

Reflection from a moving mirror - a simple derivation using the photon model of light

Aleksandar Gjurchinovski*

*Institute of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics,
Sts. Cyril and Methodius University, P. O. Box 162, 1000 Skopje, Macedonia*

(Dated: June 28, 2012)

We present an elementary analysis of the effects on light reflected from a uniformly moving mirror by using the photon picture of light and the conservation laws for energy and momentum of the system photon-mirror. Such a dynamical approach to the problem seems very suitable for introductory physics courses, not requiring any previous knowledge in wave optics or special relativity.

PACS numbers: 42.15.-i, 03.30.+p

The reflection of light from a moving mirror has been studied in many different contexts during the last century [1–11]. In contrast to the usual problem of reflection from a stationary mirror, the situation with the moving mirror give rise to several important deviations from the classical case [12]. In fact, a very interesting phenomenon in quantum field theory that involves moving mirrors, which has been only recently observed experimentally, is the so-called dynamical Casimir effect, in which a mirror undergoing relativistic motion converts virtual photons into directly observable real photons [13].

In this paper we will concentrate on two effects regarding reflection from a uniformly moving mirror. The first is that the angle of incidence is no longer equal to the angle of reflection [3, 14–16]. The second is the so-called Doppler effect upon reflection from the moving mirror, or a change in the frequency of the reflected light with respect to the incident one [3, 17]. In our approach we will use the photon picture of light and investigate the collision of the photon with the moving mirror using the conservation laws governing elastic collisions [18].

Consider a single photon of energy $\hbar\omega$ and a corresponding momentum of magnitude $\hbar\omega/c$, upon oblique incidence on a perfectly-reflecting vertical plane mirror moving at a constant speed v to the right (see Fig. 1). According to the quantum picture of the process of reflection, the photon will be absorbed and reemitted by the target atoms from the surface of the mirror [18]. The motion of the mirror will cause loss or gain of momentum (and, therefore, energy) of the photon upon reflection, depending whether the mirror is moving along the positive or the negative direction of the x -axis. In the following, we shall assume that the motion of the mirror is non-relativistic ($v \ll c$), and that the reflection of the photon at its surface is perfectly elastic. As a consequence of the interaction between the photon and the moving mirror, there will be a shift in the frequency of the photon after the reflection, and the incident angle α and the reflected angle β will not be equal. Let $\hbar\omega'$ and $\hbar\omega'/c$ denote the respective energy and momentum magnitude of the reflected photon. Applying the conditions for conservation of momentum along x and y axes, we get:

$$\frac{\hbar\omega}{c} \cos \alpha + Mv = -\frac{\hbar\omega'}{c} \cos \beta + M(v + \Delta v_x), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\hbar\omega}{c} \sin \alpha = \frac{\hbar\omega'}{c} \sin \beta + M\Delta v_y, \quad (2)$$

where M is the mass of the mirror. We have taken into account that the velocity of the mirror may have changed after the reflection by amounts Δv_x and Δv_y in the x and y directions, respectively.

*Electronic address: agjurcin@pmf.ukim.mk




FIG. 1: Reflection of a photon from a massive plane mirror in uniform rectilinear motion.

We further apply the law of conservation of energy:

$$\hbar\omega + \frac{Mv^2}{2} = \hbar\omega' + \frac{M[(v + \Delta v_x)^2 + \Delta v_y^2]}{2}, \quad (3)$$

which can be easily transformed into:

$$\hbar\omega = \hbar\omega' + v(M\Delta v_x) + \frac{(M\Delta v_x)^2}{2M} + \frac{(M\Delta v_y)^2}{2M}, \quad (4)$$

From Eqs. (1) and (2) we have:

$$M\Delta v_x = \frac{\hbar}{c} (\omega \cos \alpha + \omega' \cos \beta), \quad (5)$$

$$M\Delta v_y = \frac{\hbar}{c} (\omega \sin \alpha - \omega' \sin \beta), \quad (6)$$

which upon substitution in Eq. (4) yields:

$$\hbar\omega = \hbar\omega' + \frac{\hbar v}{c} (\omega \cos \alpha + \omega' \cos \beta) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2Mc^2} (\omega \cos \alpha + \omega' \cos \beta)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2Mc^2} (\omega \sin \alpha - \omega' \sin \beta)^2. \quad (7)$$

In the limiting case of an infinitely heavy mirror ($M \rightarrow \infty$, $\Delta v_{x,y} \rightarrow 0$) the last two terms in the right-hand side of Eq. (7) can be neglected, and we obtain:

$$\omega' = \omega \frac{1 - v \cos \alpha / c}{1 + v \cos \beta / c}. \quad (8)$$

Consequently, Eq. (2) can be recast into:

$$\sin \beta \left(1 - \frac{v}{c} \cos \alpha \right) = \sin \alpha \left(1 + \frac{v}{c} \cos \beta \right), \quad (9)$$

which can be solved for the reflection angle β in terms of the angle of incidence α and the velocity v of the moving mirror to give:

$$\cos \beta = \frac{-2v/c + (1 + v^2/c^2) \cos \alpha}{1 - 2v \cos \alpha / c + v^2/c^2}. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, a substitution of Eq. (10) into Eq. (8) yields the relation between the frequencies of the photon before and after the reflection:

$$\omega' = \omega \frac{1 - 2v \cos \alpha / c + v^2 / c^2}{1 - v^2 / c^2}. \quad (11)$$

The reflection laws (10) and (11) coincide with the formulas obtained by using relativistic electrodynamics, the principles of wave optics, or directly from the postulates of special relativity [3, 7, 12, 14–17, 19–21]. The interesting point is that in deriving the same equations, we only use the relations for the energy and momentum of light in the sense of the photon model, which were apparently discovered and developed independently of special relativity [22].

Another curious point is that the resulting formulas (10) and (11) of our essentially non-relativistic approach are also valid in the case when the mirror is moving at relativistic speeds. The student is encouraged to prove this result rigorously by repeating the above derivation using the relativistic forms of conservation laws for energy and momentum.

- [1] R. S. Shankland, “Michelson–Morley experiment,” *Am. J. Phys.* **32**, 16–35 (1964).
- [2] M. Abraham, “Zur theorie der strahlung und des strahlungsdruckes,” *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **14**, 236–287 (1904).
- [3] A. Einstein, “Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper,” *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **17**, 891–921 (1905).
- [4] Q. Majorana, “On the second postulate of the theory of relativity: An experimental demonstration of the constancy of the velocity of light reflected by a moving mirror,” *Phys. Rev.* **11**, 411–420 (1918).
- [5] E. H. Kennard and D. E. Richmond, “On reflection from a moving mirror and the Michelson–Morley experiment,” *Phys. Rev.* **19**, 572–577 (1922).
- [6] R. J. Kennedy, “Another ether–drift experiment,” *Phys. Rev.* **20**, 26–33 (1922).
- [7] C. Yeh, “Reflection and transmission of electromagnetic waves by a moving dielectric medium,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **36**, 3513–3517 (1965).
- [8] S. A. Fulling and P. C. W. Davies, “Radiation from a moving mirror in two-dimensional space-time; conformal anomaly,” *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **348**, 393–414 (1976).
- [9] P. C. W. Davies and S. A. Fulling, “Radiation from moving mirrors and from black holes,” *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **356**, 237–257 (1977).
- [10] G. Anglada-Escudé, S. A. Klioner, M. Soffel and J. Torra, “Relativistic effects on imaging by a rotating optical system,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **462**, 371–377 (2007).
- [11] S. H. Sutanto and P. C. Tjiang, “The Gaussian formula and spherical aberrations of static and relativistic curved mirrors from Fermat’s principle,” *Journal of Optics* **13**, 105706 (2011).
- [12] B. M. Bolotovskii and S. N. Stolyarov, “Wave reflection from a moving mirror and related problems,” *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **159**, 155–180 (1989).
- [13] C. M. Wilson et al., “Observation of the dynamical Casimir effect in a superconducting circuit,” *Nature* **479**, 376–379 (2011).
- [14] A. Gjurchinovski, “Reflection of light from a uniformly moving mirror,” *Am. J. Phys.* **72**, 1316–1324 (2004).
- [15] A. Gjurchinovski, “Einstein’s mirror and Fermat’s principle of least time,” *Am. J. Phys.* **72**, 1325–1327 (2004).
- [16] A. Gjurchinovski and A. Skeparovski, “Einstein’s mirror,” *The Physics Teacher* **46**, 416–418 (2008).
- [17] A. Gjurchinovski, “The Doppler effect from a uniformly moving mirror,” *Eur. J. Phys* **26**, 643–646 (2005).
- [18] E. Wichman, *Quantum Physics* (Berkeley Physics Series vol. 4, 1971), Chapter 4.
- [19] H. Stephani, *Relativity: An introduction to Special and General Relativity* (Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 2004), 3rd ed., pp. 39–40.
- [20] W. Pauli, *Theory of Relativity* (Pergamon, New York, 1958), pp. 94–97.
- [21] J. L. Synge, *Relativity: The Special Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965), pp. 137–141.
- [22] I. Duck and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *100 years of Planck’s quantum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).