0

A SURVEY OF SOME RESULTS FOR MIXED MULTIPLICITIES

Le Van Dinh and Nguyen Tien Manh Truong Thi Hong Thanh Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education 136 Xuan Thuy Street, Hanoi, Vietnam dinhlevands@gmail.com, manhnt79@gmail.com, thanhtth@hnue.edu.vn

ABSTRACT: The paper proves that the superficial sequences in [13, 15, 16, 25] are the weak-(FC)-sequences in [18]. This follows that [18, Theorem 3.4] of Viet in 2000 covers the results of Risler and Teissier [13] in 1973; Trung [15, Theorem 3.4] in 2001; Trung and Verma [16, Theorem 1.4] in 2007. The paper unified the results for mixed multiplicities of ideals in [13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 25].

1. Introduction

In past years, using different sequences, one expressed mixed multiplicities in terms of the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, for instance, in the case of ideals of dimension 0, Risler and Teissier [13] in 1973 showed that each mixed multiplicity is the multiplicity of an ideal generated by a superficial sequence. However, for the case of arbitrary ideals, how to find mixed multiplicity formulas, which are analogous to Risler-Teissier's formula in [13], was a challenge. And this problem became an open question of the mixed multiplicity theory (see e.g. [8, 14]). Viet [18] in 2000 solved this open problem [18, Theorem 3.4] via (FC)-sequences. Trung [15] in 2001 and Trung and Verma [16] in 2007 obtained results that are similar to the result of [18] with the help of "bi-filter-regular sequences" and $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequences, respectively. This paper seems to account well for the minimum of conditions of weak-(FC)-sequences that is used as a tool in transmuting mixed multiplicities of ideals into the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity. This explains why the superficial sequences in [13, 15, 16, 25] are weak-(FC)-sequences; and [18, Theorem 3.4] of Viet in 2000 covers the results of Risler and Teissier [13] in 1973; Trung [15, Theorem 3.4] in 2001; Trung and Verma [16, Theorem 1.4] in 2007.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 13H15. Secondary 13C13; 13C15; 14C17; 13D40.

Key words and phrases : Noetherian ring, mixed multiplicity, superficial sequence; weak-(FC)-sequence.

By studying [15, 16, 18], we see that one can use Viet's results and method in [18] for [15, 16] with replacing weak-(FC)-sequences by other sequences that are variant forms of weak-(FC)-sequences. In fact, this paper shows that the superficial sequences in [13, 15, 16, 25] are the weak-(FC)-sequences in [18]. This proves that [15, Theorem 3.4] of Trung in 2001 and [16, Theorem 1.4] of Trung and Verma in 2007 are only variants of [18, Theorem 3.4] of Viet in 2000. So the paper unified the results for mixed multiplicities of ideals in [13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 25].

2. Mixed Multiplicities

In this section, we introduce the notion of mixed multiplicities of ideals and recall some results for mixed multiplicities in [18].

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and the infinite residue field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$. Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Let J, I_1, \ldots, I_s be ideals of A such that J is an m-primary ideal and $I = I_1 \cdots I_s$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann }M}$. Set dim $M/0_M$: $I^{\infty} = q$.

Then Viet $[18,$ Proposition 3.1(i)] in 2000 (see $[11,$ Proposition 3.1(i)]) proved that

$$
\ell_A \left[\frac{J^{n_0} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M}{J^{n_0+1} I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M} \right]
$$

is a polynomial of degree $q-1$ for all large n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_s . The terms of total degree $q-1$ in this polynomial have the form

$$
\sum_{k_0+k_1\cdots+k_s=q-1}e_A(J^{[k_0+1]},I_1^{[k_1]},\ldots,I_s^{[k_s]};M)\frac{n_0^{k_0}n_1^{k_1}\cdots n_s^{k_s}}{k_0!k_1!\cdots k_s!}.
$$

Then $e_A(J^{[k_0+1]},I_1^{[k_1]},\ldots,I_s^{[k_s]};M)$ is called the *mixed multiplicity of* M with respect to ideals J, I_1, \ldots, I_s of the type (k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_s) . And Viet [18, Lemma 3.2(i)] in 2000 (see [11, Lemma $3.2(i)$]) showed that

$$
e_A(J^{[k_0+1]},I_1^{[0]},\ldots,I_s^{[0]};M)=e_A(J;M/0_M:I^{\infty}).
$$

Remark 2.1. Trung and Verma [17] in 2010 called (see [17, Lemma 5.1]) that [18, Proposition 3.1(i) and Lemma 3.2(i)] are results of Trung $[15]$ in 2001 in the case when $s = 1$, and are also results of Trung and Verma in 2007 (see [17, Theorem 8.1]). Moreover, Trung and Verma [16] in 2007 considered [18, Proposition 3.1(i) and Lemma $3.2(i)$ as Trung-Verma's results (see [16, Theorem 1.2]). Although [15, Lemma 3.1] of Trung in 2001 is a particular case of [18, Proposition 3.1(i) and Lemma $3.2(i)$ in 2000 but the author of [15] seemed to omit these results.

3. Superficial Sequences

This section proves that the mentioned sequences in [13, 15, 16, 18, 25] are weak- (FC)-sequences. As an application, we unify the results that interpreted mixed multiplicities of ideals as the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity in the above works.

Now, we recall superficial sequences which were chosen in Risler-Teissier's work [13] in 1973 (see e.g. [1, 6, 9, 12, 29]).

Definition 3.1. An element $x \in I_i$ is called an I_i -superficial element of M with respect to (I_1, \ldots, I_s) if there exists a non-negative integer c such that

$$
(I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^c\cdots I_s^{n_s}M=I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

for all $n_i \geq c$ and for all non-negative integers $n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_s$.

Next, we recall the following sequence in [18] (see e.g. $[2, 3, 5, 11, 19, 20, 21, 27]$).

Definition 3.2 [18]. Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Let I_1, \ldots, I_s be ideals such that $I = I_1 \cdots I_s$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann }M}$. An element $x \in A$ is called a weak-(FC)- element of M with respect to (I_1, \ldots, I_s) if there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $x \in I_i$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) x is an I-filter-regular element with respect to M, i.e., $0_M : x \subseteq 0_M : I^{\infty}$.
- (ii) $x M \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1}$ $i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = x I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i}$ $i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M$ for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$.

Let x_1, \ldots, x_t be a sequence in A. For any $0 \leq i < t$, set $M_i =$ M $(x_1, \ldots, x_i)M$. Then x_1, \ldots, x_t is called a weak-(FC)-sequence of M with respect to (I_1, \ldots, I_s) if x_{i+1} is a weak-(FC)-element of M_i with respect to (I_1, \ldots, I_s) for all $i = 0, \ldots, t - 1$.

Using weak-(FC)-sequences, the author of [18] in 2000 characterized mixed multiplicities as the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity (see e.g. [3, 5, 11, 20, 21, 27]) by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 [18, Theorem 3.4]. Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Let J, I_1, \ldots, I_s be ideals of A with J being \mathfrak{m} -primary and $I = I_1 \cdots I_s \nsubseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann } M}$. Then $e_A(J^{[k_0+1]},I_1^{[k_1]},\ldots,I_s^{[k_s]};M) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a weak-(FC)-sequence x_1, \ldots, x_m of M with respect to (J, I_1, \ldots, I_s) consisting of k_1 elements of I_1, \ldots, I_s elements of I_s such that $\dim M/(x_1,\ldots,x_m)M : I^{\infty} = \dim M/0_M : I^{\infty} - m$. In this case,

$$
e_A(J^{[k_0+1]},I_1^{[k_1]},\ldots,I_s^{[k_s]};M)=e\bigl(J,\frac{M}{(x_1,\ldots,x_m)M:I^\infty}\bigr).
$$

For any graded algebra $S = \bigoplus_{n_1,...,n_d \geq 0} S_{(n_1,...,n_d)}$, set $S_{++} = \bigoplus_{n_1,...,n_d > 0} S_{(n_1,...,n_d)}$. Note 3.4: We recall sequences in [15, 16] as follows.

(i) In the case of two ideals, Trung in 2001 gave a result [15, Theorem 3.4] similar to Theorem 3.3 via "bi-filter-regular sequences". Set

$$
F_J(J, I_1; A) = \bigoplus_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{J^m I_1^n}{J^{m+1} I_1^n} \text{ and } F_{I_1}(I_1, J; A) = \bigoplus_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{J^m I_1^n}{J^m I_1^{n+1}}.
$$

For any $x \in I_1$, denote by x^* and x^{**} the images of x in I_1/JI_1 and I_1/I_1^2 , respectively. Let x_1, \ldots, x_t be a sequence in I_1 . For any $1 \leq i \leq t$, set $A_i =$ A (x_1, \ldots, x_i) ; $J_i = JA_i$; $I_{1i} = I_1A_i$ and \bar{x}_{i+1} is the image of x_{i+1} in I_{1i} . Then Trung in [15] used a sequence x_1, \ldots, x_t in I_1 such that x_1^* is an $F_J(J, I_1; A)_{++}$ filter-regular element and x_1^{**} is an $F_{I_1}(I_1, J; A)_{++}$ -filter-regular element; \bar{x}_{i+1}^* is an $F_{J_i}(J_i, I_{1i}; A)_{++}$ -filter-regular element and \bar{x}_{i+1}^{**} is an $F_{I_{1i}}(I_{1i}, J_i; A)_{++}$ -filterregular element for all $1 \leq i < t$ [15].

(ii) Trung and Verma in 2007 gave a version [16, Theorem 1.4] of Theorem 3.3 with the help of sequences which they called $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequences. Set

$$
\mathfrak{T} = \bigoplus_{n,n_1,\ldots,n_s \geq 0} \frac{J^n I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s}}{J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1}}.
$$

Recall that x is an *i-superficial element for* I_1, \ldots, I_s if $x \in I_i$ and the image x^* of x in $\frac{I_i}{I_1 + I_2}$ $JI_1 \cdots I_{i-1} I_i^2 I_{i+1} \cdots I_s$ is an \mathfrak{T}_{++} -filter-regular element in \mathfrak{T} , i.e., $(J^{n+1}I_1^{n_1+1})$ $i^{n_1+1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+2}$ $\prod_{i=1}^{n_i+2} \cdots \prod_{s=1}^{n_s+1}$: $x) \bigcap J^n I_1^{n_1}$ $I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} = J^{n+1} I_1^{n_1+1}$ $i^{n_1+1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s+1}$ for all $n, n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. And if $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m$ is a non-decreasing sequence of indices with $1 \leq \varepsilon_i \leq s$, then a sequence x_1, \ldots, x_m is an $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequence for I_1, \ldots, I_s if for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$, \bar{x}_i is an ε_i -superficial element for $\bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \ldots, \bar{I}_s$, where $\bar{x}_i, \bar{J}, \bar{I}_1, \ldots, \bar{I}_s$ are the images of x_i, J, I_1, \ldots, I_s in $\frac{A}{(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})}$,

respectively [16].

Remark 3.5. In the case of two ideals J, I_1 , Manh in [10, Note 3.3.16(i)] proved that sequences in Note 3.4(i) are the sequences in Note 3.4(ii) when $s = 1$. Indeed, it can be verified that if $x \in I_1$ satisfies the conditions in Note 3.4(i), then

$$
(J^{m+1}I_1^{n+2}:x)\bigcap J^mI_1^{n+1}=J^{m+1}I_1^{n+1}
$$
 and $(J^mI_1^{n+2}:x)\bigcap J^mI_1^n=J^mI_1^{n+1}$

for all $m, n \gg 0$. Then for all $m, n \gg 0$, we have

$$
(J^{m+1}I_1^{n+2}:x)\bigcap J^mI_1^n = (J^{m+1}I_1^{n+2}:x)\bigcap (J^mI_1^{n+2}:x)\bigcap J^mI_1^n
$$

$$
= (J^{m+1}I_1^{n+2}:x)\bigcap J^mI_1^{n+1} = J^{m+1}I_1^{n+1}.
$$

Thus x is an superficial element for J, I_1 as in Note 3.4(ii).

Basing on [25, Remark 4.1], the authors of [25] constructed the following sequences that yield filter-regular sequences in $\bigoplus_{n_1,\dots,n_s\geq 0}$ $I_1^{n_1}$ $I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M$ $I_1^{n_1+1}$ $\frac{n_1+1}{1}I_2^{n_2}$ $I_2^{n_2}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M$.

Definition 3.6 [25]. Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Let $\mathfrak{I}, I_1, \ldots, I_s$ be ideals such that $I = I_1 \cdots I_s$ is not contained in \sqrt{AnnM} . An element $x \in A$ is called a superficial element of (I_1, \ldots, I_s) with respect to $\mathfrak I$ and M if there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $x \in I_i$ and

- (i) $(\Im I_1^{n_1})$ $a_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1}$ $i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M : x \cap I_1^{n_1}$ $I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = \Im I_1^{n_1}$ $i_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i}$ $i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M$ for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$.
- (ii) $x M \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1}$ $i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = x I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i}$ $i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M$ for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$.

Let x_1, \ldots, x_t be a sequence in A. For each $0 \leq i < t$, set $M_i =$ M $(x_1, \ldots, x_i)M$. Then x_1, \ldots, x_t is called a superficial sequence of (I_1, \ldots, I_s) with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}$ and M if x_{i+1} is a superficial element of (I_1, \ldots, I_s) with respect to $\mathfrak I$ and M_i for $i = 0, \ldots, t-1$.

The following main theorem shows that these sequences and weak-(FC)-sequences are the same.

Theorem 3.7. An element $x \in I_i$ is a superficial element of (I_1, \ldots, I_s) with respect to $\mathfrak{I} = I_1$ and M if and only if x is a weak-(FC)-element of M with respect to $(I_1,\ldots,I_s).$

Proof. Note that the condition (ii) in Definition 3.2 and the condition (ii) in Definition 3.6 are the same: $xM\bigcap I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}$ $i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = x I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i}$ $i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M$ for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Moreover, we get, by this equation and since $\mathfrak{I} = I_1$ that

$$
(\mathfrak{I}^{k} I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{i}^{n_{i}+1} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M : x) \bigcap I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M
$$

\n
$$
= ((\mathfrak{I}^{k} I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{i}^{n_{i}+1} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M \bigcap xM) : x) \bigcap I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M
$$

\n
$$
= (x \mathfrak{I}^{k} I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{i}^{n_{i}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M : x) \bigcap I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M
$$

\n
$$
= (\mathfrak{I}^{k} I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{i}^{n_{i}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M + (0_{M} : x) \bigcap I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M
$$

\n
$$
= \mathfrak{I}^{k} I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{i}^{n_{i}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M + (0_{M} : x) \bigcap I_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots I_{s}^{n_{s}} M
$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Consequently

$$
(\mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M
$$

=
$$
\mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M + (0_M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M
$$
 (1)

for all $k \ge 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Let $x \in I_i$ be a superficial element of (I_1, \ldots, I_s) with respect to $\mathfrak{I} = I_1$ and M as in Definition 3.6. Then

$$
(\Im I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = \Im I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M \tag{2}
$$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. We show by induction on $k \geq 1$ that

$$
\left(\mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M : x\right) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = \mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M\tag{3}
$$

for all $k \ge 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. The case that $k = 1$, (3) is true by (2). Assume that

$$
(\mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i+1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = \mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M
$$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. It holds that

$$
(\mathfrak{I}^{k+1}I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

\n
$$
=(\mathfrak{I}^{k+1}I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap (\mathfrak{I}^kI_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

\n
$$
=(\mathfrak{I}^{k+1}I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap \mathfrak{I}^kI_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

\n
$$
=(\mathfrak{I}I_1^{n_1+k}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap I_1^{n_1+k}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

\n
$$
=\mathfrak{I}I_1^{n_1+k}\cdots I_i^{n_i}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

\n
$$
=\mathfrak{I}^{k+1}I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Hence the induction is complete and we get (3). Combining (3) with (1) we obtain

$$
\mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M + (0_M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = \mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M
$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Therefore

$$
(0_M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M \subset \mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_i^{n_i} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M
$$

for all $k \ge 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Hence

$$
(0_M:x)\bigcap I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M\subset \bigcap_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{I}^k I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M=0
$$

for $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Thus, x is an *I*-filter-regular element with respect to M and hence x is a weak-(FC)-element of M with respect to (I_1, \ldots, I_s) . Now, suppose that $x \in I_i$ is a weak-(FC)-element of M with respect to (I_1, \ldots, I_s) . Since x is an I-filter-regular element with respect to M, it follows that

$$
(0_M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M = 0 \text{ for all } n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0.
$$

Indeed, by Artin-Rees Lemma, there exist positive integers u_1, \ldots, u_s such that

$$
I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M \bigcap (0_M : I^{\infty}) = I_1^{n_1 - u_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s - u_s} [I_1^{u_1} \cdots I_s^{u_s} M \bigcap (0_M : I^{\infty})] \subseteq I_1^{n_1 - u_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s - u_s} (0_M : I^{\infty})
$$

for all $n_1 \geq u_1, \ldots, n_s \geq u_s$. Since M is noetherian, there exists a positive integer u such that $0_M: I^{\infty} = 0_M: I^u$. Therefore

$$
I_1^{n_1 - u_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s - u_s} (0_M : I^{\infty}) = I_1^{n_1 - u_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s - u_s} (0_M : I^u)
$$

= $I_1^{n_1 - u_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s - u_s} (0_M : I^u_1 \cdots I^u_s) = 0$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Remember that x is an I-filter-regular element with respect to $M, 0_M : x \subseteq 0_M : I^{\infty}$. Thus

$$
(0_M : x) \bigcap I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M \subset I_1^{n_1} \cdots I_s^{n_s} M \bigcap (0_M : I^{\infty}) = 0
$$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$. Hence

$$
(\mathfrak{I} I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i+1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M:x)\bigcap I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M=\mathfrak{I} I_1^{n_1}\cdots I_i^{n_i}\cdots I_s^{n_s}M
$$

for all $n_1, \ldots, n_s \gg 0$ by (1). Thus x satisfies the condition (i) in Definition 3.6 and hence x is a superficial element of (I_1, \ldots, I_s) with respect to $\mathfrak{I} = I_1$ and M.

From the above facts, we obtain some following comments.

Remark 3.8. On the one hand, [25, Remark 4.3 and Remark 4.7] showed that the superficial sequences of \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals in Definition 3.1 and $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$ -superficial sequences in Note 3.4(ii) are the superficial sequences in Definition 3.6. On the other hand, sequences in Note 3.4(i) are sequences in Note 3.4 (ii) when $s = 1$ by Remark 3.5. Hence sequences of m-primary ideals in Definition 3.1 and sequences in Note 3.4 are sequences in Definition 3.6. But sequences in Definition 3.6 and weak-(FC) sequences in Definition 3.2 are the same by Theorem 3.7. Hence the superficial sequences in [13, 15, 16, 25] are weak-(FC)-sequences. From this it follows that Theorem 3.3 [18, Theorem 3.4] in 2000 covers the results of Risler and Teissier [13] in 1973; Trung [15, Theorem 3.4] in 2001(see [10]); Trung and Verma [16, Theorem 1.4] in 2007 (see [3, 5, 27]). Moreover, [25, Theorem 4.5] and [18, Theorem 3.4] are equivalent by Theorem 3.7.

Remark 3.9. Theorem 3.7 and [25, Remark 4.1] seem to account well for the minimum of conditions of weak-(FC)-sequences that is used as a tool in interpreting mixed multiplicities of ideals into the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity. This explains why the superficial sequences in $[13, 15, 16, 25]$ are weak-(FC)-sequences. Note that weak-(FC)-sequences are also an useful tool to study the multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness of blow-up rings (see e.g. $[4, 22, 23, 24, 26, 28]$).

At this point we would like to emphasize that: On the one hand the authors of [15, 16] used Viet's results and method in [18] for their works with replacing weak- (FC)-sequences by other sequences that they called "filter-regular sequences". On the other hand one seemed to omit Viet's work. This causes confusion in citations, for instance, J. Huh cited [18, Theorem 3.4] in 2000 as Trung-Verma's theorem in 2007 (see [7, Theorem 5]).

References

- [1] R. Callejas-Bedregal and V. H. Jorge Perez, Mixed multiplicities and the minimal number of generator of modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 214(2010), 1642-1653.
- [2] R. Callejas-Bedregal and V. H. Jorge Perez, (FC)-Sequences, mixed multiplicities and reductions of modules, arXiv:1109.5058(2011).
- [3] L. V. Dinh and D. Q. Viet, On two results of mixed multiplicities, Int. J. Algebra. 4(2010), 19-23.
- [4] L. V. Dinh and D. Q. Viet, On the multiplicity of Rees algebras of good filtrations, Kyushu J. Math. 66(2012), 261-272.
- [5] L. V. Dinh and D. Q. Viet, On mixed multiplicities of good filtrations, accepted by Algebra Colloqium, 2012.
- [6] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules, London Mathematical Lecture Note Series 336, Cambridge University Press (2006).
- [7] J. Huh, Milnor numbers of projective hypersurfaces and the chromatic polynomial of graphs, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 25(2012), 907-927.
- [8] D. Katz and J. K. Verma, Extended Rees algebras and mixed multiplicities, Math. Z. 202(1989), 111- 128.
- [9] D. Kirby, A note on superficial elements of an ideal in a local ring, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 14(1963), 21-28.
- [10] N. T. Manh, On the Mixed multiplicities of multigraded modules, Ph.D. thesis, Hanoi National University of Education, 2010.
- [11] N. T. Manh and D. Q. Viet, Mixed multiplicities of modules over noetherian local rings, Tokyo J. Math. 29(2006), 325-345.
- [12] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, Hilbert function of filtered modules, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana. 9(2010), XVIII.
- [13] B. Teisier, Cycles èvanescents, sections planes, et conditions de Whitney, Singularities à Cargése, 1972. Astérisque, 7-8(1973), 285-362.
- [14] N. V. Trung, Filter-regular sequences and multiplicity of blow-up rings of ideals of the principal class, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 33(1993), 665-683.
- [15] N. V. Trung, *Positivity of mixed multiplicities*, J. Math. Ann. 319(2001), 33-63.
- [16] N. V. Trung and J. Verma, Mixed multiplicities of ideals versus mixed volumes of polytopes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359(2007), 4711-4727.
- [17] N. V. Trung and J. Verma, Hilbert functions of multigraded algebras, mixed multi- plicities of ideals and their applications, J. Commut. Algebra. 2(2010), 515-565.
- [18] D. Q. Viet, Mixed multiplicities of arbitrary ideals in local rings, Comm. Algebra. 28(2000), 3803-3821.
- [19] D. Q. Viet, On some properties of (FC) -sequences of ideals in local rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131(2003), 45-53.
- [20] D. Q. Viet, Sequences determining mixed multiplicities and reductions of ideals, Comm. Algebra. 31(2003), 5047-5069.
- [21] D. Q. Viet, Reductions and mixed multiplicities of ideals, Comm. Algebra. 32(2004), 4159-4178.
- [22] D. Q. Viet, The multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness of extended Rees algebras of equimultiple ideals, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 205(2006), 498-509.
- [23] D. Q. Viet, On the Cohen-Macaulayness of fiber cones, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136(2008), 4185-4195.
- [24] D. Q. Viet, On the multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness of fiber cones of graded algebras, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra. 213(2009), 2104-2116.
- [25] D. Q. Viet and N. T. Manh, Mixed multiplicities of multigraded modules, Forum Math. 25(2013), 337-361. DOI: 10.1515/FORM. 2011. 120.
- [26] D. Q. Viet and T. T. H. Thanh, Multiplicity and Cohen-Macaulayness of fiber cones of good filtrations, Kyushu J. Math. 65(2011), 1-13.
- [27] D. Q. Viet and T. T. H. Thanh, On (FC) -sequences and mixed multiplicities of multi-graded algebras, Tokyo J. Math. 34(2011), 185-202.
- [28] D. Q. Viet and T. T. H. Thanh, On some multiplicity and mixed multiplicity formulas, Forum Math. 2011. DOI: 10.1515/FORM. 2011. 168.
- [29] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra, Vol II, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.