

Violation of the Robertson-Schrödinger uncertainty principle and non-commutative quantum mechanics

Catarina Bastos*

*Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico
Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

Orfeu Bertolami†

*Departamento de Física e Astronomia,
Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto
Rua do Campo Alegre, 687,
4169-007 Porto, Portugal*

Nuno Costa Dias‡, João Nuno Prata§

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Lusófona de Humanidades e Tecnologias
Avenida Campo Grande, 376, 1749-024 Lisboa, Portugal*

We show that a possible violation of the Robertson-Schrödinger uncertainty principle may signal the existence of a deformation of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra. More precisely, we prove that any Gaussian in phase-space (even if it violates the Robertson-Schrödinger uncertainty principle) will always be a quantum state of an appropriate non-commutative extension of quantum mechanics. Conversely, all canonical non-commutative extensions of quantum mechanics display states that violate the Robertson-Schrödinger uncertainty principle.

INTRODUCTION

Since its inception, quantum mechanics was formulated in terms of Hilbert spaces and self-adjoint operators acting therein. In this context Heisenberg's uncertainty relations become a straightforward consequence of the non-commutativity of the fundamental operators of position \hat{Q} and momentum \hat{P} and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. For a n -dimensional system, the Heisenberg-Weyl (HW) algebra reads:

$$[\hat{Q}_i, \hat{P}_j] = i\delta_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

and all remaining commutators vanish. Since our results are much more general than simple rescalings of Planck's constant, we have set $\hbar = 1$ for the remainder of this work and assumed that position and momentum have the same units. If we define the phase-space variable $\hat{Z} = (\hat{Q}, \hat{P})$, we have in more compact notation

$$[\hat{Z}_i, \hat{Z}_j] = iJ_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 2n, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{J} = (J_{ij})$ is the standard symplectic matrix

$$\mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{J}^T = -\mathbf{J}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

A simple calculation leads to the inequalities

$$\Delta_{Q_j} \cdot \Delta_{P_j} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

where Δ_{Q_j} and Δ_{P_j} denote the mean standard-deviations of \hat{Q}_j and \hat{P}_j with respect to an arbitrary state. The set of inequalities (4) are known as the Heisenberg-Weyl-Pauli inequalities. They are not invariant under linear symplectic transformations of the operators nor under metaplectic transformations of the states. This prompted the search for an alternative set of inequalities which are stronger

*E-mail: catarina.bastos@ist.utl.pt

†Also at Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail: orfeu.bertolami@fc.up.pt

‡Also at Grupo de Física Matemática, UL, Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003, Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail: ncdias@meo.pt

§Also at Grupo de Física Matemática, UL, Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003, Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail: joao.prata@mail.telepac.pt

than the Heisenberg inequalities and have the right symplectic covariance properties. They are known as the Robertson-Schrödinger uncertainty principle (RSUP) [1]:

$$\Sigma + \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{J} \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Here Σ denotes the covariance matrix with respect to an arbitrary state and has entries $\Sigma_{ij} = \langle (\hat{Z}_i - \langle \hat{Z}_i \rangle) (\hat{Z}_j - \langle \hat{Z}_j \rangle) \rangle$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, 2n$) and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an expectation value in a chosen state. In this work we shall focus on this form of the uncertainty principle, as it implies the Heisenberg uncertainty relations, it is invariant under linear symplectic transformations and moreover it constitutes the necessary and sufficient condition for a Gaussian to be a quantum mechanical state. In fact, Gaussians are of the utmost importance, not only because they are completely determined by their covariance matrix, but also because experimentally coherent and squeezed states play an important role in quantum optics [2], quantum computation of continuous variables [3] and investigations of the quantum-classical transition [4].

From a slightly different perspective, since the RSUP accounts for correlations, it is more suitable to address several interesting problems. The recent work [5] presents one such example. It is shown that the consideration of states with strong position-momentum correlations may lead to greater transparency of the Coulomb barrier during the interaction of charged particles. This is very important in the astrophysics of stars and in controlled nuclear fusion, where the action of the Coulomb barrier leads to a very low tunneling probability for low-energy particles. It can be shown that for a nonstationary harmonic oscillator with potential $V(t) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(t)Q^2$, a decrease in particle's frequency $\omega(t)$ leads to an increase in the correlation coefficient:

$$r(t) = \frac{\langle \hat{Q}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{Q} \rangle}{2\Delta_Q\Delta_P}$$

and a change of the uncertainty relation

$$\Delta_Q\Delta_P \geq (2\sqrt{1-r^2})^{-1}.$$

When a strongly correlated state with $|r| \rightarrow 1$ is formed, both Δ_Q, Δ_P and their product increase indefinitely. It was then proved that this leads to a greater barrier transparency at the same energy [5].

Various authors have tested theoretically and experimentally the validity of the inequalities (5) and the consequences of their violation [6]. For instance, Popper's experiment is usually regarded as a violation of the uncertainty principle [7]. The type of arguments used by Popper [8] are similar in spirit

to those of the EPR experiment [9] so that non² locality and the uncertainty principle seem to be inextricably linked. More precisely, the degree of non-locality of any theory is determined by two factors, namely the strength of the uncertainty principle, and the strength of a property called "steering", which determines which states can be prepared at one location given a measurement at another [10].

In this work, we show that the breakdown of the uncertainty principle may hint that the subatomic world is described not by standard quantum mechanics but by a non-commutative extension [11, 12] of it. This extension is obtained by replacing HW algebra (2) by a *deformed* algebra. This leads to an extra non-commutativity between the configuration and momentum variables. The most commonly used deformed algebra reads:

$$[\hat{\Xi}_i, \hat{\Xi}_j] = i\Omega_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 2n, \quad (6)$$

where the matrix $\Omega = (\Omega_{ij})$ is given by:

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \Upsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Here $\Theta = (\theta_{ij})$ and $\Upsilon = (\eta_{ij})$ are real constant skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices measuring the strengths of the position-position and momentum-momentum non-commutativities, respectively.

One should notice that other (non-canonical) deformations of the algebra (2) are also possible. These typically account for non-linearities and may appear in various systems. For instance the quantum q -oscillator [13] can be interpreted as a nonlinear oscillator whose frequency of vibration depends on the energy of the vibrations through a cosh function [14]. Generalizations thereof, where the frequency of vibration varies with other functions of the amplitude, are commonly known as f -oscillators [15]. Another instance where this kind of algebraic structure is common is in the reduced phase space formulation of systems with second class Dirac constraints [16].

From a somehow more fundamental point of view, non-commutative quantum mechanics (NCQM) is usually regarded as a non-relativistic one-particle sector of the very discussed non-commutative quantum field theories [17], which emerge in the context of string theory and quantum gravity [18]. The question of space-time non-commutativity has a long-standing story. It was put forward by Snyder [19], Heisenberg, Pauli [20] and Yang [21] as a means to regularize quantum field theories. However, the development of renormalization techniques and certain undesirable features of noncommutative theories such as the breakdown of Lorentz invariance [22, 23] have hindered further research in this direction. More recently, several important

developments in various approaches to the quantization of gravity have revived the interest in the concept of noncommutative space-time. See for instance Ref. [24] in the context of 3d gravity. In the realm of string theory, the discovery that the low energy effective theory of a D-brane in the background of a Neveu-Schwarz B field lives on a space with spatial non-commutativity has triggered an enormous amount of research in this field [17, 18, 25]. From another perspective, a simple heuristic argument, based on Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, the equivalence principle and the Schwarzschild metric, shows that the Planck length seems to be a lower bound on the precision of a measurement of position [26]. This reinforces the point of view that a new (non-commutative) geometry of space-time will emerge at a fundamental level [17, 27–29]. The simplest way to implement these ideas in quantum field theories is by adding to the phase-space non-commutativity of quantum mechanics a new space-time non-commutativity.

The implications of considering NC deformations of the HW algebra have also been investigated in the context of quantum cosmology. It was shown that this new structure leads to the thermodynamic stability of black holes and a possible regularization of the black hole singularities [30].

In this paper, we study some fundamental properties of states in NCQM. Various features of non-commutative quantum states for the algebra (6)-(7) have already been derived [11]. Here we are concerned with the possibility of using Gaussian states to identify the correct algebraic structure for quantum mechanics. More precisely, we show that: (i) NCQM displays states which are not states in standard quantum mechanics (as they violate the RSUP); (ii) conversely, that any Gaussian state (even if it is not a state in standard quantum mechanics) is nevertheless a state of some NC quantum theory; (iii) this NC theory is not unique and one can always find a NCQM for which the Gaussian is a quantum pure state. We stress that that all these results are true independently of the value of Planck's constant and we set $\hbar = 1$ for the entire paper.

Our proof takes place in the context of the Weyl-Wigner formulation or deformation quantization framework, where position and momentum variables appear on equal footing. As shown in [11], it is only in this formulation that one can tell whether states correspond to a quantization of the HW algebra or some of its deformation.

A symplectic form on a real vector space V is a bilinear map $\omega : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is skew-symmetric ($\omega(v, v') = -\omega(v', v)$ for all $v, v' \in V$) and non-degenerate ($\omega(v, v') = 0$ for all $v' \in V$ implies $v = 0$). The archetypal symplectic vector space is $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_p^n$ endowed with the standard symplectic form:

$$\sigma(z, z') = z \cdot \mathbf{J}z' = p \cdot x' - x \cdot p', \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{J} is the standard symplectic matrix and $z = (x, p)$, $z' = (x', p') \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Now, let

$$\omega(z, z') = z \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}z' \quad (9)$$

be another arbitrary symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Here $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is some real, anti-symmetric, non-singular, $2n \times 2n$ matrix (not necessarily (7)). A well known theorem in symplectic geometry [31] states that all symplectic vector spaces of equal dimension are symplectically equivalent. In other words, there exists a real non-singular $2n \times 2n$ matrix \mathbf{S} such that $\omega(\mathbf{S}z, \mathbf{S}z') = \sigma(z, z')$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, or matrix-wise

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{S}^T. \quad (10)$$

Obviously, the matrix \mathbf{S} is not unique. Indeed, let \mathbf{P} denote a symplectic matrix ($\mathbf{P} \in Sp(2n; \sigma)$), that is $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{P}^T = \mathbf{J}$. Then the matrix $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{P}$ also satisfies (10). We shall call the set of all matrices which satisfy (10) the set of Darboux matrices associated with ω and denote it by $\mathcal{D}(2n; \omega)$.

Conversely, given any real, non-singular, $2n \times 2n$ matrix \mathbf{S} , let $\mathbf{\Omega}$ be defined by (10). Then ω given by (9) is a symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . This simple observation will be the crux of our main result.

Quantization on the standard symplectic space

To quantize a system on the standard symplectic space, one resorts to the HW operators

$$\widehat{U}_\sigma(z) = e^{i\sigma(z, \widehat{Z})} = e^{i(p \cdot \widehat{Q} - x \cdot \widehat{P})} \quad (11)$$

with $z = (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, and where $\widehat{Z} = (\widehat{Q}, \widehat{P})$ denote the quantum mechanical position and momentum operators.

These operators constitute a unitary irreducible representation of the HW algebra. Indeed, they satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{U}_\sigma(z)\widehat{U}_\sigma(z') &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z, z')}\widehat{U}_\sigma(z+z') \\ &= e^{i\sigma(z, z')}\widehat{U}_\sigma(z')\widehat{U}_\sigma(z), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which can be readily obtained from the HW algebra (2) through the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

A generic linear operator acting on the Hilbert space of the system (in the case $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) can then be represented by

$$\hat{A} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int dz \tilde{\alpha}_\sigma(\mathbf{J}^{-1}z) \hat{U}_\sigma(z), \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_\sigma$ denotes the Fourier transform of some suitable tempered distribution $\alpha_\sigma(z)$ on the phase-space, commonly known as the Weyl-symbol of the operator \hat{A} .

Since $Tr(\hat{U}_\sigma(z)) = (2\pi)^n \delta(z)$, we obtain from (12) that $Tr(\hat{U}_\sigma(z) \hat{U}_\sigma(z')) = (2\pi)^n \delta(z + z')$, and (13) can be readily inverted. Thus, $\tilde{\alpha}_\sigma(z) = Tr(\hat{A} \hat{U}_\sigma(\mathbf{J}^{-1}z))$, and the Weyl symbol of \hat{A} reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} &\mapsto W_\sigma \hat{A} = \alpha_\sigma(z) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int dz' Tr(\hat{A} \hat{U}_\sigma(z')) e^{i\sigma(z',z)} \\ &= \int dy \langle x + \frac{y}{2} | \hat{A} | x - \frac{y}{2} \rangle e^{-ip \cdot y}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

This procedure establishes a one-to-one map W_σ - called Weyl correspondence - between linear operators and the associated symbols.

The state of a quantum system is represented by a positive trace-class operator, the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$. When applied to $\hat{\rho}$ the Weyl correspondence yields (up to a normalization constant) the celebrated Wigner function on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \sigma)$:

$$W_\sigma \hat{\rho}(x, p) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int dy \langle x + \frac{y}{2} | \hat{\rho} | x - \frac{y}{2} \rangle e^{-ip \cdot y}. \quad (15)$$

In particular, for a pure state $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ for $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$W_\sigma \psi(x, p) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int dy \psi(x + \frac{y}{2}) \overline{\psi(x - \frac{y}{2})} e^{-ip \cdot y}. \quad (16)$$

In general, it is very difficult to assess whether a function $F(x, p)$ in phase-space is the Wigner function of some density matrix (see e.g. [32]). A notable exception are the Gaussians

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma, \zeta}(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \sqrt{\det \Sigma}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(z - \zeta) \cdot \Sigma^{-1}(z - \zeta)] \quad (17)$$

where $z = (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and Σ is the covariance matrix, which is a real, positive-definite $2n \times 2n$ matrix. It is a well documented fact [2, 32] that such a Gaussian is a Wigner function on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \sigma)$ if and only if it satisfies the RSUP (5).

We may be more specific and determine whether the Gaussian is the Wigner function of a pure state. Indeed, it has been proven [4] that (17) is the

Wigner function of a pure state if and only if there exists $\mathbf{P} \in Sp(2n; \sigma)$ such that

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P}. \quad (18)$$

Quantization on non-standard symplectic spaces

NCQM results from quantizing the classical theory on a non-standard symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ [11, 12]. In this case, the HW algebra (2) is replaced by the modified algebra (6). The HW operators (11) become

$$\hat{U}_\omega(\xi) = e^{i\omega(\xi, \hat{\Xi})} = e^{i\xi \cdot \Omega^{-1} \hat{\Xi}}. \quad (19)$$

and concomitantly

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_\omega(\xi) \hat{U}_\omega(\xi') &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega(\xi, \xi')} \hat{U}_\omega(\xi + \xi') \\ &= e^{i\omega(\xi, \xi')} \hat{U}_\omega(\xi') \hat{U}_\omega(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since $\hat{\Xi} = \mathbf{S} \hat{Z}$ for some $\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{D}(2n; \omega)$, from (10):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_\omega(\xi) &= \hat{U}_\sigma(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\xi), \\ Tr(\hat{U}_\omega(\xi) \hat{U}_\omega(\xi')) &= (2\pi)^n \sqrt{\det \Omega} \delta(\xi + \xi'). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Substituting (21) into (13), we obtain

$$\hat{A} = (2\pi \sqrt{\det \Omega})^{-n} \int dz' \tilde{a}_\omega(\Omega^{-1}z') \hat{U}_\omega(z'), \quad (22)$$

where (10) and $\tilde{a}_\omega(u) = \tilde{a}_\sigma(\mathbf{S}^T u)$ have been used. Hence, the Weyl symbol of \hat{A} on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} &\mapsto W_\omega \hat{A} = a_\omega(\xi) = (\sqrt{\det \Omega})^{-1} a_\sigma(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\xi) = \\ &= ((2\pi)^n \det \Omega)^{-1} \int d\xi' Tr(\hat{A} \hat{U}_\omega(\xi')) e^{i\omega(\xi', \xi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In particular if $W_\sigma \hat{\rho}$ denotes the Wigner function of a density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \sigma)$, then the corresponding Wigner function on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ is given by [11]

$$W_\omega \hat{\rho}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \Omega}} W_\sigma \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{S}^{-1}\xi). \quad (24)$$

Main result

From (24) one can derive the counterparts of the RSUP and Littlejohn's Theorem [4] for Gaussians on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$. From (5) and (24), we conclude that the Gaussian (17) is a quantum state on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ if and only if

$$\Sigma + \frac{i}{2} \Omega \geq 0. \quad (25)$$

Likewise, from (18) and (24), the Gaussian is a Wigner function on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ of a pure state if and only if there exists a matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{D}(2n; \omega)$ such that

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^T. \quad (26)$$

We are now in condition prove our main result.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma, \zeta}$ be a Gaussian of the form (17). Then there exists a matrix Ω associated with a symplectic form (9), such that $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma, \zeta}$ is a quantum state of the NCQM based on the deformed Heisenberg algebra (6).

Indeed, since Σ is positive definite, there exists a real, non-singular, $2n \times 2n$ matrix \mathbf{C} for which (26) holds. Define a matrix Ω by $\Omega = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{C}^T$. Clearly, the form ω defined by (9) is a symplectic form and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{D}(2n; \omega)$. According to Littlejohn's Theorem on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ (cf.(26)), then $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma, \zeta}$ is a Wigner function on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ of a pure state. This concludes the proof.

Notice that, as a by-product of the proof, for any Gaussian, one can always find a NCQM for which the Gaussian is a pure state. In a certain sense this procedure amounts to a purification of the state.

There is a converse result of the previous one. Namely: given a NCQM we can always find quantum states which violate the standard RS uncertainty principle. A general proof of this result is beyond the scope of the present letter. Here we shall illustrate explicitly this result for NCQM in 2 dimensions with algebra (6)-(7). That is

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \theta \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \eta \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

where $\theta, \eta > 0$ are real constants such that $\xi = \theta\eta < 1$. A simple Darboux matrix is $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{D}(2n; \omega)$ given by

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{I} & -\frac{\theta}{2\lambda} \mathbf{E} \\ \frac{\eta}{2\mu} \mathbf{E} & \mu \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

where μ, λ are real parameters such that $2\mu\lambda = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \xi}$. By construction the Gaussian with covariance matrix $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^T$ is a Wigner function on the non-standard symplectic space (\mathbb{R}^4, ω) . However, a straightforward calculation reveals that it violates the standard RSUP (5).

CONCLUSIONS

Let us close our discussion with some clarifying remarks. The symplectic form ω such that $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma, \zeta}$ is a quantum state on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$ is not unique. Indeed, a phase-space function may be a Wigner function upon quantization on several distinct symplectic

spaces (see [11] for examples). Moreover, although our result proves that a Gaussian will always be associated with some pure state on an appropriate symplectic space, it may nevertheless be a Wigner function on another symplectic space, this instance associated with a mixed state.

Finally, one could wonder whether any *reasonable* function on phase-space will always be a Wigner function on some appropriate symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$. By a *reasonable* function, we mean a function which satisfies some obvious *a priori* requirements of Wigner functions: being real, normalized, uniformly continuous, bounded, square integrable, etc. The answer is negative. Indeed if a function $F(z)$ is a Wigner function on some $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \omega)$, then there has to exist a matrix \mathbf{S} such that $|\det \mathbf{S}| F(\mathbf{S}z)$ is a Wigner function on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \sigma)$. But one may easily construct functions which are *reasonable* but are not Wigner functions on $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \sigma)$, even admitting rescalings of Planck's constant. The derivation of such examples is difficult and beyond the scope of the present work. It requires the concept of Narcowich-Wigner spectrum [32]. We shall get back to this issue in a future work.

The work of CB is supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) under the grant SFRH/BPD/62861/2009. The work of OB is partially supported by the FCT project PTDC/FIS/111362/2009. N.C. Dias and J.N. Prata have been supported by the FCT grant PTDC/MAT/099880/2008.

-
- [1] H.P. Robertson, Phys. Rev. **34** (1929) 163; E. Schrödinger, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 24 (1930) 296.
 - [2] R. Simon, E.C.G. Sudarshan, N. Mukunda, Phys. Rev. **A 36** (1987) 3868.
 - [3] G. Giedke: Quantum information and continuous variable systems. PhD Thesis (Innsbruck, 2001).
 - [4] R.G. Littlejohn, Phys. Rep. **138** (1986) 193.
 - [5] V.I. Vysotskii, M.V. Vysotskyy, S.V. Adamenko, JETP 114 (2012) 243; J. Surf. Inv., X-Ray, Journal of Surface Investigation. Xray, Synchrotron and Neutron Techniques. 6 (2012) 369; V.I. Vysotskii, S.V. Adamenko, Tech. Phys. 55 (2010) 613.
 - [6] L. Rozema, A. Darabi, D. Mahler, A. Hayat, Y. Soudagar and A. Steinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 100404.
 - [7] Y.-H. Kim, Y. Shih, Found. Phys. **29** (1999) 1849.
 - [8] K.R. Popper, "Zur Kritik der Ungenauigkeitsrelationen", Die Naturwissenschaften **22** (1934) 807; K.R. Popper, Quantum Theory and the Schism in Physics (Hutchinson, London, 1983).
 - [9] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47** (1935) 777.
 - [10] J. Oppenheim, S. Wehner, Science **330** 1072.
 - [11] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata,

- J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008) 072101; C. Bastos, N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata, Commun. Math. Phys. **299** (2010) 709; N.C. Dias, M. de Gosson, F. Luef, J.N. Prata, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 072101; J. Math. Pures Appl. **96** (2011) 423.
- [12] V. P. Nair, A.P. Polychronakros, Phys. Lett. **B 505** (2001) 267; J. Gamboa, M. Loewe, J.C. Rojas, Phys. Rev. **D 64** (2001) 067901; M. Demetrian, D. Kochan, Acta Physica Slovaca **52** (2002) 1; P.A. Horwathy, Ann. Phys. **299** (2002) 128; O. Bertolami et. al, Phys. Rev. **D 72** (2005) 025010.
- [13] L.C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A **22** (1989) L873; A.J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A **22** (1989) 4581.
- [14] V.I. Manko, G. Marmo, S. Solimeno, F. Zaccaria, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **8** (1993) 3577; Phys. Lett. A **176** (1993) 173.
- [15] V.I. Manko, G. Marmo, E.C.G. Sudarshan, F. Zaccaria. Phys. Scripta **55** (1997) 528.
- [16] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim: Quantization of gauge fields. Princeton University Press (1992).
- [17] M.R. Douglas, N.A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2001) 977.
- [18] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, JHEP **02** (1998) 003; N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **09** (1999) 032.
- [19] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. **71** (1947) 38; Phys. Rev. **72** (1947) 68.
- [20] Letter of Heisenberg to Peierls (1930), Letter of Pauli to Oppenheimer (1946), Wolfgang Pauli, Scientific correspondence, Ed. Karl von Meyenn, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [21] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **72** (1947) 874.
- [22] S.M. Carroll, J.A. Harvey, V.A. Kostelecký, C.D. Lane and T. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 141601.
- [23] O. Bertolami, L. Guisado, JHEP **0312** (2003) 013.
- [24] L. Freidel, E.R. Livine, 4-th International Symposium Quantum Theory and Symmetries” in Varna, Bulgaria, August 2005, (Heron Press, Sofia, 2006)
- [25] M.R. Douglas, C. Hull, JHEP **02** (1998) 008.
- [26] M. Rosenbaum, J.D. Vergara, Ge. Rel. Grav. **38** (2006) 607.
- [27] A. Connes: Noncommutative Geometry (1994), Academic Press, London.
- [28] P. Martinetti, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20** (2005) 1315.
- [29] R.J. Szabo, Phys. Rept. **378** (2003) 207.
- [30] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata, Phys.Rev. **D 78** (2008) 023516; Phys.Rev. **D 80** (2009) 124038; Phys.Rev. **D 82** (2010) 041502; Phys.Rev. **D 84** (2011) 024005; P. Nicolini, A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, Phys. Lett. **B 632** (2006) 547; O. Obregon, I. Quiros, Phys. Rev. **D 84** (2011) 044005; B. Malekolkalami, M. Farhoudi, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 245009.
- [31] A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on symplectic geometry*, Springer (2001); M. de Gosson, *Symplectic geometry and quantum mechanics*, Birkhäuser (2006).
- [32] N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata, Ann. Phys. **313** (2004) 110; Rep. Math. Phys. **63** (2009) 43; F.J. Narcowich, J. Math. Phys. **29** (1988) 2036; Phys. Rev. A **34** (1986) 1.