

# Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg Quantization of the 2-dimensional Harmonic Oscillator

Richard Cushman and Jędrzej Śniatycki

## Abstract

We study geometric quantization of the harmonic oscillator in terms of a singular real polarization given by fibres of the energy momentum map.

MSC: 81Q70, 81S10

Keywords: 2d-harmonic oscillator, Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization,  $SU(2)$  representation

## 1 Introduction

In the framework of geometric quantization we have formulated a quantization theory based on the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rules [1], [9]. The aim of this theory is to provide an alternative approach to quantization of a completely integrable system that incorporates the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum of the defining set of commuting dynamical variables of the system. The resulting theory closely resembles Heisenberg's matrix theory and we refer to it as the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization [4].

In this paper we give a detailed treatment of Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator. We also reduce the  $S^1$  oscillator symmetry of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator and a discrete  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry. We quantize the  $S^1$ -reduced system and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1$ -reduced system.

We now give an overview of Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization. Let  $P$  be a smooth manifold with symplectic form  $\omega$ . Consider a completely integrable system  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, P, \omega)$ , where  $n$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2} \dim P$ , and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are Poisson commuting functions that are independent on an open dense subset  $U$  of  $P$ . If all integral curves of the Hamiltonian vector fields of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are closed, then the joint level sets of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  form a singular

foliation of  $(P, \omega)$  by tori. The restriction of this singular foliation to the open dense subset  $U$  of  $P$  is a regular foliation of  $(U, \omega|_U)$  by Lagrangian tori.

Each torus  $T$  of the regular foliation has a neighbourhood  $W$  in  $P$  such that the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $W$  is exact, see [2, chapter IX]. In other words,  $\omega|_W = d\theta_W$ . The modern version of Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule requires that for each generator  $\Gamma_i$  of the fundamental group of the  $n$ -torus  $T$ , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} \theta_W = m_i h \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

where  $m_i$  is an integer and  $h$  is Planck's constant. It is easy to verify that the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions are independent of the choice of the form  $\theta_W$  satisfying  $d\theta_W = \omega|_W$ . If  $T$  is in the complement of  $U$ , then the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions hold for  $i = 1, \dots, \dim T$ . Intrinsically, the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions are equivalent to the requirement that the connection on the prequantization line bundle  $L$  when restricted to  $T$  has trivial holonomy group [7].

Let  $S$  be the collection of all tori satisfying the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions. We refer to  $S$  as the Bohr-Sommerfeld set of the integrable system  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, P, \omega)$ . Since the curvature form of  $L$  is symplectic, it follows that the complement of  $S$  is open in  $P$ . Hence, the representation space  $\mathfrak{H}$  of geometric quantization of an integrable system consists of distribution sections of  $L$  supported on the Bohr Sommerfeld set  $S$ . Since these distributional sections are covariantly constant along the leaves of the foliation by tori, it follows that each torus  $T \in S$  corresponds to a 1-dimensional subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_T$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We choose an inner product  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  so that the family  $\{\mathfrak{H}_T | T \in S\}$  consists of mutually orthogonal subspaces.

In Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization, one assigns to each  $n$ -tuple of Poisson commuting constants of motion  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  on  $P$  an  $n$ -tuple  $(\mathbf{Q}_{f_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{f_n})$  of commuting quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{f_k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  such that for each  $n$ -torus  $T \in S$ , the corresponding 1-dimensional space  $\mathfrak{H}_T$  of the representation space  $(\mathfrak{H}, (\cdot | \cdot))$  is an eigenspace for each  $\mathbf{Q}_{f_k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  with eigenvalue  $f_k|_T$ . For any smooth function  $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the composition  $F(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is quantizable. The operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{F(f_1, \dots, f_n)}$  acts on each  $\mathfrak{H}_T$  by multiplication by  $F(f_1, \dots, f_n)|_T$ .

We assume that there exist global action-angle variables  $(A_i, \varphi_i)$  on  $U$  such that  $\omega|_U = d(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i d\varphi_i)$ . Therefore, we can replace  $\theta_W$  by the 1-form

$\sum_{i=1}^n A_i d\varphi_i$  in equation (1) and rewrite the Bohr sommerfeld conditions as

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} A_i d\varphi_i = m_i h \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

Since the actions  $A_i$  are independent of the angle variables, we can perform the integration and obtain

$$A_i = m_i \hbar \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

where  $\hbar = h/2\pi$ . For each multi-index  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ , we denote by  $T_{\mathbf{m}}$  the torus satisfying the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions with integers  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  on the right hand side of equation (3).

Let  $S_U$  be the restriction of the Bohr-Sommerfeld set  $S$  to the open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $P$  on which the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are independent. Consider a subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_U$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  given by the direct sum of 1-dimensional subspaces  $\mathfrak{H}_{T_{\mathbf{m}}}$  corresponding to Bohr-Sommerfeld tori  $T_{\mathbf{m}} \in S_U$ . Let  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m}}$  be a basis vector of  $\mathfrak{H}_{T_{\mathbf{m}}}$ . Each  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m}}$  is a joint eigenvector of the commuting operators  $(\mathbf{Q}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{A_n})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(m_1 \hbar, \dots, m_n \hbar)$ . The vectors  $(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m}})$  form an orthogonal basis in  $\mathfrak{H}_U$ . Thus,

$$(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m}} | \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m}'}) = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{m}'. \quad (4)$$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , introduce an operator  $\mathbf{a}_i$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_U$  such that

$$\mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{e}_{(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n)} = \mathbf{e}_{(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i - 1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n)}. \quad (5)$$

In other words, the operator  $\mathbf{a}_i$  shifts the joint eigenspace of  $(\mathbf{Q}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{A_n})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(m_1 \hbar, \dots, m_n \hbar)$  to the joint eigenspace of  $(\mathbf{Q}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{A_n})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(m_1 \hbar, \dots, m_{i-1} \hbar, (m_i - 1) \hbar, m_{i+1} \hbar, \dots, m_n \hbar)$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_i^\dagger$  be the adjoint of  $\mathbf{a}_i$ . Equations (4) and (5) yield

$$\mathbf{a}_i^\dagger \mathbf{e}_{(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n)} = \mathbf{e}_{(m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i + 1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n)} \quad (6)$$

We refer to the operators  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{a}_i^\dagger$  as *shifting* operators.<sup>1</sup> For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}] = \hbar \mathbf{a}_i \delta_{ij}, \quad (7)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In representation theory, shifting operators are called ladder operators. The corresponding operators in quantum field theory are called the creation and annihilation operators.

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker's symbol that is equal to 1 if  $i = j$  and vanishes if  $i \neq j$ . Taking the adjoint, of the preceding equation, we get

$$[\mathbf{a}_i^\dagger, \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}] = -\hbar \mathbf{a}_i^\dagger \delta_{ij}.$$

The operators  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{a}_i^\dagger$  are well defined in the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . In [4], we have interpreted them as the result of quantization of appropriate functions on  $P$ . We did this as follows. We look for smooth complex-valued functions  $h_i$  on  $P$  satisfying the Poisson bracket relations

$$\{h_j, A_i\} = -i \delta_{ij} h_j. \quad (8)$$

The Dirac quantization condition

$$[\mathbf{Q}_f, \mathbf{Q}_h] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\{f,h\}} \quad (9)$$

implies that we may interpret the operator  $\mathbf{a}_j$  as the quantum operator corresponding to  $h_j$ . In other words, we set  $\mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{Q}_{h_j}$ . This choice is consistent with equation (8) because (7) yields

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{h_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_i}] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\{h_j, A_i\}} = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{-i\delta_{ij}h_j} = \delta_{ij}\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{h_j}.$$

Since  $\omega|_U = \sum_{i=1}^n dA_i \wedge d\varphi_i$ , it follows that the Poisson bracket of  $e^{-i\varphi_j}$  and  $A_i$  is

$$\{e^{-i\varphi_j}, A_i\} = X_{A_i} e^{-i\varphi_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_i} e^{-i\varphi_j} = -i \delta_{ij} e^{-i\varphi_j}. \quad (10)$$

Comparing equations (8) and (10) we see that we may make the following identification  $\mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{Q}_{e^{-i\varphi_i}}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_i^\dagger = \mathbf{Q}_{e^{i\varphi_i}}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Clearly, the functions  $h_j = e^{\pm i\varphi_j}$  are not uniquely defined by equation (8). We can multiply them by arbitrary functions that commute with all actions  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Hence, there is a choice involved. We shall use this freedom of choice to satisfy consistency requirements on the boundary of  $U$  in  $P$ .

## 2 The classical theory

We describe the geometry of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

The configuration space of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with coordinates  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ . The phase space is  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4$  with coordinates  $(x, y) = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$ . On  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$  the canonical 1-form is  $\Theta^\vee =$

$y_1 dx_1 + y_2 dx_2 = \langle y, dx \rangle$ . The symplectic form on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$  is the closed non-degenerate 2-form  $\omega^\vee = d\Theta^\vee = dy_1 \wedge dx_1 + dy_2 \wedge dx_2$  whose matrix representation is

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_2 \\ I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Given a smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ , its Hamiltonian vector field is  $(\omega^\vee)^{-1}df = \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle - \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rangle$ . Let  $(x, y) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ . For every  $f, g \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$  their Poisson bracket is  $\{f, g\}(x, y) = \omega(x, y)(X_g(x, y), X_f(x, y))$ , which is a smooth function on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ . The structure matrix  $\left( \begin{array}{c|c} \{x_i, x_j\} & \{x_i, y_j\} \\ \hline \{y_i, x_j\} & \{y_i, y_j\} \end{array} \right)$  of the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}$  on  $C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The Hamiltonian function of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator is

$$E^\vee : T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + y_1^2) + \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 + y_2^2). \quad (12)$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{E^\vee} = \langle X_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle + \langle X_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \rangle$  can be computed using  $-dE^\vee = X_{E^\vee} \lrcorner \omega = \langle X_2, dx \rangle - \langle X_1, dy \rangle$ . We get

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial E^\vee}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = -\frac{\partial E^\vee}{\partial x}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore the equations of motion of the harmonic oscillator are

$$\dot{x} = y \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{y} = -x. \quad (14)$$

The solution to the above equations is the one parameter family of transformations

$$\phi_t^{E^\vee}(x, y) = A(t) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\cos t) I_2 & -(\sin t) I_2 \\ (\sin t) I_2 & (\cos t) I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

This defines an  $S^1$  action, called the *oscillator symmetry*, on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is a map from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$  that sends  $t$  to the  $4 \times 4$  symplectic matrix  $A(t)$ , which is periodic of period  $2\pi$ .

Since  $E^\vee$  is constant along the integral curves of  $X_E$ , the manifold

$$(E^\vee)^{-1}(e) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 2e, e > 0\} = S_{\sqrt{2e}}^3, \quad (16)$$

which is a 3-sphere of radius  $\sqrt{2e}$ , is invariant under the flow of  $X_{E^\vee}$ .

The configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is invariant under the  $S^1$  action  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : (t, x) \mapsto R_t x$ , where  $R_t$  is the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$ . This map lifts

to a symplectic action  $\Psi_t$  of  $S^1$  on phase space  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$  that sends  $(x, y)$  to  $\Psi_t(x, y) = (R_t x, R_t y)$ . The infinitesimal generator of this action is

$$Y(x, y) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Phi_t(x, y) = (-x_2, x_1, -y_2, y_1). \quad (17)$$

The vector field  $Y$  is Hamiltonian corresponding to the Hamiltonian function

$$L^\vee(x, y) = \langle (y_1, y_2), (x_2, -x_1) \rangle = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1, \quad (18)$$

that is,  $Y = X_{L^\vee}$ .  $L^\vee$  is readily recognized as the angular momentum. The integral curve of the vector field  $X_{L^\vee}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  starting at  $(x, y) = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$  is

$$s \mapsto \varphi_s^{L^\vee}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & -\sin s & 0 & 0 \\ \sin s & \cos s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos s & -\sin s \\ 0 & 0 & \sin s & \cos s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix},$$

which is periodic of period  $2\pi$ . The Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator is an integral of  $X_{L^\vee}$ . The  $S^1$  symmetry  $\Psi$  of the harmonic oscillator is called the *angular momentum* symmetry.

Consider the completely integrable system  $(E^\vee, L^\vee, \mathbb{R}^4, \omega^\vee)$  where  $E^\vee$  (12) and  $L^\vee$  (18) are the energy and angular momentum of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator. The Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_{E^\vee} = y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{L^\vee} = -x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}$$

corresponding to the Hamiltonians  $E^\vee$  and  $L^\vee$ , respectively, define the generalized distribution

$$D : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^4 : (x, y) \mapsto D_{(x,y)} = \text{span}\{X_{E^\vee}(x, y), X_{L^\vee}(x, y)\}. \quad (19)$$

Before we can investigate the geometry of  $D$  (19) we will need some very detailed geometric information about the 2-torus action

$$\Phi : T^2 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 : ((t_1, t_2), (x, y)) \mapsto \varphi_{t_1}^{E^\vee} \circ \varphi_{t_2}^{L^\vee}(x, y) \quad (20)$$

generated by the  $2\pi$  periodic flows  $\varphi_{t_1}^{E^\vee}$  and  $\varphi_{t_2}^{L^\vee}$  of the vector fields  $X_{E^\vee}$  and  $X_{L^\vee}$ , respectively. Using invariant theory we find the space  $V = \mathbb{R}^4/T^2$  of orbits of the  $T^2$ -action  $\Phi$  (20) as follows. The algebra of  $T^2$ -invariant polynomials on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is generated by  $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + x_1^2 + y_2^2 + x_2^2)$  and  $\sigma_2 = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$ . Let  $\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + x_1^2 - y_2^2 - x_2^2)$  and  $\sigma_4 = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2$ . Then

$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2 + \sigma_4^2 \geq \sigma_2^2$ . From the fact that  $\sigma_1 \geq 0$ , we get  $\sigma_1 \geq |\sigma_2|$ . So the  $T^2$  orbit space  $V$  is the semialgebraic variety

$$\{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\sigma_2| \leq \sigma_1, \sigma_1 \geq 0\}.$$

Moreover  $V$  is a differential space with its differential structure given by the space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)^{T^2}$  of smooth  $T^2$ -invariant functions on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . That is the differential structure of  $V$  is the space of smooth functions in  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . Note that the  $T^2$ -orbit map  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (\sigma_1(x, y), \sigma_2(x, y))$  is just the energy momentum mapping

$$(\mathcal{EM})^\vee : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (E^\vee(x, y), L^\vee(x, y))$$

of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Abstractly, the Whitney stratification of  $V$  is  $\coprod_{0 \leq i \leq 2} V_i$  where

$$V_2 = V \setminus V_{\text{sing}}, V_1 = V_{\text{sing}} \setminus (V_{\text{sing}})_{\text{sing}}, \text{ and } V_0 = (V_{\text{sing}})_{\text{sing}}.$$

Here  $W_{\text{sing}}$  is the semialgebraic variety of singular points of the semialgebraic variety  $W$ . We have  $V_2 = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\sigma_2| < \sigma_1\}$ ,  $V_1 = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < |\sigma_2| = \sigma_1\}$ , and  $V_0 = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0\}$ .

For  $j = 0, 1, 2$  let  $U_j = \mathcal{EM}^{-1}(V_j)$ . It is straightforward to see that the isotropy group  $T_{(x,y)}^2$  at  $(x, y)$  of the  $T^2$ -action  $\Phi$  (20) is

$$T_{(x,y)}^2 = \begin{cases} \{e\}, & \text{if } (x, y) \in U_2 \\ S^1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in U_1 \\ T^2, & \text{if } (x, y) \in U_0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\{U_j, j = 0, 1, 2\}$  is the stratification of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by orbit type, which is equal to  $T^2$ -symmetry type where all the isotropy groups are equal, since  $T^2$  is abelian. Because  $V_j$  is the image of  $U_j$  under the energy momentum map, we see that  $U_j$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  where the rank of  $D\mathcal{EM}$  is  $j$ . Since

$$\omega^\flat(x, y) : T_{(x,y)}\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow T_{(x,y)}^*\mathbb{R}^4 : v_{(x,y)} \mapsto \{w_{(x,y)} \mapsto \omega(x, y)(v_{(x,y)}, w_{(x,y)})\}$$

is bijective, it follows that

$$\dim D_{(x,y)} = \dim \text{span}\{dE(x, y), dL(x, y)\} = \text{rank } D\mathcal{EM}(x, y). \quad (21)$$

Therefore  $U_j$  is the union of  $j$ -tori for  $j = 0, 1, 2$  each of which is an energy momentum level set of  $(\mathcal{EM})^\vee$ .

We turn to investigating the generalized distribution  $D$  (19). Since  $\omega(X_{E^\vee}, X_{L^\vee}) = \{L^\vee, E^\vee\}$ , we see that for every  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  we have  $\omega(x, y)D_{(x,y)} = 0$ . Thus every subspace  $D_{(x,y)}$  in the distribution  $D$  is an  $\omega$ -isotropic subspace of the symplectic vector space  $(T_{(x,y)}\mathbb{R}^4, \omega(x, y))$ . If  $(x, y) \in U_j$  then  $\dim D_{(x,y)} = j$ . So if  $(x, y) \in U_2$ , then  $D_{(x,y)}$  is a Lagrangian subspace of  $(T_{(x,y)}\mathbb{R}^4, \omega(x, y))$ . If  $u_{(x,y)}, v_{(x,y)} \in D_{(x,y)}$ , then thinking of  $u_{(x,y)}$  and  $v_{(x,y)}$  as vector fields on  $T\mathbb{R}^4$  we obtain  $[u_{(x,y)}, v_{(x,y)}] = 0$ , because their flows commute. Thus  $D$  is an involutive generalized distribution, which is called the *energy-momentum polarization* of the symplectic manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^4, \omega)$ . The leaf (= integral manifold)  $L_{(x,y)}$  of the distribution  $D$  through the point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  is diffeomorphic to  $T^2/T_{(x,y)}^2$ . In particular we have

$$L_{(x,y)} = \begin{cases} T^2, & \text{if } (x, y) \in U_2 \\ T^1 = S^1, & \text{if } (x, y) \in U_1 \\ T^0 = \text{pt}, & \text{if } (x, y) \in U_0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $D$  is a generalized toric distribution. Note that the leaves of  $D$ , each of which is an energy momentum level set of  $(\mathcal{EM})^\vee$ , foliate the strata  $U_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Thus the space of leaves  $\mathbb{R}^4/D$  of  $D$  is the  $T^2$  orbit space  $V$ .




Figure 1. The bifurcation diagram of the energy momentum map.

We collect all the geometric information about the leaves of the generalized distribution  $D$  in the bifurcation diagram of the energy momentum map of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator above. The range of this map is indicated by the shaded region.

We now find action-angle coordinates for the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Consider the  $T^2$  action on  $(T^*\mathbb{R}^2, \omega^\vee)$  generated by the flows of

the Hamiltonian vector fields  $X_{E^\vee}$  of energy  $E^\vee$  (12) and  $X_{L^\vee}$  of angular momentum  $L^\vee$  (18), specifically,

$$\Phi : T^2 \times T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^2 : ((t, s), (x, y)) \mapsto \varphi_t^{E^\vee} \circ \varphi_s^{L^\vee} (x, y).$$

Consider the change coordinates by

$$\psi : T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Then  $\psi$  is symplectic, that is  $\omega = \psi^*\omega^\vee = d\eta_1 \wedge d\xi_1 + d\eta_2 \wedge d\xi_2$ . Moreover, it diagonalizes the Hamiltonians for  $E^\vee$  and  $L^\vee$ , that is, in the new coordinates  $E^\vee$  becomes

$$E(\xi, \eta) = \psi_*E^\vee(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2); \quad (23)$$

while  $L^\vee$  becomes

$$L(\xi, \eta) = \psi_*L^\vee(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - \eta_2^2). \quad (24)$$

Let

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2) = \frac{1}{2}(E(\xi, \eta) + L(\xi, \eta)) \geq 0 \quad (25a)$$

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2) = \frac{1}{2}(E(\xi, \eta) - L(\xi, \eta)) \geq 0 \quad (25b)$$

and let

$$A : T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 : (\xi, \eta) \mapsto (A_1(\xi, \eta), A_2(\xi, \eta)). \quad (26)$$

Then the flow of  $X_{A_1}$  and  $X_{A_2}$  defines a  $T^2$ -action on  $A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2)$  given by

$$T^2 \times A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2) \subseteq T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2) \subseteq T^*\mathbb{R}^2 : ((t, s), (\xi, \eta)) \mapsto \varphi_t^E \circ \varphi_s^L(\xi, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & 0 & \sin t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin t & 0 & \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos s & 0 & \sin s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin s & 0 & \cos s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix},$$

which is periodic of period  $2\pi$  on every 2-torus  $T_{a_1, a_2}^2 = A_1^{-1}(a_1) \cap A_2^{-1}(a_2)$ , where  $(a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$ . So the functions  $A_1$  (25a) and  $A_2$  (25b) are actions and the map  $A$  (26) is the action map. The angle corresponding to the action  $A_1$  is  $\vartheta_1 = t = \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_1}{\xi_1}$ ; while the angle corresponding to the action  $A_2$  is  $\vartheta_2 = s = \tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_2}{\xi_2}$ . A calculation shows that  $\omega = dA_1 \wedge d\vartheta_1 + dA_2 \wedge d\vartheta_2$ . Thus  $(A_1, A_2, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)$  are action-angle coordinates on  $(A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2), \omega|_{A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2)})$ . When  $A_2 = 0$  on  $\partial A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2)$  action-angle coordinates on  $A^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \{0\})$  are  $(A_1, 0, \vartheta_1, 0)$ ; while when  $A_1 = 0$  on  $\partial A^{-1}((\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2)$  action-angle coordinates on  $A^{-1}(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0})$  are  $(0, A_2, 0, \vartheta_2)$ .

### 3 Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization

In this section we carry out the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

First we look at its Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization. This amounts to quantizing the action functions, namely, setting

$$A_1 = m\hbar = a_1 \text{ and } A_2 = n\hbar = a_2, \quad (27)$$

where  $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$ . Next associate a vector  $\mathbf{e}_{m,n}$  to each Bohr-Sommerfeld torus  $A^{-1}(a_1, a_2)$  where  $(a_1, a_2)$  lie in  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$ , which is the image of the action mapping  $A$ . In other words,  $(a_1, a_2) = (\frac{1}{2}\hbar(e+\ell), \frac{1}{2}\hbar(e-\ell))$ , where  $\hbar(e, \ell) = \hbar(m+n, m-n)$  lies in the image  $V$  of the energy momentum mapping  $(\mathcal{EM})^\vee$  of the harmonic oscillator. Let  $\mathfrak{H} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_{m,n} \mid (m, n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2\}$ . Because the Bohr-Sommerfeld tori are disjoint, we may choose a hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  so that  $\langle \mathbf{e}_{m,n}, \mathbf{e}_{m',n'} \rangle = \delta_{(m,n),(m',n')} = \delta_{m,m'} \delta_{n,n'}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a Hilbert space. On  $\mathfrak{H}$  we have two self adjoint operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{A_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , where

$$\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{e}_{m,n}) = m\hbar \mathbf{e}_{m,n} \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_2(\mathbf{e}_{m,n}) = n\hbar \mathbf{e}_{m,n}. \quad (28)$$

The quantum spectrum of the harmonic oscillator is the joint spectrum  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$  of the operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{A_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .




Figure 2. The quantum lattice for the joint spectrum of the quantum operators  $\{\mathbf{Q}_{A_1}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_2}\}$  of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator. The axes are in units of  $\hbar$ .

To complete the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization to the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization we define lowering operators  $\mathbf{a}_j$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  as follows

$$\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{m-1,n}, & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ 0, & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{m,n-1}, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

According to the theory of section 1, these lowering operators  $\mathbf{a}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , correspond to the quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{e^{-i\vartheta_j}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , respectively. Here  $\vartheta_j$  is the angle coordinate corresponding to the action  $A_j$ . However, the functions  $e^{-i\vartheta_j}$  are not smooth on phase space. To remedy this deficiency, we use the functions  $z_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j = r_j e^{-i\vartheta_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , instead. Then  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are lowering operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ , where

$$\mathbf{Q}_{z_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \begin{cases} b_{m,n}^1 \mathbf{e}_{m-1,n}, & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ 0, & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (30a)$$

that is,  $b_{0,n}^1 = 0$ , and

$$\mathbf{Q}_{z_2} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \begin{cases} b_{m,n}^2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n-1}, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}, \quad (30b)$$

that is,  $b_{m,0}^2 = 0$ . The  $b_{m,n}^j \in \mathbb{C}$  are determined below. On  $\mathfrak{H}$  we have the quantum operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}^\dagger$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , which is the adjoint of  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}$ . By definition  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_1}^\dagger \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \bar{b}_{m,n}^1 \mathbf{e}_{m+1,n}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_2}^\dagger \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \bar{b}_{m,n}^2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n+1}$ . For  $j = 1, 2$  we identify  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}^\dagger$  with  $\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}$ .

For  $1 \leq j, k \leq 2$  we have

$$\{z_j, \bar{z}_k\} = \{\xi_j + i\eta_j, \xi_k - i\eta_k\} = -i\{\xi_j, \eta_k\} + i\{\eta_k, \xi_j\} = -2i\delta_{j,k}$$

and  $\{z_j, z_k\} = 0 = \{\bar{z}_j, \bar{z}_k\}$ . So by the Dirac quantization prescription we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_k}] &= [\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{\frac{1}{4}(z_k \bar{z}_k + \bar{z}_k z_k)}] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\frac{1}{4}\{z_j, z_k \bar{z}_k + \bar{z}_k z_k\}} \\ &= i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}z_k \{z_j, \bar{z}_k\}} = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{-i z_k \delta_{j,k}} = \hbar \delta_{j,k} \mathbf{Q}_{z_k}; \end{aligned} \quad (31a)$$

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_k}] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}\bar{z}_k \{\bar{z}_j, z_k\}} = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{i \bar{z}_k \delta_{j,k}} = -\hbar \delta_{j,k} \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_k}; \quad (31b)$$

and

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_k}] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\{z_j, \bar{z}_k\}} = i\hbar \delta_{j,k} \mathbf{Q}_{-2i \delta_{j,k}} = 2\hbar \delta_{j,k}. \quad (31c)$$

Thus  $\{\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , span a finite dimensional Lie algebra with bracket relations

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \quad [\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}] = -i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}, \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}, \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}] = 2\hbar,$$

which commute with each other.

The quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{z_j}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j}$  are not self adjoint. However, we can define the quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q}_{z_j} + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j})$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j} = \frac{1}{2i}(\mathbf{Q}_{z_j} - \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j})$ , which are self adjoint. A straightforward calculation shows that the skew hermitian operators  $\{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}\}$  satisfies the bracket relations

$$[\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j}, \quad (32a)$$

$$[\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{A_j}] = -\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j}, \quad (32b)$$

$$[\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \quad (32c)$$

and thus span a finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , which is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2] = 0$ . Note that  $2\mathbf{Q}_{z_j} = \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j} + i\mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j}$  and  $2i\mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_j} = \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_j} - i\mathbf{Q}_{\eta_j}$ .

We now determine  $b_{m,n}^j$  in (30a) and (30b). Suppose for every  $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$  that  $b_{m,n}^j \in \mathbb{R}$ . When  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{z_1 \bar{z}_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} &= \mathbf{Q}_{z_1} \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = b_{m+1,n}^1 \mathbf{Q}_{z_1} \mathbf{e}_{m+1,n} = (b_{m+1,n}^1)^2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_1 z_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} &= (b_{m,n}^1)^2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{z_1 \bar{z}_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} &= \mathbf{Q}_{z_2} \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_2} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = (b_{m,n+1}^2)^2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_2 z_2} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} &= (b_{m,n}^2)^2 \mathbf{e}_{m,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4m\hbar \mathbf{e}_{m,n} &= \mathbf{Q}_{4A_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \mathbf{Q}_{z_1 \bar{z}_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} + \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_1 z_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} \\ &= ((b_{m+1,n}^1)^2 + (b_{m,n}^1)^2) \mathbf{e}_{m,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$4m\hbar = (b_{m+1,n}^1)^2 + (b_{m,n}^1)^2, \quad (33a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\hbar \mathbf{e}_{m,n} &= [\mathbf{Q}_{z_1}, \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_1}] \mathbf{e}_{m,n} = \mathbf{Q}_{z_1 \bar{z}_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} - \mathbf{Q}_{\bar{z}_1 z_1} \mathbf{e}_{m,n} \\ &= ((b_{m+1,n}^1)^2 - (b_{m,n}^1)^2) \mathbf{e}_{m,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$2\hbar = (b_{m+1,n}^1)^2 - (b_{m,n}^1)^2. \quad (33b)$$

Subtracting (33b) from (33a) gives  $b_{m,n}^1 = \sqrt{(2m-1)\hbar}$ , if  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Recall that by definition  $b_{0,n}^1 = 0$ . Arguing similarly we get  $b_{m,n}^2 = \sqrt{(2n-1)\hbar}$  if

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $b_{m,0}^2 = 0$  by definition. This completes the definition of the shifting operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

We now look at the quantum representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on the Hilbert space  $(\mathfrak{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  defined by the skew hermitian linear maps  $\{\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{Re } z_2}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\text{Im } z_2}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{A_2}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  into itself and their brackets, which satisfy (32a)–(32c). For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  let  $\mathfrak{H}_m = \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_{m,n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{H}_m = \text{span}\{Q_{\bar{z}_2}^n \mathbf{e}_{m,0} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ , since  $Q_{\bar{z}_2}^n \mathbf{e}_{m,0} \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_{m,n}\}$ . Because  $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathfrak{H}_m) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_m$ , we have a representation of  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_m$ , which is *irreducible* since the vectors  $\{Q_{\bar{z}_2}^n \mathbf{e}_{m,0}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  span  $\mathfrak{H}_m$ . This representation is a faithful and infinite dimensional with a unique lowest weight vector  $\mathbf{e}_{m,0}$ , but no top weight vector. Since  $\mathfrak{H} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathfrak{H}_m$ , the representation of  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a countably infinite number of copies of the irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{L}_2$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_0$ . A similar argument can be made for the quantum representation of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

## 4 The reduction of the oscillator symmetry

In this section we reduce the  $S^1$  symmetry of the harmonic oscillator generated by the flow of the harmonic oscillator vector field on phase space.

For  $j = 1, 2$  let  $z_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^4$  becomes  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with coordinates  $(z_1, z_2)$ . The flow  $\varphi_t^E$  of the vector field  $X_E$  becomes the complex  $S^1$ -action

$$\varphi : S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 : (t, (z_1, z_2)) \mapsto (e^{-it} z_1, e^{-it} z_2).$$

The algebra of  $S^1$ -invariant polynomials on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &= \text{Re}(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = \xi_1 \xi_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2 \\ \pi_2 &= \text{Im}(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = \xi_1 \eta_2 - \xi_2 \eta_1 \\ \pi_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_1 \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 - \xi_2^2 - \eta_2^2) \\ \pi_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2) \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

subject to the relation

$$\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 = |\bar{z}_1 z_2|^2 = (z_1 \bar{z}_1)(z_2 \bar{z}_2) = (\pi_4 + \pi_3)(\pi_4 - \pi_3) = \pi_4^2 - \pi_3^2, \quad \pi_4 \geq 0,$$

which defines the orbit space  $\mathbb{R}/S^1$ . Note that the energy  $E$  (23) is  $\pi_4$  and the angular momentum  $L$  (24) is  $\pi_3$ .

Using the Poisson bracket on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  we find the structure matrix  $(\{\pi_i, \pi_j\})$  of the Poisson bracket on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with coordinates  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_4)$ , namely,

$\{\pi_i, \pi_j\}$	$\pi_1$	$\pi_2$	$\pi_3$	$\pi_4$
$\pi_1$	0	$2\pi_3$	$-2\pi_2$	0
$\pi_2$	$-2\pi_3$	0	$2\pi_1$	0
$\pi_3$	$2\pi_2$	$-2\pi_1$	0	0
$\pi_4$	0	0	0	0

Table 1. The Poisson bracket on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ .

Let  $S_{\sqrt{2e}}^3 = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \xi_1^2 + \eta_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \eta_2^2 = 2e\}$  be the 3-sphere of radius  $\sqrt{2e}$  and let  $S_e^2 = \{\pi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 + \pi_3^2 = e^2\}$  be the 2-sphere of radius  $e$ . The map

$$\rho : S_{\sqrt{2e}}^3 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow S_e^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 : \zeta = (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \pi = (\pi_1(\zeta), \pi_2(\zeta), \pi_3(\zeta)) \quad (35)$$

is called the Hopf fibration, see [2, chapter I]. Each fiber of the Hopf fibration is an integral curve of the harmonic oscillator vector field  $X_E$  of energy  $e$ . Thus the space  $E^{-1}(e)/S^1$  of orbits of the harmonic oscillator of energy  $e$  is  $S_e^2$ . In other words, the Hopf fibration  $\rho$  (35) is the reduction map of the  $S^1$  symmetry of the harmonic oscillator generated by the vector field  $X_E$ .

Consider a smooth function  $K : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is invariant under the flow of  $X_E$ . Then  $K$  is an integral of  $X_E$ , that is,  $\mathcal{L}_{X_E}K = 0$ . Also, there is a smooth function  $\tilde{K} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $K(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{K}(\pi_1(\xi, \eta), \pi_2(\xi, \eta), \pi_3(\xi, \eta), \pi_4(\xi, \eta))$ .

Since  $\{\pi_j, \pi_4\} = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ , we obtain

$$\dot{\pi}_j = \{\pi_j, \tilde{K}\} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \{\pi_j, \pi_k\} \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial \pi_k} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{l=1}^3 \varepsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial \pi_k} \pi_l = 2(\nabla \tilde{K} \times \pi)_j$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\dot{\pi}_4 = 0$ .

Restrict the function  $\tilde{K}$  to  $E^{-1}(e)$  and define  $\tilde{K}_e(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) = \tilde{K}(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, e)$ . Set  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $\dot{\pi} = 2(\nabla \tilde{K}_e \times \pi)$  is satisfied by integral curves of a vector field  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$X(\pi) = 2(\nabla \tilde{K}_e \times \pi). \quad (36)$$

The 2-sphere  $S_e^2$  is invariant under the flow of the vector field  $X$ , because

$$\mathcal{L}_X \langle \pi, \pi \rangle = 2\langle \pi, \dot{\pi} \rangle = 4\langle \pi, \nabla \tilde{K}_e(\pi) \times \pi \rangle = 0.$$

The structure matrix of the Poisson bracket  $\{ , \}$  on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is

$$W(\pi) = (\{\pi_j, \pi_k\}) = -2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\pi_3 & \pi_2 \\ \pi_3 & 0 & -\pi_1 \\ -\pi_2 & \pi_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

Since  $\ker W(\pi) = \text{span}\{\pi\}$  and  $T_\pi S_e^2 = \text{span}\{\pi\}^\perp$ , the matrix  $W(\pi)|_{T_\pi S_e^2}$  is invertible. On  $S_e^2$  define a symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}_e(\pi)(u, v) = \langle (W(\pi)^T)^{-1}u, v \rangle$ , where  $u, v \in T_\pi S_e^2$ . Let  $y \in T_\pi S_e^2$ . Since  $W(\pi)^T y = 2\pi \times y = z$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \times z &= \pi \times (2\pi \times y) = 2\pi \times (\pi \times y) \\ &= 2(\pi \langle \pi, y \rangle - y \langle \pi, \pi \rangle) = -2y \langle \pi, \pi \rangle = -2e^2 y, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $y = (W(\pi)^T)^{-1}z = -\frac{1}{2e^2} \pi \times z$ . Therefore

$$\tilde{\omega}_e(\pi)(u, v) = -\frac{1}{2e^2} \langle \pi \times u, v \rangle = -\frac{1}{2e^2} \langle \pi, u \times v \rangle. \quad (38)$$

The vector field  $X$  (36) on  $(S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$  is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function  $\tilde{K}_e$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_e(\pi)(X(\pi), u) &= -\frac{1}{2e^2} \langle \pi, 2(\nabla \tilde{K}_e \times \pi) \times u \rangle = -\frac{1}{e^2} \langle \pi \times (\nabla \tilde{K}_e \times \pi), u \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{e^2} \langle \nabla \tilde{K}_e \langle \pi, \pi \rangle - \pi \langle \nabla \tilde{K}_e, \pi \rangle, u \rangle = -\langle \nabla \tilde{K}_e, u \rangle \\ &= -d\tilde{K}_e(\pi)u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u, v \in T_w S_e^2$ .

Since  $L$  is a function which is invariant under the flow  $\varphi_t^E$  of  $X_E$  on  $E^{-1}(e)$ , it induces the function  $\tilde{L}_e = \tilde{\pi}_3 = \pi_3|_{S_e^2}$  on the reduced phase space  $S_e^2$ . We look at the completely integrable reduced system  $(\tilde{L}_e, S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$ .

The flow of the reduced vector field  $X_{\tilde{L}_e}(\pi) = 2(e_3 \times \pi)$  on  $S_e^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  is

$$\varphi_t^{\tilde{\pi}_3}(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & -\sin 2t & 0 \\ \sin 2t & \cos 2t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pi,$$

where  $\pi \in S_e^2$ . Note that  $\varphi_t^{\tilde{L}_e}$  is periodic of period  $\pi$ . Therefore an integral curve of  $X_{\tilde{\pi}_3}$  on  $S_e^2$  is either a circle or a point. For  $|\ell| < e$  we have  $\pi_3^{-1}(\ell)$  is the circle  $\{(\pi_1, \pi_2, \ell) \in S_e^2 \mid \pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 = e^2 - \ell^2\}$ ; while when  $\ell = \pm e$ , we see that  $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$  is the point  $(0, 0, \pm e)$ .

Introduce spherical coordinates

$$\pi_1 = e \sin \theta \cos 2\psi, \quad \pi_2 = e \sin \theta \sin 2\psi, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_3 = e \cos \theta$$

with  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  and  $0 \leq \psi \leq \pi$ . Therefore the reduced symplectic form on  $S_e^2$  is

$$\tilde{\omega}_e = -\frac{1}{2e} (e^2 \sin \theta) d\theta \wedge d\psi,$$

that is,  $\tilde{\omega}_e = \frac{1}{2e} \text{vol}_{S_e^2}$ . Here  $\text{vol}_{S_e^2}$  is the standard volume 2-form  $-\frac{1}{2e} \langle \pi, u \times v \rangle$  on  $S_e^2$ , where  $\pi \in S_e^2$  and  $u, v \in T_\pi S_e^2$ . Note  $\int_{S_e^2} \text{vol}_{S_e^2} = 4\pi e^2$ .

Following our convention, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , we denote by  $\tilde{\pi}_i$  the push-forward to  $S_e^2$  of the invariant function  $\pi_i$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We now explain why the functions  $\tilde{\pi}_i$  satisfy commutation relations for  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Consider the Poisson algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \{, \}_{\mathbb{R}^3}, \cdot)$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^3$  has coordinates  $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)$ , the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  has structure matrix  $(\{\pi_j, \pi_k\}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\pi_3 & -2\pi_2 \\ -2\pi_3 & 0 & 2\pi_1 \\ 2\pi_2 & -2\pi_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\cdot$  is pointwise multiplication of functions. Since  $C = \pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 + \pi_3^2 - e^2$  is a Casimir of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $\{C, \pi_i\}_{\mathbb{R}^3} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , it generates a Poisson ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{I} = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid f|_{S_e^2} = 0\}$ , where  $S_e^2 = C^{-1}(0)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{B} = (C^\infty(S_e^2) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)/\mathcal{I}, \{, \}_{S_e^2}, \cdot)$  is a Poisson algebra, where  $\{\bar{f}, \bar{g}\}_{S_e^2} = \{f, g\}_{\mathbb{R}^3}|_{S_e^2}$  and  $f \mapsto \bar{f}$  is the natural projection map of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  onto  $C^\infty(S_e^2)$ . Note that  $\bar{f} = f|_{S_e^2}$ , because every smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which vanishes on  $S_e^2$ , is a multiple of  $C$  by a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Consequently, the structure matrix of the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{S_e^2}$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\tilde{\pi}_3 & -2\tilde{\pi}_2 \\ -2\tilde{\pi}_3 & 0 & 2\tilde{\pi}_1 \\ 2\tilde{\pi}_2 & -2\tilde{\pi}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}_i = \pi_i|_{S_e^2}$ . In other words,  $\{\tilde{\pi}_i, \tilde{\pi}_j\}_{S_e^2} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} \tilde{\pi}_k$ , which are the bracket relations for  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ , as desired. The reduced phase space  $S_e^2$  is symplectomorphic to a coadjoint orbit of  $\text{SU}(2)$ .

The Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{\tilde{L}_e}$  is  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}$ , because

$$X_{\tilde{L}_e} \lrcorner \tilde{\omega}_e = e \sin \theta d\theta = -d(e \cos \theta) = -d\tilde{\pi}_3.$$

Note that the flow of  $X_{\tilde{L}_e}$  on  $S_e^2$  is periodic of period  $\pi$ . On  $S_e^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, \pm e)\}$  we have

$$d\tilde{L}_e \wedge d\psi = d\tilde{\pi}_3 \wedge d\psi = d(e \cos \theta) \wedge d\psi = -e \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\psi = \tilde{\omega}_e.$$

Thus  $(\tilde{L}_e, \psi)$  are real analytic action-angle coordinates on  $S_e^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, \pm e)\}$  with the symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}_e|_{S_e^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, \pm e)\}}$ .

## 5 Quantization of the reduced system

For fixed  $e \geq 0$  we quantize the classical system  $(\tilde{L}_e, S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$  obtained by reducing the harmonic oscillator symmetry of the Hamiltonian system  $(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$\omega$ ) on  $E^{-1}(e)$ , see §3. We find that its space of quantum states is the sum of two finite dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  representations: one of dimension  $q$  and the other of dimension  $q + 1$ . The removal of all infinite dimensional representations and most of their multiplicities is mainly due to restricting the harmonic oscillator to a fixed energy level.

Recall that  $(S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$  is symplectomorphic to a coadjoint orbit of  $SU(2)$ . Moreover, functions  $\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_3$  correspond to evaluation of elements of the orbit on a basis of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Hence, quantization of the system  $(\tilde{L}_e = \tilde{\pi}_3, S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$  should lead to a representation of  $SU(2)$ .

We assume that the symplectic manifold  $(S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$  is prequantizable. In other words, for  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$qh = \int_{S_e^2} \tilde{\omega}_e = \frac{1}{2e} \int_{S_e^2} \text{vol}_{S_e^2} = \frac{1}{2e} (4\pi e^2) = 2\pi e,$$

that is,  $0 \leq e = q\hbar$ , where  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$ . Thus  $q$  is a fixed nonnegative integer.

The Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions for the integrable reduced system  $(\tilde{L}_e, S_e^2, \tilde{\omega}_e)$  in action-angle coordinates  $(\tilde{L}_e, \psi)$ , see §3, are for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ph = \oint_{a^{-1}(\ell)} \tilde{L}_e d\psi = \ell \int_0^\pi 2 d\psi = 2\pi\ell.$$

The second equality above follows because the curve

$$[0, \pi] \rightarrow S_e^2 : \psi \mapsto (\sqrt{e^2 - \ell^2} \cos 2\psi, \sqrt{e^2 - \ell^2} \sin 2\psi, \ell)$$

parametrizes  $a^{-1}(\ell)$ . Thus  $\ell = p\hbar$ . Since  $\ell = \pi_3 \cos \theta_p$  and  $|\cos \theta_p| \leq 1$ , it follows that  $|\ell| \leq e$ , which implies  $|p| \leq q$  and  $\cos \theta_p = \frac{p}{q}$ . So the Bohr-Sommerfeld set  $\tilde{S}_q$  for the reduced integrable system  $(\pi_3|S_{q\hbar}^2, S_{q\hbar}^2, \tilde{\omega}_{q\hbar})$  with  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is

$$\tilde{S}_q = \{(q\hbar \sin \theta_p \cos 2\psi, q\hbar \sin \theta_p \sin 2\psi, p\hbar) \in S_{q\hbar}^2 \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (39)$$

with  $|p| \leq q$ . The set  $\tilde{S}_q$  is a disjoint union of  $2q + 1$  circles of radius  $(\sqrt{q^2 - p^2})\hbar$  when  $|p| < q$  and two points  $(0, 0, \pm q\hbar)$  when  $p = \pm q$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}$  with  $|p| \leq q$  be a vector in  $\tilde{S}_q$  which corresponds to the 1-torus

$$\{(q\hbar \sin \theta_p \cos 2\psi, q\hbar \sin \theta_p \sin 2\psi, p\hbar) \in S_{q\hbar}^2 \mid \psi \in [0, \pi]\}$$

in the Bohr-Sommerfeld set  $\tilde{S}_q$ . Define an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q$  so that  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p',q'} \rangle = \delta_{(p,q),(p',q')}$ . From now on we use the notation  $\tilde{\pi}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  for  $\pi_j|_{S_{q\hbar}^2}$ . For each integer  $p$  with  $|p| \leq q$  we have

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = q\hbar \cos \theta_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = p\hbar \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}. \quad (40)$$

So  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q = \text{span}\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \mid |p| \leq q\}$  consists of eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\hbar p$ .

We want to define shifting operators  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q^\dagger$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$  so that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-1,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+1,q}$$

By general theory, we expect to identify the operators  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_q^\dagger$  with the quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{e^{-i\psi}}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{e^{i\psi}}$ , respectively. However, the functions  $e^{\pm i\psi}$  are not single-valued on the complement of the poles in  $S_{q\hbar}^2$ . In order to get single-valued functions, we have take squares of  $e^{\pm i\psi}$ , namely the functions  $e^{\pm 2i\psi}$ . The functions  $e^{\pm 2i\psi}$  do not extend to smooth functions on  $S_{q\hbar}^2$ . However, the functions

$$\tilde{\pi}_\pm = \left( \sqrt{(q\hbar)^2 - \tilde{\pi}_3^2} \right) e^{\pm 2i\psi} = q\hbar \sin \theta \cos 2\psi \pm i q\hbar \sin \theta \sin 2\psi = \tilde{\pi}_1 \pm i \tilde{\pi}_2$$

do. Moreover, we have

$$\{\tilde{\pi}_\pm, \tilde{\pi}_3\} = \{\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_3\} \pm i \{\tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_3\} = 2\tilde{\pi}_2 \pm 2i \tilde{\pi}_1 = \pm 2i(\tilde{\pi}_1 \pm i \tilde{\pi}_2) = \pm 2i \tilde{\pi}_\pm.$$

Under reduction the quantum operator  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_E$  corresponds to the reduced quantum operator  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_4}$  and the quantum operator  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_L$  corresponds to the reduced quantum operator  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}$ .

As in the discussion of quantization of coadjoint in [4], we can define shifting operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_\pm}$  as follows. Set

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q},$$

where  $b_p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b_{-q} = 0 = b_{q+2}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_p \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} = b_p^2 \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_{p+2}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}.$$

So

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-}] \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} - \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = (b_p^2 - b_{p+2}^2) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}.$$

From

$$\{\tilde{\pi}_+, \tilde{\pi}_-\} = \{\tilde{\pi}_1 + i\tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_1 - i\tilde{\pi}_2\} = -2i\{\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2\} = -4i\tilde{\pi}_3$$

it follows that we should have

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-}] = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\{\tilde{\pi}_+, \tilde{\pi}_-\}} = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{-4i\tilde{\pi}_3} = 4\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}. \quad (41)$$

Evaluating both sides of equation (41) on  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}$  gives

$$b_{p+2}^2 - b_p^2 = -4\hbar^2 p,$$

for every  $p = -q + 2k$ , where  $0 \leq k \leq q$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular we have  $4\hbar^2 q = b_{q+2}^2 - b_q^2 = -b_q^2$ , since  $b_{q+2} = 0$  and  $4\hbar^2(-q) = b_{-q+2}^2 - b_{-q}^2 = b_{-q+2}^2$ , since  $b_{-q} = 0$ . Now for every  $p = -q + 2k$ , where  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_p^2 &= (b_p^2 - b_{p-2}^2) + (b_{p-2}^2 - b_{p-4}^2) + \dots + (b_{-q+2}^2 - b_{-q}^2) + b_{-q}^2 \\ &= -4\hbar^2(p-2) - 4\hbar^2(p-4) + \dots - 4\hbar^2(-q), \quad \text{since } b_{-q} = 0 \\ &= -4\hbar^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^k (p-2\ell), \quad \text{since } p = -q + 2k \\ &= -4\hbar^2(pk - (k+1)k) = 4\hbar^2 k(q+1-k) \\ &= -\hbar^2(p+q)(q-p+2). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{cases} b_p = 2\hbar\sqrt{k(q+1-k)} = \hbar\sqrt{(p+q)(q-p+2)} \\ b_{p+2} = 2\hbar\sqrt{(k+1)(q-k)} = \hbar\sqrt{(p+q)(q-p)}. \end{cases}$$

So when  $p \in \{-q, -q+2, -q+4, \dots, q-2, q\}$  and  $2k = p+q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} &= 2\hbar\sqrt{k(q+1-k)} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} &= 2\hbar\sqrt{(k+1)(q-k)} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} \end{aligned}$$

We now show that equation (41) holds. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} - \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+}) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} &= (b_p^2 - b_{p+2}^2) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \\ &= -4\hbar^2((k+1)(q-k) - k(q+1-k)) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \\ &= 4\hbar^2(-q+2k) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = 4\hbar^2 p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = 4\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\pi}_+ + \tilde{\pi}_-)$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_2 = \frac{1}{2i}(\tilde{\pi}_+ - \tilde{\pi}_-)$ , we get

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \frac{1}{2} b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} + \frac{1}{2} b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q}$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \frac{1}{2i} b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} - \frac{1}{2i} b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q}.$$

The following calculation shows that the linear operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}$  is self adjoint on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q$ .

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_+}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} + \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-}^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} + b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q} = \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}.$$

Similarly, the operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_k}$  for  $k = 2, 3, 4$  are self adjoint.

The operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}$  satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}] = i\hbar (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}), \quad [\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}] = i\hbar (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}), \quad [\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}] = i\hbar (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}),$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}] \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} &= \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} - \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} b_{p+2} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} - \frac{1}{2i} b_p \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2i} b_{p+2} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+2,q} - \frac{1}{2i} b_p \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-2,q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} b_{p+2} \left( \frac{1}{2} b_{p+4} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+4,q} + \frac{1}{2} b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2i} b_p \left( \frac{1}{2} b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} + \frac{1}{2} b_{p-2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-4,q} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} b_{p+2} \left( \frac{1}{2i} b_{p+4} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p+4,q} - \frac{1}{2i} b_{p+2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} b_p \left( \frac{1}{2i} b_p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} - \frac{1}{2i} b_{p-2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p-4,q} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4i} (2b_p^2 - 2b_{p+2}^2) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = i\hbar (2p\hbar) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = i\hbar (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}] \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} = i\hbar (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}] \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{m,q} = i\hbar (-2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}) \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}.$$

Therefore the skew hermitian operators  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}$ , and  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}$  satisfy the bracket relations

$$[\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}), \quad [\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (-2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}), \quad [\frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_2}, \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_3}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (2\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_1}),$$

which defines the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Compare with table 1.

Next we construct a representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  by skew hermitian linear operators. The bracket relations show that for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  the mapping

$$\tilde{\mu}_{q+1} : \mathfrak{su}(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q, \mathbb{C}) : \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_j} \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_{q+1} \left( \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_j} \right),$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}_{q+1} \left( \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_j} \right) : \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q : \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q} \mapsto \frac{1}{i\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_j} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{p,q}$  is a faithful complex  $2q + 1$ -dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q$  by skew hermitian linear

operators. This representation can be decomposed into a sum of two irreducible representations as follows. Let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q^0 = \bigoplus_{p=0}^q \text{span}\{(\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-})^p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{q,q}\} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^q \text{span}\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{q-2p,q}\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q^1 = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{q-1} \text{span}\{(\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\pi}_-})^p \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{q-1,q}\} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{q-1} \text{span}\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{q-1-2p,q}\}.$$

Then,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q^0$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q^1$  are irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  of dimension  $q+1$  and  $q$ , respectively. Moreover,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q = \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q^0 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q^1$ . Thus, Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of a coadjoint orbit of  $\text{SU}(2)$  leads to a *reducible*  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  representation by skew hermitian operators.




Figure 3. The quantum lattice for the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator after reducing the harmonic oscillator symmetry. The axes are in units of  $\hbar$ .

Using the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff formula and the fact that  $\text{SU}(2)$  is compact, we can exponentiate the representation  $\tilde{\mu}_{q+1}$  to a unitary representation  $R_q$  of  $\text{SU}(2)$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_q$ . For more details see [4].

## 6 The fully reduced harmonic oscillator

This section shows that the reason that, after reduction of the oscillator symmetry, the quantization of the Liouville integrable system on the 2-sphere

gives a *reducible* representation of  $SU(2)$ , is that the original 2-degree of freedom harmonic oscillator has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry. When this  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry is reduced, the quantized fully reduced system gives an *irreducible* representation of  $SU(2)$ .

## 6.1 The classical fully reduced system

In this subsection we reduce the *full* symmetry group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1$  of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

Here the  $S^1$  is generated by the flow of the harmonic oscillator vector field  $X_E$  (14) on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^4$  and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetry is generated by

$$\zeta : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto (y_1, y_2, x_1, x_2). \quad (43)$$

This  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetry preserves the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian  $E$  (12), but is anti-symplectic as  $\zeta^*\omega = dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + dx_2 \wedge dy_2 = -\omega$ .

We now find the induced  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  action on the generators  $\pi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  (34) of the invariants of the oscillator symmetry. In terms of  $(x, y)$  the  $(\xi, \eta)$  coordinates (22) are

$$(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((x_1 + y_2), (-x_1 + y_2), (-x_2 + y_1), (-x_2 - y_1)).$$

So the induced  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  action on  $(\xi, \eta)$  is the mapping

$$\zeta : (\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2) \mapsto (-\eta_2, -\eta_1, -\xi_2, -\xi_1).$$

Consequently, the induced action on the invariants  $\pi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  is the mapping

$$\zeta : (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4) \mapsto (\pi_1, \pi_2, -\pi_3, \pi_4).$$

Hence the algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1$  invariant polynomials is generated by  $\tau_1 = \pi_1$ ,  $\tau_2 = \pi_2$ ,  $\tau_3 = \pi_3^2$ , and  $\tau_4 = \pi_4$  subject to the relation

$$\tau_3 = \pi_3^2 = \pi_4^2 - \pi_1^2 - \pi_2^2 = \tau_4^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2, \quad \tau_3 \geq 0 \text{ \& } \tau_4 \geq 0, \quad (44)$$

which defines the orbit space  $\mathbb{R}^4/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1)$  of the full symmetry group of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

On  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$  we use the *nonstandard* differential structure generated by the continuous functions  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\sqrt{\tau_3}$ , and  $\tau_4$ . In other words, a function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2)$  if and only if there is a function  $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  such that  $f = F(\tau_1, \tau_2, \sqrt{\tau_3}, \tau_4)$ , see [2]. Using table 1 in section 2, we see that the Poisson bracket  $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2}$  on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2)$  has the structure matrix

$\{\tau_i, \tau_j\}_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2}$	$\tau_1$	$\tau_2$	$\sqrt{\tau_3}$	$\tau_4$
$\tau_1$	0	$2\sqrt{\tau_3}$	$-2\tau_2$	0
$\tau_2$	$-2\tau_2$	0	$2\tau_1$	0
$\sqrt{\tau_3}$	$2\tau_2$	$-4\tau_1$	0	0
$\tau_4$	0	0	0	0

Table 2. The structure matrix of the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2}$  on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2)$ .

The *fully reduced* phase space  $H_e^+ = S_e^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 = (E^{-1}(e)/S^1)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  with coordinates  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ , which is also  $E^{-1}(e)/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S^1)$ , is defined by (44) and  $\tau_4 = e$ , that is,  $\tau_3 = e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2$  with  $\tau_3 \geq 0$ . Geometrically,  $H_e^+$  is the upper hemisphere of the 2-sphere  $S_e^2$ . Because  $\tau_4$  is a Casimir of the Poisson algebra  $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2), \{, \}_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2}, \cdot)$ , the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{H_e^+}$  on the space  $C^\infty(H_e^+)$  of smooth functions on the fully reduced phase space  $H_e^+$  has structure matrix given in table 3. Note that the structure matrix of the

$\{\tau_i, \tau_j\}_{H_e^+}$	$\tau_1$	$\tau_2$	$\sqrt{\tau_3}$
$\tau_1$	0	$2\sqrt{\tau_3}$	$-2\tau_2$
$\tau_2$	$-2\sqrt{\tau_3}$	0	$2\tau_1$
$\sqrt{\tau_3}$	$2\tau_2$	$-2\tau_1$	0

Table 3. The structure matrix of the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{H_e^+}$  on  $C^\infty(H_e^+)$ .

Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{H_e^+}$  in table 3 defines a Lie algebra, which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ . The differential structure  $C^\infty(H_e^+)$  is generated by the functions  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ , and  $\sqrt{\tau_3}$ .

The next argument shows that the differential space  $(H_e^+, C^\infty(H_e^+))$  is locally diffeomorphic to a closed subset of Euclidean space. In other words, the differential space  $(H_e^+, C^\infty(H_e^+))$  is subcartesian, see [8]. The image under the restriction of the injective map

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : (\tau_1, \tau_2, \sqrt{\tau_3}) \mapsto (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) = (\tau_1, \tau_2, (\sqrt{\tau_3})^2)$$

to  $H_e^+$  is  $S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}$ . So  $\phi^*(C^\infty(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\})) = C^\infty(H_e^+)$ . Thus, the differential spaces  $(H_e^+, C^\infty(H_e^+))$  and  $(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}, C^\infty(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}))$  are diffeomorphic. Because  $S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}$  is a semialgebraic variety in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , being defined by  $\pi_1^2 + \pi_2^2 + \pi_3^2 = e^2$  and  $\pi_3 \geq 0$ , it is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Hence  $C^\infty(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)|(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\})$ . This implies

that  $(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}, C^\infty(S_e^2 \cap \{\pi_3 \geq 0\}))$  is a locally compact subcartesian differential space, see [2, Chapter VII].

The fully reduced Hamiltonian of the fully reduced system is

$$L_e^\vee : H_e^+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \mapsto \sqrt{\tau_3} \quad (45)$$

and the fully reduced equations of motion on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tau}_1 &= \{\tau_1, L_e^\vee\}_{H_e^+} = \{\tau_1, \tau_3\}_{H_e^+} = -2\tau_2 \\ \dot{\tau}_2 &= \{\tau_2, L_e^\vee\}_{H_e^+} = \{\tau_2, \tau_2\}_{H_e^+} = 2\tau_1 \\ \dot{\tau}_3 &= \{\tau_3, L_e^\vee\}_{H_e^+} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Since  $(\sqrt{\tau_3})^2 + \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2$  is a Casimir of the Poisson algebra  $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2), \{, \}_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2}, \cdot)$ , the fully reduced phase space  $H_e^+$  is invariant under the flow of (46). So (46) restricted to  $H_e^+$  gives the integral curves of the fully reduced Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{L_e^\vee}$  on the differential space  $(H_e^+, C^\infty(H_e^+))$ .

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \overline{D}_e^2 &= \{(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \leq e^2\} \rightarrow H_e^+ \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 : \\ &(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mapsto (\tau_1, \tau_2, \sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}) \end{aligned}$$

is a diffeomorphism of the differential space  $(\overline{D}_e^2, C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2))$  onto the differential space  $(H_e^+, C^\infty(H_e^+))$  provided we say that  $f \in C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2)$  if and only if there is an  $F \in C^\infty(H_e^+)$  such that  $f = \psi^*F$ . In other words,

$\{\tau_i, \tau_j\}_{\overline{D}_e^2}$	$\tau_1$	$\tau_2$
$\tau_1$	0	$2\sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}$
$\tau_2$	$-2\sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}$	0

Table 4. The structure matrix of the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{\overline{D}_e^2}$  on  $C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2)$ .

$C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2) = \psi^*(C^\infty(H_e^+))$ . Note that topologically  $\overline{D}_e^2$  is a closed 2-disk, but its differential structure  $C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2)$  is *nonstandard*. The structure matrix of the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}_{\overline{D}_e^2}$  on  $C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2)$  is given in table 4.

The fully reduced Hamiltonian on  $\overline{D}_e^2$  is

$$\widehat{L}_e = \psi^*L_e^\vee : \overline{D}_e^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (\tau_1, \tau_2) \mapsto \sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}.$$

The Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{\widehat{L}_e}$  of  $\widehat{L}_e$  on the differential space  $(\overline{D}_e^2, C^\infty(\overline{D}_e^2))$  has integral curves governed by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tau}_1 &= \{\tau_1, \widehat{L}_e\}_{\overline{D}_e^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}} (-2\tau_2\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}_{\overline{D}_e^2}) = -2\tau_2 \\ \dot{\tau}_2 &= \{\tau_2, \widehat{L}_e\}_{\overline{D}_e^2} = 2\tau_1.\end{aligned}$$

The function  $\widehat{A} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}$  is an action function on  $D_e^* = D_e^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , because its Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{\widehat{A}}$  is  $-\tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2}$  has integral curves  $t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^0 \\ \tau_2^0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $(\tau_1^0, \tau_2^0) \in D_e^*$ , which are periodic of period  $2\pi$ . The corresponding angle is  $\widehat{\vartheta} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}$ . Using table 4 we see that the fully reduced symplectic form  $\widehat{\omega}_{D_e^*}$  on  $D_e^*$  is  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}} d\tau_2 \wedge d\tau_1$ , which equals  $d\widehat{A} \wedge d\widehat{\vartheta}$ .

## 6.2 The quantized fully reduced harmonic oscillator

In this subsection we give the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the fully reduced harmonic oscillator  $(\widehat{L}_e, \overline{D}_e^2, \{ , \}_{\overline{D}_e^2})$ , where  $e$  is fixed and is nonnegative.

A straightforward calculation shows that the volume  $\text{vol}_{D_e^*}$  of the punctured 2-disk  $D_e^*$  using the fully reduced symplectic form  $\widehat{\omega}_{D_e^*}$  on  $D_e^*$  is  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2}} d\tau_2 \wedge d\tau_1$  is  $2\pi e$ . Thus  $(D_e^*, \widehat{\omega}_{D_e^*})$  is quantizable if there is a positive integer  $q$  such that  $2\pi e = hq$ , that is,  $e = \hbar q$ . Note that  $q$  is a fixed positive integer.

The 1-torus  $\widehat{A}^{-1}(\ell)$  on  $D_e^*$  is a Bohr-Sommerfeld 1-torus if there is an integer  $m$  such that

$$mh = \int_{\widehat{A}^{-1}(\ell)} \widehat{A} d\widehat{\vartheta} = \ell(2\pi),$$

that is,  $\ell = m\hbar$ . The second equality above follows because  $\widehat{A}^{-1}(\ell)$  is parametrized by  $\widehat{\vartheta} \mapsto \sqrt{e^2 - \ell^2}(\cos \widehat{\vartheta}, \sin \widehat{\vartheta})$  for  $\widehat{\vartheta} \in [0, 2\pi]$ . On  $D_e^*$  we have  $\ell^2 = e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2$ , which implies  $e^2 \geq \ell^2$ , that is,  $0 < m < q$ . Thus there are  $q + 1$  Bohr-Sommerfeld tori on  $\overline{D}_e^2$ , namely,  $q - 1$  regular Bohr-Sommerfeld 1-tori  $\{(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in D_e^* \mid \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 = (q^2 - m^2)\hbar^2\}$  with  $0 < m < q$ , one singular 1-torus  $\partial\overline{D}_e^2 = \{(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in D_e^* \mid \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 = e^2\}$ , and a singular point  $\{(0, 0)\}$ .

Corresponding to each of the  $q + 1$  Bohr-Sommerfeld tori constructed in the preceding paragraph, we associate a vector  $\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq q$ , which are

orthogonal under the hermitian inner product  $\langle \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_k, \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{k'} \rangle = \delta_{k,k'}$ . Their span is the Hilbert space  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q$ , which is the eigenspace of the self adjoint quantum operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{\widehat{A}}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\hbar q$ . The space of quantum states of the fully reduced harmonic oscillator is the Hilbert space  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}} = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q$ . The shifting operators on  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q$  in the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the fully reduced harmonic oscillator are the lowering operator  $\mathbf{a}_1(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{m-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq q \\ 0, & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases}$  and the raising operator  $\mathbf{a}_2(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m) = \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{m+1}$ , if  $0 \leq m \leq q-1$ . These operators correspond to the quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{e^{-i\widehat{\nu}}}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{e^{i\widehat{\nu}}}$ , respectively. Since  $e^{\pm i\widehat{\nu}}$  are not smooth functions on  $\overline{D}_e^2$ , we replace them with the smooth functions  $\tau_{\pm} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}(\tau_1 \pm i\tau_2) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} r e^{\pm i\widehat{\nu}}$ , where  $r = \sqrt{\tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2}$ . Using table 4 we get

$$\{\tau_+, \tau_-\}_{\overline{D}_e^2} = -i \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}_{\overline{D}_e^2} = -2i \sqrt{e^2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_2^2} = -4i \widehat{A},$$

$\{\tau_+, \widehat{A}\}_{\overline{D}_e^2} = i\tau_+$ , and  $\{\tau_-, \widehat{A}\}_{\overline{D}_e^2} = -i\tau_-$ . Thus  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \widehat{A}\}$  span a Lie algebra under  $\{, \}_{\overline{D}_e^2}$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Define quantum shifting operators on  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q$  by  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tau_-} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m = c_m \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{m-1}$  if  $1 \leq m \leq q$  and 0 if  $m = 0$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tau_+} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m = c_{m+1} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{m+1}$ , if  $0 \leq m \leq q-1$  and their adjoints  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tau_-}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m = c_{m+1} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{m+1}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tau_+}^\dagger \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_m = c_{m-1} \widehat{\mathbf{e}}_{m-1}$  if  $1 \leq m \leq q$  and 0 if  $m = 0$ . Here  $c_m \in \mathbb{C}$  for each  $0 \leq m \leq q$ . An argument analogous to the one used in section 5 determines the  $q+1$  coefficients  $c_m$  for  $0 \leq m \leq q$ . We get  $c_m = \begin{cases} i\hbar \sqrt{2m(m-1)}, & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq q \\ 0, & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases}$  Thus for each  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  the operators  $\{\mathbf{Q}_{\tau_1}, \mathbf{Q}_{\tau_2}, \mathbf{Q}_{\widehat{A}}\}$  give rise to a  $q+1$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  on  $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_q$ .

## 7 Summary

We summarize the contents of this paper. In sections 2–6 we give the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the following Liouville integrable systems: the two degree of freedom harmonic oscillator  $(E, L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)$ ; its reduction by the  $S^1$  oscillator symmetry on a fixed energy level  $e$  to the one degree of freedom system  $(\widetilde{L}_e, S_e^2, \widetilde{\omega}_e)$ ; and the reduction of the latter system under the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry to a one degree of freedom system  $(\widehat{L}_e, \overline{D}_e^2, \{, \}_{\overline{D}_e^2})$ . In each of the above integrable systems we find the Hilbert space of quantum states using Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization. Using the lowering and raising operators coming from the Heisenberg quantization, we obtain skew symmetric quantum operators on three spaces of quantum states. Each of

the three collections of quantum operators gives rise to a representation of a finite dimensional Lie algebras, namely,  $\mathcal{L}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ , and  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ , respectively. Each of these representations decompose their respective space of quantum states into: a countably infinite number of copies of an infinite dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{L}_2$ ; two finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  of dimension  $q$  and  $q + 1$ ; and a single finite dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  of dimension  $q + 1$ .

## References

- [1] N. Bohr, On the constitution of atoms and molecules (Part I), *Philosophical Magazine* **26** (1913) 1–25.
- [2] R. Cushman and L. Bates, *Global Aspects of Classical Integrable Systems, second edition*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2015.
- [3] R. Cushman and J. Duistermaat, The quantum mechanical spherical pendulum, *Bull. AMS* **19** (1988) 475–479.
- [4] R. Cushman and J. Śniatycki, Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg theory in geometric quantization, *J. Geom. Symmetry in Phys.* **35** (2014) 11–19.
- [5] R. Cushman and J. Śniatycki, Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization of the spherical pendulum, [Arxiv:mathSG.1603.00966v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/mathSG/1603.00966v1).
- [6] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, *Invent. Math.* **67** (1982) 515–538.
- [7] J. Śniatycki, *Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics*, Applied Mathematics Series **30**, Springer Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [8] J. Śniatycki, *Differential Geometry of Singular Spaces and Reduction of Symmetries*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [9] A. Sommerfeld, Zur Theorie der Balmerschen Serie, *Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (München), mathematisch-physikalische Klasse* (1915) 425–458.
- [10] N. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization, Second Edition*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1997.