

# DE RHAM AND DOLBEAULT COHOMOLOGY OF SOLVMANIFOLDS WITH LOCAL SYSTEMS

HISASHI KASUYA

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a simply connected solvable Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  whose restriction on the nilradical is unipotent. Consider the flat bundle  $E_\rho$  given by  $\rho$ . By using "many" characters  $\{\alpha\}$  of  $G$  and "many" flat line bundles  $\{E_\alpha\}$  over  $G/\Gamma$ , we show that an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\{\alpha\}} H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho) \cong \bigoplus_{\{E_\alpha\}} H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho)$$

holds. This isomorphism is a generalization of the well-known fact: "If  $G$  is nilpotent and  $\rho$  is unipotent then, the isomorphism  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  holds". By this result, we construct an explicit finite dimensional cochain complex which compute the cohomology  $H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  of solvmanifolds even if the isomorphism  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  does not hold. For Dolbeault cohomology of complex parallelizable solvmanifolds, we also prove an analogue of the above isomorphism result which is a generalization of computations of Dolbeault cohomology of complex parallelizable nilmanifolds. By this isomorphism, we construct an explicit finite dimensional cochain complex which compute the Dolbeault cohomology of complex parallelizable solvmanifolds.

## 1. BACKGROUND AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. **Background.** We have nice theorem for de Rham cohomology of nilmanifolds with local systems.

**Theorem 1.1** (due to [13] or [16]). *Let  $N$  be a simply connected real nilpotent Lie group and  $\mathfrak{n}$  the Lie algebra of  $N$ . Suppose  $N$  has a lattice  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\rho : N \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  be a finite dimensional unipotent representation. We define the flat bundle  $E_\rho = (N \times V_\rho)/\Gamma$  given by the equivalent relation  $(\gamma g, \rho(\gamma)v) \cong (g, v)$  for  $g \in N$ ,  $v \in V_\rho$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Consider the cochain complex  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\rho$  of Lie algebra (see [14]) and the canonical inclusion*

$$\bigwedge \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\rho \rightarrow A^*(N/\Gamma, E_\rho).$$

*Then this inclusion induces a cohomology isomorphism*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{n}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(N/\Gamma, E_\rho).$$

Some researchers tried to extend Theorem 1.1 for solvmanifolds. In fact it is proved that for a simply connected solvable Lie group  $G$  with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  admitting a lattice  $\Gamma$  and a representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$ , if:

(H) ([6]) The representation  $\rho \oplus \text{Ad}$  is triangular or,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:17B30, 17B56, 22E25, 53C30, Secondary:32M10,, 55N25, 58A12.

*Key words and phrases.* de Rham cohomology, local system, Lie algebra cohomology, Dolbeault Cohomology, solvmanifold.

(M) ([12]) The two images  $(\rho \oplus \text{Ad})(G)$  and  $(\rho \oplus \text{Ad})(\Gamma)$  have same Zariski-closure in  $GL(V_\rho) \times \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ ,

then the isomorphism  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  holds. However in general the isomorphism  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(N/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  does not hold.

As an Analogue of Theorem 1.1 we have the following theorem for Dolbeault cohomology of complex parallelizable nilmanifolds.

**Theorem 1.2** (due to [17]). *Let  $N$  be a simply connected complex nilpotent Lie group and  $\mathfrak{n}$  the Lie algebra (as a complex Lie algebra) of  $N$ . Suppose  $N$  has a lattice  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\sigma : N \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$  be a finite dimensional holomorphic unipotent representation. We also consider the anti-holomorphic representation  $\bar{\sigma} : N \rightarrow GL(V_{\bar{\sigma}})$ . Define the flat holomorphic vector bundle  $L_{\bar{\sigma}} = (N \times V_{\bar{\sigma}})/\Gamma$  over  $G/\Gamma$  given by the equivalent relation  $(\gamma g, \bar{\sigma}(\gamma)v) \cong (g, v)$  for  $g \in N$ ,  $v \in V_{\bar{\sigma}}$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We consider the Dolbeault complex  $(A^{*,*}(N/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}), \bar{\partial})$ . We regard  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{n}^* \otimes V_\sigma$  as the subcomplex of  $(A^{0,*}(N/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}), \bar{\partial})$  which consists of the left-invariant "anti"-holomorphic forms with values in  $L_{\bar{\sigma}}$ . Then the inclusion*

$$\bigwedge \mathfrak{n}^* \otimes V_\sigma \rightarrow A^{0,*}(N/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism

$$H^*(\mathfrak{n}, V_\sigma) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,*}(N, L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

Hence since  $N/\Gamma$  is complex parallelizable, we have an isomorphism

$$\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^{\dim N} \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{n}, V_\sigma) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{*,*}(N, L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

It is desired that Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 are generalized for solvmanifolds and we can compute the de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds even if the isomorphism  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  (resp.  $\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^{\dim N} \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{n}, V_\sigma) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{*,*}(N, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$ ) does not holds.

**1.2. Main results.** The first purpose of this paper is to show new-type cohomology isomorphism theorems for solvmanifolds which are generalizations of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. These analogous each other. We consider the "many" characters of  $G$  and "many" line bundles over  $G/\Gamma$ . In this paper we prove:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected real solvable Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be the nilradical (i.e. maximal connected nilpotent normal subgroup) of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,N)} = \{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid \alpha|_N = 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}(\Gamma)$  the set  $\{E_\alpha\}$  of all the isomorphism classes of flat line bundles given by  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}}$ . Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  be a representation. For the nilradical  $N$  of  $G$ , we assume that the restriction  $\rho|_N$  is a unipotent representation. We consider the direct sum*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho$$

of the Lie algebra cochain complexes. We also consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}(\Gamma)} A^*(G/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho).$$

Then the inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \rightarrow \bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}(\Gamma)} A^*(G/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho).$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G,N)} H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho) \cong H^* \cong \bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G,N)(\Gamma)} H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho).$$

We also prove:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected complex solvable Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra (as a complex Lie algebra) of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be the nilradical of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(G,N) = \{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(G, \mathbb{C}^*) | \alpha|_N = 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)$  the set  $\{L_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$  of all the isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles given by  $\{V_{\bar{\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)}$ . Let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$  be a holomorphic representation. For the nilradical  $N$  of  $G$ , we assume that the restriction  $\sigma|_N$  is a unipotent representation. We consider the direct sum*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma.$$

of the Lie algebra cochain complexes. We also consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)} A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

of Dolbeault complexes.

Then the inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \rightarrow \bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)} A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma) \cong \bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)} H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

*Remark 1.* The correspondence  $\mathcal{A}(G,N) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G,N)(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}(G,N) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)$ ) is not 1 to 1. This remark is very important for the case the isomorphism  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  (resp.  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\sigma) \cong H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$ ) does not hold.

The second purpose of this paper is to construct a explicit finite dimensional cochain complex which compute the de Rham cohomology  $H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$  and the Dolbeault cohomology  $H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$  by using Theorem 1.3 and 1.4. We prove:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected real (resp complex) solvable Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}(G,N)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}(G,N)$ ) as in Theorem 1.3 (resp Theorem 1.4). Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  (resp.  $\sigma : G \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$ ) be a representation with the assumption of Theorem 1.3 (resp Theorem 1.4). We consider the direct sum*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho$$

(resp.

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma$$

) of the Lie algebra cochain complexes.

Then there exists a finite dimensional subcomplex

$$A^* \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho$$

(resp.

$$B^* \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma$$

) such that the inclusion induces a cohomology isomorphism.

By Theorem 1.3 (resp Theorem 1.4), we have the inclusion

$$\iota : A^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)(\Gamma)} A^*(G/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho)$$

(resp.

$$\iota : B^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)(\Gamma)} A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

) inducing a cohomology isomorphism. Hence we have:

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $A_\Gamma^* = \iota^{-1}(A^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho))$  (resp.  $B_\Gamma^* = \iota^{-1}(A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}))$ ). Then we have an isomorphism*

$$H^*(A_\Gamma^*) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$$

(resp.

$$H^*(B^*) \cong H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

and hence  $\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^{\dim G} \otimes H^*(B^*) \cong H^{*,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$ .

Consider the adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}$ . Then the restriction  $\text{Ad}|_N$  is unipotent. Hence by the above cochain complex  $A_\Gamma^*$ , we can compute the cohomology  $H^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\text{Ad}})$  on general solvmanifolds. The cohomology  $H^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\text{Ad}}) \cong H^*(\Gamma, \text{Ad})$  is important for studying the deformation of lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARY: JORDAN DECOMPOSITIONS OF REPRESENTATIONS

Let  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We denote by  $A_s$  (resp.  $A_u$ ) the semi-simple (resp. unipotent) part of  $A$  for the Jordan decomposition (see [9] for the definition). We will use the following facts.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N$  be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group and  $\varphi : N \rightarrow GL(V_\varphi)$  a representation. Then the map  $\varphi' : N \ni g \rightarrow (\varphi(g))_s$  is also a representation (see [2]). Since  $\varphi'(N)$  is connected nilpotent group and consists of semi-simple elements, the Zariski-closure of  $\varphi'(N)$  is an algebraic torus (see [9, Section 19]) and hence  $\varphi'$  is diagonalizable.*

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

**3.1. Cohomology of tori.** Let  $A$  be a simply connected real abelian Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  the Lie algebra of  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\rho : A \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  be a representation. Suppose  $\rho = \beta \otimes \phi$  such that  $\beta$  is a character of  $A$  and  $\phi$  is a unipotent representation. Then we have:*

*If  $\beta$  is non-trivial, then we have*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{a}, V_\rho) = 0.$$

*If the flat line bundle  $E_\beta$  is non-trivial, then we have*

$$H^*(A/\Gamma, E_\rho) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\dim V_\rho = 1$ . Then if  $\beta$  is non-trivial, we can show  $H^*(\mathfrak{a}, V_\rho) = H^*(\mathfrak{a}, V_\beta) = 0$  by simple computation and if  $E_\beta$  is non-trivial, then we have  $H^*(A/\Gamma, E_\rho) = H^*(\Gamma, \beta) = 0$  by [11, Lemma 2.1].

In case  $\dim V_\sigma = n > 1$ , by the triangulation of  $\rho$ , we have a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $A$ -submodule  $V_{\rho'}$  such that  $V_\rho/V_{\rho'} = V_\beta$ . Then by the long exact sequence of cohomology of Lie algebra or group (see [14]), the lemma follows inductively.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\rho : A \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  be a representation. Then we have a basis of  $V_\rho$  such that  $\rho$  is represented by*

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \otimes \phi_i$$

for characters  $\alpha_i$  of  $G$  and unipotent representations  $\phi_i$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* For a character  $\alpha$ , we denote by  $W_\alpha$  the subspace of  $V_\rho$  consisting of the elements  $w \in V_\rho$  such that for some positive integer  $n$  we have  $(\rho(a) - \alpha(a)I)^n w = 0$  for any  $a \in A$ . Since  $A$  is abelian, we have a decomposition

$$V_\rho = W_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{\alpha_k}$$

by generalized eigenspace decomposition of  $\rho(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Let  $\rho_i(a) = (\rho(a))|_{W_{\alpha_i}}$ . Then we have  $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k$ . We have  $(\rho_i(a))_s = \alpha_i I$ . Let  $\phi_i(a) = (\rho_i(a))_u$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\phi_i$  is a unipotent representation and we have  $\rho_i(a) = (\rho_i(a))_s (\rho_i(a))_u = (\alpha_i \otimes \phi_i)(a)$ . Hence the Lemma follows.  $\square$

Let  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)}$  be the set of all 1-dimensional representations of  $A$  and  $\mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma) = \{E_\beta\}$  the set of all the isomorphism classes of flat line bundles given by  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)}$ . We notice that the correspondence  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)$  is not injective. We consider the direct sums

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)} A^*(A/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *The inclusion*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \rightarrow \bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)} A^*(A/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho)$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism.

*Proof.* Consider the decomposition

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \otimes \phi_i$$

as the above lemma. Then we have

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_{\alpha \alpha_i} \otimes V_{\phi_i}$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)} A^*(A/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho) = \bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)} A^*(A/\Gamma, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_\alpha \otimes E_{\alpha_i} \otimes E_{\phi_i}).$$

By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$H^*(\bigoplus_{E_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)} A^*(A/\Gamma, E_\alpha \otimes E_\rho)) \cong H^*(A/\Gamma, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_{\phi_i}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{a}, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_{\phi_i}).$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$H^*(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{a}, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_{\phi_i}).$$

Hence the proposition follows.  $\square$

**3.2. Mostow bundle and spectral sequence.** Let  $G$  be a simply connected solvable Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be the nilradical of  $G$ . It is known that  $\Gamma \cap N$  is a lattice of  $N$  and  $\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N$  is a lattice of the abelian Lie group  $G/N$  (see [16]). The solvmanifold  $G/\Gamma$  is a fiber bundle

$$N/\Gamma \cap N = N\Gamma/\Gamma \longrightarrow G/\Gamma \longrightarrow G/N\Gamma = (G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)$$

over a torus with a nilmanifold  $N/\Gamma \cap N$  as fiber. We call this fiber bundle the Mostow bundle of  $G/\Gamma$ . The structure group is  $N\Gamma/\Gamma_0$  as left translations where  $\Gamma_0$  is the largest normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  which is normal in  $N\Gamma$  (see [18]).

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  be a representation such that the restriction  $\rho|_N$  is a unipotent representation. For the Mostow bundle  $p : G/\Gamma \rightarrow (G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)$ , we define the vector bundle

$$\mathbf{H}^q(N/\Gamma \cap N) = \sqcup_{x \in (G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)} H^q(p^{-1}(x), E_\rho)$$

over the torus  $(G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)$ . By Theorem 1.1, we have  $H^q(p^{-1}(x), E_\rho) \cong H^q(\mathfrak{n}, V_\rho)$ . Hence let  $\Lambda_q : G/N \rightarrow GL(H^q(\mathfrak{n}, V_\rho))$  be the representation induced by the extension  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/N \rightarrow 1$ , then we can regard  $\mathbf{H}^q(N/\Gamma \cap N)$  as the flat bundle  $E_{\Lambda_q}$ . We consider the filtration

$$F^p \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* = \{ \omega \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mid \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = 0 \text{ for } X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} \}.$$

This filtration gives the filtration of the cochain complex  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\rho$  and the filtration of the de Rham complex  $A^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$ . We consider the spectral sequence  $E_*^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\rho$  and the spectral sequence  $E_*^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$  of  $A^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho)$ . Set  $G/N = A$  and  $\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N = \Delta$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ . Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1^{*,q}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{*,q}(G/\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\Lambda_q} & \longrightarrow & A^*(A/\Delta, E_{\Lambda_q}) \end{array}$$

(see [6], [16, Section 7]).

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.* Consider the spectral sequence  $E_*^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g})$  of

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho}$$

and the spectral sequence  $E_*^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$  of

$$\bigoplus_{E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)(\Gamma)} A^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\alpha} \otimes E_{\rho}).$$

Set  $A = G/N$  and  $\Delta = \Gamma/\Gamma \cap N$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ . Since we can identify  $\mathcal{A}(G, N)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}(G, N)(\Gamma)$ ) with  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}(A/\Delta)$ ), we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1^{*,q}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{*,q}(G/\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\Lambda_q} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}(A/\Delta)} A^{0,*}(A/\Delta, E_{\alpha} \otimes E_{\Lambda_q}). \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.3, the homomorphism  $E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E_1^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$  induces a cohomology isomorphism and hence we have an isomorphism  $E_2^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong E_2^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ . Hence the theorem follows.  $\square$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

**4.1. Dolbeault cohomology of tori.** First we prove Theorem 1.2 by Sakane's Theorem [17].

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* In case  $\dim V_{\sigma} = 1$ ,  $\sigma$  is trivial and the theorem follows from Sakane's Theorem [17].

In case  $\dim V_{\sigma} = n > 1$ , since  $\sigma$  is unipotent, we have a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $G$ -submodule  $V_{\sigma'} \subset V_{\sigma}$  such that  $V_{\sigma}/V_{\sigma'}$  is the trivial submodule. Then we have the spectral sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\sigma'} \longrightarrow \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\sigma}/V_{\sigma'} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}'}) \longrightarrow A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) \longrightarrow A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}/L_{\bar{\sigma}'}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\sigma'} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\sigma} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\sigma}/V_{\sigma'} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}'}) & \longrightarrow & A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) & \longrightarrow & A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}/L_{\bar{\sigma}'}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Considering the long exact sequence of cohomologies, by the five lemma, the theorem follows inductively.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a simply connected complex abelian group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  the Lie algebra of  $A$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\sigma : A \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$  be a holomorphic representation. Suppose  $\sigma = \beta \otimes \phi$  such that  $\beta$  is a character of  $A$  and  $\phi$  is a unipotent representation. Then we have:*

*If  $\beta$  is non-trivial, then we have*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{a}, V_\sigma) = 0.$$

*If the holomorphic line bundle  $L_{\bar{\beta}}$  is non-trivial, then we have*

$$H^{0,*}(A/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) = 0$$

*Proof.* In case  $\dim V_\sigma = 1$ , the lemma is proved in [11].

In case  $\dim V_\sigma = n > 1$ , by the triangulation of  $\sigma$ , we have a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $A$ -submodule  $V_{\sigma'}$  such that  $V_\sigma/V_{\sigma'} = V_\beta$ . Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A^{0,*}(A/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}'}) \longrightarrow A^{0,*}(A/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) \longrightarrow A^{0,*}(A/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}/L_{\bar{\sigma}'}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Considering the long exact sequence of cohomologies, the lemma follows inductively.  $\square$

By similar proof of Lemma 3.2, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\sigma : A \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$  be a holomorphic representation. Then we have a basis of  $V_\sigma$  such that  $\sigma$  is represented by*

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \otimes \phi_i$$

*for holomorphic characters  $\alpha_i$  and holomorphic unipotent representations  $\phi_i$ .*

Let  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)}$  be the set of all 1-dimensional holomorphic representations of  $A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{hol}(A/\Gamma) = \{L_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$  the set of all the isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles given by  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)}$ . We notice that the correspondence  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(A/\Gamma)$  is not injective. We consider the direct sums

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{H}_{hol}(A/\Gamma)} A^{0,*}(A/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *The inclusion*

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \rightarrow \bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{H}_{hol}(A/\Gamma)} A^{0,*}(A/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

*induces a cohomology isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By using Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.1 and 4.2, we can prove the proposition by similar argument of the proof of Proposition 3.3  $\square$



**4.2. Mostow bundle and spectral sequence.** Let  $G$  be a simply connected complex solvable Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Then the mostow bundle

$$N/\Gamma \cap N = N\Gamma/\Gamma \longrightarrow G/\Gamma \longrightarrow G/N\Gamma = (G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)$$

is holomorphic.

Let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$  be a representation such that the restriction  $\sigma|_N$  is a unipotent representation. For the Mostow bundle  $p : G/\Gamma \rightarrow (G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)$ , we define the vector bundle

$$\mathbf{H}^{0,q}(N/\Gamma \cap N) = \sqcup_{x \in (G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)} H^{0,q}(p^{-1}(x), L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

over the torus  $(G/N)/(\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N)$ . By Theorem 1.2, we have  $H^{0,q}(p^{-1}(x), L_{\bar{\sigma}}) \cong H^{0,q}(\mathfrak{n}, V_\sigma)$ . Hence let  $\Lambda_q : G/N \rightarrow GL(H^q(\mathfrak{n}, V_\sigma))$  be the representation induced by the extension  $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/N \rightarrow 1$ , then we can regard  $\mathbf{H}^{0,q}(N/\Gamma \cap N)$  as the flat holomorphic bundle  $L_{\bar{\Lambda}}$ . We consider the filtration

$$F^p \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* = \left\{ \omega \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = 0 \text{ for } X_1, \dots, X_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{n} \right\}.$$

This filtration gives the filtration of the cochain complex  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\sigma$  and the filtration of the Dolbeault complex  $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) = C^\infty(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^*$ . We consider the spectral sequence  ${}_{Dol}E_*^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\sigma$  and the spectral sequence  ${}_{Dol}E_*^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$  of  $A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$ . Set  $G/N = A$  and  $\Gamma/\Gamma \cap N = \Delta$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ . By Borel's result [7, Appendix 2], we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{Dol}E_1^{*,q}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & {}_{Dol}E_1^{*,q}(G/\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes V_{\Lambda_q} & \longrightarrow & A^{0,*}(A/\Delta, L_{\bar{\Lambda}_q}). \end{array}$$

### 4.3. Proof of theorem.

*Proof.* Consider the spectral sequence  ${}_{Dol}E_*^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g})$  of

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma$$

and the spectral sequence  ${}_{Dol}E_*^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$  of

$$\bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)} A^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

Set  $A = G/N$  and  $\Delta = \Gamma/\Gamma \cap N$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ . Since we can identify  $\mathcal{B}(G,N)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}(G,N)(\Gamma)$ ) with  $\text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{hol}(A/\Delta)$ ) as Section 4.1). we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{Dol}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & {}_{Dol}E_1^{*,*}(G/\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{hol}(A, \mathbb{C}^*)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{L_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{H}_{hol}(A/\Delta)} A^{0,*}(A/\Delta, L_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes L_{\bar{\Lambda}}). \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.3, the homomorphism  ${}_{Dol}E_1^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow {}_{Dol}E_1^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$  induces a cohomology isomorphism and hence we have an isomorphism  ${}_{Dol}E_2^{*,*}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong {}_{Dol}E_2^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ . Hence the theorem follows.  $\square$

## 5. CONSTRUCTION OF FINITE COCHAIN COMPLEX (DE RHAM CASE)

We will use the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** ([2, Proposition 3.3]) *Let  $G$  be a simply connected solvable Lie group  $G$  and  $N$  the nilradical of  $G$ . Then we have a simply connected nilpotent subgroup  $C \subset G$  such that  $G = C \cdot N$ .*

*Remark 2.* This proposition is given by the decomposition (not necessarily direct sum)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{n}$  (see [3, Theorem 2.2]). Since this decomposition is compatible with any field (see [3, Theorem 2.2]), if  $G$  is complex Lie group we can take a subgroup  $C$  also complex.

Let  $G$  be a simply connected solvable Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be the nilradical of  $G$ . Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  be a representation. Suppose the restriction  $\rho|_N$  is unipotent. We consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho.$$

Then we have the  $G$ -action on this cochain complex via  $\bigoplus \text{Ad} \otimes \alpha \otimes \rho$ . Since this action is extension of the Lie derivation, the induced action on the cohomology is trivial. Consider the semi-simple part

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \text{Ad} \otimes \alpha \otimes \rho \right)_s = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} (\text{Ad}_g)_s \otimes \alpha(g) \otimes (\rho(g))_s.$$

Take a simply connected nilpotent subgroup  $C \subset G$  as Proposition 5.1. Since  $C$  is nilpotent, the map

$$\Phi : C \ni c \mapsto \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} (\text{Ad}_g)_s \otimes \alpha(g) \otimes (\rho(g))_s \in \text{Aut} \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \right)$$

is a homomorphism. We denote by

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \right)^{\Phi(C)}$$

the subcomplex consisting of the  $\Phi(C)$ -invariant elements.

**Lemma 5.2.** *The inclusion*

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \right)^{\Phi(C)} \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho$$

*induces a cohomology isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since the induced  $G$ -action on the cohomology  $H^*(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho)$  is trivial and  $\Phi(C)$ -action is semi-simple part of  $G$ -action, the induced  $\Phi(C)$ -action on the cohomology  $H^*(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho)$  is also trivial and hence

$$H^* \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \right)^{\Phi(C)} = H^* \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G, N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \right).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is diagonalizable, we have

$$H^*((\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})^{\Phi(C)}) = H^*((\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})^{\Phi(C)}).$$

Hence the lemma follows.  $\square$

The subcomplex  $(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})^{\Phi(C)}$  is desired subcomplex  $A^*$  as in Theorem 1.5. By using certain basis, we see that this complex is finite dimensional and write down the subcomplex  $A_{\Gamma}^*$  as Corollary 1.6 explicitly.

We have a basis  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $(\text{Ad}_c)_s = \text{diag}(\alpha_1(c), \dots, \alpha_n(c))$  for  $c \in C$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  which is dual to  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We have a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  of  $V_{\rho}$  such that  $(\rho(c))_s = \text{diag}(\alpha'_1(c), \dots, \alpha'_m(c))$  for any  $c \in C$ . Let  $v_{\alpha}$  be a basis of  $V_{\alpha}$  for each character  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}$ . By  $G = C \cdot N$ , we have  $G/N = C/C \cap N$  and hence we have  $\mathcal{A}_{(G,N)} = \mathcal{A}_{C, C \cap N} = \{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid \alpha|_{C \cap N} = 1\}$ .

For a multi-index  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$  we write  $x_I = x_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_p}$ , and  $\alpha_I = \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_p}$ . We consider the basis

$$\{x_I \otimes v_{\alpha} \otimes v_k\}_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{C, C \cap N}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}}$$

of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{C, C \cap N}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho}$ . Since the action

$$\Phi : C \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})$$

is the semi-simple part of  $(\bigoplus \text{Ad} \otimes \alpha \otimes \rho)|_C$ , we have

$$\Phi(a)(x_I \otimes v_{\alpha} \otimes v_k) = \alpha_I^{-1} \alpha \alpha'_k x_I \otimes v_{\alpha} \otimes v_k.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})^{\Phi(C)}) \\ &= \langle x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha'_k} \otimes v_k \rangle_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \\ &= \bigwedge \langle x_1 \otimes v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n \otimes v_{\alpha_n} \rangle \otimes \langle v_{\alpha'_1} \otimes v_1, \dots, v_{\alpha'_m} \otimes v_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we construct a finite dimensional complex  $A_{\Gamma}^*$  which computes the de Rham cohomology  $H^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\rho})$ .

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $A_{\Gamma}^*$  be the subcomplex of  $(\bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})^{\Phi(C)}$  defined as*

$$A_{\Gamma}^* = \langle x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha'_k} \otimes v_k \mid (\alpha_I \alpha'_k)|_{\Gamma} = 1 \rangle.$$

*Then we have an isomorphism*

$$H^*(A_{\Gamma}^*) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\rho}).$$

*Proof.* Consider the inclusion

$$\iota : (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{G,N}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho})^{\Phi(C)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{(G,N)}(\Gamma)} A^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\alpha} \otimes E_{\rho}).$$

$\iota(x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha'_k} \otimes v_k) \in A^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\rho})$  if and only if  $(\alpha_I \alpha'_k)|_{\Gamma} = \rho|_{\Gamma}$ . Hence we have  $\iota^{-1}(A^*(G/\Gamma, E_{\rho})) = A_{\Gamma}^*$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *We consider the following conditions:*

( $\diamond_1$ ) *For each multi-index  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , the character  $\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}$  is trivial if and only if the restriction  $(\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1})|_\Gamma$  is trivial.*

( $\diamond_2$ ) *For each multi-index  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , the character  $\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}$  is trivial or non-unitary.*

*If the condition ( $\diamond_1$ ) or ( $\diamond_2$ ) holds, then we have an isomorphism*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho) \cong H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho).$$

*Proof.* If the condition ( $\diamond_1$ ) holds, then we have  $A_\Gamma^* = (\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\rho)^{\Phi(C)}$ . Hence we have

$$H^*(G/\Gamma, E_\rho) \cong H(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\rho)^{\Phi(C)} \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\rho).$$

The condition ( $\diamond_2$ ) is special case of the condition ( $\diamond_1$ ). Hence the corollary follows.  $\square$

*Remark 3.* For a representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V_\rho)$  such that the restriction  $\rho|_N$  is trivial, the condition (M) (resp. (H)) in Section 1 is a special case of the condition ( $\diamond_1$ ) (resp. ( $\diamond_2$ ))

*Remark 4.* Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be the Lie algebra of  $C$ . Take a subvector  $V \subset \mathfrak{c}$  (not necessarily Lie algebra) such that  $\mathfrak{g} = V \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . Then we define the map

$$\text{ad}_s : \mathfrak{g} = V \oplus \mathfrak{n} \ni A + X \mapsto (\text{ad}_A)_s \in D(\mathfrak{g})$$

where  $(\text{ad}_A)_s$  is the semi-simple part of  $\text{ad}_A$  and  $D(\mathfrak{g})$  is the Lie algebra of derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This map is a Lie algebra homomorphism and a diagonalizable representation (see [4] and [10]). Let  $\text{Ad}_s : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the extension of  $\text{ad}_s$ . Then this map is identified with the map

$$G = C \cdot N \ni c \cdot n \mapsto (\text{Ad}_c) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We define the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_G \subset D(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$  as

$$\mathfrak{u}_G = \{X - \text{ad}_s X \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Consider the above basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*$ . Then in [10] the author showed that we have an isomorphism

$$\bigwedge \langle x_1 \otimes v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n \otimes v_{\alpha_n} \rangle \cong \bigwedge (\mathfrak{u}_G \otimes \mathbb{C})^*.$$

(This fact gives the new developments of de Rham homotopy theory on solvmanifolds. See [10].) Hence we can regard

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{G,N}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho \right)^{\Phi(C)} = \bigwedge \langle x_1 \otimes v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n \otimes v_{\alpha_n} \rangle \otimes \langle v_{\alpha_1}'^{-1} \otimes v_1, \dots, v_{\alpha_m}'^{-1} \otimes v_m \rangle$$

as the cochain complex of nilpotent Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{u}_G$  with values in some representation.

## 6. CONSTRUCTION OF FINITE COCHAIN COMPLEX (DOLBEAULT CASE)

In this case we can say almost same argument for de Rham case without difficulties. Let  $G$  be a simply connected solvable Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be the nilradical of  $G$ . Let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow GL(V_\sigma)$  be a holomorphic representation. Suppose the restriction  $\sigma|_N$  is unipotent. We consider the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(G,N)}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\rho.$$

Then we have the  $G$ -action on this cochain complex via  $\bigoplus \text{Ad} \otimes \alpha \otimes \rho$ . Consider the semi-simple part

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \text{Ad} \otimes \alpha \otimes \rho \right)_s = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} (\text{Ad}_g)_s \otimes \alpha(g) \otimes (\rho(g))_s.$$

Take a simply connected complex nilpotent subgroup  $C \subset G$  as Proposition 5.1 and Remark 2. Since  $C$  is nilpotent, the map

$$\Phi : C \ni c \mapsto \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} (\text{Ad}_g)_s \otimes \alpha(g) \otimes (\rho(g))_s \in \text{Aut} \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \right)$$

is a homomorphism. We denote by

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \right)^{\Phi(C)}$$

the subcomplex consisting of the  $\Phi(C)$ -invariant elements. By similar proof of Lemma 5.2, we have:

**Lemma 6.1.** *The inclusion*

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \right)^{\Phi(C)} \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma$$

induces a cohomology isomorphism.

We have a basis  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $(\text{Ad}_c)_s = \text{diag}(\alpha_1(c), \dots, \alpha_n(c))$  for  $c \in C$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  which is dual to  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We have a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  of  $V_\sigma$  such that  $(\sigma(c))_s = \text{diag}(\alpha'_1(c), \dots, \alpha'_m(c))$  for any  $c \in C$ . Let  $v_\alpha$  be a basis of  $V_\alpha$  for each character  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(G,N)$ . By  $G = C \cdot N$ , we have  $G/N = C/C \cap N$  and hence we have  $\mathcal{B}(G,N) = \mathcal{B}_{C,C \cap N} = \{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\text{hol}}(C, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid \alpha|_{C \cap N} = 1\}$ .

For a multi-index  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$  we write  $x_I = x_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_p}$ , and  $\alpha_I = \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_p}$ . We consider the basis

$$\{x_I \otimes v_\alpha \otimes v_k\}_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{C,C \cap N}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}}$$

of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{C,C \cap N}} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma$ . Since the action

$$\Phi : C \rightarrow \text{Aut} \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \right)$$

is the semi-simple part of  $(\bigoplus \text{Ad} \otimes \alpha \otimes \sigma)|_C$ , we have

$$\Phi(a)(x_I \otimes v_\alpha \otimes v_k) = \alpha_I^{-1} \alpha \alpha'_k x_I \otimes v_\alpha \otimes v_k.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \otimes V_\sigma \right)^{\Phi(C)} \\ &= \langle x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}} \otimes v_k \rangle_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \\ &= \left( \bigwedge \langle x_1 \otimes v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n \otimes v_{\alpha_n} \rangle \right) \otimes \langle v_{\alpha_1'^{-1}} \otimes v_1, \dots, v_{\alpha_m'^{-1}} \otimes v_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $B_\Gamma^*$  be the subcomplex of  $\langle x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}} \otimes v_k \rangle_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}}$  defined as*

$$B_\Gamma^* = \left\langle x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}} \otimes v_k \mid \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}_I \bar{\alpha}_k'^{-1}}{\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}} \right) \Big|_\Gamma = 1 \right\rangle.$$

Then we have an isomorphism

$$H^*(B_\Gamma^*) \cong H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

*Proof.* It is known that we have the 1-1 correspondence between the isomorphism classes of flat holomorphic line bundles over a complex torus and the unitary characters of its lattice (see [15]). By this, for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(G,N)}$ , considering the unitary character  $\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha}$ , the holomorphic line bundle  $L_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is trivial if and only if the restriction  $(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha})|_\Gamma$  is trivial. Hence

$$\iota(x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}} \otimes v_k) \in A^*(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})$$

if and only if the restriction  $(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_I \bar{\alpha}_k'^{-1}}{\alpha_I \alpha_k})|_\Gamma$  is trivial. Then we have  $\iota^{-1}(A^*(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}})) = B_\Gamma^*$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3.** *We consider the following condition:*

( $\star$ ) *For each multi-index  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , the character  $\alpha_I \alpha_k'^{-1}$  is trivial if and only if the restriction  $(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_I \bar{\alpha}_k'^{-1}}{\alpha_I \alpha_k})|_\Gamma$  is trivial.*

*If the condition ( $\star$ ) holds, then we have an isomorphism*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\sigma) \cong H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose the condition ( $\star$ ) holds. Then we have  $B_\Gamma^* = (\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\sigma)^{\Phi(C)}$ . Hence we have

$$H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma, L_{\bar{\sigma}}) \cong H^*(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\sigma)^{\Phi(C)} \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}, V_\sigma).$$

$\square$

*Remark 5.* We define the nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_G$  as Remark 4. In complex case,  $\mathfrak{u}_G$  is also a complex Lie algebra. As similar to Remark 4, we have

$$\left( \bigoplus_\alpha \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_\alpha \right)^{\Phi(C)} = \bigwedge \langle x_1 \otimes v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n \otimes v_{\alpha_n} \rangle \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{u}_G^*.$$

Suppose  $G$  has a lattice  $\Gamma$ . We consider the cochain complex

$$B_\Gamma^* = \left\langle x_I \otimes v_{\alpha_I} \mid \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}_I}{\alpha_I} \right)_{|\Gamma} = 1 \right\rangle.$$

Then we have an isomorphism  $H^{0,*}(B_\Gamma^*) \cong H^{0,*}(G/\Gamma)$  by Corollary 6.2. We consider the following condition.

( $\square$ ) For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the restriction  $(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_i}{\alpha_i})|_\Gamma$  is trivial.

If the condition ( $\square$ ) holds, then we have

$$B_\Gamma^* = \bigwedge \langle x_1 \otimes v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n \otimes v_{\alpha_n} \rangle \cong \bigwedge \mathfrak{u}_G^*.$$

Let  $U_G$  be the simply connected complex Lie group with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_G$ . Then  $U_G$  is the nilradical of the semi-simple splitting of  $G$  (see [2]). It is known that if  $G$  has a lattice, then  $U_G$  has a lattice  $\Gamma'$  (see [1]).

Hence we have:

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $G$  be a simply connected complex solvable Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$ . If the condition ( $\square$ ) holds, then there exists a complex parallelizable nilmanifold  $U_G/\Gamma'$  such that we have an isomorphism*

$$H^{*,*}(G/\Gamma) \cong H^{*,*}(U_G/\Gamma').$$

By this corollary we have some solvmanifolds whose Dolbeault cohomology is isomorphic to the Dolbeault cohomology of nilmanifolds.

### 7. EXAMPLE

Let  $G = \mathbb{C} \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{C}^2$  such that

$$\phi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^z & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have  $a + \sqrt{-1}b, c + \sqrt{-1}d \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}(a + \sqrt{-1}b) + \mathbb{Z}(c + \sqrt{-1}d)$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi(a + \sqrt{-1}b)$  and  $\phi(c + \sqrt{-1}d)$  are conjugate to elements of  $SL(4, \mathbb{Z})$  where we regard  $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \subset SL(4, \mathbb{R})$  (see [8]). Hence we have a lattice  $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}(a + \sqrt{-1}b) + \mathbb{Z}(c + \sqrt{-1}d)) \ltimes_{\phi} \Gamma''$  such that  $\Gamma''$  is a lattice of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

**7.1. Twisted de Rham cohomology  $H^1(G/\Gamma, E_{\text{Ad}})$ .** For a coordinate  $(w, z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \ltimes_{\phi} \mathbb{C}^2$  we have the basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_6\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

$$v_1 = e^w \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, v_2 = e^{\bar{w}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, v_3 = e^{-w} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, v_4 = e^{-\bar{w}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}, v_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, v_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}.$$

Consider the dual basis

$$e^{-w} dz_1, e^{-\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_1, e^w dz_2, e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_2, dw, d\bar{w}.$$

As we consider  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  as a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  via Ad, we have the cochain complex  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  whose differential is given by

$$dv_1 = dw \otimes v_1, dv_2 = d\bar{w} \otimes v_2, dv_3 = -dw \otimes v_3, dv_4 = -d\bar{w} \otimes v_4$$

$$dv_5 = -e^{-w} dz_1 \otimes v_1 + e^w dz_2 \otimes v_3, dv_6 = -e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_1 \otimes v_2 + e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_2 \otimes v_4.$$

For  $(w, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $(\text{Ad}_{(w,0,0)})_s = \text{diag}(e^w, e^{\bar{w}}, e^{-w}, e^{-\bar{w}}, 1, 1)$  for the basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_6\}$ . Consider the cochain complex

$$\left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho} \right)^{\Phi(C)}$$

as Section 5 where  $C = \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\rho} \right)^{\Phi(C)} \\ &= \bigwedge \langle e^{-w} dz_1 \otimes v_{e^w}, e^{-\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_1 \otimes v_{e^{\bar{w}}}, e^w dz_2 \otimes v_{e^{-w}}, e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_2 \otimes v_{e^{-\bar{w}}}, dw, d\bar{w} \rangle \\ & \quad \otimes \langle v_1 \otimes v_{e^{-w}}, v_2 \otimes v_{e^{-\bar{w}}}, v_3 \otimes v_{e^w}, v_4 \otimes v_{e^{\bar{w}}}, v_5, v_6 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For any lattice  $\Gamma$  we have  $b_1(G/\Gamma) = b_1(\mathfrak{g}) = 2$ . But we will see that  $\dim H^1(G/\Gamma, e_{\text{Ad}})$  varies for a choice of  $\Gamma$ . If  $b, d \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ , then we have

$$A_{\Gamma}^0 = \langle v_5, v_6 \rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\Gamma}^1 &= \langle e^{-w} dz_1 \otimes v_1, e^{-w} dz_1 \otimes v_{e^w} \otimes v_2 \otimes v_{e^{-\bar{w}}}, \\ & \quad e^{-\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_1 \otimes v_{e^{\bar{w}}} \otimes v_1 \otimes v_{e^w}, e^{-\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_1 \otimes v_2, \\ & \quad e^w dz_2 \otimes v_3, e^w dz_2 \otimes v_{e^{-w}} \otimes v_4 \otimes v_{e^{\bar{w}}}, \\ & \quad e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_2 \otimes v_{e^{-\bar{w}}} \otimes v_3 \otimes v_{e^{-w}}, e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_2 \otimes v_4, \\ & \quad dw \otimes v_5, dw \otimes v_6, d\bar{w} \otimes v_5, d\bar{w} \otimes v_6 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $\dim H^1(G/\Gamma, V_{\text{Ad}}) = \dim H^1(A_{\Gamma}^*) = 6$ .

On the other hand, if  $b \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$  or  $d \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$ , then we have

$$A_\Gamma^0 = \langle v_5, v_6 \rangle,$$

$$A_\Gamma^1 = \langle e^{-w} dz_1 \otimes v_1, e^{-\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_1 \otimes v_2, e^w dz_2 \otimes v_3, e^{\bar{w}} d\bar{z}_2 \otimes v_4, \\ dw \otimes v_5, d\bar{w} \otimes v_6, d\bar{w} \otimes v_5, d\bar{w} \otimes v_6 \rangle.$$

Hence we have  $\dim H^1(G/\Gamma, E_{\text{Ad}}) = \dim H^1(A_\Gamma^*) = 2$ .

**7.2. Dolbeault cohomology  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{*,*}(G/\Gamma)$ .** For a coordinate  $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C} \times_\phi \mathbb{C}^2$ , we consider the basis  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (d\bar{z}_1, e^{-\bar{z}_1} d\bar{z}_2, e^{\bar{z}_1} d\bar{z}_3)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . We consider  $C = \mathbb{C} = \{(z_1)\}$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (1, e^{z_1}, e^{-z_1})$  for  $C$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  as in Section 5. If  $b \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$  or  $c \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(\star)$  holds and hence we have  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{*,*}(G/\Gamma) \cong \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{g})$ . If  $b, d \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ , then the condition  $(\square)$  holds and hence we have  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{*,*}(G/\Gamma) \cong \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \bigwedge \Gamma^3$ . There exists a lattice  $\Gamma$  which satisfies the condition  $(\star)$  or  $(\square)$  (see [8]).

#### Acknowledgements.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Toshitake Kohno for helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions. This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Auslander, An exposition of the structure of solvmanifolds. I. Algebraic theory. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), no. 2, 227–261.
- [2] K. Dekimpe, Semi-simple splittings for solvable Lie groups and polynomial structures. Forum Math. **12** (2000), no. 1, 77–96.
- [3] K. Dekimpe, Solvable Lie algebras, Lie groups and polynomial structures, Compositio Math. **121** (2000), no. 2, 183–204.
- [4] N. Duney, A. F. M. ter Elst, D. W. Robinson, Analysis on Lie Groups with Polynomial Growth, Birkhäuser (2003).
- [5] K. Hasegawa, Small deformations and non-left-invariant complex structures on six-dimensional compact solvmanifolds. Differential Geom. Appl. **28** (2010), no. 2, 220–227.
- [6] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **8** 1960 289–331 (1960).
- [7] F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, third enlarged ed., Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [8] K. Hasegawa, Small deformations and non-left-invariant complex structures on six-dimensional compact solvmanifolds. Differential Geom. Appl. **28** (2010), no. 2, 220–227.
- [9] J. E. Humphreys, Linear algebraic groups. Springer-Verlag, New York 1981
- [10] H. Kasuya, Minimal models, formality and hard Lefschetz properties of solvmanifolds with local systems. To appear in J. Differential Geometry. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1940>.
- [11] H. Kasuya, Techniques of computations of Dolbeault cohomology of solvmanifolds. Math. Z DOI: 10.1007/s00209-012-1013-0 (online first). arXiv:1107.4761 (preprint)
- [12] G. D. Mostow, Cohomology of topological groups and solvmanifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) **73** 1961 20–48.
- [13] K. Nomizu, On the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups. Ann. of Math. (2) **59**, (1954). 531–538.
- [14] A. L. Onishchik, E. B. Vinberg (Eds), Lie groups and Lie algebras II, Springer (2000).
- [15] A. Polishchuk, Abelian Varieties, Theta Functions and the Fourier Transform. Cambridge University Press 2002.
- [16] M.S. Ragnathan, Discrete subgroups of Lie Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [17] Y. Sakane, On compact complex parallelisable solvmanifolds. Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976), no. 1, 187–212.
- [18] N. Steenrod, The Topology of Fibre Bundles, Princeton University Press (1951).



(H.kasuya) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* `khsc@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`