# ON THE ZARISKI TOPOLOGY ON AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF AFFINE SPACES AND ALGEBRAS

#### ALEXEI KANEL-BELOV, JIE-TAI YU, AND ANDREY ELISHEV

ABSTRACT. We study topological properties of Ind-groups  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  of automorphisms of polynomial and free associative algebras via Ind-schemes, toric varieties, approximations, and singularities.

We obtain a number of properties of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(A))$ , where A is the polynomial or free associative algebra over the base field K. We prove that all Ind-scheme automorphisms of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  are inner for  $n\geq 3$ , and all Ind-scheme automorphisms of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  are semi-inner. We also establish that any effective action of torus  $T^n$  on  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  is linearizable (that is, conjugate to the standard one) provided that K is infinite.

As an application, we prove that  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  cannot be embedded into  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  by the natural abelianization. In other words, the *Automorphism Group Lifting Problem* has a negative solution.

We explore close connection between the above results and the Jacobian conjecture, as well as the Kanel-Belov – Kontsevich conjecture, and formulate the Jacobian conjecture for fields of any characteristic.

We make use of results of Bodnarchuk, Kraft, and Rips, and we also consider automorphisms of tame groups preserving the origin and obtain a modification of said results in the tame setting.

# Contents

1. Introduction and main results	2
1.1. Automorphisms of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$	2
1.2. Main results	6
2. Basic setup and terminologies	8
2.1. Elementary and tame automorphisms	8
2.2. Ind-schemes and Ind-groups	8
3. The Jacobian conjecture in any characteristic, Kanel-Belov – Kontsevich	
conjecture, and approximation	9
3.1. Approximation problems and Kanel-Belov – Kontsevich Conjecture	9

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13S10, 16S10. Secondary 13F20, 14R10, 16W20, 16Z05.

Key words and phrases. Ind-group, Ind-scheme, Approximation, Singularities, Toric varieties, Affine spaces, Automorphisms, Polynomial algebras, Free associative algebras, Lifting problem, Tame and Wild automorphisms, Coordinates, Nagata Conjecture, Linearization.

J.-T. Yu is partially supported by an RGC-GRF Grant.

A. Kanel-Belov is supported by the Israel Science Foundation Grant No. 1623/16.

A. Elishev is supported by RFBR Grant No. 14-01-00548.

3.2.	The Jacobian conjecture in any characteristic	10
3.3.	Approximation for the automorphism group of affine spaces	11
3.4.	Lifting of automorphism groups	15
4.	Automorphisms of the polynomial algebra and the approach of Bodnarchuk–	
	Kraft-Rips	16
4.1.	Reduction to the case when $\Psi$ is identical on $\mathrm{SL}_n$	17
4.2.	The lemma of Rips	19
4.3.	Generators of the tame automorphism group	21
4.4.	Aut(TAut) for general case	22
5.	The approach of Bodnarchuk–Kraft–Rips to automorphisms of $\mathrm{TAut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$	$ x_n\rangle$
	(n > 2)	23
5.1.	The automorphisms of the tame automorphism	
	group of $K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle$ , $n\geq 4$	23
5.2.	The group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{TAut}(K\langle x,y,z\rangle))$	29
6.	Some open questions concerning the tame automorphism group	36
7.	Acknowledgements	37
Refe	erences	37

#### 1. Introduction and main results

1.1. Automorphisms of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ . Let K be an arbitrary field. In this article we study the Zariski topology and its refinements of the Ind-groups of polynomial and free associative algebras  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$  (which is equivalent to the automorphism group  $\operatorname{Aut}(K^n)$  of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n_K \simeq K^n$ ) and  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle)$  via Ind-schemes, toric varieties, approximations, and singularities.

Automorphisms of Ind-schemes are closely related to the Jacobian Conjecture (JC) as well as a more recent conjecture of Kanel-Belov and Kontsevich (B-KKC), [6, 7], which asks whether the group

$$\mathrm{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}])$$

of complex polynomial automorphisms preserving the standard Poisson bracket

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = \delta_{i,n+j} - \delta_{i+n,j}$$

is isomorphic<sup>1</sup> to the group of automorphisms of the n-th Weyl algebra  $W_n$ 

$$W_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle / I,$$
$$I = (x_i x_j - x_j x_i, y_i y_j - y_j y_i, y_i x_j - x_j y_i - \delta_{ij}).$$

The physical meaning of Kanel-Belov and Kontsevich conjecture is the invariance of the polynomial symplectomorphism group of the phase space under the procedure of geometric quantization.

The B-KKC was conceived during a successful search for a proof of stable equivalence of the Jacobian conjecture and a well-known conjecture of Dixmier stating that  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_n) = \operatorname{End}(W_n)$  over any field of characteristic zero. In the papers [6, 7] a particular family of homomorphisms (in effect, monomorphisms)  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_n(\mathbb{C})) \to \operatorname{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$  was constructed, and a natural question whether those homomorphisms were in fact isomorphisms was raised. The aforementioned morphisms, independently studied by Tsuchimoto to the same end, were in actuality defined as restrictions of morphisms of the saturated model of Weyl algebra over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic - an object which contains  $W_n(\mathbb{C})$  as a proper subalgebra. One of the defined morphisms turned out to have a particularly simple form over the subgroup of the so-called tame automorphisms, and it was natural to assume that morphism was the desired B-KK isomorphism (at least for the case of algebraically closed base field). Central to the construction is the notion of infinitely large prime number (in the sense of hyperintegers), which arises as the sequence  $(p_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  of positive characteristics of finite fields comprising the saturated model. This leads to the natural problem ([7]):

**Problem.** Prove that the B-KK morphism is independent of the choice of the infinite prime  $(p_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

A general formulation of this question in the paper [7] goes as follows:

For a commutative ring R define

$$R_{\infty} = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \left( \prod_{p} R' \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} / \bigoplus_{p} R' \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right),$$

where the direct limit is taken over the filtered system of all finitely generated subrings  $R' \subset R$  and the product and the sum are taken over all primes p. This larger ring possesses a unique "nonstandard Frobenius" endomorphism  $\operatorname{Fr}: R_{\infty} \to R_{\infty}$  given by

$$(a_p)_{\text{primes }p} \mapsto (a_p^p)_{\text{primes }p}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In fact, the conjecture seeks to establish an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Sympl}(K^{2n}) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(W_n(K))$  for any field K of characteristic zero in a functorial manner.

The Kanel-Belov and Kontsevich construction returns a morphism

$$\psi_R: \operatorname{Aut}(W_n(R)) \to \operatorname{Sympl} R^{2n}_{\infty}$$

such that there exists a unique homomorphism

$$\phi_R: \operatorname{Aut}(W_n)(R) \to \operatorname{Aut}(P_n)(R_\infty)$$

obeying  $\psi_R = \operatorname{Fr}_* \circ \phi_R$ . Here  $\operatorname{Fr}_* : \operatorname{Aut}(P_n)(R_\infty) \to \operatorname{Aut}(P_n)(R_\infty)$  is the Ind-group homomorphism induced by the Frobenius endomorphism of the coefficient ring, and  $P_n$  is the commutative Poisson algebra, i.e. the polynomial algebra in 2n variables equipped with additional Poisson structure (so that  $\operatorname{Aut}(P_n(R))$  is just  $\operatorname{Sympl}(R^{2n})$  - the group of Poisson structure-preserving automorphisms).

**Question.** In the above formulation, does the image of  $\phi_R$  belong to

$$\operatorname{Aut}(P_n)(i(R)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$$
,

where  $i: R \to R_{\infty}$  is the tautological inclusion? In other words, does there exist a unique homomorphism

$$\phi_R^{can}: \operatorname{Aut}(P_n)(R) \to \operatorname{Aut}(P_n)(R \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

such that  $\psi_R = \operatorname{Fr}_* \circ i_* \circ \phi_R^{can}$ .

Comparing the two morphisms  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  defined using two different free ultrafilters, we obtain a "loop" element  $\phi \varphi^{-1}$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut}(W_n))$ , (i.e. an automorphism which preserves the structure of infinite dimensional algebraic group). Describing this group would provide a solution to this question.

Some progress toward resolution of the B-KKC independence problem has been made recently in [10, 11], although the general unconditional case is still open.

In the spirit of the above we propose the following

Conjecture. All automorphisms of Sympl( $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ ) as Ind-scheme are inner.

The same conjecture can be proposed for  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_n(\mathbb{C}))$ .

We are focused on the investigation of the group  $Aut(Aut(K[x_1,...,x_n]))$  and the corresponding noncommutative (free associative algebra) case. It was in fact Boris Plotkin who pioneered this research direction, the motivation for it coming from the standpoint of universal algebraic geometry.

Wild automorphisms and the lifting problem. In 2004, the celebrated Nagata conjecture over a field K of characteristic zero was proved by Shestakov and Umirbaev [29,

30] and a stronger version of the conjecture was proved by Umirbaev and Yu [33]. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Every wild K[z]-automorphism (wild K[z]-coordinate) of K[z][x,y] is wild viewed as a K-automorphism (K-coordinate) of K[x,y,z]. In particular, the Nagata automorphism  $(x-2y(y^2+xz)-(y^2+xz)^2z,y+(y^2+xz)z,z)$  (Nagata coordinates  $x-2y(y^2+xz)-(y^2+xz)^2z$  and  $y+(y^2+xz)z$ ) is (are) wild. In [33], a related question was raised:

**The lifting problem.** Can an arbitrary wild automorphism (wild coordinate) of the polynomial algebra K[x, y, z] over a field K be lifted to an automorphism (coordinate) of the free associative algebra  $K\langle x, y, z \rangle$ ?

In the paper [8], based on the degree estimate [24, 23], it was proved that any wild z-automorphism including the Nagata automorphism cannot be lifted as a z-automorphism (moreover, in [9] it is proved that every z-automorphism of  $K\langle x,y,z\rangle$  is stably tame and becomes tame after adding at most one variable). It means that if every automorphism can be lifted, then it provides an obstruction z' to z-lifting and the question to estimate such an obstruction is naturally raised.

In view of the above, we may ask the following:

The automorphism group lifting problem. Is  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  under the natural abelianization?

The following examples show this problem is interesting and non-trivial.

**Example 1.** There is a surjective homomorphism (taking the absolute value) from  $\mathbb{C}^*$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . But  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is isomorphic to the subgroup  $\mathbb{R}^+$  of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  under the homomorphism.

**Example 2.** There is a surjective homomorphism (taking the determinant) from  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . But obviously  $\mathbb{R}^*$  is isomorphic to the subgroup  $\mathbb{R}^*I_n$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

In this paper we prove that the automorphism group lifting problem has a negative answer.

The lifting problem and the automorphism group lifting problem are closely related to the Kanel-Belov and Kontsevich Conjecture (see Section 3.1).

Consider a symplectomorphism  $\varphi: x_i \mapsto P_i, \ y_i \mapsto Q_i$ . It can be lifted to some automorphism  $\widehat{\varphi}$  of the quantized algebra  $W_{\hbar}[[\hbar]]$ :

$$\widehat{\varphi}: x_i \mapsto P_i + P_i^1 \hbar + \dots + P_i^m \hbar^m; \ y_i \mapsto Q_i + Q_i^1 \hbar + \dots + Q_i^m \hbar^m.$$

The point is to choose a lift  $\widehat{\varphi}$  in such a way that the degree of all  $P_i^m, Q_i^m$  would be bounded. If that is true, then the B-KKC follows.

1.2. **Main results.** The main results of this paper are as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Any Ind-scheme automorphism  $\varphi$  of NAut $(K[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$  for  $n \geq 3$  is inner, i.e. is a conjugation via some automorphism.

**Theorem 1.2.** Any Ind-scheme automorphism  $\varphi$  of NAut $(K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle)$  for  $n \geq 3$  is semi-inner (see definition 1.6).

*NAut* denotes the group of *nice* automorphisms, i.e. automorphisms which can be approximated by tame ones (definition 3.1). In characteristic zero case every automorphism is nice.

For the group of automorphisms of a semigroup a number of similar results on settheoretical level was obtained previously by Kanel-Belov, Lipyanski and Berzinsh [4, 5]. All these questions (including Aut(Aut) investigation) take root in the realm of Universal Algebraic Geometry and were proposed by Boris Plotkin. Equivalence of two algebras having the same generalized identities and isomorphism of first order means semi-inner properties of automorphisms (see [4, 5] for details).

Automorphisms of tame automorphism groups. Regarding the tame automorphism group, something can be done on the group- theoretic level. In the paper of H. Kraft and I. Stampfli [22] the automorphism group of the tame automorphism group of the polynomial algebra was thoroughly studied. In that paper, conjugation of elementary automorphisms via translations played a very important role. The results of our study are different. We describe the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0)$  of the group  $\operatorname{TAut}_0$  of tame automorphisms preserving the origin (i.e. taking the augmentation ideal onto an ideal which is a subset of the augmentation ideal). This is technically more difficult, and will be universally and systematically done for both commutative (polynomial algebra) case and noncommutative (free associative algebra) case. We observe a few problems in the shift conjugation approach for the noncommutative (free associative algebra) case, as it was for commutative case in [22]. Any evaluation on a ground field element can return zero, for example in Lie polynomial [[x,y],z]. Note that the calculations of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0)$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{TAut}_0)$ ,  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut}_0)$ ) imply also the same results for  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut})$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{TAut})$ ,  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut})$ ) according to the approach of this article via stabilization by the torus action.

**Theorem 1.3.** Any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathrm{TAut}_0(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  (in the group-theoretic sense) for  $n \geq 3$  is inner, i.e. is a conjugation via some automorphism.

**Theorem 1.4.** The group  $\mathrm{TAut}_0(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  is generated by the automorphism

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + x_2 x_3, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i \neq 1$$

and linear substitutions if  $Char(K) \neq 2$  and n > 3.

Let  $G_N \subset \text{TAut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]), E_N \subset \text{TAut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  be tame automorphism subgroups preserving the N-th power of the augmentation ideal.

**Theorem 1.5.** Any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $G_N$  (in the group-theoretic sense) for  $N \geq 3$  is inner, i.e. is a conjugation via some automorphism.

**Definition 1.6.** An anti-automorphism  $\Psi$  of a K-algebra B is a vector space automorphism such that  $\Psi(ab) = \Psi(b)\Psi(a)$ . For instance, transposition of matrices is an anti-automorphism. An anti-automorphism of the free associative algebra A is a mirror anti-automorphism if it sends  $x_i x_j$  to  $x_j x_i$  for some fixed i and j. If a mirror anti-automorphism  $\theta$  acts identical on all generators  $x_i$ , then for any monomial  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}$  we have

$$\theta(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) = x_{i_k} \dots x_{i_1}.$$

Such an anti-automorphism will be generally referred to as the mirror anti-automorphism.

An automorphism of Aut(A) is *semi-inner* if it can be expressed as a composition of an inner automorphism and a conjugation by a mirror anti-automorphism.

**Theorem 1.7.** a) Any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathrm{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  and also  $\mathrm{TAut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  (in the group-theoretic sense) for  $n\geq 4$  is semi-inner, i.e. is a conjugation via some automorphism and/or mirror anti-automorphism.

b) The same is true for  $E_n$ ,  $n \ge 4$ .

The case of  $\text{TAut}(K\langle x,y,z\rangle)$  is substantially more difficult. We can treat it only on Ind-scheme level, but even then it is the most technical part of the paper (see section 5.2). For the two-variable case a similar proposition is probably false.

**Theorem 1.8.** a) Let  $Char(K) \neq 2$ . Then  $Aut_{Ind}(TAut(K\langle x, y, z \rangle))$  (resp.  $Aut_{Ind}(TAut_0(K\langle x, y, z \rangle))$  is generated by conjugation by an automorphism or a mirror anti-automorphism.

b) The same is true for  $Aut_{Ind}(E_3)$ .

By TAut we denote the tame automorphism group,  $Aut_{Ind}$  is the group of Ind-scheme automorphisms (see section 2.2).

Approximation allows us to formulate the celebrated Jacobian conjecture for any characteristic.

Lifting of the automorphism groups. In this article we prove that the automorphism group of polynomial algebra over an arbitrary field K cannot be embedded into the automorphism group of free associative algebra induced by the natural abelianization.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let K be an arbitrary field,  $G = \operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$  and n > 2. Then G cannot be isomorphic to any subgroup H of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle)$  induced by the natural abelianization. The same is true for  $\operatorname{NAut}(K[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ .

### 2. Basic setup and terminologies

2.1. Elementary and tame automorphisms. Let P be a polynomial that is independent of  $x_i$  with i fixed. An automorphism

$$x_i \mapsto x_i + P, \ x_j \mapsto x_j \ \text{ for } i \neq j$$

is called *elementary*. The group generated by linear automorphisms and elementary ones for all possible P is called the *tame automorphism group* (or subgroup) TAut and elements of TAut are *tame automorphisms*.

## 2.2. Ind-schemes and Ind-groups.

**Definition 2.1.** An Ind-variety M is the direct limit of algebraic varieties  $M = \varinjlim\{M_1 \subseteq M_2 \dots\}$ . An Ind-scheme is an Ind-variety which is a group such that the group inversion is a morphism  $M_i \to M_{j(i)}$  of algebraic varieties, and the group multiplication induces a morphism from  $M_i \times M_j$  to  $M_{k(i,j)}$ . A map  $\varphi$  is a morphism of an Ind-variety M to an Ind-variety N, if  $\varphi(M_i) \subseteq N_{j(i)}$  and the restriction  $\varphi$  to  $M_i$  is a morphism for all i. Monomorphisms, epimorphisms and isomorphisms are defined similarly in a natural way.

**Example.** M is the group of automorphisms of the affine space, and  $M_j$  are the sets of all automorphisms in M with degree  $\leq j$ .

There is an interesting

**Problem.** Investigate growth functions on Ind-varieties. For example, the dimension of varieties of polynomial automorphisms of degree  $\leq n$ .

Note that coincidence of growth functions for  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_n(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $\operatorname{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$  would imply the Kanel-Belov – Kontsevich conjecture [7].

**Definition 2.2.** The ideal I generated by variables  $x_i$  is called the augmentation ideal. For a fixed positive integer N > 1, the augmentation subgroup  $H_N$  is the group of all automorphisms  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(x_i) \equiv x_i \mod I^N$ . The larger group  $\hat{H}_N \supset H_N$  is the group of automorphisms whose linear part is scalar, and  $\varphi(x_i) \equiv \lambda x_i \mod I^N$  ( $\lambda$  does not depend on i). We often say an arbitrary element of the group  $\hat{H}_N$  is an automorphism that is homothety modulo (the N-th power of) the augmentation ideal.

- 3. The Jacobian conjecture in any characteristic, Kanel-Belov Kontsevich conjecture, and approximation
- 3.1. Approximation problems and Kanel-Belov Kontsevich Conjecture. Let us give formulation of the Kanel-Belov Kontsevich Conjecture:

$$B - KKC_n$$
: Aut $(W_n) \simeq \text{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ .

A similar conjecture can be stated for endomorphisms

$$B - KKC_n$$
: End $(W_n) \simeq \text{Sympl End}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ .

If the Jacobian conjecture  $JC_{2n}$  is true, then the respective conjunctions over all n of the two conjectures are equivalent.

It is natural to approximate automorphisms by tame ones. There exists such an approximation up to terms of any order for polynomial automorphisms as well as Weyl algebra automorphisms, symplectomorphisms etc. However, the naive approach fails.

It is known that  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_1) \equiv \operatorname{Aut}_1(K[x,y])$  where  $\operatorname{Aut}_1$  stands for the subgroup of automorphisms of Jacobian determinant one. However, considerations from [27] show that Lie algebra of the first group is the algebra of derivations of  $W_1$  and thus possesses no identities apart from the ones of the free Lie algebra, another coincidence of the vector fields which diverge to zero, and has polynomial identities. These cannot be isomorphic [6, 7]. In other words, this group has two coordinate system non-smooth with respect to one another (but integral with respect to one another). One system is built from the coefficients of differential operators in a fixed basis of generators, while its counterpart is provided by the coefficients of polynomials, which are images of the basis  $\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i$ .

In the paper [27] functionals on  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  were considered in order to define the Lie algebra structure. In the spirit of that we have the following

Conjecture. The natural limit of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is zero.

It means that the definition of the Lie algebra admits some sort of functoriality problem and it depends on the presentation of (reducible) Ind-scheme.

In his remarkable paper, Yu. Bodnarchuk [16] established Theorem 1.1 by using Shafare-vich's results for the tame automorphism subgroup and for the case when the Ind-scheme automorphism is regular in the sense that it sends coordinate functions to coordinate functions. In this case the tame approximation works (as well as for the symplectic case), and the corresponding method is similar to ours. We present it here in order to make the text more self-contained, as well as for the purpose of tackling the noncommutative (that is, the free associative algebra) case. Note that in general, for regular functions, if the Shafarevich-style approximation were valid, then the Kanel-Belov and Kontsevich conjecture would follow directly, which is absurd.

In the sequel, we do not assume regularity in the sense of [16] but only assume that the restriction of a morphism on any subvariety is a morphism again. Note that morphisms of Ind-schemes  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_n) \to \operatorname{Sympl}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$  have this property, but are not regular in the sense of Bodnarchuk [16].

We use the idea of singularity which allows us to prove the augmentation subgroup structure preservation, so that the approximation works in this case.

Consider the isomorphism  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_1) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_1(K[x,y])$ . It has a strange property. Let us add a small parameter t. Then an element arbitrary close to zero with respect to  $t^k$  does not go to zero arbitrarily, so it is impossible to make tame limit! There is a sequence of convergent product of elementary automorphisms, which is not convergent under this isomorphism. Exactly the same situation happens for  $W_n$ . These effects cause problems in perturbative quantum field theory.

3.2. The Jacobian conjecture in any characteristic. Recall that the Jacobian conjecture in characteristic zero states that any polynomial endomorphism

$$\varphi: K^n \to K^n$$

with constant Jacobian is globally invertible.

A naive attempt to directly transfer this formulation to positive characteristic fails because of the counterexample  $x \mapsto x - x^p$  (p = Char K), whose Jacobian is everywhere 1 but which is evidently not invertible. Approximation provides a way to formulate a suitable generalization of the Jacobian conjecture to any characteristic and put it in a framework of other questions.

**Definition 3.1.** An endomorphism  $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  is *good* if for any m there exist  $\psi_m \in \operatorname{End}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  and  $\phi_m \in \operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  such that

- $\varphi = \psi_m \phi_m$
- $\psi_m(x_i) \equiv x_i \mod (x_1, \dots, x_n)^m$ .

An automorphism  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  is *nice* if for any m there exist  $\psi_m \in \operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  and  $\phi_m \in \operatorname{TAut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  such that

- $\varphi = \psi_m \phi_m$
- $\psi_m(x_i) \equiv x_i \mod (x_1, \dots, x_n)^m$ , i.e.  $\psi_m \in H_m$ .

Anick [1] has shown that if Char(K) = 0, any automorphism is nice. However, this is unclear in positive characteristic.

Question. Is any automorphism over arbitrary field nice?

Ever good automorphism has Jacobian 1, and all such automorphisms are good - and even nice - when Char(K) = 0. This observation allows for the following question to be considered a generalization of the Jacobian conjecture to positive characteristic.

The Jacobian conjecture in any characteristic: Is any good endomorphism over arbitrary field an automorphism?

Similar notions can be formulated for the free associative algebra. That justifies the following

Question. Is any automorphism of free associative algebra over arbitrary field nice?

Question (free associative positive characteristic case of JC). Is any good endomorphism of the free associative algebra over arbitrary field an automorphism?

3.3. Approximation for the automorphism group of affine spaces. Approximation is the most important tool utilized in this paper. In order to perform it, we have to prove that  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1, \dots x_n]))$  preserves the structure of the augmentation subgroup.

The proof technique utilized in theorems below works for commutative associative and free associative case. It is a problem of considerable interest to develop similar statements for automorphisms of other associative algebras, such as the commutative Poisson algebra (for which the Aut functor returns the group of polynomial symplectomorphisms); however, the situation there is typically more difficult.

We establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1, \dots x_n]))$  and let  $H_N \subset \operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1, \dots x_n])$  be the subgroup of automorphisms which are identity modulo the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^N$  (N > 1). Then  $\varphi(H_N) \subseteq H_N$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut}_0(K\langle x_1, \dots x_n \rangle))$  and let  $H_N$  be again the subgroup of automorphisms which are identity modulo the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^N$ . Then  $\varphi(H_N) \subseteq H_N$ .

Corollary 3.4. In both commutative and free associative cases under the assumptions above one has  $\varphi = \operatorname{Id}$ .

**Proof.** Every automorphism can be approximated via the tame ones, i.e. for any  $\psi$  and any N there exists a tame automorphism  $\psi'_N$  such that  $\psi\psi'_N^{-1} \in H_N$ .

So the main point is why  $\varphi(H_N) \subseteq H_N$ .

# Proof of Theorem 3.2.

For t > 0 let

$$\hat{A}(t): \mathbb{A}^n_K \to \mathbb{A}^n_K$$

be a one-parameter family of invertible linear transformations of the affine space preserving the origin. To that corresponds a curve

 $A(t) \subset \operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1, \dots x_n])$  of polynomial automorphisms whose points are linear substitutions. Suppose that, as t tends to zero, the i-th eigenvalue of A(t) also tends to zero as  $t^{k_i}$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such a family will always exist.

Suppose now that the degrees  $\{k_i, i = 1, ... n\}$  of singularity of eigenvalues at zero are such that for every pair (i, j), if  $k_i \neq k_j$ , then there exists a positive integer m such that

either 
$$k_i m \leq k_j$$
 or  $k_j m \leq k_i$ .

The largest such m we will call the order of A(t) at t = 0. As  $k_i$  are all set to be positive integer, the order equals  $\frac{k_{\text{max}}}{k_{\text{min}}}$ .

Let  $M \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1, \dots x_n])$  be a polynomial automorphism.

**Lemma 3.5.** The curve  $A(t)MA(t)^{-1}$  has no singularity at zero for any A(t) of order  $\leq N$  if and only if  $M \in \hat{H}_N$ , where  $\hat{H}_N$  is the subgroup of automorphisms which are homothety modulo the augmentation ideal.

**Proof.** The 'If' part is elementary, for if  $M \in \hat{H}_N$ , the action of  $A(t)MA(t)^{-1}$  upon any generator  $x_i$  (with i fixed)<sup>2</sup> is given by

$$A(t)MA(t)^{-1}(x_i) = \lambda x_i + t^{-k_i} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_n = N} a_{l_1 \dots l_n} t^{k_1 l_1 + \dots + k_n l_n} x_1^{l_1} \dots x_n^{l_n} + S_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the homothety ratio of (the linear part of) M and  $S_i$  is polynomial in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of total degree greater than N. Now, for any choice of  $l_1, \ldots, l_n$  in the sum, the expression

$$k_1 l_1 + \dots + k_n l_n - k_i \ge k_{\min} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i - k_i = k_{\min} N - k_i \ge 0$$

for every i, so whenever t goes to zero, the coefficient will not blow up to infinity. Obviously the same argument applies to higher-degree monomials within  $S_i$ .

The other direction is slightly less elementary; assuming that  $M \notin \hat{H}_N$ , we need to show that there is a curve A(t) such that conjugation of M by it produces a singularity at zero. We distinguish between two cases.

Case 1. The linear part  $\bar{M}$  of M is not a scalar matrix. Then - after a suitable basis change (see the footnote) - it is not a diagonal matrix and has a non-zero entry in the position (i,j). Consider a diagonal matrix A(t) = D(t) such that on all positions on the main diagonal except j-th it has  $t^{k_i}$  and on j-th position it has  $t^{k_j}$ . Then  $D(t)\bar{M}D^{-1}(t)$  has (i,j) entry with the coefficient  $t^{k_i-k_j}$  and if  $k_j > k_i$  it has a singularity at t = 0.

Let also  $k_i < 2k_j$ . Then the non-linear part of M does not produce singularities and cannot compensate the singularity of the linear part.

Case 2. The linear part  $\bar{M}$  of M is a scalar matrix. Then conjugation cannot produce singularities in the linear part and we as before are interested in the smallest non-linear term. Let  $M \in H_N \backslash H_{N+1}$ . Performing a basis change if necessary, we may assume that

$$\varphi(x_1) = \lambda x_1 + \delta x_2^N + S,$$

where S is a sum of monomials of degree  $\geq N$  with coefficients in K.

Let A(t) = D(t) be a diagonal matrix of the form  $(t^{k_1}, t^{k_2}, t^{k_1}, \dots, t^{k_1})$  and let  $(N+1) \cdot k_2 > k_1 > N \cdot k_2$ . Then in  $A^{-1}MA$  the term  $\delta x_2^N$  will be transformed into  $\delta x_2^N t^{Nk_2-k_1}$ , and all other terms are multiplied by  $t^{lk_2+sk_1-k_1}$  with  $(l,s) \neq (1,0)$  and l,s>0. In this case  $lk_2 + sk_1 - k_1 > 0$  and we are done with the proof of Lemma 3.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Without loss of generality we may assume that the coordinate functions  $x_i$  realize the principal axes of  $\hat{A}(t)$ .

The next lemma can be proved by direct computation. Recall that for m > 1, the group  $G_m$  is defined as the group of all tame automorphisms preserving the m-th power of the augmentation ideal.

## Lemma 3.6.

a)  $[G_m, G_m] \subset H_m$ , m > 2. There exist elements

 $\varphi \in H_{m+k-1} \backslash H_{m+k}, \ \psi_1 \in G_k, \ \psi_2 \in G_m, \ such \ that \ \varphi = [\psi_1, \psi_2].$ 

b)  $[H_m, H_k] \subset H_{m+k-1}$ .

c) Let  $\varphi \in G_m \backslash H_m$ ,  $\psi \in H_k \backslash H_{k+1}$ , k > m. Then  $[\varphi, \psi] \in H_k \backslash H_{k+1}$ .

**Proof.** a) Consider elementary automorphisms

$$\psi_1: x_1 \mapsto x_1 + x_2^k, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 2;$$

$$\psi_2: x_1 \mapsto x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2 + x_1^m, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 2.$$

Set 
$$\varphi = [\psi_1, \psi_2] = \psi_1^{-1} \psi_2^{-1} \psi_1 \psi_2$$
.

Then

$$\varphi: x_1 \mapsto x_1 + (x_2 + x_1^m)^k - (x_2 + x_1^m - (x_1 + (x_2 + x_1^m)^k)^m)^k,$$
$$x_2 \mapsto x_2 + x_1^m - (x_1 + (x_2 + x_1^m)^k)^m.$$

It is easy to see that if either k or m is relatively prime with  $\operatorname{Char}(K)$ , then not all terms of degree k+m-1 vanish. Thus  $\varphi \in H_{m+k-1} \backslash H_{m+k}$ .

Now suppose that  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \nmid m$ , then obviously m-1 is relatively prime with  $\operatorname{Char}(K)$ . Consider the mappings

$$\psi_1: x_1 \mapsto x_1 + x_2^k, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 2;$$

$$\psi_2: x_1 \mapsto x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2 + x_1^{m-1}x_3, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 2.$$

Set again  $\varphi' = [\psi_1, \psi_2] = \psi_1^{-1} \psi_2^{-1} \psi_1 \psi_2$ . Then  $\varphi'$  acts as

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + (x_2 + x_3 x_1^{m-1})^k - (x_2 + x_3 x_1^{m-1} - (x_1 + x_3 (x_2 + x_1^m)^k)^{m-1})^k,$$

$$x_2 \mapsto x_2 + x_3 x_1^{m-1} - x_3 (x_1 + (x_2 + x_3 x_1^{m-1})^k)^{m-1} =$$

$$x_2 + (m-1)x_2^k x_1^{m-1} + S,$$

where S stands for a sum of terms of degree  $\geq m+k$ . Again we see that  $\varphi \in H_{m+k-1} \setminus H_{m+k}$ .

b) Let

$$\psi_1: x_i \mapsto x_i + f_i; \ \psi_2: x_i \mapsto x_i + g_i,$$

for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ ; here  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  do not have monomials of degree less than or equal to m and k, respectively. Then, modulo terms of degree  $\geq m+k$ , we have  $\psi_1\psi_2:x_i\mapsto$ 

 $x_i+f_i+g_i+rac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}g_j$ , so that modulo terms of degree  $\geq m+k-1$  we get  $\psi_1\psi_2: x_i\mapsto x_i+f_i+g_i$  and  $\psi_2\psi_1: x_i\mapsto x_i+f_i+g_i$ . Therefore  $[\psi_1,\psi_2]\in H_{m+k-1}$ .

Corollary 3.7. Let 
$$\Psi \in \operatorname{NAut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]))$$
. Then  $\Psi(G_n) = G_n, \ \Psi(H_n) = H_n$ .

Corollary 3.7 together with Proposition 4.3 of the next section imply Theorem 3.2 because every nice automorphism can be approximated by tame ones. Note that in characteristic zero every automorphism is nice.

# 3.4. Lifting of automorphism groups.

3.4.1. Lifting of automorphisms from  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  to  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Any effective action of the n-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $\mathbb{K}\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle$  is linearizable. That is, it is conjugated to the standard one.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

As a consequence of the above theorem, we get

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $T^n$  denote the standard torus action on  $K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ . Let  $\widehat{T}^n$  denote its lifting to an action on the free associative algebra  $K\langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$ . Then  $\widehat{T}^n$  is also given by the standard torus action.

**Proof.** Consider the roots  $\hat{x_i}$  of this action. They are liftings of the coordinates  $x_i$ . We have to prove that they generate the whole associative algebra.

Due to the reducibility of this action, all elements are product of eigenvalues of this action. Hence it is enough to prove that eigenvalues of this action can be presented as a linear combination of this action. This can be done along the lines of Białynicki-Birula [15]. Note that all propositions of the previous section hold for the free associative algebra. Proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar. Hence we have the following

**Theorem 3.10.** Any Ind-scheme automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  for  $n\geq 3$  is inner, i.e. is a conjugation by some automorphism.

We therefore see that the group lifting (in the sense of isomorphism induced by the natural abelianization) implies the analogue of Theorem 3.2.

This also implies that any automorphism group lifting, if exists, satisfies the approximation properties.

# Proposition 3.11. Suppose

$$\Psi: \operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]) \to \operatorname{Aut}(K\langle z_1,\ldots,z_n\rangle)$$

is a group homomorphism such that its composition with the natural projection is the identity map. Then

- (1) After some coordinate change  $\Psi$  provides a correspondence between the standard torus actions  $x_i \mapsto \lambda_i x_i$  and  $z_i \mapsto \lambda_i z_i$ .
- (2) Images of elementary automorphisms

$$x_j \mapsto x_j, \ j \neq i, \ x_i \mapsto x_i + f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_n)$$

are elementary automorphisms of the form

$$z_j \mapsto z_j, \ j \neq i, \ z_i \mapsto z_i + f(z_1, \dots, \widehat{z_i}, \dots, z_n).$$

(Hence image of tame automorphism is tame automorphism).

(3)  $\psi(H_n) = G_n$ . Hence  $\psi$  induces a map between the completion of the groups of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle z_1,\ldots,z_n\rangle)$  with respect to the augmentation subgroup structure.

### Proof of Theorem 1.9

Any automorphism, including the Nagata automorphism, can be approximated by a product of elementary automorphisms with respect to augmentation topology. In the case of the Nagata automorphism corresponding to

$$\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle),$$

all such elementary automorphisms fix all coordinates except  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Because of (2) and (3) of Proposition 3.11, the lifted automorphism would be an automorphism induced by an automorphism of  $K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$  fixing  $x_3$ . However, it is impossible to lift the Nagata automorphism to such an automorphism due to the main result of [8]. Therefore, Theorem 1.9 is proved.

4. Automorphisms of the polynomial algebra and the approach of Bodnarchuk–Kraft–Rips

Let 
$$\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]))$$
 (resp.  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]))$ ,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]))$ ,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]))$ .

4.1. Reduction to the case when  $\Psi$  is identical on  $SL_n$ . We follow [22] and [16] using the classical theorem of Białynicki-Birula [14, 15]:

**Theorem 4.1** (Białynicki-Birula). Any effective action of torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is linearizable. That is, it is conjugated to a standard one.

**Remark.** An effective action of  $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is linearizable [15, 14]. There is a conjecture whether any action of  $\mathbb{T}^{n-2}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is linearizable, established for n=3. For codimension > 2, there are positive-characteristic counterexamples [2].

**Remark.** Kraft and Stampfli [22] proved (by considering periodic elements in  $\mathbb{T}$ ) that an effective action T has the following property: if  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut})$  is a group automorphism, then the image of T (as a subgroup of Aut) under  $\Psi$  is an algebraic group. In fact their proof is also applicable for the free associative algebra case. We are going to use this result.

Returning to the case of automorphisms  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}$  Aut preserving the Ind-group structure, consider now the standard action  $x_i \mapsto \lambda_i x_i$  of the *n*-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T} \leftrightarrow T^n \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  on the affine space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let H be the image of  $T^n$  under  $\varphi$ . Then by Theorem 4.1 H is conjugated to the standard torus  $T^n$  via some automorphism  $\psi$ . Composing  $\varphi$  with this conjugation, we come to the case when  $\varphi$  is the identity on the maximal torus. Then we have the following

Corollary 4.2. Without loss of generality, it is enough to prove Theorem 1.1 for the case when  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathrm{Id}$ .

Now we are in the situation when  $\varphi$  preserves all linear mappings  $x_i \mapsto \lambda_i x_i$ . We have to prove that it is the identity.

**Proposition 4.3** (E. Rips, private communication). Let n > 2 and let  $\varphi$  preserve the standard torus action on the commutative polynomial algebra. Then  $\varphi$  preserves all elementary transformations.

Corollary 4.4. Let  $\varphi$  satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.3. Then  $\varphi$  preserves all tame automorphisms.

**Proof of Proposition 4.3.** We state a few elementary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.5.** Consider the diagonal action  $T^1 \subset T^n$  given by automorphisms:  $\alpha : x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i$ ,  $\beta : x_i \mapsto \beta_i x_i$ . Let  $\psi : x_i \mapsto \sum_{i,J} a_{iJ} x^J$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , where  $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n)$  is the multi-index,  $x^J = x^{j_1} \cdots x^{j_n}$ . Then

$$\alpha \circ \psi \circ \beta : x_i \mapsto \sum_{i,J} \alpha_i a_{iJ} x^J \beta^J,$$

In particular,

$$\alpha \circ \psi \circ \alpha^{-1} : x_i \mapsto \sum_{i,J} \alpha_i a_{iJ} x^J \alpha^{-J}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.5 and comparing the coefficients we get the following

**Lemma 4.6.** Consider the diagonal  $T^1$  action:  $x_i \mapsto \lambda x_i$ . Then the set of automorphisms commuting with this action is exactly the set of linear automorphisms.

Similarly (using Lemma 4.5) we obtain Lemmas 4.7, 4.9, 4.10:

**Lemma 4.7.** a) Consider the following  $T^2$  action:

$$x_1 \mapsto \lambda \delta x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto \lambda x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto \delta x_3, \ x_i \mapsto \lambda x_i, \ i > 3.$$

Then the set S of automorphisms commuting with this action is generated by the following automorphisms:

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta x_2 x_3, \ x_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i x_i, \ i > 1, \ (\beta, \varepsilon_i \in K).$$

b) Consider the following  $T^{n-1}$  action:

$$x_1 \mapsto \lambda^I x_1, \ x_j \mapsto \lambda_j x_j, \ j > 1 \ (\lambda^I = \lambda_2^{i_2} \dots \lambda_n^{i_n}).$$

Then the set S of automorphisms commuting with this action is generated by the following automorphisms:

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta \prod_{j=2}^n x_j^{i_j}, \ (\beta \in K).$$

**Remark.** A similar statement for the free associative case is true, but one has to consider the set  $\hat{S}$  of automorphisms  $x_1 \mapsto x_1 + h$ ,  $x_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i x_i$ , i > 1, ( $\varepsilon \in K$ , and the polynomial  $h \in K\langle x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$  has total degree J - in the free associative case it is not just monomial anymore).

Corollary 4.8. Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]))$  stabilizing all elements from  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then  $\varphi(S) = S$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** Consider the following  $T^1$  action:

$$x_1 \mapsto \lambda^2 x_1, \ x_i \mapsto \lambda x_i, \ i > 1.$$

Then the set S of automorphisms commuting with this action is generated by the following automorphisms:

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta x_2^2, \ x_i \mapsto \lambda_i x_i, \ i > 2, \ (\beta, \lambda_i \in K).$$

**Lemma 4.10.** Consider the set S defined in the previous lemma. Then  $[S,S] = \{uvu^{-1}v^{-1}\}$  consists of the following automorphisms

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta x_2 x_3, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto x_3, \ (\beta \in K).$$

**Lemma 4.11.** Let  $n \geq 3$ . Consider the following set of automorphisms

$$\psi_i: x_i \mapsto x_i + \beta_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2}, \ \beta_i \neq 0, \ x_k \mapsto x_k, \ k \neq i$$

for i = 1, ..., n - 1. (Numeration is cyclic, so for example  $x_{n+1} = x_1$ ). Let  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all i. Then all of  $\psi_i$  can be simultaneously conjugated by a torus action to

$$\psi_i': x_i \mapsto x_i + x_{i+1}x_{i+2}, \ x_k \mapsto x_k, \ k \neq i$$

for i = 1, ..., n in a unique way.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha: x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i$ . Then by Lemma 4.5 we obtain

$$\alpha \circ \psi_i \circ \alpha^{-1} : x_i \mapsto x_i + \beta_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} \alpha_{i+2}^{-1} \alpha_i$$

and

$$\alpha \circ \psi_i \circ \alpha^{-1} : x_k \mapsto x_k$$

for  $k \neq i$ .

Comparing the coefficients of the quadratic terms, we see that it is sufficient to solve the system:

$$\beta_i \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} \alpha_{i+2}^{-1} \alpha_i = 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

As  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all i, this system has a unique solution.

**Remark.** In the free associative algebra case, instead of  $\beta x_2 x_3$  one has to consider  $\beta x_2 x_3 + \gamma x_3 x_2$ .

# 4.2. The lemma of Rips.

**Lemma 4.12** (E. Rips). Let  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \neq 2$ ,  $|K| = \infty$ . Linear transformations and  $\psi'_i$  defined in Lemma 4.11 generate the whole tame automorphism group of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

Proposition 4.3 follows from Lemmas 4.6, 4.7, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12. Note that we have proved an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for tame automorphisms.

**Proof of Lemma 4.12.** Let G be the group generated by elementary transformations as in Lemma 4.11. We have to prove that is isomorphic to the tame automorphism subgroup fixing the augmentation ideal. We are going to need some preliminaries.

**Lemma 4.13.** Linear transformations of  $K^3$  and

$$\psi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy$$

generate all mappings of the form

$$\phi_m^b(x,y,z): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + bx^m, \ b \in K.$$

**Proof of Lemma 4.13.** We proceed by induction. Suppose we have an automorphism

$$\phi_{m-1}^b(x,y,z): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + bx^{m-1}.$$

Conjugating by the linear transformation  $(z \mapsto y, y \mapsto z, x \mapsto x)$ , we obtain the automorphism

$$\phi_{m-1}^b(x,z,y): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + bx^{m-1}, \ z \mapsto z.$$

Composing this on the right by  $\psi$ , we get the automorphism

$$\varphi(x,y,z): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + bx^{m-1}, \ z \mapsto z + yx + x^m.$$

Note that

$$\phi_{m-1}(x,y,z)^{-1} \circ \varphi(x,y,z) : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy + bx^m.$$

Now we see that

$$\psi^{-1}\phi_{m-1}(x,y,z)^{-1}\circ\varphi(x,y,z)=\phi_{m}^{b}$$

and the lemma is proved.

**Corollary 4.14.** Let  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \nmid n$  (in particular,  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \neq 0$ ) and  $|K| = \infty$ . Then G contains all the transformations

$$z \mapsto z + bx^k y^l, \ y \mapsto y, \ x \mapsto x$$

such that k + l = n.

**Proof.** For any invertible linear transformation

$$\varphi: x \mapsto a_{11}x + a_{12}y, \ y \mapsto a_{21}x + a_{22}y, \ z \mapsto z; a_{ij} \in K$$

we have

$$\varphi^{-1}\phi_m^b\varphi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + b(a_{11}x + a_{12}y)^m.$$

Note that sums of such expressions contain all the terms of the form  $bx^ky^l$ . The corollary is proved.

# 4.3. Generators of the tame automorphism group.

**Theorem 4.15.** If  $Char(K) \neq 2$  and  $|K| = \infty$ , then linear transformations and

$$\psi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy$$

generate all mappings of the form

$$\alpha_m^b(x,y,z): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + byx^m, \ b \in K.$$

Proof of theorem 4.15. Observe that

$$\alpha = \beta \circ \phi_m^b(x, z, y) : x \mapsto x + by^m, \ y \mapsto y + x + by^m, \ z \mapsto z,$$

where  $\beta: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto x + y, \ z \mapsto z$ . Then

$$\gamma = \alpha^{-1} \psi \alpha : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy + 2bxy^m + by^{2m}.$$

Composing with  $\psi^{-1}$  and  $\phi_{2m}^{2b}$  we get the desired

$$\alpha_m^{2b}(x,y,z): x\mapsto x,\ y\mapsto y,\ z\mapsto z+2byx^m,\ b\in K.$$

**Corollary 4.16.** Let  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \nmid n$  and  $|K| = \infty$ . Then G contains all transformations of the form

$$z \mapsto z + bx^k y^l, \ y \mapsto y, \ x \mapsto x$$

such that k = n + 1.

The **proof** is similar to the proof of Corollary 4.14. Note that either n or n+1 is not a multiple of Char(K) so we have

**Lemma 4.17.** If  $Char(K) \neq 2$  then linear transformations and

$$\psi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy$$

generate all mappings of the form

$$\alpha_P: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + P(x,y), \ P(x,y) \in K[x,y].$$

We have proved Lemma 4.12 for the three variable case. In order to treat the case  $n \ge 4$  we need one more lemma.

**Lemma 4.18.** Let  $M(\vec{x}) = a \prod x_i^{k_i}$ ,  $a \in K$ ,  $|K| = \infty$ ,  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \nmid k_i$  for at least one of  $k_i$ 's. Consider the linear transformations denoted by

$$f: x_i \mapsto y_i = \sum a_{ij}x_j, \ \det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$$

and monomials  $M_f = M(\vec{y})$ . Then the linear span of  $M_f$  for different f's contains all homogenous polynomials of degree  $k = \sum k_i$  in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

**Proof.** It is a direct consequence of the following fact. Let S be a homogenous subspace of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  invariant with respect to  $GL_n$  of degree m. Then  $S = S_{m/p^k}^{p^k}$ , p = Char(K),  $S_l$  is the space of all polynomials of degree l.

Lemma 4.12 follows from Lemma 4.18 in a similar way as in the proofs of Corollaries 4.14 and 4.16.

4.4. Aut(TAut) for general case. Now we consider the case when  $\operatorname{Char}(K)$  is arbitrary, i.e. the remaining case  $\operatorname{Char}(K) = 2$ . Still  $|K| = \infty$ . Although we are unable to prove the analogue of Proposition 4.3, we can still play on the relations.

Let

$$M = a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{k_i}$$

be a monomial,  $a \in K$ . For polynomial  $P(x,y) \in K[x,y]$  we define the elementary automorphism

$$\psi_P: x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1, \ x_n \mapsto x_n + P(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

We have  $P = \sum M_j$  and  $\psi_P$  naturally decomposes as a product of commuting  $\psi_{M_j}$ . Let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}(K[x,y,z]))$  stabilizing linear mappings and  $\phi$  (Automorphism  $\phi$  defined in Lemma 4.13). Then according to the corollary 4.8  $\Psi(\psi_P) = \prod \Psi(\psi_{M_j})$ . If  $M = ax^n$  then due to Lemma 4.13

$$\Psi(\psi_M) = \psi_M$$
.

We have to prove the same for other type of monomials:

Lemma 4.19. Let M be a monomial. Then

$$\Psi(\psi_M) = \psi_M$$
.

**Proof.** Let  $M = a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^{k_i}$ . Consider the automorphism

$$\alpha: x_i \mapsto x_i + x_1, \ i = 2, \dots, n-1; \ x_1 \mapsto x_1, \ x_n \mapsto x_n.$$

Then

$$\alpha^{-1}\psi_M\alpha = \psi_{x_1^{k_1} \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (x_i + x_1)^{k_i}} = \psi_Q \psi_{ax_1^{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} k_i}}.$$

Here the polynomial

$$Q = x_1^{k_1} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (x_i + x_1)^{k_i} - a x_1^{\sum k_i} \right).$$

It has the following form

$$Q = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} N_i,$$

where  $N_i$  are monomials such that none of them is proportional to a power of  $x_1$ .

According to Corollary 4.8,  $\Psi(\psi_M) = \psi_{bM}$  for some  $b \in K$ . We need only to prove that b = 1. Suppose the contrary,  $b \neq 1$ . Then

$$\Psi(\alpha^{-1}\psi_{M}\alpha) = \left(\prod_{[N_{i},x_{1}]\neq 0} \Psi(\psi_{N_{i}})\right) \circ \Psi(\psi_{ax_{1}^{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}k_{i}}}) = \left(\prod_{[N_{i},x_{1}]\neq 0} \psi_{b_{i}N_{i}}\right) \circ \psi_{ax_{1}^{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}k_{i}}}$$

for some  $b_i \in K$ .

On the other hand

$$\Psi(\alpha^{-1}\psi_M\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}\Psi(\psi_M)\alpha = \alpha^{-1}\psi_{bM}\alpha = \left(\prod_{[N_i, x_1] \neq 0} \psi_{bN_i}\right) \circ \psi_{ax_1^{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} k_i}}$$

Comparing the factors  $\psi_{ax_1^{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}k_i}}$  and  $\psi_{ax_1^{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1}k_i}}$  in the last two products we get b=1. Lemma 4.19 and hence Proposition 4.3 are proved.

5. The approach of Bodnarchuk-Kraft-Rips to automorphisms of

$$\operatorname{TAut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle) \ (n>2)$$

Now consider the free associative case. We treat the case n > 3 on group-theoretic level and the case n = 3 on Ind-scheme level. Note that if n = 2 then  $\operatorname{Aut}_0(K[x,y]) = \operatorname{TAut}_0(K[x,y]) \simeq \operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x,y\rangle) = \operatorname{Aut}_0(K\langle x,y\rangle)$  and description of automorphism group of such objects is known due to J. Déserti.

## 5.1. The automorphisms of the tame automorphism

group of  $K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle$ ,  $n\geq 4$ .

**Proposition 5.1** (E. Rips, private communication). Let n > 3 and let  $\varphi$  preserve the standard torus action on the free associative algebra  $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ . Then  $\varphi$  preserves all elementary transformations.

Corollary 5.2. Let  $\varphi$  satisfy the conditions of the proposition 5.1. Then  $\varphi$  preserves all tame automorphisms.

For free associative algebras, we note that any automorphism preserving the torus action preserves also the symmetric

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta(x_2x_3 + x_3x_2), \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 1$$

and the skew symmetric

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta(x_2x_3 - x_3x_2), \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 1$$

elementary automorphisms. The first property follows from Lemma 4.9. The second one follows from the fact that skew symmetric automorphisms commute with automorphisms of the following type

$$x_2 \mapsto x_2 + x_3^2, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i \neq 2$$

and this property distinguishes them from elementary automorphisms of the form

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + \beta x_2 x_3 + \gamma x_3 x_2, \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i > 1.$$

Theorem 1.2 follows from the fact that the forms  $\beta x_2 x_3 + \gamma x_3 x_2$  corresponding to general bilinear multiplication

$$*_{\beta,\gamma}: (x_2, x_3) \mapsto \beta x_2 x_3 + \gamma x_3 x_2$$

lead to associative multiplication if and only if  $\beta = 0$  or  $\gamma = 0$ ; the approximation also applies (see section 3.3).

Suppose at first that n = 4 and we are dealing with  $K\langle x, y, z, t \rangle$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** The group G containing all linear transformations and mappings

$$x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + xy, t \mapsto t$$

contains also all transformations of the form

$$x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + P(x, y), t \mapsto t.$$

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that G contains all transformations of the following form

$$x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + aM, t \mapsto t, a \in K$$

where M is a monomial.

Step 1. Let

$$M = a \prod_{i=1}^{m} x^{k_i} y^{l_i}$$
 or  $M = a \prod_{i=1}^{m} y^{l_0} x^{k_i} y^{l_i}$ 

or

$$M = a \prod_{i=1}^{m} x^{k_i} y^{l_i}$$
 or  $M = a \prod_{i=1}^{m} x^{k_i} y^{l_i} x^{k_{m+1}}$ .

Define the height of M, H(M), to be the number of segments comprised of a specific generator - such as  $x^k$  - in the word M. (For instance,  $H(a \prod_{i=1}^m x^{k_i} y^{l_i} x^{k_{m+1}}) = 2m+1$ .) Using induction on H(M), one can reduce to the case when  $M = yx^k$ . Let  $M = M'x^k$  such that H(M') < H(M). (Case when  $M = M'y^l$  is obviously similar.) Let

$$\phi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + M', \ t \mapsto t.$$

$$\alpha: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + zx^k.$$

Then

$$\phi^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \phi : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + M + zx^k.$$

The automorphism  $\phi^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \phi$  is the composition of automorphisms

$$\beta: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + M$$

and

$$\gamma: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + zx^k.$$

Observe that  $\beta$  is conjugate to the automorphism

$$\beta': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + M, \ t \mapsto t$$

by a linear automorphism

$$x\mapsto x,\; y\mapsto y,\; z\mapsto t,\; t\mapsto z.$$

Similarly,  $\gamma$  is conjugate to the automorphism

$$\gamma': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + yx^k, \ t \mapsto t.$$

We have thus reduced to the case when  $M = x^k$  or  $M = yx^k$ .

Step 2. Consider automorphisms

$$\alpha: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + x^k, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t$$

and

$$\beta: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + azy.$$

Then

$$\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta \circ \alpha : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + azx^k + azy.$$

It is a composition of the automorphism

$$\gamma: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + azx^k$$

which is conjugate to the needed automorphism

$$\gamma': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + yx^k, \ t \mapsto t$$

and an automorphism

$$\delta: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + azy,$$

which is conjugate to the automorphism

$$\delta': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + axy, \ t \mapsto t$$

and then to the automorphism

$$\delta'': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy, \ t \mapsto t$$

(using similarities). We have reduced the problem to proving the statement

$$G \ni \psi_M, M = x^k$$

for all k.

**Step 3.** Obtain the automorphism

$$x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + x^n, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t.$$

This problem is similar to the commutative case of  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  (cf. Section 4).

Proposition 5.3 is proved.

Returning to the general case  $n \geq 4$ , let us formulate the remark made after Lemma 4.7 as follows:

**Lemma 5.4.** Consider the following  $T^{n-1}$  action:

$$x_1 \mapsto \lambda^I x_1, \ x_j \mapsto \lambda_j x_j, \ j > 1; \ \lambda^I = \lambda_2^{i_2} \dots \lambda_n^{i_n}.$$

Then the set S of automorphisms commuting with this action is generated by the following automorphisms:

$$x_1 \mapsto x_1 + H, \ x_i \mapsto x_i; \ i > 1,$$

where H is any homogenous polynomial of total degree  $i_2 + \cdots + i_n$ .

Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 imply

Corollary 5.5. Let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle))$  stabilize all elements of torus and linear automorphisms,

$$\phi_P: x_n \mapsto x_n + P(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Let  $P = \sum_{I} P_{I}$ , where  $P_{I}$  is the homogenous component of P of multi-degree I. Then

a) 
$$\Psi(\phi_P): x_n \mapsto x_n + P^{\Psi}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

- b)  $P^{\Psi} = \sum_{I} P_{I}^{\Psi}$ ; here  $P_{I}^{\Psi}$  is homogenous of multi-degree I.
- c) If I has positive degree with respect to one or two variables, then  $P_I^{\Psi} = P_I$ .

Let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle))$  stabilize all elements of torus and linear automorphisms,

$$\phi: x_n \mapsto x_n + P(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Let  $\varphi_Q: x_1 \mapsto x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_i \mapsto x_i + Q_i(x_1, x_2), \ i = 3, \dots, n-1, \ x_n \mapsto x_n;$  $Q = (Q_3, \dots, Q_{n-1}).$  Then  $\Psi(\varphi_Q) = \varphi_Q$  by Proposition 5.3.

**Lemma 5.6.** a)  $\varphi_Q^{-1} \circ \phi_P \circ \varphi_Q = \phi_{P_Q}$ , where

$$P_Q(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = P(x_1,x_2,x_3+Q_3(x_1,x_2),\ldots,x_{n-1}+Q_{n-1}(x_1,x_2)).$$

b) Let  $P_Q = P_Q^{(1)} + P_Q^{(2)}$ ,  $P_Q^{(1)}$  consist of all terms containing one of the variables  $x_3, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ , and let  $P_Q^{(1)}$  consist of all terms containing just  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then

$$P_Q^\Psi = P_Q^\Psi = P_Q^{(1)\Psi} + P_Q^{(2)\Psi} = P_Q^{(1)\Psi} + P_Q^{(2)}$$

.

**Lemma 5.7.** If  $P_Q^{(2)} = R_Q^{(2)}$  for all Q then P = R.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that if  $P \neq 0$  then  $P_Q^{(2)} \neq 0$  for appropriate  $Q = (Q_3, \ldots, Q_{n-1})$ . Let  $m = \deg(P)$ ,  $Q_i = x_1^{2^{i+1}m} x_2^{2^{i+1}m}$ . Let  $\hat{P}$  be the highest-degree component of P, then  $\hat{P}(x_1, x_2, Q_3, \ldots, Q_{n-1})$  is the highest-degree component of  $P_Q^{(2)}$ . It is enough to prove that

$$\hat{P}(x_1, x_2, Q_3, \dots, Q_{n-1}) \neq 0.$$

Let  $x_1 \prec x_2 \prec x_2 \prec \cdots \prec x_{n-1}$  be the standard lexicographic order. Consider the lexicographically minimal term M of  $\hat{P}$ . It is easy to see that the term

$$M|_{Q_i\mapsto x_i}, i=3, n-1$$

cannot cancel with any other term

$$N|_{O_i \mapsto x_i}, i = 3, n-1$$

of  $\hat{P}(x_1, x_2, Q_3, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ . Therefore  $\hat{P}(x_1, x_2, Q_3, \dots, Q_{n-1}) \neq 0$ . Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7 imply

Corollary 5.8. Let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle))$  stabilize all elements of torus and linear automorphisms. Then  $P^{\Psi} = P$ , and  $\Psi$  stabilizes all elementary automorphisms and therefore the entire group  $\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$ .

We obtain the following

**Proposition 5.9.** Let  $n \geq 4$  and let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle))$  stabilize all elements of torus and linear automorphisms. Then either  $\Psi = \operatorname{Id}$  or  $\Psi$  acts as conjugation by the mirror anti-automorphism.

Let  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle))$  stabilize all elements of torus and linear automorphisms. Denote by EL an elementary automorphism

$$EL: x_1 \mapsto x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \mapsto x_{n-1}, x_n \mapsto x_n + x_1 x_2$$

(all other elementary automorphisms of this form, i.e.  $x_k \mapsto x_k + x_i x_j$ ,  $x_l \mapsto x_l$  for  $l \neq k$  and  $k \neq i$ ,  $k \neq j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , are conjugate to one another by permutations of generators).

We have to prove that  $\Psi(EL) = EL$  or  $\Psi(EL) : x_i \mapsto x_i; i = 1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n \mapsto x_n + x_2x_1$ . The latter corresponds to  $\Psi$  being the conjugation with the mirror anti-automorphism of  $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ .

Define for some  $a, b \in K$ 

$$x *_{a,b} y = axy + byx.$$

Then, in any of the above two cases,

$$\Psi(EL): x_i \mapsto x_i; \ i = 1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \ x_n \mapsto x_n + x_1 *_{a,b} x_2$$

for some a, b.

The following lemma is elementary:

**Lemma 5.10.** The operation  $* = *_{a,b}$  is associative if and only if ab = 0.

The associator of x, y, and z is given by

$${x, y, z}_* \equiv (x * y) * z - x * (y * z) =$$
  
 $ab(zx - xz)y + aby(xz - zx) = ab[y, [x, z]].$ 

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 5.9. For simplicity we treat only the case n=4 – the general case is dealt with analogously. Consider the automorphisms

$$\alpha: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy, \ t \mapsto t,$$
 
$$\beta: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + xz,$$
 
$$h: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t - xz.$$

(Manifestly  $h = \beta^{-1}$ .) Then

$$\gamma = h\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha = [\beta,\alpha]: x\mapsto x,\ y\mapsto y,\ z\mapsto z,\ t\mapsto t-x^2y.$$

Note that  $\alpha$  is conjugate to  $\beta$  via a generator permutation

$$\kappa: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto z, \ z \mapsto t, \ t \mapsto y, \ \kappa \circ \alpha \circ \kappa^{-1} = \beta$$

and

$$\Psi(\gamma): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t - x * (x * y).$$

Let

$$\delta: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + x^2, \ t \mapsto t,$$

$$\epsilon: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t + zy.$$

Let  $\gamma' = \epsilon^{-1} \delta^{-1} \epsilon \delta$ . Then

$$\gamma': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t - x^2y.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\varepsilon = \Psi(\epsilon^{-1}\delta^{-1}\epsilon\delta) : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z, \ t \mapsto t - (x^2) * y.$$

We also have  $\gamma = \gamma'$ . Equality  $\Psi(\gamma) = \Psi(\gamma')$  is equivalent to the equality  $x*(x*y) = x^2*y$ . This implies x\*y = xy and we are done.

5.2. The group  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{TAut}(K\langle x,y,z\rangle))$ . This is the most technically loaded part of the present study. At the moment we are unable to accomplish the objective of describing the entire group  $\operatorname{Aut}\operatorname{TAut}(K\langle x,y,z\rangle)$ . In this section we will determine only its subgroup  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x,y,z\rangle)$ , i.e. the group of Ind-scheme automorphisms, and prove Theorem 1.8. We use the approximation results of Section 3.3. In what follows we suppose that  $\operatorname{Char}(K) \neq 2$ . As in the preceding chapter,  $\{x,y,z\}_*$  denotes the associator of x,y,z with respect to a fixed binary linear operation \*, i.e.

$$\{x, y, z\}_* = (x * y) * z - x * (y * z).$$

**Proposition 5.11.** Let  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{TAut}_0(K\langle x,y,z\rangle))$  stabilize all linear automorphisms. Let

$$\phi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy.$$

Then either

$$\Psi(\phi): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + axy$$

or

$$\Psi(\phi): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + byx$$

for some  $a, b \in K$ .

**Proof.** Consider the automorphism

$$\phi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy.$$

Then

$$\Psi(\phi): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + x * y,$$

where x \* y = axy + byx. Let  $a \neq 0$ . We can make the star product  $* = *_{a,b}$  into  $x * y = xy + \lambda yx$  by conjugation with the mirror anti-automorphism and appropriate linear substitution. We therefore need to prove that  $\lambda = 0$ , which implies  $\Psi(\phi) = \phi$ .

The following two lemmas are proved by straightforward computation.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let 
$$A = K\langle x, y, z \rangle$$
. Let  $f * g = fg + \lambda fg$ . Then  $\{f, g, h\}_* = \lambda [g, [f, h]]$ . In particular  $\{f, g, f\}_* = 0$ ,  $f * (f * g) - (f * f) * g = -\{f, f, g\}_* = \lambda [f, [f, g]]$ ,  $(g * f) * f - g * (f * f) = \{g, f, f\}_* = \lambda [f, [f, g]]$ .

**Lemma 5.13.** Let  $\varphi_1: x \mapsto x + yz, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z; \ \varphi_2: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + yx;$   $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1} \varphi_1^{-1} \varphi_2 \varphi_1$ . Then modulo terms of order  $\geq 4$  we have:

$$\varphi: x \mapsto x - y^2 x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + y^2 z$$

and

$$\Psi(\varphi): x \mapsto x - y * (y * x), \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + y * (y * z).$$

**Lemma 5.14.** a) Let  $\phi_l: x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + y^2x$ . Then

$$\Psi(\phi_l): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + y * (y * x).$$

b) Let  $\phi_r: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy^2$ . Then

$$\Psi(\phi_r): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + (x * y) * y.$$

**Proof.** According to the results of the previous section we have

$$\Psi(\phi_l): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + P(y, x)$$

where P(y,x) is homogenous of degree 2 with respect to y and degree 1 with respect to x. We have to prove that H(y,x) = P(y,x) - y \* (y \* x) = 0.

Let  $\tau: x \mapsto z, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto x; \ \tau = \tau^{-1}, \ \phi' = \tau \phi_l \tau^{-1}: x \mapsto x + y^2 z, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z.$ Then  $\Psi(\phi'_l): x \mapsto x + P(y, z), \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z.$ 

Let  $\phi_l'' = \phi_l \phi_l' : x \mapsto x + P(y, z), \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + P(y, x)$  modulo terms of degree  $\geq 4$ .

Let  $\tau: x \mapsto x - z$ ,  $y \mapsto y$ ,  $z \mapsto z$  and let  $\varphi_2$ ,  $\varphi$  be the automorphisms described in Lemma 5.13.

Then

$$T = \tau^{-1}\phi_l^{-1}\tau\phi_l'': x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z$$

modulo terms of order  $\geq 4$ .

On the other hand

$$\Psi(T): x \mapsto x + H(y,z) - H(y,x), \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + P$$

modulo terms of order  $\geq 4$ . Because  $\deg_y(H(y,x)=2,\ \deg_x(H(y,x))=1$  we get H=0. Proof of b) is similar.

# **Lemma 5.15.** *a) Let*

$$\psi_1: x \mapsto x + y^2, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z; \ \psi_2: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + x^2.$$

Then

$$[\psi_1, \psi_2] = \psi_2^{-1} \psi_1^{-1} \psi_2 \psi_1 : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + y^2 x + x y^2,$$
$$\Psi([\psi_1, \psi_2]) : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + (y * y) * x + x * (y * y).$$

*b*)

$$\phi_l^{-1}\phi_r^{-1}[\psi_1, \psi_2] : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z$$

modulo terms of order  $\geq 4$  but

$$\Psi\left(\phi_{l}^{-1}\phi_{r}^{-1}[\psi_{1},\psi_{2}]\right): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y,$$

$$z \mapsto z + (y * y) * x + x * (y * y) - (x * y) * y - y * (y * x) =$$

$$= z + 4\lambda[x[x,y]]$$

modulo terms of order  $\geq 4$ .

**Proof.** a) can be obtained by direct computation. b) follows from a) and the lemma 5.12.

Proposition 5.11 follows from Lemma 5.15.

We need a few auxiliary lemmas. The first one is an analogue of the hiking procedure from [21, 3].

**Lemma 5.16.** Let K be algebraically closed, and let  $n_1, \ldots, n_m$  be positive integers. Then there exist  $k_1, \ldots, k_s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in K$  such that

- $\sum k_i = 1 \mod \operatorname{char}(K)$  (if  $\operatorname{Char}(K) = 0 \operatorname{then} \sum k_i = 1$ ).
- $\sum_{i} k_i^{n_j} \lambda_i = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

For  $\lambda \in K$  we define an automorphism  $\psi_{\lambda} : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto \lambda z$ .

The next lemma provides for some translation between the language of polynomials and the group action language. It is similar to the hiking process [3, 21].

**Lemma 5.17.** Let  $\varphi \in K\langle x, y, z \rangle$ . Let  $\varphi(x) = x$ ,  $\varphi(y) = y + \sum_i R_i + R'$ ,  $\varphi(z) = z + Q$ . Let  $\deg(R_i) = N$ , let also the degree of all monomials in R' be greater than N, and let the degree of all monomials in Q be greater than or equal to N. Finally, assume  $\deg_z(R_i) = i$  and the z-degree of all monomials of  $R_1$  greater than 0.

Then

a)  $\psi_{\lambda}^{-1}\varphi\psi_{\lambda}: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + \sum_{i}\lambda^{i}R_{i} + R'', \ z \mapsto z + Q'.$  Also the total degree of all monomials comprising R' is greater than N, and the degree of all monomials of Q is greater than or equal to N.

b) Let 
$$\phi = \prod \left( \psi_{\lambda_i^{-1}} \varphi \psi_{\lambda_i} \right)^{k_i}$$
. Then

$$\phi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + \sum_{i} R_i \lambda_i^{k_i} + S, \ z \mapsto z + T$$

where the degree of all monomials of S is greater than N and the degree of all monomials of T is greater than or equal to N.

**Proof.** a) By direct computation. b) is a consequence of a).

**Remark.** In the case of characteristic zero, the condition of K being algebraically closed can be dropped. After hiking for several steps, we need to prove just

**Lemma 5.18.** Let  $\operatorname{Char}(K) = 0$ , let n be a positive integer. Then there exist  $k_1, \ldots, k_s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in K$  such that

- $\sum k_i = 1$ .
- $\sum_{i} k_i^n \lambda_i = 0$ .

Using this lemma we can cancel out all terms in the product in the Lemma 5.17 except for the constant one. The proof of Lemma 5.18 for any field of zero characteristic can be obtained through the following observation:

#### Lemma 5.19.

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right)^{n} - \sum_{j} \left(\lambda_{1} + \dots + \widehat{\lambda_{i}} + \dots + \lambda_{n}\right)^{n} + \dots + \\ + (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{i_{1} < \dots < i_{k}} (x_{i_{1}} + \dots + x_{i_{k}})^{n} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} (x_{1}^{n} + \dots + x_{n}^{n}) = n! \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$

and if m < n then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right)^{m} - \sum_{j} \left(\lambda_{1} + \dots + \widehat{\lambda_{i}} + \dots + \lambda_{n}\right)^{m} + \dots +$$

$$+ (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{i_{1} < \dots < i_{k}} (x_{i_{1}} + \dots + x_{i_{k}})^{m} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} (x_{1}^{m} + \dots + x_{n}^{m}) = 0.$$

The lemma 5.19 allows us to replace the *n*-th powers by product of different constants, after that the statement of Lemma 5.18 becomes transparent.

**Lemma 5.20.** Let  $\varphi: x \mapsto x + R_1$ ,  $y \mapsto y + R_2$ ,  $z \mapsto z'$ , such that the total degree of all monomials in  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  is greater than or equal to N. Then for  $\Psi(\varphi): x \mapsto x + R'_1$ ,  $y \mapsto y + R'_2$ ,  $z \mapsto z''$  with the total degree of all monomials in  $R'_1, R'_2$  also greater than or equal to N.

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Lemmas 5.20, 5.17, 5.16 imply the following statement.

**Lemma 5.21.** Let  $\varphi_j \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(K\langle x, y, z \rangle), \ j = 1, 2, \ such \ that$ 

$$\varphi_j(x) = x, \ \varphi_j(y) = y + \sum_i R_i^j + R_j', \ \varphi_j(z) = z + Q_j.$$

Let  $\deg(R_i^j) = N$ , and suppose that the degree of all monomials in  $R_j'$  is greater than N, while the degree of all monomials in Q is greater than or equal to N;  $\deg_z(R_i) = i$ , and the z-degree of all monomials in  $R_1$  is positive. Let  $R_0^1 = 0$ ,  $R_0^2 \neq 0$ .

Then  $\Psi(\varphi_1) \neq \varphi_2$ .

Consider the automorphism

$$\phi: x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + P(x, y).$$

Let  $\Psi \in \mathrm{TAut}_0(k\langle x,y,z\rangle)$  stabilize the standard torus action pointwise. Then

$$\Psi(\phi): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + Q(x, y).$$

We denote

$$\bar{\Psi}(P) = Q.$$

Our goal is to prove that  $\bar{\Psi}(P) = P$  for all P if  $\Psi$  stabilizes all linear automorphisms and  $\bar{\Psi}(xy) = xy$ .

### Lemma 5.22.

$$\bar{\Psi}(x^k y^l) = x^k y^l.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$\phi: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + x^k y^l,$$

$$\varphi_1: x \mapsto x + y^l, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z,$$

$$\varphi_2: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + x^k, \ z \mapsto z,$$

$$\varphi_3: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + xy,$$

$$h: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z - x^{k+1}.$$

Then

$$g = h\varphi_3^{-1}\varphi_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{-1}\varphi_3\varphi_1\varphi_2 : x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + x^k \cdot y^l + N.$$

Here N is a sum of terms of total degree greater than k+l. It means that  $g=\phi\circ L$ ,  $L\in H_{k+l+1}$ . We will use Theorem 3.2. Applying  $\Psi$  yields the result because  $\Psi(\varphi_i)=\varphi_i,\ i=1,2,3$  and  $\varphi(H_n)\subseteq H_n$  for all n. The lemma is proved.

Let

$$M_{k_1,\ldots,k_s} = x^{k_1} y^{k_2} \cdots y^{k_s}$$

for even s and

$$M_{k_1,\ldots,k_s} = x^{k_1} y^{k_2} \cdots x^{k_s}$$

for odd  $s, k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$ . Then

$$M_{k_1,\dots,k_s} = M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}} y^{k_s}$$

for even s and

$$M_{k_1,...,k_s} = M_{k_1,...,k_{s-1}} x^{k_s}$$

for odd s.

We have to prove that  $\bar{\Psi}(M_{k_1,\dots,k_s})=M_{k_1,\dots,k_s}$ . By induction we may assume that  $\bar{\Psi}(M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}})=M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}}$ .

For any monomial M = M(x, y) we define an automorphism

$$\varphi_M: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + M.$$

We also define the automorphisms

$$\phi_k^e: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + zx^k, \ z \mapsto z$$

and

$$\phi_k^o: x \mapsto x + zy^k, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z.$$

We will present the case of even s - the odd case is similar.

Let  $D^e_{zx^k}$  be a derivation of  $K\langle x,y,z\rangle$  such that  $D^e_{zx^k}(x)=0$ ,  $D^e_{zx^k}(y)=zx^k$ ,  $D^e_{zx^k}(z)=0$ . Similarly, let  $D^o_{zy^k}$  be a derivation of  $K\langle x,y,z\rangle$  such that  $D^o_{zy^k}(y)=0$ ,  $D^o_{zx^k}(x)=zy^k$ ,  $D_{zy^k}(z)^o=0$ .

The following lemma is proved by direct computation:

# Lemma **5.23.** *Let*

$$u = \phi_{k_s}^{e^{-1}} \varphi(M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}})^{-1} \phi_{k_s}^e \varphi(M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}})$$

for even s and

$$u = \phi_{k_s}^{o-1} \varphi(M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}})^{-1} \phi_{k_s}^{o} \varphi(M_{k_1,\dots,k_{s-1}})$$

for odd s. Then

$$u: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + M_{k_1, \dots, k_s} + N', \ z \mapsto z + D_{zx^k}^e(M_{k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}}) + N$$

for even s and

$$u: x \mapsto x + M_{k_1, \dots, k_s} + N', \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + D^o_{zx^k}(M_{k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}}) + N$$

for odd s, where N, N' are sums of terms of degree  $> k = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i$ .

Let 
$$\psi(M_{k_1,\dots,k_s}): x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + M_{k_1,\dots,k_s},$$

$$\alpha_e: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y - z, \ z \mapsto z, \alpha_o: x \mapsto x - z, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z,$$

Let  $P_M = \Psi(M) - M$ . Our goal is to prove that  $P_M = 0$ .

Let

$$v = \psi(M_{k_1,...,k_s})^{-1} \alpha_e \psi(M_{k_1,...,k_s}) u \alpha_e^{-1}$$

for even s and

$$v = \psi(M_{k_1, \dots, k_s})^{-1} \alpha_o \psi(M_{k_1, \dots, k_s}) u \alpha_o^{-1}$$

for odd s.

The next lemma is also proved by direct computation:

### Lemma **5.24.** *a*)

$$v: x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto y + H, \ z \mapsto z + H_1 + H_2$$

for even s and

$$v: x \mapsto x + H, \ y \mapsto y, \ z \mapsto z + H_1 + H_2$$

for odd s

*b*)

$$\Psi(v): x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y + P_{M_{k_1,\dots,k_s}} + \widetilde{H}, z \mapsto z + \widetilde{H_1} + \widetilde{H_2}$$

for even s and

$$\Psi(v): x \mapsto x + P_{M_{k_1,...,k_s}} + \widetilde{H}, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + \widetilde{H_1} + \widetilde{H_2}$$

for odd s, where  $H_2$ ,  $\widetilde{H_2}$  are sums of terms of degree greater than  $k = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i$ , H,  $\widetilde{H}$  are sums of terms of degree  $\geq k$  and positive z-degree,  $H_1$ ,  $\widetilde{H_1}$  are sums of terms of degree k and positive z-degree.

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** Part b) follows from part a). In order to prove a) we are going to show that  $\bar{\Psi}(M) = M$  for any monomial M(x,y) and for any  $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Ind}}(\operatorname{TAut}(\langle x,y,z\rangle))$  stabilizing the standard torus action  $T^3$  and  $\phi$ . The automorphism  $\Psi(\Phi_M)$  has the form described in Lemma 5.24. But in this case Lemma 5.21 implies  $\bar{\Psi}(M) - M = 0$ .

- 6. Some open questions concerning the tame automorphism group As the conclusion of the paper, we would like to raise the following questions.
- (1) Is it true that any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  (in the group-theoretic sense that is, not necessarily an automorphism preserving the Ind-scheme structure) for n=3 is semi-inner, i.e. is a conjugation by some automorphism or mirror anti-automorphism?
- (2) Is it true that  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle)$  is generated by affine automorphisms and automorphism  $x_n\mapsto x_n+x_1x_2,\ x_i\mapsto x_i,\ i\neq n?$  For  $n\geq 5$  it seems to be easier and the answer is probably positive, however for n=3 the answer is known to be negative, cf. Umirbaev [32] and Drensky and Yu [18]. For  $n\geq 4$  we believe the answer is positive.
- (3) Is it true that  $\operatorname{Aut}(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$  is generated by linear automorphisms and automorphism  $x_n \mapsto x_n + x_1x_2$ ,  $x_i \mapsto x_i$ ,  $i \neq n$ ? For n = 3 the answer is negative: see the proof of the Nagata conjecture [29, 30, 33]. For  $n \geq 4$  it is plausible that the answer is positive.
- (4) Is any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K\langle x,y,z\rangle)$  (in the group-theoretic sense) semiinner?
- (5) Is it true that the conjugation in Theorems 1.3 and 1.7 can be done by some tame automorphism? Suppose  $\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi$  is tame for any tame  $\varphi$ . Does it follow that  $\psi$  is tame?
- (6) Prove Theorem 1.8 for Char(K) = 2. Does it hold on the set-theoretic level, i.e.  $Aut(TAut(K\langle x,y,z\rangle))$  are generated by conjugations by an automorphism or the mirror anti-automorphism?

Similar questions can be formulated for nice automorphisms.

### 7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank to J. P. Furter, T. Kambayashi, H. Kraft, R. Lipyanski, B. Plotkin, E. Plotkin, A. Regeta, and M. Zaidenberg for stimulating discussion. We are grateful to Eliyahu Rips for his having kindly agreed to include and use his crucial results.

The authors also thank Shanghai University, Shanghai; Jilin University, Changchun; and South University of Science and Technology of China, Shenzhen for warm hospitality and stimulating atmosphere during their visits, when parts of this project were carried out.

#### References

- [1] D. J. Anick, Limits of tame automorphisms of  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$ , J. Algebra 82 (1983) 459-468.
- [2] T. Asanuma, Non-linaearazible k\*-actions in affine space, Invent. Math. 138 (1999) 281-306.
- [3] A. Belov, Local finite basis property and local representability of varieties of associative rings, Izv. Rus. Acad. Sci. 74 (2010) 3-134. English transl.: Izvestiya: Mathematics, 74(2010) 1-126.
- [4] A. Belov and R. Lipyanski, Authomorphisms of Endomorphism group of free associative-commutative algebra over an arbitrary field, J. Algebra 333 (2010) 40-54.
- [5] A. Belov, A. Berzins, and R. Lipyanski, Automorphisms of endomorphism group of free associative algebra, Int. J. Algebra Comp. 17 (2007) 923-939.
- [6] A. Belov and M. L. Kontsevich, Jacobian and Dixmier Conjectures are stably equivalent. Moscow Math. J. 7 (2007)209-218 (A special volume dedicated to the 60-th anniversary of A. G. Khovanskii).
- [7] A. Belov and M. L. Kontsevich, Automorphisms of Weyl algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 74 (2005) 34-41 (A special volume dedicated to the memory of F. A. Berezin).
- [8] A. Belov-Kanel and J.-T. Yu, On the lifting of the Nagata automorphism, 17 (2011) Selecta Math. (N.S.) 935-945.
- [9] A. Belov-Kanel and J.-T. Yu, Stable tameness of automorphisms of  $F\langle x, y, z \rangle$  fixing z, 18 (2012) Selecta Math. (N.S) 799-802.
- [10] A. Kanel-Belov and A. Elishev, On Planar Algebraic Curves and Holonomic D-modules in Positive Characteristic, J. Algebra Appl. DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816501553, arXiv: 1412.6836.
- [11] A. Kanel-Belov and A. Elishev, Independence of the B-KK Isomorphism of Infinite Prime, arXiv: 1512.06533.
- [12] G. M. Bergman, Conjugates and nth roots in Hahn-Laurent group rings, Bull. Malay. Math. Soc. 1 (1978) 29-41.
- [13] G. M. Bergman, Historical addendum to: "Conjugates and nth roots in Hahn-Laurent group rings", Bull. Malay. Math. Soc. 1 (1978) 29-41; 2 (1979) 41-42.
- [14] A. Białynicki-Birula, Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k<sup>n</sup> II, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 15 (1967) 123-125.

- [15] A. Białynicki-Birula, Remarks on the action of an algebraic torus on k<sup>n</sup>, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astro. Phys. 14 (1966) 177-181.
- [16] Yu. Bodnarchuk, Every regular automorphism of the affine Cremona group is inner, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 157 (2001) 115-119.
- [17] J. Déserti, Sur le groupe des automorphismes polynomiaux du plan affine, J. Algebra 297 (2006) 584-599.
- [18] V. Drensky and J.-T. Yu, The strong Anick conjecture is true, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 9 (2007) 659-679.
- [19] T. Kambayashi, Inverse limits of polynomial rings, Osaka J. Math. 41 (2004) 617-624.
- [20] T. Kambayashi, Some basic results on pro-affine algebras and ind-affine schemes, Osaka J. Math. 40 (2003) 621-638.
- [21] A. Kanel-Belov, L. H. Rowen and U. Vishne, Full exposition of Specht's problem. Serdica Math. J. 38 (2012) 313-370.
- [22] H. Kraft and I. Stampfli, On Automorphisms of the Affine Cremona Group, arXiv:1105.3739v1.
- [23] Y.-C. Li and J.-T. Yu, Degree estimate for subalgebras, J. Algebra 362 (2012) 92-98.
- [24] L. Makar-Limanov and J.-T. Yu, Degree estimate for subalgebras generated by two elements, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 10 (2008) 533-541.
- [25] L. Makar-Limanov, Algebraically closed skew fields, J. Algebra 93 (1985) 117-135.
- [26] B. Plotkin, Algebra with the same (algebraic) geometry, in Proc. of the Steklov Institut of Mathematics **242** (2003) 176-207.
- [27] I. R. Shafarevich, On some infinitelly dimensional groups II, Izv. Akad. Sch. Ser. Math. 2 (1981) 214-216.
- [28] M. K. Smith, Stably tame automorphisms, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58 (1989) 209–212.
- [29] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, Degree estimate and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004) 181-196.
- [30] I. P. Shestakov and U. U. Umirbaev, The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004) 197-220.
- [31] M. Suzuki, Proprits topologiques des polynomes de deux variables complexes, et automorphismes algebriques de l'espace C<sup>2</sup> (French), J. Math. Soc. Japan 26 (1974) 241-257.
- [32] U. U. Umirbaev, The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras, J. angew. Math. (Crelles Journal) 605 (2007) 165-178.
- [33] U. U. Umirbaev and J.-T.Yu, The strong Nagata conjecture, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 4352-4355.

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 52900, Israel  $E\text{-}mail\ address$ : beloval@cs.biu.ac.il, kanelster@gmail.com

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHENZHEN UNIVERSITY, SHENZHEN, 518061, CHINA *E-mail address*: jietaiyu@szu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY, DOL-GOPRUDNY, MOSCOW REGION, 141700, RUSSIA

E-mail address: elishev@phystech.edu