

# On the Conjecture on APN Functions

Moises Delgado\*  
Heeralal Janwa †

## Abstract

An almost perfect nonlinear (APN) function (necessarily a polynomial function) on a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  is called exceptional APN, if it is also APN on infinitely many extensions of  $\mathbb{F}$ . In this article we consider the most studied case of  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . A conjecture of Janwa-Wilson and McGuire-Janwa-Wilson (1993/1996), settled in 2011, was that the only exceptional monomial APN functions are the monomials  $x^n$ , where  $n = 2^i + 1$  or  $n = 2^{2i} - 2^i + 1$  (the Gold or the Kasami exponents respectively). A subsequent conjecture states that any exceptional APN function is one of the monomials just described. One of our result is that all functions of the form  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + h(x)$  (for any odd degree  $h(x)$ , with a mild condition in few cases), are not exceptional APN, extending substantially several recent results towards the resolution of the stated conjecture.

## 1 Introduction

**Definition 1.** Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $q = p^n$  for some positive integer  $n$ . A function  $f : L \rightarrow L$  is said to be **almost perfect nonlinear** (APN) on  $L$  if for all  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , the equation

$$f(x + a) - f(x) = b \tag{1}$$

has at most 2 solutions.

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Puerto Rico (UPR), Rio Piedras Campus, San Juan, PR 00936, USA. moises.delgado@upr.edu.

†Department of Mathematics (UPR), University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus, San Juan, PR 00936, USA. heeralal.janwa@upr.edu

Equivalently,  $f$  is APN if the cardinality of the set  $\{f(x+a)-f(x) : x \in L\}$  is at least  $2^{n-1}$  for each  $a \in L^*$ . These kind of functions are important in applications to cryptography, where they are used as S-Boxes, because they are resistant to differential cryptanalytic attacks. The best known examples of APN functions are the Gold function  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1}$ , and the Kasami-Welch function  $f(x) = x^{2^{2k}-2^k+1}$ , that are APN on any field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , where  $k$  and  $n$  are relatively prime. The Welch function  $f(x) = x^{2^r+3}$  is also APN on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , where  $n = 2r + 1$ .

The APN property is invariant under some transformations of functions. A function  $f : L \rightarrow L$  is linear if and only if  $f$  is a linearized polynomial over  $L$ , that is,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^{2^i}, \quad c_i \in L.$$

The sum of a linear function and a constant is called an affine function.

Two functions are called *extended affine equivalent* (EA equivalence),  $f \equiv g$  (EA), if  $f = A_1 \circ g \circ A_2 + A$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are linear maps and  $A$  is a constant function. A second equivalence is the CCZ equivalence,  $f \equiv g$  (CCZ) if the graph of  $f$  can be obtained from the graph of  $g$  by an affine permutation. EA equivalence is a particular case of CCZ equivalence; two CCZ equivalent functions preserve the APN property (for more details see [5]). In general, CCZ equivalence is very difficult to establish.

Until 2006, the list of known affine inequivalent APN functions on  $L = GF(2^n)$  was rather short; the list consisted only of monomial functions of the form  $f(x) = x^t$ , for some positive integer  $t$ . In February 2006, Y. Edel, G. Kyureghyan and A. Pott [6] established (by an exhaustive search) the first example of an APN function not equivalent to any of the known monomial APN functions. Their example is

$$x^3 + ux^{36} \in GF(2^{10})[x],$$

where  $u \in wGF(2^5)^* \cup w^2GF(2^5)^*$  and  $w$  has order 3, is APN on  $GF(2^{10})$ . Since then, several new infinite families of polynomial APN functions have been discovered.

## 2 Exceptional APN functions

In this section we discuss the main conjecture on exceptional APN functions. An almost perfect nonlinear (APN) function (necessarily a polynomial func-

tion) on a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  is called exceptional APN, if it is also APN on infinitely many extensions of  $\mathbb{F}$ . In this article we consider the most studied case of  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . A conjecture of Janwa-Wilson and McGuire-Janwa-Wilson (1993/1996), settled in 2011, was that the only exceptional monomial APN functions are the monomials  $x^n$ , where  $n = 2^i + 1$  or  $n = 2^{2^i} - 2^i + 1$  (the Gold or the Kasami exponents respectively). The Welch functions  $f(x) = x^{2^r + 3}$  are known to be APN on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  for  $n = 2r + 1$ , but they are not exceptional APN functions. The same is the case for the new class of APN functions discovered by Edel et. in [6], discussed earlier. Since then several have been proved (see section 3, establishing that many infinite classes of functions are not exceptional APN functions (see [1], [2], [4], [11], [12], [13], and others.) Based on these results, an open conjecture states that any exceptional APN function is one of the monomials just described.

Our theorems 7 and 8 in section 5 demonstrate that all functions of the form  $f(x) = x^{2^k + 1} + h(x)$  (for any odd degree  $h(x)$ , with a mild condition in few cases), are not exceptional APN, extending substantially several recent results towards the resolution of the stated conjecture.

**Definition 2.** Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $q = p^n$  for some positive integer  $n$ . A function  $f : L \rightarrow L$  is called **exceptional APN** if  $f$  is APN on  $L$  and also on infinitely many extensions of  $L$ .

Aubry, McGuire and Rodier [1] made the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE:** Up to equivalence, the Gold and Kasami-Welch functions are the only exceptional APN functions.

**Proposition 1** (Rodier [12]). Let  $L = \mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $q = 2^n$ . A function  $f : L \rightarrow L$  is APN if and only if the affine surface  $X$  with equation

$$f(x) + f(y) + f(z) + f(x + y + z) = 0$$

has all its rational points contained in the surface  $(x + y)(x + z)(y + z) = 0$ .

Using this characterization and the bounds of Lang-Weil and Ghorpade-Lachaud that guarantee many rational points on a surface for all  $n$  sufficiently large, one can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** (See Rodier [12]). *Let  $f : L \rightarrow L$ ,  $L = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , a polynomial function of degree  $d$ . Suppose the surface  $X$*

$$\frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z) + f(x + y + z)}{(x + y)(x + z)(y + z)} = 0$$

is absolutely irreducible (or has an absolutely irreducible component over  $L$ ), then  $f$  is not an exceptional APN function.

From now on, we let

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z) + f(x + y + z)}{(x + y)(x + z)(y + z)} \quad (2)$$

$$\phi_j(x, y, z) = \frac{x^j + y^j + z^j + (x + y + z)^j}{(x + y)(x + z)(y + z)} \quad (3)$$

### 3 Recent results

Aubry, McGuire and Rodier [1] proved the following result that provides infinite families of polynomial functions that are not exceptional APN. As before, let  $L = \mathbb{F}_q$ , with  $q = 2^n$  and  $f : L \rightarrow L$  a polynomial function.

**Theorem 2.** *If the degree of  $f$  is odd and not a Gold or a Kasami-Welch number, then  $f$  is not APN for all sufficiently large extensions of  $L$ .*

For the even degree case, they proved the following:

**Theorem 3.** *If the degree of  $f$  is  $2e$  with  $e$  odd, and if  $f$  contains an odd degree term, then  $f$  is not APN for all sufficiently large extensions of  $L$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *If the degree of  $f$  is  $4e$  with  $e \geq 7$  and  $e \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $f$  is not APN for all sufficiently large extensions of  $L$ .*

They also found results for Gold degree polynomials.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + g(x)$ , where  $\deg(g) \leq 2^{k-1} + 1$ . Let  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}+1} a_j x^j$ . Suppose that there exists a nonzero coefficient  $a_j$  of  $g$  such that  $\phi_j(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible. Then  $\phi(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible and  $f$  is not exceptional APN.*

In this last theorem the authors showed that the bound  $\deg(g) \leq 2^{k-1} + 1$  is best possible, in the sense that there is an example with  $\deg(g) = 2^{k-1} + 2$  where  $\phi(x, y, z)$  is not absolutely irreducible [12].

## 4 Large Classes of Gold degree families that are not exceptional APN

In this section we will state and prove several results that provide families of Gold degree polynomials that are not exceptional APN. We will accomplish this by proving that the surface  $\phi(x, y, z) = 0$  related to the polynomial  $f(x)$  is absolutely irreducible.

One of our result is that all functions of the form  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + h(x)$  (for any odd degree  $h(x)$ , with a mild condition in few cases), are not exceptional APN, extending substantially several recent results towards the resolution of the stated conjecture.

We begin with the following facts, due to Janwa and Wilson [10], about the Gold, Kasami-Welch and Welch functions.

If  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1}$  is a Gold function, then

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \prod_{\alpha \in F_{2^k} - F_2} (x + \alpha y + (\alpha + 1)z). \quad (4)$$

If  $f(x) = x^{2^{2k}-2^k+1}$  is a Kasami Welch function, then

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \prod_{\alpha \in F_{2^k} - F_2} P_\alpha(x, y, z), \quad (5)$$

where  $P_\alpha(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible of degree  $2^k + 1$  over  $GF(2^k)$ .

If  $f(x) = x^{2^k+3}$  is a Welch function, then  $\phi(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible for  $k > 1$ .

We will frequently use the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** For an integer  $k > 1$ , let  $l = 2^k + 1$ ,  $m = 2^{2k} - 2^k + 1$  and  $n = 2^k + 3$  be a Gold, Kasami-Welch and Welch numbers respectively. Then  $(\phi_l, \phi_m) = 1$ ,  $(\phi_l, \phi_n) = 1$  and  $(\phi_m, \phi_n) = 1$ . Also:

- a) If  $l_1 = 2^{k_1} + 1$  and  $l_2 = 2^{k_2} + 1$  are different Gold numbers such that  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ , then  $(\phi_{l_1}, \phi_{l_2}) = 1$ .
- b)  $(\phi_{m_1}, \phi_{m_2}) = 1$  for different Kasami-Welch numbers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .
- c)  $(\phi_{n_1}, \phi_{n_2}) = 1$  for different Welch numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma follows directly from (4), (5) and the fact that  $\phi_n$ , for a Welch number  $n > 5$ , is absolutely irreducible.  $\square$

## 4.1 Overcoming the obstacle

Theorem 5 shows that  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + g(x)$  with  $\deg(g) = 2^{k-1} + 1$  provides an obstacle to absolute irreducibility. Now we will show that there are cases where it is possible to increase the degree of  $g(x)$  in order to obtain new non-exceptional APN functions.

From now on, let  $L = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ ,  $f : L \rightarrow L$  and  $\phi(x, y, z)$ ,  $\phi_j(x, y, z)$  as in (2) and (3). (The polynomial  $f$  can be considered not containing a constant term nor terms of degree power of two, since as we commented APN property is invariant under affine maps).

**Theorem 6.** *For  $k \geq 2$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , let  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + \alpha x^{2^{k-1}+3} + h(x) \in L[x]$ , where  $h(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}+1} a_j x^j$  and satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- a)  $a_5 = 0$ .
- b) *There is a non zero  $a_j \phi_j$  for some  $j \neq 5$ .*

*Then  $\phi(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible.*

*Proof.* If  $k = 2$ , then  $f(x) = x^5 + b_3 x^3$ , and it is known that it is not exceptional APN [2].

If  $k = 3$ , then  $f(x) = x^9 + a_7 x^7 + a_5 x^5 + a_3 x^3$ , and it is known that it is not exceptional APN [12].

Let  $k > 3$  and let  $\phi(x, y, z) = 0$  be the surface corresponding to  $f(x)$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is not absolutely irreducible, then  $\phi(x, y, z) = P(x, y, z)Q(x, y, z)$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are non-constant polynomials. We write  $P$  and  $Q$  as sums of homogeneous terms:

$$\sum_{j=3}^{2^k+1} a_j \phi_j(x, y, z) = (P_s + P_{s-1} + \dots + P_0)(Q_t + Q_{t-1} + \dots + Q_0), \quad (6)$$

where  $P_j$  and  $Q_j$  are zero or homogeneous of degree  $j$ ,  $s + t = 2^k - 2$ . Assuming, without loss of generality, that  $s \geq t$ . Then,

$$2^k - 2 > s \geq \frac{2^k - 2}{2} \geq t > 0.$$

In (6),

$$P_s Q_t = \phi_{2^k+1}, \quad (7)$$

since  $\phi_{2^k+1}$  is equal to the product of different linear factors,  $P_s$  and  $Q_t$  are relatively prime.

By the assumed degree of  $h(x)$ , the homogeneous terms of degree  $r$ , for  $2^{k-1} < r < 2^k - 2$ , are equal to zero. Then, equating the terms of degree  $s + t - 1$  gives  $P_s Q_{t-1} + P_{s-1} Q_t = 0$ . Hence, we have  $P_s$  divides  $P_{s-1} Q_t$  and this implies that  $P_s$  divides  $P_{s-1}$ , since  $P_s$  and  $Q_t$  are relatively prime. We conclude that  $P_{s-1} = 0$  as the degree of  $P_{s-1}$  is less than the degree of  $P_s$ . Then, we also have  $Q_{t-1} = 0$  as  $P_s \neq 0$ .

Similarly, equating the terms of degree  $s + t - 2, s + t - 3, \dots, s + 2$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{s-2} &= Q_{t-2} = 0, \\ P_{s-3} &= Q_{t-3} = 0, \\ &\dots \\ P_{s-(t-2)} &= Q_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The (simplified) equations of degree  $s + 1, s, s - 1$  and  $s - 2$ , respectively, are:

$$\begin{aligned} P_s Q_1 + P_{s-(t-1)} Q_t &= a_{s+4} \phi_{s+4} \\ P_s Q_0 + P_{s-t} Q_t &= a_{s+3} \phi_{s+3} \\ P_{s-1} Q_0 + P_{s-(t+1)} Q_t &= a_{s+3} \phi_{s+2} \\ P_{s-2} Q_0 + P_{s-(t+2)} Q_t &= a_{s+3} \phi_{s+1} \end{aligned}$$

We consider two cases:

**FIRST CASE:**  $s > 2^{k-1}$ .

For this case,  $t < 2^{k-1} - 2$ . From the equations of degree  $s + 1$  and  $s$  we have:  $P_s Q_1 + P_{s-(t-1)} Q_t = 0$ , then  $P_{s-(t-1)} = Q_1 = 0$ ;  $P_s Q_0 + P_{s-t} Q_t = 0$ , then  $P_{s-t} = Q_0 = 0$  (using the same argument we used in the equation  $s + t - 1$ ). Then,  $Q = Q_t$  is homogeneous of degree  $t$  and by (7) and (6) there exist some  $\alpha \in F_{2^k} - F_2$  such that  $x + \alpha y + (1 + \alpha)z$  divides both  $\phi_{2^{k+1}}$  and  $\phi_{2^{k-1}+3}$ , contradicting lemma 1.

**SECOND CASE:**  $2^{k-1} \geq s \geq 2^{k-1} - 1$ .

For this case,  $2^{k-1} - 2 \leq t \leq 2^{k-1} - 1$ . Then, we have the subcases:

SUBCASE 1: ( $s = t = 2^{k-1} - 1$ )

We already have  $P_{s-1} = Q_{t-1} = P_{s-2} = Q_{t-2} = \dots = P_2 = Q_2 = 0$ .

The equation of degree  $s + 1$  is

$$P_s Q_1 + P_1 Q_t = \alpha \phi_{s+4}. \quad (8)$$

The equation of degree  $s$  is  $P_s Q_0 + P_0 Q_s = 0$ . Using the argument from the first case we get  $P_0 = Q_0 = 0$ . For  $r < s$ ,  $r \neq 5$ , the equation of degree  $\leq r$  is of the form  $0 = a_r \phi_r$  (since all  $P_i, Q_i$  are zero except  $P_s, Q_t$  and possibly  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$ ). Then, if for some  $j \neq 5$ ,  $a_j \phi_j \neq 0$ , we are done. If  $a_5 = 0$ , then the equation of degree two is  $P_1 Q_1 = 0$ , so one of them is equal to zero. If  $P_1 = 0$ , then the equation (8) becomes  $P_s Q_1 = \alpha \phi_{s+4}$ , contradiction since  $\phi_{s+4} = \phi_{2^{k-1}+3}$  is absolutely irreducible. The case  $Q_1 = 0$  is similar.

SUBCASE 2: ( $s = 2^{k-1}, t = 2^{k-1} - 2$ )

We already have  $P_{s-1} = Q_{t-1} = P_{s-2} = Q_{t-2} = \dots = P_4 = Q_2 = 0$ .

The equation of degree  $s + 1$  is  $P_s Q_1 + P_3 Q_t = 0$ , so  $P_3 = Q_1 = 0$ .

The equation of degree  $s$  is:

$$P_s Q_0 + P_2 Q_t = \alpha \phi_{s+3}. \quad (9)$$

The equation of degree  $s - 1$  is  $P_1 Q_t = 0$ , then  $P_1 = 0$ .

The equation of degree  $s - 2$  is  $P_0 Q_t = a_{s+1} \phi_{s+1}$ . If  $a_{s+1} \neq 0$ , then  $Q_t$  divides  $\phi_{s+1} = \phi_{2^{k-1}+1}$ , but  $Q_t$  also divides  $\phi_{2^{k+1}}$ , and that is not possible by lemma 1. Then,  $a_{s+1} = 0$  and  $P_0 = 0$ .

For  $r < s - 2$ ,  $r \neq 5$ , the equations of degree  $\leq r$  have the form  $0 = a_r \phi_r$ . Then, if for some  $j \neq 5$ ,  $a_j \phi_j \neq 0$ , we are done. If  $a_5 = 0$ , then the equation of degree two is  $P_2 Q_0 = 0$ , so one of them is zero. If  $Q_0 = 0$ , then the equation (9) becomes  $P_2 Q_t = \alpha \phi_{s+3}$ ; contradiction to the irreducibility of  $\phi_{s+3}$ . If  $P_2 = 0$ , then  $P_s Q_0 = \alpha \phi_{s+3}$ , but also  $P_s Q_t = a_{2^{k+1}} \phi_{2^{k+1}}$ , contradicting lemma 1.  $\square$

Some families covered by this theorem:

$f(x) = x^{17} + a_{11}x^{11} + h(x)$ , where  $a_{11} \neq 0, \deg(h) \leq 9$ , except the case

$f(x) = x^{17} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_5x^5$ ,  $a_{11} \neq 0, a_5 \neq 0$ ;

$f(x) = x^{33} + a_{19}x^{19} + h(x)$ , where  $a_{19} \neq 0, \deg(h) \leq 17$ , except the case

$f(x) = x^{33} + a_{19}x^{19} + a_5x^5$ ,  $a_{19} \neq 0, a_5 \neq 0$ .

As we can notice in this theorem, we interpolate a Welch term  $\alpha x^{2^{k-1}+3}$  between the consecutive Gold terms  $x^{2^k+1}$  and  $x^{2^{k-1}+1}$  of the family given in theorem 5.

Including two or more terms would imply more cases and subcases to consider and this is not a good idea. Next, we provide more general families of Gold degree polynomials that cannot be exceptional APN.



## 4.2 Hyperplane Sections

We first prove some results on plane sections. Let us consider the intersection of the surface  $\phi(x, y, z)$  with the plane  $y = z$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\phi_j(x, y, z)$  be as in (3). Then

- a) For  $n = 2^k + 1 > 3$ ,  $\phi_n(x, y, y) = (x + y)^{2^k - 2}$ ;
- b) For  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} > 3$ ,  $\phi_n(x, y, y) = R(x, y)$  such that  $x + y$  does not divides  $R(x, y)$ ;
- c) For  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} > 5$ ,  $\phi_n(x, y, y) = (x + y)^{2^l - 2} S(x, y)$ , such that  $x + y$  does not divides  $S(x, y)$ , where  $n = 1 + 2^l m$ ,  $l \geq 2$  and  $m > 1$  is an odd number.

*Proof.* The part a) follows directly from (4). For the part b) we have:

$$\phi_n(x, y, z) = \frac{x^n + y^n + z^n + (x + y + z)^n}{(x + y)(x + z)(y + z)}$$

$$\phi_n(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(x + y)(x + z)} \left( \frac{y^n + z^n}{y + z} + \frac{x^n + (x + y + z)^n}{y + z} \right)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{(x + y)(x + z)} [y^{n-1} + y^{n-2}z + \dots + yz^{n-2} + z^{n-1} \\ &\quad + x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}(x + y + z) + \dots + x(x + y + z)^{n-2} + (x + y + z)^{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Making  $y = z$ , we get:

$$\phi_n(x, y, y) = \frac{x^{n-1} + y^{n-1}}{(x + y)^2}$$

Since  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} > 3$  then  $n = 3 + 4m$  for  $m \geq 1$ . Then

$$\phi_n(x, y, y) = \frac{x^{2+4m} + y^{2+4m}}{(x + y)^2}$$

$$\phi_n(x, y, z) = \left( \frac{x^{1+2m} + y^{1+2m}}{x + y} \right)^2$$

$$\phi_n(x, y, z) = (x^{2m} + x^{2m-1}y + \dots + xy^{2m-1} + y^{2m})^2$$

Therefore,  $\phi_n(x, y, y) = R(x, y)$ . Now,  $x + y$  divides  $R(x, y)$  if and only if  $x + 1$  divides  $x^{2m} + x^{2m-1} + x^{2m-2} + \dots + x + 1$ , that is not true. For the part c), with  $y = z$  we get similarly:

$$\phi_n(x, y, y) = \frac{x^{n-1} + y^{n-1}}{(x + y)^2}$$

Since  $n = 1 + 2^l m > 5$ , for  $m > 1$  an odd integer:

$$\phi_n(x, y, y) = \frac{x^{2^l m} + y^{2^l m}}{(x + y)^2}$$

$$\phi_n(x, y, z) = \frac{(x^m + y^m)^{2^l}}{(x + y)^2}$$

$$\phi_n(x, y, y) = (x + y)^{2^l - 2} (x^{m-1} + x^{m-2}y + \dots + xy^{m-2} + y^{m-1})^{2^l}$$

Then  $\phi_n(x, y, y) = (x + y)^{2^l - 2} S(x, y)$ . Now,  $x + y$  divides  $S(x, y)$  if and only if  $x + 1$  divides  $x^{m-1} + x^{m-2} + x^{m-3} + \dots + x + 1$  that is not true either.  $\square$

## 5 Our main results

Now we prove our main results (Theorems 7 and 8 in this section) establishing that  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + h(x)$  (for any odd degree  $h(x)$ , with a mild condition in few cases), are not exceptional APN, extending substantially several recent results towards the resolution of the stated conjecture. In particular, these two theorems, in a large measure, extend the result of Aubrey, McGuire and Rodier [1] as stated in Theorem 5 stated earlier.

**Theorem 7.** *For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + h(x) \in L[x]$ , where  $\deg(h) \equiv 3 \pmod{4} < 2^k + 1$ . Then,  $\phi(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible.*

*Proof.* As in the previous theorem, for  $k = 2$  and  $3$ , we have the exceptional polynomials  $f(x) = x^5 + b_3x^3$  and  $f(x) = x^9 + a_7x^7 + a_5x^5 + a_3x^3$ , respectively. Let  $k > 3$  and let  $\phi(x, y, z)$  be the function related to  $f(x)$ . As before, suppose that  $\phi(x, y, z) = P(x, y, z)Q(x, y, z)$ ,  $P$  and  $Q$  non-constants and writing  $P$  and  $Q$  as sums of homogeneous terms:

$$\sum_{j=3}^{2^k+1} a_j \phi_j(x, y, z) = (P_s + P_{s-1} + \dots + P_0)(Q_t + Q_{t-1} + \dots + Q_0), \quad (10)$$

where  $s + t = 2^k - 2$ . Assuming that  $s \geq t$ , then  $2^k - 2 > s \geq \frac{2^k - 2}{2} \geq t > 0$ .

Let  $d = \deg(h)$ ,  $e = 2^k + 1 - d$ .

In (10), we have:

$$P_s Q_t = \phi_{2^k+1}. \quad (11)$$

Since  $\phi_{2^k+1}$  is equal to the product of different linear factors, we conclude that  $P_s$  and  $Q_t$  are relatively prime.

By the assumed degree of  $h(x)$ , the homogeneous terms of degree  $r$ , for  $d - 3 < r < 2^k - 2$ , are equal to zero. Then, equating the terms of degree  $s + t - 1$  gives  $P_s Q_{t-1} + P_{s-1} Q_t = 0$ . As in the previous theorems, we conclude that  $P_{s-1} = 0$  and  $Q_{t-1} = 0$ .

Similarly, equating the terms of degree  $s + t - 2, s + t - 3, \dots, s + t - (e - 1) = d - 2$ , we get:

$$P_{s-2} = Q_{t-2} = 0,$$

$$P_{s-3} = Q_{t-3} = 0,$$

...

$$P_{s-(e-1)} = Q_{t-(e-1)} = 0.$$

The equation of degree  $d - 3$  is:

$$P_s Q_{t-e} + P_{s-e} Q_t = a_d \phi_d \quad (12)$$

If  $Q_{t-e} = 0$ , then we have  $P_{s-e} Q_t = a_d \phi_d$ . But, from (11) we also have  $P_s Q_t = \phi_{2^k+1}$  which is impossible by lemma 1. The case  $P_{s-e} = 0$  is analogous.

Then, suppose that  $Q_{t-e} \neq 0$  and  $P_{s-e} \neq 0$ . We consider the intersection of  $\phi(x, y, z)$  with the plane  $y = z$ . Using lemma 2, the equations (11) and (12) become:

$$P_s Q_t = (x + y)^{2^k - 2}$$

$$P_s Q_{t-e} + P_{s-e} Q_t = \phi_d(x, y).$$

Therefore,  $(x + y)$  divides both  $P_s$  and  $Q_t$ , so it divides  $\phi_d(x, y)$ , contradiction to part b) of lemma 2. Therefore,  $\phi$  is absolutely irreducible.  $\square$

This theorem includes theorem 6 as a particular case. The next theorem is a version of theorem 7 for the case  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  with one additional condition.

**Theorem 8.** *For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $f(x) = x^{2^k+1} + h(x) \in L[x]$  where  $d = \deg(h) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} < 2^k + 1$ . If  $\phi_{2^k+1}, \phi_d$  are relatively prime, then  $\phi(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible.*

*Proof.* As we did before, suppose that  $\phi(x, y, z) = P(x, y, z)Q(x, y, z)$ , then

$$\sum_{j=3}^{2^k+1} a_j \phi_j(x, y, z) = (P_s + P_{s-1} + \dots + P_0)(Q_t + Q_{t-1} + \dots + Q_0) \quad (13)$$

where  $s + t = 2^k - 2$ . Assuming that  $s \geq t$ , then  $2^k - 2 > s \geq \frac{2^k - 2}{2} \geq t > 0$ .

Let  $e = 2^k + 1 - d$ , then  $e \geq 2^l$ .

In (13), we have:

$$P_s Q_t = \phi_{2^k+1} \quad (14)$$

since  $\phi_{2^k+1}$  is equal to the product of different linear factors,  $P_s$  and  $Q_t$  are relatively prime.

By the assumed degree of  $h(x)$ , the homogeneous terms of degree  $r$ , for  $d - 3 < r < 2^k - 2$ , are equal to zero. Then, as in the previous theorems, equating the terms of degree  $s + t - 1, s + t - 2, s + t - 3, \dots, d - 2$  we get:  $P_{s-1} = Q_{t-1}, P_{s-2} = Q_{t-2} = 0, P_{s-3} = Q_{t-3} = 0, \dots, P_{s-(e-1)} = Q_{t-(e-1)} = 0$ . The equation of degree  $d - 3$  is:

$$P_s Q_{t-e} + P_{s-e} Q_t = a_d \phi_d \quad (15)$$

We consider two cases to prove the irreducibility of  $\phi$ .

**First case** ( $s > d - 3$ )

Since  $s + t = 2^k - 2$ , then  $t < e$ . The equation (15) becomes  $P_{s-e} Q_t = a_d \phi_d$ . But also  $P_s Q_t = \phi_{2^k+1}$  contradiction to the assumptions of the theorem.

**Second case** ( $s \leq d - 3$ )

For this case  $t \geq e$ . In the equation (15), if  $Q_{t-e} = 0$  or  $P_{s-e} = 0$  we are done, as in theorem 7. In other case, if  $Q_{t-e} \neq 0$  and  $P_{s-e} \neq 0$ , we consider the intersection of  $\phi(x, y, z)$  with the plane  $y = z$ . Then, using lemma 2, the equations (14) and (15) become:

$$\begin{aligned} P_s Q_t &= (x + y)^{2^k - 2} \\ P_s Q_{t-e} + P_{s-e} Q_t &= \phi_d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_d(x, y) = (x + y)^{2^l - 2} S(x, y)$  and  $x + y$  does not divide  $S(x, y)$ . Since  $t \geq e \geq 2^l$  then, from the first equation,  $(x + y)^{2^l}$  divides both  $P_s, Q_t$  implying that  $(x + y)^{2^l}$  divides  $\phi_d(x, y)$ , that implies that  $x + y$  divides  $R(x, y)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

## 6 Some Applications

As a consequence of our Theorems 6, 7, and 8, and using results from Janwa and Wilson [10] and Janwa, McGuire and Wilson [9], we are able to prove the following result.

**Theorem 9.** *All polynomials of the form  $f(x) = x^{65} + h(x)$  are not exceptional APN for all odd degree polynomials  $h$ .*

We thus extend substantially the classification of all lower degree exceptional APN functions given in literature.

## 7 Open Problems and Future Directions

Janwa, McGuire and Wilson [9] proved that, for  $t \equiv 5 \pmod{8} > 13$ , if the maximal cyclic code  $B_l$  ( $l$  is its length) has no codewords of weight 4, then  $\phi_t(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible. For many values of  $l$  it is possible that  $B_l$  has no codewords of weight 4, for example, if  $l$  is a prime congruent to  $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . For more details and infinite classes, see [9].

Until recently, it was thought that  $\phi_d(x, y, z)$  was absolutely irreducible for the values of  $d \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . F. Hernando and G. McGuire, with the help of MAGMA, found that the polynomial  $g_{205}(x, y, z)$  factors on  $F_2[x, y, z]$  [8].

In theorem 8, the fact that  $(\phi_{2^{k+1}}, \phi_d) = 1$  is a necessary condition for  $f(x)$  not to be exceptional APN. There are many cases when  $\phi_{2^{k+1}}$  and  $\phi_d$  are relatively prime, for example, as we commented, when  $\phi_d$  is absolutely irreducible. In [9], Janwa and Wilson proved, using different methods including Hensel's lemma implemented on a computer, that  $\phi_d(x, y, z)$  is absolutely irreducible for  $3 < d < 100$ , provided that  $d$  is not a Gold or a Kasami-Welch number (in such cases we know that it reduces). It is easy to show that the irreducibility of  $\phi_d(x, y, z)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  also implies that  $(\phi_{2^{k+1}}, \phi_d) = 1$ . Using all this and the previous theorems, we get new infinite families of Gold degree polynomial functions that are not exceptional APN.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to R.M. Wilson, B. Mishra, H.F. Mattson, Jr., and F. Castro for helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] Y. Aubry, G. McGuire, F. Rodier, *A few more functions that are not APN infinitely often*, Comtemporary Math. American Mathematical Society, **518** (2010) 23–31.
- [2] E. Byrne, McGuire, *Quadratic Binomial APN functions and absolutely irreducible polynomials*, (2008).
- [3] T. P. Berguer, A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, Y. Laigle-Chapuy, *On almost perfect nonlinear functions over  $F_{2^n}$* , IEEE Transact. Inform. Th., **52** (2006) 4160–4170.
- [4] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, *Constructing new APN functions from known ones*, Finite fields and their applications, **15** (2009) 150–159.
- [5] C. Carlet, P. Charpin, V. Zinoviev, *Codes, bent functions and permutations suitable for DES-like cryptosystems*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, **15** (1998) 125–156.
- [6] Y. Edel, G. Kyureghyan, A. Pott, *A new APN function that is not equivalent to a power mapping*, IEEE Transact. Inform. Th., **52** (2006) 744–747.
- [7] W. Fulton, *Algebraic curves, an introduction to algebraic geometry*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, (1969).
- [8] F. Hernando, G. McGuire, *Proof of a conjecture on the sequences of exceptional numbers, classifying cyclic codes and APN functions*, Journal of algebra, **343** (2011) 78–92.
- [9] H. Janwa, G. McGuire, M. Wilson, *Double-error-correcting cyclic codes and absolutely irreducible polynomials over  $GF(2)$* , Applied Journal of Algebra, **178** (1995) 665–676.
- [10] H. Janwa and M. Wilson, *Hyperplane sections of Fermat varieties in  $P^3$  in char. 2 and some applications to cyclic codes*, Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, Proceedings AAEECC-10 (G Cohen, T. Mora and O. Moreno Eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, New York / Berlin, **673** (1993) 180–194.
- [11] D. Jedlicka, *APN monomials over  $GF(2^n)$  for infinitely many  $n$* , Finite Fields Appl., **13** (2007) 1006–1028.

- [12] F. Rodier, *Bornes sur le degré des polynômes presque parfaitement non-linéaires*, Contemporary Math., AMS, Providence (RI), USA, **487** (2009) 169–181.
- [13] Rodier F. *Some more functions that are not APN infinitely often. The case of Kasami exponents*, Hal-00559576, version 1-25 (Jan 2011).
- [14] I. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry*, second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1994).