FILTER REGULAR SEQUENCES AND GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

ALI FATHI, ABOLFAZL TEHRANIAN, AND HOSSEIN ZAKERI

ABSTRACT. Let a, b be ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring R and let M, N be finite R-modules. The concept of an $\mathfrak a$ -filter grade of $\mathfrak b$ on M is introduced and several characterizations and properties of this notion are given. Then, using the above characterizations, we obtain some results on generalized local cohomology modules $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$. In particular, first we determine the least integer *i* for which $H^i_\mathfrak{a}(M,N)$ is not Artinian. Then we prove that $H^i_\mathfrak{a}(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \dim M + \dim N) = 0$. Also, we establish the Nagel-Schenzel formula for generalized local cohomology modules. Finally, in a certain case, the set of attached primes of $H^i_\mathfrak{a}(M,N)$ is determined and a comparison between this set and the set of attached primes of $\mathrm{H}^i_\mathfrak{a}(N)$ is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with nonzero identity, a , b are ideals of R and M, N, L are finite R-modules. We will use $\mathbb N$ (respectively \mathbb{N}_0) to denote the set of positive (respectively non-negative) integers. The generalized local cohomology functor was first introduced, in the local case, by Herzog $[10]$ and, in the general case, by Bijan-Zadeh $[3]$. The *i*-th generalized local cohomology functor $H^i_\mathfrak{a}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{H}_{\frak{a}}^i(X,Y)=\varinjlim_n\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(X/\frak{a}^nX,Y)
$$

for all R-modules X, Y and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Clearly, this notion is a natural generalization of the ordinary local cohomology functor [\[5\]](#page-12-2).

There is a lot of current interest in the theory of filter regular sequences in commutative algebra; and, in recent years, there have appeared many papers concerned with the role of these sequences in the theory of local cohomology. In particular case, when one works on a local ring, the concept of a filter regular sequence has been studied in [\[23,](#page-12-3) [26\]](#page-12-4) and has led to some interesting results. We will denote the supremum of all numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which there exists an **a**-filter regular Msequence of length n in b by f-grad (a, b, M) . In a local ring (R, m) , f-grad (m, a, M) is known as f-depth (a, M) . Lü and Tang [\[13\]](#page-12-5) proved that

 $f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M) > 0\}$

and that f-depth (\mathfrak{a}, M) is the least integer i such that $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is not Artinian. As a theorem, we generalize their results and characterize f-grad (a, b, M) to non local

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13E10.

Key words and phrases. generalized local cohomology module, filter regular sequence, Nagel-Schenzel formula, Artinianness, Attached prime.

cases as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \\ \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} + \text{Ann } N, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{b}}(N, M) \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}} f\text{-grad}(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in A} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)
$$
\n
$$
= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian} \}
$$
\n
$$
= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \nsubseteq \max(R) \}
$$
\n
$$
= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \nsubseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M} \}
$$
\n
$$
= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \dim \text{Ext}^i_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N) > 0 \},
$$

where $\mathcal M$ is the set of all finite subsets of max(R).

As an application of this theorem, we show that, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ if and only if $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}R_p}(M_{\mathfrak{p}},N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ and all prime ideals **p**. Also, we prove that $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is an Artinian R-module for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N) = 0$. In particular, $\text{Ext}^i_R(M, N)$ has finite length for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if dim $R/(\text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N) = 0$.

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an **a**-filter regular N-sequence in **a**. Then the formula

$$
H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(N) = \begin{cases} H_{(x_1,...,x_n)}^{i}(N) & \text{if } i < n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-n}(H_{(x_1,...,x_n)}^n(N)) & \text{if } i \ge n, \end{cases}
$$

is known as Nagel-Schenzel formula (see [\[20\]](#page-12-6) and [\[11\]](#page-12-7)). We generalize the above formula for the generalized local cohomology modules. Indeed, we prove that:

- (i) $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \cong H^i_{(x_1,...,x_n)}(M,N)$ for all $i < n$;
- (ii) if proj dim $M = d$ and L is projective, then

$$
\mathrm{H}^{i+n}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M\otimes_{R}L,N)\cong \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,\mathrm{H}^{n}_{(x_{1},...,x_{n})}(L,N))
$$

for all $i \geq d$.

Assume that $\bar{R} = R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N)$ and that the ideal **r** is the inverse image of the Jacobson radical of R in R. If R is semi local, then, by using the isomorphisms described in (i) and Theorem [4.2,](#page-6-0) we prove that

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian} \} \\ &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \ncong H_{\mathfrak{r}}^i(M, N) \} . \end{aligned}
$$

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and dim $N = n$. Macdonald and Sharp [\[15,](#page-12-8) Theorem 2.2] show that

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(N) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} N \mid \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = n \}.
$$

As an extension of this result, Dibaei and Yassemi [\[8,](#page-12-9) Theorem A] proved

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} N \, | \, \operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n \},
$$

where $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is the greatest integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M) \neq 0$. Finally, if $d =$ proj dim $M < \infty$, then Gu and Chu [\[9,](#page-12-10) Theorem 2.3] proved that $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is Artinian and

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} N | \operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d \},
$$

where, for an R-module Y, $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,Y)$ is the greatest integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M,Y) \neq$ 0. Notice that $\text{cd}_a(M, N) \leq d + n$ [\[3,](#page-12-1) Lemma 5.1]. We prove the above result in general case where R is not necessarily local. As a corollary we deduce that Att $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M,N) \subseteq$ Att $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n}(N)$. Also, we give an example to show that this inclusion may be strict. Indeed, our example not only show that the Theorem 2.1 of [\[17\]](#page-12-11) is not true, but it also rejects all of the following conclusions in [\[17\]](#page-12-11).

Finally, Let proj dim $M = d < \infty$ and dim $N = n < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{b} = Ann \, \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$. We prove that, if R/\mathfrak{b} is a complete semilocal ring, then

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \operatorname{Supp} \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).
$$

In particular, if in addition, proj dim_{R_n} $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{proj dim } M$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$, then

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \operatorname{Supp} M \cap \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).
$$

2. Filter regular sequences

We say that a sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n of elements of R is an a-filter regular Msequence, if $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$ and for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. In addition, if x_1, \ldots, x_n belong to b, then we say that x_1, \ldots, x_n is an a-filter regular M-sequence in $\mathfrak b$. Note that x_1, \ldots, x_n is an R-filter regular M-sequence if and only if it is a weak M -sequence in the sense of $[6,$ Definition 1.1.1].

Some parts of the next elementary proposition are included in [\[20,](#page-12-6) Proposition 2.2] in the case where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be a sequence of elements of R and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) x_1, \ldots, x_n is an **a**-filter regular M-sequence.
- (ii) $\text{Supp}((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i)/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.
- (iii) $x_1/1, \ldots, x_n/1$ is a weak M_p -sequence for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$.
- (iv) $x_1^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_n^{\alpha_n}$ is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M-sequence for all positive integers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$.
- (v) x_i is a weak $(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M)/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M)$ -sequence for all $i=1,\ldots,n$.
- (vi) $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M :_M \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $N:_{M} \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle = \{x \in M | \mathfrak{a}^{m}x \subseteq N \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \}$ for any submodule N of M.

It is clear from definition, that, for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one can find an α -filter regular M -sequence of length n . The following theorem characterizes the existence of an **a-filter regular M-sequence of length n in b.**

Theorem 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) b contains an $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}$ filter regular M-sequence of length n.
- (ii) Any a-filter regular M-sequence in b of length less than n can be extended to an $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}filter\ regular\ M\text{-}sequence\ of\ length\ n\ in\ \mathfrak{b}.$
- (iii) Supp $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{b},M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.
- (iv) If Supp $N = V(\mathfrak{b})$, then Supp $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(N,M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.
- (v) $\text{Supp H}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{i}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.

(vi) If Ann $N \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, then Supp $H^i_{\mathfrak{b}}(N,M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$.

Proof. The implications (ii)⇒ (i), (iv)⇒ (iii) and (vi)⇒ (v) are clear.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume the contrary that x_1, \ldots, x_t is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M-sequence in b such that $t < n$ and that it can not be extended to an $\mathfrak{a}\text{-filter regular }M\text{-sequence}$ of length n in b. Then $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M/(x_1,\ldots,x_t)M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$. So that $\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}M_{\mathfrak{p}}/(x_1/1,\ldots,x_t/1)M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that $x_1/1,\ldots,x_t/1$ is a maximal $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in $\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is a contradiction in view of the hypothesis, Proposition [2.1](#page-2-0) and [\[6,](#page-12-12) Theorem 1.2.5].

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose that x_1, \ldots, x_n is an **a**-filter regular M-sequence in **b**. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x_i^t \in \text{Ann } N$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$. By Proposition [2.1,](#page-2-0) for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a}), x_1^t/1, \ldots, x_n^t/1$ is a weak $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in $\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So that, for all $i < n$, we have $\text{Ext}^i_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}},M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Therefore [\(iv\)](#page-2-1) holds.

(i)⇒(vi) Suppose that x_1, \ldots, x_n is an a-filter regular M-sequence in b. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a}), x_1/1, \ldots, x_n/1$ is a weak $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in $\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So that, by [\[3,](#page-12-1) Proposition 5.5], $H_{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}},M_{\mathfrak{p}})=0$ for all $i < n$. This proves the implication $(i) \Rightarrow (vi)$.

Next we prove the implications (iii)⇒(i) and $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ by induction on n. Let $n = 1$. In either cases Supp Hom_R $(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore (i) holds. Suppose that, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $T^i(\cdot)$ is either $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b},\cdot)$ or $H^i_{\mathfrak{b}}(\cdot)$. Assume that $n > 1$ and Supp $T^i(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n$. Then \mathfrak{b} contains an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M-sequence, say x_1 . The exact sequences

$$
0\longrightarrow 0:_M x_1\longrightarrow M\stackrel{x_1}{\longrightarrow} x_1M\longrightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \longrightarrow x_1 M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/x_1 M \longrightarrow 0
$$

induce the long exact sequences

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow T^{i}(0:_{M} x_{1}) \longrightarrow T^{i}(M) \longrightarrow T^{i}(x_{1}M) \longrightarrow T^{i+1}(0:_{M} x_{1}) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

and

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow T^{i}(x_{1}M) \longrightarrow T^{i}(M) \longrightarrow T^{i}(M/x_{1}M) \longrightarrow T^{i+1}(x_{1}M) \longrightarrow \cdots.
$$

Since Supp $0:_{M} x_{1} \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, by Proposition [2.1,](#page-2-0) it follows that Supp $T^{i}(0:_{M} x_{1}) \subseteq$ $V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, using the above long exact sequences, we have Supp $T^i(M/x_1M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ for all $i < n-1$. Hence, by inductive hypothesis, b contains an a-filter regular M/x_1M -sequence of length $n-1$ such as x_2, \ldots, x_n . This completes the inductive step, since x_1, \ldots, x_n is an a-filter regular M-sequence in $\mathfrak b$.

Remark 2.3. One may use Theorem [2.2](#page-2-2) (iii)⇒(ii) and Proposition [2.1](#page-2-0) to see that Supp $M/bM \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ if and only if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $\mathfrak{a}\text{-filter regular}$ M-sequence of length n in b. Moreover, if Supp $M/bM \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, then it follows from Theorem [2.2](#page-2-2) that any two maximal $\mathfrak{a}\text{-filter regular }M\text{-sequences in }b$ have the same length. Therefore, we may give the following.

Definition 1. Let Supp $M/\mathfrak{b}M \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$. Then the common length of all maximal a-filter regular M-sequences in b is denoted by f-grad($\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M$) and is called the afilter grade of b on M. We set f-grad $(a, b, M) = \infty$ whenever Supp $M/bM \subseteq V(a)$.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Then the m-filter grade of $\mathfrak b$ on M is called the filter depth of b on M and is denoted by f-depth (b, M) . Notice that, by Remark [2.3,](#page-3-0) f-depth $(\mathfrak{b}, M) < \infty$ if and only if $M/\mathfrak{b}M$ has finite length.

Remark 2.4. The following equalities follows immediately from Theorem [2.2.](#page-2-2)

$$
f\text{-}\mathrm{grad}(\mathfrak{a},\mathrm{Ann}\,N,M)=\inf\{i\in\mathbb{N}_0|\;\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(N,M)\nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\},
$$

$$
\mathrm{f}\text{-}\mathrm{grad}(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}+\mathrm{Ann}\,N,M)=\inf\{i\in\mathbb{N}_0|\;\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{b}}(N,M)\nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}.
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp H}_\mathfrak{b}^i(M) \nsubseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}. \end{aligned}
$$

Suppose in addition that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local. Then

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) > 0\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \nsubseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}\}. \end{aligned}
$$

3. A generalization of Nagel-Schenzel formula

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an a-filter regular M-sequence in a. Then, by [\[11,](#page-12-7) Proposition 1.2],

$$
H_{\frak{a}}^{i}(M) = \begin{cases} H_{(x_{1},...,x_{n})}^{i}(M) & \text{if } i < n, \\ H_{\frak{a}}^{i-n}(H_{(x_{1},...,x_{n})}^{n}(M)) & \text{if } i \geq n. \end{cases}
$$

This formula was first obtained by Nagel and Schenzel, in [\[20,](#page-12-6) Lemma 3.4], in the case where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$. Afterwards Khashyarmanesh, Yassi and Abbasi [\[12,](#page-12-13) Theorem 3.2] and Mafi [\[16,](#page-12-14) Lemma 2.8] generalized the second part of this formula for the generalized local cohomology modules as follows.

Suppose that M has finite projective dimension d and that x_1, \ldots, x_n is an \mathfrak{a} filter regular N -sequence in \mathfrak{a} . Then

$$
\mathrm{H}^{i+n}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,\mathrm{H}^{n}_{(x_1,...,x_n)}(N))
$$

for all $i > d$.

The following Theorem establishes the Nagel-Schenzel formula for the generalized local cohomology modules. The first part of the following theorem is needed in the proof of the Corollary [4.5.](#page-7-0)

Theorem 3.1. Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an a-filter regular N-sequence in a. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \cong \mathrm{H}^i_{(x_1,...,x_n)}(M,N)$ for all $i < n$.
- (ii) If proj dim $M = d < \infty$ and L is projective, then

 $\mathrm{H}^{i+n}_\mathfrak{a}(M\otimes_R L, N) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i}_\mathfrak{a}(M, \mathrm{H}^n_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}(L, N))$

for all $i \geq d$.

Proof. (i) Set $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \subseteq \Gamma_{(\mathbf{x})}(N)$ we have a natural monomorphism $\varphi_{M,N}: \text{H}^0_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \to \text{H}^0_{(\mathfrak{a})}(M,N)$. Now, let $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p},N)$ be the *i*-th Bass number of N with respect to a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and let $0 \longrightarrow E^0 \stackrel{d^0}{\longrightarrow} E^1 \stackrel{d^1}{\longrightarrow} E^2 \longrightarrow \cdots$ be

the minimal injective resolution of N. Then, by Proposition [2.1,](#page-2-0) $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathfrak{x}) \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$ and all $i < n$. So

$$
\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E^i) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathfrak{a})} E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N)}
$$

$$
= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N \cap V(\mathfrak{a})} E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, N)} = \Gamma_{(\mathfrak{a})}(E^i)
$$

for all $i < n$. Therefore φ_{M,E^i} is an isomorphism for all $i < n$. Now let $i < n$. Since $\varphi_{M,E^{i-1}}$ and φ_{M,E^i} are isomorphisms and $\varphi_{M,E^{i+1}}$ is a monomorphism, one can use the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{0}(M,E^{i-1})&\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{0}(M,E^{i})&\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{0}(M,E^{i+1})\\ &\downarrow^{\varphi_{M,E^{i-1}}}&\downarrow^{\varphi_{M,E^{i}}} &\downarrow^{\varphi_{M,E^{i+1}}}\\ \mathrm{H}_{(\mathfrak{w})}^{0}(M,E^{i-1})&\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{(\mathfrak{w})}^{0}(M,E^{i})&\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{(\mathfrak{w})}^{0}(M,E^{i+1})\\ \end{array}
$$

to see that the induced homomorphism

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{M,E^i}:\operatorname{H}_{\frak{a}}^i(M,N)=\frac{\ker \operatorname{H}_{\frak{a}}^0(M,d^i)}{\operatorname{im} \operatorname{H}_{\frak{a}}^0(M,d^{i-1})}\to \frac{\ker \operatorname{H}_{(\frak{X})}^0(M,d^i)}{\operatorname{im} \operatorname{H}_{(\frak{X})}^0(M,d^{i-1})}=\operatorname{H}_{(\frak{X})}^i(M,N)\,,
$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) Set $F(\cdot) = H^0_\mathfrak{a}(M, \cdot)$ and $G(\cdot) = H^0_{(\mathfrak{X})}(L, \cdot)$. Then F and G are left exact functors and $FG(\cdot) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M \otimes_R L, \cdot)$. Furthermore if E is an injective R-module and $\mathsf{R}^p F$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is the p-th right derived functor of F, then it follows from [\[27,](#page-12-15) Lemma 1.1 and (i) that

$$
\mathsf{R}^p F(G(E)) = \mathrm{H}^p_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, \mathrm{H}^0_{(\mathfrak{X})}(L, E)) \cong \mathrm{H}^p_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{a}}(L, E))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \mathrm{Ext}^p_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(L, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E))) = 0
$$

for all $p \geq 1$. This yields the following spectral sequence

$$
E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{p}(M, \mathrm{H}_{(\mathfrak{X})}^{q}(L, N)) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{p+q}(M \otimes_R L, N)
$$

(see for example [\[21,](#page-12-16) Theorem 11.38]). Let $t = p + q \geq d + n$. If $q > n$, then $H_{(\bm{x})}^q(N) = 0$ by [\[5,](#page-12-2) Corollary 3.3.3]. Since L is projective, it therefore follows that $\text{H}^{\overset{\circ}{q}}_{(\bm{x})}(L,N) = 0.$ On the other hand if $q < n$, then $p > d = \text{proj}\,\text{dim}\,M$. Hence

$$
E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, \mathrm{H}_{(\mathbf{x})}^q(L, N)) \cong \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(M, \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^q(L, N)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{R}^p(M, \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^q(L, N)) = 0.
$$

Therefore, for $t \geq n + d$, there is a collapsing on the line $\mathfrak{q} = n$. Thus, there are isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{H}_{\frak{a}}^{t-n}(M,\operatorname{H}_{(\frak{X})}^{n}(L,N))\cong \operatorname{H}_{\frak{a}}^{t}(M\otimes_{R}L,N)
$$

for all $t \geq n + d$.

4. Artinianness of generalized local cohomology modules

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring. In view of [\[19,](#page-12-17) Theorem 3.1] and [\[13,](#page-12-5) Theorem 3.10], one can see that f-depth (\mathfrak{a}, M) is the least integer i for which $\text{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is not Artinian. Also, as a main result, it was proved in [\[7,](#page-12-18) Theorem 2.2] that f-depth $(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ is the least integer i such that $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is not Artinian.

We use rather a short argument to generalize this to the case in which R is not necessarily a local ring. The following lemma is elementary.

Lemma 4.1 ([\[22\]](#page-12-19) Exercise 8.49). Let X be an Artinian R-module, then Ass $X =$ Supp X is a finite subset of $max(R)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let M be the set of all finite subsets of $max(R)$. Then

 $\sup_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M}} \text{f-grad}(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ A∈M

$$
= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\}
$$

$$
= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \nsubseteq \max(R)\}
$$

$$
= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{Supp } H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \nsubseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M}\}
$$

Proof. Since $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \cong H^i_{\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann }M}(M, N)$, we can assume that Ann $M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. It is clear that

 $\sup_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{f}$ = $\text{grad}(\bigcap_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{ Supp } H^i_{\mathbf{a}}(M, N) \nsubseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M}\}.$ A∈M

Let S be either $\{X \in \mathcal{C}_R | \text{ Supp } X \subseteq \max(R)\}$ or $\{X \in \mathcal{C}_R | \text{ Supp } X \subseteq A \text{ for some } \{X \in \mathcal{C}_R | \text{ Supp } X \subseteq A\}$ $A \in \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{C}_R is the category of R-modules. Set $r = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is not Artinian} and $s = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \notin \mathcal{S}\}\.$ By Lemma [4.1,](#page-6-1) $r \leq s$. If $r = \infty$, there is noting to prove. Assume that $r < \infty$. We show by induction on r, that $H^r_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \notin \mathcal{S}$.

If $r = 0$, then $H^0_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \notin \mathcal{S}$. Now suppose, inductively, that $r > 0$ and that the result has been proved for smaller values of r . In view of [\[27,](#page-12-15) Lemma 1.1] the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \longrightarrow 0
$$

induces the following long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^{i}_{R}(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \longrightarrow H^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \longrightarrow H^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \text{Ext}^{i+1}_{R}(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \longrightarrow \cdots.
$$

Since $H^0_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ has finite length, we have

 $\text{Supp}\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M,N) = \text{Ass}\ \text{Hom}_R(M,\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Ass}\ \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N);$

so that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It follows that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathrm{H}^i_\mathfrak{a}(M,N) \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\mathrm{H}^i_\mathfrak{a}(M,N/\Gamma_\mathfrak{a}(N)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Also we have $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is Artinian if and only if $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ is Artinian. Hence we can replace N by $N/\Gamma_{a}(N)$ and assume that N is an a-torsion free R-module. Thus there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ which is a non-zero divisor on N. The exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0
$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M,N) \stackrel{x}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M,N) \stackrel{f_{i}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M,N/xN) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{R}^{i+1}(M,N) \longrightarrow \cdots.
$$

Since $\text{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i < r$, we may use the above sequence to see that $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN)$ is Artinian for all $i < r - 1$. On the other hand, $H^r_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is not Artinian. Hence, using the above exact sequence and [\[5,](#page-12-2) Theorem 7.1.2], we see that $0:_{\text{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)} x \cong \text{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{r-1}(M,N/xN)/\text{ im } f_{r-1}$ is not Artinian. Thus $\text{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{r-1}(M,N/xN)$ is not Artinian; and hence, by inductive hypothesis, $H^{r-1}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) \notin \mathcal{S}$. So, again by using the above sequence, we get $H^r_\mathfrak{a}(M,N) \notin \mathcal{S}$. This completes the inductive step. \square

Corollary 4.3. Suppose that $\text{Supp } L = \text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{a}M$. Then

$$
\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \ H_\mathfrak{a}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \ \dim \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(L, N) > 0\}.
$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, by the Theorem [4.2,](#page-6-0) $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is an Artinian Rmodule for all $i \leq n$ if and only if $n < \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap ... \cap \mathfrak{m}_t, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ for some maximal ideals m_1, \ldots, m_t of R. By the Remarks [2.4](#page-4-0) (i), it is equivalent to Supp $\text{Ext}^i_R(L, N) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_t\}$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_t$ of R and for all $i \leq n$. This proves the assertion.

The following corollary extend the main result of [\[25\]](#page-12-20) to the generalized local cohomology modules.

Corollary 4.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ if and only if $\text{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}},N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ and all prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. This is immediate by the Corollary [4.3.](#page-7-1)

Corollary 4.5. Let $\overline{R} = R/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathrm{Ann}\,M + \mathrm{Ann}\,N)$ be a semi local ring and let x be the inverse image of the Jacobson radical of \overline{R} in R. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N) &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{H}_\mathfrak{a}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian} \} \\ &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{H}_\mathfrak{a}^i(M, N) \ncong \text{H}_\mathfrak{r}^i(M, N) \} \end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The first equality is immediate by Theorem [4.2.](#page-6-0) To prove the second equality, let $n \leq$ f-grad $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$ and let x_1, \ldots, x_n be an \mathfrak{r} -filter regular Nsequence in $\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M$. Then x_1, \ldots, x_n is an $\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M$ -filter regular N-sequence. So by Theorem [3.1\(](#page-4-1)i),

$$
H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M,N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathrm{Ann}\,M}^{i}(M,N) \cong H_{(x_{1},...,x_{n})}^{i}(M,N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{r}}^{i}(M,N)
$$

for all $i < n$. If f-grad($\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N$) = ∞ , then the above argument shows that, $\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 | H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \ncong H^i_{\mathfrak{r}}(M,N)\} = \infty$ and therefore the required equality holds. Therefore, we may assume that $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann }M, N) = n < \infty$. By the first equality, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)$ is not Artinian while $H_{\mathfrak{r}}^n(M, N)$ is Artinian. Hence the second equality holds.

It was shown in [\[28,](#page-12-21) Theorem 2.2] that if $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 0$, then $\text{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The following corollary is a generalization of this.

Corollary 4.6. Let $\overline{R} = R/(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M + \text{Ann } N)$. Then $H^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ is an Artinian R-module for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim \overline{R} = 0$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ has finite length for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $\dim R/(\text{Ann }M + \text{Ann }N) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathfrak p$ is a prime ideal of R. By the Corollary [4.5,](#page-7-0) $H^i_{\mathfrak a R_{\mathfrak p}}(M_{\mathfrak p}, N_{\mathfrak p})$ is Artinian for all $i < n$ if and only if f-depth $((\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann }M)R_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \infty$ or equivalently $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}} + (\text{Ann }M)R_{\mathfrak{p}})N_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ (Remark [2.3\)](#page-3-0). Now, the result follows by corollary 4.4. corollary [4.4.](#page-7-2)

5. Attached primes of the top generalized local cohomology **MODULES**

Let $X \neq 0$ be an R-module. If, for every $x \in R$, the endomorphism on X given by multiplication by x is either nilpotent or surjective, then $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{\text{Ann } X}$ is prime and X is called a p-secondary R-module. If for some secondary submodules X_1, \ldots, X_n of X we have $X = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$, then we say that X has a secondary representation. One may assume that the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\text{Ann } X_i}$, $i = 1, ..., n$, are distinct and, by omitting redundant summands, that the representation is minimal. Then the set Att $X = {\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n}$ does not depend on the choice of a minimal secondary representation of X . Every element of $\text{Att } X$ is called an attached prime ideal of X. It is well known that an Artinian R-module has a secondary representation. The reader is referred to [\[14\]](#page-12-22) for more information about the theory of secondary representation.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and $n = \dim N < \infty$ and $d = \text{proj} \dim M < \infty$. It was proved in [\[9,](#page-12-10) Theorem 2.3] that $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is Artinian and that

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} N | \operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d \},
$$

where, for an R-module Y, $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, Y)$ is the greatest integer i such that $\text{H}^{i}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, Y) \neq$ 0. Notice that $\text{cd}_n(M, N) \leq d + n$ [\[3,](#page-12-1) Lemma 5.1]. Next, we prove the above result without the local assumption on R. The following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 5.1 ([\[1\]](#page-11-0) Theorem A and B). Let proj dim $M < \infty$. Then

- (i) $\operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \leq \operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,L)$ whenever $\operatorname{Supp} N \subseteq \operatorname{Supp} L$.
- (ii) $\operatorname{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M, L) = \max \{ \operatorname{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M, N), \operatorname{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M, K) \}$ whenever $0 \to N \to L \to K \to 0$ is an exact sequence.

Lemma 5.2. Let proj dim $M < \infty$, dim $N < \infty$, $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq 0$ and

$$
\Sigma = \{ L \subsetneqq N | \operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) < t \}.
$$

Then Σ has the largest element with respect to inclusion, L say, and the following statements hold.

- (i) If K is a non-zero submodule of N/L , then $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K) = t$.
- (ii) $H^t_\mathfrak{a}(M,N) \cong H^t_\mathfrak{a}(M,N/L)$.
- (iii) If $t = \text{proj} \dim M + \dim N$, then

$$
Ass N/L = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in Ass N | \operatorname{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = t \}.
$$

Proof. Since N is Noetherian, Σ has a maximal element, say L. Now assume that L_1, L_2 are elements of Σ . Using the exact sequence

$$
0 \to L_1 \cap L_2 \to L_1 \oplus L_2 \to L_1 + L_2 \to 0
$$

and Lemma [5.1](#page-8-0) we see that $t > cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L_1 + L_2)$. Hence the sum of any two elements of Σ is again in Σ . It follows that L contains every element of Σ ; and so it is the largest one.

(i) Let $K = K'/L$ be a non-zero submodule of N/L . Since L is the largest element of Σ , by applying Lemma [5.1](#page-8-0) to the exact sequence

$$
0\to L\to K'\to K\to 0
$$

we see that $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K)$.

(ii) The exact sequence $0 \to L \to N \to N/L \to 0$ induces the exact sequence

$$
0 = \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}(M, L) \to \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}(M, N) \to \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}(M, N/L) \to \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M, L) = 0.
$$

Thus $H^t_\mathfrak{a}(M,N) \cong H^t_\mathfrak{a}(M,N/L)$.

(iii) Assume that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \text{proj} \dim M + \dim N$. For each p in Ass L, we have $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) < t$; so that

$$
\{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Ass}\,N|\,\mathrm{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M,R/\mathfrak{p})=t\}\subseteq\mathrm{Ass}\,N/L.
$$

To establish the reverse inclusion, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N/L$. Then by (i) and [\[3,](#page-12-1) Lemma 5.1] $t = \text{proj dim } M + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N$ and equality holds. $t = \text{proj dim } M + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N$ and equality holds.

Theorem 5.3. Let $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$ and $n = \dim N < \infty$. Then the R-module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M,N)$ is Artinian and

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} N \vert \operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d \}.
$$

Proof. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be an a-filter regular N-sequence in a and let E^{\bullet} be the minimal injective resolution of $H_{(\mathbf{x})}^n(N)$. Since, by [\[5,](#page-12-2) Exercise 7.1.7], $H_{(\mathbf{x})}^n(N)$ is Artinian, every component of E^{\bullet} is Artinian. On the other hand by [3.1](#page-4-1)

$$
\operatorname{H}^{n+d}_{\frak{a}}(M,N) \cong \operatorname{H}^{d}_{\frak{a}}(M,\operatorname{H}^{n}_{(\frak{a})}(N)) \cong H^{d}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,\Gamma_{\frak{a}}(E^{\bullet}))).
$$

It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M,N)$ is Artinian.

Now we prove that $\text{Att } H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N | \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = n + d \}.$ If $\operatorname{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M,N) < n+d$, then $\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}^{n+d}_\mathfrak{a}(M,N) = \emptyset = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} N | \operatorname{cd}_\mathfrak{a}(M,R/\mathfrak{p}) = n+d \}.$ So one can assume that $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = n + d$. Let L be the largest submodule of N such that $\text{cd}_a(M, L) < t$. By Lemma [5.2,](#page-8-1) there is no non-zero submodule K of N/L such that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,K) < t$. Also we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}(M,N) \cong$ $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t}(M, N/L)$ and Ass $N/L = {\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass } N | \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/{\mathfrak{p}}) = t$. Moreover $t =$ $\operatorname{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/L) = \operatorname{proj\,dim} M + \dim N/L$. Thus we may replace N by N/L and prove that $\text{Att } H^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \text{Ass } N$. Now, for any non-zero submodule K of N, $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,K) = t$ and dim $K = n$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$. We have $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{Ann } H^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \supseteq \text{Ann } N$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } N$. Now Let $x \in R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N} \mathfrak{p}$. The exact sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow N\stackrel{x}\longrightarrow N\longrightarrow N/xN\longrightarrow 0
$$

induces the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{H}^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{H}^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \to \mathrm{H}^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N/xN) = 0.
$$

Therefore $x \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_\mathfrak{a}^t(M,N)} \mathfrak{p}$. So $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_\mathfrak{a}^t(M,N)} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N} \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } N$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ and $\text{Att } H^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \subseteq \text{Ass } N$. Next we prove the reverse inclusion. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass} N$ and let T be a p-primary submodule of N. We have $t = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/T)$. Moreover N/T has no non-zero submodule K such that $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, K) < t$. Hence, using the above argument, one can show that $\mathrm{Att}\, \mathrm{H}^t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/T) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}\, N/T = {\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that

$$
\{\mathfrak{p}\} = \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/T) \subseteq \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N).
$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Corollary 5.4. Let $d = \text{proj dim } M < \infty$ and $n = \dim N < \infty$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp} M \cap \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).
$$

Proof. If Att $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Att $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)$. Then, by [5.3,](#page-9-0) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } N$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. Next one can use the spectral sequence

$$
E_2^{p,q}=\operatorname{Ext}^p_R(M,\operatorname{H}^q_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}))\Longrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{p+q}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,R/\mathfrak{p})
$$

to see that $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \text{Ext}^d_R(M,H^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}))$. Therefore $H^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$; and hence $\text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n$. Thus, again by [5.3,](#page-9-0) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)$. Also, we have $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq$ Ann $\text{Ext}^d_R(M, \text{H}_\mathfrak{a}^n(N)) \supseteq \text{Ann } M$, which completes the proof.

Let X be an R-module. Set $E = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \max R} E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ (minimal injective cogenerator of R) and $D = \text{Hom}_R(\cdot, E)$. We note that the canonical map $X \to DDX$ is an injection. If this map is an isomorphism we say that X is (Matlis) reflexive. The following lemma yields a classification of modules which are reflexive with respect to E.

Lemma 5.5 ([\[2\]](#page-11-1) Theorem 12). An R-module X is reflexive if and only if it has a finite submodule S such that X/S is artinian and that $R/AnnX$ is a complete semilocal ring.

Assume that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ and R/\mathfrak{a} is a complete semilocal ring. By above lemma R/\mathfrak{a} is reflexive as an R -module. On the other hand, the category of reflexive R -modules is a Serre subcategory of the category of R-modules. Therefore R/\mathfrak{b} is reflexive as an R-module and hence, by the above lemma, R/\mathfrak{b} is a complete semilocal ring. We shall use the conclusion of this discussion in the proof of the next theorem.

Theorem 5.6. Let M, N be two finite R-modules with proj dim $M = d < \infty$ and $\dim N = n < \infty$. Let $\mathfrak{b} = Ann \, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n}(N)$. If R/\mathfrak{b} is a complete semilocal ring, then

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \operatorname{Supp} \operatorname{Ext}_R^d(M, R) \cap \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).
$$

In particular, if in addition, $proj \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = proj \dim M$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in Supp M$, then

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = \operatorname{Supp} M \cap \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N).
$$

Proof. Since $\text{Ext}^d_R(M, \cdot)$ is a right exact R-linear covariant functor, we have

$$
\operatorname{H}^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{d}_{R}(M,\operatorname{H}^{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{d}_{R}(M,R) \otimes_{R} \operatorname{H}^{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}(N).
$$

Set $\mathfrak{c} = \text{Ann}\, \mathrm{H}^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$. Therefore R/\mathfrak{c} is a complete semilocal ring. Now, by Lemma [5.5,](#page-10-0) [\[5,](#page-12-2) Exercise 7.2.10] and [\[4,](#page-12-23) VI.1.4 Proposition 10] we have

$$
Att H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N) = Att DD H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)
$$

\n
$$
= Ass D H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+d}(M, N)
$$

\n
$$
= Ass D(Ext_R^d(M, R) \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N))
$$

\n
$$
= Ass Hom_R(Ext_R^d(M, R), D H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N))
$$

\n
$$
= Supp Ext_R^d(M, R) \cap Ass D H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)
$$

\n
$$
= Supp Ext_R^d(M, R) \cap Att DD H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)
$$

\n
$$
= Supp Ext_R^d(M, R) \cap Att H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(N)
$$

The final assertion follows immediately from the first equality, [\[18,](#page-12-24) Lemma 19.1(iii)] and the fact that $\text{Supp Ext}_R^d(M, R) \subseteq \text{Supp }M.$

By Corollary [5.4](#page-9-1) Att $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \subseteq \text{Att } H^{n}_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$. Next, we give an example to show that this inclusion may be strict even if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a complete regular local ring and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$. Also, this example shows that the following theorem of Mafi is not true.

[\[17,](#page-12-11) Theorem 2.1]: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Notherian local ring and $n =$ $\dim N, d = \text{proj} \dim M < \infty$. If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+d}(M, N) \neq 0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}^{n+d}_\mathfrak{m}(M,N) = \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}^n_\mathfrak{m}(N).
$$

Example 5.7. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete regular local ring of a dimension $n \geq 2$ and assume that R has two distinct prime ideals **p**, **q** such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim R/\mathfrak{q} = 1$. Set $M = R/\mathfrak{p}$ and $N = R/\mathfrak{p} \oplus R/\mathfrak{q}$. Then, by Theorem [5.3,](#page-9-0)

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(N) = \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}\}.
$$

On the other hand, proj dim $M = \dim R - \operatorname{depth} M = n - 1$ and $\dim N = 1$. Now, by Theorem [5.6,](#page-10-1)

$$
\operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M, N) = \operatorname{Supp} M \cap \operatorname{Att} \operatorname{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(N) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}.
$$

Therefore [\[17,](#page-12-11) Theorem 2.1] is not true. Also, by [\[5,](#page-12-2) Proposition 7.2.11],

$$
\surd (Ann\, {\rm H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) = \bigcap_{{\mathfrak p} \in \operatorname{Att} {\rm H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N)} {\mathfrak p} = {\mathfrak p}
$$

and

$$
\surd (Ann\, \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(N)) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att} \, \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(N)} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}.
$$

Hence, again, Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 of $[17]$ are not true. We note that, the other results of [\[17\]](#page-12-11) are concluded from [\[17,](#page-12-11) Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3].

It is known that if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and dim $M = n > 0$, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M)$ is not finite [\[5,](#page-12-2) Corollary 7.3.3]. It was proved in [\[9,](#page-12-10) Proposition 2.6] that if $d =$ proj dim $M < \infty$ and $0 < n = \dim N$, then $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M, N)$ is not finite whenever it is non-zero. Next, we provide a generalization of this result. The following lemma, which is needed in the proof of the next proposition, is elementary.

Lemma 5.8. Let X be an R-module. Then X has finite length if and only if X is Artinian and Att $X \subseteq \max R$. Moreover if X has finite length, then Att $X =$ $\text{Supp}\, X = \text{Ass}\, X.$

Proposition 5.9. Let $d = \text{proj}\dim M < \infty$, $0 < n = \dim N < \infty$. If $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \neq$ 0, then it is not finite.

Proof. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att } H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$. By [5.3,](#page-9-0) $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is an Artinian Rmodule and $n + d = \text{cd}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{proj} \dim M + \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} =$ $n > 0$; So that Att $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N) \subsetneq \max R$. It follows that, in view of [5.8,](#page-11-2) $H^{n+d}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M,N)$ is not finite. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Amjadi and R. Naghipour, Cohomological dimension of generalized local cohomology modules, *Algebra Colloq.* 15 (2008), no. 2, 303–308.
- [2] R. G. Belshoff, E. E. Enochs and J. R. García Rozas, Generalized Matlis duality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000), no. 5, 1307–1312.
- [3] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, A common generalization of local cohomology theories, *Glasgow Math. J.* 21 (1980), no. 2, 173–181.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Chapter 1-7, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, 1998.
- [5] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: an Algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [7] L. Chu and Z. Tang, On the Artinianness of generalized local cohomology, *Comm. Algebra* 35 (2007), no. 12, 3821–3827.
- [8] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Attached primes of the top local cohomology modules with respect to an ideal, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 84 (2005), no. 4, 292–297.
- [9] Y. Gu and L. Chu, Attached primes of the top generalized local cohomology modules, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 79 (2009), no. 1, 59–67.
- [10] J. Herzog, Komplexe, Auflösungen und Dualität in der lokalen Algebra, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Regensburg, 1970.
- [11] K. Khashyarmanesh and Sh. Salarian, Filter regular sequences and the finiteness of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* 26 (1998), no. 8, 2483–2490.
- [12] K. Khashyarmanesh, M. Yassi and A. Abbasi, Filter regular sequences and generalized local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* 32 (2004), no. 1, 253–259.
- [13] R. L¨u and Z. Tang, The f-depth of an ideal on a module, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002), no. 7, 1905–1912 (electronic).
- [14] I. G. MacDonald, Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XI (Convegno di Algebra Commutativa, INDAM, Rome, 1971), pp. 23–43. Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [15] I. G. Macdonald and R. Y. Sharp, An elementary proof of the non-vanishing of certain local cohomology modules, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 23 (1972), 197–204.
- [16] A. Mafi, On the associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* 34 (2006), no. 7, 2489–2494.
- [17] A. Mafi, Top generalized local cohomology modules, *Turkish J. Math.* 35 (2011), no. 4, 611–615.
- [18] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [19] L. Melkersson, Some applications of a criterion for Artinianness of a module, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 101 (1995), no. 3, 291–303.
- [20] U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992)*, 307–328, Contemp. Math., 159, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 1994.
- [21] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 85. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1979.
- [22] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in commutative algebra*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 19. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [23] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications. An interaction between algebra*, *geometry and topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [24] N. Suzuki, On the generalized local cohomology and its duality, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 18 (1978), no. 1, 71–85.
- [25] Z. Tang, Local-global principle for the Artinianness of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* 40 (2012), no. 1, 58–63.
- [26] N. V. Trung, Absolutely superficial sequences, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 93 (1983), no. 1, 35–47.
- [27] S. Yassemi, L. Khatami and T. Sharif, Associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* 30 (2002), no. 1, 327–330.
- [28] N. Zamani, On graded generalized local cohomology, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 86 (2006), no. 4, 321–330.

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail address: alif1387@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail address: tehranian@srbiau.ac.ir

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Tarbiat Moallem University, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail address: zakeri@tmu.ac.ir