

Two-dimensional nematics in bulk and confined geometries

D. de las Heras

*Theoretische Physik II, Physikalisches Institut,
Universität Bayreuth, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany*

Y. Martínez-Ratón

*Grupo Interdisciplinar de Sistemas Complejos (GISC), Departamento de Matemáticas,
Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid,
Avenida de la Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain*

L. Mederos

*Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid,
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas,
Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain*

E. Velasco

*Departamento de Física Teórica de la Materia Condensada,
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain*

Abstract

Two-dimensional nematics possess peculiar properties that have been studied recently using computer simulation and various theoretical models. Here we review our own contribution to the field using density-functional theory, and present some preliminary simulation results on confined two-dimensional nematics. First we discuss the possible stable bulk phases and phase diagrams and the relation between phases and particle geometry. We then explore the adsorption properties on a single substrate and the confinement effects that arise when the fluid is confined between parallel walls. Next, confinement in circular cavities is presented; this geometry allows us to measure some properties of the simplest defects that arise in two-dimensional nematics. Finally, preliminary Monte Carlo simulation results of confined nematics in circular geometry are shown.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nematic phase arises in liquids composed of sufficiently anisotropic particles (molecules, colloidal particles, macroscopic grains) from the isotropic phase when the density or concentration of particles (or temperature in thermal liquids) is above (below) some particular value [1]. In nematics, particles are oriented on average along a common direction \mathbf{n} , called the *director*, but retain their positional disorder. The gain in orientational order that occurs when the isotropic phase transforms into the nematic phase proceeds via a phase transition which, in three dimensions, can be shown to be of first order, although weak. In this respect, two-dimensional (2D) nematics are peculiar, since symmetry restrictions on the orientational order parameter, discussed most conveniently in the context of a Landau theory, allow for a more general nature of the phase transition: it can be of either first-order or continuous.

As is the case with three-dimensional nematics, in 2D point defects play an important role. Point defects are points in the plane where the nematic director field $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(x, y)$ is not defined; there exists an associated region around the point defect where the director field is highly distorted from the uniform configuration $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \text{const}$. The density field $\rho(x, y)$ is also affected. Another important aspect of nematics is that they are very sensitive to the presence of surfaces, so that they can be used to orient the director in some specific directions. Finally, the broken rotational symmetry of nematics brings about an elasticity which, in two dimensions, is restricted to two independent elastic modes controlled by their corresponding elastic constants k_1 and k_3 . These three elements, defects, surfaces and elasticity, often compete together to give the final configuration of the nematic, which in many cases is highly nontrivial.

Similar to many other 2D systems, the nematic state in 2D presents anomalously large thermal fluctuations which result in a highly fluctuating nematic director. In case the free energy can be described by a one-elastic-constant, Frank-type elastic model,

$$F_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_A d^2r \{ k_1 (\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2 + k_3 |\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^2 \} = \frac{1}{2} \int_A d^2r k |\nabla \theta|^2, \quad (1)$$

[where $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$], the fluctuations in θ , the director tilt angle, depend on the number of particles N as $\langle \theta^2 \rangle \sim \log N$, with a vanishing order parameter in the thermodynamic limit, $q_1 = \langle \cos 2\theta \rangle \sim N^{-kT/2\pi k}$, and an orientational correlation function $g_n(r) = \langle \cos n\theta(r) \rangle \sim r^{-n^2 kT/2\pi k}$ which decays algebraically rather than presenting long-range order. All of these




FIG. 1: Popular models for convex hard particles in two dimensions. HE: hard ellipses. HDR: hard discorectangles. HR: hard rectangles. In the case of HR the length L and width σ of the particle are indicated. For HDR, the total length of the particle is $L + \sigma$.

properties imply that, strictly speaking, the ordered nematic phase does not exist in the thermodynamic limit, although the dependence is slow and even large nematic samples, or confined nematics, will be well ordered.

II. BULK BEHAVIOUR OF HARD MODELS

As shown by Onsager [2], overlap or exclusion interactions alone (hard particles) can explain the formation of the ordered nematic phase in two and three dimensions. In these systems, one defines particles with a specific shape, a convex body in the present work, and associates a potential energy to a pair of particles such that the energy is zero if particles do not overlap and infinity otherwise. Overlapping configurations are thus discarded from the partition function, and the average energy is zero. Order is controlled by the entropy: in the case of nematics, the orientational entropy, which favours disorder, is more than compensated by the exclusion configurational entropy, which increases as particles align along the director. This happens above some particular particle number density.

Popular hard models for nematic formation in two dimensions are shown in Fig. 1. They include: hard ellipses (HE), hard discorectangles (HDR), and hard rectangles (HR). The thermodynamics and phase behaviour of these models have been analysed using computer simulations. In the case of the HE and HDR models two liquid phases are observed: the isotropic phase (I), which exists at low density, and the uniaxial nematic phase (N_u), at higher densities. In the N_u phase the continuous rotational symmetry of the I phase is broken and a definite average orientation appears in the fluid. The I- N_u transition is believed to be




FIG. 2: Typical particle configurations in (a) the uniaxial nematic phase N_u , and (b) the tetratic N_t phase.

continuous and of the Kosterlitz-Thouless type [3].

The HR model contains sharp corners and is close to being non-convex. This shape favours a new, exotic type of nematic, called the tetratic phase (N_t) [4]. In the tetratic phase four equivalent directions, specified by two equivalent directors, arise. Fig. 2 is a schematic of a typical particle configuration in the two nematic phases. Also, the I-N transition in the HR fluid is highly non-trivial since its nature depends in a complicated way on the particle aspect ratio $\kappa = L/\sigma$ (L being the rectangle length and σ the width). Hints of the phase behaviour found for these fluids might also be observed in granular quasi-two-dimensional fluids made of anisotropic particles and subject to vertical motion [5].

A. One-component HR and HDR fluids

A deep understanding of the I-N transition in fluids of hard particles is obtained by focusing on the excluded volume v_{exc} : the presence of one particle creates a surrounding region from which a second particle is excluded. The available volume for the latter, $V' = V - v_{\text{exc}}$, where V is the system volume, depends on the relative orientation of the two particles, and is maximised when parallel. In this two-particle view of the problem the excluded volume (area in two dimensions) becomes a central quantity.

The excluded area as a function of relative angle ϕ of the HR model differs in a crucial way from that of the HDR model. These functions can be obtained analytically:

$$v_{\text{exc}}^{\text{HDR}}(\phi) = 4L\sigma + \pi\sigma^2 + L^2 |\sin \phi|,$$




FIG. 3: Phase diagram of HR in the η - κ plane as obtained from SPT, showing regions of stability of isotropic (I), uniaxial nematic (N_u) and tetratic (N_t) phases. Dashed curves: continuous phase transitions. Shaded region: first-order phase transition. Points where the continuous transition changes to first order are tricritical points.

$$v_{\text{exc}}^{\text{HR}}(\phi) = (L^2 + \sigma^2) |\sin \phi| + 2L\sigma (1 + |\cos \phi|). \quad (2)$$

These functions exhibit a minimum at $\phi = 0$ and π but, in addition, $v_{\text{exc}}^{\text{HR}}(\phi)$ presents a secondary minimum at $\phi = \pi/2$ which, when the aspect ratio κ is sufficiently small, the fluid uses to stabilise the tetratic phase.

A fruitful theory to explore the thermodynamics and structure of the isotropic and nematic phases is the Scaled-Particle Theory (SPT) [4, 6, 7]. It is a density-functional theory: a free-energy density $\Phi[h]$ is written in terms of the orientational distribution function $h(\phi)$. The latter gives the probability density that a particle is oriented with angle ϕ . Note that $\int_0^\pi d\phi h(\phi) = 1$. The free energy density $\Phi[h] = -Ts[h]$, where T is temperature and s entropy density, is split in ideal

$$\beta\Phi_{\text{id}}[h] = \rho \left(\log \eta - 1 + \int_0^\pi d\phi h(\phi) \log [\pi h(\phi)] \right) \quad (3)$$

and excess

$$\beta\Phi_{\text{ex}}[h] = \rho \left[-\log(1 - \eta) + \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta} S_0 \right] \quad (4)$$

parts, where η is the packing fraction, defined as $\eta = \rho L\sigma = NL\sigma/A$ with A the system

area, and $\beta = 1/kT$. Here

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2v} \int_0^\pi d\phi \int_0^\pi d\phi' h(\phi) v_{\text{exc}}(\phi - \phi') h(\phi') - 1, \quad (5)$$

where v is the particle area. By numerically minimising $\Phi[h]$ with respect to $h(\phi)$ (which is most easily done in Fourier space) [8], one obtains the thermodynamic functions and the equilibrium orientational structure. Order parameters can then be defined,

$$q_1 = \int_0^\pi d\phi h(\phi) \cos 2\phi, \quad q_2 = \int_0^\pi d\phi h(\phi) \cos 4\phi \quad (6)$$

which measure respectively the usual nematic order and the tetratic order. The three fluid phases involved have the following order parameters: $q_1 = q_2 = 0$ (I); $q_1 \neq 0$ and $q_2 \neq 0$ (N_u); and $q_1 = 0$, $q_2 \neq 0$ (N_t).

The SPT phase diagram of the HR model in the η vs. aspect ratio κ plane is shown in Fig. 3. At high aspect ratios there is a continuous I- N_u transition that becomes of first order as particles become less elongated. The tetratic phase is stable for $\kappa < 2.21$, and is stabilised from the I phase at $\eta \simeq 0.85$ via a continuous phase transition. Note that this value of η is expected to be well above the transition to phases with spatial order (either smectic, columnar or crystal). This point is discussed in Sec. II C.

Finally, the fluid phase diagrams of the HE and HDR models, not shown, are much simpler, since only the I and N_u phases are stable, with a continuous transition in the whole range of κ (note that the phase diagrams of all models, HE, HDR and HR, tend to be similar for large κ , and in fact identical in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$). This result agrees with simulations [3, 9, 10]. The only non-uniform phase present at high density is the crystal phase [3, 10].

B. Beyond two-particle correlations

SPT only takes account of two-particle correlations. But higher-order correlations must be important in the stabilisation of the N_t phase. This is because, in typical N_t -like arrangements and in order to obtain more optimised packings, particles tend to order in small clusters that involve a few particles pointing in perpendicular directions. Because of this effect, higher-order correlations should extend the island of N_t stability to aspect ratios higher than 2.62 and packing fractions lower than 0.85.

In [11] we made an attempt to include three-particle correlations in a way that (i) incorporates three-body effects, and (ii) reduces to the SPT model when three-body correlations

are switched off. The latter are included by means of the third virial coefficient, which is computed numerically as a function of the two order parameters q_1 and q_2 . In effect, the new theory predicts a larger island of tetratic stability, with the aspect ratio where the tetratic phase ceases to be stable shifting to 3.23, and the line defining the I-N_t transition moving down to the range $\eta = 0.70$ – 0.75 . Further inclusion of higher-order correlations will no doubt refine these results, although the formulation of new theories is difficult for lack of approximations for the higher-order virial coefficients and the intrinsic difficulties to deal with these coefficients.

An alternative approach was followed in [12], where emphasis was focused on the strong clustering observed in the HR fluid. Monte Carlo simulations show that, because of particle shape and low dimensionality, particles tend to align parallel to their neighbours thus inducing the formation of large and persistent clusters that dominate the structure of the fluid. The clusters consist of parallel hard rectangles, side by side, say n in number, which form a “super-rectangle” of length $n\sigma$ and width L . With this fact in mind, the fluid can be viewed as a polydispersed mixture of super-rectangles of different lengths $n\sigma$ [12], and the extension of SPT to mixtures can be applied. The only unknown quantity in the problem is the distribution of cluster sizes, given by $f(n)$. Assuming this function to be exponential, $e^{-\lambda n}$ (which follows from a chemical mass-action law, an assumption which is supported by simulation), we obtain λ from MC simulation and predict the phase behaviour. The tetratic phase boundary can be shown to shift quite substantially as a result of clustering effects, thus increasing the stability range of the N_t phase.

C. Spatially-ordered phases

A more convenient framework than SPT to study phases with spatial order in hard-particle models is fundamental-measure theory (FMT). This theory, originally proposed by Rosenfeld [13] for hard spheres, has been extended to other particle shapes; in particular, there is a version for rectangles in 2D. By formulating a theory for two species, each associated to one of two mutually perpendicular directions, one can treat fluids with (restricted) orientational order. This is the Zwanzig approximation, where one deals with two densities,




FIG. 4: Phase diagram of the FMT model in the η - κ plane using the Zwanzig approximation. Dotted curve: isotropic-nematic transition. Dashed and continuous curves: bifurcation lines to spatially-ordered phases. Dashed-dotted line: nematic order parameter q_1 at the bifurcation line to the spatially-ordered phases. See text for details.

$\rho_x(\mathbf{r})$ and $\rho_y(\mathbf{r})$, with $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ and expresses the local excess free energy density $\Phi_{\text{exc}}(\mathbf{r})$ as

$$\beta\Phi_{\text{exc}}(\mathbf{r}) = -n_0(\mathbf{r}) \log [1 - n_2(\mathbf{r})] + \frac{n_{1x}(\mathbf{r})n_{1y}(\mathbf{r})}{1 - n_2(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (7)$$

in terms of averaged densities

$$n_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\nu=x,y} [\rho_\nu \star \omega_\nu^{(\alpha)}](\mathbf{r}), \quad \alpha = \{0, 1x, 1y, 2\}, \quad (8)$$

which are obtained from convolutions of the densities with one-particle geometrical measures $\omega_\nu^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{r})$ (see [14] for details). To $\Phi_{\text{exc}}(\mathbf{r})$ we add the local mixing entropy density $\Phi_{\text{id}}(\mathbf{r})$,

$$\beta\Phi_{\text{id}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\nu=x,y} \rho_\nu(\mathbf{r}) [\log \rho_\nu(\mathbf{r}) - 1], \quad (9)$$

(which is directly related to an orientational entropy) and minimise the total free energy per unit volume $\mathcal{F}/V = V^{-1} \int_V d\mathbf{r} [\Phi_{\text{id}}(\mathbf{r}) + \Phi_{\text{exc}}(\mathbf{r})]$ with respect to both local densities. The local density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and the uniaxial order parameter $q_1(\mathbf{r})$ can be defined as $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_x(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_y(\mathbf{r})$ and $q_1(\mathbf{r}) = [\rho_x(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_y(\mathbf{r})]/\rho(\mathbf{r})$, respectively. In Fig. 4 the phase diagram of the FMT model in the η - κ plane is presented. This diagram extends the calculations presented in [14], where

only the case $\kappa = 3$ was studied, although here we only calculate the spinodal instabilities (not coexistence calculations) to non-uniform phases. In this model, the I-N transition (dotted line) is always continuous (note that transition densities are considerably lower in this model than in SPT, due to the restricted-orientation approximation). The continuous line corresponds to the spinodal (bifurcation) of the nematic-smectic transition. The dashed line represents either the nematic-columnar (when q_1 , also represented in dashed-dotted line, is non-zero), isotropic-columnar or isotropic-plastic solid (these two cases cannot be distinguished from each other and correspond to the case $q_1 = 0$). Note that the transition from uniform to non-uniform phases is at about $\eta \sim 0.5$ in the whole range of aspect ratios κ ; therefore, assuming that a freely-rotating model showed instabilities in the same range as in the present model, it is unlikely that a tetratic phase can truly be stabilised if it only exists, even in metastable state, beyond this value.

D. Mixtures of two-dimensional particles

We have just seen that 2D particles possessing anisometric shape can form nematic phases. Depending on the particle geometry the nematic phase can occur in two varieties, the uniaxial and the tetratic nematic phases, but the latter exists only for low aspect ratios. The isotropic-nematic transitions are generally continuous, except for the HR model in a small range of aspect ratios. An interesting question is how this scenario may change when particles with different geometries and elongations are mixed.

This problem can be tackled using the same theoretical scheme as before, i.e. SPT, but extended to include a mixture of two components [15–17], specifically HR and another component, such as hard discs, HE, HDR or HR with different aspect ratio. Here orientational ordering and demixing effects compete to give a complex phase diagram. As expected, when rectangles are mixed, in increasing concentration, with other particles not possessing stable tetratic order by themselves (e.g. discs, HDR or HR of high aspect ratio), the tetratic phase is destabilized, via a continuous or discontinuous phase transition, to uniaxial nematic or isotropic phases. Strong demixing behaviour is observed when particle geometries of the two components are very different, or simply aspect ratios are very different. As an example, Fig. 5 shows the phase diagram of a mixture of HR with aspect ratio $\kappa_1 = L_1/\sigma_1 = 1.5$ and $\sigma_1 = 1$, and HDR of aspect ratio $\kappa_2 = (L_2 + \sigma_2)/\sigma_2 = 2$ in the reduced pressure pv_1/kT




FIG. 5: Phase diagram for a HR/HDR mixture in the scaled- pressure pv_1/kT vs composition x plane, where x is the number fraction of the rectangles. Values of the parameters are: for the rectangles, $\kappa_1 = L_1/\sigma_1 = 1.5$ and $\sigma_1 = 1$; for the discorectangles, $\kappa_2 = (L_2 + \sigma_2)/\sigma_2 = 2$ and same particle area as a rectangle of aspect ratio equal to 2 and unit breadth. Open circle indicates the critical point, and shaded circle denotes an azeotropic point. Gray areas are two-phase regions, while dashed lines are continuous phase transitions.

versus composition x plane (σ_2 is chosen as explained in the caption of Fig. 5). The nature of the isotropic-nematic transition gets quite complex depending on the mixture composition, with intervals where the transition is continuous or of first-order. At higher pressure a region of strong demixing appears in the uniaxial nematic region, bounded by a lower critical point.

E. Elastic constants

A two-dimensional nematic exhibits two independent elastic modes: splay and bend, controlled respectively by the elastic constants k_1 and k_3 . For a general deformation of the director field $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(x, y)$, the macroscopic elastic free energy can be written as in Eqn. (1), and the constants appear as curvatures of the free energy. General expressions for the elastic constants exist in terms of the correlation function of the model fluid [18, 19]. In the case of SPT, the correlation function is proportional to the Mayer function (basically the excluded

area), and these integrals can be calculated numerically without much effort [19]. Fig. 6 shows the values of the elastic constants for the HDR model with $\kappa = 16$. Obviously the elastic constants are zero at the bulk transition. Note that k_3 is always larger than k_1 (so that bend deformations are more costly energetically than splay deformations), with their difference increasing with density. At the highest nematic densities their ratio is about 10; this is an important point since most analyses based on elastic theory assume the one-constant approximation $k_1 = k_3$, an approximation which may introduce significant errors.




FIG. 6: Elastic constants of a nematic fluid of HDR particles with aspect ratio $\kappa = 16$, as obtained from DFT. (a) Splay elastic constant k_1 as a function of packing fraction η ; (b) Bend elastic constant k_3 as a function of η ; (c) The two elastic constants as a function of uniaxial order parameter q ; (d) Ratio of k_1 to k_3 as a function of η .




FIG. 7: Phase diagram of HR under confinement in a slit pore in the chemical potential μ vs. pore width H for aspect ratio $\kappa = 3$. Lines indicate first-order transitions (circles are actual calculations). I: isotropic phase. C: columnar phase. The subscripts for the C labels correspond to the number of columns in the confined phase. The horizontal line is the value of chemical potential μ for the bulk I-C transition.

F. Adsorption and confinement in a slit pore

Since the HR model exhibits a rich bulk phase diagram, one anticipates fascinating surface and adsorption properties. In [14] a semiinfinite system consisting of an isotropic fluid in contact with a single (hard line) wall was studied. The favoured particle configuration next to the wall was observed to be parallel; this orientation propagates into the bulk material. As density is increased, complete wetting of the wall-isotropic interface by the columnar phase was found. The theoretical model used was a density-functional theory based on the fundamental-measure functional in the Zwanzig approximation where, as mentioned before, particles are restricted to lie only along one of the two Cartesian axes.

In the same work, the case of confinement by two such walls was studied [14]. In line with the phenomenology observed in studies of the same problem but in three dimensions [20, 21], a complex behaviour was found involving capillary columnar ordering and layering




FIG. 8: Hard disc inside a hard circular wall of radius R . The wall acts on the centre of mass of the particle, as indicated by the particle with dashed boundary which is right at contact with the wall.

transitions (Fig. 7). The latter occur as a result of competition between the natural periodicity of the columnar phase and the separation between the two walls. A strong coupling between this phenomenon and that of capillary ordering was also observed, and the complete surface phase diagram was obtained. Fig. 7 shows a region of such phase diagram, centred at the layering transitions involving 23 to 25 columns. The isotropic-columnar transition in the pore is not monotonic, and oscillates depending on the commensuration between the columnar period and the pore width.

G. Confinement in a circular cavity

The circular geometry creates an additional geometric constraint that gives rise to new phenomenology, specifically, to the formation of defects. There are two aspects of this problem. One is the thermodynamical aspect, which is important in assessing the different stable phases that arise in the cavity. The other is the structural aspect. The two are of course intimately connected.

The wall imposes severe restrictions as to the favoured particle alignment next to it but, by construction, the circular geometry creates a deformation of the director field and a corresponding elastic free-energy cost. In turn, because of topological constraints, the deformation into a closed region generates a defect. Again, competition arises between surface, elastic and defect free energies which gives a rich phase behaviour as density (or chemi-




FIG. 9: Phase diagram of a fluid of hard discorectangles with aspect ratio $\kappa = (L + \sigma)/\sigma = 15$ inside a hard circular wall in the $\Delta\mu$ vs. R plane. $\Delta\mu$ is the chemical potential with respect to that of the bulk fluid, and R the cavity radius. U: uniform phase. P: polar phase. I: isotropic phase. Icons on the right represent the director configuration in each phase. Lines are phase transitions; solid curve: first-order U-P transition, ending in a terminal point (open circle); dashed curve: continuous I-P transition (crosses correspond to actual calculations).

cal potential) and cavity radius are varied. On top of this, there is a modified (capillary) isotropic-nematic transition that is strongly affected by the confinement.

In [22] we used a modified Onsager theory, based on excluded-volume interactions, to analyse the thermodynamics of the HDR fluid confined by a hard circular wall, Fig. 8, using particles with aspect ratio $\kappa = (L + \sigma)/\sigma = 15$ (note that, for such a large value of aspect ratio, the results for the corresponding HR fluid will be very similar, so our particular choice of particle geometry is not crucial). As mentioned before, it turns out that the combination HDR fluid/hard wall brings about parallel alignment of the nematic director. We forced the alignment to be perpendicular by making the wall act on the particle centres of mass; the so-called ‘homeotropic anchoring’ results, but the associated surface free energy is not too large compared with kT (weak anchoring conditions).

Under these circumstances, it is found that, for small cavity radii R , the cavity is free of defects at the expense of surface free energy not being optimized, and a more or less uniform

director field results, the so-called ‘uniform’, U phase. However, for larger cavity radius, a defect with total topological charge $k = +1$ stabilises inside the cavity. This defect adopts one of two possible configurations: (i) either a single, central ‘hole’ of charge $k = +1$ with a size that depends on R and the chemical potential $\Delta\mu = \mu - \mu_\infty$ (μ_∞ being the bulk chemical potential), the so-called ‘isotropic’ phase, I; (ii) or one consisting of two defects of charge $k = +1/2$, of more or less constant size, located along a diameter symmetrically with respect to the cavity centre, but with a separation that depends on R and $\Delta\mu$, the ‘polar’ phase, P. See Fig. 9, where the phase diagram for $\kappa = 15$ in the $\Delta\mu$ - R plane, with the different phase boundaries, is shown. The U and P phases are separated by a line (continuous curve in the figure) where the structure of the fluid changes discontinuously. The line ends in a terminal point below which the two structures cannot be distinguished.

Computer simulations on HDR fluids confined into circular cavities [23] and on hard ellipsoids in cylindrical containers [24] indicate that a single, $k = +1$ point defect never stabilizes and that the configuration with two $k = +1/2$ defects is always more stable. A careful interpretation of our results supports this finding, since the I phase is in fact related to the bulk isotropic phase ($R \rightarrow \infty$) and is stable below a line (dashed curve in the figure) which we can refer to as *capillary* isotropic-nematic transition. We can then say that a nematic confined in a circular cavity always exhibits two $k = +1/2$ -point defects instead of a single $k = 1$ -point defect, presumably because of the largest free energy of formation of the latter.

The location of the capillary I-N transition on the phase diagram deserves some comments. In bulk ($R \rightarrow \infty$) the transition is continuous in our mean-field model (as commented above, simulations point to a fluctuation-driven phase transition which cannot be correctly described by a mean-field theory). As soon as the fluid is confined into a circular cavity, long-wavelength fluctuations are suppressed and, even worse, there can be no phase transition in the strict sense due to the finite size of the system (this is in contrast with other types of confinement where at least one direction is infinite). The mean-field model, however, continues to exhibit features that can be interpreted as remnants of the bulk transition. For example, the integrated order parameter exhibits a kink which we associate with a ‘ghost’ capillary I-N transition; this is the dashed curve plotted in Fig. 9. In our calculations the curve could not be continued to smaller cavities due to numerical inaccuracies, and therefore we are uncertain as to whether the curve continues and touches the structural transition




FIG. 10: Panels from left to right: particle configurations and packing fraction, uniaxial order parameter and director tilt angle local fields. Top panels correspond to a number of particles $N = 800$, while the bottom panels pertain to the case $N = 1970$. In all cases the cavity radius is $R = 7.5L$, and the particle aspect ratio is $\kappa = 40$.

(continuous curve) or else terminates in some kind of end-point.

H. Monte Carlo simulation of confinement in a circular cavity

Recently we have been looking at the problem of confined nematics in 2D from the point of view of Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. To this effect, we have used a HR model inside a circular cavity but, this time, the hard wall acts upon the whole particle and not just on its centre of mass, so that the favoured particle alignment at the wall is parallel. Instead of focusing on the thermodynamics and phase changes in the cavity, we are interested in the structure and type of defects that occur inside the cavity. This work is still in a preliminary stage, and we only report some representative behaviour in the régime of cavity radius $R/L < 15$. Larger cavity sizes will be considered in future studies.

We consider HR with aspect ratio $\kappa = 40$; we chose this value to match the value used by Galanis et al. [25] in their experiments of vibrated granular rods. We set the radius of the cavity to $R = 7.5L$, and start from a very dilute cavity with only a few particles. When this state is equilibrated, particles are added one by one at random positions and with random orientations, and the system is equilibrated between consecutive additions. All simulations use the standard NVT Monte Carlo algorithm for constant number of particles and area.

Fig. 10 corresponds to the case $N = 800$ (top) and $N = 1970$ (bottom). In each case the following is shown, from left to right: particle configurations in a representative state, and equilibrium (ensemble averaged) local fields for, respectively, packing fraction, uniaxial nematic order parameter and director tilt angle with respect to the (fixed) x axis (note that averages do not contain a sufficiently large number of configurations so that the fields are a bit coarse). The case $N = 800$ corresponds to an isotropic phase (note that the tilt angle is not significant wherever the order parameter is too low), while the other contains a nematic phase, with an overall high value of order parameter.

In the nematic case a remarkable structure forms inside the cavity. It consists of one large region with two other, smaller regions separated from the first by wavy defect lines on which the order parameter is suppressed. The distortion of the director in each of the three regions is kept to a minimum (i.e. particles are close to being parallel) while, at the same time, particles mostly satisfy the orientation favoured at the wall. The director field rotates by 90° across the defect lines. This is the preferred particle configuration of the sample, and not one containing a central $k = +1$ defect or two $k = +1/2$ defects at opposite sides of the circular wall. We have checked that this structure obtained by MC is robust with respect to the initial configuration used to start the dynamics; for instance, one can start from a structure with two $k = +1/2$ defects, and the MC dynamics invariably leads to the same final structure with two defect lines.

In their study of elastic and anchoring constants in quasimonolayers of vibrated steel rods, Galanis et al. [25] presented results for the case $R = 4.7L$ which resemble very much the defected structure observed by us in our MC thermal simulations. We suspect that this type of structure may be favoured for low values of the ratio R/L , and that the typical ‘polar’ nematic phase with two defects inside the cavity will be obtained for larger cavities. A study of this problem is now being investigated in our group.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Despite their apparent simplicity, 2D nematics continue to present new challenges in their bulk and surface properties. In bulk, a new type of 2D nematic, the tetratic phase, was recently discovered using a simple SPT approach. This exotic phase, akin to the cubatic phase found in three-dimensional nematic fluids, could be stable for hard rectangular particles of

low aspect ratio; this is still to be seen, but strong tetratic correlations are actually observed in normal, uniaxial phases, as shown in computer simulations [26], experiments on colloidal rectangles [27], and in vibrated experiments on quasimonolayers of granular rods [5], even for aspect ratios of at least $\kappa \sim 6$. The absence of strict long-range orientational order in 2D nematics, their strong fluctuations and non-standard transition to the isotropic phase add difficulties in the effort to reach a deeper understanding of this problem; clearly, more sophisticated theories and additional computer simulations are needed.

On the other hand, 2D nematic are a useful testbed to understand the formation of defects in confined geometries and also the subtle commensuration effects, combining surface, bulk, elastic and defect energies, that operate in confined systems.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid under the R& D Program of Activities MODELICO-CM/S2009ESP-1691, and from MINECO (Spain) under grants MOSAICO, FIS2010-22047-C01, FIS2010-22047-C04 and FIS2010-22047-C05.

-
- [1] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals* (Oxford, 1993).
 - [2] L. Onsager, *Ann. (N.Y.) Acad. Sci.* **51**, 627 (1949).
 - [3] M. A. Bates and D. Frenkel, *J. Chem. Phys.* **112**, 10034 (2000).
 - [4] H. Schlacken, H.-J. Mogel and P. Schiller, *Mol. Phys.* **93**, 777 (1998).
 - [5] V. Narayan, N. Menon and S. Ramaswamy, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2006) P01005.
 - [6] M. A. Cotter and D. C. Wacker, *Phys. Rev. A* **18**, 2669 (1978).
 - [7] H. L. Scott, *Phys. Rev. A* **21**, 2082-2086 (1980).
 - [8] Y. Martínez-Ratón, E. Velasco and L. Mederos, *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 064903 (2005).
 - [9] D. Frenkel and R. Eppenga, *Phys. Rev. A* **31**, 1776 (1985).
 - [10] J. A. Cuesta and D. Frenkel, *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 2126-2136 (1990).
 - [11] Y. Martínez-Ratón, E. Velasco and L. Mederos, *J. Chem. Phys.* **125**, 014501 (2006).
 - [12] Y. Martínez-Ratón and E. Velasco, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 011711 (2009).
 - [13] Y. Rosenfeld, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 980 (1989); *J. Chem. Phys.* **93**, 4305 (1990).

- [14] Y. Martínez-Ratón, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 051708 (2007).
- [15] Y. Martínez-Ratón, E. Velasco and L. Mederos, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 031703 (2005).
- [16] D. de las Heras, Y. Martínez-Ratón and E. Velasco, Phys. Rev. E **76**, 031704 (2007).
- [17] Y. Martínez-Ratón, Liq. Cryst. **38**, 697-707 (2011).
- [18] A. Poniewierski and J. Stecki, Mol. Phys. **38**, 1931-1940 (1979).
- [19] D. de las Heras, L. Mederos and E. Velasco, Liq. Cryst. **37**, 45-56 (2010).
- [20] D. de las Heras, E. Velasco and L. Mederos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 017801 (2005).
- [21] D. de las Heras, E. Velasco and L. Mederos, Phys. Rev. E **74**, 011709 (2006).
- [22] D. de las Heras, E. Velasco and L. Mederos, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 061703 (2009).
- [23] J. Dzubiella, M. Schmidt and H. Lowën, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 5081 (2000).
- [24] D. Andrienko and M. P. Allen, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 504 (2000).
- [25] J. Galanis, R. Nossal, W. Losert and D. Harries, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 168001 (2010).
- [26] A. Donev, J. Burton, F. H. Stillinger and S. Torquato, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 054109 (2006).
- [27] K. Zhao, C. Harrison, D. Huse, W. B. Russel and P. M. Chaikin, Phys. Rev. E **76**, 040401(R) (2007).