

Semianalyticity of isoperimetric profiles

Renata Grimaldi, Stefano Nardulli and Pierre Pansu

October 25, 2021

ABSTRACT: It is shown that, in dimensions < 8 , isoperimetric profiles of compact real analytic Riemannian manifolds are semi-analytic.

RESUMÉ : On montre qu'en dimensions < 8 , le profil isopérimétrique d'une variété riemannienne compacte est semi-analytique.

1 Introduction

1.1 The problem

Let M be a compact real analytic Riemannian manifold. We are concerned with the regularity of the *isoperimetric profile* of M .

Given $0 < v < \text{vol}(M)$, consider all integral currents in M with volume v . Define $I_M(v)$ as the least upper bound of the boundary volumes of such currents. In this way, one gets a function $I_M : (0, \text{vol}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ called the *isoperimetric profile* of M . In fact, for each $0 < v < \text{vol}(M)$, there exist currents in M with volume v and boundary volume $I_M(v)$. Such minimizing currents will be called *bubbles*, for short.


Here is a typical example. For $a > 0$, let S denote the circle of length 2π . Let $M = S \times S$. Then the isoperimetric profile of M is easily computed to be

$$I_M(v) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{4\pi v} & \text{for } 0 < v \leq 4\pi, \\ 4\pi & \text{for } 4\pi \leq v \leq 4\pi(\pi - 1), \\ \sqrt{4\pi(4\pi^2 - v)} & \text{for } 4\pi(\pi - 1) \leq v < 4\pi^2. \end{cases}$$

¹Partially supported by Proget "Internazionalizzazione" "Proprietà asintotiche di varietà e di gruppi discreti" of Miur of Italy

²P. Pansu, Univ Paris-Sud, Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Orsay, F-91405

³CNRS, Orsay, F-91405.



Profile of a flat torus

This is proven as follows. In 2 dimensions, the boundaries of these bubbles are smooth, they have constant geodesic curvature, therefore they lift to disjoint unions of circles of equal radii or lines in $\mathbf{R}^2 = \tilde{M}$. It follows that bubbles are either round disks or annuli bounded by parallel geodesics, or complements of such. There remains to minimize boundary length among these three families.

Question 1 *For general real analytic manifolds, is it true that bubbles fall into finitely many analytic families, and that the profile is piecewise analytic ?*

This has been proven in [7] in dimension 2 only.

1.2 The results

First, in a neighborhood of zero.

Theorem 1 *Let M be a compact real analytic Riemannian manifold. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that I_M is real analytic on $(0, \epsilon)$.*

The isoperimetric profile of Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n is $I_{\mathbf{R}^n}(v) = n(\omega_n)^{1/n}v^{n-1/n}$, where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n . In a curved manifold, $I_M(v)^{n-1} \sim n(\omega_n)^{1/n}v^{n-1/n}$ as v tends to 0.

Question 2 *For a compact analytic Riemannian n -manifold, is $I_M(v)$ an analytic function of $v^{1/n}$ on $[0, \epsilon)$?*

We have only a partial answer.

Theorem 2 *Let M be a compact real analytic Riemannian manifold. Assume that the absolute maxima of scalar curvature are nondegenerate critical points. Then there exists an analytic function f defined in a neighborhood of 0 such that $I_M(v) = f(v^{1/n})$ for v small enough.*

Away from 0, our result also requires an extra assumption.

Theorem 3 *Let M be a compact real analytic Riemannian manifold. Let $0 < v_0 < \text{vol}(M)$. Assume that all bubbles of volume v_0 are smooth. Then I_M is semi-analytic on a neighborhood of v_0 .*

Since bubbles are known to be smooth in dimensions < 8 , [1], it follows that

Corollary 4 *If the dimension of M is less than 8, I_M is semianalytic on $[0, \text{vol}(M)]$.*

Question 3 *Our method of proof relies on the regularity of bubbles. Can this be circumvented ?*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

It relies on results from [5]. There, it is shown that small bubbles is a subset of a smooth finite dimensional family of domains called *pseudo-balls*. We merely need show that if the metric is real analytic, pseudo-balls form a compact, finite dimensional real analytic set, on which the volume and boundary volume functions are real analytic.

Pseudo-balls are solutions of a differential equations which is weaker than constancy of mean curvature, but to which the implicit function theorem can be applied. Specifically, for $k \geq 0$, consider the bundle $\mathcal{F}^k \rightarrow M$ whose fiber at $p \in M$ consists in $C^{k,\alpha}$ functions on the unit sphere in the tangent space $T_p M$. There is a smooth map $\Phi : \mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0$ with the following properties.

1. Let $r > 0$, $p \in M$ and $x \in \mathcal{F}_p^2$. If the graph, in polar coordinates, of $r(1+x)$ has constant mean curvature, then $\Phi(r, p, x) = 0$.
2. For all $p \in M$, $\Phi(0, p, 0) = 0$.
3. The differential of Φ restricted to the fibers is an isomorphism.

Lemma 1 $\Phi : \mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0$ is a real analytic map.

Proof: $\Phi(r, p, x)$ has two components, $\Phi(r, p, x) = (\mathcal{A}(r, p, x), Q \circ \Psi(r, p, x))$. The fact that Ψ is smooth is shown in [5], Proposition 1.1. The map \mathcal{A} is defined implicitly, by an equation whose smoothness is established in [5], Lemma 2.1. When the metric is real analytic, the same proofs show that \mathcal{A} and Ψ are real analytic. \blacksquare

From the implicit function theorem, it follows that for all $p \in M$ and for r small enough, the equation $\Phi(r, p, x) = 0$ has a unique small solution $x = x(r, p)$ which depends analytically on $(r, p) \in \mathbf{R} \times M$. Theorem 6 of [5] asserts that there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$ such that every bubble of volume less than v_0 coincides with the domain $\mathcal{N}^+(p, r)$ bounded by the graph, in polar coordinates, of the function $r(1 + x(r, p))$, for some $r \leq r_0$ and some $p \in M$. Therefore, for $v < v_0$,

$$I_M(v) = \min\{\text{vol}(\partial\mathcal{N}^+(p, r)) \mid p \in M, 0 \leq r \leq r_0, \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}^+(p, r)) = v\}.$$

Define the *lower contour* $c(A)$ of a subset $A \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ as the function $v \mapsto \inf\{w \in \mathbf{R} \mid (v, w) \in A\}$. Then the restriction of I_M to $[0, v_0]$ coincides with the lower contour of the image of the real analytic map

$$\Omega : [0, r_0] \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2, \quad (r, p) \mapsto (\text{vol}(\partial\mathcal{N}(p, r)), \text{vol}(\partial\mathcal{N}^+(p, r))).$$

Since $[0, r_0] \times M$ is semi-analytic and compact, $\Omega([0, r_0] \times M)$ is a compact subanalytic set. Its lower contour is a subanalytic function. According to S. Lojasiewicz (Theorem 6.1 in [3]), subanalytic functions on the real line are semi-analytic. Semi-analytic functions are piecewise analytic, thus there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that I_M is analytic on $(0, \epsilon)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

For $(p, \rho) \in M \times \mathbf{R}_+$, let $\beta(p, \rho)$ denote the pseudo-ball defined by

$$\beta(p, \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}^+(p, r))^{1/n}) = \mathcal{N}^+(p, r).$$

Since $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}^+(p, r))^{1/n} \sim \omega_n^{1/n} r$ is a 1 to 1 analytic function of r , the notation is unambiguous. Let

$$f(p, \rho) = f(\text{vol}(\partial\beta(p, \rho))) = f_\rho(p).$$

Then f is real analytic. Furthermore, among pseudo-balls of volume $v = \rho^n$, bubbles are characterized as minima of f_ρ . The following expansion

$$f_\rho(p) = c_n \rho^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n(n+2)} \omega_n^{-2/n} Sc(p) \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4)\right)$$

is computed in [5], Lemma 3.6, compare [9]. If the absolute maxima p_1, \dots, p_k of the scalar curvature function Sc are non degenerate critical points, then each of them deforms into a critical point $p_i(\rho)$ of f_ρ that depends analytically on ρ . Therefore (Theorem 8 in [5]),

$$I_M(\rho^n) = \min_{i=1, \dots, k} f_\rho(p_i(\rho)).$$

There exists $\epsilon > 0$ and i such that the minimum is equal to $f_\rho(p_i(\rho))$ for all $\rho \in [0, \epsilon)$. Indeed, otherwise, some function $f_\rho(p_i(\rho)) - f_\rho(p_j(\rho))$ would change sign infinitely many times near 0, contradiction. Thus the right hand side is analytic on $[0, \epsilon)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Proof of Theorem 3

We follow M. Tamm's strategy, [8]. We aim at including bubbles in a parametrized analytic variety. We shall first do this in a neighborhood of a smooth bubble B with volume v_0 . Our first candidate is the set of domains whose boundary is a graph in normal exponential coordinates to ∂B and has constant mean curvature. To decide whether this set is a submanifold in some function space, let us examine the mean curvature operator and its linearization.

4.1 Pseudo-bubbles

Let B be a smooth bubble with volume v_0 . Let $H_B : C^{2,\alpha}(\partial B) \rightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\partial B)$ denote the operator which to a function u on ∂B associates the mean curvature of the graph of u in normal exponential coordinates to ∂B . In particular, $H_B(0) = H(\partial B) = h_B$ is the constant mean curvature of ∂B . Let $L_B : C^{2,\alpha}(\partial B) \rightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\partial B)$ denote its linearization at 0 (sometimes called the *Jacobi operator*).

Lemma 2 *For all $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\partial B)$*

$$L_B(v) = -\Delta_{\partial B}v - (||II_{\partial B}||^2 + Ric(\nu))v, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta_{\partial B}v = \text{div}(\nabla v)$ is the Laplace operator on ∂B (with negative spectrum when taken on the round sphere), $||II_{\partial B}||^2$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt squared norm ($\text{tr}(A^t A)$ for a square matrix A) of the second fundamental form of ∂B and $Ric(\nu)$ is the Ricci curvature of the ambient manifold M in the direction ν of the unit outward normal vector to ∂B evaluated at a point of ∂B .

Proof: We recall here formula (6) of 3.3 of [6]

$$\begin{aligned} H(u) &= -\text{div}_{(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g_u)}\left(\frac{\vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u}{W_u}\right) - \frac{1}{W_u^2} \langle \nabla_{\vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u} \left(\frac{u \vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u}{W_u}\right), \vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u \rangle_{g_u} \\ &+ \frac{u^2}{W_u^3} II_{\theta}^u(\vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u, \vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u) \\ &- \frac{1}{W_u} H_{\theta}^u(u) + \frac{1}{W_u} \langle \vec{\nabla}_{g_u} \left(\frac{1}{W_u}\right), u \frac{\vec{\nabla}_{g_u} u}{W_u} \rangle_{g_u}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here θ denotes the gradient of the signed distance function to ∂B . For the meaning of the other terms involved in (2), see [6]. The operator L_B satisfies then $H(tv) = H_{\partial B} + tL_B + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. At first observe that only the first and fourth term of (2) contribute to L_B . Denoting by $U(r) = \nabla\theta$ the shape operator of the equidistant hypersurfaces to ∂B at distance r , we have $U(r) = U_0 + U_1 r + \dots$, hence by using the Riccati equation satisfied by U (see [4]) we can compute $U_1 = -U_0^2 - R$ where R is the curvature tensor. Now taking traces we get $H_{\theta}^{tv} = \text{tr}(-U) = H_{\partial B, ext} + (\text{tr}(U_0^2) + Ric)t + \dots$ where $H_{\partial B, ext}$ is the outward mean curvature of the boundary of B . Finally (1) follows easily. ■

L_B is a selfadjoint elliptic operator, which has a discrete spectrum. Let $K_B = \text{kernel}(L_B)$, $m(B) = \text{dim}(K_B)$.

If L_B were invertible (i.e. $m(B) = 0$), the implicit function theorem would imply that nearby domains with constant mean curvature boundary come in one analytic family parametrized by the value of mean curvature.

Unfortunately, L_B is not always invertible. Therefore, instead of solving $H(u) = h$, $h \in \mathbf{R}$, we shall solve

$$\Phi_B(u, h) = P_B(H_B(u) - h) = 0,$$

where P_B is the orthogonal projection onto the L^2 -orthogonal complement K_B^\perp of K_B in $C^{0,\alpha}(\partial B)$. Then

$$\Phi_B : C^{2,\alpha}(\partial B) \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow K_B^\perp$$

is a real analytic map, whose linearization at 0 is $P_B \circ L_B$. By construction, it is onto. In fact, the restriction of $P_B \circ L_B$ to K_B^\perp is an isomorphism (see for example [2], page 464). Note that $\Phi_B(0, h_B) = 0$. The following variant of the implicit function theorem provides us with an open neighborhood U_B of $(0, h_B)$ in $C^{2,\alpha}(\partial B) \times \mathbf{R}$ in which the solutions of $\Phi_B(u, h) = 0$ form a real analytic submanifold. We shall call such solutions *B-pseudo-bubbles*.

Lemma 3 *Let E , P and F be real analytic Banach manifolds, let $e_0 \in E$, $f_0 \in B$, $p_0 \in P$ be such that $\Phi(e_0, p_0) = f_0$. Let $\Phi : E \times P \rightarrow B$ be a real analytic map. Assume that the differential $d\Phi$ of Φ at (e_0, p_0) in the direction of E has a finite dimensional kernel $K \subset T_{e_0}E$, which admits a closed complement K^\perp . Assume that the restriction of $d\Phi$ to K^\perp is invertible. Then, in a neighborhood of (e_0, p_0) the solutions of equation $\Phi(e, p) = f_0$ form a real analytic submanifold parametrized by a neighborhood of $(0, p_0)$ in $K \times P$.*

Proof: Apply the implicit function theorem to $\Psi : E \times P \rightarrow F \times K$ defined by $\Psi(e, p) = (\Phi(e, p), \pi_K(e))$ where π_K is a local submersion onto K . ■

4.2 Compactness in $C^{2,\alpha}$ -topology

Let us define the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -topology on the space of domains with smooth boundary as follows: as neighborhoods of a smooth domain β , take all domains S whose boundary is the graph, in normal exponential coordinates, of a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -small function on $\partial\beta$. Using a result from [6], we show that on smooth bubbles with volume close to v_0 , the topologies induced by the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -topology on smooth domains and the flat topology on currents coincide.

Lemma 4 *Let B be a bubble of volume v_0 . For all $\delta > 0$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that if β is a bubble of volume $\in [v_0 - \epsilon, v_0 + \epsilon]$ with $\text{vol}(\beta\Delta B) < \epsilon$, then there exists a smooth function u on ∂B with $\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} < \delta$ such that $\partial\beta$ is the graph in normal exponential coordinates of u . Conversely, the graph of a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -small function on ∂B bounds a current which is close to B volumewise.*

Proof: By contradiction. Otherwise, there exists a sequence β_j of bubbles with $\text{vol}(\beta_j) \rightarrow v_0$ and $\text{vol}(\beta_j\Delta B) \rightarrow 0$ such that $\partial\beta_j$ is not the normal exponential graph of a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -small function on ∂B .

Theorem 1 of [6] asserts that for j large enough, $\partial\beta_j$ is the graph in normal exponential coordinates of a function u_j on ∂B whose $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm tends to zero, contradiction. The last statement is obvious. ■

Lemma 5 *Assume that all volume v_0 bubbles in M are smooth. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if β is a bubble of volume $\in [v_0 - \epsilon, v_0 + \epsilon]$, then β is smooth, and (β, h_β) belongs to the open set $U(B)$ for some volume v_0 bubble B .*

Proof: By contradiction. Otherwise, there exists a sequence β_j of bubbles with $\text{vol}(\beta_j) \rightarrow v_0$ that avoids all $U(B)$. By compactness of integral currents of bounded boundary volume, we can assume that β_j converges in flat norm to some integral current B . By continuity of volume and semi-continuity of boundary volume, B is a bubble of volume v_0 . By assumption, B is smooth. Theorem 1 of [6] asserts that for j large enough, $\partial\beta_j$ is the graph in normal exponential coordinates of a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -small smooth function u_j on ∂B . Therefore β_j is smooth and (β_j, h_{β_j}) belongs to $U(B)$, contradiction. ■

Lemma 6 *Assume that all volume v_0 bubbles in M are smooth. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the set \mathcal{B} of pseudo-bubbles with volumes $\in [v_0 - \epsilon, v_0 + \epsilon]$ is contained in a finite union of compact semi-analytic pieces of finite dimensional real analytic manifolds, on which the volume and boundary volume functions are real analytic.*

Proof: It was just proven that the set \mathcal{B} of bubbles with volumes $\in [v_0 - \epsilon, v_0 + \epsilon]$ is compact in flat topology and the set $\mathcal{BH} = \{(B, h_B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is covered by the sets $U(B)$. According to Lemma 4, it is compact in $C^{2,\alpha}$ -topology as well. Therefore, \mathcal{BH} can be covered with finitely many open sets $U(B_1), \dots, U(B_N)$. The set ΨB_i of B_i -pseudo-bubbles in $U(B_i)$ is an analytic submanifold. There exist compact semi-analytic subsets $W_i \subset \Psi B_i$ which suffice to cover \mathcal{BH} . ■

The proof of Theorem 3 is completed in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 1. In dimensions less than 8, F. Almgren has shown that all bubbles are smooth. Therefore the profile is semi-analytic in a neighborhood of every point of the closed interval $[0, \text{vol}(M)]$. It follows that it is semi-analytic on this interval. This proves Corollary 4.

References

- [1] Frederick J. Almgren. *Existence and regularity almost everywhere of solutions to elliptic variational problems with constraints*. Number 165 in *Memoirs. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, R.I., 1976.
- [2] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein Manifolds*, volume 10 of *Ergebnisse der Math. Grenz.* Springer Verlag, 1987.
- [3] Edward Bierstone and Pierre D. Milman. Semianalytic and subanalytic sets. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 67:5–42, 1988.

- [4] Isaac Chavel. *Riemannian geometry. A modern introduction. 2nd ed.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 98. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xvi, 471 p., 2006.
- [5] Stefano Nardulli. The isoperimetric profile of a compact riemannian manifold for small volumes. 2007.
- [6] Stefano Nardulli. Regularity of solutions of the isoperimetric problem that are close to a smooth manifold. 2007.
- [7] Pierre Pansu. Sur la régularité du profil isopérimétrique des surfaces riemanniennes compactes. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 48:247–264, 1998.
- [8] Martin Tamm. Subanalytic sets in the calculus of variations. *Acta Math.*, 146:167–199, 1981.
- [9] Rugang Ye. Foliation by constant mean curvature spheres. *Pacific J. Math.*, 147(2):381–396, 1991.

Keywords : Isoperimetric inequality, Riemann surface, calibration, Morse theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 53C20, 49Q20, 14P15, 32B20.

Renata Grimaldi, Stefano Nardulli
Università di Palermo
Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici
Facoltà di Ingegneria
Viale delle Scienze - 90128 Palermo (Italia)
`grimaldi@unipa.it`, `nardulli@unipa.it`

Pierre Pansu
Laboratoire de Mathématique d'Orsay
UMR 8628 du C.N.R.S.
Bâtiment 425
Université Paris-Sud 11 - 91405 Orsay (France)
`Pierre.Pansu@math.u-psud.fr`
<http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~pansu>