

ON NON-SMOOTH VECTOR FIELDS HAVING A TORUS OR A SPHERE AS THE SLIDING MANIFOLD

RICARDO MIRANDA MARTINS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider a non-smooth vector field $Z = (X, Y)$, where X, Y are linear vector fields in dimension 3 and the discontinuity manifold Σ of Z is or the usual embedded torus or the unitary sphere at origin. We suppose that Σ is a sliding (stable/unstable) manifold with tangencies, by considering X, Y inelastic over Σ . In each case, we study the tangencies of the vector field Z with Σ and describe the behavior of the trajectories of the sliding vector field over Σ : they are basically closed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of the non-smooth dynamical systems has been developing very fast in the last years, mainly due to its strong relation with branches of applied science, such as mechanical and aerospace engineering. Indeed, discontinuous systems are in the boundary between mathematics, physics and engineering.

In many cases, the non-smooth systems are described by systems of ODEs in such a way that each system is defined in a region of the phase portrait. The boundary of such regions is called the discontinuity manifold [8].

There are a lot of research being made about the local behavior of non-smooth systems, near the discontinuity manifold [6]. An interesting phenomenon that occurs frequently is the sliding motion, when the trajectories on both sides of the discontinuity manifold slides over the manifold after the meeting, and there remains until reaching the boundary of the sliding region [4, 11]. This phenomenon has been studied specially on relay control systems and systems with dry friction [3, 7].

The mathematical formalization of the theory of discontinuous systems was made precise by Filippov [5] and Teixeira-Sotomayor [12, 10], which classified and distinguished three types of regions on the discontinuity manifold (sewing region, sliding region and escape region). These regions fully describes what can happen on such manifold. Teixeira and Sotomayor [10] have introduced the concept of regularization of discontinuous vector fields, which allows to study phenomena that occurs in regular C^r vector fields, but in the context of non-smooth vector fields.

One of the biggest limitations of the theory of non-smooth systems until now is the lack of global results [11]. In particular, the discontinuity manifold is often taken as a hyperplane.

This article presents some results for the case where the discontinuity manifold is a torus or a sphere in the three-dimensional Euclidean space. We consider inelastic vector fields, which are a special class of vector fields with the additional property that the discontinuity manifold is composed only of escape and sliding regions

The author is supported by FAPESP–BRAZIL grants 2010/13371-9 and 2012/06879-1.

(separated by tangency curves). Inelastic vector fields are widely used in mechanical models [2].

This paper is outlined as follows: in Section 2, we introduce the concept of non-smooth vector fields. In Section 3 we discuss inelastic vector fields. In Section 4 we state the main results. In Sections 5 and 6 we proof the main results.

2. NON-SMOOTH VECTOR FIELDS

In this section we recall some concepts about non-smooth dynamical systems, according to the Filippov convention [5]. We shall adopt \mathbb{R}^3 as the ambient space, but almost of the definitions and equations can be made for arbitrary dimensions [11].

Let $h : \mathbb{R}^3, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be a function with 0 as regular value and consider the manifold $M = h^{-1}(\{0\})$. Also define $M^+ = h^{-1}((0, \infty))$ and $M^- = h^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$. Put $\Lambda(3)$ the space of the C^r -vector fields over $\mathbb{R}^3, 0$, for $r \geq 2$, and $\Omega(3)$ the space of the vector fields Z defined over $\mathbb{R}^3, 0$ as

$$Z(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} X(\mathbf{x}), & \text{if } h(\mathbf{x}) > 0, \\ Y(\mathbf{x}), & \text{if } h(\mathbf{x}) < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $X, Y \in \Lambda(3)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. We denote $Z = (X, Y)$. We can identify $\Omega(3) = \Lambda(3) \times \Lambda(3)$ and inherit a topology from this product.

Now we define Z over M . We break M into three (possibly disconnected) regions, according to the following rule (we recall that $Xh(\mathbf{x}) = X(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla h(\mathbf{x})$):

$M_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in M; Xh(\mathbf{x}) \cdot Yh(\mathbf{x}) > 0\}$ is the swing region;

$M_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in M; Xh(\mathbf{x}) > 0, Yh(\mathbf{x}) < 0\}$ is the escape region;

$M_3 = \{\mathbf{x} \in M; Xh(\mathbf{x}) < 0, Yh(\mathbf{x}) > 0\}$ is the sliding region.

If $p \in M$ and $Xh(p) = 0$, then p is said to be a tangency point for X . If $Xh(p) = 0$ and $X^2h(p) \neq 0$ then the tangency is quadratic, and if $Xh(p) = X^2h(p) = 0$ but $X^3h(p) \neq 0$ the tangency is cubic.

The trajectories of Z through a point p are defined in the following way:

- a) If $p \in M^+$, then the trajectory of Z through p is trajectory of X through p , until the trajectory reach M ;
- b) If $p \in M^-$, then the trajectory of Z through p is trajectory of Y through p , until the trajectory reach M ;
- c) If $p \in M_1$, then p connect two regular trajectories of X and Y ; we define the trajectory through p as the concatenation of these trajectories;
- d) If $p \in M_2 \cup M_3$ then the trajectory of p is the trajectory of the sliding vector field associated to X and Y , that is defined as the vector field

$$(1) \quad S(X, Y)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Yh(\mathbf{x}) - Xh(\mathbf{x})} (Yh(\mathbf{x})X(\mathbf{x}) - Xh(\mathbf{x})Y(\mathbf{x})).$$

We remark that the manifold M is invariant by the flow of $S(X, Y)$.

- e) If p is a tangency point ($p \in \partial M_2 \cup \partial M_3$) and there are trajectories of the sliding vector field starting and ending at p , then the trajectory through p is just the concatenation of these trajectories (adapted from Definition 2.5 of [6]).

So if the closure of the concatenation between a trajectory of the sliding vector and a trajectory of the escape vector field is transversal do the curve of tangencies, the closure itself is a trajectory.

For p in the boundary of the regions M_1, M_2, M_3 , the definition of its trajectory is much more complicated (or even undefined yet), and is outside our scopus. We recommend [6] for these definitions.

3. INELASTIC SYSTEMS

In this section we establish some general results on inelastic vector fields.

Let $h : \mathbb{R}^3, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0$ be a function with 0 as regular value and consider the manifold $M = h^{-1}(\{0\})$. Two vector fields X, Y are inelastic over M if

$$(2) \quad (Xh)(\mathbf{x}) = -(Yh)(\mathbf{x})$$

for all $x \in M$.

Inelastic vector fields appears naturally in mechanic models [13] and in reversible non-smooth models. A planar non-smooth vector field $Z = (X, Y)$ with discontinuity set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be reversible with respect to an involution $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $Fix(\varphi) \subset \Sigma$, where $Fix(\varphi) = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varphi(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}\}$ if $\varphi X = -Y\varphi$. If we take φ, Σ such that $\Sigma = \{(x, y) : x = 0\} = Fix(\varphi)$ and the vector fields X, Y are even in the second variable, then the pair (X, Y) is inelastic over Σ .

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, we consider $\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 1$ and $\sigma_2(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 3)^2 - 16(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Denote $\Sigma_j = \sigma_j^{-1}(\{0\})$, $\Sigma_j^- = \sigma_j^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ and $\Sigma_j^+ = \sigma_j^{-1}((0, +\infty))$. We note that Σ_1 is a sphere and Σ_2 is a torus.

Now we give a characterization of linear Σ_j -inelastic vector fields.

Lemma 1 (Linear case). *Let X, Y be linear vector fields in \mathbb{R}^3 . Consider $X(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ and $Y(\mathbf{x}) = B\mathbf{x}$, for $A = (a_{i,j})$ and $B = (b_{i,j})$ matrices.*

a) *If X, Y are inelastic over the sphere Σ_1 , then*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -a_{1,1} & -a_{2,1} - b_{2,1} - a_{1,2} & -a_{1,3} - a_{3,1} - b_{3,1} \\ b_{2,1} & -a_{2,2} & -a_{3,2} - b_{3,2} - a_{2,3} \\ b_{3,1} & b_{3,2} & -a_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

b) *If X, Y are inelastic over the torus Σ_2 , then*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -a_{1,1} & -a_{1,2} - a_{2,1} - b_{2,1} & -a_{1,3} \\ b_{2,1} & -a_{2,2} & -a_{2,3} \\ -a_{3,1} & -a_{3,2} & -a_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. The equation (2) with $h = \sigma_j$ is equivalent to a linear system of equations.

Solving this system for the $b_{i,j}$'s, we obtain the expression of B given in the statements. \square

4. MAIN RESULTS

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, we consider $\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 1$ and $\sigma_2(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 3)^2 - 16(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Denote $\Sigma_j = \sigma_j^{-1}(\{0\})$, $\Sigma_j^- = \sigma_j^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ and $\Sigma_j^+ = \sigma_j^{-1}((0, +\infty))$.

Consider X, Y two linear vector fields defined over an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ containing Σ_j , $j = 1, 2$. Suppose that X, Y are singular at $\mathbf{0}$. We can write $X(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ and $Y(\mathbf{x}) = B\mathbf{x}$, where $A = (a_{i,j})_{3 \times 3}$ and $B = (b_{i,j})_{3 \times 3}$.

Fix $j = 1$ or $j = 2$. Suppose that (X, Y) is a Σ_j -inelastic pair, that is $(X\sigma_j + Y\sigma_j)|_{\Sigma_j} = 0$, and consider $Z_j = (X, Y)$ the non-smooth vector field

$$Z_j(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} X(\mathbf{x}) & , \mathbf{x} \in \Sigma_j^+, \\ Y(\mathbf{x}) & , \mathbf{x} \in \Sigma_j^-. \end{cases}$$

Consider the sliding vector field S_j defined over Σ_j^*

$$(3) \quad S_j(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(Y\sigma_j)(\mathbf{x}) + (X\sigma_j)(\mathbf{x})} ((Y\sigma_j)(\mathbf{x})X(\mathbf{x}) - (X\sigma_j)(\mathbf{x})Y(\mathbf{x})), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Sigma_j^*,$$

where $\Sigma_j^* = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma_j; Y\sigma_j(\mathbf{x})X\sigma_j(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}$.

Theorem A: If X, Y are linear and inelastic over Σ_1 (sphere), then the sliding vector field S_1 defined on the sphere (if non trivial) has two equilibrium points p_{\pm} , and every other trajectory is closed and equal to the intersection between Σ_1 and a plane orthogonal to the line $\overline{p_+p_-}$ joining the two equilibria.

Theorem B: If X, Y are linear and inelastic over Σ_2 (torus) and $X\sigma_2(\mathbf{x})$ is quadratic, then the sliding vector field S_2 can be defined on S_2 except on two circles, that consists of curves of singular points. For all other points in Σ_2 , if non trivial, the trajectory of S_2 is closed and planar.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Before proving Theorem A, we derive the equations for the tangency points and the expression of the sliding vector field. We fix $\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 1$, so that $\Sigma_1 = \sigma_1^{-1}(\{0\})$ is the sphere.

Let $X(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ and $Y(\mathbf{x}) = B\mathbf{x}$ be two linear vector fields, inelastic over $\Sigma_1 = \sigma_1^{-1}(\{0\})$. Note that B is given by Lemma 1.

Then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) &= 2a_{1,1}x_1^2 + 2a_{2,2}x_2^2 + 2a_{3,3}x_3^2 \\ &+ (2a_{1,2} + 2a_{2,1})x_1x_2 + (2a_{1,3} + 2a_{3,1})x_1x_3 \\ &+ (2a_{2,3} + 2a_{3,2})x_2x_3 \end{aligned}$$

According to the classification of quadratic surfaces, due to [Beyer 1987], the set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3; X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ is or the empty set, or a plane, or the union of two intersection planes, or an elliptic cone (the union, by the vertex, of two cones along the same axis). So, when not empty, the intersection of $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3; X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ with the sphere can be or a circle, or two disjoint circles, or two circles intersecting transversally.

Note that if we define $Q = A + A^t$, that is,

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} + a_{2,1} & a_{1,3} + a_{3,1} \\ a_{1,2} + a_{2,1} & 2a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} + a_{3,2} \\ a_{1,3} + a_{3,1} & a_{2,3} + a_{3,2} & 2a_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

then $X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^t Q \mathbf{x}$, so if Q is negative definite, then Xh is the negative of a quadratic form, and $X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ for all \mathbf{x} . In this case, there are no tangencies.

Lemma 2. *If A is negative definite, then $X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ and $X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) > 0$, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in S^2$. So there are no tangency points over the sphere.*

Proof. We know by the discussion of the last paragraph that if $Q = A + A^t$ is positive definite, then there are no tangencies. In [9] is shown that a matrix L is positive (negative) definite if, and only if, $L + L^t$ is positive (negative) definite. This complete the proof. \square

Lemma 3. *Suppose that A is a negative definite matrix. Then:*

a) *The sphere is a sliding region, and the sliding vector field S_2 defined over the sphere is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\mathbf{x}) &= \left(-\frac{a_{2,1} + b_{2,1}}{2}y - \frac{a_{3,1} + b_{3,1}}{2}z \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{a_{2,1} + b_{2,1}}{2}x - \frac{a_{3,2} + b_{3,2}}{2}z \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{a_{3,1} + b_{3,1}}{2}x + \frac{a_{3,2} + b_{3,2}}{2}y \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \end{aligned}$$

b) *The sliding vector field S_2 has two symmetric equilibrium points,*

$$p_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{\rho} (a_{3,2} + b_{3,2}, -(a_{3,1} + b_{3,1}), a_{2,1} + b_{2,1}),$$

where $\rho = b_{2,1}^2 + a_{2,1}^2 + a_{3,2}^2 + a_{3,1}^2 + b_{3,1}^2 + b_{3,2}^2 + 2b_{2,1}a_{2,1} + 2b_{3,2}a_{3,2} + 2a_{3,1}b_{3,1}$, and all other trajectories of S_2 are closed (and contained in the planes orthogonal to $\overline{p_- p_+}$ that intersects the sphere).

Proof. We compute S_2 using formula (3), and obtain a linear vector field $S_2(\mathbf{x}) = [S_2]\mathbf{x}$, where

$$[S_2] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_{2,1} - b_{2,1} & -b_{3,1} - a_{3,1} \\ b_{2,1} + a_{2,1} & 0 & -a_{3,2} - b_{3,2} \\ a_{3,1} + b_{3,1} & b_{3,2} + a_{3,2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As the matrix $[S_2]$ is skew-symmetric, it is clear that zero is an eigenvalue for $[S_2]$, so there is a line of solution to $S_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, that pass through the origin and intercepts the sphere exactly in the points p_{\pm} . The other eigenvalues are a pure imaginary number and its complex conjugate. If we consider

$$(5) \quad \nu = \left(\frac{a_{3,2} + b_{3,2}}{a_{2,1} + b_{2,1}}, -\frac{a_{3,1} + b_{3,1}}{a_{2,1} + b_{2,1}}, 1 \right),$$

for $a_{2,1} + b_{2,1} \neq 0$, then $S_2(\mathbf{x}) \perp \nu$, for all \mathbf{x} , so the trajectories of S_2 are closed (and planar). \square

Now we back to equation (4) and discuss the case where Q (or A , by [9]) is not negative definite.

In this case, following the classification of quadratic surfaces given in [1], we have that the solutions over the sphere of the equation $X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ describes (see also Figure 1):

- i) a circle, if the rank of Q is one,
- ii) two disjoint circles, if the rank of Q is two,
- iii) two intersecting (transversal) circles, if the rank of Q is three.

Then a trajectory of the sliding vector field intersects the tangency set in at most 4 points, provided that this trajectory is not a tangency line. In case of finite




FIGURE 1. Situation where (a) $\text{rank}(Q) = 1$, (b) $\text{rank}(Q) = 2$, (c) $\text{rank}(Q) = 3$. The bold lines are tangency circles.

intersection, recall that from the discussion in the end of Section 2 that we can also define the sliding vector field over the entire sphere.

Now we show that these circles of tangencies are never a trajectory of the sliding vector field S_2 .

Lemma 4. *Let γ be a non trivial trajectory of S_2 over the sphere. Then γ intercepts the set $\{\mathbf{x} \in S^2; X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ in at most 4 points.*

Proof. The curve γ is transversal to ν (given by (5)). There are two options for the solutions of $X\sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \nu \rangle = 0$: the empty set, or one line passing through the origin, or the union of two lines passing through the origin (if $a_{3,2} + b_{3,2} \neq 0$), parametrized by

$$\gamma_{1,2}(t) = \left(\frac{\xi_{1,2}b_{3,1} + \xi_{1,2}a_{3,1} - a_{2,1} - b_{2,1}}{a_{3,2} + b_{3,2}} t, \xi_{1,2}t, t \right),$$

where ξ_1, ξ_2 are the roots of a two degree polynomial $\Xi(s) = 0$ (given in the Appendix). If $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ then we have just one line of solutions. The lines $\gamma_{1,2}$ intercepts the sphere in none, 2 or 4 points. In particular, the circles of tangencies never are a trajectory of the sliding vector field. \square

So we can consider the (closure of the) sliding vector field on the whole sphere.

Corollary 5. *Lemma 3 is true even if A is not negative definite.*

6. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We follow the same sequence of Section 5.

Fix $\sigma_2(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 3)^2 - 16(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$, so $\Sigma_2 = \sigma_2^{-1}(\{0\})$ is the torus. Recall that B is given by Lemma 1.

Then

$$X\sigma_2(\mathbf{x}) = q_2(\mathbf{x}) + q_4(\mathbf{x})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(\mathbf{x}) &= -20a_{1,1}x_1^2 + (-20a_{2,1} - 20a_{1,2})x_2x_1 - 20a_{2,2}x_2^2 \\ &+ (-20a_{1,3} + 12a_{3,1})x_3x_1 + (12a_{3,2} - 20a_{2,3})x_3x_2 + 12a_{3,3}x_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
q_4(\mathbf{x}) &= 4a_{1,1}x_1^4 + (4a_{2,1} + 4a_{1,2})x_2x_1^3 + (4a_{1,1} + 4a_{2,2})x_2^2x_1^2 \\
&+ (4a_{2,1} + 4a_{1,2})x_2^3x_1 + 4a_{2,2}x_2^4 + (4a_{1,3} + 4a_{3,1})x_3x_1^3 \\
&+ (4a_{2,3} + 4a_{3,2})x_3x_2x_1^2 + (4a_{1,3} + 4a_{3,1})x_3x_2^2x_1 + (4a_{2,3} + 4a_{3,2})x_3x_2^3 \\
&+ (4a_{1,1} + 4a_{3,3})x_3^2x_1^2 + (4a_{2,1} + 4a_{1,2})x_3^2x_2x_1 + (4a_{2,2} + 4a_{3,3})x_3^2x_2^2 \\
&+ (4a_{1,3} + 4a_{3,1})x_3^3x_1 + (4a_{2,3} + 4a_{3,2})x_3^3x_2 + 4a_{3,3}x_3^4
\end{aligned}$$

It is hard to determine the zeroes of $X\sigma_2$ for general functions q_2, q_4 , So we work under the hypothesis

$$q_4(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0.$$

In this case, $X\sigma_2$ reduces to

$$X\sigma_2(\mathbf{x}) = q_2(\mathbf{x}) = 32a_{3,1}x_1x_3 + 32a_{3,2}x_2x_3.$$

Lemma 6. *Under the hypothesis $q_4(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$, the tangency set over Σ_2 is the union of four circles C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 , where C_1, C_2 are contained in the plane $x_3 = 0$ and C_3, C_4 are contained in the plane $a_{3,1}x_1 + a_{3,2}x_2 = 0$ (see Figure 2).*




FIGURE 2. Tangency lines over the torus.

Proof. Just determine the solutions of $q_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and study its intersections with the torus Σ_2 . \square

Note that we have four intersection points between the circles in the statement of Lemma 6, and these curves break the torus Σ_2 into four regions R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 , considering R_1, R_2 contained in the region $x_3 > 0$, and R_3, R_4 in the region $x_3 < 0$.

The expression of the sliding vector field is

$$S_2(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(a_{2,1} + b_{2,1})x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{2}(a_{2,1} + b_{2,1})x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 \cup R_4$.

The proof of the next two lemmas follow the same techniques of Lemmas 3 and 4, and will be omitted. They prove Theorem A.

Lemma 7. *The trajectories of the sliding vector field S_2 are transversal to the tangency circles C_3, C_4 . So a trajectory of the sliding vector field can pass over these circles (crossing regions R_1, R_2 and R_3, R_4).*

Remark 8. *Note that if γ_p is the trajectory of S_2 passing through $p \in \Sigma_2$, then $\Sigma_2 \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^4 \cup_{p \in R_j} \gamma_p) = C_1 \cup C_2$, that is, the curves C_1, C_2 are exactly the sets where we cannot define S_2 .*

Lemma 9. *The tangency circles C_1, C_2 are singular tangency points, that is, they behave like singular points of S_2 .*

REFERENCES

- [1] W. H. Beyer. *CRC Standard Mathematical Tables*, 28th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. 210-211, 1987.
- [2] B. Brogliato. *Nonsmooth Mechanics: Models, Dynamics and Control*. Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [3] D. Chillingworth, *Discontinuity geometry for an impact oscillator*. Dynam. Syst., **17** (2002), 389–420.
- [4] A. Colombo, M. di Bernardo, E. Fossas, M. R. Jeffrey, *Teixeira singularities in 3D switched feedback control systems*. Systems Control Lett. **59** (2010), 615–622.
- [5] A. F. Filippov, *Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides*. Math. Appl. (Soviet Ser.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1988.
- [6] M. Guardia, T. Seara, M. A. Teixeira, *Generic bifurcations of low codimension of planar Filippov systems*. J. Differential Equations **250** (2011), 1967–2023.
- [7] A. Jacquemard, D. J. Tonon, *Coupled systems of non-smooth differential equations*. Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques **136** (2012), 239–255.
- [8] J. Llibre, P. R. da Silva, M. A. Teixeira, *Study of singularities in nonsmooth dynamical systems via singular perturbation*. SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **8** (2009), 508–526.
- [9] C. R. Johnson, *On positive definite matrices*. The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 77, No. 3 (Mar., 1970), pp. 259-264
- [10] J. Sotomayor, M. A. Teixeira, *Regularization of Discontinuous Vector Fields*. International Conference on Differential Equations, Lisboa, Equadiff 95, (1996), 207–223.
- [11] M. A. Teixeira, *Perturbation Theory for Non-smooth Systems*. In: In Meyers, Robert (Ed. in chief). (Org.). Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science. 1 ed. New York: Springer Verlag, 2009, v. 22, 6697–6719.
- [12] M. A. Teixeira, *Stability conditions for discontinuous vector fields*. J. Differential Equations **88** (1990), 15–29.
- [13] H. M. Zbib, *On the mechanics of large inelastic deformations: kinematics and constitutive modeling*. Acta Mechanica **96** (1993), 119–138.

APPENDIX A. POLYNOMIAL $\Xi(s)$.

The polynomial $\Xi(s)$ is given by

$$\Xi(s) = \Xi_2 s^2 + \Xi_1 s + \Xi_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_2 &= 2 a_{2,2} b_{3,2} a_{3,2} + 2 a_{1,1} b_{3,1} a_{3,1} + a_{2,1} b_{3,2} b_{3,1} + a_{2,1} b_{3,2} a_{3,1} \\ &+ a_{2,1} a_{3,2} b_{3,1} + a_{2,1} a_{3,2} a_{3,1} + a_{1,2} b_{3,2} b_{3,1} + a_{1,2} b_{3,2} a_{3,1} \\ &+ a_{1,2} a_{3,2} b_{3,1} + a_{1,2} a_{3,2} a_{3,1} + a_{1,1} b_{3,1}^2 + a_{1,1} a_{3,1}^2 + a_{2,2} b_{3,2}^2 + a_{2,2} a_{3,2}^2 \\ \Xi_1 &= a_{3,2}^3 - 2 b_{2,1} b_{3,1} a_{1,1} - 2 b_{2,1} a_{3,1} a_{1,1} - b_{2,1} b_{3,2} a_{2,1} - b_{2,1} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} - b_{2,1} b_{3,2} a_{1,2} \\ &- b_{2,1} a_{3,2} a_{1,2} + b_{3,1} b_{3,2} a_{1,3} + a_{3,1} b_{3,2} a_{1,3} + b_{3,1} a_{3,2} a_{1,3} + a_{3,1} a_{3,2} a_{1,3} \\ &- 2 a_{1,1} b_{3,1} a_{2,1} - 2 a_{1,1} a_{3,1} a_{2,1} - a_{1,2} b_{3,2} a_{2,1} - a_{1,2} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} + a_{3,1} b_{3,2} b_{3,1} \\ &+ a_{3,1} a_{3,2} b_{3,1} + 2 a_{3,2} b_{3,2} a_{2,3} - a_{2,1}^2 b_{3,2} - a_{2,1}^2 a_{3,2} + a_{3,1}^2 b_{3,2} + a_{3,1}^2 a_{3,2} \\ &+ b_{3,2}^2 a_{3,2} + 2 b_{3,2} a_{3,2}^2 + b_{3,2}^2 a_{2,3} + a_{3,2}^2 a_{2,3} \\ \Xi_0 &= -a_{1,3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} + 2 a_{3,3} b_{3,2} a_{3,2} + 2 a_{1,1} a_{2,1} b_{2,1} - a_{2,1} b_{3,2} a_{3,1} - a_{3,1} b_{3,2} b_{2,1} \\ &- a_{2,1} a_{3,2} a_{3,1} - a_{3,1} a_{3,2} b_{2,1} - a_{1,3} b_{3,2} a_{2,1} - a_{1,3} b_{3,2} b_{2,1} + a_{1,1} a_{2,1}^2 \\ &+ a_{1,1} b_{2,1}^2 + a_{3,3} b_{3,2}^2 + a_{3,3} a_{3,2}^2 - a_{1,3} a_{3,2} b_{2,1} \end{aligned}$$

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA. UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS. 13083-859. CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: rmiranda@ime.unicamp.br