

THEORITICAL INVESTIGATIONS ON THE ELASTIC PROPERTIES OF BiFeO_3 USING EOS

S.Gaurav^{a*} , S.Shankar Subramanian^a, Satyendra P Singh^a ,B.S Sharma^b

^aDepartment of Physics , AIAS, Amity University ,Noida 201301 India

^bDepartment of Physics , Institute of Basic Sciences, Khandari, Agra 282002 India

Abstract: Different equations of state (EOS) have been used to study pressure as a function of volume compressions at a given temperature. The critical test of EOS' for solids under low compressions (<10GPa) by evaluating the pressure-volume derivative properties viz., isothermal bulk modulus and its pressure derivative calculated for BiFeO_3 . The elastic moduli such as bulk modulus, shear modulus, Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio have been calculated as a function of pressure. The values of elastic moduli have been obtained using compressional wave velocity and shear wave velocity. Both compressional and shear velocities and poisson's ratio are in accordance with available experimental data. It is found that B-M EOS gives satisfactory result which is in good agreement with the Stacey EOS.

Keywords: Phase transitions, Equation of state, elastic properties.

*Corresponding Author: S.Gaurav

Phone no: 09990871875

E.mail : gauravsharma30@yahoo.com(S.Gaurav)

Introduction

The EOS is fundamentally important in studying the properties of materials at different pressure and at high temperature. The knowledge of the P-V-T EOS of relevant standard materials is one of the most basic information needed for pressure calibration. The B-M and Stacey EOS are intended to account for the volumetric properties of solid whose structural configurations vary with pressure and temperature¹⁻². The BM EOS is derived from the Eulerian strain theory³ where Stacey relates the reciprocal of K' with the ratio P/K ⁴. For performing calculations with the help of an EOS for a material at high pressures, we need the parameters K_0, K'_0 , all at zero pressure. EOS can be made by studying the variation of $K' = dK/dP$ with pressure or compression (V/V_0). The P-V relationships reveal that the volume decreases continuously with the increase in pressure. The bulk modulus also increases in pressure but its pressure derivatives K' decreases with the increase in pressure. By presenting a comprehensive analysis of thermodynamics of solids in the limit of extreme compression, Stacey⁵⁻⁶ has given some basic criteria are satisfied by EOS for its validity and applicability. In the limit of infinite pressure ($P \rightarrow \infty$) at extreme compression ($V \rightarrow 0$) the pressure derivative of bulk modulus K' approaches a constant value equal to K'_∞ such that;

$$\frac{1}{K'_\infty} = \left(\frac{P}{K} \right)_\infty \quad (1)$$

Eq.(1) is satisfied at infinite pressure by all such equations of state for which K'_0 is greater than zero.

Room-temperature multiferroic BiFeO₃ (BFO) is one of the most intensively studied materials of the moment. Among multiferroics, Bismuth ferrite BiFeO₃ (BFO) is commonly

considered as a model system⁷, and is perhaps the only material that is both magnetic and ferroelectric with a strong electric polarization at ambient conditions. Bismuth ferrite BiFeO₃ (BFO) is commonly considered to be a model system for multiferroics⁸, especially for ABO₃ perovskites where the ferroelectricity is driven by an A cation with 6s² lone pair electrons. The perovskite BFO is one of the very few robust multiferroics with ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic order well above room temperature: In bulk material BFO has an antiferromagnet Néel temperature T_N of 380 °C and a ferroelectric Curie temperature T_C of 830 °C.⁹⁻¹⁰ Even though BFO attracts an important attention, the crystal structures of BFO as a function of temperature and pressure are still debated in the literature⁷.

The effect of high pressure on perovskites is more complex. However, experiments on LaAlO₃¹¹ and later on other perovskites¹²⁻¹⁵ revealed that some perovskites decrease their tilt angle and undergo phase transitions to higher-symmetry phases on increasing pressure. A pressure-driven diffuse transition, occurring at room temperature in the 40–50 GPa range, that involves a structural change, loss of magnetic order, and metallization has also been observed. The driving force behind such transformations, tentatively attributed to a spin crossover of Fe 3+, remains to be clarified..

The purpose of the present study is to assess the validity of some important EOSs. A comparison of the result for P-V relationships, bulk modulus and its pressure derivative has been presented with those obtained from Birch Murnaghan third order EOS and Stacey EOS at less than 10 GPa. In this paper, the EOS has been extended to calculate the theoretical values of both compressional and shear velocities of BiFeO₃ and comparing these with the available

experimental data ¹⁵ using isothermal EOS ³. The other different elastic parameters viz., young's modulus, shear modulus, and poisson's ratio are also determined by using pressure – density relationship for bismuth ferrite. In section 2 , we present the analysis for the variation of pressure with compression at room temperature. Analysis of elastic moduli is presented in section 3. Discussion and conclusion are presented in section 4.

2. Variation of pressure with compression at room temperature

The vales of P, K_T and K'_T has been obtained as a function of compression V/V_0 from two EOS for bismuth ferrite with input parameters as $K_0=97.3\text{GPa}$ and $K_0'=4.6\text{GPa}$ [20] for pressure range upto 10GPa. The expressions based on different EOS are given below:

B-M EOS:

$$P = \frac{3}{2} K_0 (x^{-7} - x^{-5}) \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} A_1 (x^{-2} - 1) \right] \quad (2)$$

$$K_T = \frac{1}{2} K_0 (7x^{-7} - 5x^{-5}) + \frac{3}{8} K_0 A_1 (9x^{-9} - 14x^{-7} + 5x^{-5}), \quad (3)$$

$$K'_T = \frac{K_0}{8K_T} \left[(K'_0 - 4) (81x^{-9} - 98x^{-7} + 25x^{-5}) + \frac{4}{3} (49x^{-7} - 25x^{-5}) \right] \quad (4)$$

Where $x=(V/V_0)^{1/3}$ and $A_1 = (K'_0-4)$

Stacey EOS

$$\ln\left(\frac{V}{V_0}\right) = \frac{K'_0}{K_\infty'^2} \ln\left(1 - K'_\infty \frac{P}{K}\right) + \left(\frac{K'_0}{K'_\infty} - 1\right) \frac{P}{K} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{K}{K_0} = \left(1 - K'_\infty \frac{P}{K}\right)^{-\frac{K'_0}{K'_\infty}} \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{1}{K'} = \frac{1}{K_0'} \left(1 - \frac{K'_\infty}{K_0'}\right) \frac{P}{K} \quad (7)$$

The results for P, K_T and K_T' as function of V/V_0 down to 0.915 are given in table 1. The results obtained from B-M EOS and Stacey EOS are found to present in general fair agreement with each other.

3. Elastic Moduli of BiFeO_3

There are mainly two types of sound velocities V_P and V_S i.e for compressional or longitudinal and shear or transverse waves , respectively . These are related to bulk modulus (K) , shear modulus (G) and density (ρ as givens below ^{1,21}) as :

$$V_P = \left(\frac{K + \frac{4}{3}G}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

$$V_S = \left(\frac{G}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} \quad (9)$$

The isotropic shear modulus (G) within the voigt limit¹ is as follows:

$$G = \frac{1}{5}(2C_s + 3C_{44}) \quad (10)$$

$$\text{where } C_s = \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \quad (11)$$

The adiabatic bulk modulus for cubic solids is:

$$K = \left(\frac{C_{11} + 2C_{12}}{3} \right) \quad (12)$$

The Cauchy relation for elastic constant is:

$$(C_{12} - C_{44}) = 2P \quad (13)$$

Using Eqs (10) to (13) , we find

$$G = \frac{3}{5}(K - 2P) \quad (14)$$

Using Eq.(14) , we can write the first pressure derivative of shear modulus dG/dP as :

$$G' = \frac{3}{5}(K' - 2) \quad (15)$$

According to static and dynamic elasticity, the Young's modulus (Y) is related to compressional and shear velocities as follows:

$$Y = \rho V_s \left(\frac{4V_s^2 - 3V_p^2}{V_s^2 - V_p^2} \right) \quad (16)$$

We can rewrite Eq.(16) in terms of bulk modulus and shear modulus with help of Equations (8) and (9) as follows :

$$Y = \left(\frac{9KG}{9K + 2G} \right) \quad (17)$$

The Poisson's ratio is determined by the following formula¹:

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{3K + 4P}{12K - 4P} \right) \quad (18)$$

We make use of Eqs (14) – (18) for calculating shear modulus, its pressure derivatives, Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio with help of K and P as a function of density.

The results for these elastic moduli and sound velocities from B-M and Stacey at different compression for BiFeO₃ at different compression are presented in table 2 and table 3

respectively. ($\rho_0 = 8.17 \text{ Kg/m}^3$ ⁽²¹⁾)

4. Discussion and Conclusion

For determining the values of pressure, isothermal bulk modulus and its derivatives, equations of state 1-6 have been used exclusively. We have theoretically determined the variation of different elastic parameters viz., young's modulus, shear modulus, and poisson's ratio of bulk bismuth ferrite with compression. In this study we have employed the equations 7-11 to calculate the young's modulus, shear modulus, poisson's ratio and have been reported in table 1 and 2. It has been observed that the pressure increases with decrease in compression of the material as depicted in Fig 1. The pressures calculated are found to be in good agreement with experimental data²⁰. It is also observed that the elastic moduli increase with increase in pressure and density. Moreover the density determined from the above calculations mentioned in section 3 increases linearly with the calculated pressures [Fig 2]. The nature of young's modulus, shear modulus, poisson's ratio with compression have been predicted and tabulated. The dependence of sound velocities has been determined using the pressure-density relationship [Fig 3]. Furthermore we have predicted the variation of shear and compression wave velocity with different pressures as depicted in Fig 4.

Our theoretical investigations are in accordance with existing literature and few evidences. The calculated Poisson's ratio is in agreement with current studies¹⁶. Most practical materials typically have poisson's ratio ν values between 0 and 0.5¹⁷. Metal oxides usually have ν values around 0.25¹⁸. The shear sound velocity has agreed with previous literature¹⁹. The rate of increase of compression velocity with pressure is faster than shear velocity.

The present work has predicted various parameters for low pressures (~10 GPa). Nevertheless the experimental study is under investigation. The two EOS employed in our study are found to be in accordance with each other over the whole analysis including all elastic parameters.

References:

- ¹O.L Anderson ,Equation of state for Geophysical and Ceramic Science , Oxford University Press,New York ,1995.
- ². M.S Ghiorso ,American J.Sci. 304 (2004) 752.
- ³. F.Birch J.Geophys. Res. 73.(1968) 817.
- ⁴. F.D Stacey .Geophys J Int. 143 (2000) 621.
- ⁵. F.D Stacey .Rep. Prog.Phys.68 (2005) 341.
- ⁶.F.D Stacey & P.M Davis ,Phys Earth Planet Inter ,142 (2004) 137.
- ⁷ G. Catalan and J.F.Scott, Adv. Matter. 21(2009) 2463.
- ⁸ A.M. Kadomtseva, Y.F.Popov,Phase Transitions 79.(2006) 1019.
- ⁹ S.V.Kiselev , R.P.Ozerov , Sov. Phys. Dokl.7(1963) 742.
- ¹⁰ G.A. Smolenskii, V.A Isupov, Sov. Phys. Solid State 2(1961) 2651.

- ¹¹.P.Bouvier and J.Kriesel ,J.Phys: Condens.Matter 14(2002)3981.
- ¹² R J Angel , J Zhao,Phys. Revs. Lett 95(2005)025503
- ¹³ J Zhao , N.L.Ross ,Acta Crystallographica Section B 62(2006)431.
- ¹⁴ J Zhao , N.L.Ross ,Acta Crystallographica Section B 62(2004)263.
- ¹⁵ T.Tohei, A.Kuwabra ,T. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev.Lett.94(2005)035502.
- ¹⁶ Nanoscape *Volume 5, Issue 1, Fall 2008*
- ¹⁷ Lautrup, B. *Physics of Continuous Matter*. Institute of Physics: Bristol, **2005**: 135–144.
- ¹⁸ M.L Dunn,;H. Ledbetter, . *J. Mater. Res. 10 (1995)*, 2715–2722
- ¹⁹ Ferroelectric and Multiferroic Materials, Proceedings Materials Research Society Symposium 2011 November.
- ²⁰ J.L Zhu, S.M Feng , L.J wang ,vol 30 (2010) ,265-272.
- ²¹.Contactless density measurement ,Notational space devolp. Agency
,Appl.Phys.A79,(2004),1965-1969.

Table 1: Value of P(GPa) , K_T (GPa) and K_T' (GPa) calculated from Birch-Murnaghan EOS and Stacey EOS ($K'_\infty=2.76$)

V/V_0	P_{BM} (GPa)	P_{Stacey} (GPa)	$K_{T\ BM}$ (GPa)	$K_{T\ Stacey}$ (GPa)	$K_{T\ 'BM}$ (GPa)	$K_{T\ 'Stacey}$ (GPa)
1.000	0.000	0.000	97.30	97.30	4.599	4.602
0.999	0.098	0.098	97.75	97.75	4.594	4.596
0.994	0.569	0.569	99.93	99.90	4.571	4.570
0.989	1.062	1.062	102.19	102.14	4.548	4.544
0.984	1.577	1.577	104.54	104.45	4.525	4.518
0.979	2.116	2.116	106.99	106.85	4.502	4.493
0.974	2.679	2.679	109.55	109.34	4.479	4.468
0.969	3.269	3.268	112.20	111.92	4.457	4.443
0.964	3.887	3.885	114.97	114.60	4.434	4.418
0.958	4.535	4.531	117.87	117.39	4.411	4.394
0.953	5.214	5.208	120.88	120.28	4.388	4.370
0.947	5.927	5.918	124.03	123.29	4.365	4.346
0.942	6.675	6.663	127.32	126.43	4.343	4.322
0.936	7.460	7.444	130.76	129.69	4.319	4.299
0.930	8.287	8.265	134.36	133.09	4.296	4.276
0.924	9.156	9.127	138.12	136.64	4.275	4.253
0.918	10.070	10.034	142.07	140.33	4.251	4.231
0.915	11.034	10.988	143.96	144.20	4.241	4.208

Table 2: Value of elastic moduli calculated from Birch-Murnaghan EOS with different compression for $BiFeO_3$

V/V_0	ρ/ρ_0	ρ (g/cc)	P_{BM} (GPa)	G (GPa)	Y (GPa)	σ	V_p	V_s
1.000	1.000	8.170	0.000	58.380	145.950	0.2500	4.630	2.673
0.999	1.001	8.178	0.098	58.532	146.378	0.2504	4.636	2.675
0.994	1.006	8.218	0.569	59.272	148.463	0.2524	4.666	2.686
0.989	1.011	8.258	1.062	60.039	150.619	0.2543	4.697	2.696
0.984	1.016	8.300	1.577	60.832	152.850	0.2563	4.729	2.707
0.979	1.021	8.342	2.116	61.657	155.164	0.2583	4.762	2.719
0.974	1.026	8.386	2.679	62.513	157.567	0.2603	4.796	2.730
0.969	1.032	8.431	3.269	63.399	160.052	0.2623	4.830	2.742
0.964	1.038	8.478	3.887	64.319	162.631	0.2642	4.866	2.754
0.958	1.044	8.525	4.535	65.278	165.315	0.2662	4.902	2.767
0.953	1.050	8.574	5.214	66.271	168.093	0.2682	4.940	2.780
0.947	1.056	8.625	5.927	67.308	170.993	0.2702	4.978	2.794
0.942	1.062	8.677	6.675	68.382	173.995	0.2722	5.018	2.807
0.936	1.069	8.731	7.460	69.504	177.129	0.2742	5.059	2.822
0.930	1.075	8.786	8.287	70.673	180.392	0.2762	5.101	2.836
0.924	1.082	8.843	9.156	71.887	183.779	0.2782	5.144	2.851
0.918	1.090	8.901	10.070	73.160	187.326	0.2802	5.188	2.867
0.915	1.093	8.929	11.034	73.138	187.638	0.2828	5.200	2.862

Table 3: Value of elastic moduli calculated from Stacey EOS with different compression for BiFeO₃

V/V_0	ρ/ρ_0	ρ (g/cc)	P_{Stacey} (GPa)	G (GPa)	Y (GPa)	σ	V_p	V_s
1	1.000	8.170	0.000	58.380	145.950	0.2500	4.630	2.673
0.999	1.001	8.178	0.098	58.532	146.379	0.2504	4.636	2.675
0.99421	1.006	8.218	0.569	59.259	148.431	0.2524	4.666	2.685
0.98934	1.011	8.258	1.062	60.008	150.541	0.2543	4.696	2.696
0.98439	1.016	8.300	1.577	60.778	152.714	0.2563	4.727	2.706
0.97935	1.021	8.342	2.116	61.571	154.951	0.2583	4.759	2.717
0.97422	1.026	8.386	2.679	62.388	157.255	0.2603	4.791	2.728
0.96901	1.032	8.431	3.268	63.230	159.630	0.2623	4.824	2.739
0.96371	1.038	8.478	3.885	64.099	162.078	0.2643	4.858	2.750
0.95831	1.044	8.525	4.531	64.995	164.604	0.2663	4.892	2.761
0.95283	1.050	8.574	5.208	65.919	167.212	0.2683	4.927	2.773
0.94724	1.056	8.625	5.918	66.874	169.904	0.2703	4.963	2.785
0.94157	1.062	8.677	6.663	67.861	172.686	0.2724	5.000	2.797
0.93579	1.069	8.731	7.444	68.881	175.562	0.2744	5.037	2.809
0.92991	1.075	8.786	8.265	69.936	178.537	0.2764	5.075	2.821
0.92394	1.082	8.843	9.127	71.029	181.615	0.2785	5.115	2.834
0.91785	1.090	8.901	10.034	72.160	184.804	0.2805	5.155	2.847
0.915	1.093	8.929	10.988	73.332	188.108	0.2826	5.205	2.866




Fig 1. Variation of Pressure with Compression




Fig 2. Variation Density with Pressure




Fig 3. Variation of Reduced velocities with normalized density




Fig 4. Variation of velocity with Pressure