Large Negative Electronic Compressibility of LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ Interfaces with Ultrathin LaAlO₃ Layers

V. Tinkl,¹ M. Breitschaft,¹ C. Richter,^{1,2} and J. Mannhart^{2,*}

¹Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism,

University of Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 1, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

²Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

(Dated: October 8, 2018)

A two-dimensional electron liquid is formed at the *n*-type interface between SrTiO₃ and LaAlO₃. Here we report on Kelvin probe microscopy measurements of the electronic compressibility of this electron system. The electronic compressibility is found to be negative for carrier densities of $\approx 10^{13}$ /cm². At even smaller densities, a metal-to-insulator transition occurs. These local measurements corroborate earlier measurements of the electronic compressibility of LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interfaces obtained by measuring the capacitance of macroscopic metal-LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ capacitors.

PACS numbers: 73.20.-r, 73.40.Cg, 68.37.Ps

It has been established that a two-dimensional (2D) sheet of mobile electrons is generated at the interface between the TiO_2 -terminated (001) surface of $SrTiO_3$ and $LaAlO_3$.^{1–3} This electron system has remarkable properties that differ significantly from the properties of 2D electron gases embedded in semiconductor heterostructures. For example, the characteristic carrier density n at LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interfaces equals several 10^{13} /cm², which is well above the typical densities of 10^{11} - 10^{12} /cm² found in semiconductor heterostructures. The charge carriers at the interface originate from an electronic reconstruction^{4,5} and occupy Ti 3d t_{2g} states at the interface TiO₂ layer.⁶ For the effective mass of the electrons values in the range of 1 to 3.2 bare electron masses were reported.^{3,7–9} In the samples investigated the electron mobility is of order $1000 \,\mathrm{cm}^2/(\mathrm{Vs})$ at $4.2 \,\mathrm{K}^{10}$ Moreover the system shows coexistent superconductivity and magnetism if cooled to low temperatures.^{11–13} Furthermore, by performing capacitance measurements on SrTiO₃-LaAlO₃-Au and $SrTiO_3$ -LaAlO_3-YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-x} capacitors, a state with negative electronic compressibility $\kappa = (n^2 d\mu/dn)^{-1}$ was identified, where μ is the electrochemical potential.¹⁴ In a dilute electron gas, a negative electronic compressibility results from the dominance of exchange and correlations terms, which apparently explain the negative compressibility in some semiconductor heterostructures.¹⁵ The origin of the observed negative compressibility of the less diluted electron liquid at LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interfaces has not been identified completely. The negative electronic compressibility of the LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interface electron system was found to exceed the negative compressibility of 2D electron gases in Si heterostructures by a factor of at least ten.¹⁶ The negative electronic compressibility is also much larger than the negative compressibility recently reported in carbon nanotubes and GaAs structures.^{17–19} Although all studies of the negative electronic compressibility at LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interfaces were done with samples in which the $LaAlO_3$ layers were 10 or 12 unit cells thick to prevent tunneling currents, which

are unfavorable in capacitance measurements, the negative electronic compressibility has been predicted to occur also in samples with LaAlO₃ films as thin as four monolayers, the thinnest films to generate a conducting LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interface.¹⁰ Because tunneling and leakage currents through the LaAlO₃ layer undermine the accuracy of measurements of the electronic compressibility using planar capacitors, we have explored such samples by local measurements of the electronic compressibility. For this we used Kelvin probe microscopy, which has previously been employed on LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ heterostructures to map the distribution of the surface potential.²⁰ With Kelvin probe microscopy it is possible to measure the electronic compressibility of samples grown without a top gate, which would be detrimental to the studies of $LaAlO_3$ -SrTiO₃ heterostructures with 4 unit-cell-thick $LaAlO_3$ layers.^{14,21,22}

To measure the electronic compressibility of LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interfaces we fabricated LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ heterostructures comprising 4 unit-cell-thick (1.6 nm) epitaxial LaAlO₃ films. The LaAlO₃ films were grown by pulsed laser deposition on the TiO₂-terminated^{23,24} (001) surface of SrTiO₃ crystals. The deposition was performed at a substrate temperature of 780 °C, an oxygen background pressure of $\approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar, and was monitored by reflection high-energy electron diffraction. After cooling the samples in 400 mbar O_2 , an aluminum shadow mask with a rectangular hole was attached to the samples' surfaces. Using this mask, Ar ion etching was employed to etch holes into the SrTiO₃ substrates, which were then filled with electron-beam evaporated Ti to contact the interface electron liquid. After transporting the samples to the preparation chamber of the scanning probe microscope (SPM) they were heated radiatively for > 40 minutes to ≈ 170 °C to clean their surfaces. The SPM, which operates in ultrahigh vacuum at 4.7 K, utilizes a cantilever based on a quartz tuning fork (qPlussensor)²⁵ with a spring constant of ≈ 1800 N/m. An iridium spall treated in situ by field emission was used as a tip. The experimental setup is sketched in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Illustration of the experimental configuration. The force sensor consists of a quartz tuning fork.²⁵ The force between tip and sample is monitored as a function of $V_{\rm ts}$, the voltage applied between tip and sample. Through the backgate voltage $V_{\rm G}$ between the interface and the silver paint at the back of the SrTiO₃ substrate the carrier density at the interface can be tuned. A negative $V_{\rm G}$ causes the *n*-type interface electron system to be depleted. The current *I* flowing into the interface and the force between tip and sample are recorded simultaneously.

The standard step-and-terrace structure of the LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ heterostructures resulting from a slight vicinal cut of the SrTiO₃ substrates ($\approx 0.15^{\circ}$) is readily imaged by scanning force microscopy (Fig. 2). Whereas on more standard samples excellent resolution was easily achieved with the SPM,²⁶ it was not possible to obtain atomic resolution on the LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ heterostructures.

To assess the electronic compressibility of the interface we measured the force between the tip and the sample as function of tip–sample distance and tip–sample voltage. The electrostatic force $F_{\rm es}$ exerted on a tip by an electric field between the tip and a conducting sample is given by

$$F_{\rm es}(V) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}C_{\rm ts}}{\mathrm{d}z} V^2,\tag{1}$$

where C_{ts} is the capacitance of the tip–sample arrangement, z the tip–sample distance, and V the difference of the electric potentials of tip and sample. Tip and sample are uncharged for V = 0.

If the work functions of tip and sample, $\phi_{\rm t}$ and $\phi_{\rm s}$, differ, electrons move between the tip and the sample to equilibrate the electrochemical potentials of tip and sample, $\mu_{\rm t}$ and $\mu_{\rm s}$. As a result, tip and sample become charged and a difference $V_{\Delta\phi} = \Delta\phi/{\rm e}$ of the electric potentials of tip and sample is generated. Here, e is the elementary charge and $\Delta\phi = \phi_{\rm s} - \phi_{\rm t}$. If in addition a voltage $V_{\rm ts}$ is applied between tip and sample, $V = V_{\rm ts} - V_{\Delta\phi}$. Therefore $\Delta\phi$ can be determined by measuring $F_{\rm es}(V_{\rm ts})$, which is done by Kelvin probe microscopy.²⁷ We note



FIG. 2. (a) Topographic frequency-modulation scanning force microscopy image of the LaAlO₃ film acquired at 4.7 K. The image was recorded with a scanning speed of 75 nm/s and a frequency shift of $\Delta f = -1.8$ Hz. The free resonance frequency of the cantilever was $f_0 = 25\,926.6$ Hz, the quality factor was $Q = 20\,320$ and the oscillation amplitude was set to A = 3.4 Å. The white dots in the scan are caused by adsorbates on the sample surface.(b) Profile taken along the blue line plotted in (a).

that $F_{\rm es} = 0$ if $V_{\rm ts} = V_{\Delta\phi}$.

The $F_{\rm es}(V_{\rm ts})$ characteristic can be determined by measuring the frequency shift Δf of the cantilever resonance frequency as a function of $V_{\rm ts}$, because for small oscillation amplitudes, $\Delta f(V_{\rm ts}) \propto dF_{\rm total}(V_{\rm ts})/dz \propto$ $dF_{\rm es}(V_{\rm ts})/dz + dF_{\rm topo}/dz.^{28} F_{\rm total}(V_{\rm ts})$ is the total force comprising $F_{\rm es}(V_{\rm ts})$ as well as a "topographic force" $F_{\rm topo}$, which includes forces such as van der Waals and chemical bonding forces between tip and sample. A representative spectrum of $\Delta f(V_{\rm ts})$ measured on a sample with 4 unit cells LaAlO₃ at 4.7 K is shown in Fig. 3(a). The $\Delta f(V_{\rm ts})$ characteristic has a pronounced parabolic dependence (see inset of Fig. 3(a)). $V_{\Delta\phi}$ is given by the voltage of the $\Delta f(V_{\rm ts})$ parabola's extremum. The measured sign of $V_{\Delta\phi}$ implies that $\phi_{\rm s}$ is greater than $\phi_{\rm t}$, as is the case in the illustration shown in Fig. 3(b).

 $\Delta \phi$ is readily obtained from the measurement of $V_{\Delta \phi}$, and therefore also ϕ_s if ϕ_t is known. To measure the electronic compressibility of the interface, however, ϕ_s has to be measured as a function of the interface carrier density n. To measure $\Delta \phi(n)$, $F_{\rm es}$ needs to be measured at con-



FIG. 3. (a) Frequency shift Δf of the cantilever oscillation frequency measured as a function of tip–sample voltage $V_{\rm ts}$. The spectrum was taken at 4.7 K with a sweep rate of 1 V/min on a position distant from topographic steps. The linear curve in the inset shows the quadratic dependence of Δf on $V_{\rm ts} - V_{\Delta\phi}$. (b) Schematic band diagram of the interface and the tip. The contact potential difference $V_{\Delta\phi}$ corresponds to the difference of the work functions of interface $\phi_{\rm s}$ and tip $\phi_{\rm t}$. The nominal work function of the iridium tip is 5.27 eV²⁹ and we measure mV changes in $V_{\Delta\phi}$. The electrochemical potentials of sample and tip are labeled $\mu_{\rm s}$ and $\mu_{\rm t}$, respectively. In the case shown, the vacuum levels $E_{\rm vac}$ are balanced by a voltage $V_{\Delta\phi}$ applied between interface and tip.

stant z, while n is varied by applying a gate voltage $V_{\rm G}$ to the back of the SrTiO₃ substrate. To avoid artifacts resulting from the electrostriction of the SrTiO₃,³⁰ for every $V_{\rm G}$ the tip–sample distance z needs to be adjusted to the same value. This is done by making use of the $dF_{\rm topo}/dz(z)$ dependence: for every $V_{\rm G}$, the tip biased at $V_{\rm ts} \approx V_{\Delta\phi}$ is moved in z-direction to obtain the same $dF_{\rm topo}/dz$ value.

The results of the $\Delta\phi(V_{\rm G})$ measurement are shown in Fig. 4(a). Starting at $V_{\rm G} = 0$, $V_{\Delta\phi}$ is increased if $V_{\rm G}$ is lowered, i.e. if *n* is lowered. At $V_{\rm G} = -70$ V, the slope of the $V_{\Delta\phi}$ curve changes sign. $V_{\Delta\phi}$ displays a clear minimum at $V_{\rm G} = -95$ V. Using a second sample and different tips, we found that this minimum was reproducible. The $V_{\rm G}$ value of the minimum was found to differ among the



FIG. 4. (a) Contact potential difference $V_{\Delta\phi}$ measured as a function of $V_{\rm G}$. The measurements were performed at 4.7 K. Spectrum 2 was recorded directly after spectrum 1. $V_{\Delta\phi}$ was obtained through quadratic fits of the $\Delta f(V_{\rm ts})$ spectra. (b) The inverse electronic compressibility $d\mu_{\rm s}/dn$ plotted as function of the change of the carrier density δn . The value of δn was determined by integrating the capacitance between interface and back gate over the gate voltage.

samples, which we attribute to differences in the response of the samples on electric fields. The shape and the composition of the tips used in the experiments affected the absolute value of the minimum.

Because the work function of the tip ϕ_t does not depend on n, any change of $\Delta\phi(V_G)$ is caused by a change of ϕ_s , resulting from a shift of the interface chemical potential with respect to the vacuum level E_{vac} . A decrease of $\Delta\phi$, i.e. a decrease of ϕ_s , corresponds to an increase of the interface chemical potential. Our data show that $V_{\Delta\phi}$ decreases in the voltage range from -70 V to -95 V. Hence in this range $d\mu_s/dn < 0$, the electronic compressibility is negative.^{31,32}

To obtain the carrier density n of the interface electron system we measured the capacitance C between the

interface and the back gate. For this, an AC voltage with a frequency of $f_{\rm mod} = 77 \, \text{Hz}$ and an amplitude of $V_{\rm mod} = 10 \, {\rm mV_{rms}}$ was applied to the interface and the resulting current I was measured with a standard lockin technique. Because leakage currents were found to be negligibly small, the AC current is proportional to C. A clear decrease in capacitance with increasing electric field is observed, which is caused by the field dependency of the dielectric constant of $SrTiO_3$.³³ The change in the carrier density δn with applied gate voltage can be calculated by integrating the measured capacitance. The carrier density is the sum of the density at zero gate voltage n_0 and δn : $n = n_0 + \delta n$. The inverse compressibility $d\mu_s/dn$ can be determined by differentiating $V_{\Delta\phi}$ with respect to δn : $d\mu_s/dn = d\mu_s/d(\delta n) = -d(eV_{\Delta\phi})/d(\delta n)$. The result is shown in Fig. 4(b) and exhibits a clear dip of the electronic compressibility at $\delta n = -6.2 \times 10^{12} \,/\mathrm{cm}^2$. It is of the same order of magnitude as measured by Lu Li et al.¹⁴ The absolute value differs slightly from the previously measured data, which is ascribed to the differences in the LaAlO₃ film thicknesses.

In summary, we have shown with Kelvin probe microscopy that the conducting LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ interface exhibits a negative electronic compressibility at low carrier densities even if the LaAlO₃ layer is only four unit cells thick. This effect is consistent with the results of Lu Li *et al.*,¹⁴ who found a negative electronic compressibility close to the metal–insulator transition for 10 and 12 unit cells LaAlO₃. The employed technique is independent of the previously used capacitance-measurement method. Moreover, as we deplete the interface solely by applying voltages to the back of the $SrTiO_3$ substrate, the use of a gate on top of the LaAlO₃ film is dispensable. It is therefore possible to measure samples with ultrathin LaAlO₃ films.

In Ref. 34 it has been suggested that the capacitance of capacitors may be enhanced by optimizing the electrode material. Indeed, Lu Li et al. found a large enhancement of the capacitance of metal-LaAlO₃-SrTiO₃ capacitors with LaAlO₃ film thicknesses of 10 and 12 unit cells.¹⁴ For thinner $LaAlO_3$ films the relative enhancement is proposed to be even larger, as the geometrical capacitance of the device is greater. Owing to tunneling and leakage currents through such thin LaAlO₃ films it was not yet possible to build such devices. In view of the results reported above, we suggest to reduce the leakage currents by growing an additional dielectric layer under the top gate on heterostructures with four unit cells $LaAlO_3$. If this additional layer has a much greater dielectric constant than LaAlO₃, the geometric capacitance of the device will be reduced by only a small amount. Hence the capacitance enhancement is expected to become even greater than previously observed.

We gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with T. Kopp, R. Jany and K. Steffen as well as financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (TRR 80) and by the European Commission (OxIDes).

- * J.Mannhart@fkf.mpg.de
- ¹ A. Ohtomo and H. Y. Hwang, Nature **427**, 423 (2004).
- ² M. Basletic *et al.*, Nature Mat. **7**, 621 (2008).
- ³ A. D. Caviglia *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 236802 (2010).
- ⁴ N. Nakagawa, H. Y. Hwang, and D. A. Muller, Nat. Mat. 5, 204 (2006).
- ⁵ M. Salluzzo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 166804 (2009).
- ⁶ M. Breitschaft *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 153414 (2010).
- ⁷ G. Berner *et al.*, *k*-space mapping of the Fermi surface at the LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ interface: charge dichotomy, potential buildup, and O vacancies, unpublished.
- ⁸ M. Ben Shalom, A. Ron, A. Palevski, and Y. Dagan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 206401 (2010).
- ⁹ A. Dubroka *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 156807 (2010).
- ¹⁰ S. Thiel *et al.*, Science **313**, 1942 (2006).
- ¹¹ D. A. Dikin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 056802 (2011).
- ¹² Lu Li, C. Richter, J. Mannhart, and R. C. Ashoori, Nature Phys. 7, 762 (2011).
- ¹³ J. A. Bert *et al.*, Nature Phys. **7**, 767 (2011).
- ¹⁴ Lu Li *et al.*, Science **332**, 825 (2011).
- ¹⁵ J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. B 50, 1760 (1994).
- ¹⁶ S. V. Kravchenko, V. M. Pudalov, and S. G. Semenchinsky, Phys. Lett. A **141**, 71 (1989).
- ¹⁷ S. Ilani, L.A.K. Donev, M. Kindermann, and P.L. McEuen, Nature Phys. 2, 687 (2006).
- ¹⁸ J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 674 (1992).

- ¹⁹ G. Allison *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 216407 (2006).
- ²⁰ A.S. Kalabukhov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 146101 (2009).
- ²¹ R. Arras, V.G. Ruiz, W.E. Pickett, and R. Pentcheva, Phys. Rev. B 85, 125404 (2012).
- ²² B. Förg, C. Richter and J. Mannhart, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 053506 (2012).
- ²³ M. Kawasaki *et al.*, Science **266**, 1540 (1994).
- ²⁴ G. Koster *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 2920 (1998).
- ²⁵ F. J. Giessibl, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 3956 (1998).
- ²⁶ S. Hembacher, F.J. Giessibl, and J. Mannhart, Science **305**, 380 (2004).
- ²⁷ M. Nonnenmacher, M. P. O'Boyle, and H. K. Wickramasinghe, Appl. Phys. Lett. 58, 2921 (1991).
- ²⁸ T.R. Albrecht, P. Grütter, D. Horne, and D. Rugar, J. Appl. Phys. **69**, 668 (1991).
- ²⁹ R. G. Wilson, J. Appl. Phys. **37**, 3170 (1966).
- ³⁰ G. Schmidt and E. Hegenbarth, Phys. Stat. Sol. 3, 329 (1963).
- ³¹ M. S. Bello, E. I. Levin, B. I. Shklovskii, and A. L. Éfros, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **80**, 1596 (1981) [Sov. Phys. JETP **53**, 822 (1981)].
- ³² B. Tanatar and D. M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 5005 (1989).
- ³³ H.-M. Christen, J. Mannhart, E. J. Williams, and Ch. Gerber, Phys. Rev. B 49, 12095 (1994).
- ³⁴ T. Kopp and J. Mannhart, J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 064504 (2009).