

MDS and Self-dual Codes over Rings

Kenza Guenda and T. Aaron Gulliver *

November 11, 2021

Abstract

In this paper we give the structure of constacyclic codes over formal power series and chain rings. We also present necessary and sufficient conditions on the existence of MDS codes over principal ideal rings. These results allow for the construction of infinite families of MDS self-dual codes over finite chain rings, formal power series and principal ideal rings.

Keywords: codes over rings; MDS codes; cyclic codes; formal power series rings; finite chain rings

AMS Classification: 94B05; 94B15; 13F10; 13F25

1 Introduction

Although codes over rings are not new [4], they have attracted significant attention from the scientific community only since 1994, when Hammons et al. [19] established a fundamental connection between non-linear binary codes and linear codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . In [19], it was proven that some of the best non-linear codes, such as the Kerdock, Preparata, and Goethal codes can be viewed as linear codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 via the Gray map from \mathbb{Z}_4^n to \mathbb{F}_2^{2n} . The link between self-dual codes and unimodular lattices was given by Bonnecaze et al. [5] for \mathbb{Z}_4 , and generalized by Bannai et al. [2]. These results created a great deal of interest in self-dual codes over a variety of rings, see [28] and the references there. Calderbank and Sloane [7] gave the structure of cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p^a} , and Kanwar, Dinh and Lopez-Permouth [8,22] presented

*K. Guenda is with the Faculty of Mathematics USTHB, University of Science and Technology of Algiers, Algeria. T. A. Gulliver is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Victoria, PO Box 3055, STN CSC, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 3P6. email: agullive@ece.uvic.ca, tel: 250-721-6028, fax: 250-721-6052.

the structure of cyclic and negacyclic codes over chain rings. Norton and Sălăgean [26, 27] provided a different approach to the study of these codes, and they considered the problem of determining the minimum distance.

Dougherty et al. [10, 11] used the Chinese remainder theorem to generalize the structure of codes over principal ideal rings. They gave conditions on the existence of self-dual codes over principal ideal rings in [10], and conditions on the existence of MDS codes over these rings in [11]. More recently, Dougherty et al. [13] introduced the γ -adic codes over a formal power series ring. The lift and projection of these codes were also considered. In [12], Dougherty and Liu studied cyclic and negacyclic codes over these rings.

Recently, Dougherty [15] posed a number of problems concerning codes over rings. Several of these are answered in this paper. In particular, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on the existence of MDS codes over principal ideal rings. The existence of such codes requires the existence of MDS codes over all the base fields. We also give the structure of constacyclic codes over formal power series and chain rings. The projection and the lift of these codes is described using a generalization of the Hensel lift Lemma and the structure of the ideals of $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$. Finally, infinite families of MDS self-dual codes are given over principal ideal rings, finite chain rings and formal power series.

We begin by reviewing and extending the necessary results on finite chain rings. The lift and projection of these rings are given in the references above. In Section 3, we give a necessary and sufficient condition on the existence of MDS codes over principal ideal rings. We also construct Reed-Solomon codes over these rings. In Section 4, constacyclic codes over finite chain rings and formal power series are examined. The structure of the ideals of $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$ is given. We consider the free constacyclic codes and their lifts, and the number of such codes is determined. In the last section, two families of MDS self-dual codes over chain rings and principal ideal rings are constructed. These codes are derived from the MDS and self-dual codes given in [18]. A table of these codes is given which includes self-dual MDS codes derived from [3, 18, 23].

2 Codes over Finite Chain Rings and Formal Power Series Rings

A finite chain ring is a finite commutative ring R with $1 \neq 0$, and such that its ideals are linearly ordered by inclusion. A finite commutative ring is a finite chain ring if and only if it is a local principal ideal ring [8, Proposition 2.1]. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of the finite chain ring R . Since R is a principal ideal ring, there exists a generator $\gamma \in R$ of \mathfrak{m} . Then γ is nilpotent with nilpotency index some integer e . Hence ideals of R form the following chain

$$\langle 0 \rangle = \langle \gamma^e \rangle \subsetneq \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \langle \gamma \rangle \subsetneq R.$$

The nilradical of R is then $\langle \gamma \rangle$, so then all the elements of $\langle \gamma \rangle$ are nilpotent. Hence the elements of $R \setminus \langle \gamma \rangle$ are units. Since $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is a maximal ideal, the residue ring $R/\langle \gamma \rangle$ is a field which we denote by K . This implies that $K[X]$ is a unique factorization domain. The canonical surjective ring morphism from R to K is denoted by $(-)$ and is extended to $R[x]$ and $K[x]$. as follows

$$\begin{aligned} - : R[X] &\longrightarrow K[X] \\ f &\longmapsto \bar{f} = f \pmod{\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let $|R|$ denote the cardinality of R , and R^* the multiplicative group of all units in R . We know that the residue field K has characteristic p and cardinality $|K| = q = p^r$ for some integer r . The following Lemma is well known (see [12, 13, 26], for example).

Lemma 2.1 *Let R be a finite chain ring with maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$. Let $V \subseteq R$ be a set of representatives for the equivalence classes of R under congruence modulo γ . Then*

- (i) *for all $v \in R$ there exist unique $v_0, \dots, v_{e-1} \in V$ such that $v = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} v_i \gamma^i$;*
- (ii) $|V| = |K|$;
- (iii) $|\langle \gamma^j \rangle| = |K|^{e-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq e-1$.

By Lemma 2.1, we can compute the cardinality of R as follows

$$|R| = |K| \cdot |\langle \gamma \rangle| = |K| \cdot |K|^{e-1} = |K|^e = p^{er}. \quad (2)$$

A code \mathcal{C} of length n over R is a subset of R . If the code is a submodule we say that the code is linear. Here, all codes are assumed to be linear. If n is the length of the code and p is the characteristic of K we also assume that $\gcd(n, p) = 1$.

We attach the standard inner product to the ambient space, i.e., $v \cdot w = \sum v_i w_i$. The dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of \mathcal{C} is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{v \in R^n \mid v \cdot w = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (3)$$

If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$, we say that the code is self-orthogonal, and if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$ we say that the code is self-dual.

Let R be a finite chain ring. From [7], any linear code over R has a generator matrix in the following standard form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} & A_{0,3} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{0,e-1} \\ 0 & \gamma I_{k_1} & \gamma A_{1,2} & \gamma A_{1,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma A_{1,e-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 I_{k_2} & \gamma^2 A_{2,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma^2 A_{2,e-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma^{e-1} I_{k_{e-1}} & \gamma^{e-1} A_{e-1,e} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where the columns are grouped into blocks of sizes $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1}, n - \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} k_i$. Hence k_i is the number of rows of G that are divisible by γ^i , but not divisible by γ^{i+1} . This gives that the codewords of C are of the form $(v_0, \dots, v_{e-1})G$, where each v_i is a vector of length k_i with components from $\langle \gamma^i \rangle$. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{C}| = |K|^{\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (e-i)k_i}. \quad (5)$$

We say that \mathcal{C} is of type

$$1^{k_0} \gamma^{k_1} (\gamma^2)^{k_2} \dots (\gamma^{e-1})^{k_{e-1}}.$$

The rank of \mathcal{C} is defined to be

$$k(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} k_i. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that $k(\mathcal{C})$ is the minimum number of generators of \mathcal{C} . Furthermore we have the following relation between the code \mathcal{C} and its dual \mathcal{C}^\perp .

$$|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{C}^\perp| = q^{\sum (e-i)(k_i + k_i^\perp)} = q^{en} = |R|^n, \text{ and } (\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.2 *From (7), there exists a self-dual code of length n over R if and only if en is even. If e is even, there exists a trivial self-dual code of length n given by the generator matrix $G = \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} I_n$.*

The free rank of \mathcal{C} is defined to be the maximum of the ranks of the free submodules of \mathcal{C} . A linear code is said to be free if its free rank is equal to its rank. In this case, the code is a free R -submodule which is isomorphic as a module to $R^{k(\mathcal{C})}$, and has a basis of $k(\mathcal{C})$ elements. The Hamming weight of a codeword v of \mathcal{C} is the number of non-zero coordinates, and for a code \mathcal{C} we denote by $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ or simply d the non-zero minimum Hamming distance of \mathcal{C} .

The well known Singleton bound for codes over any alphabet of size m (see [24]) gives that

$$d_H(\mathcal{C}) \leq n - \log_m(|\mathcal{C}|) + 1. \quad (8)$$

If a code meets this bound, it is called maximum distance separable (MDS). For codes over principal ideal rings we have the following bound [20]

$$d_H(\mathcal{C}) \leq n - k(\mathcal{C}) + 1. \quad (9)$$

This is a stronger bound in general unless the linear code is free, in which case the bounds coincide. If a code over R meets the bound (9), then we say that \mathcal{C} is a Maximum Distance with respect to Rank (MDR) code. The submodule quotient of \mathcal{C} by $v \in R$ is the code

$$(\mathcal{C} : v) = \{x \in R^n | xv \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Thus we have the tower of linear codes over R

$$\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C} : \gamma) \subseteq \dots (\mathcal{C} : \gamma^i) \subseteq \dots \subseteq (\mathcal{C} : \gamma^{e-1}). \quad (10)$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, e-1$ the projection of $(\mathcal{C} : \gamma^i)$ over the field K are denoted by $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}) = \overline{(\mathcal{C} : \gamma^i)}$, and called the *torsion codes* associated with the code \mathcal{C} . By a similar prove as [9, Theorem 5.1] one can obtain the following result.

$$|Tor_i(\mathcal{C})| = \prod_{j=0}^i q^{k_j}, \quad (11)$$

Using (10) we can obtain easily the following tower.

$$Tor_0(\mathcal{C}) \subset Tor_1(\mathcal{C}) \subset \dots \subset Tor_{e-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset Tor_0(\mathcal{C})^\perp \quad (12)$$

Proposition 2.3 *Let R be a finite chain ring with maximal ideal γ and nilpotency index e . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If \mathcal{C} is a linear MDS code over R of rank $k = k(\mathcal{C})$ and type $1^{k_0} \gamma^{k_1} (\gamma^2)^{k_2} \dots (\gamma^{e-1})^{k_{e-1}}$, we have that $k_i = 0$ for $i > 0$. Furthermore we have $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}) = Tor_0(\mathcal{C})$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$, and it is an MDS code of length n and dimension k over the field K .*
- (ii) *If there exists an MDR code over R , then $Tor_{e-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is an MDS code over the field K .*
- (iii) *if $rank(\mathcal{C}) = n/2$, then \mathcal{C} is free, $rank(\mathcal{C}) = rank(Tor_i(\mathcal{C}))$, $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}) = Tor_j(\mathcal{C})$, $Tor_i(\mathcal{C})$ is self-dual for all $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$.*

Proof. From (5) we have $|\mathcal{C}| < p^{erk}$. If $k_i > 0$ for any $i > 0$, the code meets the bound given in (8), which prevents the code from meeting the bound given in (9). Which mean that \mathcal{C} is a free code. From [11, Theorem 5.3] $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}) = Tor_j(\mathcal{C})$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq e-1$ and $Tor_i(\mathcal{C})$ are MDS. Part (ii) follows from [11, Theorem 5.4]. Assume now that \mathcal{C} is self-orthogonal such that $rank(\mathcal{C}) = n/2$. Then from (ii), $Tor_i(\mathcal{C})$ is self-orthogonal for all $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor$, and $rank(Tor_0(\mathcal{C})) = n/2$. Thus $Tor_0(\mathcal{C})$ is self-dual, and from (12) we have $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}) = Tor_j(\mathcal{C})$ so that \mathcal{C} is free and $rank(\mathcal{C}) = rank(Tor_i(\mathcal{C}))$. \square

Let R be a finite chain ring with maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$, nilpotency index e and residue field K . Hence from Lemma 2.1 any element a of R can be written uniquely as $a = a_0 + a_1\gamma + \dots + a_{e-1}\gamma^{e-1}$, where $a_i \in K$. For an arbitrary positive integer i , we define R_i as

$$R_i = \{a_0 + a_1\gamma + \dots + a_{i-1}\gamma^{i-1} | a_i \in K\}, \quad (13)$$

Then R_i are finite chain rings with $R_1 = K$ and $R_e = R$. Each R_i is with index of nilpotency i and with maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$ and with set of unit

$$R_i^* = \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} a_l \gamma^l \mid 0 \neq a_0 \in K \right\}. \quad (14)$$

The ring of formal power series R_∞ is defined as follows

$$R_\infty = K[[\gamma]] = \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l \gamma^l \mid a_l \in K \right\}. \quad (15)$$

The following result is well known [6, 12, 31].

Lemma 2.4 *Assume the notation given above. Then we have that*

(i) $R_\infty^\times = \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l \gamma^l \mid a_0 \neq 0 \right\};$

(ii) *the ring R_∞ is a principal ideal domain with a unique maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$.*

Hence from Lemma 2.4, any nonzero element a of R_∞ can be written as

$$a = \gamma^l d \text{ with } d \text{ a unit in } R_\infty. \quad (16)$$

The generator matrix of a linear code over R_∞ is given by the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5 (*[13, Lemma 3.3]*) *Let \mathcal{C} be a nonzero linear code over R_∞ of length n . Then any generator matrix of \mathcal{C} is permutation equivalent to a matrix of the following form*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{m_0} I_{k_0} & \gamma^{m_0} A_{0,1} & \gamma^{m_0} A_{0,2} & \gamma^{m_0} A_{0,3} & & \gamma^{m_0} A_{0,r} \\ & \gamma^{m_1} I_{k_1} & \gamma^{m_1} A_{1,2} & \gamma^{m_1} A_{1,3} & & \gamma^{m_1} A_{1,r} \\ & & \gamma^{m_2} I_{k_2} & \gamma^{m_2} A_{2,3} & & \gamma^{m_2} A_{2,r} \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & \gamma^{m_{r-1}} I_{k_{r-1}} & \gamma^{m_{r-1}} A_{r-1,r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where $0 \leq m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_{r-1}$ for some integer r .

Remark 2.6 *A code \mathcal{C} with generator matrix of the form given in (17) is said to be of type*

$$(\gamma^{m_0})^{k_0} (\gamma^{m_1})^{k_1} \dots (\gamma^{m_{r-1}})^{k_{r-1}},$$

where $k = k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{r-1}$ is called the rank, and is the rank of \mathcal{C} as a module.

A code \mathcal{C} of length n with rank k over R_∞ is called a γ -adic $[n, k]$ code.

Since R_∞ is a principal ideal ring. Hence the codes over R_∞ satisfy the bound (9). An MDR code over R_∞ is said to be MDS if it is of type 1^k for some k . We have the following result.

Theorem 2.7 (*[13]*) *If \mathcal{C} is a linear code over R_∞ then \mathcal{C}^\perp has type 1^m for some m . Furthermore, the following holds:*

(i) $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp$ if and only if \mathcal{C} has type 1^k .

(ii) If \mathcal{C} is an MDR or MDS code then \mathcal{C}^\perp is an MDS code.

For two positive integers $i < j$, we define a map as follows

$$\Psi_i^j : R_j \rightarrow R_i, \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} a_l \gamma^l \mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} a_l \gamma^l. \quad (19)$$

If we replace R_j with R_∞ then we denote Ψ_i^∞ by Ψ_i . Let a and b be two arbitrary elements in R_j . It is easy to show that

$$\Psi_i^j(a + b) = \Psi_i^j(a) + \Psi_i^j(b), \quad \Psi_i^j(ab) = \Psi_i^j(a)\Psi_i^j(b). \quad (20)$$

If $a, b \in R_\infty$, we have that

$$\Psi_i(a + b) = \Psi_i(a) + \Psi_i(b), \quad \Psi_i(ab) = \Psi_i(a)\Psi_i(b). \quad (21)$$

Note that the two maps Ψ_i and Ψ_i^j can be extended naturally from R_∞^n to R_i^n and R_j^n to R_i^n , respectively.

Definition 2.8 *An $[n, k]$ code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ over R_j is said to be the lift of a code \mathcal{C} over R_i , with i and j integers such that $1 \leq i \leq j < \infty$, if $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has a generator matrix \tilde{G} such that $\Psi_i^j(\tilde{G})$ is a generator matrix of \mathcal{C} . Hence we have $\mathcal{C} = \Psi_i^j(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$. If \mathcal{C} is an $[n, k]$ γ -adic code, then for any $i < \infty$, we call $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C})$ a projection of \mathcal{C} . We denote $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C})$ by \mathcal{C}^i .*

Remark 2.9 *The map Ψ_1^i is the same map as that given in (1). Hence when \mathcal{C} is a cyclic code over K generated by a polynomial g , then the code over R_i generated by the lifted polynomial of g is the lifted code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ in the sense of the definition above.*

Lemma 2.10 *Let \mathcal{C} be a free code over R_i . Then the lifted code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} over R_j , $j \geq i$, is a free code.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is a free code of rank $k(\mathcal{C})$ over R_i , then \mathcal{C} is isomorphic as a module to $R_i^{k(\mathcal{C})}$. Hence the k rows of the generators matrix G of \mathcal{C} are linearly independent. Since the map Ψ_i^j is a morphism, the rows of \tilde{G} are also linearly independent, otherwise the rows of $G = \Psi_i^j(\tilde{G})$ are not linearly independent, which is absurd. It then follows that the code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is also a free code over R_j . \square

Lemma 2.11 ([13, Theorem 2.11]) *Let \mathcal{C} be a γ -adic code. Then the following two results hold.*

- (i) *the minimum Hamming distance $d_H(\mathcal{C}^i)$ of \mathcal{C}^i is equal to $d = d_H(\mathcal{C}^1)$ for all $i < \infty$;*
- (ii) *the minimum Hamming distance $d_\infty = d_H(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is at least $d = d_H(\mathcal{C}^1)$.*

Theorem 2.12 *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code over R_i , and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be a lift code of \mathcal{C} over R_j , where $\infty \geq j > i$. If \mathcal{C} is an MDS code over R_i then the code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is an MDS code over R_j with the same minimum distance d_H .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be an MDS linear code of length n and dimension k , so that $d_H = n - k + 1$. Let v be a codeword of \mathcal{C} of minimum Hamming weight. We have that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a linear code over R_j with length n and rank k . The vector v can be viewed as a codeword of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ since we can write $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where

$$v_l = a_0^l + a_1^l \gamma + \dots + a_{i-1}^l \gamma^{i-1} + 0\gamma^i + \dots + 0\gamma^{j-1} + \dots$$

Let w be any lifted codeword of v . Then we have that $w_H(w) \geq w_H(v)$. On the other hand, for any lifted codeword w' of v' , where $v' \in \mathcal{C}$, we also have that $w_H(w') \geq w_H(v') \geq w_H(v)$. Hence by Lemma 2.11 we obtain that the minimum Hamming weight of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is d_H , and this implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is an MDR code for all $j > i$. From Proposition 2.3 we have that an MDS code is a free code. Hence \mathcal{C} is a free code, and by Lemma 2.10 the lifted code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is also free. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is an MDS code. \square

The following result give a necessary and sufficient condition on the existence of self-dual codes over R_∞ .

Theorem 2.13 *Let R_i be a finite chain ring and K its residue field with characteristic $p \neq 2$. Then there exists a self-dual code over K if and only if there exists a self-dual code over R_∞ .*

Proof. From [13, Theorem 4.7] we have that if $p \neq 2$ then the lift of any self-dual codes of K is a self-dual over R_i . From [13, Theorems 3.4] we have that if \mathcal{C} is a self-dual code of length n over R_∞ , then $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C})$ is a self-dual code of length n over R_i for all $i < \infty$. hence the result. \square

3 Codes over Principal Ideal Rings

This section considers codes over finite commutative rings which are principal ideal rings. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on the existence of MDS codes. It is obvious that in a finite ring any chain of ideal is finite. The smallest $t \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{a}^t = \mathfrak{a}^{t+1} = \dots$ in the chain $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}^2 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}^3 \supseteq \dots$ is called the index of stability of \mathfrak{a} . If the ring is a finite chain ring, t is equal to the degree of nilpotency. Furthermore for any finite commutative ring R which is principal ideal ring and with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ with the corresponding indices of stability t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s . There exists a canonical R -module isomorphism $\Psi : R^n \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s (R/\mathfrak{m}_i^{t_i})^n$. For $i = 1, \dots, s$, let \mathcal{C}_i be a code over $R/\mathfrak{m}_i^{t_i}$, of length n and let

$$\mathcal{C} = CRT(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s) = \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_s) = \{\Psi^{-1}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) \mid v_i \in \mathcal{C}_i\}.$$

The code \mathcal{C} is called the *Chinese product of codes* $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ [14].

Theorem 3.1 ([11, Lemma 6.1, 6.2, Theorem 6.4]) *With the above notation, let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ be codes of length n , with \mathcal{C}_i a code over R_i , and let $\mathcal{C} = CRT(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s)$. Then we have*

- (i) $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=1}^s |\mathcal{C}_i|$;
- (ii) $rank(\mathcal{C}) = \max\{rank(\mathcal{C}_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$;
- (iii) \mathcal{C} is a free code if and only if each \mathcal{C}_i is a free code of the same rank;
- (iv) $d_H(CRT(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s)) = \min\{d(\mathcal{C}_i)\}$;
- (v) $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ are self-dual codes if and only if \mathcal{C} is a self-dual code.

Deougherty et al [11, Theorem 6.5] Proved that if R is a finite principal ideal ring such that all residue fields satisfy

$$|R/\mathfrak{m}_i| > \binom{n-1}{n-k-1} \tag{22}$$

for some integers n, k with $n - k - 1 > 0$. Then there exists an MDS $[n, k, n - k + 1]$ code over R . In the following we will give necessary and sufficient conditions on the existence of MDS codes over principal ideal rings. For that we need the following results.

Theorem 3.2 *With the notation above, let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ be such that each \mathcal{C}_i is a code over R_i , and $\mathcal{C} = CRT(\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s)$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If \mathcal{C} is an MDS code, then \mathcal{C} is a free code;*
- (ii) *\mathcal{C} is an MDS code if and only if the \mathcal{C}_i are MDS and have the same rank for each i .*

Proof. For (i), the proof is the same as for the (i) Part of Proposition 2.3. For (ii), suppose \mathcal{C} is MDS and hence from (i) \mathcal{C} is free. Then from Theorem 3.1 (iii), the \mathcal{C}_i are free and have the same rank k . By Theorem 3.1 (iv) and the Singleton bound, the \mathcal{C}_i are MDS. If the \mathcal{C}_i are MDS and have the same rank, then they have the same minimum distance. Then from Theorem 3.1 (iii) and (iv), we have that \mathcal{C} is MDS. \square

Now combining Theorem 3.2, Proposition 2.3, and Theorem 2.12, the following result is obtained.

Theorem 3.3 *Let R be a finite principal ideal ring with $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ a direct decomposition of R . Then an MDS code $\mathcal{C} = CRT(\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s)$ with rank k exists over R if and only if there exists an MDS code with the same dimension k over all of the residue fields R/\mathfrak{m}_i .*

Shankar [29] introduced the Reed-Solomon codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{e_i}}$ as the Hensel lift of Reed-Solomon codes over fields. In the following we will define Reed-Solomn codes over \mathbb{Z}_m .

Definition 3.4 *Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{e_i}$. Then the Reed-Solomon code of minimum distance d over \mathbb{Z}_m is the linear code $\mathcal{C} = CRT(\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s)$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, \mathcal{C}_i is a Reed-Solomon code over $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{e_i}}$ with minimum distance d .*

Proposition 3.5 *With the notation above, the Reed-Solomon code defined over \mathbb{Z}_m is an MDS code with minimum distance d .*

Proof. From Theorem 2.12 each lifted code over $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{e_i}}$ is MDS with minimum distance d . Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.3. \square

Example 3.6 *There exists an MDS code (actually an RS code) over \mathbb{Z}_{65} with length 4 and minimum distance $d = 2$. There is also a non-trivial RS code of length 6 over \mathbb{Z}_{91} with minimum distance $d = 4$, and an MDS RS code of length 10 over \mathbb{Z}_{141} .*

Remark 3.7 *The condition given by (22) is only a sufficient condition on the existence of MDS codes over a principal ideal ring. For example, the last two RS codes given in Example 3.6 are MDS but do not satisfy (22).*

4 Constacyclic Codes over Finite Chain Rings and Formal Power Series

In this section, constacyclic codes are considered. These codes were first introduced as a generalization of cyclic codes over finite fields. More recently, cyclic and negacyclic codes

have been generalized over finite chain rings and formal power series [7,8,12]. We first review and extend some results of [7, 8, 12] to constacyclic codes. As an application, we apply our results to construct MDS, self-dual negacyclic codes over finite chain rings and formal power series, and MDS codes over principal ideal rings.

Let R be a finite chain ring. For a given unit $\lambda \in R$, a code C is said to be constacyclic, or more generally, λ -constacyclic, if $(\lambda c_{n-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in C$ whenever $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C$. For example, cyclic and negacyclic codes correspond to $\lambda = 1$ and -1 , respectively. It is well known that the λ -constacyclic codes over a finite chain ring R correspond to ideals in $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$. Recall the definition of a formal power series over R given in (15). It turns out that many properties of constacyclic codes over finite chain rings also hold for constacyclic codes over R_∞ .

Let

$$R_\infty[x] = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in R_\infty, n \geq 0\}$$

be the polynomial ring over R_∞ . Hence $R_\infty[x]$ is a domain since R_∞ is a domain by Lemma 2.4.

The maps Ψ_i^j in (20) and Ψ_i in (21) can be extended to maps from $R_j[x]$ to $R_i[x]$ and from $R_\infty[x]$ to $R_i[x]$, respectively. Namely, for $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in R_j[x]$, we have the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i^j : R_j[x] &\rightarrow R_i[x]; \\ f(x) &\mapsto \Psi_i^j(f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_i^j(f(x)) = \Psi_i^j(a_0) + \Psi_i^j(a_1)x + \dots + \Psi_i^j(a_n)x^n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i : R_\infty[x] &\rightarrow R_i[x]; \\ f(x) &\mapsto \Psi_i(f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_i(f(x)) = \Psi_i(a_0) + \Psi_i(a_1)x + \dots + \Psi_i(a_n)x^n$. In this way, the map defined in (1) is the same as Ψ_1^j in the finite case and Ψ_1 in the infinite case.

Lemma 4.1 *Let λ_j be an arbitrary unit of R_j , $j \leq \infty$. Then $\Psi_i^j(\lambda_j)$ is a unit of R_i .*

Proof. Follows from (14) and Lemma 2.4. □

For clarity of notation, we denote $\Psi_i^j(\lambda_j)$ by λ_i and $\Psi_i(\lambda_\infty)$ by λ_i when there is no ambiguity.

Consider now the following ring

$$R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle = \{f(x) + \langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle \mid f(x) \in R_\infty[x]\}.$$

Since R_∞ is a domain, we have that

$$R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle = \{f(x) + \langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle \mid \text{where } \deg f(x) < n \text{ or } f(x) = 0\}. \quad (23)$$

As for the finite case a linear code \mathcal{C} of length n over R_∞ is called a λ_∞ -constacyclic code over R_∞ if it satisfies the following implication

$$\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow (\lambda_\infty c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

When $\lambda_\infty = 1$, respectively $\lambda_\infty = -1$, the code \mathcal{C} is called *cyclic*, respectively *negacyclic*.

We define the map P_{λ_∞} as follows:

$$P_{\lambda_\infty} : R_\infty^n \rightarrow R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle, \quad (24)$$

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mapsto a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle. \quad (25)$$

Let \mathcal{C} be an arbitrary subset of R_∞^n and $P_{\lambda_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ the image of \mathcal{C} under the map P_{λ_∞} . Then we have

$$P_{\lambda_\infty}(\mathcal{C}) = \{c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle \mid (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Hence we obtain from (23) and (24) that a linear code \mathcal{C} of length n over R_∞ is a λ_∞ -constacyclic code if and only if $P_{\lambda_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ is an ideal of $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$.

For $i \leq \infty$, two polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R_i$ are called *coprime* if $\langle f(x) \rangle + \langle g(x) \rangle = R_i[x]$, or equivalently, if there exist $u(x), v(x) \in R_i[x]$ such that $f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq R_i$, $i \leq \infty$ be nonzero ideal. Then \mathcal{P}_i is called a *prime* ideal, respectively *primary* ideal if it satisfies $ab \in \mathcal{P}_i \Rightarrow a \in \mathcal{P}_i$ or $b \in \mathcal{P}_i$ respectively $ab \in \mathcal{P}_i \Rightarrow a \in \mathcal{P}_i$ or $b^k \in \mathcal{P}_i$, for some positive integer k .

A polynomial $f(x)$ of a chain ring R_i is said to be basic irreducible if $\Psi_1^i(f)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$, where K is the residue field of R_i . A polynomial of $R_i[x]$ is called regular if it is not a zero divisor. Hence from (14) we have that $f \in R_i[x]$ is regular if and only if $\Psi_1^i(f) \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.2 (Hensel's Lemma [25, Theorem XIII. 7]) *Let $i < \infty$ and f be a polynomial over R_i . Assume $\Psi_1^i(f) = g_1g_2 \dots g_r$ where g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r are pairwise coprime polynomials over K . Then there exist pairwise coprime polynomials f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r over R_i such that $f = f_1f_2 \dots f_r$ and $\Psi_1^i(f_j) = g_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$.*

Lemma 4.3 ([8, Proposition 2.7]) *Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial over R_i , $i < \infty$, of degree n such that $\Psi_1^i(f)$ is square free. Then $f(x)$ factors uniquely as a product of monic basic irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials.*

Theorem 4.4 *Let R_i be a finite chain ring with characteristic p and λ_i a unit of R_i . When $(n, p) = 1$, the polynomial $x^n - \lambda_i$ factors uniquely as a product of monic basic irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials over $R_i[x]$. Furthermore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of basic irreducible polynomial divisors of $x^n - \lambda_i$ in $R_i[x]$ and the set of irreducible divisors of $\Psi_1^i(x^n - \lambda_i)$ in K .*

Proof. Assuming $(n, p) = 1$, it must be that the componentwise reduction modulo γ of $x^n - \lambda_i$, which is $\Psi_1^i(x^n - \lambda_i)$, is square free in $K[x]$. Hence by Lemma 4.3, the polynomial $x^n - \lambda_i$ factors uniquely as a product of monic basic irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials $f_1 \dots f_s$ over $R_i[x]$. Since K is a field and hence $K[x]$ is a unique factorization domain, $\Psi_1^i(x^n - \lambda_i)$ has a unique factorization $h_1 h_2 \dots h_k$ into irreducible polynomials over $K[x]$. These are pairwise coprime since $(n, p) = 1$. By Lemma 4.2, there exist polynomials \tilde{h}_j in $R_i[x]$ such that $\Psi_1^i(\tilde{h}_j) = h_j$, and $x^n - \lambda_i = \tilde{h}_1 \dots \tilde{h}_k$. Hence the \tilde{h}_j are basic irreducible. From the fact that the decomposition of $x^n - \lambda_i$ over $R_i[x]$ is unique, we obtain that $\tilde{h}_j = f_j$ and $k = s$. \square

In the following we focus on constacyclic codes over R_∞ and the projections of these codes. Let

$$\Psi_i : R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle \rightarrow R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle \quad (26)$$

$$f(x) \mapsto \Psi_i(f(x)). \quad (27)$$

The map of (26) is a ring homomorphism. Thus if I is an ideal of $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$, then $\Psi_i(I)$ is an ideal of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$. This gives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_\infty^n & \xrightarrow{P_{\lambda_\infty}} & R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle \\ \Psi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_i \\ R_i^n & \xrightarrow{P_{\lambda_i}} & R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle. \end{array}$$

Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5 *The projection code $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C})$ of a λ_∞ -constacyclic code \mathcal{C} of R_∞ is a λ_i -constacyclic code over R_i for all $i < \infty$.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a λ_∞ -constacyclic code over R_∞ . Then $P_{\lambda_\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ is an ideal of $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$. By the homomorphism in (26) and the commutative diagram above, $\Psi_i(P_{\lambda_\infty}(\mathcal{C})) = P_{\lambda_i}(\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}))$ is an ideal of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$. This implies that $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C})$ is a λ_i -constacyclic code over R_i for all $i < \infty$. \square

Lemma 4.6 *Let \mathcal{C} be a λ_j -constacyclic code over R_j , $j \leq \infty$, and \mathcal{C}^\perp the dual code of \mathcal{C} . Then the code \mathcal{C}^\perp is a λ_j^{-1} -constacyclic code over R_j .*

Proof. We have that λ_j , $j \leq \infty$, is a unit. Furthermore since $i < \infty$ we have that R_i is a finite chain ring. From Lemma 2.4 R_∞ is a principal ideal domain. Hence the ideals of R_j are principal. Hence the result follows by a proof similar to that for constacyclic codes over a finite field. \square

Theorem 4.7 *Let \mathcal{C} be a λ_∞ -constacyclic code over R_∞ and \mathcal{C}^\perp the dual code of \mathcal{C} . Then the code $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ is a λ_i^{-1} -constacyclic code, and if $(\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ then $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \Psi_i(\mathcal{C})^\perp$ for all $i < \infty$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.6 we have that \mathcal{C}^\perp is a λ_∞^{-1} -constacyclic code over R_∞ . Hence from Theorem 4.5, the code $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ is a $\Psi_i(\lambda_\infty^{-1})$ -constacyclic code for all $i < \infty$. Then since Ψ_i is a ring homomorphism and the rings are with unity, we have $\Psi_i(\lambda_\infty^{-1}) = \lambda_i^{-1}$. Hence the result follows. Now we prove that $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = \Psi_i(\mathcal{C})^\perp$ for all $i < \infty$.

Let $v \in \Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ and let w be an arbitrary element of $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exist $v' \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ and $w' \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $v = \Psi_i(v')$ and $w = \Psi_i(w')$. We have that $v \cdot w = \Psi_i(v') \cdot \Psi_i(w') = \Psi_i(v' \cdot w') = \Psi_i(0) = 0$. This implies that $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \subseteq (\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$. By Lemma 2.7, \mathcal{C}^\perp has type 1^{n-k} . Since $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp$, by Lemma 2.7, this implies that \mathcal{C} has type 1^k . Hence $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ has type 1^{n-k} and $(\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$ has type 1^{n-k} . It was proven already that $\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \subseteq (\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}))^\perp$. Hence $(\Psi_i(\mathcal{C}))^\perp = \Psi_i(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$. \square

Lemma 4.8 *Assume the notation given above and let \mathcal{P}_i be an arbitrary prime ideal of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$, for $i < \infty$. Then we have $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_i$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{P}_i is an ideal and the nilpotency index of γ is i , we have that $\gamma^i = 0 \in \mathcal{P}_i$. As \mathcal{P}_i is prime, either $\gamma^{i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_i$ or $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Assume $\gamma \notin \mathcal{P}_i$, then $\gamma^{i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Again since \mathcal{P}_i is prime, and $\gamma \notin \mathcal{P}_i$, then $\gamma^{i-2} \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Continuing this process we obtain that $\gamma^2 \in \mathcal{P}_i$, and hence $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_i$, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.9 *Assume the notation given above. Then the prime ideals in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ are $\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$, where $\pi_i(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible polynomial divisor of $x^n - \lambda_i$ over R_i . If $i = \infty$, then the ideals $\langle \pi_i(x) \rangle$, where $i \geq 1, i \in \mathbb{N}$ are also prime ideals of $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$.*

Proof. For the finite case, let \mathcal{P}_i be an arbitrary prime ideal in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$. Since Ψ_1^i is a ring homomorphism, $\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is also a prime ideal in $K[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_1 \rangle$. Since K is a field, any prime ideal in $K[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_1 \rangle$ over K is of the form $\langle \pi_1(x) \rangle$ [17, Theorem 3.10], where $\pi_1(x)$ is a monic irreducible divisor of $x^n - \lambda_1$ over K . Hence $\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{P}_i) = \langle \pi_1(x) \rangle$, and $\pi_1(x) \in \langle \pi_1(x) \rangle = \Psi_1^i(\mathcal{P}_i)$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists $\pi_i(x) \in \mathcal{P}_i$ such that $\Psi_1^i(\pi_i(x)) = \pi_1(x)$, where $\pi_i(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible divisor of $x^n - \lambda_i$ over R_i . Since $i < \infty$, by Lemma 4.8, we have that $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_i$. This implies that $\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{P}_i$. We have that $(R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle)/\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$ is a field, so $\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$ is maximal, and thus $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$.

For $i = \infty$ and $\gamma \notin \mathcal{P}_i$, the only other possibility is $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x) \rangle$. \square

Theorem 4.10 *Every prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$ in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ contains an idempotent $e_i(x)$ with $e_i(x)^2 = e_i(x)$, and $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle e_i(x), \gamma \rangle$. Furthermore, if $i = \infty$, then every prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_\infty(x) \rangle$ of $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$ has an idempotent generator.*

Proof. We establish the first assertion by induction. Let K be the residue field of characteristic p of R_i . Then since we can apply the Euclidean algorithm over $K[x]$, by a proof similar to that for the cyclic case in [24, Ch. 8 Theorem 1], we have that every ideal \mathcal{P}_1 in $K[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_1 \rangle$ contains an idempotent e_1 such that $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle e_1 \rangle$. Let $\langle \Psi_l^i(\pi_i(x)), \gamma \rangle$ be the projection of $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$ onto $R_l[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_l \rangle$. Suppose $e_l(x) \in \langle \Psi_l^i(\pi_i(x)), \gamma \rangle$ is an idempotent element with $\langle e_l(x), \gamma \rangle = \langle \Psi_l^i(\pi_i(x)), \gamma \rangle$. Then we have that $e_l^2(x) = e_l(x) + \gamma^l h(x)$ in $R_{l+1}[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_{l+1} \rangle$ for some $h(x) \in R_{l+1}[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_{l+1} \rangle$. In the following, we show that $e_{l+1}(x) = e_l(x) + \gamma^l \theta(x)$ is an idempotent element by choosing a suitable $\theta(x)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{l+1}^2(x) &\equiv (e_l(x) + \gamma^l \theta(x))^2 = e_l^2(x) + 2\gamma^l \theta(x)e_l(x) \pmod{\gamma^{l+1}} \\ &\equiv e_l(x) + \gamma^l h(x) + 2\gamma^l \theta(x)e_l(x) \pmod{\gamma^{l+1}} \\ &\equiv e_{l+1}(x) - \gamma^l \theta(x) + \gamma^l h(x) + 2\gamma^l \theta(x)e_l(x) \pmod{\gamma^{l+1}} \\ &\equiv e_{l+1}(x) + \gamma^l (h(x) - \theta(x)(1 - 2e_l(x))) \pmod{\gamma^{l+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p = 2$, we can choose $\theta(x) = h(x)$, and $e_{l+1}(x)$ is an idempotent element. If $p \neq 2$, then $(1 - 2e_l(x))^2 = 1 + 4\gamma^l h(x)$. This gives that $(1 - 2e_l(x))$ is a unit. Then by choosing $\theta(x) = h(x)(1 - 2e_l(x))^{-1}$, we get that $e_{l+1}(x)$ is an idempotent element in $R_{l+1}[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_{l+1} \rangle$, and then $\langle e_{l+1}(x), \gamma \rangle = \langle \pi_{l+1}(x), \gamma \rangle$.

Since $\pi_\infty(x)$ and $(x^n - \lambda_\infty)/\pi_\infty(x)$ are relatively prime, there exist $h(x), h'(x) \in R_\infty[x]$ such that

$$h(x)\pi_\infty(x) + h'(x) \cdot ((x^n - \lambda_\infty)/\pi_\infty(x)) = 1.$$

This means that

$$(h(x)\pi_\infty(x))^2 = h(x)\pi_\infty(x) - h'(x)h(x) \cdot (x^n - \lambda_\infty),$$

and hence

$$(h(x)\pi_\infty(x))^2 \equiv h(x)\pi_\infty(x) \pmod{x^n - \lambda_\infty}.$$

Then $h(x)\pi_\infty(x)$ is an idempotent element in $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$. \square

Theorem 4.11 *Assume the notation given above. Then for $i \leq \infty$, the primary ideals in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ are $\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle 1 \rangle$, $\langle \pi_i(x) \rangle$, $\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma^l \rangle$, where $\pi_i(x)$ is a basic irreducible divisor of $x^n - \lambda_i$ over R_i and $1 \leq l < i$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P}_i be a prime ideal of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$. Hence by Theorem 4.9, $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$ and if $i = \infty$, there is another case $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x) \rangle$. It is obvious that these prime ideals

are primary. Then the first class of primary ideals of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ is the class of prime ideals given in Theorem 4.9. From the fact that $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is maximal in R_i , $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$ is maximal in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$, but $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x) \rangle$ is not maximal. By [31, Corollary 2, p 153], we have that the powers of the maximal ideals are primary ideals. Let \mathcal{Q}_i be a primary ideal associated with the prime ideal $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle$. Then by [31, Ex. 2, p. 200], there is an integer k such that $\mathcal{P}_i^k \subset \mathcal{Q}_i \subset \mathcal{P}_i$. From this, we obtain $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathcal{P}_i^l$, from some l . Hence the primary ideals of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ are $(\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle)^l$ and $\langle \pi_i(x) \rangle$. From Theorem 4.10, we have that $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle = \langle e_i(x), \gamma \rangle$, and $e_i(x)$ is an idempotent of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$. Hence $\mathcal{P}_i^l = (\langle \pi_i(x), \gamma \rangle)^l = (\langle e_i(x), \gamma \rangle)^l$. Let $a \in (\langle e_i(x), \gamma \rangle)^l$, then there exist $g_{t,i}(x), h_{t,i}(x) \in R_i[x]$, such that $a = \prod_{t=1}^l (e_i(x)g_{t,i}(x) + \gamma h_{t,i}(x))$. Since $e_i(x)^2 = e_i(x)$, then $a = e_i(x)G_i(x) + \gamma^l H_i(x)$ for some $G_i(x), H_i(x) \in R_i[x]$. Hence the non trivial primary ideals of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ are $\langle \pi_i \rangle$ and $\langle \pi_i, \gamma^l \rangle$. \square

Theorem 4.12 *Let $\pi_i^l(x), 1 \leq l \leq b, i \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the distinct monic irreducible divisors of $x^n - \lambda_i$ over R_i , with $i \leq \infty$. Then any ideal in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ can be written in a unique way as follows*

$$I = \prod_{l=1}^b \langle \pi_i^l(x), \gamma^{m_l} \rangle, \quad (28)$$

where $0 \leq m_l \leq i$. In particular, if i is finite, then there are $(i+1)^b$ distinct ideals.

Proof. Since $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ is Noetherian, from the Lasker-Noether decomposition Theorem [31, p. 209] any ideal in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ has a representation as a product of primary ideals. From Theorem 4.11, we have that the primary ideals of $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ are $\langle \pi_i^l(x), \gamma^{m_l} \rangle$. Hence the result follows. In addition, if i is finite then there are $(i+1)^b$ distinct ideals in R_i . \square

The following lemma is a generalization of Hensel's Lemma.

Theorem 4.13 *Let λ_i be a unit in a chain ring, $i \leq \infty$. If $h_1(x) \in K[x]$ is a monic irreducible divisor of $x^n - \lambda_1$ such that K is the residue field of R_i , then there is a unique monic irreducible polynomial h_i which divides $(\Psi_1^i)^{-1}(x^n - \lambda_1)$ over R_i and is congruent to $h_1(x) \pmod{\gamma}$.*

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be the lift of $h_1(x)$ over R_∞ . If $f(x)$ is reducible over R_∞ then there exist polynomials $g(x), h(x)$ such that $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ and $0 < \deg(g(x)), \deg(h(x)) < \deg(f(x))$. This implies that

$$\Psi_1(f(x)) = \Psi_1(g(x)h(x)) = \Psi_1(g(x))\Psi_1(h(x)) = h_1(x).$$

Since $f(x)$ is monic, we have that $0 < \deg(\Psi_1(g(x))), \deg(\Psi_1(h(x))) < \deg(\Psi_1(f(x))) = \deg(h_1(x))$. This is a contradiction. Since $f(x)$ is irreducible, $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is a prime ideal of R_∞ .

In addition, $f(x)$ must be a divisor of $\Psi_1^{-1}(x^n - \lambda_1)$, otherwise $\Psi_1(f) = h_1$ is not a divisor of $x^n - \lambda_1$. Since $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is maximal in $R_\infty[x]/\langle \Psi_1^{-1}(x^n - \lambda_1) \rangle$, $f(x)$ is unique. If $i < \infty$ the result follows from Theorem 4.4. \square

Theorem 4.14 *Let R_i be a chain ring $i \leq \infty$, and C be a constacyclic code of length n over $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$.*

(i) *If $i < \infty$, then C is equal to*

$$\langle g_0(x), \gamma g_1(x), \dots, \gamma^{i-1} g_{i-1}(x) \rangle, \quad (29)$$

where the $g_l(x)$ are divisors of $x^n - \lambda_i$ and $g_{i-1}(x) \mid \dots \mid g_1(x) \mid g_0(x)$.

(ii) *If $i = \infty$, then C is equal to*

$$\langle \gamma^{t_0} g_0(x), \gamma^{t_1} g_1(x), \dots, \gamma^{t_{l-1}} g_{l-1}(x) \rangle, \quad (30)$$

where $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{l-1}$ for some l and $g_{l-1}(x) \mid \dots \mid g_1(x) \mid g_0(x)$.

Proof. The results follows by expanding the product in Theorem 4.12 from Theorem 4.11 \square

Theorem 4.15 *Let \mathcal{C} be a constacyclic code over $R_i[x]$. If $i < \infty$, then there exists a unique family of pairwise coprime polynomials F_0, \dots, F_i in $R_i[x]$ such that $F_0 \dots F_i = x^n - \lambda_i$ and $\mathcal{C} = \langle \hat{F}_1 + \gamma \hat{F}_2 + \dots + \gamma^i \hat{F}_i \rangle$, where $\hat{F}_j = \frac{x^n - 1}{F_j}$, for $0 < j < i$. Moreover*

$$|\mathcal{C}| = |K|^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (i-j) \deg F_{j+1}}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that for the cyclic case [8, Theorem 3.8].

Corollary 4.16 *With the above notation, for $i \leq \infty$, every ideal in $R_i[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_i \rangle$ is principal.*

Proof. For $i < \infty$, the result is given by Theorem 4.15.

For $i = \infty$, let I be an ideal in $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$, with λ_∞ a unit in R_∞ . Then $\Psi_j(I)$ is a principal ideal $\langle g_j \rangle$ of $R_j[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_j \rangle$ for all $0 < j < \infty$ from the first case. Using (16), we can define a γ -adic metric, since R is finite hence by Tychonoff's theorem [32] R_∞ is compact and then $R_\infty[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda_\infty \rangle$ is also compact with respect to this metric. Hence the sequence $\{g_j\}$ has a subsequence which converges to a limit g , which gives the result. \square

Now we consider free constacyclic codes as free linear codes over the finite chain rings defined in Section 2.

Theorem 4.17 *Let \mathcal{C} be a λ_i -constacyclic code of length n over a finite chain ring R_i with characteristic p such that $(p, n) = 1$. Then \mathcal{C} is a free constacyclic code with rank k if and only if there is a polynomial $f(x)$ such that $f(x)|(x^n - \lambda_i)$ which generates \mathcal{C} . In this case, we have $k = n - \deg(f)$.*

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree r such that $f(x)|(x^n - \lambda_i)$, and $\mathcal{C} = \langle f(x) \rangle$ be the constacyclic code generated by $f(x)$ such that $\deg f = r$. Assume that f_0 and f_r are the constant and leading coefficients of f , respectively. Then f_0 and f_r are units in R_i , since $x^n - \lambda_i$ is monic and λ_i is a unit. Let $B = \{f(x), xf(x), \dots, x^{n-r-1}f(x)\}$. We will prove that B is a basis for \mathcal{C} . First, it is established that the vectors are independent. Suppose

$$\alpha_0 f(x) + \dots + \alpha_{n-r-1} x^{n-r-1} f(x) = 0, \quad (32)$$

where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-r-1} \in R$. By comparing coefficients, we have $\alpha_0 f_0 = 0$, but since we noticed that f_0 is a unit then we obtain $\alpha_0 = 0$. Hence (32) becomes

$$\alpha_1 f(x) + \dots + \alpha_{n-r-1} x^{n-r-1} f(x) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Again by comparing the coefficients we obtain $\alpha_1 f_0 = 0$. This also gives $\alpha_1 = 0$. We finally obtain $\alpha_0 = \dots = \alpha_{n-r-1} = 0$, and therefore the vectors of B are linearly independent.

Now we prove that B spans \mathcal{C} . Let $c(x) \in \langle f(x) \rangle$. Then there is a polynomial $g(x) \in R[x]$ such that $c(x) = g(x)f(x)$, where $\deg g \leq n-1$. If $\deg g(x) \leq n-r-1$, then $c(x) \in \text{span}(B)$. Otherwise, since f is a regular polynomial (divisor of $x^n - \lambda_i$ with $(n, p) = 1$), then by [25, Exercise XIII.6] there are polynomials $p(x), q(x)$ such that

$$g(x) = \frac{x^n - \lambda_i}{f(x)} p(x) + q(x), \quad (34)$$

where $\deg q(x) \leq n - r - 1$. Now multiplying (34) by $f(x)$ gives

$$f(x)g(x) = f(x)q(x). \quad (35)$$

Hence $c(x) \in \text{span}(B)$, which gives that the code \mathcal{C} is a free R module.

In order to prove the converse, suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \langle \hat{F}_1 + \gamma \hat{F}_2 + \dots + \gamma^{i-1} \hat{F}_i \rangle$ is a free code of rank k . Hence \mathcal{C} has a basis of cardinality k . Consider now the polynomial $F = \hat{F}_1 + \gamma \hat{F}_2 + \dots + \gamma^{i-1} \hat{F}_i$. We prove that $\deg F = n - k$. Let $s = n - \deg F$ and $\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Tor}_0(\mathcal{C})$. Then from (11) we have that $|\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{C})| = p^{rk}$. On the other hand, the image $\Psi_1^i(F)$ of F modulo γ is a generator of $\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{C})$. This implies that $x^s \Psi_1^i(F(x))$, and any power $x^l \Psi_1^i(F(x))$, $l \geq s$, can be written as a linear combination of $\{\Psi_1^i(F(x)), x \Psi_1^i(F(x)), \dots, x^{s-1} \Psi_1^i(F(x))\}$. This set is also independent and hence is a basis of $\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{C})$, which gives that $|\Psi_1^i(\mathcal{C})| = p^{rs}$, so that $k = s = n - \deg F$. By equating (5) and (31), we have that each $k_j = \deg F_{j_i+1}$ for some $j_l \in \{0, i-1\}$. Hence from (6) we have $k = \sum k_j = \sum \deg F_{j_i+1} = n = n - \deg F$, which is possible if and only if $k_j = 0$ for $i > 0$. Hence $k = k_0 = n - \deg F$. \square

Theorem 4.18 *Let R_i , $i \leq \infty$, be a chain ring and K its residue field. Let \mathcal{C} be a λ_1 -constacyclic MDS code of length n over K . Then there is a unique MDS code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ over R_i which is the lifted code of \mathcal{C} over R_i . The code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a free constacyclic code with generator polynomial $(\Psi_1^i)^{-1}(g)$, a monic polynomial divisor of $(\Psi_1^i)^{-1}(x^n - \lambda_1)$, and $d_H(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = d_H(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.12, the code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is MDS. Hence from Theorem 2.3 we have that the code is a free code, and from Theorem 4.17 $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is generated by $(\Psi_1^i)^{-1}(g)$ a divisor of $(\Psi_1^i)^{-1}(x^n - \lambda_1)$. From Theorem 4.13, we have that $(\Psi_1^i)^{-1}(g)$ is monic and unique. Furthermore, Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 2.11 give that $d_H(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = d_H(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Theorem 4.19 *Let R_i be a finite chain ring with nilpotence index i . Let $C_{rp}(n)$ be the number of rp -cyclotomic classes modulo n with $(n, pr) = 1$. Further, let λ_i be a unit in R_i such that $\lambda_i^r = 1$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *the number of constacyclic codes over R_i is equal to $(i + 1)^{C_{rp}(n)}$,*
- (ii) *the number of free constacyclic codes over R_i is equal to $2^{C_{rp}(n)}$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 4.12 that the number of constacyclic codes over R_i is equal to

$$(i + 1)^s. \tag{36}$$

By Theorem 4.4, this number is equal to the number of irreducible polynomials in the factorization of $x^n - \lambda_1$ over K , which is also equal to the number of rp -cyclotomic classes modulo n . This proves (i).

Part (ii) follows from Theorem 4.18 and the fact that the number of divisors of $x^n - \lambda_1$ over an extension of K of degree r is equal to the number of rp -cyclotomic classes modulo n [21]. \square

5 MDS Self-Dual Codes from Cyclic and Negacyclic Codes

The following result was given in [18, Theorem 11, 12].

Lemma 5.1 *Let n be an even integer and q an odd prime power. Then there exist MDS negacyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q which are self-dual codes in the following cases:*

- (i) *$n = 2n'$ with n' odd $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $n|q + 1$;*
- (ii) *$n = 2^a n'$ with n' odd, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{a+1} n'}$, and $n|q - 1$.*

Let $q = p$ be an odd prime and n an even integer as in Lemma 5.1. Then there exists a negacyclic MDS self-dual code of length n over \mathbb{Z}_p . Assume now that K is a finite field such that $|K| = p$. Then codes exist which are isomorphic to those given by Lemma 5.1. From Theorem 4.18, these codes are lifted to MDS negacyclic codes over R_i if $i < \infty$. For $i = \infty$, the lifted codes are also MDS by Theorem 2.12 and negacyclic by Theorem 4.13. These lifted codes are also self-dual from Theorem 2.13. Hence we have the following result.

Theorem 5.2 *Let n be an even integer and p an odd prime such that $(n, p) = 1$. Let R_i , $i \leq \infty$, be a chain ring with residue field K such that $|K| = p$. Then there exists an infinite family of negacyclic codes over R_i which are MDS and self-dual in the following cases:*

- (i) $n = 2n'$ with n' odd, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $n|p + 1$;
- (ii) $n = 2^a n'$ with n' odd, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{a+1} n'}$, and $n|p - 1$.

In [18, Theorem 7], the following existence results for MDS self-dual codes over \mathbb{F}_q were given.

Lemma 5.3 *There exist $[n + 1, \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}]$ MDS self-dual codes which are extended odd-like duadic codes \widetilde{D}_i in the following cases:*

- (i) $q = r^t$ with $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, t odd and $n = p^m$, with p a prime such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and m odd;
- (ii) $q = r^t$ with t odd, p a prime such that $r \equiv p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $n = p^m$.

Now we prove the existence of an infinite family of MDS self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_m .

Theorem 5.4 *Let n be an even integer, $m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{e_i}$, and p_i such that n divides $p_i - 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then there exist MDS self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_m derived from the extended duadic codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} in the following cases*

- (i) $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$;
- (ii) $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. From the above conditions and Lemma 5.3, we have the existence of MDS self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence from Theorem 2.12, these MDS codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} can be lifted to MDS codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^j}$, $j > 1$. Theorem 3.2 proves that we have MDS codes over \mathbb{Z}_m . Furthermore, from Theorems 3.1 and 2.13, they are self-dual. \square

In Table 1, we give examples of self-dual MDS codes over \mathbb{Z}_m obtained using the results above. Codes over fields from [3, 18, 23] were also used to obtain codes over \mathbb{Z}_m . This table shows that there exist many MDS self-dual code which do not satisfy the inequality (22).

Table 1: Some Self-dual MDS Codes of Length n over \mathbb{Z}_m

n	m
4	3,7,13,17,21,23,39,49,91
6	5,5 ² ,13,41,65,13 ² ,205
8	5,7,11,13,17,25,49,65,77,91,11 ²
10	9,13,17,81,89,117,13 ²
12	11,19,23,29,11 ² ,67,209,19 ² ,261
14	13,13 ² ,377
16	11,13,17,23,11 ² ,143,187
18	17,19,53,137,17 ² ,323,19 ²
20	19,41,19 ² ,779

Conclusions

The goal of this work was to survey and provide a unified framework for codes over chain rings, principal ideal rings, and formal power series rings. This allowed us to make connections between the results given in the literature and then extend these results. In particular, the structure of constacyclic codes over formal power series and chain rings was given. We also provided necessary and sufficient conditions on the existence of MDS codes over principal ideal rings. Further, infinite families of self-dual MDS codes were constructed over chain rings, principal ideal rings and formal power series.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Steven Dougherty for his helpful comments, in particular for motivating the results in Section 4.

References

- [1] T. Abualrub and R. Oehmke, On the generators of \mathbb{Z}_4 cyclic codes of length 2^e , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 49, no. 9, Sept. 2003, 2126–2133.
- [2] E. Bannai, S. T. Dougherty, M. Harada and M. Oura, Type II codes, even unimodular lattices and invariant rings, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 45, no. 4, May 1999, 1194–1205.
- [3] K. Betsumiya, S. Georgiou, T.A. Gulliver, M. Harada and C. Koukouvinos, On self-dual codes over some prime fields, Discrete Math., 262, 37-58, Feb. 2003.

- [4] I. F. Blake, Codes over certain rings, *Information and Control* 20(4), 396–404, May 1972.
- [5] A. Bonnetcaze , P. Solé , A. R. Calderbank, Quaternary Quadratic Residue Codes and Unimodular Lattices *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 41(2) 366–377, Mar. 1995.
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1989.
- [7] A. R. Calderbank and N. J. A. Sloane, *Modular and p-adic cyclic codes*, *Designs, Codes, Cryptogr.* **6** 1995, 21–35.
- [8] H. Dinh and S. R. López-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings. *IEEE Trans Inform Theory*, 2004, 50, 1728–1744.
- [9] S.T. Dougherty, T.A. Gulliver, Y.-H. Park and J.N.C. Wong, *Optimal linear codes over \mathbb{Z}_m* , *J. Korean Mathematical Society*, 5, 1139–1162, Sep. 2007.
- [10] S.T. Dougherty, M. Harada and P. Solé, *Self-dual codes over rings and the Chinese remainder theorem*, *Hokkaido Math Journal*, Volume 28, 1999, 253–283.
- [11] S.T. Dougherty, J. L. Kim and H. Kulosman, MDS codes over finite principal ideal rings, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 50, 77–92, 2009.
- [12] S.T. Dougherty, H.-W. Liu, Cyclic codes over formal power series, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 31B(1):331-343, 2011.
- [13] S.T. Dougherty H. Liu, and Y.H. Park, *Lifted codes over chain rings*, *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*, vol. 53, 39–53, Jan. 2010.
- [14] S.T. Dougherty and K. Shiromoto, *MDR Codes codes over Z_k* , *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 1, 2000, 265–269.
- [15] S. T. Dougherty, *Avenue of research for codes over rings*. Available in <http://leroy.perso.math.cnrs.fr/Talks2011/Dougherty.pdf>.
- [16] G. D. Forney, N. J. A. Sloane, and M. D. Trott, The Nordstrom-Robinson code is the binary image of the octacode, in *Coding and Quantization: DIMACS/IEEE workshop 1992*, ed. Calderbank et, al, Amer Math Soc, 1993.
- [17] G. Ganske and B. R. McDonald, *Finite local rings*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 3(4), 521–540, 1973.
- [18] K. Guenda, *New MDS self-dual codes over finite fields*, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 2011 (to appear).

- [19] A. R. Hammons, Jr., P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé, *The Z_4 linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals and related codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 40, 1994, 301–319.
- [20] H. Horimoto and K. A. Shiromoto *A Singleton bound for linear codes over quasi-Frobenius rings*, Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms, and Error-Correcting Codes, Hawaii (USA), 51–52, 1999.
- [21] W.C. Huffman and V.S. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-correcting Codes*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [22] Kanwar P, López-Permouth S R. *Cyclic codes over the integers modulo p^m* . Finite Fields Appl, 1997, **3**: 334–352.
- [23] I. Kotsireas, C. Koukouvinos, E. Dimitris and E. Simos, *MDS and NEAR-MDS Self-dual codes over large prime fields* Advances Mathematics of Communications 3(4), 349361, 2009.
- [24] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [25] B .R. McDonald, *Finite rings with identity, In Pure and Applied Mathematics*”, New-York Marcel Deker vol 28, 1974.
- [26] G. H. Norton and A. Sălăgean, *On the Hamming distance of linear codes over a finite chain ring*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. 46, No. 3, 2000, 1060-1067.
- [27] G. H. Norton and A. Sălăgean, *On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring*. Appl. Algebra Engr. Comm. Comput., 2000, **10**: 489–506
- [28] E. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, *Self-dual codes*, in the Handbook of Coding Theory, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, 177-294.
- [29] P. Shankar, *On BCH codes over arbitrary integer rings*. IEEE Trans. Inform. theory., 25(4), 480-483, 1979.
- [30] Solé P. Open problem 2: cyclic codes over rings and p -adic fields. Coding theory and applications (Toulon, 1988), 329, Lecture Notes in Comput Sci, 388, New York: Springer, 1989
- [31] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*. New York: Van Nostrand, 1958
- [32] S. Willard, *General Topology*. Addison-Wesley, New York. 1970