# Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg Theory in Geometric Quantization

Richard Cushman and Jędrzej Śniatycki<sup>∗</sup>

#### Abstract

In the framework of geometric quantization we extend the Bohr-Sommerfeld rules to a full quantum theory which resembles Heisenberg's matrix theory. This extension is possible because Bohr-Sommerfeld rules not only provide an orthogonal basis in the space of quantum states, but also give a lattice structure to this basis. This permits the definition of appropriate shifting operators. As examples, we discuss the 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator and the coadjoint orbits of the rotation group.

# 1 Introduction

The desire to understand the energy spectrum of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems lead to Bohr-Sommerfeld theory, also called old quantum theory. Bohr [\[1\]](#page-22-0) explained Planck's hypothesis by the spectrum of the harmonic oscillator, which he obtained using his quantum conditions. Sommerfeld [\[19\]](#page-23-0) extended Bohr's quantization rules to a system with Hamiltonian

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
H = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2} + \frac{k}{r}.\tag{1}
$$

The first term in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is the relativistic expression for the kinetic energy of a particle with mass  $m$  and momentum  $p$  and the second term is the potential energy of a charged particle in the electric field produced by a stationary

<sup>∗</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 1N4 Canada

charged particle at the origin. With an appropriate choice of the parameters m and k, we can use the Hamiltonian H as an approximation to the energy of an electron in the hydrogen atom in the limit of infinite mass of its nucleus. The energy spectrum of the hydrogen atom obtained by Sommerfeld agreed exactly with the observed spectrum.<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> The Bohr-Sommerfeld theory was applied with varying success to other systems.

The problem with Bohr-Sommerfeld theory is that it gives only the joint spectrum of energy and angular momentum. It does not provide a way to discuss the probability of transition between states. The next stage in the development of understanding of the nature of quantum physics was provided by the matrix theory of Heisenberg [\[12\]](#page-22-1) and the wave theory of Schrödinger [\[16\]](#page-23-1). Heisenberg postulated that dynamical variables were not functions on the phase space of the system but matrices in some vector space, possibly infinite dimensional. One can infer that Heisenberg's matrices are linear transformations in the space of physical states relative to a basis provided by Bohr-Sommerfeld joint eigenstates of energy and angular momentum. Heisenberg's approach was further developed by Born and Jordan [\[2\]](#page-22-2), who used it to study various physical systems. For Schrödinger, physical states were described by complex valued functions on the configuration space of the system; while dynamical variables were represented by differential operators obtained from classical dynamical variables by replacing the momentum by  $i/\hbar$  times the operator of differentiation with respect to the position variable. Here  $\hbar$  is Planck's constant divided by  $2\pi$ . Dirac [\[8\]](#page-22-3) showed that the theories of Heisenberg and Schrödinger are equivalent. Since then, the Schrödinger equation has become the computational basis of quantum mechanics. Heisenberg's theory is discussed in works mainly of historical interest [\[15\]](#page-23-2).

At present, quantization of a completely integrable Hamiltonian system is discussed in the Schrödinger framework. The energy spectra obtained there tend to their Bohr-Sommerfeld counterparts as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  [\[21\]](#page-23-3).

The aim of this paper is to find a place for the Heisenberg matrix formalism within the framework of geometric quantization. A completely inte-

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is remarkable that the energy spectrum obtained by Sommerfeld agrees exactly with the energy spectrum obtained by solving the Dirac equation for an electron in the same electric field [\[7\]](#page-22-4). Even more puzzling is the fact that a modification of Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions by a term  $\frac{1}{2}\hbar$  gives rise to the energy spectrum for a  $\pi$  meson in the same electric field, whixh can be obtained by solving the Klein-Gordon equation. [\[17\]](#page-23-4)

grable Hamiltonian system defines a singular real polarization  $F = D \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of the phase space of the underlying classical system, which is a symplectic manifold  $(P, \omega)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  the space of sections of the prequantization line bundle  $L$  over  $P$  that are covariantly constant along  $F$ . The Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions identify those leaves of F that admit lifts to covariantly constant sections of L [\[18\]](#page-23-5). The space  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  consists of generalized sections (distribution sections) of L that are supported on unions of Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves. For each Bohr-Sommerfeld leaf, we can choose a section supported on that leaf. In this way, we obtain a basis of complex vector space  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$ . We may choose a scalar product on  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  so that this basis is orthogonal. Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  denotes the space of sections of  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  that are normalizable with respect to this scalar product.

It is natural to choose a scalar product in  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  so that sections supported on different Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves are mutually orthogonal. However, the classical theory does not suggest how to normalize any section supported on a single Bohr-Sommerfeld leaf, as this normalization is quite arbitrary. Nevertheless, the projection of our orthonormal basis to the complex projective space of one dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}$  is well defined by the classical data.

Our next step is the observation that the basis of  $\mathfrak H$  given by the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions has a natural structure of a local lattice. This observation lead Cushman and Duistermaat [\[4\]](#page-22-5) to the notion of a quantum monodromy. First suppose that our basis of  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a global lattice. Then, associated to each generator of the lattice, there is a well defined shifting operator defined by assigning to each vector of our basis the adjacent vector in the lattice. Next we compute commutation relations among the shifting operators and the operators that are diagonal in our basis. Furthermore we look for functions on  $P$ , which satisfy the Poisson bracket relations corresponding to the commutation relations of shifting operators. We define quantization of these functions to be the corresponding shifting operators. As usual in quantization, we are making a choice, but we know exactly the arbitrariness involved in this choice. We apply the above this procedure to harmonic oscillator with one degree of freedom and to coadjoint orbits of the rotation group  $SO(3)$ . In [\[5\]](#page-22-6) we treat the harmonic oscillator in two degrees of freedom. In these examples, our theory gives the usual results.

Now suppose that the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis is only a local lattice. Let  $U$ be the maximal open dense subset of  $P$  on which the singular real polarization

F of  $(P, \omega)$  is regular. The space  $V = U/D$  of the regular tori in P is a quotient manifold of U and the projection map  $\pi : U \to V$  is a locally trivial 2-torus bundle. In the universal covering space  $\tilde{V}$  of V, we repeat the construction for the case of a global lattice. Quantization of functions on  $\widetilde{V}$  that are pull-backs of functions from V gives quantum operators in our Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We illustrate our approach in another paper [\[6\]](#page-22-7) by quantizing the spherical pendulum.

### 2 Elements of geometric quantization

In this section, we review the elements of geometric quantization. Our notation differs from other authors in that our symplectic form is the negative of the symplectic form used in [\[14\]](#page-23-6). This is the reason for the appearance of a negative sign in various formulae. In particular, if  $(P, \omega)$  is a symplectic manifold, then the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  of corresponding to the Hamiltonian function  $f \in C^{\infty}(P)$  satisfies  $X_f \rightharpoonup \omega = -df$  and the Poisson bracket of  $f_1, f_2 \in C^{\infty}(P)$  is given by  $\{f_1, f_2\} = X_{f_2} f_1$ . These conventions are commonly used in theoretical physics, see [\[20\]](#page-23-7), [\[18\]](#page-23-5), and [\[22\]](#page-23-8).

#### 2.1 Prequantization

We now discuss prequantization.

Let  $\lambda: L \to P$  be a complex line bundle with a connection and a connection invariant Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ . A connection on L is given by the covariant derivative operator  $\nabla$ , which associates to each section  $\sigma$  of L and each smooth vector field X on P a section  $\nabla_X \sigma$  of L so that for each  $f \in C^{\infty}(P)$ ,

$$
\nabla_X(f\sigma) = X(f)\sigma + f\nabla_X\sigma \text{ and } \nabla_{fX}\sigma = f\nabla_X\sigma.
$$

For every section  $\sigma$  of  $L, f \in C^{\infty}(P)$  and every smooth vector field  $X, X'$  on P, the curvature  $K(X, X') = [\nabla_X, \nabla_{X'}] - \nabla_{[X, X']}$  of  $\nabla$  satisfies  $K(X', X) =$  $-K(X, X')$  and  $K(X, X')(f \sigma) = f K(X, X')\sigma$ . Hence there is a 2-form  $\alpha$ on  $P$  such that

$$
K(X, X')\sigma = 2\pi i \,\alpha(X, X')\sigma. \tag{2}
$$

The form  $\alpha$  is the pull-back by the section  $\sigma$  of the curvature form of the connection  $\nabla$ . The Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  on L is connection invariant if, for every pair of sections  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  of L and every smooth vector field X on P we have

$$
X(\langle \sigma_1 | \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle \nabla_X \sigma_1 | \sigma_2 \rangle + \langle \sigma_1 | \nabla_X \sigma_2 \rangle.
$$

Quantization of a mechanical system is defined in terms of an additional free parameter  $\hbar$ . In quantum mechanics,  $\hbar$  is the value of Planck's constant divided by  $2\pi$ . However, in the quasi-classical approximation one considers limits of various expressions as  $\hbar \to 0$ .

The line bundle L over P with a connection  $\nabla$  and a connection invariant Hermitian form on L is a prequantization line bundle of  $(P, \omega)$  if the following prequantization condition is satisfied

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
K(X, X')\sigma = \frac{i}{\hbar}\,\omega(X, X')\sigma\tag{3}
$$

for every smooth vector field  $X, X'$  on P and each section  $\sigma$  of L. The prequantization condition [\(3\)](#page-4-0) requires that the de Rham cohomology class  $[(2\pi\hbar)^{-1}\omega]$  on P is in  $\mathrm{H}^2(P,\mathbb{Z})$ .

Prequantization assigns to each  $f \in C^{\infty}(P)$  an operator  $P_f$  on the space  $S^{\infty}(L)$  of smooth sections of L given by

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_f \sigma = i\hbar (\nabla_{X_f} + f)\sigma. \tag{4}
$$

For each  $f_1, f_2 \in C^{\infty}(P)$  and  $\sigma \in S^{\infty}(L)$ , we have

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
[\boldsymbol{P}_{f_1}, \boldsymbol{P}_{f_2}] = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{P}_{\{f_1, f_2\}}.\tag{5}
$$

This implies that the map

$$
C^{\infty}(P) \times S^{\infty}(L) \to S^{\infty}(L) : (f, \sigma) \mapsto \frac{i}{\hbar} P_f \sigma
$$

is a representation of the Lie algebra of  $(C^{\infty}(P), \{\ ,\ \})$  on  $S^{\infty}(L)$ .

The space  $S_0^{\infty}(L)$  of compactly supported smooth sections of L has a Hermitian inner product

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
(\sigma_1 \mid \sigma_2) = \int_P \langle \sigma_1 \mid \sigma_2 \rangle \omega^n,\tag{6}
$$

where  $n=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  dim P. For each  $f \in C^{\infty}(P)$ , the prequantization operator  $P_f$ is symmetric with respect to the inner product [\(6\)](#page-4-1). If the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  of f is complete, then  $\boldsymbol{P}_f$  is self adjoint on the Hilbert space obtained by completing  $S_0^{\infty}(L)$  with respect to the norm given by [\(6\)](#page-4-1). Equation [\(5\)](#page-4-2) gives the usual commutation relations imposed in quantum mechanics.

#### 2.2 Polarization

Prequantization does not correspond to quantum theory because the probability density  $(\sigma | \sigma)(p)$  of localizing the state  $\sigma$  at a point  $p \in P$  fails to satisfy the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. To avoid this difficulty we introduce the notion of a polarization.

A complex distribution  $F \subset T^{\mathbb{C}}P = \mathbb{C} \otimes TP$  on a symplectic manifold  $(P, \omega)$  is Lagrangian if, for each  $p \in P$ , the restriction of the symplectic form  $\omega$  to the subspace  $F_p \subset T_p^{\mathbb{C}}P$  vanishes identically and rank  $F = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  dim P. We denote the complex conjugate of the distribution  $F$  by  $\overline{F}$ . Let

$$
D = F \cap \overline{F} \cap TP \text{ and } E = (F + \overline{F}) \cap TP.
$$
 (7)

A polarization of  $(P, \omega)$  is an involutive complex Lagrangian distribution F such that  $D$  and  $E$  are involutive distributions on  $P$ .

Let  $C^{\infty}(P)_{F}^{0}$  be the space of smooth complex valued functions on P that are constant along  $F$ , that is,

$$
C^{\infty}(P)_{F}^{0} = \{ f \in C^{\infty}(P) \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid uf = 0 \text{ for all } u \in F \}.
$$

We denote by  $C_F^{\infty}(P)$  the space of smooth functions on P whose Hamiltonian vector fields preserve F. In other words,  $f \in C_F^{\infty}(P)$  if, for every  $h \in$  $C^{\infty}(P)_{F}^{0}$ , the Poisson bracket  $\{f,h\} \in C^{\infty}(P)_{F}^{0}$ . If  $f_1, f_2 \in C_F^{\infty}(P)$  and  $h \in C^{\infty}(P)_F^0$  then the Jacobi identity implies that

$$
\{\{f_1, f_2\}, h\} = -\{f_2, \{f_1, h\}\} + \{f_1, \{f_2, h\}\} \in C^{\infty}(P)_F^0.
$$

Hence, for a strongly admissible polarization, the ring  $C_F^{\infty}(P)$  is a Poisson subalgebra of  $(C^{\infty}(P), \{ , \} )$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  denote the space of smooth sections of L which are covariantly constant along  $F$ , namely,

$$
\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L) = \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{S}^{\infty}(L) \mid \nabla_u \sigma = 0 \text{ for all } u \in F \}.
$$

For each  $h \in C^{\infty}(P)_F^0$ ,  $f \in C_F^{\infty}(P)$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L)$  we have  $\nabla_{X_h}(\mathbf{P}_f \sigma) = 0$ . Thus, for every  $f \in C_F^{\infty}(P)$ , the prequantization operator  $P_f$  maps  $S_F^{\infty}(L)$  to itself. The quantization map  $Q$  relative to a polarization  $F$  is the restriction of the prequantization map

$$
\boldsymbol{P}: C^{\infty}(P) \times \mathcal{S}^{\infty}(L) \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}(L): (f, \sigma) \mapsto \boldsymbol{P}_f \sigma = i\hbar (\nabla_{X_f} + f) \sigma
$$

to the domain  $C_F^{\infty}(P) \times \mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L) \subset C^{\infty}(P) \times \mathcal{S}^{\infty}(L)$  and the codomain  $\mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L) \subset$  $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}(L)$ . In other words,

$$
\mathbf{Q}: C_F^{\infty}(P) \times \mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L) \to \mathcal{S}_F^{\infty}(L): (f, \sigma) \mapsto \mathbf{Q}_f \sigma = i\hbar (\nabla_{X_f} + f)\sigma.
$$
 (8)

For each  $f_1, f_2 \in C_F^{\infty}(P)$ , the quantized operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{f_1}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{f_2}$  satisfy the Dirac commutation relations

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
[\boldsymbol{Q}_{f_1}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{f_2}] = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\{f_1, f_2\}} \tag{9}
$$

The choice of a polarization in geometric quantization is analogous to the choice of a complete family of commuting observables in the Dirac theory. In this paper we choose a real polarization corresponding to a foliation of  $(P, \omega)$ by Lagrangian tori.

In general, sections in  $S_F^{\infty}(L)$  need not be square integrable with respect to the inner product [\(6\)](#page-4-1). Therefore, one may have to introduce a new inner product in  $S_F^{\infty}(L)$ . We refer to this step as unitarization. In the situation considered here, the choice of the inner product will be discussed later.

#### 3 The Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions

A Hamiltonian system on  $(P, \omega)$  is completely integrable if it admits  $n =$ 1  $\frac{1}{2}$  dim P Poisson commuting constants of motion  $f_1, ..., f_n$ , which are functionally independent on an open dense subset  $U$  of  $P$  and the joint level sets of  $f_1, ..., f_n$  form a singular foliation of  $(P, \omega)$  by *n*-dimensional Lagrangian tori. In other words, the span of the Hamiltonian vector fields of  $f_1, ..., f_n$ defines a singular real polarization D of  $(P, \omega)$ . The restriction of D to the open dense subset U of P is a regular polarization of  $(U, \omega_{|U})$ .

Since leaves of  $D$  are affine Lagrangian *n*-tori, the connection on  $L$  restricted to each leaf is flat. Hence, the only obstruction to the existence of sections of L that are covariantly constant along  $D$  is the vanishing of the holonomy group. Let  $T$  be an integral manifold of  $D$ . From the existence of action-angle coordinates it follows that there is a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $T$  in P such that  $\omega$  restricted to W is exact, that is  $\omega_{|W} = d\theta_W$  for a 1-form  $\theta_W$ on W, see [\[3,](#page-22-8) appendix D]. The holonomy group of  $L_{T}$  vanishes if an only if, for each generator  $\Gamma_i$  of the fundamental group of the *n*-torus T,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Gamma_i} \theta = m_i h \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n,
$$
\n(10)

where  $m_i$  is an integer and h is Planck's constant. For proof of this statement see [\[18\]](#page-23-5). Equation [\(10\)](#page-6-0) is known as the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition.

Let S be the collection of all tori satisfying the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition. We refer to  $S$  as the Bohr-Sommerfeld set of the integrable system  $(f_1, \ldots, f_n, P, \omega)$ . Since the curvature form of L is symplectic, it follows that the complement of S is open in P. Hence, the representation space  $\mathfrak{H}$  of geometric quantization of an integrable system consists of distribution sections of L supported on the Bohr Sommerfeld set S. Since these distribution sections are covariantly constant along the distribution  $D$ , it follows that each *n*-torus  $T \in S$  corresponds to a 1-dimensional subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_T$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We choose a inner product ( | ) on  $\mathfrak{H}$  so that the family  $\{\mathfrak{H}_T \mid T \in S\}$  consists of mutually orthogonal subspaces.

In Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization, one assigns to each *n*-tuple of Poisson commuting constants of motion  $f = (f_1, ..., f_n)$  on P an n-tuple  $(\mathbf{Q}_{f_1}, ..., \mathbf{Q}_{f_n})$ of commuting quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{f_k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  such that for each ntorus  $T \in S$ , the corresponding 1-dimensional space  $\mathfrak{H}_T$  of the representation space  $(\mathfrak{H},( \mid))$  is an eigenspace for each  $Q_{f_k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  with eigenvalue  $f_{k|T}$ . For any smooth function  $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the composition  $F(f_1, ..., f_n)$  is quantizable. The operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{F(f_1,...,f_n)}$  acts on each  $\mathfrak{H}_T$  by multiplication by  $F(f_1, ..., f_n)_{|T}$ .

The disadvantage of the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory, as described above, is that it does not give rise to operators describing transitions between corresponding tori in S. Nevertheless, it has been useful in determining the dimension of the space of states of certain quantum systems, see [\[11\]](#page-22-9) and [\[13\]](#page-22-10). In this paper, we follow ideas due to Heisenberg [\[12\]](#page-22-1) and Born and Jordan [\[2\]](#page-22-2) to obtain an extension of the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory to a full quantum theory with a large class of quantizable functions.

### 4 Shifting operators

From the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition [\(10\)](#page-6-0) it follows that the Bohr-Sommerfeld set  $S$  is a local lattice. In this section we assume that there exist global action-angle variables  $(A_i, \varphi_i)$  on U such that  $\omega_{|U} = d(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \, d\varphi_i)$ . In other words, we assume that the 1-form  $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \ d\varphi_i$  is defined globally on U. In this case the Bohr Sommerfeld set S defines a global lattice  $S_U$  on U. The case when the action-angle variables are only locally defined will be studied in  $|6|$ .

Consider a subspace  $\mathfrak{H}_U$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  given by the direct sum of 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}_U$  corresponding to *n*-tori  $T \in S_U$ . Since  $S_U$  is a global lattice, we can label the *n*-tori in  $S_U$  by *n*-tuples of integers  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, ..., m_n)$ , where the index i corresponds to index of the action-angle variables  $(A_i, \varphi_i)$  and  $m_i$ is the integer appearing in the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition [\(10\)](#page-6-0), which defines an n-torus in these variables. In other words, we write the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions in the form

$$
\int_{\Gamma_i} A_i \ d\varphi_i = m_i h \ \text{ for each } i = 1, ..., n.
$$

Since the actions  $A_i$  are independent of the angle variables, we can perform the integration and obtain

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
A_i = m_i \hbar \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{11}
$$

where  $\hbar = h/2\pi$ . Equation [\(11\)](#page-8-0) determine an *n*-torus  $T_{\rm m}$  in  $S_U$ . Let  $e_{\rm m}$ be a basis vector of  $\mathfrak{H}_{T_m}$  corresponding to the *n*-torus  $T_m$ . Each  $e_m$  is a joint eigenvector of the commuting operators  $(\boldsymbol{Q}_{A_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Q}_{A_n})$  corresponding to eigenvalues  $(m_1\hbar, \ldots, m_n\hbar)$ . The vectors  $(e_m)$  form an orthonormal basis in  $\mathfrak{H}_U$ . Thus,

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m}} \mid \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{m'}}) = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{m'}.
$$
 (12)

For each  $i = 1, ..., n$ , introduce an operator  $a_i$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_U$  such that

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
a_i e_{(m_1,\ldots,m_{i-1},m_i,m_{i+1},\ldots,m_n)} = e_{(m_1,\ldots,m_{i-1},m_i-1,m_{i+1},\ldots,m_n)}.
$$
 (13)

In other words, the operator  $a_i$  shifts the joint eigenspace of  $(Q_{A_1}, \ldots, Q_{A_n})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(m_1\hbar, \ldots, m_n\hbar)$  to the joint eigenspace of  $(Q_{A_1}, \ldots, Q_{A_n})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $(m_1\hbar, \ldots, m_{i-1}\hbar, (m_i-1)\hbar,$  $m_{i+1}\hbar, \ldots, m_n\hbar$ ). Let  $a_i^{\dagger}$  be the adjoint of  $a_i$ . Equations [\(12\)](#page-8-1) and [\(13\)](#page-8-2) yield

$$
\boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{e}_{(m_{1},...,m_{i-1},m_{i},m_{i+1},...,m_{n})} = \boldsymbol{e}_{(m_{1},...m_{i-1},m_{i}+1,m_{i+1},...,m_{n})} \tag{14}
$$

We refer to the operators  $a_i$  and  $a_i^{\dagger}$  $i<sub>i</sub>$  as *shifting* operators.<sup>[2](#page-8-3)</sup> For every  $i =$  $1, \ldots, n$  and each **m**, we have

$$
[\boldsymbol{a}_i,\boldsymbol{Q}_{A_i}]\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{m}}=\boldsymbol{a}_i\boldsymbol{Q}_{A_i}\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{m}}-\boldsymbol{Q}_{A_i}\boldsymbol{a}_i\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{m}}
$$

<span id="page-8-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In representation theory, shifting operators are called ladder operators. The corresponding operators in quantum field theory are called the creation and annihilation operators.

$$
= \bm{a}_i(m_i\hbar\,\bm{e_m}) - \bm{Q}_{A_i}\bm{e}_{(m_1,...m_{i-1},m_i-1,m_{i+1},...,m_n)}\\ = \hbar\,\bm{e}_{(m_1,...m_{i-1},m_i-1,m_{i+1},...,m_n)} = \hbar\,\bm{a}_i\bm{e_m}.
$$

Hence,

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
[\boldsymbol{a}_i, \boldsymbol{Q}_{A_i}] = \hbar \, \boldsymbol{a}_i. \tag{15}
$$

Moreover,  $i \neq j$  implies  $[a_i, \mathcal{Q}_{A_j}] = 0$ . Taking the adjoint, of the preceding equations, we get

$$
[\boldsymbol{a}_i^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{A_i}] = -\hbar \boldsymbol{a}_i^{\dagger} \text{ and } [\boldsymbol{a}_i^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{A_j}] = 0 \text{ whenever } i \neq j.
$$

If  $f_j$  is a smooth function on P such that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\{f_j, A_k\} = i\delta_{kj} f_j,\tag{16}
$$

then, we can interpret the operator  $a_j$  as the quantum operator corresponding to  $f_j$ . In other words, we set  $a_j = Q_{f_j}$ . This choice is consistent with Dirac's quantization relations [\(9\)](#page-6-1)

$$
[\boldsymbol{Q}_f, \boldsymbol{Q}_h] = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\{f,h\}} \tag{17}
$$

because [\(15\)](#page-9-0) yields

$$
[\boldsymbol{Q}_{f_j}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{A_k}] = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\{f_j, A_k\}} = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{(i\delta_{kj}f_j)} = \delta_{kj}\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{f_j}.
$$

Clearly, the function  $f_j$  is defined by equation [\(16\)](#page-9-1) up to an arbitrary function which commutes with all actions  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ . Hence, there is a choice involved. We shall use this freedom of choice to obtain simple expressions for the quantum operators corresponding to the functions  $f_1, ..., f_n$ .

Since  $\omega_{|U} = \sum_{i=1}^n dA_i \wedge d\varphi_i$ , it follows that the Poisson bracket of  $e^{i\varphi_j}$ and  $A_k$  is

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\{e^{i\varphi_j}, A_k\} = X_{A_k}e^{i\varphi_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_k}e^{i\varphi_j} = i\delta_{kj}e^{i\varphi_j}.
$$
 (18)

Comparing equations [\(16\)](#page-9-1) and [\(18\)](#page-9-2) we see that we may make the following identification  $a_k = Q_{e^{i\varphi_k}}$ . Hence,  $a_k^{\dagger} = Q_{e^{-i\varphi_k}}$ .

With this identification we can quantize the following functions on  $(U, \omega_{|U})$ .

- The actions  $A_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots n$ .
- The functions  $e^{i\varphi_j}$ ,  $j = 1, ..., n$  and their complex conjugate  $e^{-i\varphi_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots n$ , respectively.
- Since the operators  $Q_{A_j}, j = 1, \ldots, n$ , commute with each other, for any analytic function  $H$  of *n*-variables, we can define an operator  $\bm{Q}_{H(A_1,...,A_n)} = H(\bm{Q}_{A_1},...,\bm{Q}_{A_n}) \;.$
- Since,  $\cos \varphi_j = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2} (e^{i\varphi_j} + e^{-i\varphi_j})$  and  $\sin \varphi_j = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2} (e^{i\varphi_j} - e^{-i\varphi_j})$  we may set  $Q_{\cos \varphi_j} = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_j + \boldsymbol{a}_j^\dagger\right)$  $j^{+}$ ) and  $Q_{\sin \varphi_j} = \frac{1}{2i}$  $\frac{1}{2i}(\boldsymbol{a}_j - \boldsymbol{a}_j^\dagger$  $_{j}^{\intercal})$  .
- Since the operators  $Q_{\cos \varphi_j}$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  commute with each other, we can quantize any analytic function of  $\cos \varphi_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Similarly, we can quantize any analytic function of  $\sin \varphi_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ .
- We can also quantize functions linear in the actions. For example,

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} \bm{Q}_{A_i \cos \varphi_i} &=& \frac{1}{2}(\bm{Q}_{A_i} \bm{Q}_{\cos \varphi_i} + \bm{Q}_{\cos \varphi_i} \bm{Q}_{A_i}), \\ \bm{Q}_{A_i \sin \varphi_i} &=& \frac{1}{2}(\bm{Q}_{A_i} \bm{Q}_{\sin \varphi_i} + \bm{Q}_{\sin \varphi_i} \bm{Q}_{A_i}). \end{array}
$$

Here the order of the operators on the right hand side is determined by the requirement that quantization of a real function yields a symmetric operator.

It should be noted that quantization of functions involving the angles  $\varphi_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  gives rise to operators on  $\mathfrak{H}_U$  that are presented as matrices with respect to the basis  $(e_{\mathbf{m}})$ .

The results described above give a quantization of the symplectic manifold  $(U, \omega_{U})$  with respect to the real polarization  $D_{|U}$ , provided  $S_{U}$  is unbounded in every direction. This requirement is equivalent to the statement that the lattice corresponding to  $S_U$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . If the lattice  $S_U$  is bounded in any direction, we have to take it into account in our definition of the shifting operators. Similarly, if the boundary of U contains some Bohr-Sommerfeld tori, we also have to modify the definition of the shifting operators. These modifications will be described in the examples treated below.

### 5 The 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator

The phase space of a harmonic oscillator is  $P = \mathbb{R}^2$  with coordinates p and q and symplectic form  $\omega = dp \wedge dq = d\theta$ , where  $\theta = p dq$ . The Hamiltonian

of a harmonic oscillator is  $H=\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(p^2+q^2)$ . The Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$ of  $H$  is

$$
X_H = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} = p \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - q \frac{\partial}{\partial p}.
$$

The flow of  $X_H$  defines an SU(1)-action on P given by

$$
\Phi : \mathrm{SU}(1) \times P \to P :
$$
  
\n
$$
(e^{i\varphi}, (p, q)) \mapsto \Phi_{e^{i\varphi}}(p, q) = (p \cos \varphi - q \sin \varphi, p \sin \varphi + q \cos \varphi).
$$

The origin  $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi$ . The orbits of SU(1) give rise a Lagrangian fibration by circles on  $U = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0,0)$ .

Using polar coordinates  $(r, \varphi)$  on U, we have  $p = r \cos \varphi$  and  $q = r \sin \varphi$ . Then  $\omega = r \, dr \wedge d\varphi = d(\frac{1}{2}r^2 \, d\varphi)$ . This implies that  $H = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(p^2+q^2)=\frac{1}{2}r^2$ is an action and  $\varphi$  is the corresponding angle variable. So polar coordinates  $(r, \varphi)$  are action-angle variables on U.

The shifting operators  $\boldsymbol{a}$  and  $\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}$ , introduced in §4 are

$$
\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}_m=\boldsymbol{e}_{m-1}\quad\text{and}\quad \ \boldsymbol{a}^\dagger\boldsymbol{e}_m=\boldsymbol{e}_{m+1},\quad\text{ for }m>0
$$

and they correspond to quantum operators  $a = Q_{e^{i\varphi}}$  and  $a^{\dagger} = Q_{e^{-i\varphi}}$ . The functions  $e^{\pm i\varphi}$  do not extend smoothly to the origin  $(0,0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . However, the functions  $z = p + iq = re^{i\varphi}$  and  $\bar{z} = p - iq = re^{-i\varphi}$  are smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . They satisfy the required Poisson bracket relations

$$
\{z, H\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} r e^{i\varphi} = i r e^{i\varphi} = i z,
$$
  

$$
\{\bar{z}, H\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} r e^{-i\varphi} = -i r e^{-i\varphi} = -i \bar{z}.
$$

Therefore, we may introduce new operators  $\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{Q}_z$  and  $\boldsymbol{b}^\dagger = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\bar{z}}$ . Equation [\(9\)](#page-6-1) yields

$$
[\boldsymbol{Q}_z, \boldsymbol{Q}_H] = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\{z,H\}} = \hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_z \text{ and } [\boldsymbol{Q}_{\bar{z}}, \boldsymbol{Q}_H] = -i\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\{\bar{z},H\}} = -\hbar \, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\bar{z}}.
$$

In other words,  $[\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{Q}_H] = \hbar \, \boldsymbol{b}$  and  $[\boldsymbol{b}^\dagger, \boldsymbol{Q}_H] = -\hbar \, \boldsymbol{b}^\dagger$ . Hence, for every  $m > 0$ , we have

$$
Q_Hbe_m = bQ_He_m - \hbar be_m = (m-1)\hbar be_m,
$$
  

$$
Q_Hb^\dagger e_m = b^\dagger Q_He_m + \hbar b^\dagger e_m = (m+1)\hbar b^\dagger e_m.
$$

Observe that  $b^{\dagger}b$  commutes with  $Q_H$ , because

$$
[\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{Q}_{H}] = \boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{Q}_{H} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{H}\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{Q}_{H} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{H}\boldsymbol{b}) + (\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{Q}_{H} - \boldsymbol{Q}_{H}\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger})\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}[\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{Q}_{H}] + [\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger},\boldsymbol{Q}_{H}]\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}(\hbar\boldsymbol{b}) + (-\hbar\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger})\boldsymbol{b} = 0.
$$

In other words,  $[Q_{\bar{z}}Q_z, Q_H] = 0$ . Since  $\bar{z}z = r^2 = 2H$ , we may assume that

$$
\boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{b}=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\bar{z}}\boldsymbol{Q}_{z}=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\bar{z}z}=2\boldsymbol{Q}_{H}.
$$

This implies that for every  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$
\|\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{e}_m\|^2=\langle \boldsymbol{e}_m\mid \boldsymbol{b}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{e}_m\rangle=\langle \boldsymbol{e}_m\mid 2\boldsymbol{Q}_H\boldsymbol{e}_m\rangle=2m\hbar\|\boldsymbol{e}_m\|^2=2m\hbar.
$$

Hence,  $be_0 = 0$  and we can choose a normalization factor so that

$$
be_m = \sqrt{2m\hbar} \, \mathbf{e}_{m-1} \quad \text{for } m > 0.
$$

Since

$$
\langle e_{m+1} | b^{\dagger} e_m \rangle = \langle b e_{m+1} | e_m \rangle = \sqrt{2(m+1)\hbar} \langle e_m | e_m \rangle = \sqrt{2(m+1)\hbar},
$$
  
we obtain  $b^{\dagger} e_m = \sqrt{2(m+1)\hbar} e_{m+1}$ . Therefore, for  $m > 0$  we may write

$$
\boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{e}_m = \sqrt{2m\hbar}\,\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{e}_m \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{b}^\dagger\boldsymbol{e}_m = \sqrt{2(m+1)\hbar}\,\boldsymbol{a}^\dagger\boldsymbol{e}_m. \tag{19}
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathbf{Q}_z e_m = \sqrt{2m\hbar} \,\mathbf{e}_{m-1} \text{ and } \mathbf{Q}_z e_m = \sqrt{2(m+1)\hbar} \,\mathbf{e}_{m+1} \tag{20}
$$

Since  $z = p+iq$ , we get  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z})$  and  $q=\frac{1}{2i}$  $\frac{1}{2i}(\bar{z}-z)$ . Thus the quantization of p and q by operators is  $\mathbf{Q}_p = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{b}^\dagger)$  and  $\boldsymbol{Q}_q = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  (**b**<sup>†</sup> – **b**). Therefore,

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
Q_p e_m = \sqrt{\frac{m\hbar}{2}} e_{m-1} + \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)\hbar}{2}} e_{m+1}, \quad m > 1; \quad Q_p e_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{2}} e_2 \qquad (21)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{Q}_q \mathbf{e}_m = i \sqrt{\frac{(m+1)\hbar}{2}} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} - i \sqrt{\frac{m\hbar}{2}} \mathbf{e}_{m-1} \quad m > 1; \quad \mathbf{Q}_q \mathbf{e}_1 = i \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{2}} \mathbf{e}_2. \tag{22}
$$

Equation [\(21\)](#page-12-0) can be rewritten in the matrix notation as

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}_p = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \sqrt{\frac{2\hbar}{2}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3\hbar}{2}} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3\hbar}{2}} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{4\hbar}{2}} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{4\hbar}{2}} & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{array}\right)
$$

In a similar way we can write a matrix presentation for  $Q_q$ .

## 6 Quantization of coadjoint orbits of SO(3)

In this section we give the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of coadjoint orbits of  $SO(3)$  on  $SO(3)$ <sup>\*</sup>. Below we show that coadjoint orbits of  $SO(3)$ are spheres in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Hence,

$$
P = \{(x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 = r^2\} = S_r^2.
$$

For each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , we set  $J^i = x_{|P}^i$ .

The following discussion shows that the standard symplectic form<br>[3](#page-13-0) on  $\cal P$ is

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\omega = -\frac{1}{2r^2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} J^i \, \mathrm{d} J^j \wedge \mathrm{d} J^k = \frac{1}{r} \text{vol}_{S_r^2},\tag{23}
$$

where  $\mathrm{vol}_{S_r^2}$  is the standard volume form on  $S_r^2$  with  $\int_{S_r^2} \mathrm{vol}_{S_r^2} = 4\pi r^2$ .

First we recall some basic facts about the Lie algebra so(3) of the rotation group  $SO(3)$ . The map

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
j: \text{so}(3) \to \mathbb{R}^3 : \widehat{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \tag{24}
$$

identifies the Lie algebra so(3) with  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A short calculation shows that  $j([\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}] ) = x \times y$ . Thus j is an isomorphism of the Lie algebra  $(\text{so}(3), [ , ])$ with the Lie algebra  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \times)$ . It is also an isometry from  $(\text{so}(3), \text{k})$  to  $(\mathbb{R}^3, (0, 0))$ , where k is the Killing form on  $\text{so}(3)$  and  $($ ,  $)$  is the Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . To see this we compute

$$
k(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \widehat{X} \widehat{Y}^T = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_3 & -y_2 \\ -y_3 & 0 & y_1 \\ y_2 & y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
  
=  $x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 = (x, y).$ 

Note that for every  $\widehat{X} \in \text{so}(3)$  and every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  we have

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\widehat{X}y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = x \times y.
$$
 (25)

For every  $X, Y \in so(3)$  using [\(25\)](#page-13-1) we can rewrite  $j([X, Y]) = x \times y$  as  $j(\mathrm{ad}_{\widehat{X}}\widehat{Y}) = \widehat{X}j(\widehat{Y})$ , which is equivalent to  $(j(\mathrm{ad}_{\widehat{X}})j^{-1})j(\widehat{Y}) = \widehat{X}j(\widehat{Y})$ , that is,

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
j(\operatorname{ad}_{\widehat{X}})j^{-1} = \widehat{X}, \quad \text{for every } \widehat{X} \in \operatorname{so}(3). \tag{26}
$$

<span id="page-13-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The expression [\(23\)](#page-13-2) for the symplectic form on a coadjoint orbit of  $SO(3)$  is the one used by J.-M. Souriau in one of his ledtures.

Let  $R \in SO(3)$  and  $\widehat{Y} \in SO(3)$ . Then we have

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
j(\text{Ad}_R \widehat{Y}) = Ry. \tag{27}
$$

To prove [\(27\)](#page-14-0) we need the following formula, which holds for any linear Lie group  $\mathfrak G$  and its associated linear Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$ , namely

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
Ad_{\exp tX} = \exp t \, \text{ad}_X,\tag{28}
$$

for every  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . To verify that [\(28\)](#page-14-1) holds, we note that the right and left hand sides of  $(28)$  are each 1-parameter subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with the same tangent vector at  $t = 0$ , namely,  $\text{ad}_X$ . Therefore the 1-parameter subgroups are equal.

Returning to the proof of [\(27\)](#page-14-0), using [\(28\)](#page-14-1) we get

$$
j(\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp t\widehat{X}})j^{-1} = \exp(t\,j(\mathrm{ad}_{\widehat{X}})j^{-1}) = \exp t\widehat{X},
$$

that is, for every  $\widehat{Y} \in \text{so}(3)$  we have

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
j((\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp t\widehat{X}})\widehat{Y}) = (\exp t\widehat{X})j(\widehat{Y}).\tag{29}
$$

Since SO(3) is compact and connected, for every  $R \in SO(3)$  there is a  $\hat{X} \in$ so(3) such that  $R = \exp \hat{X}$ . Thus [\(29\)](#page-14-2) implies that for every  $R \in SO(3)$ equation [\(27\)](#page-14-0) holds. So [\(27\)](#page-14-0) is an integrated version of [\(26\)](#page-13-3).

Now we calculate the standard symplectic form on an SO(3)-adjoint orbit. The SO(3)-adjoint orbit through  $\widehat{J} \in$  so(3) is  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{J}} = \{Ad_R\widehat{J} \in$  so(3) |  $R \in$ SO(3)}. The standard symplectic form  $\Omega$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{J}}$  is

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\Omega(\widehat{J})(X^{\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{J}), X^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\widehat{J})) = -\mathbf{k}(\widehat{J}, [\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\zeta}]), \tag{30}
$$

where  $\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\zeta} \in so(3)$  and  $X^{\widehat{\eta}}(\widehat{J}) = -ad_{\widehat{J}}\widehat{\eta} = -[\widehat{J}, \widehat{\eta}]$ , which defines a vector field on  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{J}}$  for every  $\widehat{\eta} \in so(3)$ . Because

$$
\mathrm{Ad}_R X^{\widehat{\eta}}(\widehat{J}) = -\mathrm{Ad}_R[\widehat{J}, \widehat{\eta}] = -[\mathrm{Ad}_R \widehat{J}, \mathrm{Ad}_R \widehat{\eta}] = X^{\mathrm{Ad}_R \widehat{\eta}}(\mathrm{Ad}_R \widehat{J}),
$$

we get

$$
\Omega(\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{J})(\mathrm{Ad}_R X^{\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{J}), \mathrm{Ad}_R X^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\widehat{J})) = \Omega(\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{J})(X^{\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{\xi}}(\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{J}), X^{\{Ad}_R\widehat{\zeta}}(\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{J}))
$$

$$
= -k(\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{J}, [\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{\xi}, \mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{\zeta}]) = -k(\mathrm{Ad}_R\widehat{J}, \mathrm{Ad}_R[\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\zeta}])
$$
  
=  $-k(\widehat{J}, [\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\zeta}]) = \Omega(\widehat{J})(X^{\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{J}), X^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\widehat{J})).$ 

This shows that  $\Omega$  is a 2-form on  $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{J}}$ . It is closed since  $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{J}}$  is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold. It is nondegenerate for if  $0 = \Omega(\widehat{J})(X^{\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{J}), X^{\widehat{\zeta}}(\widehat{J}))$  for every  $X^{\widehat{\zeta}}$  with  $\widehat{\zeta} \in so(3)$ , then we obtain  $0 = k(\widehat{J}, \widehat{\zeta}, \widehat{\zeta}) = k([\widehat{J}, \widehat{\zeta}], \widehat{\zeta})$  for every  $\hat{\zeta} \in$  so(3). Since k is nondegenerate, this implies that  $[\hat{J}, \hat{\xi}] = 0$ . But then  $X^{\widehat{\xi}}(\widehat{J}) = -[\widehat{J}, \widehat{\xi}] = 0.$ 

Using the bijection j [\(24\)](#page-13-4) to identify  $\text{Ad}_R\widehat{J}$  with  $R\widehat{J}$  by [\(27\)](#page-14-0), we see that the SO(3)-adjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{J}}$  may be identified with the 2-sphere  $S_r^2 = \{RJ \in \mathcal{I}\}$  $\mathbb{R}^3 \mid R \in SO(3)$ . Here  $r^2 = (J, J)$ . We may rewrite the definition of  $\Omega$  [\(30\)](#page-14-3) as

$$
\Omega(\widehat{J})(-[\widehat{J},\widehat{\xi}],-[\widehat{J},\widehat{\zeta}])=-k(\widehat{J},[\widehat{\xi},\widehat{\zeta}]).
$$

Thus we may identify  $\Omega$  with the symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $S_r^2$  given by

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\omega(J)(-J\times\xi, -J\times\zeta) = -(J,\xi\times\zeta). \tag{31}
$$

Note that  $J \times \xi$  and  $J \times \zeta$  both lie in  $T_J S_r^2$ .

The vector field  $X^{\hat{\eta}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{J}}$ , defined by  $X^{\hat{\eta}}(\hat{J}) = -[\hat{J}, \hat{\eta}]$ , corresponds to the vector field  $X^{\eta}$  on  $S_r^2$  defined by  $X^{\eta}(J) = -J \times \eta$ , because the curve  $t \mapsto$  $\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp t\widehat{\eta}}\widehat{J}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{J}}$  is identified under the map j with the curve  $t \mapsto (\exp t\eta)J$ on  $S_r^2$ . Therefore the tangent vector  $X^{\widehat{\eta}}(\widehat{J})$  at  $\widehat{J}$  corresponds to the tangent vector  $X^{\eta}(J)$  at J, namely  $\widehat{\eta}(J) = -J \times \eta$ . So we may rewrite the definition of  $\omega$  [\(31\)](#page-15-0) as

$$
\omega(J)(X^{\xi}(J), X^{\zeta}(J)) = -(J, \xi \times \zeta). \tag{32}
$$

Next we show that [\(23\)](#page-13-2) holds. Evaluating the left hand side of [\(23\)](#page-13-2) on the tangent vectors  $X^{\xi}(J)$  and  $X^{\zeta}(J)$  gives

$$
-\frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 J^i \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} (\mathrm{d} J^j \wedge \mathrm{d} J^k)(X^{\xi}(J), X^{\zeta}(J)) =
$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 J^i \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathrm{d} J^j(X^{\xi}(J)) \mathrm{d} J^k(X^{\zeta}(J))$   
=  $-\frac{1}{r^2} (J, (\xi \times J) \times (\zeta \times J)), \text{ see (33) below}$   
=  $\frac{1}{r^2} (J, J)(\xi \times J, \zeta) = -(J, \xi \times \zeta) = \omega(J)(X^{\xi}(J), X^{\zeta}(J)).$ 

This proves [\(23\)](#page-13-2) provided that we show

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
dJ^{\ell}(X^{\eta}(J)) = (\eta \times J)^{\ell}.
$$
 (33)

By definition  $J^{\ell} = x^{\ell} | S_r^2$ , where  $x^{\ell}$  is the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  coordinate function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Now

$$
dJ^{\ell}(X^{\eta}(J)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} J^{\ell}((\exp t\hat{\eta})J)
$$
  
=  $J^{\ell}(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(\exp t\hat{\eta})J),$  since  $J^{\ell}$  is a linear function on  $\mathbb{R}^{3}$   
=  $J^{\ell}(\hat{\eta}J) = J^{\ell}(\eta \times J) = (\eta \times J)^{\ell}.$ 

This completes the verification of [\(33\)](#page-16-0) and thus the proof of [\(23\)](#page-13-2).

Our aim is to obtain an irreducible unitary representation of SO(3) corresponding to quantizable coadjoint orbit. We shall do it in the framework of geometric quantization as described in §2. First we obtain quantum operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{J1}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{J2}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{J3}$ . Next, we show that the rescaled operators  $\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{J1}$ ,  $\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{J2}$ and  $\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{Q}_{J^3}$  give rise to a representation of so(3). We could proceed directly by setting  $\hbar = 1$ , or even  $\hbar = i$ , but in this way we would lose the connection between geometric quantization in mechanics and in representation theory.

We assume that  $(P, \omega)$  is prequantizable. This means that  $\int_P \omega = nh$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Introducing spherical polar coordinates

$$
J^{1} = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, J^{2} = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, J^{3} = r \cos \theta
$$

on  $S_r^2$  we get  $\omega = r \sin \theta \, d\varphi \wedge d\theta = \frac{1}{r}$  $\frac{1}{r}\text{vol}_{S_r^2}$ . Hence,

$$
\int_P \omega = r \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta \ d\theta = 4\pi r,
$$

and the integrality condition reads  $4\pi r = nh$ . Equivalently,  $r = \frac{n}{2}$  $\frac{n}{2}\hbar$  where  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$  $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ . Next

$$
X_{J^3} \perp r \sin \theta \, d\varphi \wedge d\theta = r \sin \theta \, d\theta = -dJ^3
$$

implies that  $X_{J^3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}$ . Thus, the integral curves of  $X_{J^3}$  are circles  $J^3 =$ const. They define the leaves of a singular real polarization of  $S_{r^2}$  with singularities poles at  $J^3 = \pm r = \pm \frac{n}{2}$  $\frac{n}{2}\hbar$ . Locally, we have

$$
\omega = r \sin \theta \, d\varphi \wedge d\theta = d(r \cos \theta \, d\varphi) = d(J^3 \, d\varphi). \tag{34}
$$

Thus  $(J^3, \varphi)$  are action-angle coordinates for our integrable system  $(J^3, S_{r^2}, \omega)$ . Since  $r = \frac{n}{2}$  $\frac{n}{2}\hbar$ , the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions

$$
\int_{J^3=\text{const.}} r \cos \theta \, \, \mathrm{d}\varphi = mh,\tag{35}
$$

read

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{n}{2} \hbar \cos \theta \, d\varphi = 2\pi (\frac{n}{2} \hbar \cos \theta) = mh,
$$

which implies that  $\frac{n}{2h} \cos \theta = m\hbar$  or  $\cos \theta = 2\frac{m}{n}$ . Since  $-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$ , it follows that  $-1 \leq 2\frac{M}{n} \leq 1$  or  $-\frac{n}{2} \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}$  $\frac{n}{2}$ . We now assume that  $s = \frac{n}{2}$  $\frac{n}{2}$  is an integer. Then  $-s \leq m \leq s$ . Thus we get a family  $\theta_m$  of angles in spherical coordinates on  $S_{s\hbar^2}$  for which

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\cos \theta_m = \frac{m}{s}, \quad \text{where } -s \le m \le s. \tag{36}
$$

For  $m = \pm s$ , we get the north pole  $(0, 0, s\hbar)$  and the south pole  $(0, 0, -s\hbar)$ of  $S_{s\hbar^2}$ . These are the singular points of our Bohr-Sommerfeld set.

Let  $(\bm{e}_m)$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak H$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $\bm{Q}_{J_3}$ . For each integer m between  $-s$  and s, we have

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{J_3}\mathbf{e}_m = r\cos\theta_m\mathbf{e}_m = s\hbar\cos\theta_m\mathbf{e}_m = s\hbar\frac{m}{s}\mathbf{e}_m = m\hbar\mathbf{e}_m,
$$

using equation [\(36\)](#page-17-0). We assume that

$$
(\boldsymbol{e}_{m'} \mid \boldsymbol{e}_m) = \delta_{m',m}.\tag{37}
$$

Note that the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions not only give the directions of the basis vectors  $e_m$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , but also their ordering  $m \mapsto e_m$ . As in §4, we can define the shifting operators  $\boldsymbol{a}$  and  $\boldsymbol{a}^{\dagger}$  on  $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}$  by

$$
\boldsymbol{a} \,\boldsymbol{e}_m = \boldsymbol{e}_{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{a}^\dagger \boldsymbol{e}_m = \boldsymbol{e}_{m+1}. \tag{38}
$$

As before, we can make an identification  $a_i = Q_{e^{i\varphi}}$  and  $a_i^{\dagger} = Q_{e^{-i\varphi}}$ . The functions  $e^{i\varphi}$  and  $e^{-i\varphi}$  do not extend to the singular points  $(0, 0, -s\hbar)$  and  $(0, 0, s\hbar)$  of the polarization, which correspond to  $m = -s$  and  $m = s$ , respectively. However, the function

$$
J_{-} = \sqrt{r^2 - (J^3)^2} e^{i\varphi} = \sqrt{r^2 - (J^3)^2} \cos \varphi + i\sqrt{r^2 - (J^3)^2} \sin \varphi
$$
  
=  $J^1 + iJ^2$ 

extends smoothly to the singular points. Similarly, the function

$$
J_{+} = \sqrt{r^2 - (J^3)^2} e^{-i\varphi} = J^1 - iJ^2
$$

extends smoothly to the whole of  $S_{s\hbar^2}$ . Moreover, we have

$$
{J_-, J^3} = iJ_+
$$
 and  ${J_+, J^3} = -iJ_-.$ 

Hence, we can consider our shifting operators to be quantizations of  $J_+$  and J\_. In order to define the operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{J_+}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{J_-}$  we set

$$
Q_{J_-}e_m = a_m e_{m-1}
$$
 and  $Q_{J_+}e_m = Q_{J_-}^{\dagger}e_m = a_{m+1}e_{m+1}$ ,

where the real coefficients  $a_m$  are to be defined so that  $a_{-s} = 0$  and  $a_{s+1} = 0$ . We have

$$
\bm{Q}_{J_+}\bm{Q}_{J_-}\bm{e}_m=a_m\bm{Q}_{J_+}\bm{e}_{m+1}=a_m^2\bm{e}_m
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}_{J_-}\boldsymbol{Q}_{J+}\boldsymbol{e}_m = a_{m+1}\boldsymbol{Q}_{J_-}\boldsymbol{e}_{m-1} = a_{m+1}^2\boldsymbol{e}_m.
$$

Hence  $[\bm{Q}_{J_+}, \bm{Q}_{J_-}] \bm{e}_m = (a_m^2 - a_{m+1}^2) \bm{e}_m$ . Since

$$
\{J_+, J_-\} = \{J^1 - iJ^2, J^1 + iJ^2\} = 2i\{J^1, J^2\} = 2i J^3,
$$

it follows that we should have

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
[\mathbf{Q}_{J_+}, \mathbf{Q}_{J_-}] = -i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{2iJ^3} = 2\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{J^3}.
$$
 (39)

Therefore,

$$
(a_m^2 - a_{m+1}^2)e_m = 2\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{J^3}e_m = 2m\hbar^2 e_m \tag{40}
$$

for every  $m = -s, \ldots, s$ . Hence,

$$
a_{m+1}^2 - a_m^2 = -2m\hbar^2
$$
 or  $a_m^2 = a_{m+1}^2 + 2m\hbar^2$ 

For  $m = 0$ , we have  $a_1^2 = a_0^2$ . For  $m \ge 1$ , we get

$$
a_m^2 = a_1^2 - 2\hbar^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k = a_1^2 - \hbar^2 (m-1)m,
$$

and

$$
a_{-m}^{2} = a_{0}^{2} + 2\hbar^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-k) = a_{0}^{2} - \hbar^{2} m(m+1).
$$

The conditions  $a_{s+1} = 0$  and  $a_{-s} = 0$  yield  $a_1^2 - h^2 s(s+1) = 0$  and  $a_0^2 \hbar^2 s(s+1) = 0$ . Hence,  $a_1^2 = a_0^2 = \hbar^2 s(s+1)$  and

$$
a_m^2 = \hbar^2 s(s+1) - \hbar^2 (m-1)m,
$$
  

$$
a_{-m}^2 = \hbar^2 s(s+1) - \hbar^2 m(m+1),
$$

for  $m \ge 1$ . Thus, for  $m = -1, \ldots, -s$ , we get

$$
a_m^2 = \hbar^2 s(s+1) + \hbar^2 m(-m+1) = \hbar^2 s(s+1) - \hbar^2 m(m-1).
$$

Therefore,

$$
a_m^2 = \hbar^2 s(s+1) + \hbar^2 m(-m+1), \text{ for all } m = -s, \dots, s
$$

and

$$
Q_{J_{-}}e_{m} = \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - (m-1)m} \, e_{m-1} = a_{m}e_{m-1},
$$
  

$$
Q_{J_{+}}e_{m} = Q_{J_{-}}^{\dagger}e_{m} = \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - m(m+1)} \, e_{m+1} = a_{m+1}e_{m+1}.
$$

So

$$
[\mathbf{Q}_{J_+}, \mathbf{Q}_{J_-}] \mathbf{e}_m = \mathbf{Q}_{J_+} \mathbf{Q}_{J_-} \mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{Q}_{J_-} \mathbf{Q}_{J_+} \mathbf{e}_m
$$
  
=  $\hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - m(m-1)} \mathbf{Q}_{J_+} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} - \hbar \sqrt{s(s+1) - (m+1)m} \mathbf{Q}_{J_-} \mathbf{e}_{m-1}$   
=  $\hbar^2 (s(s+1) - m(m-1)) \mathbf{e}_m - \hbar^2 (s(s+1) - (m+1)m) \mathbf{e}_m$   
=  $2m \hbar^2 \mathbf{e}_m = 2\hbar \mathbf{Q}_{J^3} \mathbf{e}_m$ ,

which verfies that [\(39\)](#page-18-0) holds. Since  $J^1 = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(J_{+}-J_{-})$  and  $J^{2}=\frac{1}{2i}$  $\frac{1}{2i}(J_{+}+J_{-}),$ we get

$$
Q_{J^1} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{J^+} e_m + \frac{1}{2} Q_{J^-} e_m = \frac{1}{2} a_{m+1} e_{m+1} + \frac{1}{2} a_m e_{m-1}
$$
  

$$
Q_{J^2} = \frac{1}{2i} Q_{J^+} e_m - \frac{1}{2i} Q_{J^-} e_m = \frac{1}{2i} a_{m+1} e_{m+1} - \frac{1}{2i} a_m e_{m-1}
$$

The operators  $\mathbf{Q}_{J^1}, \mathbf{Q}_{J^2}$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}_{J^3}$  satisfy the required commutation relations, namely,

$$
\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{Q}_{J^1},\mathbf{Q}_{J^2}] \mathbf{e}_m &= \mathbf{Q}_{J^1} \mathbf{Q}_{J^2} \mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{Q}_{J^2} \mathbf{Q}_{J^1} \mathbf{e}_m \\ &= \mathbf{Q}_{J^1} \left( \tfrac{1}{2i} a_{m+1} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} - \tfrac{1}{2i} a_m \mathbf{e}_{m-1} \right) - \mathbf{Q}_{J^2} \left( \tfrac{1}{2} a_{m+1} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} + \tfrac{1}{2} a_m \mathbf{e}_{m-1} \right) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2i} a_{m+1} \mathbf{Q}_{J^1} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} - \tfrac{1}{2i} a_m \mathbf{Q}_{J^1} \mathbf{e}_{m-1} - \tfrac{1}{2} a_{m+1} \mathbf{Q}_{J^2} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} - \tfrac{1}{2} a_m \mathbf{Q}_{J^2} \mathbf{e}_{m-1} \end{aligned}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2i} \left( \frac{1}{2} a_{m+2} \mathbf{e}_{m+2} + \frac{1}{2} a_{m+1} \mathbf{e}_m \right) - \frac{1}{2i} \left( \frac{1}{2} a_m \mathbf{e}_m + \frac{1}{2} a_{m-1} \mathbf{e}_{m-2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2i} a_{m+2} \mathbf{e}_{m+2} - \frac{1}{2i} a_{m+1} \mathbf{e}_m \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2i} a_m \mathbf{e}_m - \frac{1}{2i} a_{m-1} \mathbf{e}_{m-2} \right) = \frac{1}{2i} (a_{m+1}^2 - a_m^2) \mathbf{e}_m = \frac{1}{2i} (-2m\hbar^2) \mathbf{e}_m = i\hbar \mathbf{Q}_J^3 \mathbf{e}_m.
$$

Similarly

$$
[\mathbf{Q}_{J^2},\mathbf{Q}_{J^3}]\mathbf{e}_m=i\hbar\frac{1}{2}(a_{m+1}\mathbf{e}_{m+1}+a_m\mathbf{e}_{m-1})=i\hbar\mathbf{Q}_{J^1}\mathbf{e}_m
$$

and

$$
[\mathbf{Q}_{J^1}, \mathbf{Q}_{J^3}] \mathbf{e}_m = i\hbar \, \frac{1}{2} (a_{m+1} \mathbf{e}_{m+1} + a_m \mathbf{e}_{m-1}) = -i\hbar \, \mathbf{Q}_{J^2} \mathbf{e}_m.
$$

The operators  $\frac{1}{i\hbar}Q_{J1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{i\hbar}Q_{J2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{i\hbar}Q_{J3}$  are skew symmetric and satisfy the commutation relations of the generators of the Lie algebra so(3), namely

$$
[\tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^1}, \tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^2}] = \tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^3}, \; [\tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^2}, \tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^3}] = \tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^1}, \; [\tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^3}, \tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^1}] = \tfrac{1}{i\hbar} \, \bm{Q}_{J^2}.
$$

The representation space  $\mathfrak{H}$  of so(3) has dimension  $2s + 1$ . Thus we have constructed a  $(2s+1)$ -dimensional representation on  $\mathfrak{H}$  of the Lie algebra so(3). This representation gives rise to the Lie algebra homomorphism

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
\rho : \text{so}(3) \to \text{gl}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{R}) : \widehat{J^i e_i} \mapsto \mathbf{Q}_{J^i}.
$$
 (41)

Below we show that the map  $\rho$  [\(41\)](#page-20-0) can be integrated to the Lie group homomorphism

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
R: SO(3) \to Gl(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{R}): g \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp \rho(\log g)}.
$$
 (42)

Because the representation of so(3) on  $\mathfrak{H}$  is irreducible, it follows that the representation of  $SO(3)$ , given by

$$
R(g) : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H} : e_{\mathbf{m}} \mapsto R(g)e_{\mathbf{m}}, \quad \text{for every} \quad g \in G
$$

is irreducible and corresponds to spin  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In general, let  $\mathfrak g$  be the linear Lie algebra of the linear Lie group  $\mathfrak G$ . Let

$$
\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow {\rm gl}(V, \mathbb{R}): X \mapsto \rho(X)
$$

be the Lie algebra homomorphism associated to the representation of  $\mathfrak g$  on the finite dimensional real vector space V given by  $\rho(X): V \to V$ , for every  $X \in \mathfrak{g}.$ 

Claim 6.2.1 Let

$$
R: \mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{Gl}(V, \mathbb{R}): \exp X \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{\exp \rho(X)}.
$$
 (43)

Then the map  $R$  is a local Lie group homomorphism, which is defined in an open neighborhood  $\mathcal U$  of the identity element of  $\mathfrak G$  where  $\exp : \mathcal V \subseteq \mathfrak g \to \mathcal U \subseteq$  $\mathfrak G$  is invertible.

**Proof.** For X, Y, and  $Z \in V$  applying the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho$  to the Cambell-Baker-Hausdorff formula [\[10\]](#page-22-11)

$$
Z(X,Y) = \log(\exp X \exp Y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{n>0} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{\substack{r_i+s_i>0\\1\le i\le n}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (r_i+s_i)\right)^{-1}}{r_1!s_1!\cdots r_n!s_n!} T_{r_1s_1\cdots r_ns_n},
$$
 (44)

where

$$
T_{r_1s_1\cdots r_ns_n} = \begin{cases} \frac{[X,[X,\ldots,[X,[Y,\ldots,[Y,\cdots,[X,[X,\ldots,[X,[Y,\ldots,[Y,Y]]\cdots],X,\cdots,[Y,\cdots,[Y,\cdots,[Y,\cdots,[Y,\cdots,[Y,\cdots,[X,\cdots,[X,\cdots,[X,\cdots,[X,\cdots,[X,\cdots,[X,\cdots,[X,\cdots]]\cdots]]\cdots]]}{0, \text{ if } s_n > 1 \text{ or if } s_n = 0 \text{ and } r_n > 1} \\ 0, \text{ if } s_n > 1 \text{ or if } s_n = 0 \text{ and } r_n > 1 \end{cases}
$$

shows that

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
\rho(Z)(\rho(X), \rho(Y)) = \log \exp \rho(X) \exp \rho(Y). \tag{45}
$$

Therefore

$$
R(\exp X \exp Y) = R(\exp Z) = \text{Ad}_{\exp \rho(Z)}, \text{ by definition}
$$
  
= 
$$
\text{Ad}_{\exp \rho(X) \exp \rho(Y)}, \text{ by (45)}
$$
  
= 
$$
\text{Ad}_{\exp \rho(X)} \text{Ad}_{\exp \rho(Y)} = R(\exp X) R(\exp Y),
$$

that is, R is a local group homomorphism.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 6.2.2** If  $\mathfrak{G}$  is compact, then the map  $R$  [\(42\)](#page-20-1) is a homomorphism of Lie groups.

**Proof.** Because  $\mathfrak{G}$  is compact and the map R is continuous, the exponential maps  $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\exp : \rho(\mathfrak{g}) \to R(\mathfrak{G})$  are surjective. Using the preceding observation, the corollary follows. observation, the corollary follows.

#### <span id="page-22-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[1] N. Bohr, "On the constitution of atoms and molecules" (Part I), Philosophical Magazine, 26 (1913) 1-25.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>[2] M. Born and P. Jordan, "Zur Quantenmechanik", Zeitschrift für Physik, 34 (1925) 858-888.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[3] R.H. Cushman and L.M. Bates, Global aspects of classical integrable systems, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.
- [4] R. Cushman and J.J. Duistermaat, "The quantum mechanical spherical pendulum", Bull. AMS 19 (1988) 475–479.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span><span id="page-22-6"></span>[5] R. Cushman and J. Śniatycki, "Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the 2-dimensional harmonic oscillator", in preparation, 2012.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[6] R. Cushman and J. Śniatycki, "Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization of the spherical pendulum", in preparation, 2012.
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>[7] A.S. Davydov, Quantum Mechanics, Pergamon Press, 1965.
- [8] P.A.M. Dirac, "The fundamental equations of quantum mechanics", Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, A 109 (1925) 642-653.
- [9] J.J. Duistermaat, "On global action-angle variables", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980) 687–706.
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>[10] E.B. Dynkin, "Calculation of coefficients in the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula", Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 57 (1947) 323–326.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>[11] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, "The Gelfand-Zetlin System and Quantization of the Complex Flag Manifolds", J. Funct. Anal. 52 (1993) 106.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[12] W. Heisenberg, "Über die quantentheoretische Umdeutung kinematischer und mechanischer Bezixhungen", Zeitschrift für Physik, 33 (1925) 879-893.
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>[13] L.C. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman, "Bohr-Sommerfeld Orbits in the Moduli Spaces of Flat Connections and the Verlinde Dimension Formula", Commun. Math. Phys. 150 (1992) 593–630.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[14] B. Kostant, "Quantization and unitary representations". In Modern Analysis and Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 170, pp. 87-207, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>[15] J. Mehra and H. Rechenberg, The Historical Developement of Quantum Theory, vol. 3: The Foundation of Matrix Mechanics and Its Modifications 1925-1926, Springer, New York, 1982.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span><span id="page-23-1"></span>[16] E. Schrödinger, "Quantiesierung als Eigenwertproblem, Ann. d. Phys. 79 (1926) 361-376.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>[17] J. Śniatycki, "Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions in geometric quantization", Rep. Math. Phys., 7 (1975), 303-311.
- [18] J. Śniatycki, Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics, Applied Mathematics Series 30 (1980) Springer Verlag, New York.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[19] A. Sommerfeld, "Zur Theorie der Balmerschen Serie", Sitzungberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (München), mathematischphysikalische Klasse, (1915) 425-458.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span><span id="page-23-3"></span>[20] S. Sternberg, Lectures on Differential Geometry, second edition, Chelsea, New York, 1983.
- [21] V˜u Ngo.c San, Sur le spectres de systèmes complètement intégrables semi-classiques avec singularités, Thesis, Unversité Grenoble 1 - Joseph Fourier.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[22] N.M.J. Woodhouse, Geometric quantization, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1997.