

# On the Entropy of Sums of Bernoulli Random Variables via the Chen-Stein Method

Igal Sason

Department of Electrical Engineering  
Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Haifa 32000, Israel

E-mail: sason@ee.technion.ac.il

**Abstract**—This paper considers the entropy of the sum of (possibly dependent and non-identically distributed) Bernoulli random variables. Upper bounds on the error that follows from an approximation of this entropy by the entropy of a Poisson random variable with the same mean are derived. The derivation of these bounds combines elements of information theory with the Chen-Stein method for Poisson approximation. The resulting bounds are easy to compute, and their applicability is exemplified. This conference paper presents in part the first half of the paper entitled “An information-theoretic perspective of the Poisson approximation via the Chen-Stein method” (see: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6811>). A generalization of the bounds that considers the accuracy of the Poisson approximation for the entropy of a sum of non-negative, integer-valued and bounded random variables is introduced in the full paper. It also derives lower bounds on the total variation distance, relative entropy and other measures that are not considered in this conference paper.

**Index Terms**—Chen-Stein method, entropy, information theory, Poisson approximation, total variation distance.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Convergence to the Poisson distribution, for the number of occurrences of possibly dependent events, naturally arises in various applications. Following the work of Poisson, there has been considerable interest in how well the Poisson distribution approximates the binomial distribution. This approximation was treated by a limit theorem in [13, Chapter 8], and later some non-asymptotic theoretical results have studied the accuracy of this approximation. The Poisson approximation and later the compound Poisson approximation have been treated extensively in the probability and statistics literature (see, e.g., [2]–[10], [12]–[13], [26]–[34] and references therein).

Among modern methods, the Chen-Stein method forms a powerful probabilistic tool that is used to calculate error bounds when the Poisson approximation serves to assess the distribution of a sum of (possibly dependent) Bernoulli random variables [10]. This method is based on the simple property of the Poisson distribution where  $Z \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  if and only if  $\lambda \mathbb{E}[f(Z+1)] - \mathbb{E}[Z f(Z)] = 0$  for all bounded functions  $f$  that are defined on  $\mathbb{N}_0 \triangleq \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . This method provides a rigorous analytical treatment, via error bounds, to the case where  $W$  has approximately a Poisson distribution  $\text{Po}(\lambda)$  so it is expected that  $\lambda \mathbb{E}[f(W+1)] - \mathbb{E}[W f(W)] \approx 0$  for an arbitrary bounded function  $f$  that is defined on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . The reader is referred to some nice surveys on the Chen-Stein method in [3], [4], [5, Chapter 2], [9], [29, Chapter 2], [30].

During the last decade, information-theoretic methods were exploited to establish convergence to Poisson and compound Poisson limits in suitable paradigms. An information-theoretic study of the convergence rate of the binomial-to-Poisson distribution, in terms of the relative entropy between the binomial and Poisson distributions, was provided in [15], and maximum entropy results for the binomial, Poisson and compound Poisson distributions were studied in [14], [19], [23], [33], [35], [36] and [37]. The law of small numbers refers to the phenomenon that, for random variables  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is approximately Poisson distributed with mean  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$  as long as (qualitatively) the following conditions hold:

- $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 0) \approx 1$ , and  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1)$  is uniformly small,
- $\mathbb{P}(X_i > 1)$  is negligible as compared to  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1)$ ,
- $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are weakly dependent.

An information-theoretic study of the law of small numbers was provided in [24] via the derivation of upper bounds on the relative entropy between the distribution of the sum of possibly dependent Bernoulli random variables and the Poisson distribution with the same mean. An extension of the law of small numbers to a thinning limit theorem for convolutions of discrete distributions that are defined on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  was introduced in [16] followed by an analysis of the convergence rate and some non-asymptotic results. Further work in this direction was studied in [21], and the work in [7] provides an information-theoretic study for the problem of compound Poisson approximation, which parallels the earlier study for the Poisson approximation in [24]. Nice surveys on this line of work are provided in [19, Chapter 7], [25], and [12, Chapter 2] surveys some commonly-used metrics between probability measures with some pointers to the Poisson approximation.

This paper provides an information-theoretic study of Poisson approximation, and it combines elements of information theory with the Chen-Stein method. The novelty in this paper, in comparison to previous related works, is related to the derivation of upper bounds on the error that follows from an approximation of the entropy of a sum of possibly dependent and non-identically distributed Bernoulli random variables by the entropy of a Poisson random variable with the same mean (see Theorem 5 and some of its consequences in Section II). The use of these new bounds is exemplified, partially relying on interesting applications of the Chen-Stein method from [3].

## II. ERROR BOUNDS ON THE ENTROPY OF THE SUM OF BERNOULLI RANDOM VARIABLES

This section considers the entropy of a sum of (possibly dependent and non-identically distributed) Bernoulli random variables. Section II-A provides a review of some known results on the Poisson approximation, via the Chen-Stein method, that are relevant to the derivation of the new bounds (see [31, Section 2]). Section II-B introduces explicit upper bounds on the error that follows from the approximation of the entropy of a sum of Bernoulli random variables by the entropy of a Poisson random variable with the same mean. Some applications of the new bounds are exemplified in Section II-C.

### A. Background

In the following, the term ‘distribution’ refers to the probability mass function of an integer-valued random variable.

*Definition 1:* Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability measures defined on a set  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then, the total variation distance between  $P$  and  $Q$  is defined by

$$d_{\text{TV}}(P, Q) \triangleq \sup_{\text{Borel } A \subseteq \mathcal{X}} |P(A) - Q(A)| \quad (1)$$

where the supremum is taken w.r.t. all the Borel subsets  $A$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a countable set then (1) is simplified to

$$d_{\text{TV}}(P, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |P(x) - Q(x)| = \frac{\|P - Q\|_1}{2} \quad (2)$$

so the total variation distance is equal to one-half of the  $L_1$ -distance between the two probability distributions.

The following theorem combines [6, Theorems 1 and 2], and its proof relies on the Chen-Stein method:

*Theorem 1:* Let  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  be a sum of  $n$  independent Bernoulli random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = p_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\mathbb{E}(W) = \lambda$ . Then, the total variation distance between the probability distribution of  $W$  and the Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{32} \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \leq d_{\text{TV}}(P_W, \text{Po}(\lambda)) \leq \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $a \wedge b \triangleq \min\{a, b\}$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark 1:* The ratio between the upper and lower bounds in Theorem 1 is not larger than 32, irrespectively of the values of  $\{p_i\}$ . This shows that these bounds are essentially tight. The upper bound in (3) improves Le Cam’s inequality (see [26], [34]) which states that  $d_{\text{TV}}(P_W, \text{Po}(\lambda)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$  so the improvement, for  $\lambda \gg 1$ , is by the factor  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

Theorem 1 provides a non-asymptotic result for the Poisson approximation of sums of independent binary random variables via the use of the Chen-Stein method. In general, this method enables to analyze the Poisson approximation for sums of dependent random variables. To this end, the following notation was used in [2] and [3]:

Let  $I$  be a countable index set, and for  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $X_\alpha$  be a Bernoulli random variable with

$$p_\alpha \triangleq \mathbb{P}(X_\alpha = 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_\alpha = 0) > 0. \quad (4)$$

Let

$$W \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha, \quad \lambda \triangleq \mathbb{E}(W) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha \quad (5)$$

where it is assumed that  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . For every  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $B_\alpha$  be a subset of  $I$  that is chosen such that  $\alpha \in B_\alpha$ . This subset is interpreted in [2] as the neighborhood of dependence for  $\alpha$  in the sense that  $X_\alpha$  is independent or weakly dependent of all of the  $X_\beta$  for  $\beta \notin B_\alpha$ . Furthermore, the following coefficients were defined in [2, Section 2]:

$$b_1 \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\beta \in B_\alpha} p_\alpha p_\beta \quad (6)$$

$$b_2 \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \in B_\alpha} p_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad p_{\alpha, \beta} \triangleq \mathbb{E}(X_\alpha X_\beta) \quad (7)$$

$$b_3 \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} s_\alpha, \quad s_\alpha \triangleq \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}(X_\alpha - p_\alpha | \sigma(\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in I \setminus B_\alpha}))| \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma(\cdot)$  in the conditioning of (8) denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra that is generated by the random variables inside the parenthesis. In the following, we cite [2, Theorem 1] which essentially implies that when  $b_1, b_2$  and  $b_3$  are all small, then the total number  $W$  of events is approximately Poisson distributed.

*Theorem 2:* Let  $W = \sum_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  be a sum of (possibly dependent and non-identically distributed) Bernoulli random variables  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ . Then, with the notation in (4)–(8), the following upper bound on the total variation distance holds:

$$d_{\text{TV}}(P_W, \text{Po}(\lambda)) \leq (b_1 + b_2) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda}\right) + b_3 \left(1 \wedge \frac{1.4}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right). \quad (9)$$

*Remark 2:* A comparison of the right-hand side of (9) with the bound in [2, Theorem 1] shows a difference in a factor of 2 between the two upper bounds. This follows from a difference in a factor of 2 between the two definitions of the total variation distance in [2, Section 2] and Definition 1 here. Note however that Definition 1 is consistent with, e.g., [6].

*Remark 3:* Theorem 2 forms a generalization of the upper bound in Theorem 1 by choosing  $B_\alpha = \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in I \triangleq \{1, \dots, n\}$  (note that, due to the independence assumption of the Bernoulli random variables in Theorem 1, the neighborhood of dependence of  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha$  itself). In this setting, under the independence assumption,  $b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$ ,  $b_2 = b_3 = 0$  which therefore gives, from (9), the upper bound in (3).

The following inequality holds (see [11, Theorem 17.3.3]):

*Theorem 3:* Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability mass functions on a finite set  $\mathcal{X}$  such that the  $L_1$  norm of their difference is not larger than one-half, i.e.,

$$\|P - Q\|_1 \triangleq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |P(x) - Q(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

Then the difference between their entropies satisfies

$$|H(P) - H(Q)| \leq -\|P - Q\|_1 \log \left(\frac{\|P - Q\|_1}{|\mathcal{X}|}\right). \quad (11)$$

The bounds on the total variation distance for the Poisson approximation (see Theorems 1 and 2) and the  $L_1$  bound on the entropy (see Theorem 3) motivate to derive a bound on  $|H(W) - H(Z)|$  where  $W \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  is a finite sum of (possibly dependent and non-identically distributed) Bernoulli random variables, and  $Z \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$  is Poisson distributed with mean  $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha$ . The problem is that the Poisson distribution is defined on a countable set that is infinite, so the bound in Theorem 3 is not applicable for the considered problem of Poisson approximation. This motivates the theorem in the next sub-section. Before proceeding to this analysis, the following maximum entropy result of the Poisson distribution is introduced for the special case where the Bernoulli random variables are independent. This maximum entropy result follows directly from [14, Theorems 7 and 8].

*Theorem 4:* The Poisson distribution  $\text{Po}(\lambda)$  has the maximal entropy among all probability distributions with mean  $\lambda$  that can be obtained as sums of independent Bernoulli RVs:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\text{Po}(\lambda)) &= \sup_{S \in B_\infty(\lambda)} H(S) \\ B_\infty(\lambda) &\triangleq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n(\lambda) \\ B_n(\lambda) &\triangleq \left\{ S : S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, X_i \sim \text{Bern}(p_i), \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \lambda \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where in the above sum,  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are independent Bernoulli random variables. Furthermore, since the supremum of the entropy over the set  $B_n(\lambda)$  is monotonic increasing in  $n$ , then

$$H(\text{Po}(\lambda)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{S \in B_n(\lambda)} H(S).$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the maximum entropy distribution in the class  $B_n(\lambda)$  is the Binomial distribution of the sum of  $n$  i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables  $\text{Ber}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)$ , so

$$H(\text{Po}(\lambda)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(\text{Binomial}\left(n, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)\right).$$

*Calculation of the entropy of a Poisson random variable:* In the next sub-section we consider the approximation of the entropy of a sum of Bernoulli random variables by the entropy of a Poisson random variable with the same mean. To this end, it is required to evaluate the entropy of  $Z \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$ . It is straightforward to verify that

$$H(Z) = \lambda \log\left(\frac{e}{\lambda}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda} \log k!}{k!} \quad (13)$$

so the entropy of the Poisson distribution (in nats) is expressed in terms of an infinite series that has no closed form. Sequences of simple upper and lower bounds on this entropy, which are asymptotically tight, were derived in [1]. In particular, for large values of  $\lambda$ ,

$$H(Z) \approx \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \lambda) - \frac{1}{12\lambda} - \frac{1}{24\lambda^2}. \quad (14)$$

## B. New Error Bounds on the Entropy

We introduce here new error bounds on the entropy of Bernoulli sums. Due to space limitations, the proofs are omitted. The proofs are available in the full paper version (see [31, Section II.D]).

*Theorem 5:* Let  $I$  be an arbitrary finite index set with  $m \triangleq |I|$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 and the notation used in Eqs. (4)–(8), let

$$a(\lambda) \triangleq 2 \left[ (b_1 + b_2) \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) + b_3 (1 \wedge \frac{1.4}{\sqrt{\lambda}}) \right] \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b(\lambda) &\triangleq \left[ \left( \lambda \log\left(\frac{e}{\lambda}\right) \right)_+ + \lambda^2 + \frac{6 \log(2\pi) + 1}{12} \right] \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ - \left[ \lambda + (m-1) \log\left(\frac{m-1}{\lambda e}\right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where, in (16),  $(x)_+ \triangleq \max\{x, 0\}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $Z \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$  be a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$ . If  $a(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha \leq m-1$ , then the difference between the entropies (to the base  $e$ ) of  $Z$  and  $W$  satisfies the inequality:

$$|H(Z) - H(W)| \leq a(\lambda) \log\left(\frac{m+2}{a(\lambda)}\right) + b(\lambda). \quad (17)$$

The following corollary follows from Theorems 4 and 5, and Remark 3:

*Corollary 1:* Consider the setting in Theorem 5, and assume that the Bernoulli random variables  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  are also independent. If  $\left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\lambda \leq m-1$  then, for  $Z \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq H(Z) - H(W) \leq b(\lambda) + \\ &2 \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} \right) \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha^2 \cdot \log\left(\frac{(m+2)\lambda}{2(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The following bound forms a possible improvement of the result in Corollary 1. It combines the upper bound on the total variation distance in [6, Theorem 1] (see Theorem 1 here) with the upper bound on the total variation distance in [8, Eq. (30)]. It is noted that the bound in [8, Eq. (30)] improves the bound in [27, Eq. (10)] (see also [28, Eq. (4)]).

*Proposition 1:* Assume that the conditions in Corollary 1 are satisfied. Then, the following inequality holds:

$$0 \leq H(Z) - H(W) \leq g(\underline{p}) \log\left(\frac{m+2}{g(\underline{p})}\right) + b(\lambda) \quad (19)$$

if  $g(\underline{p}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda \leq m-1$ , where

$$g(\underline{p}) \triangleq 2\theta \min \left\{ 1 - e^{-\lambda}, \frac{3}{4e(1 - \sqrt{\theta})^{3/2}} \right\} \quad (20)$$

$$\underline{p} \triangleq \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}, \quad \lambda \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha \quad (21)$$

$$\theta \triangleq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha^2. \quad (22)$$

*Remark 4:* From (21) and (22), it follows that

$$0 \leq \theta \leq \max_{\alpha \in I} p_\alpha \triangleq p_{\max}.$$

Furthermore, the condition  $\lambda \leq m - 1$  is mild since  $|I| = m$  and the probabilities  $\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  should be typically small for the Poisson approximation to hold.

*Remark 5:* Proposition 1 improves the bound in Corollary 1 only if  $\theta$  is below a certain value that depends on  $\lambda$ . The maximal improvement that is obtained by Proposition 1, as compared to Corollary 1, is in the case where  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , and the corresponding improvement in the value of  $g(\underline{p})$  is by a factor of  $\frac{3}{4e} \approx 0.276$ .

### C. Some Applications of the New Error Bounds on the Entropy

In the following, the use of Theorem 5 is first exemplified when the Bernoulli random variables are independent. It is also exemplified in a case from [2, Section 3] where dependence among the Bernoulli random variables exists. The use of Theorem 5 is exemplified for the calculation of error bounds on the entropy via the Chen-Stein method.

*Example 1 (sums of independent binary random variables):* Let  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  be a sum of  $n$  independent Bernoulli random variables where  $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(p_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The calculation of the entropy of  $W$  involves the numerical computation of the probabilities

$$(P_W(0), P_W(1), \dots, P_W(n)) = (1-p_1, p_1) * \dots * (1-p_n, p_n)$$

whose computational complexity is high for very large values of  $n$ , especially if the probabilities  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are not the same. The bounds in Corollary 1 and Proposition 1 enable to get rigorous upper bounds on the accuracy of the Poisson approximation for  $H(W)$ . As was explained earlier in this section, the bound in Proposition 1 may only improve the bound in Corollary 1. Lets exemplify this in the following case: Suppose that

$$p_i = 2ai, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad a = 10^{-10}, \quad n = 10^8$$

then

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = an(n+1) = 1,000,000.01 \approx 10^6, \quad (23)$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 = \frac{2a(2n+1)}{3} = 0.0133. \quad (24)$$

The entropy of  $Z \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$  is  $H(Z) = 8.327$  nats. Corollary 1 gives that  $0 \leq H(Z) - H(W) \leq 0.588$  nats and Proposition 1 improves it to  $0 \leq H(Z) - H(W) \leq 0.205$  nats. Hence,  $H(W) \approx 8.224$  nats with a relative error of at most 1.2%. We note that by changing the values of  $a$  and  $n$  to  $10^{-14}$  and  $10^{12}$ , respectively, it follows that  $H(W) \approx 12.932$  nats with a relative error of at most 0.04%. The enhancement of the accuracy of the Poisson approximation in the latter case is consistent with the law of small numbers (see, e.g., [24] and references therein).

*Example 2 (random graphs):* This problem, which appears in [2, Example 1], is described as follows: On the cube  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , assume that each of the  $n2^{n-1}$  edges is assigned a random direction by tossing a fair coin. Let  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  be fixed, and denote by  $W \triangleq W(k, n)$  the random variable that is equal to the number of vertices at which exactly  $k$  edges point outward (so  $k = 0$  corresponds to the event where all  $n$  edges, from a certain vertex, point inward). Let  $I$  be the set of all  $2^n$  vertices, and  $X_\alpha$  be the indicator that vertex  $\alpha \in I$  has exactly  $k$  of its edges directed outward. Then  $W = \sum_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  with

$$X_\alpha \sim \text{Bern}(p), \quad p = 2^{-n} \binom{n}{k}, \quad \forall \alpha \in I.$$

This implies that  $\lambda = \binom{n}{k}$  (since  $|I| = 2^n$ ). Clearly, the neighborhood of dependence of a vertex  $\alpha \in I$ , denoted by  $B_\alpha$ , is the set of vertices that are directly connected to  $\alpha$  (including  $\alpha$  itself since Theorem 2 requires that  $\alpha \in B_\alpha$ ). It is noted, however, that  $B_\alpha$  in [2, Example 1] was given by  $B_\alpha = \{\beta : |\beta - \alpha| = 1\}$  so it excluded the vertex  $\alpha$ . From (6), this difference implies that  $b_1$  in their example should be modified to

$$b_1 = 2^{-n}(n+1) \binom{n}{k}^2 \quad (25)$$

so  $b_1$  is larger than its value in [2, p. 14] by a factor of  $1 + \frac{1}{n}$  which has a negligible effect if  $n \gg 1$ . As is noted in [2, p. 14], if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two vertices that are connected by an edge, then a conditioning on the direction of this edge gives that

$$p_{\alpha, \beta} \triangleq \mathbb{E}(X_\alpha X_\beta) = 2^{2-2n} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

for every  $\alpha \in I$  and  $\beta \in B_\alpha \setminus \{\alpha\}$ , and therefore, from (7),

$$b_2 = n 2^{2-2n} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Finally, as is noted in [2, Example 1],  $b_3 = 0$  (this is because the conditional expectation of  $X_\alpha$  given  $(X_\beta)_{\beta \in I \setminus B_\alpha}$  is, similarly to the un-conditional expectation, equal to  $p_\alpha$ ; i.e., the directions of the edges outside the neighborhood of dependence of  $\alpha$  are irrelevant to the directions of the edges connecting the vertex  $\alpha$ ).

In the following, Theorem 5 is applied to get a rigorous error bound on the Poisson approximation of the entropy  $H(W)$ . Table I presents numerical results for the approximated value of  $H(W)$ , and an upper bound on the maximal relative error that is associated with this approximation. Note that, by symmetry, the cases with  $W(k, n)$  and  $W(n-k, n)$  are equivalent, so  $H(W(k, n)) = H(W(n-k, n))$ .

### D. Generalization: Bounds on the Entropy for a Sum of Non-Negative, Integer-Valued and Bounded Random Variables

We introduce in [31, Section II-E] a generalization of the bounds in Section II-B that considers the accuracy of the Poisson approximation for the entropy of a sum of non-negative, integer-valued and bounded random variables.

TABLE I

NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR THE POISSON APPROXIMATIONS OF THE ENTROPY  $H(W)$  ( $W = W(k, n)$ ) BY THE ENTROPY  $H(Z)$  WHERE  $Z \sim \text{PO}(\lambda)$ , JOINTLY WITH THE ASSOCIATED ERROR BOUNDS OF THESE APPROXIMATIONS. THESE ERROR BOUNDS ARE CALCULATED FROM THEOREM 5 FOR THE RANDOM GRAPH PROBLEM IN EXAMPLE 2.

$n$	$k$	$\lambda = \binom{n}{k}$	$H(W) \approx$	Maximal relative error
30	27	$4.060 \cdot 10^3$	5.573 nats	0.16%
30	26	$2.741 \cdot 10^4$	6.528 nats	0.94%
30	25	$1.425 \cdot 10^5$	7.353 nats	4.33%
50	48	$1.225 \cdot 10^3$	4.974 nats	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$
50	44	$1.589 \cdot 10^7$	9.710 nats	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$
50	40	$1.027 \cdot 10^{10}$	12.945 nats	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$
100	95	$7.529 \cdot 10^7$	10.487 nats	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-19}$
100	85	$2.533 \cdot 10^{17}$	21.456 nats	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-10}$
100	75	$2.425 \cdot 10^{23}$	28.342 nats	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$
100	70	$2.937 \cdot 10^{25}$	30.740 nats	2.1%

This generalization is enabled via the combination of the proof of Theorem 5 for sums of Bernoulli random variables with the approach of Serfling in [32, Section 7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Adell, A. Lekouna and Y. Yu, "Sharp bounds on the entropy of the Poisson law and related quantities," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 2299–2306, May 2010.
- [2] R. Arratia, L. Goldstein and L. Gordon, "Two moments suffice for Poisson approximations: The Chen-Stein method," *Annals of Probability*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 9–25, January 1989.
- [3] R. Arratia, L. Goldstein and L. Gordon, "Poisson approximation and the Chen-Stein method," *Statistical Science*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 403–424, November 1990.
- [4] A. D. Barbour, L. Holst and S. Janson, *Poisson Approximation*, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [5] A. D. Barbour and L. H. Y. Chen, *An Introduction to Stein's Method*, Lecture Notes Series, Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Singapore University Press and World Scientific, 2005.
- [6] A. D. Barbour and P. Hall, "On the rate of Poisson Convergence," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 473–480, 1984.
- [7] A. D. Barbour, O. Johnson, I. Kontoyiannis and M. Madiman, "Compound Poisson approximation via information functionals," *Electronic Journal of Probability*, vol. 15, paper no. 42, pp. 1344–1369, August 2010.
- [8] V. Čekanavičius and B. Roos, "An expansion in the exponent for compound binomial approximations," *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 54–91, 2006.
- [9] S. Chatterjee, P. Diaconis and E. Meckes, "Exchangeable pairs and Poisson approximation," *Probability Surveys*, vol. 2, pp. 64–106, 2005.
- [10] L. H. Y. Chen, "Poisson approximation for dependent trials," *Annals of Probability*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 534–545, June 1975.
- [11] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, John Wiley and Sons, second edition, 2006.
- [12] A. DasGupta, *Asymptotic Theory of Statistics and Probability*, Springer Texts in Statistics, 2008.
- [13] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, volume 1, third edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- [14] P. Harremoës, "Binomial and Poisson distributions as maximum entropy distributions," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 2039–2041, July 2001.
- [15] P. Harremoës and P. S. Ruzankin, "Rate of convergence to Poisson law in terms of information divergence," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 2145–2149, September 2004.
- [16] P. Harremoës, O. Johnson and I. Kontoyiannis, "Thinning, entropy and the law of thin numbers," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4228–4244, September 2010.
- [17] J. L. Hodges and L. Le Cam, "The Poisson approximation to the binomial distribution," *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 737–740, September 1960.
- [18] O. Johnson, *Information Theory and the Central Limit Theorem*, Imperial College Press, 2004.
- [19] O. Johnson, "Log-concavity and maximum entropy property of the Poisson distribution," *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 791–802, November 2006.
- [20] O. Johnson, I. Kontoyiannis and M. Madiman, "A criterion for the compound Poisson distribution to be maximum entropy," *Proceedings 2009 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, pp. 1899–1903, Seoul, South Korea, July 2009.
- [21] O. Johnson and Y. Yu, "Monotonicity, thinning and discrete versions of the entropy power inequality," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 5387–5395, November 2010.
- [22] O. Johnson, I. Kontoyiannis and M. Madiman, "Log-concavity, ultralog concavity, and a maximum entropy property of discrete compound Poisson measures," to appear in *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 2012. See: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0581v2.pdf>.
- [23] S. Karlin and Y. Rinott, "Entropy inequalities for classes of probability distributions I: the univariate case," *Advances in Applied Probability*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 93–112, March 1981.
- [24] I. Kontoyiannis, P. Harremoës and O. Johnson, "Entropy and the law of small numbers," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 466–472, February 2005.
- [25] I. Kontoyiannis, P. Harremoës, O. Johnson and M. Madiman, "Information-theoretic ideas in Poisson approximation and concentration," slides of a short course (available from the homepage of the first co-author), September 2006.
- [26] L. Le Cam, "An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomial distribution," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1181–1197, Spring 1960.
- [27] B. Roos, "Sharp constants in the Poisson approximation," *Statistics and Probability Letters*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 155–168, April 2001.
- [28] B. Roos, "Kerstan's method for compound Poisson approximation," *Annals of Probability*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 1754–1771, October 2003.
- [29] S. M. Ross and E. A. Peköz, *A Second Course in Probability*, Probability Bookstore, 2007.
- [30] N. Ross, "Fundamentals of Stein's Method," *Probability Surveys*, vol. 8, pp. 210–293, 2011.
- [31] I. Sason, "An information-theoretic perspective of the Poisson approximation via the Chen-Stein method," submitted to the *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, June 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6811>.
- [32] R. J. Serfling, "Some elementary results on Poisson approximation in a sequence of Bernoulli trials," *Siam Review*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 567–579, July 1978.
- [33] L. A. Shepp and I. Olkin, "Entropy of the sum of independent Bernoulli random variables and the multinomial distribution," *Contributions to Probability*, pp. 201–206, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [34] J. M. Steele, "Le Cam's inequality and Poisson approximation," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 101, pp. 48–54, 1994.
- [35] Y. Yu, "On the maximum entropy properties of the binomial distribution," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 3351–3353, July 2008.
- [36] Y. Yu, "On the entropy of compound distributions on non-negative integers," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 3645–3650, August 2009.
- [37] Y. Yu, "Monotonic convergence in an information-theoretic law of small numbers," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5412–5422, December 2009.