unitary operator $\widetilde{U}$ such that

$$
\widetilde{U} P(0) \widetilde{U}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The claim thus follows with $S:=\widetilde{U} U(1)$.

Since $H_{0}$ is selfadjoint, the right hand side of (43) exists for $\chi=0$ and coincides with the spectral projection onto the positive spectral subspace of $H_{0}$, by the spectral theorem. It is thus sufficient to show the convergence of the integral

$$
\left.\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^{R}\left((H(\chi)-\mathrm{i} t)^{-1}-R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t)\right) f, g\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

uniformly in $g \in \mathcal{H},\|g\|=1$, and locally uniformly in $\chi \in \mathbb{C},|\chi|<1$. Indeed, since by (40),

$$
q_{0}:=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|Q(\mathrm{i} t)\|<1
$$

the estimates (41), (42) imply, for $|\chi|<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{-R}^{R} \mid\left((H(\chi)-\mathrm{i} t)^{-1}-R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t)\right) f, g\right) \mid \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq\left(1-q_{0}\right)^{-1} \int_{-R}^{R}\left\|A R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) f\right\|\left\|R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) B g\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq\left(1-q_{0}\right)^{-1} \int_{-R}^{R}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) f\right\|\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) g\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq\left(1-q_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(\int_{-R}^{R}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) f\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{-R}^{R}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) g\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting by $E(\cdot)$ the spectral function of $H_{0}$, we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-R}^{R}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t) f\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t & \leq \int_{\sigma\left(H_{0}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|s|^{2 / p}}{s^{2}+t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d}\|E(s) f\|^{2} \\
& =\pi \int_{\sigma\left(H_{0}\right)}|s|^{(2 / p)-1} \mathrm{~d}\|E(s) f\|^{2} \leq \pi(m)^{(2 / p)-1}\|f\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $P(\chi)$ is a spectral projection corresponding to the right half plane may be deduced from [13, Theorem 3.1] in combination with the residue theorem, see also [17, Theorem 1.1], 4, Theorem 2.4]. In order to apply the latter, it remains to be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(H-\mathrm{i} t)^{-1}\right\|=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the spectral theorem for $H_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(H-\mathrm{i} t)^{-1}\right\| & \leq\left\|\left(H_{0}-\mathrm{i} t\right)^{-1}\right\|+\left\|(H-\mathrm{i} t)^{-1}-\left(H_{0}-\mathrm{i} t\right)^{-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|t|}+\left(1-q_{0}\right)^{-1}\left\|\left|H_{0}\right|^{1 / p} R_{0}(\mathrm{i} t)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{|t|}+\frac{C}{|t|^{1-1 / p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $C>0$. This proves (44).
Remark 4.9. Similar estimates as in (38) have been derived in 5 by a more abstract approach. For example, for $m>0$ and $p=2$, the results of 5] imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(H) \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2\|V\|_{2}^{2}(1+|z|)^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In comparison, (38) above implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(H) \subset\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z^{2}-m^{2}} \leq \eta(|\Phi(z)|)^{2}\|V\|_{2}^{2}\right\} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Asymptotically, (45) and (46) yield that for $z \in \sigma(H)$

$$
|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2\|V\|_{2}^{2}|z|^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq\|V\|_{2}^{2}, \quad|z| \rightarrow \infty
$$

respectively. The second estimate is clearly superior, which is not surprising since the results of [5] are of much more general nature. They are applicable to Dirac operators in arbitrary dimension as well as to abstract Hilbert space operators.

## 5. Embedded eigenvalues and resonances

In this section we show how the previous results may be applied to locate the embedded eigenvalues and resonances of Dirac operators with Hermitian potentials using the method of complex scaling. To this end, we assume that $V$ is dilationanalytic.

For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case $\|V\|_{1}<1$ (see Theorem 2.1); the formulation and proof of analogous results using Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 is straightforward. Moreover, we use a boundedness assumption on $V$ which can be relaxed using the construction of the extension $H$ of $H_{0}+V$ in Section 6 .

Let $U(\theta)$ be the unitary dilation in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$, given by

$$
(U(\theta) f)(x):=\mathrm{e}^{\theta / 2} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\theta} x\right), \quad x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

For $\alpha \in(0, \pi / 2)$ let $\Sigma_{\alpha}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}:|\arg (z)|<\alpha\}$, where $-\pi<\arg (z)<\pi$.
Hypothesis 5.1. Assume that there exists $\alpha \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that:
i) $V: \Sigma_{\alpha} \cup\left(-\Sigma_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is a bounded analytic function;
ii) The restriction of $V$ to the real axis is Hermitian-valued;
iii) For each $\beta \in(0, \alpha)$ the functions $V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}.\right),|\varphi| \leq \beta$, are in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}\right)$ with uniformly bounded $L^{1}$-norms.

We define the complex-dilated operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}(\theta) & :=U(\theta) H_{0} U(\theta)^{-1}=-\mathrm{ie}^{-\theta} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \sigma_{1}+m \sigma_{3} \\
V(\theta) & :=U(\theta) V U(\theta)^{-1}=V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\theta} \cdot\right) \\
H(\theta) & :=U(\theta)\left(H_{0}+V\right) U(\theta)^{-1}=H_{0}(\theta)+V(\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $H_{0}(\theta)$ has an extension to an entire family of type (A) in the sense of Kato [15, VII.2], see e.g. [27, Lemma 1].

Proposition 5.2. Assume that Hypothesis 5.1 is satisfied for some $\alpha \in(0, \pi / 2)$. Then the following hold:
i) $V(\theta)$ has an extension to an analytic bounded operator-valued function in the strip $S_{\alpha}:=\{\theta \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} \theta|<\alpha\} ;$
ii) For $\mu \in \mathbb{R},|\mu|$ sufficiently large, i $\mu \in \rho(H(\theta))$ for all $\theta \in S_{\alpha}$, and for $\mathrm{i} \mu \in \rho(H(\theta))$ fixed, $(H(\theta)-\mathrm{i} \mu)^{-1}$ is an analytic bounded operator-valued function in $S_{\alpha}$;
iii) $U(\varphi) H(\theta) U(\varphi)^{-1}=H(\theta+\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in S_{\alpha}$;
iv) $\sigma(H(\theta))$ depends only on $\operatorname{Im} \theta$;
v) $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}(\theta)\right)=\left\{ \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \theta} p^{2}+m^{2}}: p \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$;
vi) $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H(\theta)) \cap \mathbb{R}=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(H) \backslash\{-m, m\}$;
vii) For $\operatorname{Im} \theta \in(0, \alpha)$, all nonreal eigenvalues of $H(\theta)$ lie in the region

$$
D_{\theta}:=\left\{ \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \omega} p^{2}+m^{2}}: p \in \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Im} \omega \in[0, \operatorname{Im} \theta]\right\}
$$

see Fig. 2. If $0<\operatorname{Im} \theta_{1}<\operatorname{Im} \theta_{2}<\alpha$, then $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}\left(H\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right) \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}\left(H\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right)$.
viii) For $\beta \in(0, \alpha)$, the function $\varphi \mapsto\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\right\|_{1}$ is logarithmically convex in the interval $[-\beta, \beta]$.


Figure 2. Eigenvalues of $H$ and the set $D_{\theta}$ enclosing resonances of $H$.

Proof. i) Since $S_{\alpha}$ is mapped onto $\Sigma_{\alpha}$ under the mapping $\theta \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\theta}$, it follows that $V(\theta) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$. It is easy to see that $V(\theta), \theta \in S_{\alpha}$, is weakly analytic, and hence analytic in norm, see e.g. [15, Theorem III.1.3.7].
ii) Since $V(\theta)$ is uniformly bounded in the operator norm, $\|V(\theta)\| \leq M<\infty$, the spectrum of $H(\theta)$ is contained in the $M$-neighbourhood of $\sigma\left(H_{0}(\theta)\right)$ by the stability of bounded invertibility. Hence, i $\mu \in \rho(H(\theta))$ for $|\mu|$ sufficiently large. The analyticity of $(H(\theta)-\mathrm{i} \mu)^{-1}$ follows from the formula

$$
(H(\theta)-\mathrm{i} \mu)^{-1}=\left(H_{0}(\theta)-\mathrm{i} \mu\right)^{-1}\left(I+V(\theta)\left(H_{0}(\theta)-\mathrm{i} \mu\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

and from the observation that $H_{0}(\theta)$ is a normal operator, whence for $|\mu|$ sufficiently large,

$$
\left\|\left(H_{0}(\theta)-\mathrm{i} \mu\right)^{-1}\right\|=\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathrm{i} \mu, \sigma\left(H_{0}(\theta)\right)<1 / M\right.
$$

iii) is clearly valid for real $\theta$, and since both sides of the equation are analytic, the claim follows from the identity theorem. iv) is a direct consequence of iii).

For the proof of v)-vii), we refer to [21, Theorem 1], compare also [19, XIII.36]. Unlike in 21, we do not assume that $V$ is $H_{0}$-compact; however, as already mentioned in the introduction, since $V$ decays at infinity the resolvent difference of $H$ and $H_{0}$ is compact and thus their essential spectra are the same by [9, Theorem IX.2.4]. Since

$$
(H(\theta)-z)^{-1}-\left(H_{0}(\theta)-z\right)^{-1}=U(\theta)\left((H-z)^{-1}-\left(H_{0}-z\right)^{-1}\right) U(\theta)^{-1}
$$

the same applies to the essential spectra of $H(\theta)$ and $H_{0}(\theta)$ and thus the proof of [21, Theorem 1] carries through in the case considered here.
viii) Let $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{i j}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\theta} x\right) g(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

depends analytically on $\theta \in S_{\alpha}$ since on any compact subset $K \subset S_{\alpha}$ the absolute value of the integral is bounded by

$$
\rho \cdot \sup _{|\varphi| \leq \beta}\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1} \cdot\|g\|_{\infty} \quad \text { where } \quad \rho:=\min _{\theta \in K} \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Re} \theta}, \quad \beta:=\max _{\theta \in K}|\operatorname{Im} \theta| .
$$

Hence, the map $\left(\theta \mapsto V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\theta} \cdot\right)\right): S_{\alpha} \rightarrow L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}\right)$ is weakly (and hence strongly) analytic. For $\beta \in(0, \alpha)$ consider the map

$$
F: S_{\beta} \rightarrow L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}\right), \quad F(\theta):=\mathrm{e}^{\theta} V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\theta} \cdot\right)
$$

which is analytic, continuous up to the boundary of $S_{\beta}$, and uniformly bounded in $\overline{S_{\beta}}$. The claim follows by applying Hadamard's three-lines theorem for analytic functions with values in a Banach space, see e.g. [8, III.14], to $F$ and noting that $\|F(\mathrm{i} \varphi)\|_{1}=\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} .\right)\right\|_{1}$.

It may be shown, see [21, Theorem 2], that the resolvent $(H-z)^{-1}$ has a (manysheeted) analytic continuation to the set $\rho\left(H_{\theta}\right)$. The poles of the analytically continued resolvent are called the resonances of $H$, and they are located precisely at the eigenvalues of $H_{\theta}$. We denote the set of resonances of $H$ by $\mathcal{R}(H)$.

Theorem 5.3. Assume that $V$ satisfies Hypothesis 5.1 with $\alpha \in(0, \pi / 2)$.
i) If $\operatorname{Im} \theta \in[0, \alpha)$ and

$$
v_{\theta}:=\inf _{\operatorname{Im} \theta \leq \varphi<\alpha}\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}<1
$$

then the resonances of $H$ satisfy the inclusion

$$
\mathcal{R}(H) \cap D_{\theta} \subset K_{m r_{\theta}}\left(m x_{\theta}\right) \cup K_{m r_{\theta}}\left(-m x_{\theta}\right)
$$

where

$$
x_{\theta}:=\sqrt{\frac{v_{\theta}^{4}-2 v_{\theta}^{2}+2}{4\left(1-v_{\theta}^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r_{\theta}:=\sqrt{\frac{v_{\theta}^{4}-2 v_{\theta}^{2}+2}{4\left(1-v_{\theta}^{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

ii) If $V$ is scalar-valued and sign-definite, then $v_{\theta}=\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im} \theta} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}$ in i).
iii) Assume that $\|V\|_{1}<1$. Then all eigenvalues of $H$ (including the embedded ones) are contained in the intervals

$$
\left(-m\left(x_{0}+r_{0}\right),-m\left(x_{0}-r_{0}\right)\right) \cup\left(m\left(x_{0}-r_{0}\right), m\left(x_{0}+r_{0}\right)\right),
$$

where $x_{0}, r_{0}$ are given in (10) (i.e. (48) with $\left.v_{\theta}=v_{0}=\|V\|_{1}\right)$.
iv) If $m=0$ and $\|V\|_{1}<1$, then there are no resonances close to the real axis; more precisely, if we set

$$
\varphi_{0}:=\sup \left\{\operatorname{Im} \theta \in[0, \alpha): v_{\theta}<1\right\}(>0)
$$

then

$$
\mathcal{R}(H) \cap\left\{ \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \omega} p^{2}+m^{2}}: p \in \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Im} \omega \in\left[0, \varphi_{0}\right]\right\}=\emptyset
$$

Proof. i) Let $\left(\theta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S_{\alpha}$ be such that $\varphi_{n}:=\operatorname{Im} \theta_{n} \geq \operatorname{Im} \theta, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im} \theta_{n}} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1} \longrightarrow v_{\theta}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im} \theta_{n}}\right)\right\|_{1}<1$ for all $n \geq N$. Since

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} H\left(\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}\right)=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \sigma_{1}+m \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} \sigma_{3}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} .\right)
$$

and $\left|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}}\right|=1$, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 5.2 iii) imply that for all $n \geq N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} H\left(\theta_{n}\right) \subset K_{m r_{\theta_{n}}}\left(m \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} x_{\theta_{n}}\right) \uplus K_{m r_{\theta_{n}}}\left(-m \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}} x_{\theta_{n}}\right)\right. \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we have to modify the proof of Theorem 2.1] slightly to take the complex mass term $m^{\prime}:=m \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ into account. It is easy to see, however, that we only have to replace $m^{\prime} r_{\theta}$ by $\left|m^{\prime}\right| r_{\theta}$. By Proposition 5.2 vii) and (50), it follows that for all $n \geq N$

$$
\mathcal{R}(H) \cap D_{\theta} \subset K_{m r_{\theta_{n}}}\left(m x_{\theta_{n}}\right) \cup K_{m r_{\theta_{n}}}\left(-m x_{\theta_{n}}\right) .
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ proves (47).
ii) Without loss of generality, assume that $V \geq 0$. We show that $\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}$ achieves a global minimum at $\varphi=0$. It then follows from Proposition 5.2viii) that $\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}$ must be monotonically increasing in $|\varphi|$, and hence $v_{\theta}=\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iIm} \theta} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}$.

Let $\varphi \in(0, \alpha), R>0$, and define the curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1} & :=\left\{x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}:-R \leq x \leq R\right\} \\
\gamma_{2} & :=\left\{R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}: \varphi \geq t \geq 0\right\} \\
\gamma_{3} & :=\left\{R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}: \pi \leq t \leq \pi+\varphi\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy's theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-R}^{R} V(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{\gamma_{j}} V(z) \mathrm{d} z . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that the contribution of the integrals over $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ vanishes in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, let $z=R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}, 0 \leq t \leq \varphi$, be a parametrization of $\gamma_{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\gamma_{2}} V(z) \mathrm{d} z=\mathrm{i} R \int_{0}^{\varphi} V\left(R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Fubini's theorem and assumption iii) of Hypothesis 5.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{\varphi} V\left(R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} \mathrm{~d} t\right| \mathrm{d} R & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\varphi}\left|V\left(R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} R \\
& =\int_{0}^{\varphi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|V\left(R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} R \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\varphi}\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \varphi \cdot \sup _{|t| \leq \varphi}\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the function

$$
R \mapsto \int_{0}^{\varphi} V\left(R \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

belongs to $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and is thus $o(1 / R)$. Hence, by (52), the integral over $\gamma_{2}$ tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The proof for $\gamma_{3}$ is analogous.

It now follows from (51) that, in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\gamma_{1}} V(z) \mathrm{d} z=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} x\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Taking the absolute value on both sides proves that $\|V\|_{1} \leq\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}$ for all $\varphi \in(0, \alpha)$. The proof for $\varphi \in[-\alpha, 0)$ is analogous.
iii) By the proof of Proposition 5.2 viii), $\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\right\|_{1}$ is continuous, so that

$$
\lim _{\varphi \searrow 0}\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}=\|V\|_{1} .
$$

Let $\left(\theta_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S_{\alpha}$ be such that $\varphi_{n}:=\operatorname{Im} \theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi_{n}}\right)\right\|_{1} \rightarrow\|V\|_{1}, n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\right\|_{1}<1, n \geq N$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{ \pm m\}$ is an eigenvalue of $H$, then by Proposition 5.2 vi), $\lambda \in \sigma\left(H\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)$ for all $n \geq N$. The inclusion (49) now follows from (50) if we take $n \rightarrow \infty$.
iv) is immediate from i) since then $m r_{\theta}=0$ (recall that we use the convention $K_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)=\emptyset$.

Remark 5.4. The resonance enclosure (47) in Theorem5.3may be used for every $\theta$, with $v_{\theta}<1$. However, increasing $\operatorname{Im} \theta$ in order to enlarge the set $D_{\theta}$ revealing the resonances increases the size of the resonance-enclosing disks $K_{m r_{\theta}\left( \pm m x_{\theta}\right)}$. For every $\theta$, the disks $K_{m r_{\theta}\left( \pm m x_{\theta}\right)}$ intersect the boundary $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(H(\theta))$ of $D_{\theta}$ in only one point each. The set of intersection points consists of two curves parametrized by $\operatorname{Im} \theta$, and all resonances in $D_{\alpha}$ in the lower (upper) half plane lie below (above) these curves. The shape of the resonance-enclosing set corresponding to Example 5.5 is illustrated in Figure 5 .

Example 5.5. Consider the resonances and embedded eigenvalues for the potential

$$
V(x)=a \mathrm{e}^{-b x^{2}} I_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}
$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}, b>0$. Clearly, $V$ has an analytic continuation to an entire function, bounded on $\overline{\Sigma_{\pi / 4}}$. Moreover, for $|\varphi|<\pi / 4$, the function $V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)$ is in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with norm

$$
\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}=\frac{|a| \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{b \cos (2 \varphi)}}
$$

hence it is uniformly bounded for $|\varphi| \leq \beta<\pi / 4$. Since $V(x) \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$, by Theorem 5.3 ii), $v_{\theta}=\left\|V\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \operatorname{Im} \theta} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1}$. Hence, if $|a| \sqrt{\pi} / \sqrt{b}<1$, then $v_{\theta}<1$ for all $\theta \in[0, \pi / 4)$ with

$$
\operatorname{Im} \theta<\frac{1}{2} \arccos \left(\frac{|a|^{2} \pi}{b}\right) .
$$

Therefore, for these $\theta$, Theorem 5.3 i) and iii) apply; for example, the resonances in $D_{\pi / 6}$ lie in the union of the two disks $K_{m r_{\pi / 6}}\left( \pm m x_{\pi / 6}\right)$ with

$$
x_{\pi / 6}=\frac{b-a^{2} \pi}{\sqrt{b\left(b-2 a^{2} \pi\right)}}, \quad r_{\pi / 6}=\frac{a^{2} \pi}{\sqrt{b\left(b-2 a^{2} \pi\right)}}
$$

the eigenvalues of $H$ (including the embedded ones) lie in the two intervals

$$
\left(-m\left(1-\frac{a^{2} \pi}{b}\right)^{-1 / 2},-m\left(1-\frac{a^{2} \pi}{b}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \cup\left(m\left(1-\frac{a^{2} \pi}{b}\right)^{1 / 2}, m\left(1-\frac{a^{2} \pi}{b}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Figure 5 shows the region of resonance enclosure in the lower half plane; the picture in the upper half plane is just the mirror image.


Figure 3. The resonances of Example 5.5 in the lower half plane are are situated within the area between the two red curves.

## 6. Construction of $H$ for potentials in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$

In Sections 25 we assumed in all proofs that $V$ is bounded, so that we could conveniently define the sum of $H_{0}$ and $V$. In this final section we show how to construct a closed extension $H$ of $H_{0}+V$ for $V \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

One might first try to approximate $V \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ by bounded potentials $V_{n}$, and then show that the operators $H_{n}=H_{0}+V_{n}$ converge in the norm-resolvent topology to some operator $H$. If $V$ were Hermitian-valued (and thus $H_{n}, H$ selfadjoint), we could conclude that the eigenvalue estimates also hold for the limit operator $H$. However, for non-Hermitian potentials, this need not be true since the spectrum is not lower-semicontinuous on the metric space of closed operators, see [15, IV.3.2].

Therefore, we need a more direct access to the perturbed operator $H$. If we define it via its resolvent by equation (22), then it will turn out to be a closed extension of $H_{0}+V$. The precise statement is given in the subsequent abstract theorem, which includes the general version of the Birman-Schwinger principle. We note that this construction is more general than a quadratic form approach or even an operator perturbation approach, see [12, Remark 2.4 iii)].

Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces, and let $H_{0}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and $B: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be closed densely defined operators. Suppose that $\rho\left(H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and that the following hold:
a) $A R_{0}(z) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $R_{0}(z) B$ has bounded closure.
b) For some (and hence for all ) $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$, the operator $A R_{0}(z) B$ has bounded closure

$$
Q(z):=\overline{A R_{0}(z) B} \in \mathrm{~L}(\mathcal{K})
$$

c) $-1 \in \rho\left(Q\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $z_{0} \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$.

Then there exists a closed densely defined extension $H$ of $H_{0}+B A$ whose resolvent $R(z)=(H-z)^{-1}, z \in \rho(H)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=R_{0}(z)-\overline{R_{0}(z) B}\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+Q(z)\right)^{-1} A R_{0}(z) \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}), \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \cap \rho(H) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\rho(H) \cap \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\left\{z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right):-1 \in \rho(Q(z))\right\}
$$

Moreover, for $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$, the subspaces $\operatorname{ker}(H-z)$ and $\operatorname{ker}(I+Q(z))$ are isomorphic.
Proof. The proof may be found e.g. in [12], compare also [14, 16].
Remark 6.2. If $H_{0}+V$ has nonempty resolvent set, and is, hence, closed, then $H=H_{0}+V$. In particular, this is the case whenever $V$ is bounded, or more generally, $H_{0}$-bounded with relative bound less than one. For example, this holds if $V_{i, j} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $p \in[2, \infty]$, see e.g. [28, Satz 17.7]. Note that the whole $L^{p}$-scale, $p \in[1, \infty]$, is contained in the class $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ considered in Section 4

Since the proofs of Sections 25 only involve the resolvent $R_{0}(z)$, they admit straightforward generalizations to the case where $V$ is unbounded and $H$ is the operator given by Theorem 6.1] one just has to replace $R_{0}(z) B$ and $A R_{0}(z) B$ by their bounded closures everywhere. Indeed, (16) and (23) guarantee that the conditions a)-c) of Theorem 6.1 are satisfied. What remains to be shown is that
(1) the different factorizations of $V$ used in Section 4 lead to the same extension $H$
(2) we still have $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(H)=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}\right)$.

To address (1) we introduce the following definition.
Definition 6.3. Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be Hilbert spaces, and let $H_{0}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $B: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, A^{\prime}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}: \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be such that $B A=B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$. Suppose that the triples $\left(H_{0}, A, B\right)$ and $\left(H_{0}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem6.1. The two factorizations $V:=B A=B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ are called compatible if the following hold:
i) The operators $A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B$ and $A R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}$ have bounded closure for one (and hence for all) $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$,

$$
F(z):=\overline{A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B} \in \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right), \quad G(z):=\overline{A R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}} \in \mathrm{L}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\right)
$$

ii) There exist dense linear manifolds $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ such that for all $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} & \subset\left\{f \in \mathcal{H}: R_{0}(z) f \in \mathcal{D}(V), R_{0}(z) V R_{0}(z) f \in \mathcal{D}(V)\right\} \\
\mathcal{D} & \subset\left\{f \in \mathcal{D}(B): R_{0}(z) B f \in \mathcal{D}(V)\right\} \\
\mathcal{D}^{\prime} & \subset\left\{f \in \mathcal{D}\left(B^{\prime}\right): R_{0}(z) B^{\prime} f \in \mathcal{D}(V)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.4. If $V=B A=B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ are two compatible factorizations, then the corresponding extensions $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ of $H_{0}+V$ in Theorem 6.1 coincide.
Proof. By the first resolvent identity for $H_{0}$, for $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$,

$$
A^{\prime} R_{0}\left(z_{1}\right) B-A^{\prime} R_{0}\left(z_{2}\right) B=\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) A^{\prime} R_{0}\left(z_{2}\right) R_{0}\left(z_{1}\right) B
$$

Since the right hand side has bounded (everywhere defined) closure by assumption i), it follows that $A^{\prime} R_{0}\left(z_{1}\right) B$ has bounded closure if and only if $A^{\prime} R_{0}\left(z_{2}\right) B$ does. Denote

$$
Q(z):=\overline{A R_{0}(z) B}, \quad Q^{\prime}(z):=\overline{A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}}, \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)
$$

For $f \in \mathcal{D}, g \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}, z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$, we then have the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(z) Q(z) f & =A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B A R_{0}(z) B f=A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B^{\prime} A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B f=Q^{\prime}(z) F(z) f \\
G(z) Q^{\prime}(z) g & =A R_{0}(z) B^{\prime} A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) B^{\prime} g=A R_{0}(z) B A R_{0}(z) B^{\prime} g=Q(z) G(z) g
\end{aligned}
$$

which extend to all $f \in \mathcal{K}, g \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ by continuity, due to ii). In particular, for all $z \in \rho(H)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(z)\left(I_{\mathcal{K}} \pm Q(z)\right) & =\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}} \pm Q^{\prime}(z)\right) F(z) \\
G(z)\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}} \pm Q^{\prime}(z)\right) & =\left(I_{\mathcal{K}} \pm Q(z)\right) G(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identities above, one can check that if $-1 \in \rho(Q(z))$, then $-1 \in \rho\left(Q^{\prime}(z)\right)$ and vice versa, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-1} & =\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}-Q^{\prime}(z)\right)+F(z)\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+Q(z)\right)^{-1} G(z)  \tag{54}\\
\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+Q(z)\right)^{-1} & =\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}-Q(z)\right)+G(z)\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-1} F(z) \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves that

$$
\rho(H) \cap \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right) \cap \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

Using formula (54) and the equality $B A=B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$, we infer that on the linear manifold $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$, for all $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \cap \rho(H)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{0}(z) B\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+Q(z)\right)^{-1} A R_{0}(z) \\
& = \\
& \quad R_{0}(z) V R_{0}(z)-R_{0}(z) V R_{0}(z) V R_{0}(z) \\
& \quad+R_{0}(z) V R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-1} A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) V R_{0}(z) \\
& = \\
& =R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}-Q^{\prime}(z)+Q^{\prime}(z)\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-1} Q^{\prime}(z)\right) A^{\prime} R_{0}(z) \\
& = \\
& R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}\left(I_{\mathcal{K}^{\prime}}+Q^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-1} A^{\prime} R_{0}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, this identity extends to all of $\mathcal{H}$ by continuity if we replace $R_{0}(z) B$ and $R_{0}(z) B^{\prime}$ by their (bounded) closures, and hence formula (53) for the resolvents of $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ shows that

$$
(H-z)^{-1}=\left(H^{\prime}-z\right)^{-1}, \quad z \in \rho(H) \cap \rho\left(H_{0}\right)=\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right) \cap \rho\left(H_{0}\right) .
$$

Proposition 6.5. Let $H_{0}$ be the free Dirac operator (1) on $\mathcal{H}=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$, and let $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$. For any decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=W+X, \quad W_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad X_{i j} \in L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

define $A, B$ as in (35) on their natural domain. Then all decompositions of the form (56) give rise to compatible factorizations $V=B A$. Moreover, these factorizations are also compatible with the one in (11).

Proof. We only prove the first claim. The proof of the second one is analogous. Let $W, W^{\prime} \in\left(L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{4}$ and $X, X^{\prime} \in\left(L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{4}$ be such that

$$
V=W+X=W^{\prime}+X^{\prime}
$$

It is easy to see that $A^{\sharp} R_{0}(z), \overline{R_{0}(z) B^{\sharp}}$ and $\overline{A^{\sharp} R_{0}(z) B^{\sharp}}$ are all bounded; here, $A^{\sharp}$ stands for $A$ or $A^{\prime}$, and $B^{\sharp}$ stands for $B$ or $B^{\prime}$. This shows that the condition i) of Definition 6.3 is satisfied.

In order to check condition ii) of Definition 6.3, let $\Xi(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the linear submanifold of step functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We set

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\Xi(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}, \quad \mathcal{D}:=\Xi(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{4}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}:=\Xi(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{4}
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}$ are dense. Here, we only show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \subset\left\{f \in \mathcal{D}(B): R_{0}(z) B f \in \mathcal{D}(V)\right\}, \quad z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

the proofs of the other two inclusions in Definition 6.3 ii) are similar. Note that, since $X$ is bounded, we have

$$
\mathcal{D}(B)=\mathcal{D}\left(B_{W}\right) \oplus \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{D}(V)=\mathcal{D}(W)
$$

Let $f:=\chi_{[a, b]} \otimes(\alpha, \beta)^{t}$ for some $a<b$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ with $f_{1}=\chi_{[a, b]} \otimes(\alpha, 0)^{t}, f_{2}=\chi_{[a, b]} \otimes(0, \beta)^{t}$ and for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\|B(x) f_{1}(x)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq|\alpha|^{2} \int_{a}^{b}\|V(x)\| \mathrm{d} x \leq|\alpha|^{2}\left(C_{\varepsilon}+(b-a) \varepsilon\right)
$$

whence $f \in \mathcal{D}(B)$. Now let $z \in \rho\left(H_{0}\right)$ and set $g:=R_{0}(z) B f$. Then

$$
\|g(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \leq \eta|\alpha| \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|}\|W(y)\|^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} y+\eta|\beta|\|X\| \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} y
$$

where we abbreviated $\eta(|\Phi(z)|)$ by $\eta$. For $h \in \mathcal{D}\left(W^{*}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|\left(W^{*} h, g\right)\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\|\|h(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}\|g(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \eta|\alpha| I_{1}(h)+\eta|\beta|\|X\| I_{2}(h)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(h) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{a}^{b}\|W(x)\|\|h(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|}\|W(y)\|^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \eta\|h\| \int_{a}^{b}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2}\|W(y)\|^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq \eta\|h\|\left(\sup _{a \leq y \leq b} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{a}^{b}\|W(y)\|^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq \eta\|h\|\left(\sup _{a \leq y \leq b} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2}(b-a) \int_{a}^{b}\|W(y)\| \mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(h) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{a}^{b}\|W(x)\|\|h(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \eta\|h\|(b-a)\left(\sup _{a \leq y \leq b} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The supremum in the above two estimates is finite; indeed, repeated application of Young's inequality yields

$$
\sup _{a \leq y \leq b} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|W(x)\|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)|x-y|} \mathrm{d} x \leq\|W\|_{1}^{4}\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-2 \operatorname{Im} k(z)|\cdot|}\right\|_{6 / 7}
$$

This shows that $g \in \mathcal{D}\left(W^{* *}\right)=\mathcal{D}(W)$. The claim now follows from Proposition 6.4

In order to prove the invariance of the essential spectrum under perturbations $V \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we use that the norm of the $L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ part can be made arbitrarily small. By the same arguments as explained in the introduction, this implies that $\sigma(H) \backslash \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(H)=\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(H)$ also holds for unbounded $V$.
Proposition 6.6. Let $H_{0}$ be the free Dirac operator (1) on $\mathcal{H}=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$, and let $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $i, j=1,2$. Then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(H)=\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}\left(H_{0}\right)=(-\infty,-m] \cup[m, \infty)
$$

Proof. Suppose first that $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $V=B A$ with $A$ and $B$ given by (11). By (23), $A R_{0}(z)$ and $\overline{R_{0}(z) B}$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators, which implies that the resolvent difference $R(z)-R_{0}(z)$ is compact (even trace class). The equality of the essential spectra of $H_{0}$ and $H$ thus follows from [9, Theorem IX.2.4].

If $V_{i j} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})+L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we choose sequences $\left(W_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left(L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{4}$ and $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset$ $\left(L_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{4}$ such that $V=W_{n}+X_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left\|X_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, let

$$
A_{n}:=\binom{A_{W_{n}}}{A_{X_{n}}}, \quad B_{n}:=\left(B_{W_{n}} B_{X_{n}}\right), \quad Q_{n}(z):=\overline{A_{n} R_{0}(z) B_{n}}
$$

where e.g. $A_{W_{n}}:=\left|W_{n}\right|^{1 / 2}, B_{W_{n}}:=U_{W_{n}}\left|W_{n}\right|^{1 / 2}$, and $U_{W_{n}}$ is the partial isometry in the polar decomposition of $W_{n}$. By Proposition 6.5 it follows that

$$
R(z)=R_{0}(z)-\overline{R_{0}(z) B_{n}}\left(I_{\mathcal{K}}+Q_{n}(z)\right)^{-1} A_{n} R_{0}(z)
$$

is independent of $n$. Using the relation (54) or (55), we obtain

$$
R(z)-R_{0}(z)=S_{n}+T_{n}
$$

where each summand of $S_{n}$ contains at least one factor of $A_{W_{n}} R_{0}(z), \overline{R_{0}(z) B_{W_{n}}}$ or $\overline{A_{W_{n}} R_{0}(z) B_{W_{n}}}$, and each summand of $T_{n}$ contains only factors of $A_{X_{n}}, B_{X_{n}}$ or $R_{0}(z)$. This means that $S_{n}$ is compact (even Hilbert-Schmidt), while $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $R(z)-R_{0}(z)$ is the norm limit of compact operators and hence compact itself.

Acknowledgements. The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of Schweizerischer Nationalfonds, SNF, through the postdoc stipend PBBEP2__136596; the third author thanks for the support of SNF, grant no. 200021-119826/1, and of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG, grant no. TR368/6-2. Both thank the Institut MittagLeffler for the support and kind hospitality within the RIP programme (Research in Peace), during which this manuscript was completed.

## References

[1] A. A. Abramov, A. Aslanyan, and E. B. Davies. Bounds on complex eigenvalues and resonances. J. Phys. A, 34(1):57-72, 2001.
[2] Vincent Bruneau and El Maati Ouhabaz. Lieb-Thirring estimates for non-self-adjoint Schrödinger operators. J. Math. Phys., 49(9):093504, 10, 2008.
[3] R. C. Cascaval, F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, and Y. Latushkin. Spectral analysis of Darboux transformations for the focusing NLS hierarchy. J. Anal. Math., 93:139-197, 2004.
[4] J. Cuenin. Block-diagonalization of operators with gaps, with applications to Dirac operators. Submitted, 2011.
[5] J. Cuenin and C. Tretter. Perturbation of spectra and resolvent estimates. In preparation, 2011.
[6] E. B. Davies and J. Nath. Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 148(1):1-28, 2002. On the occasion of the 65 th birthday of Professor Michael Eastham.
[7] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz. Linear operators. Part III. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley \& Sons Inc., New York, 1988. Spectral operators, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1971 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[8] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz. Linear operators. Part I. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley \& Sons Inc., New York, 1988. General theory, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1958 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[9] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans. Spectral theory and differential operators. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1987. Oxford Science Publications.
[10] R. L. Frank. Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. ArXiv e-prints, may 2010.
[11] R. L. Frank, . Laptev, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer. Lieb-Thirring inequalities for Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials. Lett. Math. Phys., 77(3):309-316, 2006.
[12] F. Gesztesy, Y. Latushkin, M. Mitrea, and M. Zinchenko. Nonselfadjoint operators, infinite determinants, and some applications. Russ. J. Math. Phys., 12(4):443-471, 2005.
[13] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and M. A. Kaashoek. Classes of linear operators. Vol. I, volume 49 of Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
[14] T. Kato. Wave operators and similarity for some non-selfadjoint operators. Math. Ann., 162:258-279, 1965/1966.
[15] T. Kato. Perturbation theory for linear operators. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
[16] T. Kato. Holomorphic families of Dirac operators. Math. Z., 183(3):399-406, 1983.
[17] H. Langer and C. Tretter. Diagonalization of certain block operator matrices and applications to Dirac operators. In Operator theory and analysis (Amsterdam, 1997), volume 122 of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 331-358. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
[18] A. Laptev and O. Safronov. Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. Comm. Math. Phys., 292(1):29-54, 2009.
[19] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.
[20] O. Safronov. Estimates for eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a complex potential. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 42(3):452-456, 2010.
[21] P. Šeba. The complex scaling method for Dirac resonances. Lett. Math. Phys., 16(1):51-59, 1988.
[22] Johannes Sjöstrand and Maciej Zworski. Fractal upper bounds on the density of semiclassical resonances. Duke Math. J., 137(3):381-459, 2007.
[23] I.-P. P. Syroid. Nonselfadjoint perturbation of the continuous spectrum of the Dirac operator. Ukrain. Mat. Zh., 35(1):115-119, 137, 1983.
[24] I.-P. P. Syroid. The nonselfadjoint one-dimensional Dirac operator on the whole axis. Mat. Metody i Fiz.-Mekh. Polya, (25):3-7, 101, 1987.
[25] B. Thaller. The Dirac equation. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[26] C. Tretter. Spectral theory of block operator matrices and applications. Imperial College Press, London, 2008.
[27] R. A. Weder. Spectral properties of the Dirac Hamiltonian. Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles Sér. I, 87:341-355, 1973.
[28] J. Weidmann. Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen. Teil II. Mathematische Leitfäden. [Mathematical Textbooks]. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 2003. Anwendungen. [Applications].
[29] M. Zworski. Quantum resonances and partial differential equations. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III (Beijing, 2002), pages 243-252, Beijing, 2002. Higher Ed. Press.

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address: j.cuenin@imperial.ac.uk
Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address: a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk
Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bern, Sidlerstr. 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
E-mail address: tretter@math.unibe.ch

